

BOARD MINUTES FOR 01/25/01

JANUARY 25, 2001

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 8:00 A. M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS BROCK, COPE, ENFINGER, FINCH AND HALL PRESENT. ATTORNEY GERALD HOLLEY, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT PETER HERBERT, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE CARTER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

SHERIFF FRED PEEL PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. CHAIRMAN COPE OPENED THE MEETING, PROVIDED INVOCATION AND LED IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE MINUTES OF THE NOVEMBER 21ST, DECEMBER 21ST AND DECEMBER 29TH, 2000 BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONER MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL AND CARRIED TO APPROVE ALL ITEMS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE JANUARY 25, 2001 MEETING:

1. CHANGE ORDER FROM MIDDLEBROOKS, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$800.00 FOR THE CLEANUP OF TWO EXTRA SEPTIC SYSTEMS UNDER DR-1069 TO BE PAID FROM DR-1069 GRANT FUNDS.
- B. TRANSFERRING DIGITAL RADIO SERVICE FROM SOUTHERN LINC TO NEXTEL.
- C. MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$212.08 PER MONTH FOR THE PRIMERGY 560 SYSTEM THAT IS LOCATED IN THE COMPUTER DEPARTMENT.
- D. CONTRACT SUM CHANGE ORDER TO PETER BROWN CONSTRUCTION, INC. FOR THE NEW WASHINGTON COUNTY JAIL FROM \$4,400,000 TO \$3,293,279.80.

TODD ABBOTT WITH UNITED STATES SERVICE INDUSTRIES ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON RENEWAL OF THE JANITORIAL CONTRACT WITH WASHINGTON COUNTY AND A PROPOSAL TO INCLUDE THE CLEANING OF THE CHIPLEY AND VERNON LIBRARIES TWICE A WEEK FOR \$198.00 PER MONTH FOR EACH FACILITY.

PETER HERBERT, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT, REPORTED THE PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY USSI TO ADD THE TWO FACILITIES CLEANING THEM TWICE A WEEK WOULD COST \$396.00 PER MONTH; LINDA NORTON, LIBRARY DIRECTOR, HAD OFFERED TO PAY ONE HALF OF THIS COST.

ABBOT AGREED TO CUT THE PRICE BY ONE THIRD IF THEY CLEANED THE TWO LIBRARIES ONCE A WEEK RATHER THAN TWICE A WEEK; THEY COULD NOT CUT THE PRICE IN HALF DUE TO A LOT OF THE EXPENSE BEING THE PAPER PRODUCTS USED. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION TO INCLUDE THE CLEANING OF THE VERNON AND CHIPLEY LIBRARIES ONCE A WEEK IN THE JANITORIAL CONTRACT WITH USSI AT A COST OF \$264.00 PER MONTH WITH THE LIBRARY PAYING ONE HALF OF THE \$396.00 (198.00)

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE LIBRARY CURRENTLY PAYING FOR SOME EXPENSES WHICH WOULD NOW BE INCLUDED IN THE JANITORIAL CONTRACT. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO GET A COST COMPARISON ON WHAT IT IS PRESENTLY COSTING THE LIBRARY TO FURNISH CLEANING SUPPLIES, PAPER PRODUCTS, VACUMMING, ETC. VERSUS THE \$264.00 PER MONTH BEING PROPOSED BY USSI. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER WITHDREW HIS MOTION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO TABLE INCLUDING THE THE VERNON AND CHIPLEY LIBRARIES IN THE JANITORIAL CONTRACT WITH USSI UNTIL THE FEBRUARY MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL AND CARRIED TO EXTEND THE PRESENT JANITORIAL CONTRACT WITH USSI, INC.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER BRIEFED THE BOARD ON HE AND HOWARD GLASS, JACKSON COUNTY COMMISSIONER, HAVING RESURFACED A ROAD TOGETHER AND HAVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FROM JACKSON COUNTY WHICH WOULD ALLOW THEM TO PAY UP TO \$5,000 ON THE RESURFACING PROJECT. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY

COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH JACKSON COUNTY.

LINDA WALLER ADDRESSED ITEMS C & D UNDER THE PUBLIC HEARINGS DID NOT COME BEFORE THE WASHINGTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION AND SHOULD HAVE; SHE REQUESTED THE BOARD TABLE THESE ISSUES UNTIL THEIR FEBRUARY MEETING:

C. PLAT VACATION/LAKEVIEW ACRES-WILLARD L. MOSELY

D. PLAT VACATION/WASHINGTON COUNTY EQUITIES-RORY ROHAN COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL AND CARRIED TO TABLE ITEMS C AND D UNDER PUBLIC HEARINGS UNTIL THE FEBRUARY MEETING.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING ADVERTISED IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY POST ON A PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE FROM PUBLIC-SEMI PUBLIC USE TO INDUSTRIAL USE PETITIONED BY WASHINGTON COUNTY AND THE PROPERTY OWNER, THE STATE OF FLORIDA, LINDA WALLER BRIEFED THE BOARD ON THIS BEING THE PROPERTY THE STATE OF FLORIDA HAS GIVEN TO THE COUNTY AND THE COUNTY IS WANTING TO REZONE IT INTO AN INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX. SHE ADDRESSED CLIFF KNAUER BEING THE PLANNER FOR THE PROJECT AND PROBABLY NEEDS TO PRESENT HIS PLAN TO THE BOARD TODAY. THE PLANNING COMMISSION HAS APPROVED THE PROPOSED CHANGE AND RECOMMENDED IT BE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL AND THEN SUBMITTED TO THE STATE. COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ENFINGER AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE FROM AGRICULTURE TO INDUSTRIAL USE ON THE WASHINGTON COUNTY INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX.

LINDA WALLER ADVISED THE BOARD SHE WAS NOT PREPARED TO MAKE A PRESENTATION ON THE APPLICATION FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION FOR A BARROW PIT PETITIONED BY WHITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY; THE COUNTY ENGINEER NEEDS TO ADDRESS IT. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO TABLE THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION UNTIL THE COUNTY ENGINEER IS PRESENT.

PETER HERBERT ADDRESSED THERE BEING THREE BIDS RECEIVED ON THE BLADE RAKE FOR CATERPILLAR MODEL D5MLGP:

- | | |
|------------------------------|------------|
| 1. THOMPSON TRACTOR COMPANY- | \$3,145.00 |
| 2. FLINT EQUIPMENT COMPANY- | \$3,705.00 |
| FLINT EQUIPMENT COMPANY- | \$3,100.00 |
| 3. TRACTOR AND EQUIPMENT- | \$3,640.00 |

DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH THE BOARD REQUESTING THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT FIND OUT THE DIFFERENCE IN THE WEIGHT OF THE RAKES BID BY FLINT EQUIPMENT AND THOMPSON TRACTOR COMPANY.

HENRY WASILEWSKI, RESIDENT OF SUNNY HILLS, ADDRESSED THE BOARD REQUESTING THEY REVOKE THEIR ACTION OF DECEMBER 21, 2000 TO SEND NOTIFICATION TO ALL RESIDENTS AND PROPERTY OWNERS OF SUNNY HILLS/ OAK HILLS ON THE PROPOSED MSBU DUE TO THE WAY THE PETITION WAS PRESENTED BEING MISLEADING. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD GIVE THE RESIDENTS ANOTHER APPROACH TO THE PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK INFORMED WASILEWSKI THE ACTION TAKEN BY THE BOARD IN DECEMBER WOULD ALLOW MAILOUTS TO BE DONE TO GATHER INFORMATION ON WHETHER THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS/OAK HILLS APPROVED THE PROPOSED MSBU OR NOT; THIS DOES NOT MEAN AN MSBU HAS BEEN PASSED OR AN ORDINANCE HAS BEEN DRAWN UP.

BARBARA FINCH, REPRESENTING THE STATE ATTORNEYS OFFICE, ADDRESSED THE BOARD TO THANK THEM FOR THE IMPROVEMENTS MADE TO THEIR FACILITY.

BOB SCHOLL OF VERNON ADDRESSED THE BOARD REQUESTING SOME TYPE OF RESOLUTION TO THE PHYSICAL AND MENTAL VIOLENCE TAKING PLACE ON TWIN POND ROAD BEFORE SOMEONE GETS HURT. HE INFORMED THE BOARD OF HIS OPINION: THE SOUTH END OF THE ROAD HAS BEEN GRADED TWICE SINCE DECEMBER 2000; THE SIGNS HIS NEIGHBOR PUT UP HAD BEEN TORN DOWN BY ANOTHER LAND OWNER AND WASHINGTON COUNTY CAME IN AND GRADED THE ROAD; THE COUNTY BELIEVES IT IS A PUBLIC ROAD AND FOUR OR FIVE LANDOWNERS BELIEVE IT IS A PRIVATE ROAD; THE COUNTY HAS BEEN REQUESTED TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE OF OWNERSHIP DURING THE CONFRONTATIONS AND THE COUNTY ROAD SUPERINTENDENT AND SHERIFF'S DEPUTY HAVE YET TO DO SO. HE ADVISED THE FOUR FAMILIES HE WAS SPEAKING FOR WERE THE BOYDENS, LUSTIGS, SCHOLLS AND YATES AND THE REASONS THEY FELT THE SOUTH END OF TWIN POND ROAD SHOULD BE PRIVATE WERE:

1. FOUR LANDOWNERS WANT IT PRIVATE; ONLY ONE WANTS IT PUBLIC
2. THE CARE AND MAINTENANCE OF THE SOUTH END OF THE ROAD HAS BEEN MAINTAINED BY ALL FIVE RESIDENTS SINCE 1991; WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS NOT GRADED THIS ROAD BEFORE DECEMBER 11, 2000; FOUR LAND OWNERS NEVER REQUESTED GRADING THIS ROAD; ONE LAND OWNER HAS JUST LATELY REQUESTED THE COUNTY GRADE IT; THREE LAND OWNERS WERE TOLD IT WAS PRIVATE AT THE TIME THEY PURCHASED IT; IF THIS HAD BEEN A PUBLIC ROAD, THESE LAND OWNERS MAYBE SHOULD BE COMPENSATED FOR THEIR WORK AND PURCHASES.
3. THEY WANT HUNTERS TO STAY AWAY FROM THEIR PROPERTY AND REFERENCED HARASSMENTS WHICH HAD OCCURRED; THE LAND OWNERS JUST WANT PEACE AND QUIET.
4. THE TITLE SEARCH BY WASHINGTON TITLE COMPANY SHOWS TWIN POND ROAD TO BE PRIVATELY OWNED EASEMENT. WASHINGTON COUNTY REFUSED TO ACCEPT THE ROAD FROM PINE HILL DEVELOPMENT IN 1990 ACCORDING TO BILL HUTTO, ATTORNEY AND A PRINCIPAL OF PINE HILL; NO DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN FOUND SHOWING THE COUNTY ACQUIRED THE ROAD AND A LETTER FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT STATES IT MUST BE A PUBLIC ROAD SINCE IT IS NOT LISTED ON ANY OF THE COUNTY DEEDS. SCHOLL ADVISED THE RESIDENTS WANTED TO

RESOLVE THIS ISSUE IN A PEACEFUL MANNER WITHOUT RESORTING TO LITIGATION WHICH COULD BE EXPENSIVE FOR BOTH PARTIES.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD UPON A REQUEST FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT, HE HAD DONE A TITLE EXAMINATION ON THE ROADWAY AND THE 60' RIGHT OF WAY IS STILL OWNED BY THE CORPORATION BILL HUTTO FORMED; THE CORPORATION HAS BEEN DISSOLVED; THEREFORE, HUTTO OWNS THE RIGHT OF WAY AND IS WILLING TO GIVE THE COUNTY AN EASEMENT ONLY IF THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE LIVING ON THE ROAD WANTS IT TO BE A COUNTY ROAD.

GLENN JERNIGAN, RESIDENT OF TWIN POND ROAD, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ADVISING THE SUBJECT ROAD SCHOLL'S SPOKE ON DEAD ENDS AT SCHOLL'S PROPERTY; HIS PROPERTY GOES ALL THE WAY TO THE END OF TWIN POND ROAD. HE ADDRESSED HIS CONCERNS SHOULD THE ROAD BE A PRIVATE ROAD:

1. SHOULD HE OR THE LUSTIGS DECIDE TO DO ANY DEVELOPMENT OF THEIR PROPERTY AND IT IS A PRIVATE ROAD, THEIR RIGHTS TO SALE OR IMPROVE THE PROPERTY IS OBSTRUCTED.
2. DOES THE COUNTY VEHICLES, SUCH AS THE EMT, FIRE DEPARTMENTS, SHERIFFS DEPUTIES, HAVE A LEGAL RIGHT TO ENTER ON THE PROPERTY. HE ADVISED HE DID NOT WANT

TO DO ANYTHING TO OBSTRUCT THE NEIGHBORS RIGHTS BUT JUST WANTED THE BASIC RIGHTS OF HIS PROPERTY NOT TO BE AFFECTED BY WHAT THEY ARE REQUESTING.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED HUTTO HAVING PUT THE ROAD THERE FOR ALL THE PROPERTY OWNERS TO USE TO GET TO THEIR PROPERTY; NONE OF THEM HAVE THE RIGHT TO CLOSE IT OFF. HE REITERATED IT IS NOT A COUNTY ROAD AND THE COUNTY DOES NOT HAVE A RIGHT TO MAINTAIN IT UNLESS THEY OBTAIN AN EASEMENT TO IT.

SCHOLL STATED JERNIGAN'S PROPERTY WAS ON THE CORNER OF THE ROAD WHERE TWIN POND ROAD ENDS; TWIN POND ROAD IS PUBLIC DOWN TO JERNIGAN'S CORNER AND THERE IS ANOTHER .8 MILE BEFORE YOU GET TO HIS PROPERTY. SCHOLL CLARIFIED THEY WERE NOT CONTENDING JERNIGAN'S CORNER.

JERNIGAN ADVISED THE BOARD THE .8 SCHOLL WAS SPEAKING OF WAS RIGHT DOWN THE SIDE OF HIS PROPERTY WHICH ADVERSELY AFFECTS HIS PROPERTY AS FAR AS FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OR FUTURE ACCESS. HE ALSO ADVISED OF LUSTIG, WHO LIVES DIRECTLY ACROSS THE ROAD FROM HIM, HAVING PUT UP SIGNS WHICH BLOCKED HIM FROM A PORTION OF HIS PROPERTY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED NO ONE OWNING THE ROAD AND IT APPEARED HUTTO, IF HE WOULD AGREE TO DO IT, NEEDED TO GIVE EACH OF THE LAND OWNERS A NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT TO GIVE THEM THE RIGHT TO USE THE 60' ROAD.

WHEN QUESTIONED ON WHO OWNS THE 60' RIGHT OF WAY WHEN A PIECE OF LAND IS PLATTED, ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE DEVELOPER OWNS IT UNLESS HE HAS FILED A FORMAL PLAT AND DEDICATES IT TO THE COUNTY BUT HE DOESN'T BELIEVE THIS HAS HAPPENED WITH THE TWIN PONDS PLAT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ALSO ADVISED THE STATUTE REQUIRES THE COUNTY CONSTRUCT A ROAD INITIALLY AND THEN MAINTAIN IT CONTINUOUSLY FOR FOUR YEARS FOR IT TO BE A PUBLIC ROAD.

SCHOLL ADDRESSED TWIN POND ROAD TURNING FROM A PUBLIC ROAD TO A PRIVATE ROAD AND THE COUNTY ROADGRADERS HAVE ALWAYS TURNED AROUND WHERE THE LUSTIGS PUT UP THE GATES; THE BOYDENS HAVE NEVER SEEN A MOTORGRADER GO PAST THE GATES DURING THE NINE YEARS THEY HAVE LIVED THERE AND THE RESIDENTS ON THE SOUTH END HAVE DONE THE MAINTENANCE ON THAT PORTION OF THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADDRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING SOMEONE HAD PUT UP GATES ON PROPERTY THEY DID NOT OWN TO KEEP JERNIGAN FROM GETTING TO SOME OF HIS PROPERTY. SCHOLLS ADVISED THE LUSTIGS HAD PUT UP THE GATES BUT NOW THEY HAVE MOVED THEM UP TO WHERE THERE IS JUST A STOP SIGN AND A SIGN ON EITHER SIDE OF THE ROAD.

JAN SCHOLL ADDRESSED THE BOARD ADVISING THE SIGNS WERE PUT UP TO KEEP PEOPLE WHO WERE NOT INVITED TO COME INTO THE ROAD AND GET ON PRIVATE PROPERTY WITHOUT PERMISSION; THE SIGNS AND GATE WERE NOT PUT UP TO PREVENT THE LAND OWNERS FROM THE FULL USE AND RIGHTS OF THEIR PROPERTY.

JERNIGAN ADDRESSED THE PROBLEM SCHOLL WAS HAVING WITH THE HUNTERS WAS DUE TO THE BACK SIDE OF HIS PROPERTY BORDERING A HUNTING LEASE, NOT FROM TWIN POND ROAD. HE VOICED HIS OPPOSITION TO THE CLOSING OF THE SOUTH END OF TWIN POND ROAD NOT JUST FOR TODAY BUT IN THE FUTURE ALSO.

SCHOLL REITERATED PROBLEMS HE WAS STILL HAVING WITH HUNTERS COMING ON HIS PROPERTY AND WAS WANTING THIS STOPPED.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY OFFERED TO CONTACT BILL HUTTO TO SEE IF HE WOULD GIVE THE LAND OWNERS A NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT SO THEY WILL HAVE SOMETHING IN WRITING GIVING THEM THE RIGHT TO USE THE PROPERTY.

WHEN QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY HAD A RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE SCHOOL BUS TO COME ON THE ROAD IN QUESTION; ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE COUNTY HAD NO RESPONSIBILITY ON A PRIVATE ROAD UNLESS THEY HAD AN EASEMENT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ENFINGER FOR ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO CONTACT MR. HUTTO TO SEE IF HE WOULD GIVE THE LANDOWNERS AN EASEMENT. JERNIGAN QUESTIONED EVEN WITH AN EASEMENT COULD THE ROAD BE BLOCKED IN ANY MANNER. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED IT COULD NOT BUT THE LANDOWNERS WOULD HAVE TO ENFORCE IT NOT THE COUNTY.

SCHOLL QUESTIONED IF PUTTING UP SIGNAGE ON EITHER SIDE OF THE ROAD, SUCH AS NO TRESSPASSERS, VIOLATORS WILL BE PROSECUTED, ETC., WOULD BE CONSIDERED BLOCKING THE ROAD. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE SIGNS SHOULD NOT BE ON THE 60' RIGHT OF WAY BUT THE LANDOWNERS COULD PUT THEM ON THEIR PROPERTY; THIS IS NOT A COUNTY ISSUE.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. ATTORNEY HOLLEY REQUESTED JERNIGAN AND SCHOLL PROVIDE HIM A LIST OF PERSONS OWNING PROPERTY ADJOINING THE ROAD.

FRANK CORSO, RESIDENT OF WAUSAU, ADDRESSED THE BOARD REQUESTING AN UPDATE ON COUNTY WIDE VOTING AND A CONSENSUS FROM THE BOARD IF THEY WERE GOING TO DO ANYTHING. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED HE HAD CONTACTED THE EXPERT WITNESS TO UPDATE HIS RESEARCH THROUGH THE NOVEMBER 7 ELECTION AND SUBMIT THE INFORMATION; ONCE THIS HAS BEEN DONE THE BOARD COULD MAKE A DECISION AS TO WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO.

CORSO THEN ADDRESSED AFTER AN ODD NUMBER YEAR AFTER THE CENSUS HAS BEEN DONE, THE FLORIDA STATUTES REQUIRES A REDISTRICTING OF THE COUNTY AND QUESTIONED IF THIS IS GOING TO BE IN PROCESS. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED ONCE THE OFFICIAL

FIGURES FROM THE CENSUS HAS BEEN RECEIVED, THE COUNTY WOULD NEED TO LOOK AT THE REDISTRICTING.

WHEN QUESTIONED ON WHO WOULD DECIDE WHERE THE DISTRICT LINES ARE DRAWN, ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED IT WOULD BE DONE BY THE BOARD IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS. CHAIRMAN COPE ASSURED CORSO AS SOON AS THE OFFICIAL CENSUS INFORMATION IS RECEIVED, THE BOARD WOULD HAVE SOMEONE WORK ON THE REDISTRICTING.

ROBERT SMITH WITH THE LIVING WORD CHURCH OF GOD IN GREENHEAD ADDRESSED THE BOARD REQUESTING THE CHURCH NOT BE REQUIRED TO HAVE A LICENSED GENERAL CONTRACTOR PULL PERMITS TO PUT UP A \$28,000 METAL BUILDING THEY HAD PURCHASED. SMITH POINTED OUT THE FLOOR PLANS FOR THE METAL BUILDING HAD BEEN DRAWN UP BY AN ENGINEER, THE BUILDING WAS ENGINEERED BY AN ENGINEER AND THIS IS STAMPED ON THE FLOOR AND WALL PLANS. HE ADDRESSED MOST OF THE CHURCHES IN THE COUNTY BEING PUT TOGETHER BY INDIVIDUALS HELPING INDIVIDUALS AND HAD NEVER HEARD OF CHURCHES HAVING TO GET A CONTRACTOR TO PULL A PERMIT.

SMITH ADDRESSED THE ISSUE OF HIM HAVING BEEN TOLD THE BUILDING WOULD COST MORE THAN \$200,000 AND AFTER GOING OVER WHAT HE ESTIMATED IT TO COST, STATED THERE WAS NO WAY THE BUILDING COULD COST OVER \$200,000.

SMITH ADDRESSED THE CHURCH OF GOD HAVING AGREED TO COME IN AND ASSIST THE CHURCH IN ANY WAY AND HAVE THE "MEN OF ACTION," A GROUP OF CONTRACTORS WHO GO AROUND AND DONATE THEIR TIME TO BUILD CHURCHES, COME IN AND POUR THE FLOOR AND PUT UP THE WALLS FOR THE BUILDING. HE THEN REITERATED HIS REQUEST FOR THE BOARD TO ISSUE A PERMIT FOR THE CHURCH TO BE BUILT.

LLOYD POWELL, COUNTY BUILDING INSPECTOR, ADDRESSED THE ISSUE ADVISING THE BUILDING CODES DOES NOT ANYWHERE ALLOW A CHURCH OR ANY CHURCH MEMBER TO BUILD A CHURCH OR DO WHAT THEY WANT TO DO; THE BUILDING CODES CLASSIFIES CHURCHES AS COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS AND ARE THERE TO PROTECT THE PEOPLE. HE ADDRESSED THERE WERE OTHER CHURCHES PRESENTLY UNDER CONSTRUCTION IN THE COUNTY WHO GOT GENERAL CONTRACTORS TO PULL THEIR PERMITS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED POWELL ON HOW HE DETERMINED THE COST WOULD BE \$200,000. POWELL ADVISED HE DID NOT REMEMBER TALKING TO MR. SMITH ABOUT THE COST NOR HAS HE SEEN THE PLANS FOR THE CHURCH BUT THE VALUE IS DETERMINED BY A CHART ADOPTED IN THE BUILDING CODES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE BOARD SHOULD NOT BE OPPOSING THE GROUP OF MEN CONSTRUCTING THE CHURCH JUST BECAUSE OF THE COST AND DIDN'T FEEL SMITH SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO HIRE A CONTRACTOR IF IN DEED THESE MEN HAVE CONSTRUCTED CHURCHES IN THE PAST.

POWELL ADDRESSED THE BOARD NOT HAVING THE AUTHORITY UNDER THE BUILDING CODES TO WAIVE THE REQUIREMENT OF THE CHURCH TO HAVE A GENERAL CONTRACTOR PUT IT TOGETHER. FINCH QUESTIONED WHY COULD SOMEONE BUILD THEIR OWN HOME WITH POWELL EXPLAINING THE BUILDING CODES ALLOWS FOR SOMEONE TO BUILD THEIR OWN HOME BUT REQUIREMENTS ARE DIFFERENT FOR COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES AND PROPERTIES.

WHEN ASKED IF THE MEN OF ACTION WERE LICENSED CONTRACTORS, SMITH ADVISED, IF HE UNDERSTOOD IT RIGHT, THEY WERE LICENSED CONTRACTORS WHO ARE RETIRED; THEY WOULD JUST COME IN AND POUR THE FLOOR AND PUT UP THE WALLS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF ONE OF THESE LICENSED CONTRACTORS CAME IN AND PULLED THE PERMITS, COULD THE COUNTY WAIVE THE PERMIT FEES. POWELL ADVISED THE BOARD DID NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO WAIVE ANY PERMIT FEES.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED HE DIDN'T FEEL THE CHURCH WAS REQUESTING TO WAIVE ANY FEES; THEY JUST WANTED PERMISSION TO PUT THE BUILDING UP.

POWELL ADDRESSED SOMEONE HAS TO OVERSEE THE JOB AND BE RESPONSIBLE FOR IT. COMMISSIONER HALL ADDRESSED IF THESE LICENSED CONTRACTORS ARE RETIRED IT IS MOST LIKELY THEY DON'T HOLD A LICENSE DUE TO IT BEING TOO EXPENSIVE JUST TO HOLD A LICENSE.

POWELL ADDRESSED THERE BEING LOCAL PEOPLE WHO ARE LICENSED, INSURED AND HAVE ALL THE PROPER CREDENTIALS TO BUILD CHURCHES. SMITH ADVISED INSURANCE WAS NO PROBLEM AS THEY WERE INSURED UNDER THE CHURCH OF GOD.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THE CHURCH WOULD BE REQUIRED TO HAVE A FIRE INSPECTION ON THE BUILDING. POWELL ADVISED THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO DO SO AND THE LAWS HE ENFORCES ARE STATE LAWS AND NOT COUNTY LAWS.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THE CHURCH HAD A LICENSED CONTRACTOR TO COME AND PULL THE PERMIT THERE WOULD BE NO PROBLEM; POWELL AGREED.

JAN MORRIS QUESTIONED WHO SET THE \$200,000 FEE AND IF THE HIGHER THE VALUATION THE MORE MONEY POWELL MAKES OFF OF IT. POWELL ADVISED THE BOARD ADOPTED THE \$200,000 FEE FOR COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED WHO DETERMINES THE AMOUNT PER SQUARE FOOT TO BE CHARGED; POWELL ADVISED THE STATE SETS THE AMOUNT AND THEY ARE ADOPTED IN THE BUILDING CODES. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED FINCH, THE BOARD ADOPTS THE STATE CODES IN THEIR LOCAL ORDINANCES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION TO DO WHATEVER IS NEEDED TO ALLOW THE GROUP OF MEN TO BUILD THE CHURCH. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER SECONDED THE MOTION FOR DISCUSSION.

COMMISSIONER HALL ADDRESSED SMITH NEEDING TO GET WITH POWELL TO SEE WHAT THE STATE LAWS ARE OR THE LAWS THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED BECAUSE THIS IS WHAT WILL HAVE TO BE DONE.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADDRESSED THE BOARD NEEDING TO KNOW WHAT THE STATE LAW IS AND WHAT THE COUNTY HAS ADOPTED IN AN ORDINANCE AS FAR AS CHURCHES. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THERE WERE NO STATE LAWS RELATING TO CHURCHES; THEY ARE TREATED LIKE ANY OTHER BUILDINGS AND THE COUNTY JUST ADOPTS THE STATE CODES AS REQUIRED BY STATUTE. THE COUNTY CAN BE NO LESS LENIENT BUT CAN BE MORE RESTRICTIVE THAN THE STATE LAWS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED WITH A SITUATION LIKE THE CHURCH, IF THE COUNTY HAS THE OPTION TO WAIVE THE REQUIREMENT FOR A LICENSED CONTRACTOR IF THE GROUP OF MEN HAVE PAST EXPERIENCE AND ARE QUALIFIED TO PUT UP THE BUILDING. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD WOULD BE VIOLATING THEIR CODE IF THEY DO ALLOW IT; HE FELT LIKE SMITH COULD PROBABLY FIND A LICENSED CONTRACTOR IN THE GROUP OF MEN HE SPOKE OF TO PULL A PERMIT. SMITH ADDRESSED HIM HAVING BEEN TOLD IF A CONTRACTOR IS HIRED THEN THE CONTRACTOR HAS TO PURCHASE THE PERMITS; THE MEN OF ACTION ARE NOT HIRED CONTRACTORS THEY ARE DOING THE WORK FOR THE COMMUNITY FREE OF CHARGE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY EXPLAINED THE BUILDING INSPECTOR WAS SAYING SMITH WOULD HAVE TO HAVE A LICENSED CONTRACTOR TO COME PURCHASE THE PERMITS.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE COUNTY ORDINANCE WHICH STATES IF A CHURCH IS VALUED AT \$200,000 OR LESS, THE OWNER CAN DO THEIR OWN WORK. POWELL ADDRESSED THIS ORDINANCE BEING IN VIOLATION OF THE BUILDING CODES. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE VALUATION OF A BUILDING BEING BASED ON A FORMULA IN THE BUILDING CODES AND NOT ON WHAT IT WOULD ACTUALLY COST TO BUILD THE BUILDING.

ENFINGER ADDRESSED TWO 60' X 100' METAL BUILDINGS THE COUNTY HAD JUST RECEIVED BID PRICES ON AND THEY CAME IN AT \$76,000 EACH FOR THE FLOORS AND INSTALLATION; A 75' X 100' SHOULDN'T COST \$200,000.00. POWELL REITERATED THE BID PRICE HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE VALUE AND EXPLAINED ALL THE OTHER ISSUES INVOLVED SUCH AS HEATING, COOLING, ETC.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED GETTING SMITH TO PROVIDE AN ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL MATERIALS AND ACTUAL COST TO BUILD THE CHURCH, GETTING A \$0 DOLLAR CREDIT DUE TO THE PEOPLE HE HAS ON BOARD THAT HAS A REPUTATION FOR PUTTING UP BUILDINGS; HE FELT THE COUNTY SHOULD WORK WITH SMITH. POWELL REITERATED IT DIDN'T MATTER IF THE BUILDING WAS GIVEN TO THE CHURCH, IT STILL HAS A SET VALUE; THERE WAS NO WAY THE BUILDING COULD BE CONSTRUCTED FOR LESS THAN \$200,000.

COMMISSIONER COPE AGREED WITH FINCH TO HAVE SMITH GET AN ITEMIZED LIST OF MATERIALS AND BRING IT BACK BEFORE THE BOARD TO PROVE HE CAN BUILD THE BUILDING FOR \$200,000 OR LESS. POWELL ADVISED IF THE BOARD DID THIS, THEY WOULD BE CHANGING THE RULES AND REGULATIONS AND REITERATED THE \$200,000 WAS CALCULATED ON THE \$65 PER SQUARE FOOT FORMULA.

HARRY JOHNSON ADDRESSED THE BOARD QUESTIONING IF SMITH GETS A PERMIT SET ON WHATEVER IT COST HIM TO BUILD THE BUILDING, IF EVERYONE ELSE IN THE COUNTY WAS

GOING TO GET SPECIAL CONSIDERATION WHEN THEY GO TO BUILD; IS THE BOARD GOING TO GO BY THE LAWS SET AND BILL BY THE SQUARE FOOT. COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE \$200,000 IS SET SPECIFICALLY FOR CHURCHES AND WOULD AGREE TO WORK WITH CHURCHES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BUILDING CODES REQUIRES CHURCHES TO BE BUILT BY COMMERCIAL STANDARDS; THE COUNTY ORDINANCE RELATES ONLY TO CHURCHES UNDER \$200,000.00.

BILL PATE, WASHINGTON COUNTY POST, QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY BUILDING CODES SPECIFY THE VALUE IS DETERMINED BY THE COST TO BUILD THE CHURCH OR THE VALUE OF THE BUILDING. POWELL REITERATED THE \$65 PER SQUARE FOOT IS THE FORMULA USED. COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERENCED THERE SHOULD BE SOMETHING TO DIFFERENTIATE ONE TYPE OF BUILDING VERSUS ANOTHER TYPE OF BUILDING BASED ON THE MATERIALS USED IN CONSTRUCTING THE BUILDING.

SMITH WAS ADDRESSING HE SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO PULL THE PERMITS FOR THE CHURCH AND SHOULD NOT HAVE TO HAVE A LICENSED CONTRACTOR BASED ON THE COUNTY BYLAWS.

SMITH ADDRESSED NO CHURCH HAS A VALUE ON IT; THE TAX COLLECTOR DOES NOT COLLECT TAXES ON A CHURCH DUE TO IT BEING EXEMPT; YOU CAN'T PUT A PRICE ON THE HOUSE OF GOD.

POWELL SUGGESTED THE BOARD LEAVE THE COUNTY POLICY AS IS; IF THEY CHANGE THE BUILDING CODES NOW THEY WILL BE OPENING THE DOOR TO ALL KINDS OF PROBLEMS. HE ALSO DID NOT KNOW IF THE BOARD HAD THE AUTHORITY TO CHANGE THE RULES AND REGULATIONS BASED ON THEM BEING STATE RULES.

JAN MORRIS QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS A VARIATION IN THE \$65 PER SQUARE FOOT IF IT WERE JUST AN EMPTY BUILDING OR IF IT HAD SEVERAL BATHROOMS, ETC. POWELL ADDRESSED THE BUILDING PERMIT WOULD STILL BE BASED ON \$65 PER SQUARE FOOT IF IT WERE A CHURCH BUT THERE WOULD BE PLUMBING PERMITS. MORRIS ADDRESSED THE NEED FOR THE BUILDING CODES TO TO REVIEWED; POWELL REITERATED THEY WERE STATE RULES.

SMITH ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAS SET THE RULES THEMSELVES AND UNTIL THEY ARE CHANGED, THEY HAVE TO ABIDE BY THEM. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED SMITH THE COUNTY RULES DO NOT DO WHAT HE IS WANTING; THE COUNTY ORDINANCE STATES A VALUE OF \$200,000 OR LESS BUT THE VALUE IS CALCULATED ON THE FORMULA IN THE ORDINANCE WHICH IS \$65.00 PER SQUARE FOOT.

HARRY JOHNSON QUESTIONED IF IN THE FUTURE THE CHURCH IS NO LONGER A CHURCH AND SOMEONE WANTS TO USE IT AS A COMMERCIAL BUILDING; SMITH ADVISED BY LAW, THE CHURCH WOULD HAVE TO BE GIVEN BACK TO ANOTHER CHURCH ORGANIZATION.

NANCY FINCH QUESTIONED WHY THE BOARD COULDN'T JUST RAISE THE \$200,000 CAP IN THE ORDINANCE TO A \$1,000,000 CAP JUST FOR CHURCHES. POWELL ADDRESSED THE COUNTY WOULD END UP LIABLE IF THEY EXEMPTED CHURCHES FROM PERMITTING. FINCH QUESTIONED IF THERE WERE ANY DOCUMENTS THE CHURCH COULD SIGN WHICH WOULD RELIEVE THE COUNTY OF ANY RESPONSIBILITY.

SMITH REITERATED THE CHURCH CARRYING INSURANCE POLICIES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH WOULD LIKE FOR SMITH TO BRING BACK A LIST SHOWING HOW MUCH THE BUILDING IS GOING TO COST; IF HE CAN SHOW THE BOARD HE CAN BUILD THE BUILDING AND HAVE A SAFE BUILDING BUILT BY MEN WHO HAVE IN PAST PERFORMED THE SAME TYPE TASK AND BE UNDER THE \$200,000, THE BOARD COULD TABLE IT TO THAT TIME. COMMISSIONER COPE REQUESTED SMITH WORK WITH THE FIRE INSPECTOR ALSO TO MAKE SURE WHEN THE BUILDING IS BUILT, IT MEETS ALL THE FIRE CODES. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BUILDING WOULD HAVE TO MEET ALL THE BUILDING CODES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIS CONCERN THE CHURCH WAS CLASSIFIED AS A COMMERCIAL BUILDING, IT IS THE LIABILITY OF THE COUNTY, AND THE BOARD IS TO PROTECT THE COUNTY AND ABIDE BY THE LAWS; THE LAW SAYS THE CONTRACTOR WILL PULL THE PERMIT.

SMITH ADDRESSED HIM BEING WILLING TO PAY AN INSPECTOR TO INSPECT IT AND IF THE CHURCH GOES BY THE CODE AND PUTS THE BUILDING UP THE WAY THE ENGINEERED PLANS CALL FOR; THE ENGINEERING COMPANY WOULD BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER FELT IT WOULD BE BETTER IF THERE WAS A LICENSED CONTRACTOR PULLING THE PERMITS EVEN IF THE BOARD HAD TO WAIVE SOME OF THE FEES DUE TO SOMEONE HAVING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BUILDING. ENFINGER REQUESTED SMITH SEE IF HE COULD FIND A LICENSED CONTRACTOR WITH THE CHURCH AND THE BOARD SEE WHAT THEY COULD WORK OUT WITH THE PERMITS.

WHEN QUESTIONED IF HE HAD PLANS ON THE BUILDING, SMITH SHOWED THE BOARD THE PLANS ON THE FOUNDATION AND THE FLOOR FOR THE CHURCH.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER REQUESTED POWELL CHECK TO SEE IF A LICENSED CONTRACTOR PULLED THE PERMITS FOR THE CHURCH OF CHRIST; ENFINGER ADDRESSED THE CHURCH WAS PUT UP BY A GROUP OF MEN WHO COMES IN TO ASSIST CHURCHES.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE OLD PINEY GROVE CHURCH THAT WAS MOVED TO HIGHWAY 277; COMMISSIONER HALL ADDRESSED HE THOUGHT A LICENSED CONTRACTOR PULLED THE PERMITS ON THIS CHURCH BUT THE CHURCH PEOPLE STILL DID THE WORK.

COMMISSIONER FINCH WITHDREW HIS PREVIOUS MOTION. COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION TO HOLD A WORKSHOP TO SEE WHAT THE BOARD CAN COME UP WITH; SEE IF A LICENSED CONTRACTOR CAN BE GOTTEN TO PULL THE PERMITS OR WHATEVER IS NEEDED. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED THE BOARD DID NOT NEED TO GET IN THE POSITION OF FINDING A CONTRACTOR TO PULL THE PERMITS; THE CHURCH NEEDS TO DO THIS. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADDRESSED SMITH WAS GOING TO SEE IF HE COULD GET A CONTRACTOR WITH THE CHURCH OF GOD TO PULL THE PERMITS.

SMITH ADDRESSED HIM WANTING TO GO AHEAD AND GET THE PERMITS STARTED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, HE WOULD LIKE THE FOUNDATION DUG AND THE PLUMBING STUBBED IN BEFORE THE MEN OF ACTION COME TO FINISH UP. HOWEVER, HE IS NOT ALLOWED TO DIG A DITCH UNTIL THE PERMITS ARE PULLED.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD DID NOT NEED A MOTION TO HAVE A WORKSHOP; THEY COULD JUST DO THAT. COMMISSIONER FINCH WITHDREW HIS MOTION. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO HOLD A WORKSHOP ON THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 8TH AT 4:00 P.M. TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE. CHAIRMAN COPE CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, RANDY PARKER ADDRESSED THE PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE ON THE WASHINGTON COUNTY INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX FROM AGRICULTURE TO INDUSTRIAL. PARKER ADDRESSED THE BOARD EARLIER IN THE MEETING HAVING APPROVED TO SUBMIT THE LAND USE CHANGE TO THE STATE; THE STATE WILL REVIEW IT AND SUBMIT A REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD IN ABOUT 60 DAYS. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING ABOUT TEN ACRES OF THE PARCEL THAT IS WITHIN THE CHIPLEY CITY LIMITS; THE BOARD'S ACTION WILL NOT CHANGE THESE TEN MOST WESTERLY ACRES AS THESE WILL HAVE TO BE CHANGED BY THE CITY OF CHIPLEY.

LINDA WALLER ADDRESSED THE STATE OF FLORIDA HAD GIVEN THE COUNTY THE RIGHT TO GO AHEAD WITH THE LAND USE CHANGE ON THE PORTION OF THE PROPERTY THAT LAID WITHIN WASHINGTON COUNTY; HOWEVER, THE COUNTY NEEDED TO WAIT UNTIL THEY RECEIVED THE DEED BEFORE INITIATING THE LAND USE CHANGE ON THE ACREAGE WITHIN THE CITY OF CHIPLEY.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE OLD ROULHAC SCHOOL PROPERTY; ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED THE SCHOOL BOARD WAS WAITING UNTIL THEY HAD REMOVED SOME ITEMS STORED ON THE PROPERTY BEFORE GIVING THE COUNTY A DEED. THE BOARD REQUESTED ATTORNEY HOLLEY CHECK ON THE STATUS OF THE INSURANCE ON THE ROULHAC MIDDLE SCHOOL PROPERTY.

THE BOARD ADDRESSED NOT HAVING RECEIVED A DEED TO THE IFAS PROPERTY; ATTORNEY HOLLEY AGREED TO CHECK ON THE STATUS OF THE DEED.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY POST ON A SPECIAL EXCEPTION PETITIONED BY WHITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. WHICH WOULD ALLOW A BARROW PIT IN AN AREA DESIGNATED FOR AGRICULTURAL/SILVICULTURAL USE, SAID HEARING WAS HELD.

PARKER BRIEFED THE BOARD ON THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION PROCESS AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION HAVING ALREADY HELD TWO PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION REQUESTED BY WHITE CONSTRUCTION. AT THE LAST PUBLIC HEARING, THE PLANNING COMMISSION VOTED TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE BOARD. THE TOTAL SITE IS FORTY ACRES WITH TWELVE ACRES BEING DEVELOPED AS A PIT; THERE

IS A FIVE YEAR TIME FRAME FROM THE TIME THEY START DIGGING THE PIT UNTIL THEY ARE FINISHED WITH THE PROJECT; THERE IS ALSO A REQUIREMENT FOR A RECLAMATION PLAN TO BE SUBMITTED AND APPROVED AND A FINANCIAL SECURITY WITH A BOND, CASH OR LETTER OF CREDIT EQUAL TO 110% OF THE COST TO DO A RECLAMATION PLAN (\$8,838.70) WILL NEED TO BE POSTED PRIOR TO WHITE CONSTRUCTION BEGINNING TO DEVELOP THE PROPERTY. PARKER ADDRESSED WHITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY OFFERING TO FENCE THE PIT AS PART OF THE PROCESS AND THE COST OF THE FENCE NOT BEING INCLUDED AS PART OF THE RECLAMATION PLAN. PARKER ADDRESSED SOME OF THE MAJOR ISSUES PERTAINING TO THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION WERE: DETERMINING THE LINE OF THE WETLANDS ON THE PROPERTY DUE TO THE COUNTY REQUIRING 50' SETBACKS FROM THE WETLANDS LINE AND THE IMPACT ON THE ROADWAYS. HE ADVISED WHITE CONSTRUCTION HAD SUBMITTED EVIDENCE TO THE COUNTY ENGINEER ESTABLISHING THE WETLANDS LINE AND HE BELIEVES THE ENGINEER FEELS COMFORTABLE THEY ARE DEVELOPING OUTSIDE THE WETLANDS LINE. THE PROPERTY WILL COME OUT DIRECTLY ON A COUNTY HIGHWAY AND WILL HAVE ACCESS TO INTERSTATE 10.

PARKER ADVISED IF THE BOARD DID NOT HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR WHITE CONSTRUCTION, THE BOARD'S ACTION WOULD NEED TO BE TO GRANT THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MATERIALS AND PLANS SUBMITTED BY THE APPLICANT.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, ADVISED THE BOARD HE HAD BEEN ASKED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO REVIEW THE PACKAGE THAT WAS TURNED IN AND GIVE THEM AN OPINION ON WHAT NEEDED TO BE DONE TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE. THE FIRST SUBMITTAL DID NOT INCLUDE ANY WETLAND DELENIATIONS, TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEYS AND THERE WERE A FEW DISCREPANCIES ON CROSS SECTIONS TURNED IN WITH REGARDS TO THE SAFETY OF CHILDREN IN AND OUT OF THE PITS, ETC. KNAUER ADVISED WHITE CONSTRUCTION HAD ADDRESSED ALL THE CONCERNS HE HAD; THEY HAD PROVIDED A PROPOSED SITE PLAN WITH DRAINAGE AND WETLANDS DELENIATION AND HAVE ADDED FENCING; IT WAS HIS OPINION THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION SHOULD BE APPROVED BECAUSE IT MEETS ALL THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR THIS TYPE OF OPERATION AS WELL AS THE VARIANCE PARKER HAD SPOKEN ABOUT.

PARKER ADDRESSED THE VARIANCE WAS THE FENCING WHITE CONSTRUCTION HAD AGREED TO DO; THIS IS NOT A REQUIREMENT BUT THE BOARD CAN DO THIS AS PART OF THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION PROCESS AND HAVE DONE SO IN THE PAST. IT NEEDS TO BE REAL CLEAR ON WHETHER THE COUNTY IS GOING TO TO REQUIRE IT OR NOT; IS THE COST OF THE FENCE PART OF THE RECLAMATION PLAN.

COMMISSIONER HALL REFERENCED THE PROPERTY BEING IN A FLOOD PLAIN ZONE AND QUESTIONED IF ANY PERKING WOULD HAPPEN SHOULD THERE BE ANOTHER FLOOD. KNAUER ADVISED AFTER THE PIT IS DUG IT WILL NEVER DRAIN BECAUSE THE EXCAVATION IS GOING TO BE DEEPER THAN THE GROUND WATER TABLE; IT WILL BE A PERMANENT LAKE.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE IMPACT THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION WOULD HAVE ON THE COUNTY ROADS. KNAUER WAS ASKED ABOUT THE IMPACT AND ADVISED HE HAD NOT SEEN A ROAD MAINTENANCE PLAN; THERE WOULD BE AN IMPACT BUT IT WOULD BE HARD TO DETERMINE BECAUSE HE DOESN'T KNOW HOW THE ROAD WAS CONSTRUCTED AND IT PRESENTLY DOES NOT LOOK LIKE IT IS IN GOOD CONDITION.

WHITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY AGREED TO GO VIEW THE ROAD WITH THE COUNTY AND GIVE SOME TYPE OF LETTER OR BOND SAYING THE ROAD WOULD BE IN JUST AS GOOD A SHAPE OR BETTER UPON COMPLETION OF THEIR PROJECT.

OTHER ISSUES ADDRESSED WERE IT BEING A STAGMENT POND FOR MOSQUITOES AND THE IMPACT IT WOULD HAVE ON THE PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY AROUND THE SITE. KNAUER ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF OBJECTIONS FROM SURROUNDING NEIGHBORS TO THE PIT BUT THE BIGGEST CONCERN WAS FOR THE SAFETY OF THE CHILDREN AROUND THE PIT; THE PIT HAS BEEN SLOPED BACK AND A FENCE ADDED AROUND THE OUTSIDE OF IT. THE OWNER ADDRESSED THE FENCE WAS A REGULAR 5' FIELD FENCE WITH BARBWIRE ON IT.

COMMISSIONER HALL ADDRESSED IT NOT BEING A GOOD IDEA TO CREATE A BODY OF WATER THAT IS JUST GOING TO STAY THERE AND REFERENCED IT BEING A PLACE FOR MOSQUITOES AND OTHER INSECTS TO GATHER.

WHEN QUESTIONED IF THE PETITIONER COULD FIND A MORE SUITABLE SITE, THE PETITIONER ADDRESSED THEY HAD PUT FIVE YEARS INTO THIS SITE DUE TO THE

DEVELOPING AND HAVING TO GO THROUGH ALL THE PROCESSES. THERE ARE PITS THEY COULD USE BUT WHEN THEY PUT THEIR TRUCKS ON THE COUNTY ROADS, IT WOULD TEAR THEM TO PIECES. THEY ARE TRYING TO GET AS CLOSE TO I-10 AS THEY CAN TO PROVIDE THE MATERIAL FOR THAT JOB. THIS SITE WOULD BE THE BEST SPOT DUE TO IT BEING SO CLOSE TO I-10 AND WOULD NOT INTERFERE WITH THE COUNTY ROADS.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF SAND IN THE ST. MARYS PIT AND QUESTIONED THE DISTANCE FROM THE PREPOSED SITE TO IT. THE PETITIONER ADDRESSED THEY WERE STALEMATED NOW ON THE I-10 PROJECT UNTIL THEY CAN GET THE SAND ON THE SIDE OF THE ROAD; THE REQUEST FOR THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION HAS BEEN PENDING FOR FOUR MONTHS AND FL-DOT IS CHARGING TIME FOR A PROJECT THAT ONLY HAS 600 DAYS. THE PETITIONER ADDRESSED THIS IS WHY THEY ARE GETTING THE PIT APPROVED FOR A FIVE YEAR PERIOD EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE GOING TO ONLY USE THE PIT FOR THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT AT THIS TIME; HOWEVER, OTHER JOBS MAY BE LET THAT WILL ONLY ALLOW A CERTAIN NUMBER OF DAYS.

MS. JACKSON ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION ADVISING THE PIT WOULD BE ALRIGHT AS LONG AS IT WAS FIXED WHERE THE FLOOD WATER WOULDN'T COME OVER ONTO THEM; THE FENCE WON'T HELP KEEP PEOPLE OUT OF THE PIT.

COMMISSIONER HALL OFFERED A MOTION TO TABLE THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND GET WITH MR. TIM JONES TO SEE IF SOMETHING CAN'T BE WORKED OUT WITH THE SAND PIT AT ST. MARYS AND COME TO SOME KIND OF SATISFACTORY CONCLUSION. COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF WHITE CONSTRUCTION'S TRUCKS WOULD BE ON THE COUNTY ROAD MORE. COMMISSIONER HALL ADDRESSED WHITE CONSTRUCTION COULD GO UP THE SAME ROAD ABOUT A MILE FURTHER AND GET ON BRUNSON ROAD TO GO TO THE PIT. COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED WHITE CONSTRUCTION HAVING ALWAYS BEEN GOOD TO DO WHAT THEY SAY AND THEY HAD AGREED TO FIX THE ROAD IN AS GOOD AS SHAPE AS IT WAS WHEN THEIR PROJECT WAS COMPLETED. COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THIS WAS HIS ONLY CONCERN THAT THE ROAD BE IN AS GOOD A SHAPE AS IT ORIGINALLY WAS. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT CARRIED.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF SOMETHING COULD NOT BE WORKED OUT WITH MR. JONES, DID THE BOARD WANT TO MAKE A MOTION FOR WHITE CONSTRUCTION TO USE THE LAND THEY HAVE ALREADY PURCHASED; THE BOARD DID NOT NEED TO MAKE THEM WAIT ANOTHER 30 DAYS. PARKER ADDRESSED THIS PUBLIC HEARING HAVING BEEN ADVERTISED AND IF SOMETHING CAN'T BE WORKED OUT, THEN WHITE CONSTRUCTION WILL BE BACK AT THE NEXT MEETING TO ASK FOR THIS SPECIAL EXCEPTION AGAIN.

COMMISSIONER HALL VOICED HIS OPPOSITION DUE TO IT BEING IN A WETLANDS AREA AND TOO CLOSE TO BROWN BRANCH. COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED WHAT WOULD HAPPEN TO JONES' INVESTMENT WITH COMMISSIONER HALL ADVISING THE BOARD WOULD HAVE TO TRY AND WORK WITH JONES AND TRY TO COMPENSATE HIM FOR SOME OF IT. COMMISSIONER HALL SUGGESTED JONES AND ENFINGER GET TOGETHER AND TRY TO WORK SOMETHING OUT ON THE ST. MARYS PIT; JONES AGREED TO THIS. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER REQUESTED JONES CONTACT THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE AND LET HIM KNOW WHEN HE WOULD LIKE TO MEET WITH HIM AT ROAD AND BRIDGE. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR ENFINGER AND JONES TO GET SOMETHING WORKED OUT BETWEEN NOW AND THE FEBRUARY 8TH MEETING AND PRESENT IT TO THE BOARD.

STEVE PARISH OF THOMPSON TRACTOR COMPANY ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE QUALITY OF THE ROOT RAKES HE HAD PROVIDED A QUOTE ON. HE ADVISED THE RAKE HE QUOTED FOR \$3,145 WAS MADE OUT OF T-1 STEEL, 115" WIDE, HAD NINE TEETH AND ARE 50" LONG, WEIGHS APPROXIMATELY 1600 POUNDS AND DESIGNED TO FIT THE CURVITURE OF THE BLADE, AND THIS PRICE IS DELIVERED TO GO. COMMISSIONER HALL OFFERED A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE CAT BID DUE TO THE SUPERIOR QUALITY OF THE PIECE OF EQUIPMENT. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER SECONDED THE MOTION. COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED WHERE THE MONEY WAS GOING TO COME FROM WITH DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISING IT WOULD HAVE TO COME FROM MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT OR CONTINGENCY. THE MOTION CARRIED.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING FOUR PROJECTS COMING UP WHICH WILL ALL HAVE THE SAME FUNDING DATES, FINISH DATES, ETC.;

1. FL-DOT SMALL COUNTY OUTREACH PROGRAM-CLAYTON ROAD IS

FUNDED FOR THIS YEAR WITH FUNDING TO BE AVAILABLE IN JULY; TO THIS POINT NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE. HE PROVIDED THE BOARD A TIME FRAME TO DO THE PROJECT AND ADVISED THE SURVEYING ON THE PROJECT NEEDED TO BE DONE AT THE LATEST BY THE END OF FEBRUARY. OTHER ITEMS NEEDED TO BE DONE INCLUDED BORINGS FOR WIDENING, DESIGN AND DRAFTING AND TIME TO PUT TOGETHER BID PACKETS. THE ESTIMATED COST IS \$355,000 WITH IT BEING 25% COUNTY PARTICIPATION AND 75% STATE PARTICIPATION.

2. SCRAP 2000-BASICALLY FINISHED UP WITH THIS PROJECT EXCEPT FOR THE PUNCH LIST.
3. NED BROCK BRIDGE PROJECT-THIS HAS BEEN PUT OUT FOR BID.
4. CDBG 2000/2001-SURVEYING IS BEING DONE ON THIS NOW; GRANT WILL BE APPLIED FOR IN MARCH AND EXPECT GRANT APPROVAL SHORTLY THEREAFTER. HE ADDRESSED BOTH ROAD PROJECTS, HOLMES VALLEY AND JOYNER ROAD, NEEDING MAJOR REALIGNMENTS, RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION, CLEARING, ETC. ALL HAVE TO BE ADDRESSED.
5. SCRAP 2001-TIME FRAME IS EXACTLY THE SAME AS OTHER THREE ALREADY MENTIONED. FUNDING IS APPROXIMATELY \$2,400,000 AND AT THIS POINT THERE IS NO SURVEYS, DESIGN, ETC. AND FUNDING WILL BE HERE IN JUNE. KNAUER EXPRESSED CONCERN WITH ALL THESE PROJECTS GETTING READY TO BE FUNDED AND EVERYONE WANTING TO BE IN A HURRY AND HIM HAVING A HARD TIME GETTING THE WORK DONE EVEN WITH HELP FROM THE OTHER OFFICES. KNAUER

ADDRESSED AS SOON AS THE COUNTY CAN GET THE SURVEYING CONTRACT UNDERWAY, THEY NEED TO GET THEM STARTED ON THESE PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED PREBLE-RISH PROVIDING SURVEYING SERVICES ON HWY 280 AND FELT LIKE SINCE THEY WERE ALREADY THE COUNTY ENGINEERING FIRM, THEY SHOULD CONTINUE TO DO THE SURVEYING ON THESE PROJECTS RATHER THAN HIRING SOMEONE ELSE. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE SCRAP PROJECTS WITH THE BOARDS CONSENSUS FOR KNAUER TO PROCEED WITH THESE PROJECTS AND HANDLE THEM JUST LIKE HE DID ON THE HWY 280 PROJECT DUE TO HIM BEING AWARE OF THE FUNDING THAT IS AVAILABLE AND HIM HAVING AUTHORITY TO USE THE COUNTY SURVEYING FIRM ONCE THE CONTRACT IS SIGNED. KNAUER AGREED AS SOON AS THE SURVEYING CONTRACT IS PUT TOGETHER, HE WOULD MEET WITH THE SURVEYORS AND SHOW THEM EXACTLY WHAT IS NEEDED.

KNAUER READDRESSSED THE SCOP PROJECT AND CLAYTON ROAD NEEDING WIDENING. CHAIRMAN COPE REQUESTED HE USE AS MUCH COUNTY INKIND MATCH AS POSSIBLE ON THIS PROJECT.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON PIONEER ROAD AND THE NEED FOR IT TO BE WIDENED; KNAUER ADVISED THE FUNDING FOR PIONEER ROAD WAS INCLUDED IN THE NEW SCRAP PROGRAM ALONG WITH HIGHWAY 284 AND HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE BOARD WANTED BOTH OF THESE HANDLED JUST LIKE HIGHWAY 280.

KNAUER THEN ADDRESSED A ONE PAGE SUMMARY ON ROADS WHICH HAD BEEN PAVED RECENTLY WHICH INCLUDED THE EXACT TONNAGE OF ASPHALT PUT DOWN ON EACH PROJECT AND INCLUDED HIS INSPECTION REPORT AND EVERY TICKET THAT WAS COLLECTED ON EVERY JOB:

1. CANDY LANE-TONNAGE OF ASPHALT TURNED IN ON GRANGER'S PAY REQUEST WAS 164.21 TONS; TICKETS COLLECTED TOTALLED 132.78 TONS; ONE TRUCKLOAD WAS DUMPED WHICH HE DOESN'T HAVE THE TICKET ON AND HE WILL TRY TO GET GRANGER TO GET HIM ONE SO HE CAN VERIFY THIS AMOUNT. HE ALSO ADVISED NO ONE FROM HIS COMPANY WAS PRESENT WHEN THE FIRST SECTION WAS PUT DOWN BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T KNOW IT WAS HAPPENING. CHAIRMAN COPE ADDRESSED THERE BEING SOME ASPHALT PUT DOWN ON CANDY LANE FROM THE CAROL COURT PROJECT BUT COULD NOT VERIFY AMOUNT. COMMISSIONER COPE ALSO REPORTED THE

RESIDENTS HAD CONTRIBUTED \$3,000 TOWARD THE CANDY LANE PROJECT.

2. CAROL COURT-ONE TRUCK ASPHALT CAME FROM NEARING HILLS TO CAROL COURT WHICH WAS ABOUT HALF FULL; THEY TOOK TICKET AND DIVIDED IT IN HALF AND ADDED UP ALL THE OTHER TICKETS ON THE ROAD; TOTAL TONNAGE WAS 221.61 TONS; GRANGER TURNED IN 255.8 TONS; GRANGERS PAY REQUEST TOTALS \$12,278.00 AND FOR THE TONNAGE COLLECTED ON THE JOB THEY SHOULD ONLY BE PAID \$10,637.00.

KNAUER WAS MADE AWARE OF A BAD SPOT ON BRICKYARD ROAD ACROSS FROM DR. SNARES IN THE WEST LANE; KNAUER AGREED TO CHECK ON THIS. COMMISSIONER FINCH MADE THE BOARD AWARE THE RESIDENTS CONTRIBUTED TO THIS PAVING PROJECT.

3. CLARK LANE-ALL TICKETS COLLECTED MATCHED GRANGER'S PAY REQUEST COST OF \$7,307.00.
4. NEW PROSPECT ROAD-THE TOTAL TONNAGE MATCHED EXACTLY WHAT GRANGER HAD TURNED IN; PROJECT COST OF \$11,304.96.
5. MACEDONIA ROAD-TONNAGE MATCHED EXACTLY TO WHAT GRANGER ASPHALT SUBMITTED ON PROJECT; PROJECT COST \$10,610.40. ENFINGER ADVISED THIS ROAD IS THE ONE JACKSON COUNTY IS AGREEING TO PAY UP TO \$5,000 ON.

KNAUER ADVISED THERE WAS NO DISCREPANCIES ON THE \$49,382.88 INVOICE FROM GRANGER EXCEPT FOR THE CAROL COURT AND THE CANDY LANE PROJECTS; HIS FIGURES TOTAL \$47,193.00 AND REQUESTED GRANGER BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE ANY TICKETS MISSING. HE RECOMMENDED THE BOARD APPROVE OF A CHANGE ORDER ON THE TOTAL AMOUNT TURNED IN OF \$49,382.88 AND ALLOW HIM TO WORK OUT THE DIFFERENCE IN THE TICKETS AND PAY THEIR PAY REQUEST BASED ON TICKETS. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF KNAUER'S RECOMMENDATION.

KNAUER ADDRESSED A \$2,100 CHANGE ORDER ON NEARING HILLS ROAD BASED ON THE PAY REQUEST SUBMITTED BY GRANGER DIFFERING FROM THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT PRICE; KNAUER ADVISED THE DIFFERENCE IN SQUARE YARDS HAD BEEN VERIFIED AND HE HAS NO PROBLEM WITH THE INVOICE SUBMITTED BY GRANGER. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD APPROVE A \$2,100 CHANGE ORDER ON THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT FOR NEARING HILLS. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE \$2,100 CHANGE ORDER.

KNAUER PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH THE PLANS FOR BLUE POND PARK AND REQUESTED THEY ASSIST HIM WITH THE DOCK LOCATION ON THE PROJECT.

KNAUER THEN INVITED ROBERT REGISTER AND AL GUETTLER TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD TO ASSIST HIM WITH EXPLAINING A SITUATION WHICH HAD OCCURRED ON JANUARY 24TH. KNAUER EXPLAINED THERE WAS A PAY REQUEST DATED DECEMBER 4TH ON THE SCRAP PROGRAM WHICH WAS EXECUTED AND PAID TO GRANGER ASPHALT; ANOTHER PAY REQUEST WAS SENT IN ON DECEMBER 13TH WHICH HE NOR DIANNE CARTER, DEPUTY CLERK, HAD RECEIVED AND TWO MORE PAY REQUEST DATED DECEMBER 28TH WHICH HE AND DIANNE BOTH HAVE; IT DOESN'T MATTER WHOSE FAULT IT IS THE PAY REQUEST HAS NOT BEEN EXECUTED AND GRANGER HAS BEEN OUT OF \$115,414.64 FOR OVER 1 1/2 MONTHS AND GUETTLER IS IN THE MIDDLE BECAUSE HE HAS BEEN DOING TEMPORARY STRIPING ON THE CR280 PROJECT; IT WILL TAKE APPROXIMATELY THREE WEEKS FROM THE TIME THE PAY REQUEST IS SUBMITTED TO RECEIVE FUNDING FROM FL-DOT; REGISTER OF GRANGER ASPHALT BROUGHT ORIGINAL NOTORIZED COPIES OF THE PAY REQUEST TODAY AND THE NORMAL PROCEDURE IS HE WOULD ATTACH A LETTER TO THE PAY REQUEST, FORWARD IT TO DIANNE AND SHE SUBMITS IT TO FL-DOT; GUETTLER DID WORK APPROXIMATLEY 60 DAYS AGO AND IS IN NEED OF HIS MONIES.

KNAUER ADVISED THERE WAS A \$900.00 PAY REQUEST AND THE RETAINAGE LEFT ON GRANGER'S CONTRACT AFTER THIS PAY REQUEST. HE ASKED IF THE BOARD WOULD BE IN AGREEMENT TO HANDLE THIS PAY REQUEST ANY DIFFERENTLY AND PAY THE REQUEST UPFRONT, IT WOULD HELP GUETTLER.

AL GUETTLER ADVISED HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH WAITING THREE WEEKS AS LONG AS HE KNEW HE WOULD BE GETTING HIS MONIES. GUETTLER ADDRESSED ANOTHER ISSUE WITH THE CR280; HE HAS HAD TWO TRUCK LOADS OF THERMOPLASTIC SINCE THE END OF DECEMBER WHICH IS ANOTHER \$50,000 TO \$60,000 WORTH OF MATERIALS TO FINISH OUT THE CR280 PROJECT. HE ADDRESSED THE NEED TO GET THE PROCESS GOING SO HE CAN GET THE PERMANENT MARKINGS ON THE ROAD SO HE CAN GET PAID FOR THESE MATERIALS TOO.

KNAUER ADDRESSED THERE STILL BEING SHOULDER WORK TO BE DONE AND HE DOESN'T WANT ANY STRIPING DONE UNTIL THIS WORK IS COMPLETED; IT IS TOO EXPENSIVE AND GETS TORN UP TOO EASILY. REGISTER ADDRESSED HE DID NOT HAVE A WRITTEN COPY OF THE LOCATIONS; THIS WAS PROVIDED TO GREG BARBER AND THEY BOTH HAD BEEN OUT OF TOWN ALL WEEK AND HE HAD NOT HAD A CHANCE TO GET WITH BARBER. REGISTER ADVISED THEY COULD PROBABLY TAKE CARE OF THIS NEXT WEEK. AS FAR AS THE PUNCH LIST, REGISTER ADVISED HE HAD NOT RECEIVED THE PUNCH LIST AND COULD NOT SAY HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO COMPLETE IT. WHEN QUESTIONED IF THIS WAS THE FINAL PAY REQUEST, KNAUER REITERATED THIS WAS NOT THE FINAL PAY REQUEST ON CR280.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL AND CARRIED TO GO AHEAD AND PAY THE PAY REQUEST FROM GRANGER ASPHALT COMPANY DATED 12-13-2000 TOTALLING \$115,414.64. WHEN QUESTIONED WHERE THE MONIES WOULD BE COMING FROM, DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED IT WOULD BE HANDLED LIKE OTHER REIMBURSABLE TYPE GRANTS; IT WOULD BE CHARGED TO THE SCRAP PROGRAM AND BE REIMBURSED ONCE THE FUNDING IS RECEIVED FROM THE STATE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED AN INDIVIDUAL ON NEARING HILLS ROAD COMPLAINING TO HIM ABOUT WATER RUNNING INTO HIS YARD OFF THE NEWLY RESURFACED ROAD. CHAIRMAN COPE ADVISED HE WAS ALREADY LOOKING INTO THIS INCIDENT.

KNAUER ADDRESSED THE ISSUE OF THE COST OF TEMPORARY STRIPING HAVING BEEN BROUGHT UP ON SOME OF THE COUNTY PROJECTS AND GUETTLER WAS HERE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. KNAUER THEN REFERENCED THERE BEING ABOUT \$90,000 SPENT ON TEMPORARY STRIPING ON THE SCRAP PROJECTS; EVERYTHING WAS DONE EXACTLY AS THE LAW DICTATES IT SHOULD BE DONE: NEW PAVEMENT GOES DOWN AND TEMPORARY STRIPING GOES DOWN AND THIS IS WHAT GUETTLER HAS BEEN DOING.

KNAUER ADVISED THE BOARD ONE THING THAT COULD BE DONE TO CUT DOWN ON THE COST WOULD BE TO AWARD THE TEMPORARY STRIPING ON PROJECTS AS AN ALTERNATE OR NOT HAVE IT IN THE BIDS AND THE COUNTY COORDINATE THE STRIPING.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON WHAT WAS REQUIRED ON STRIPING; GUETTLER ADVISED THE STRIPING IS SUPPOSE TO BE PUT DOWN AS THE ENGINEER'S CERTIFIED REPORT IS AT THE END OF EVERY PAVING PROJECT.

GUETTLER ADVISED THE BOARD THEY COULD USE THEIR COUNTY CONTRACT WITH THEM TO DO THE STRIPING RATHER THAN WHAT THEY BILL THE CONTRACTOR.

GUETTLER ADDRESSED IT BEING CONSIDERABLY CHEAPER FOR THE BOARD TO STRIPE THE ROAD THEMSELVES THAN GOING THROUGH A CONTRACT. KNAUER ADDRESSED IT THEN BECOMING THE COUNTY'S RESPONSIBILITY TO COORDINATE BETWEEN THE STRIPING PERSON AND THE CONTRACTOR ON THE PROJECT. GUETTLER ADDRESSED OTHER COUNTIES HAVE BEEN DOING THIS AND THERE HAS BEEN NO PROBLEM. GUETTLER ADVISED ALL THEY WOULD EXPECT WOULD BE FOR THE COUNTY TO KEEP THEM ABREAST OF WHOEVER THE CONTRACTOR IS DOING A PAVING PROJECT.

IT WAS QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD COULD STILL UTILIZE THE GRANT FUNDING; KNAUER ADVISED IF THE COUNTY HAS A COMPETITIVE BID CONTRACT FOR STRIPING, THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO USE THE GRANT FUNDING TO PAY FOR THESE SERVICES. DISCUSSION CONTINUED WITH GUETTLER ADVISING IF THE COUNTY IS CONSIDERING DOING THIS THEY NEED TO PUT IT OUT FOR BID AND BRING IT CURRENT DUE TO THEIR PRESENT CONTRACT WITH GUETTLER BEING OLD.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS ON A CONTINUING SERVICE CONTRACT FOR STRIPING FOR ONE YEAR WITH THE OPTION TO RENEW.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON STRIPING FOR CAROL COURT, CANDY LANE, MACEDONIA ROAD, NEW PROSPECT ROAD, CLARK LANE AND NEARING HILLS. GUETTLER AGREED TO GIVE THE BOARD A PRICE ON WHAT IT WOULD COST TO PAINT STRIPE AND THERMOSTRIPE EACH OF

THESE ROADS. COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH TO APPROVE OF GUETTLER PROCEEDING WITH STRIPING OF THESE ROADS. GUETTLER AGREED IF HE WAS PROVIDED A LIST OF THE COMMISSIONER RESPONSIBLE FOR EACH OF THESE ROADS, HE WOULD GIVE THEM THE UNIT PRICES FOR THE STRIPING BEFORE STARTING TO STRIPE THE ROAD. COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED STOP BARS AND RAILROAD CROSSINGS ON NEW PROSPECT ROAD BEING CRITICAL. THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

ROBERT REGISTER OF GRANGER ASPHALT RECOMMENDED THE BOARD LOOK INTO BIDDING RESURFACING ON AN ANNUAL CONTRACT FOR A FLAT RATE; THEY COULD GET A CHEAPER RATE BY DOING THIS. HE ALSO ADDRESSED MOBILIZATION COULD BE BID ON AN ANNUAL CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED TO REGISTER SOME OF THE RESIDENTS ON THESE ROADS HAVE ASKED FOR PRICES ON DRIVEWAYS, ETC. AND GRANGER ASPHALT HAS REFUSED TO GIVE THEM PRICES. REGISTER ADVISED THE REASON WAS THE LIABILITY ON GETTING ON PRIVATE PROPERTY AND A LOT OF TIMES WHEN PEOPLE ARE GIVEN A PRICE, THEY FEEL LIKE THIER INTELLIGENCE IS BEING INSULTED DUE TO THEM THINKING THE PRICE IS TOO MUCH.

CHAIRMAN COPE RECESSED THE MEETING FOR LUNCH UNTIL 1:35 P. M.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS FOR LUNCH, CHAIRMAN COPE CALLED THE MEETING BACK TO ORDER. COMMISSIONER HALL READ A LETTER OF RESOLUTION TO THE CABINET OF GOVERNOR JEB BUSH REQUESTING ANY PRIVATE USE OF BECTON SPRINGS BE DENIED. COMMISSIONER HALL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION.

HAROLD VICKERS ADDRESSED THE BOARD ADVISING IF THEY ADOPTED THE RESOLUTION, HE FELT IT WOULD BE HARASSMENT OF A BUSINESS AND HE WAS GOING TO FILE LEGAL CHARGES.

SHIRLEY BROWN, VICKERS' SISTER, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON VICKERS HAVING WORKED HARD TO MAKE CYPRESS SPRINGS A BEAUTIFUL, RECREATIONAL PLACE WITHOUT ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, ETC.; HE WAS NOW WANTING TO DEVELOP BECTON SPRINGS THE SAME WAY AND THE COUNTY IS FIGHTING AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT THAT WOULD HELP THEM. SHE ADDRESSED VICKERS BEING HARASSED, CANOES HAVING BEEN SHOT AT, ETC. AND REQUESTED THE BOARD RECONSIDER.

FRANK CORSO QUESTIONED COMMISSIONER HALL ON WHO HAD WRITTEN THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION; COMMISSIONER HALL ADVISED HE DREW IT UP WITH THE HELP OF A LAWYER. CORSO QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY STILL HAD THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL ON RETAINER FOR THE BECTON SPRINGS ISSUE AND REFERENCED A RUMOR THEY NO LONGER WERE REPRESENTING THE COUNTY BECAUSE THE COUNTY COULD NOT AFFORD TO PAY THEM. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL WAS STILL REPRESENTING THE COUNTY; DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED SHE HAD PAID THEM ALL INVOICES SUBMITTED.

CORSO THEN QUESTIONED IF THE OUTSIDE ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE COUNTY WAS THE ONE WHO HELPED WRITE THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION; HALL ADVISED IT WAS ANOTHER LAWYER. CORSO THEN STATED HE THOUGHT THE OUTSIDE ATTORNEY SHOULD BE TAKING CARE OF THIS ISSUE AND NOT THE COMMISSIONER'S HAVING THEIR HAND IN IT; THE COUNTY SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN IN THIS TO BEGIN WITH. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE COUNTY HAD NOT REPRESENTED THEM IN THE CABINET HEARINGS BUT WITH THE DEP ISSUE.

COMMISSIONER HALL ADVISED HE HAD WENT TO TALLAHASSEE TO A MEETING WITH NO OTHER COMMISSIONER AND MADE A STATEMENT AT THE MEETING HE WAS NOT REPRESENTING THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. HE ADDRESSED HAVING INVITED MR. BOB SHIRLING TO THE MEETING AND VICKERS WAS PRESENT ALSO.

LARRY CARTER ADDRESSED:

1. THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY HAVING AGREED UNANIMOUSLY TO HIRE ATTORNEYS TO LOOK INTO THIS AND REPRESENT THE COUNTY.
2. THE COUNTY HAVING ALREADY SPENT \$70,000 IN THE PROCESS.
3. THE DEPARTMENT OF TITLES AND LANDS HAS DECLARED THE BYPASS CHANNEL NAVIGABLE AND SOVEREIGNABLE AND BELONGS TO THE PEOPLE.
4. MR. DAVID GUESS WAS THE ATTORNEY IN QUESTION AND IS NOT

COSTING THE COUNTY ANYTHING; GUESS IS FAMILIAR WITH ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES AND IS ADVISING THEM AT THIS.

5. THE COUNTY SPENDING \$70,000 SHOULD INDICATE THE COUNTY IS OPPOSED TO BECTON SPRINGS; THE RESOLUTION IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF UNANIMITY IN THIS MATTER. IT HAS BEEN DECLARED STATE WATERS AND DEP HAS NO AUTHORITY TO ISSUE PERMITS ON THOSE WATERS. CARTER REQUESTED THE BOARD'S CONSIDERATION FOR A UNANIMOUS SHOW OF SUPPORT ON THE RESOLUTION.

BOB SHIRLING ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIS ATTENDING THE MEETING IN TALLAHASSEE AT THE REQUEST OF DAVID GUESS AND COMMISSIONER HALL. HE REITERATED COMMISSIONER HALL HAVING MADE IT CLEAR HE WAS NOT SPEAKING FOR ANYONE ON THE BOARD AT THE MEETING. HE EXPRESSED THE SUPPORT OF THE BOARD ON THE RESOLUTION WOULD BE APPRECIATED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAVING GONE ON RECORD AS OPPOSING THE DEVELOPMENT OF BECTON SPRINGS AND QUESTIONED WHAT THE RESOLUTION WOULD REINFORCE ANYTHING THE BOARD HAS ALREADY DONE. SHIRLING ADVISED THE RESOLUTION WAS A STATEMENT SHOWING THE BOARD IS AWARE OF WHAT THEY HAVE SPENT AND ONCE IT HAS REACHED THE SOVEREIGN LEVEL THAT SHOWS IT IS PUBLIC LAND, THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION SHOWS THE BOARD'S SUPPORT AS A WHOLE; TO THE CABINET MEMBERS AT THE BEGINNING OF THEIR FIGHT ON THE DENIAL OF THE PROJECT, THE RESOLUTION IS TO CONFIRM THE UNANIMOUS CONFIRMATION THE BOARD IS STILL ASKING FOR THE PERMIT ON SOVEREIGN LANDS TO BE DENIED.

WHEN QUESTIONED BY CORSO IF COMMISSIONER HALL HAD BEEN ASKED TO BE AT THE MEETING, COMMISSIONER HALL ADVISED HE HAD BEEN NOTIFIED OF THE MEETING THE DAY BEFORE AND HAD FOUND OUT VICKERS ALREADY HAD A MEETING SCHEDULED. CORSO QUESTIONED IF ANYONE ELSE GOT TO SPEAK TO THE CABINET AIDES ON BEHALF OF THE OPPOSITION; COMMISSIONER HALL ADVISED VICKERS AND MS. SHIRLEY BROWN SPOKE ON THE ISSUE.

SHIRLING ADDRESSED THE BOARD AGAIN ADVISING HIS NAME WAS WILLIAM SHIRLING AND HE WAS A RESIDENT OF DOTHAN, ALABAMA BUT HE HAD GROWN UP IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA AND STILL HAD RELATIVES LIVING IN THE COUNTY; HOLMES CREEK HAD ALWAYS BEEN A PLACE OF SOLITUDE, RECREATION AND RELAXATION FOR HIM. HE AGREED IF THERE WAS A PROBLEM WITH HIM SPEAKING DUE TO HIM LIVING IN ALABAMA, HE WOULD STEP DOWN. HE THEN RESPONDED TO A QUESTION BY COMMISSIONER COPE AS TO WHOM WAS AT THE MEETING; HE FIRST ADVISED VICKERS HAD BEEN ALLOWED A PRIVATE MEETING WITH THE CABINET AIDES AND THEY HAD BEEN MADE AWARE OF IT AND HAD BEEN INVITED ALSO. HE ADVISED ALL OF THE CABINET AIDES AS FAR AS HE KNEW, THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR AND DIRECTOR OF THE CABINET AIDES, HIMSELF, DAVID GUESS, COMMISSIONER HALL, MS. SHIRLEY BROWN AND MR. VICKERS WAS PRESENT AT THE MEETING.

WHEN QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONER COPE ON WHAT THEY WERE TOLD BY THE CABINET AIDES, SHIRLING ADVISED THEY WERE NOT TOLD ANYTHING.

CORSO ADDRESSED HIM BEING TOLD THERE COULD BE NOTHING ELSE DONE WITH THE CABINET UNTIL SOME OTHER LEGAL MATTERS WERE SATISFIED; THAT WAS WHY A PREVIOUS CABINET MEETING HAD GOTTEN POSPONED UNTIL THE NEXT CABINET MEETING.

SHIRLING ADDRESSED THE BOARD AGAIN ON FUTURE CABINET DATES; AFTER THE JANUARY 12TH CABINET MEETING, THE FEBRUARY 6TH CABINET MEETING WAS POSTPONED UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE; ON JANUARY 23RD, IT WAS RESCHEDULED FOR FEBRUARY 6TH; THE CABINET AIDES WILL REVIEW THIS ISSUE ON JANUARY 31ST. SHIRLING ADVISED THIS CASE WOULD SET A PRECEDENCE FOR THE ENTIRE STATE OF FLORIDA. SHIRLING THEN ADDRESSED BIG DEVELOPERS AND LARGE PROPERTY OWNERS TRYING TO GET THE HIGH MEAN WATER LEVEL BROUGHT TO THE LOW MEAN WATER LEVEL; THIS WILL BASICALLY GIVE THEM DEVELOPING RIGHTS ALL THE WAY DOWN TO THE WATER AND GIVE THEM POSSESSION OF THE WATER; THEY CAN STOP NAVIGATION, RECREATION, ANYONE FROM TAKING THEIR BOAT AND GOING DOWN THE RIVER FISHING IF THE SOVEREIGN STATEHOOD ISSUES ARE REDUCED TO PRIVATE OWNERSHIP AND WHAT PEOPLE USE TO ENJOY WOULD BE OVERWITH. HE ALSO ADDRESSED IF A PERSON OWNS PROPERTY ON BOTH SIDES OF THE RIVER AT THE UPPER END AND THIS ISSUE GOES THROUGH, THE SOVEREIGN STATEHOOD IS REDUCED TO THE LOW MEAN

WATER LINE THE PROPERTY OWNER WOULD BASICALLY BE ABLE TO FENCE ACROSS THE CREEK AND NO ONE WOULD BE ABLE TO FLOAT UP THROUGH THE CREEK. HAROLD VICKERS STATED THIS WAS NOT TRUE.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADDRESSED HIM BEING AGAINST WHAT SHIRLING HAD SAID. HE ADVISED HE BELONGED TO THE CATTLEMAN'S ASSOCIATION AND THE ASSOCIATION IS FIGHTING THIS ISSUE NOW SO THEIR LAND WON'T BE TAKEN AWAY AND SET ASIDE SO THEY CAN'T USE IT. HE THEN ADVISED IF YOU GO TO THE HIGH WATER MARK, A LOT OF FARM LAND WILL BE TAKEN AWAY FROM THE PEOPLE AND THEY WANT IT TO STAY AT THE LOW WATER MARK SO THEY CAN USE THE LAND THEY PAY TAXES ON.

SHIRLING ADDRESSED THE HIGH WATER MARK WAS NOT TO TAKE THE LAND AWAY BUT IS THE SOVEREIGNTY AND PUBLIC ACCESS. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER REITERATED THE CATTLEMANS ASSOCIATION FIGHTING THIS ISSUE AND HIM BEING AGAINST THE HIGH WATER MARK BECAUSE PEOPLE SHOULD BE ABLE TO USE THE LAND THEY PAY TAXES ON.

VICKERS ADVISED SOVEREIGN LANDS DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH NAVIGATION; YOU CAN NAVIGATE LAND THAT IS NOT SOVEREIGN LANDS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED SHIRLING ON WHAT AUTHORITY WAS HE SAYING THIS CASE WOULD SET A PRECEDENCE FOR THE REST OF THE STATE. SHIRLING ADVISED IT WAS MADE AWARE TO HIM IN TALLAHASSEE THIS HAS BECOME A STATE ISSUE AND WOULD SET A STATE PRECEDENCE.

VICKERS ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING THIS WAS A DECISION THAT NEEDS TO BE MADE BY THE STATE AND NOT BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; THE SOVEREIGN LANDS ISSUE HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR YEARS.

LARRY CARTER RE-ADDRESSED THE BOARD TO EXPLAIN WHY BECTON SPRINGS SHOULD BE A COUNTY ISSUE: THE STATE IS MAKING UP THEIR MINDS WHAT IS BEST FOR OUR COUNTY'S WATER SHED AND WATER SUPPLY; THIS IS NONE OF THEIR BUSINESS NOR NONE OF DEP'S BUSINESS. HE ADDRESSED AN ANALOGY AND SAID THE PEOPLE IN TALLAHASSEE HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WASHINGTON COUNTY GOES THROUGH HERE AND WHAT OUR TRADITION AND HERITAGE IS. HE ALSO STATED 75% OF THE PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY BEING OPPOSED TO THE PERMITTING OF BECTON SPRINGS AND A 1,000 SIGNATURE PETITION THAT IS IN TALLAHASSEE; THE SIGNATURES WERE JUST FROM PETITIONS PUT IN RESTAURANTS, ETC.

SHIRLEY BROWN ADDRESSED THE 1,000 SIGNATURE PETITION REFERENCED BY CARTER WAS OBTAINED AT THE WATERMELON FESTIVAL; PEOPLE WOULD REGISTER FOR A BOAT MOTOR AND SIGN THE PETITION AGAINST CYPRESS SPRINGS AND BECTON SPRINGS.

CHAIRMAN COPE CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADDRESSED IF THE BOARD VOTED FOR THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION, THEY WOULD BE VOTING FOR THE CABINET TO MAKE AN ISSUE ON THE SOVERIGN RIGHTS ON THE LAND.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THEY WOULD BE VOTING FOR THE CABINET NOT TO ISSUE VICKERS THE RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT SOMETHING ON THEIR SOVEREIGN LAND; IF IT IS SOVEREIGN LANDS, VICKERS HAS TO GET A PERMIT FROM THE STATE TO PUT A STRUCTURE ON THEIR SOVEREIGN LANDS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERENCED THE FIRST SENTENCE OF THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION REQUESTING ANY PRIVATE USE OF BECTON SPRINGS BE DENIED; IF VICKERS DOES NOTHING WITH BECTON SPRINGS, HE WOULD STILL BE USING IT IN SOME FORM OF PRIVATE USE JUST BY THE CANOES. HE THEN REFERENCED VERNON CREEK IN GENERAL IS SOME SORT OF PRIVATE USE AND QUESTIONED IF THIS WOULD BE A PROBLEM. SHIRLEY BROWN ADVISED THIS BEING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

LARRY CARTER THEN ADDRESSED HIM NOT BEING ALLOWED TO GO INTO BECTON SPRINGS BECAUSE VICKERS WOULD NOT ALLOW ANYONE WHO HAS SIGNED A PETITION TO GO INTO THE SPRINGS. VICKERS DENIED THIS BEING TRUE.

COMMISSIONER HALL ADDRESSED HIM HAVING BEEN TOLD BY MR. GARY HARRIS THAT VICKERS HAD NOT ALLOWED HIM TO GO INTO THE SPRINGS; VICKERS ADVISED HARRIS HAD COME IN AND PUSHED HIM AROUND.

ON A ROLL CALL VOTE, COMMISSIONER HALL, BROCK, FINCH FOR AND COMMISSIONER ENFINGER AND COPE OPPOSED. THE MOTION CARRIED.

JAN MORRIS ADDRESSED HER HAVING SEEN MAJOR CHANGES IN THE CREEK THROUGH CANOE TRIPS; EACH TIME THEY WERE INTIMIDATED BY PEOPLE WITH VIDEO CAMERAS DRIVING AROUND SHOUTING AT THEM. SHE ADDRESSED HER FEELING SORRY FOR THOSE OPPOSING BECTON SPRINGS DEVELOPMENT AND FOR MR. VICKERS; BUT, VICKERS HAS USED A LOT OF INTIMIDATION AGAINST A LOT OF PEOPLE INCLUDING HERSELF AND HE WAS HIS OWN WORST ENEMY. IF VICKERS HAD BEEN A GOOD NEIGHBOR AND PEOPLE COULD SIT DOWN AND TALK ABOUT THE ISSUE, IT MIGHT NOT HAVE REACHED THIS LEVEL. SHE THEN ADDRESSED SAND NOW BLOCKING A LOT OF HOLMES CREEK THAT IS NOT SUPPOSE TO BE THERE; SHE TOLD HE BOARD TO GO BY AND TAKE A BOAT TO THE CREEK AND THEY WOULD SEE WHY IT NEEDED TO BE STOPPED.

LARRY CARTER QUESTIONED COMMISSIONER ENFINGER AND COPE IF THEY WOULD SIGN THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION; BOTH SAID THEY WOULD NOT SIGN IT DUE TO THEM HAVING VOTED AGAINST IT. CARTER ADDRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING PEOPLES LAND WENT TO THE MEAN HIGH WATER MARK IN A CREEK OR ANY BODY OF WATER BUT COMMISSIONER ENFINGER IS SAYING HE WANTS IT TO STAY AT THE MEIN LOW WATER MARK. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER REITERATED THE CATTLEMANS ASSOCIATION HAVING TRIED TO GET THE LEGISLATURE TO PASS SO IT WOULD BE BACK TO THE LOW WATER MARK; THEY ARE TAKING LAND USABLE FOR FARMING, ETC. AND AFTER THEY PAY TAXES ON IT NOT LETTING THEM USE IT. COMMISSIONER COPE AND ENFINGER ADDRESSED PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS BEING VERY IMPORTANT.

JAN MORRIS ADDRESSED PEOPLE LOSING THE NAVIGABLE USE OF A LARGE PIECE OF WATER THAT BELONG TO ALL THE PEOPLE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADDRESSED HIM HAVING GONE TO THE SPRINGS AND IT MAYBE POSSIBLE TO FLOAT A BOAT IN IT AT HIGH WATER BUT YOU CAN'T FLOAT A BOAT NOW. COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE CREEK BEING AT ITS LOWEST POINT IN HISTORY AT THE PRESENT TIME.

LARRY CARTER ADVISED WITH THE CREEK BEING AT ITS LOWEST POINT, HE IS STILL ABLE TO GET HIS BOAT IN WITH NO PROBLEM. VICKERS ADVISED HE WAS NOT BLOCKING THE CREEK; HE WAS JUST MOVING IT OVER BY 100'. DUE TO ACTION ALREADY BEING TAKEN ON THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION, THE BOARD CONTINUED WITH OTHER COUNTY BUSINESS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY BEGAN WITH HIS REPORT:

1. LEON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ARE WANTING THE BOARD TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THEIR EFFORTS TO GET VOTING RIGHTS RESTORED TO FELONS WHO HAVE SERVED THEIR TIME. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD THE FELONS HAVE A RIGHT TO GET THEIR CIVIL RIGHTS RESTORED ANYWAY. THE BOARD TOOK NO ACTION ON THE RESOLUTION.
2. PROVIDED THE PARKING PERMIT FOR HOWARD TILLER, JR. WHO HAD A HUNTING LEASE ADJOINING THE COUNTY PROPERTY AT MUD HILL AND HAS ASKED THE RIGHT TO PARK HIS VEHICLE ON THE COUNTY PROPERTY CLOSE TO THE FIRING RANGE; COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THIS WAS THE REQUEST FOR TILLER TO PARK HIS VEHICLE AND WALK ACROSS COUNTY PROPERTY. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THIS WAS CORRECT AND TILLER WOULD BE HOLDING THE COUNTY HARMLESS FROM ANYONE GETTING HURT. COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED HOW LONG THE LEASE WAS FOR; ATTORNEY HOLLEY READ PARAGRAPH THREE OF THE AGREEMENT STATING IT CAN BE TERMINATED BY THE COUNTY OR THE HUNTER ANYTIME. THE PERMIT INCLUDED OTHER PERSONS WHO HAD A HUNTING LEASE ON THE SAME PROPERTY. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE PARKING PERMIT.
2. ATTORNEY HOLLEY PROVIDED THE SURVEYING AGREEMENT; HE UNDERSTOOD THE FEES HAD NOT BEEN NEGOTIATED AS OF YET WHICH WILL HAVE TO BE INCLUDED AS AN EXHIBIT ATTACHED TO THE AGREEMENT. HE ADVISED THE BOARD THEY COULD NOT

SIGN THE CONTRACT UNTIL FEES HAVE BEEN NEGOTIATED BUT THEY COULD AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK TO SIGN THE SURVEYING AGREEMENT ONCE THIS HAS BEEN DONE. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER RECOMMENDED THE COMMITTEE GO AHEAD AND NEGOTIATE THE FEES AND BRING BACK A RECOMMENDATION AT THE FEBRUARY 8TH MEETING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED WHERE THE #1 RANKED FIRM'S HOME OFFICE WAS LOCATED DUE TO MOST PEOPLE HE HAS ASKED ABOUT THEM HAVE NEVER HEARD OF THEM; COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED HE THOUGHT THEIR HOME OFFICE WAS LOCATED IN ORLANDO BUT THEY HAD AN OFFICE IN CHIPLEY, PANAMA CITY, ETC. AND IT IS LOCAL PEOPLE THAT ARE WORKING IN THE CHIPLEY OFFICE. COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ENFINGER AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN IT ONCE THE FEES ARE NEGOTIATED. COMMISSIONER BROCK AND HALL OPPOSED.

3. ATTORNEY HOLLEY PROVIDED THE AGREEMENT ON THE DEVELOPMENT PHILLIP PIPPIN AND JAMES SMALLEY HAS. HE ADVISED HE HAD MODIFIED THE AGREEMENT FROM WHAT THEIR ATTORNEY SENT TO HIM; THEY HAD LESS LOTS LISTED THAN HE HAS ON THE MODIFIED AGREEMENT THAT ARE AFFECTED BY THE POTENTIAL PROBLEM. HE HAD PROVIDED IT TO THE ENGINEER AND THE ENGINEER HAD REVIEWED IT AND RECOMMENDED THE ADDITIONAL LOTS BE ADDED. HOLLEY ALSO ADVISED ONCE THE COUNTY HAS ENACTED IT, IT WILL HAVE TO BE SENT BACK AND THEY WILL HAVE TO SIGN IT BEFORE IT BECOMES OFFICIAL. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND BRICKYARD INVESTMENTS ON ROADS WITHIN PINE BLUFF AT FALLING WATERS.
4. ATTORNEY HOLLEY PROVIDED AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE BOARD AND THE ORANGE HILL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT CONCERNING THE CONTRACT ORANGE HILL HAS WITH NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT TO MAINTAIN WATER MANAGEMENT'S RECREATION AREAS. HE ADVISED THE CONTRACT PROVIDES THE COUNTY WILL EMPLOY AN INMATE SUPERVISOR AND ORANGE HILL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT WILL REIMBURSE THE SALARY OF THAT EMPLOYEE AND TAKE CARE OF SUPERVISING THE WORK CREW AND SEEING THE WORK IS DONE; ACTUALLY THE COUNTY WILL BE REIMBURSED FOR MORE THAN THE EMPLOYEE COSTS. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION TO APPROVE OF THE AGREEMENT.
DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE EMPLOYEE BEING STRICTLY UNDER ORANGE HILL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION, WORKING IN FOUR DIFFERENT COUNTIES AND THE INMATE CREW COMING FROM CARYVILLE WORKCAMP. COMMISSIONER BROCK SECONDED THE MOTION.
DISCUSSION HELD ON WHETHER THE EMPLOYEE WOULD COME UNDER COUNTY POLICY IF HE HAD A GRIEVANCE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED THE EMPLOYEE WOULD BE A COUNTY EMPLOYEE AND COULD COME BACK TO THE BOARD ON ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE WORK CREW. IT WAS DISCUSSED THAT SOIL CONSERVATION WOULD ALSO BE DOING THE EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION. THE MOTION CARRIED.
5. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT HAVING HAD REQUESTS FROM PEOPLE WANTING TO ADOPT THE COUNTY ROADS TO BEAUTIFY THEM AND KEEP THE TRASH PICKED UP; HOLLEY HAD PREPARED A POLICY BUT ADDRESSED A PARAGRAPH NEEDED TO BE ADDED TO ALLOW THE COUNTY TO TERMINATE IT AND TAKE THE

SIGNS DOWN AT ANY TIME SHOULD PERSONS STOP KEEPING THE ROAD CLEAN.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE NEED FOR THE FUTURE AND THE PAST TO HAVE SOMETHING WHERE A SIGN CAN BE REMOVED IF THE PERSONS HAVE NOT DONE ANYTHING TO THE ROAD. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THIS COULD BE DONE WITHOUT ANY POLICY IF THE BOARD VOTE TO DO SO.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD NEEDED TO APPROVE THE POLICY WITH THE CHANGE HE ADDRESSED AND HE WOULD REDRAFT IT AND GET IT BACK TO THE BOARD FOR SIGNATURES. COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL TO APPROVE OF THE POLICY ON ADOPT THE ROAD PROGRAM WITH THE ADDITION INCLUDED ADDRESSED BY ATTORNEY HOLLEY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIM BEING AGAINST THE COUNTY PROVIDING AND ERECTING THE APPROPRIATE SIGNS.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THIS ISSUE WITH ATTORNEY HOLLEY SUGGESTING MAKING A SIGN FOR A STICK ON FOR A NAME SO IT CAN BE TAKEN OFF AND THE SIGN REUSED. ATTORNEY

HOLLEY ALSO PROVIDED A RESOLUTION PERSONS WOULD SIGN IF THEY WISHED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE ADOPT A ROAD PROGRAM.

LINDA WALLER ADVISED CHAIRMAN COPE SHE WAS TOLD WHEN SHE FIRST STARTED WORKING WITH THE COUNTY, THE PERSON ORDERED THE SIGN; THE ROAD DEPARTMENT DID THE SIGN FOR THEM BUT THE PERSON HAD TO PAY FOR IT.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO INCLUDE IN THE POLICY THE COUNTY WILL MAKE AND INSTALL THE SIGNS AND THE PERSON ADOPTING THE ROAD WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PAYING FOR THE SIGNS AND EITHER PARTY WILL BE ABLE TO TERMINATE THE AGREEMENT AT ANY TIME. THE MOTION CARRIED.

6. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED THE LITIGATION WITH BAY MEDICAL ON GERALD JERARD; IT IS SET FOR TRIAL SOMETIME IN FEBRUARY. COMMISSIONER HALL, AT ATTORNEY HOLLEY'S REQUEST, HAD TALKED WITH SOME PEOPLE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD WHERE JERARD SUPPOSEDLY LIVED. BASED ON WHAT COMMISSIONER HALL HAS INFORMED HIM, ATTORNEY HOLLEY RECOMMENDED THE COUNTY GO AHEAD AND SETTLE IT; EVERYBODY SAYS JERARD LIVES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND IT PROBABLY CAN'T BE PROVED HE DIDN'T. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED BAY MEDICAL IS ASKING FOR \$40,000+ DOLLARS AND THERE IS \$79,000 LEFT IN THE BUDGET; ONCE THE BUDGETED AMOUNT HAS EXHAUSTED, THE BOARD IS NOT LIABLE FOR ANY MORE EXPENSES THIS YEAR.

COMMISSIONER HALL ADVISED THE BOARD NEEDED TO SPEAK TO MR. JOHN ALLEN, NORTHWEST FLORIDA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATOR, DUE TO IT HAVING BEEN DETERMINED THE BOARD WOULD ONLY HAVE TO PAY THE MEDICARE PER DIEM RATE FOR A CERTAIN NUMBER OF DAYS. THE BOARD REQUESTED THE AMOUNT TO BE PAID BE DETERMINED WITH MS. WALLER AGREEING TO HAVE LATRELL WILLIAMS GET THIS INFORMATION.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADDRESSED PEOPLE HAVING CONTACTED HIM ON THE SEMINOLES COMING INTO SUNNY HILLS; MOST PEOPLE DO NOT HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THIS UNLESS IT BECOMES SOVEREIGN LAND. HE BROUGHT THIS TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION FOR THEIR REVIEW. CHAIRMAN COPE REQUESTED ATTORNEY HOLLEY GET SOME INFORMATION ON THIS ISSUE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED THE BOARD COULD SEND A RESOLUTION IF THEY ADOPTED ONE TO WHOMEVER THE SEMINOLES WILL BE APPLYING TO; COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADVISED THE SEMINOLES WOULD BE APPLYING TO THE FEDERAL DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR. ENFINGER REFERENCED A RESOLUTION COULD BE SENT STATING THE BOARD DID NOT WANT ANY SOVEREIGN LANDS DEVELOPED IN WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR ANY REASON.

LINDA WALLER BEGAN WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT'S REPORT DUE TO PETER HERBERT HAVING LEFT AT LUNCH:

1. CHANGES TO THE COMMUNITY BASED PROJECTS PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED; ADDING \$173,000 FOR AG CENTER AND INCREASING COURTHOUSE FROM \$100,000 TO \$300,000.00. MAKING TOTAL FUNDING REQUEST FOR COMMUNITY BASED PROJECTS \$1,073,000.00. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE FOLLOWING CHANGES TO THE REQUEST FOR FUNDING OF COMMUNITY BASED PROJECTS:

ADD AGRICULTURE CENTER	\$173,000
ADD \$200,000 TO COURTHOUSE IMPROVEMENTS	\$200,000
TOTAL CHANGES	\$373,000
2. LEAVE POLICY CHANGE-PRESENT POLICY ALLOWS CARRYING OVER 240 HOURS WITH THE DEADLINE BEING DECEMBER 31ST TO USE ANY LEAVE OVER THE 240 HOURS; THE POLICY CHANGE WOULD ALLOW THE EXTENSION OF THE DEADLINE TO JANUARY 15TH. COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ENFINGER AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE LEAVE POLICY CHANGE.
3. NEW LIBRARY UPDATE-\$146,000 HAS BEEN RAISED TOO DATE FOR THE NEW LIBRARY WITH THEIR GOAL BEING \$200,000.00; START OF CONSTRUCTION HAS BEEN PROJECTED FOR AUGUST; LIBRARY IS LOOKING AT THE SALE OF BRICKS FOR NEW LIBRARY AS FUND RAISER.
4. ARCHITECT-NEW LIBRARY: CRA WILL BE THE ARCHITECTURAL FIRM FOR THE LIBRARY; MR. DAGRIN FROM MADISON WISCONSIN WILL BE CONSULTANT FOR NEW LIBRARY.
5. HUNTS INSURANCE CLAIMS-RESEARCH ON HOW MANY PER INCIDENT, PER INMATE CLAIMS OVER \$5,000 REVEALED THERE WERE NONE DATING BACK TO 1992. DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERING A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO STAY WITH THE \$20,000 DEDUCTIBLE FOR INMATE MEDICAL INSURANCE WITH HUNTS INSURANCE.
6. CONTRACT FOR FIRE INSPECTIONS FOR COUNTY BUILDINGS-CHAIRMAN COPE ADDRESSED THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT IS GOING TO TRY AND GET INFORMATION ON WHAT FIRE INSPECTION COSTS ARE ELSEWHERE; A FIRE INSPECTOR IS GOING AND INSPECTING BUILDINGS; PEOPLE DO NOT REALIZE THEY HAVE TO HAVE THE INSPECTIONS AND ARE GETTING A BILL IN THE MAIL FOR SERVICES RENDERED. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON WHETHER THE COUNTY WAS REQUIRED TO DO THE FIRE INSPECTIONS; ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE COUNTY WAS REQUIRED TO DO FIRE INSPECTIONS BUT HE WOULD HAVE TO CHECK THE STATUTES ON WHAT BUILDINGS IT COVERS. HE ALSO ADVISED ANY BUSINESS OWNER COULD HIRE THEIR OWN QUALIFIED INSPECTOR TO DO THEIR BUILDINGS AS LONG AS THEY ARE QUALIFIED AND LICENSED. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS MORE INFORMATION WAS NEEDED ON REQUIREMENTS FOR FIRE INSPECTIONS; ATTORNEY HOLLEY AGREED TO REVIEW THE STATUTES AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD.
7. MEDICAL EXAMINER SEARCH COMMITTEE-CHAIRMAN COPE ADDRESSED HIM NEEDING TO APPOINT SOMEONE TO SET ON THE COMMITTEE. HE EXPLAINED THERE IS A MEDICAL EXAMINER PRESENTLY FILLING IN AND THINKS HE HAS AGREED TO STAY. CHAIRMAN COPE APPOINTED COMMISSIONER BROCK TO THE COMMITTEE.

SHERIFF FRED PEEL ADDRESSED A PROPOSAL FROM THE CITY OF CHIPLEY ON ANIMAL CONTROL FOR THE BOARD TO CONSIDER:

THEY ARE PROPOSING TO GIVE OR LEASE TO THE COUNTY THE ANIMAL

CONTROL FACILITY, MAN AND ALL THE EQUIPMENT AND ALL HIS TRAINING FOR \$1.00 PER YEAR; MAN WOULD DO ANIMAL CONTROL FOR WHOLE COUNTY AND CITY AND THE CITY WOULD SUPPLEMENT \$10,000 PER YEAR FOR THEIR PART OF THE CITY'S EFFORT. THE CITY'S BUDGET FOR ANIMAL CONTROL IS APPROXIMATELY \$39,000. THE BOARD WOULD HAVE THE OPTION TO NEGOTIATE WITH ANY OTHER INCORPORATED CITIES TO FURTHER OFFSET THE COST TO THE COUNTY.

CHAIRMAN COPE ADVISED HE WOULD LIKE A COMMITTEE TO BE APPOINTED TO LOOK AT THE PROPOSAL, TRY TO NEGOTIATE BACK WITH THE CITY OF CHIPLEY AND COME UP WITH SOME TYPE OF ORDINANCE.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON ANIMAL CONTROL ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN TAKING PLACE.

TOMMY McDONALD, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF CHIPLEY, ADVISED THE CITY WOULD BE WILLING TO WORK WITH THE BOARD ANY WAY POSSIBLE ON ANIMAL CONTROL.

SHERIFF PEEL ADDRESSED THE NEED TO HAVE SOME WAY TO DEAL WITH PEOPLE WHO DO NOT TAKE CARE OF THEIR ANIMALS THAT BECOME A NUISANCE AND ARE DANGEROUS.

CHAIRMAN COPE APPOINTED THE FOLLOWING PEOPLE TO SERVE ON THE COMMITTEE:

1. SHERIFF FRED PEEL, CHAIRMAN
2. FRANK CORSO
3. DAVID CORBIN
4. WENDELL JOHNSON
5. JOHN HALL

SHERIFF PEEL AGREED TO CONTACT THE HUMANE SOCIETY TO SIT IN ON THE MEETING AND TO CONTACT THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND SCHEDULE THE MEETING.

CHAIRMAN COPE APPOINTED LYNDA WALLER TO SERVE AS AN ALTERNATE IN CASE ONE OF THE OTHER MEMBERS CAN'T ATTEND.

COMMISSIONER HALL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ENFINGER FOR HIGHWAY 179'S STRIPING TO COME OUT OF THE ROAD AND BRIDGE STRIPING BUDGET RATHER THAN COMMISSIONER HALL'S DISTRICT MONIES AS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED.

THE BOARD AGREED NEARING HILLS STRIPING NEEDED TO COME FROM THE ROAD AND BRIDGE STRIPING BUDGET BUT IT WAS NOT DETERMINED ON WHERE THE OTHER STRIPING WOULD COME FROM.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADDRESSED THE BOARD AT THEIR DECEMBER MEETING HAVING VOTED TO DIVIDE THE \$200,000 BUDGETED IN FUTURE ROAD PAVING AND THE \$95,000 PLUS INTEREST MONIES EARNED ON THE ROAD LOAN MONIES. SHE QUESTIONED THE BOARD IF THEY WANTED PUBLIC WORKS OR BOARD FINANCE TO KEEP UP WITH EACH DISTRICT'S ALLOCATION. DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH THE CONSENSUS BEING FOR THE BOARD FINANCE TO KEEP UP WITH THESE MONIES THE SAME WAY THEY DID THE DISTRICT LOAN MONIES.

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR ON CR 179 STRIPING TO BE PAID FROM ROAD AND BRIDGE STRIPING BUDGET CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIS CONCERNS WITH PROBLEMS AT HICKS LAKE WITH FOUR WHEEL DRIVE TRUCKS DESTROYING THE LAKE BOTTOMS AND FELT THE COUNTY SHOULD TRY AND PROTECT THE LAKE BOTTOMS. GENE HALEY WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ADVISED THEY HAD BEEN ADDRESSING THESE ISSUES ON THE LAKES UNDER NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT THROUGH THE DISTRICT'S ORDINANCE; HOWEVER, THERE ARE A LOT OF LAKES AND WOODS OUTSIDE OF WATER MANAGEMENT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE COUNTY NEEDING AN ORDINANCE TO PROTECT THE LAKE BOTTOMS. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON WHAT KIND OF FINES COULD BE IMPOSED ON A PERSON FOR DESTROYING THE LAKES BOTTOMS, THERE BEING EXTINCT FIRE AND OTHER BUSHES AROUND THE SAND HILLS LAKES. SHERIFF PEEL AGREED TO LOOK INTO THESE ISSUES TO SEE WHAT COULD BE DONE TO ADDRESS THE PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED HE HAD MET WITH GEORGE FISHER OF NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT AND THEY ARE SETTING UP A PROGRAM TO CLEAN UP THE LAKES SINCE THEY HAVE DRIED UP; FISHER ASKED IF THEY COLLECTED THE GARBAGE FROM THESE LAKES WOULD THE COUNTY BE WILLING TO SET UP A DUMPSTER AND DISPOSE OF THE GARBAGE.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE AG CENTER HAVING AN ESTIMATE OF \$3,500 TO SEAL THEIR PARKING LOT; DON WALTERS IS REQUESTING THE BOARD PAY FOR THIS TO BE

DONE WITH SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT REIMBURSING THE COUNTY. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADVISED WALTERS HAD AGREED TO PAY THE COST HIMSELF IF SOIL AND WATER CON- SERVATION DID NOT REIMBURSE THE COUNTY; HE ALSO ADVISED BOB BOOTH HAD THE CONTRACT ON THE PARKING LOT PROJECT. DUE TO CONCERNS EXPRESSED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH ON HAVING SOMETHING IN WRITING STATING SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION WOULD REIMBURSE THE COUNTY, COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND IT CARRIED TO PAY THE \$3,500 CONTINGENT ON GETTING A LETTER FROM SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT STATING THEY WOULD REIMBURSE THE COUNTY.

CONTINUATION OF COMPUTER CONTRACTS WITH THE TAX COLLECTOR, PROPERTY APPRAISER AND CLERK OF COURT WAS ADDRESSED BY DEPUTY CLERK CARTER; THE CONTRACTS WERE THE SAME AS IN PREVIOUS YEARS. COM- MISSIONER HALL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE COMPUTER CONTRACTS.

CHAIRMAN COPE ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAVING APPROVED UP TO \$2,500 FOR A BUILDING AT DANIELS LAKE PARK, THE PROJECT IS RUNNING OVER THIS AMOUNT. DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERING A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE UP TO \$3,700 FOR THE DANIELS LAKE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER HALL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF VOUCHERS SIGNED AND WARRANTS ISSUED FOR THE MONTH OF DECEMBER 2000 TOTTALLING \$1,699,203.61.

TOMMY MCDONALD, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF CHIPLEY, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON A PROSPECT FOR THE NEW COUNTY INDUSTRIAL PARK; THEY NEED A 30,000 SQUARE FOOT BUILDING. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING GRANTS AVAILABLE TO BUILD THESE BUILDINGS; THE CITY OF CHIPLEY IS GETTING \$600,000 FROM EDA AND MATCHING IT WITH CDBG MONIES TO GET TWO METAL BUILDINGS FOR WEST POINT STEVENS AND THIS OPPORTUNITY IS AVAILABLE TO THE COUNTY. MCDONALD ADVISED THE BOARD THE CDBG MONIES HAVE TO BE PAID BACK BUT THE EDA IS FREE; IF THE BUILDING IS EMPTY FOR ANY REASON, YOU DON'T HAVE TO MAKE A PAYMENT ON THE CDBG MONIES AND ONLY HAVE TO PAY BACK HALF OF WHAT THE RENT IS. THIS WOULD PRODUCE A REVENUE STREAM OF APPROXIMATELY \$100,000 PER YEAR UNTIL THE BUILDING IS PAID OFF AND THEN IT WOULD BE \$200,000 PER YEAR; THE PROSPECT IS WILLING TO SIGN A FIVE YEAR LEASE ON THE BUILDING.

MCDONALD ADVISED HE HAD TALKED WITH THE STATE TODAY AND THEY INFORMED HIM THE PAPERWORK IS IN PROCESS FOR THE DEED TO THE PROPERTY. HE ALSO ADVISED THE GRANT PROCESS COULD BE STOPPED ALL THE WAY UP UNTIL IT GETS TIME FOR THE COUNTY TO ACCEPT THE GRANT.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH TO PURSUE THE GRANT FUNDING. COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE COUNTY ALREADY PURSUING CDBG GRANT FUNDING; MCDONALD ADVISED THE CDBG FUNDS FOR THE INDUSTRIAL PARK IS FUNDING TIED TO JOBS AND IS DIFFERENT FROM THE OTHER CDBG MONIES THE COUNTY IS WORKING ON.

WHEN QUESTIONED IF THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH WATER AND SEWER, MCDONALD ADVISED THERE WERE PROBLEMS BUT THE CITY WAS WORKING WITH THE COUNTY ENGINEER ON THESE ISSUES. MCDONALD HAS ASKED THE CITY PEOPLE IF THEY COULD TEMPORARILY PUT SOMETHING IN FOR THIS BUSINESS. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADDRESSED RESOLUTIONS NEEDED TO BE ADOPTED AND ADVERTISED ON THE ROAD CLOSINGS THE BOARD TOOK ACTION ON AT THEIR DECEMBER 21, 2000 MEETING; DEAL ROAD AND PORTIONS OF GREENHEAD PLAT. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL AND CARRIED TO ADOPT AND ADVERTISE THE RESOLUTIONS.

COMMISSIONER HALL ADDRESSED ON FEBRUARY 6TH HE WOULD BE GOING TO TALLAHASSEE ON THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION ON BECTON SPRINGS AND HE WOULD LIKE PERMISSION TO REPRESENT THE OTHER TWO COMMISSIONERS AND THE PEOPLE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AT THE GOVERNOR'S CABINET MEETING. COMMISSIONER ENFINGER ADDRESSED DUE TO THE ISSUES OF SOVEREIGN LANDS AND WHAT THE STATE IS TRYING TO TAKE AWAY FROM HIM, HE CAN'T AGREE TO THIS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED COMMISSIONER HALL THE PROBLEM WAS THE WORDING OF THE RESOLUTION AND IF THE RESOLUTION WAS WORDED JUST TO BE SIGNED BY THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK, THERE WOULDN'T BE ANY INDICATION OF WHAT THE VOTE WAS; MOST RESOLUTIONS ARE ADOPTED FOR ONLY THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK TO SIGN.

COMMISSIONER HALL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO CHANGE THE FORM OF THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE BOARD TO WHERE ONLY THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK WOULD HAVE TO SIGN IT. COMMISSIONER COPE AND ENFINGER OPPOSED. COMMISSIONER HALL REQUESTED LINDA WALLER REWRITE THE RESOLUTION SO IT CAN BE SIGNED BY THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK.

CORSO REQUESTED ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS MAKE A MOTION THAT THE CABINET BE MADE AWARE TWO COMMISSIONERS VOTED AGAINST THE LETTER OF RESOLUTION. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED CORSO, ONCE THE MAJORITY OF THE BOARD ADOPTS A RESOLUTION, IT IS A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER ENFINGER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HALL AND CARRIED TO RECESS UNTIL 4:00 P. M. ON FEBRUARY 8TH.

ATTEST: _____
CLERK

CHAIRMAN

ATTEST: _____
DEPUTY CLERK

END OF MINUTES FOR 01/25/01