

BOARD MINUTES FOR 12/16/04

DECEMBER 16, 2004

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 8:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS FINCH, COPE, CORBIN, SAPP AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY GERALD HOLLEY, ADMINISTRATOR PETER HERBERT, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE CARTER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

SHERIFF FRED PEEL PROCLAIMED THE MEETING WITH CHAIRMAN FINCH CALLING THE MEETING TO ORDER. COMMISSIONER SAPP OFFERED PRAYER AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LED IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH NAMED THE CHANGES TO THE LIST OF LIASON APPOINTMENTS THAT HAD BEEN PROVIDED TO THE BOARD:

1. RC & D/COMMISSIONER SAPP
2. COUNCIL ON AGING/COMMISSIONER COPE
3. TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL-COMMISSIONER CORBIN
4. TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGE-COMMISSIONER CORBIN

HE THEN READ THE ENTIRE LIST OF LIASON APPOINTMENTS AND REQUESTED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT MAKE THE CHANGES TO THE LIST AND PROVIDE IT TO ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS.

ED PELLETIER, COUNTRY OAKS FIRE DEPARTMENT, ADVISED THAT COMMISSIONER COPE HAD BEEN THE BOARD REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS. CHAIRMAN FINCH ADVISED THAT ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAD TAKEN COMMISSIONER COPE'S APPOINTMENT FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ANNOUNCED THE DEDICATION OF THE WHITE OAK CHURCH WOULD BE HELD AT 1:00 P.M. ON THIS DATE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT THE OCTOBER 28, 2004 MINUTES AND HAVE DISCUSSION ON THE NOVEMBER 12, 2004 MINUTES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN, DUE TO HIM NOT SEEING ANYTHING IN THE MINUTES OF AN EMERGENCY NATURE TO CALL A MEETING TWO DAYS BEFORE THE OLD BOARD LEFT, OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO RESCIND ALL ACTION OF THE NOVEMBER 12, 2004 MEETING.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED COULDN'T THE CHAIR CALL A MEETING AT ANY TIME. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE CHAIR COULD CALL A MEETING IF THERE WAS PROPER NOTIFICATION; HOWEVER, ALL THE PRESS WAS NOT NOTIFIED AND THE CHAIR IS SUPPOSE TO CALL A MEETING WITH SOMETHING IN MIND FOR THE BETTERMENT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE IN THE BEST INTEREST TO WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR THE ACTIONS OF THE NOVEMBER 12TH MEETING TO BE RESCINDED AND BE BROUGHT UP AT A MEETING WHEN THEY ARE PROPERLY ADVERTISED AND EVERYONE PRESENT, IF POSSIBLE. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING NO AGENDA PREPARED FOR THE NOVEMBER 12TH MEETING.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF THE MEETING WAS PROPERLY ADVERTISED. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID IF THE THINGS THE ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARY, SUSAN LAWSON, SAID HAPPENED ACTUALLY HAPPENED, THE MEETING WAS PROPERLY ADVERTISED; HOWEVER, HE HAS NO WAY OF KNOWING. SUSAN HAS ADVISED HIM SHE POSTED IT ON THE BULLETIN BOARD THE FRIDAY BEFORE THE MEETING, A WEEK PRIOR, AND SHE HAD CONFIRMATION THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE NEWS MEDIA WAS NOTIFIED, WHICH WAS THE BUGLE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE PAPER SUPPORTED BY THE COUNTY AS THE GENERAL CIRCULATION OF THE COUNTY IS WHAT PEOPLE LOOK AT; THE BUGLE AND FOSTER FOLLEYS HAS A WEBSITE BUT THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE THIS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE LAW REQUIRES REASONABLE PUBLIC NOTICE BE PROVIDED; IT DOES NOT LITERALLY REQUIRE ANY OR ALL THE NEWSPAPERS BE NOTIFIED.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED COULDN'T THE REASONABLE PUBLIC NOTICE BE THE POSTING OF A MEETING AT THE COURTHOUSE OR THE COUNTY ANNEX. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THERE WERE CASES THAT HOLD THAT IF IT IS PUBLISHED IN THE NORMAL PLACE WHERE MEETINGS ARE PUBLISHED FOR THAT TYPE MEETING, IT IS A PUBLIC NOTICE.

BETH, REPRESENTING THE BUGLE, ADVISED THEY WERE NOTIFIED OF THE SPECIAL CALLED MEETING BUT WAS NOT SURE IF IT WAS LEGALLY ADVERTISED OR NOT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY REITERATED THE COUNTY WAS NOT REQUIRED TO ADVERTISE A MEETING BUT TO PROVIDE REASONABLE PUBLIC NOTICE; THE ONLY TIME THEY ARE REQUIRED TO ADVERTISE A MEETING IS WHEN THEY WILL HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING ON AN ISSUE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN READ ARTICLE IV OF A PAPER HE HAD ON PUBLIC MEETINGS; THE USE OF A PRESS RELEASE AND/OR PHONE CALLS TO THE WIRE SERVICE AND TO THE OTHER MEDIA ARE HIGHLY EFFECTIVE ON MATTERS OF CRITICAL PUBLIC CONCERNS SUCH AS REZONING, BUDGETING, TAXATION, APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC OFFICIALS, OFFICERS ELECT TO ADVERTISE IN A NEWSPAPER OF GENERAL CIRCULATION WOULD BE APPROPRIATE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED SUSAN AND THE MEDIA IF IT WAS A NORMAL PRACTICE THE MEDIA IS NOTIFIED IN SOME FORM PRIOR TO ANY MEETING THE BOARD HOLDS. HE INFORMED SUSAN THE DISCUSSION OF THE BOARD WAS SHE HAD WENT THROUGH THE PROPER NOTIFICATION OF THE SPECIAL CALLED MEETING. COMMISSIONER FINCH REQUESTED SUSAN, FOR THE RECORD, STATE EXACTLY WHAT SHE DID AS FAR AS NOTIFYING THE PRESS. SUSAN REPORTED:

1. MR. HERBERT TOLD HER THERE WAS GOING TO BE A SPECIAL CALLED MEETING ON NOVEMBER 12TH
 2. SHE PREPARED HER PUBLIC NOTICE AND FAXED IT TO THE NEWSPAPER; IT WAS CLOSE TO 4:00 P.M. WHILE THE FAX MACHINE WAS FAXING TO THE NEWSPAPER, SHE WENT AROUND THE COUNTY ANNEX AND POSTED IT ON ALL THE ENTRANCE DOORS AND ON THE BULLETIN BOARD IN THE LOBBY
 3. BY THE TIME SHE FINISHED THIS, IT WAS 4:00 P.M., SHE LOCKED UP AND WENT HOME UNTIL MONDAY MORNING
 4. SHE HAD CALLED BETH WITH THE BUGLE TO LET HER KNOW SHE WOULD BE FAXING THE PUBLIC NOTICE TO HER
- COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF SHE CALLED THE NEWSPAPER TO TELL THEM SHE WOULD BE FAXING THE PUBLIC NOTICE TO THEM, LAWSON SAID SHE DIDN'T.
5. SHE CALLED MALCOLM GAINEY, COMPUTER DEPARTMENT, TO HAVE HIM PUT IT ON THE WEBSITE.
 6. SHE CALLED KATHY FOSTER TO FIND OUT WHAT HER FAX NUMBER WAS AND FAXED THE PUBLIC NOTICE TO HER

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO SUSAN CALLING FOSTER FOLLIES AND THE BUGLE TO LET THEM KNOW SHE WAS FAXING SOMETHING BUT SHE DIDN'T CALL THE CHIPLEY NEWSPAPER. SUSAN SAID SHE CALLED FOSTER FOLLIES BECAUSE SHE DIDN'T HAVE THEIR FAX NUMBER; SHE CALLED MS. BETH WITH THE BUGLE BECAUSE SHE DOESN'T NORMALLY FAX THEM ANYTHING. SHE SAID SHE HAD ALWAYS FAXED TO THE NEWSPAPER AND HAD NEVER HAD A PROBLEM WITH THEM GETTING HER FAXES; SHE DIDN'T REALIZE THEIR FAX MACHINE WAS BUSY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED SUSAN IF SHE PREPARED AN AGENDA FOR THE NOVEMBER 12TH MEETING; SHE SAID SHE DID NOT. CORBIN SAID NORMALLY THERE IS AN AGENDA AND THIS IS JUST ANOTHER EXCEPTION; NOBODY KNEW WHAT WAS COMING UP AT THE SPECIAL MEETING, EVEN THOSE WHO RECEIVED THE PUBLIC NOTICE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE HAD ASKED A COUPLE OF TIMES DURING THE WEEK, OR MORE THAN THAT, FOR AN AGENDA AND ENDED UP WITH A VERBAL DISCUSSION THE DAY BEFORE THE MEETING WITH ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AS TO WHAT WAS GOING TO BE BROUGHT UP; HOWEVER, HE STILL DIDN'T KNOW UNTIL HE GOT TO THE MEETING EXACTLY WHAT ALL WAS GOING TO BE DISCUSSED. THE CONTRACT FOR THE ADMINISTRATOR WAS THE ONLY

THING HE WAS MADE AWARE OF THAT WOULD BE BROUGHT UP AT THE MEETING AND THIS WAS THE DAY BEFORE THE MEETING.

BRYANT PIERCE, CITIZEN OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, ADDRESSED THE BOARD SAYING HE WAS NOT AWARE OF THE MEETING AND WAS NOT POSITIVE OF WHAT HAPPENED IN THE MEETING. HE ASKED THE ATTORNEY IF THE BOARD TAKES THE ACTION TO RESCIND THE ACTION OF THE NOVEMBER 12TH MEETING, WOULD THIS COST THE TAXPAYERS MONEY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID ANYONE HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASK FOR A LEGAL RECOURSE ON ANY ISSUE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID IT WOULD DEPEND ON WHAT ACTION THE BOARD TAKES AFTER THE MOTION IS VOTED ON WHETHER ANYBODY BRINGS LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE BOARD; THEY CERTAINLY HAVE THE RIGHT TO.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN AGREED THAT ANYONE ANYTIME HAS A COURSE OF LEGAL ACTION.

PIERCE WANTED TO GO ON RECORD AS AGREEING WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN; IF THERE IS AN EMERGENCY MEETING, IT SHOULD BE POSTED IN A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME. IF MS. LAWSON POSTED IT AND LOCKED THE DOORS, PIERCE SAID THIS WOULD BE SOMETHING TO BE CONSIDERED. HE DOESN'T FEEL THIS WAS A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME FOR SOMETHING THAT WAS NOT A MATTER OF LIFE AND DEATH.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REITERATED FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS, THERE NEEDED TO BE SOMETHING OF AN EMERGENCY NATURE TO CALL A SPECIAL MEETING; HE CAN'T FIND ANYTHING THAT WOULD NOT HAVE WAITED UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING. HE REFERRED TO THERE BEING TWO COMMISSIONERS WHO WERE GOING TO LEAVE THE BOARD ONE DAY AFTER THE MEETING, ON MONDAY; THE NEXT TO THE LAST DAY THEY WOULD SERVE, THEY CAME IN AND MADE DECISIONS THAT WOULD AFFECT THE WHOLE BOARD.

CHAIRMAN FINCH, AT A REQUEST OF COMMISSIONER CORBIN, ASKED FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE ON THE MOTION TO RESCIND THE ACTIONS OF THE NOVEMBER 12, 2004 SPECIAL CALLED MEETING. THE MOTION CARRIED ON A ROLL CALL VOTE WITH COMMISSIONER COPE BEING THE ONLY COMMISSIONER OPPOSING.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF THE BOARD NEEDED TO ACCEPT THE NOVEMBER 12TH MINUTES FOR THE RECORD. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THEY WERE STILL THE OFFICIAL MINUTES FOR THAT MEETING AND THE BOARD WOULD NEED TO ACCEPT THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP TO ACCEPT THE MINUTES OF THE NOVEMBER 12, 2004 MEETING. COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD WAS JUST ACCEPTING THE MINUTES FOR THE RECORD ON WHAT HAPPENED; THEY ARE NOT ACCEPTING THE ACTIONS THAT WERE TAKEN. ATTORNEY HOLLEY STATED THEY WERE ACCEPTING THE MINUTES SPECIFYING WHAT HAPPENED AT THE MEETING; THERE WAS A MEETING AND IT DON'T JUST GO AWAY.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED THAT ACTION ON ITEM A ON THE CONSENT AGENDA PERTAINING TO THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM PLAN WOULD BE BROUGHT UP AT THE JANUARY BOARD MEETING; INFORMATION CAME IN AFTER THE AGENDA WAS PRINTED THAT WOULD CHANGE SOME NUMBERS.

COMMISSIONER COPE REQUESTED ITEMS D & E BE PULLED; COMMISSIONER FINCH REQUESTED ITEM F BE PULLED.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT ITEMS B & C OF THE CONSENT AGENDA:

- B. DONATION OF \$250 EACH TO CHIPLEY HIGH SCHOOL AND VERNON HIGH SCHOOL FOR PROJECT GRADUATION
- C. WAIVER OF AG CENTER RENTAL FEE FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD TO HOLD THEIR ANUAL TEACHER-OF-THE-YEAR AND EMPLOYEE BANQUET.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF ITEM D AND E HAD BEEN APPROVED BY THE MSBU COMMITTEE:

- D. MSBU INVOICE TO COMP USA FOR \$7,693.73 FOR A NEW COMPUTER AND MONITORS
- E. PURCHASE OF TWO NEW SCAGG MOWERS FOR MSBU AT A COST OF \$28,000

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED THAT GLEN ZANETIC, MSBU COORDINATOR, HAD SENT A LETTER STATING THE MSBU COMMITTEE HAD APPROVED THESE EXPENDITURES WITH A 9-0 VOTE.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF ITEMS D & E.

COMMISSIONER FINCH UPDATED THOSE PRESENT THE PROPERTIES THE BOARD ADVERTISED IN SUNNY HILLS BROUGHT \$389,400 WHICH WAS ABOVE THE MINIMUM BID ADVERTISED AT \$257,000.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD THERE WERE MORE LOTS AVAILABLE THEY COULD GET TITLE TO IF THEY WOULD MOVE ON IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION IF THERE ARE OTHER LOTS, TO ADVERTISE THEM FOR SALE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED CLERK COOK IF THERE WERE NOT OTHER LANDS AVAILABLE FOR THE COUNTY TO GET; SHE ADVISED THERE WERE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED CLERK COOK ON WHAT ACTION THE BOARD NEEDED TO TAKE TO CAPTURE ALL THE PROPERTIES THEY CAN; CLERK COOK SAID TO TAKE THE SAME ACTION THEY DID LAST TIME.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THE ONES THE COUNTY HAS TAX DEEDS TO CAN BE QUITE TITLED LIKE BEFORE; HOWEVER, THERE ARE SOME PROPERTIES THAT THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE TAX DEEDS TO.

CLERK COOK QUESTIONED ARE THESE PROPERTIES THE ONES THAT ARE WITHIN THE THREE YEAR PERIOD BEFORE ANYTHING CAN BE DONE WITH THEM. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED IF THERE ARE ANY PROPERTIES THAT CAN BE DEEDED TO THE COUNTY, THE BOARD IS REQUESTING THEY BE ADVERTISED FOR SALE. CLERK COOK SAID SHE WOULD CHECK TO SEE ON THE PROPERTIES AVAILABLE.

COMMISSIONER COPE REQUESTED THE BOARD KEEP IN MIND SOME OF THESE MONIES MAY HAVE TO GO BACK TO THE PROPERTY OWNERS IF THEY BUILD HOUSES ON THEM. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THAT NONE OF THE MONIES FROM PROPERTIES HE WAS ADDRESSING WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK TO THE PROPERTY OWNER.

COMMISSIONER COPE REFERRED TO HALF OF THE MONIES FROM THE FIRST PROPERTIES SOLD WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK TO THE OWNER. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THE MONIES WERE PUT INTO A SPECIAL FUND AND A LINE ITEM WAS ESTABLISHED FOR THE REFUND.

COMMISSIONER COPE ALSO ADDRESSED THE \$25,000 THAT WAS SET ASIDE FROM THE SURPLUS LAND SALES FOR RECREATION; DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THIS WAS ALREADY TAKEN CARE OF.

CHERYL, CHIPLEY NEWSPAPER REPORTER, INFORMED THE BOARD THEY APPROVED THE SALE OF THE DELTONA LOTS AT THE NOVEMBER 12TH SPECIAL MEETING. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THESE LOTS HAVE ALREADY BEEN SOLD AND THE BOARD MAY NEED A MEETING TO APPROVE THE SALE OF THOSE PROPERTIES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED ATTORNEY HOLLEY REVIEW THE NOVEMBER 12TH MINUTES TO SEE THE ITEMS THAT NEED TAKING CARE OF TODAY.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID HE HAD A LETTER FROM WALTER MCDERMET WANTING TO GIVE PROPERTY IN SUNNY HILLS TO THE COUNTY. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT THE PROPERTY AND AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO PROCEED FORWARD ON PREPARING THE DEEDS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION TO AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO PREPARE THE PAPERWORK ON THE TAX DEEDS READY TO SALE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THERE WAS MORE TAX DEED PROPERTY IF THE BOARD WANTS TO SELL THEM; HOWEVER, THE BOARD WOULD NEED TO SET MINIMUM PRICES ON IT. COMMISSIONER COPE SECONDED THE MOTION FOR DISCUSSION.

GLEN ZANETIC UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE CURRENTLY BEING 240 TAX DEED LOTS ON PAVED ROADS; HE SAID IF THE BOARD WOULD BE A LITTLE PATIENT, THEY COULD GENERATE A LOT OF MONEY OFF THE SALE OF THE LOTS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED ZANETIC WHAT HIS RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE ON SELLING THE LOTS THIS YEAR DUE TO HIM BEING MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE PROPERTIES THAN THE BOARD.

ZANETIC ADDRESSED THE PRICING ON THE PROPERTIES WOULD INCREASE A LOT, THERE WILL BE MORE BUILDERS THAN DELTONA AND THE BOARD COULD SEE WHAT HAPPENS WITHIN THE NEXT THREE TO FOUR MONTHS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY WAY THE COUNTY COULD LOSE MONEY IF THEY DECIDED NOT TO SELL THEM NOW AND SELL THEM IN ABOUT SIX MONTHS. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THERE IS NO LOSING ON THE PROPERTIES THE COUNTY HAS TAX DEEDS ON.

ZANETIC SUGGESTED THE COUNTY DO THE PAPERWORK TO ACQUIRE MORE OF THESE PROPERTIES. COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF HE WAS PREMATURE IN MAKING THE MOTION TO ADVERTISE THE PROPERTIES FOR SALE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SUGGESTED GETTING A LIST OF THE PROPERTIES THE COUNTY HAS AVAILABLE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN WITHDREW HIS MOTION AND COMMISSIONER COPE WITHDREW HIS SECOND TO THE MOTION TO AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO PREPARE THE PAPERWORK ON THE COUNTY TAX DEED PROPERTIES AND ADVERTISE THEM FOR SALE.

CHERYL, NEWS REPORTER, ADVISED THE BOARD AT THE PLANNING COM- MISSION MEETING, HULAN CARTER INFORMED THEM DELTONA IS PAVING SEVENTEEN MORE MILES OF ROADS IN THE SUNNY HILLS AREA.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT ITEM F ON THE CONSENT AGENDA:

- F. PROCEED WITH THE SALE AND CLOSING OF SUNNY HILLS PROPERTIES TO THE HIGH BID SUBMITTED BY RICHARD P. DUFFIELD FOR \$389,400.

SHERIFF FRED PEEL ADDRESSED THE BOARD TO GIVE A STATUS UPDATE OF THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. HE THANKED THE BOARD FOR THE SUPPORT THEY HAVE GIVEN HIM OVER THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS.

FROM A MONEY MANAGEMENT STANDPOINT, SHERIFF PEEL ADVISED THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WOULD BE LEFT IN GOOD SHAPE; DEFINITELY BETTER THAN IT WAS WHEN HE WAS RE-ELECTED EIGHT YEARS AGO. HE PROVIDED THE BALANCES IN SEVERAL FUNDS:

- A. LAW ENFORCEMENT I 416
- B. LAW ENFORCEMENT II \$31,969
- C. LAW ENFORCEMENT III \$116,539

HE STATED THESE FUNDS WERE DUE TO STATE AND FEDERAL SEIZURES WHICH CAN BE APPLIED TO ENHANCE DRUG INVESTIGATIONS.

IN THE POLICE EDUCATION FUND, PEEL SAID THERE WAS A BALANCE OF \$17,929; THESE FUNDS COME FROM A \$2.00 FEE APPLIED TO EVERY TRAFFIC FINE.

THE COMMUNICATIONS FUND WHICH ALLOWS THE SPENDING OF MONIES FOR EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS IS DERIVED FROM \$12.50 BEING ATTACHED TO EVERY TRAFFIC TICKET; SHERIFF PEEL SAID THERE WILL BE \$103,830 LEFT IN THIS FUND. PEEL ADVISED THE TOTAL OF ALL THESE FUNDS HE HAS ADDRESSED IS \$270,683.96.

PEEL UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR HAVING PASSED A RESOLUTION APPROVING OF HE, RANDALL TRUETTE AND ROGER HAGAN TO MANAGE THE COMMUNICATION FUNDS; HE REQUESTED THE BOARD CONTINUE WITH THIS AND UPDATED THEM ON PROJECTS THAT HAVE BEEN DONE:

- A. EMERGENCY TOWERS HAVE BEEN REDONE
- B. COUNTY IS STATE OF THE ARC WITH THE DISPATCH UPGRADES DONE WITH GRANT FUNDING
- C. A COMPUTERATED DISPATCH SHOULD BE UP AND RUNNING BY JANUARY 2005

OTHER ISSUES SHERIFF PEEL ADDRESSED INCLUDED:

- A. THE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER FOR THE DARE PROGRAM; WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS THE ONLY MIDDLE SCHOOL DARE PROGRAM IN WEST FLORIDA. THE SCHOOL BOARD AND SCHOOL BOARD SUPERINTENDENT HAVE PROVIDED GOOD SUPPORT ON THE DARE PROJECTS.
- B. A CONTRACT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAS WITH WATER MANAGEMENT TO MAINTAIN SECURITY FOR ALL THEIR PROPERTIES IN THE COUNTY; NWFWM D PAYS THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT APPROXIMATELY \$75,000 TO \$80,000 A YEAR FOR SECURITY.
- C. TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT GRANTS; SINCE 2000-2001, THE GRANTS HAVE PRODUCED APPROXIMATELY \$400,000 IN TRAFFIC REVENUES

TO THE COUNTY; THESE GRANTS COULD END AT ANY TIME.

- D. CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW JAIL; WASHINGTON COUNTY STARTED OUT WITH A 42 BED FACILITY AND WAS CUT BACK TO 26 BEDS. THEY CURRENTLY ARE HOUSING 160 INMATES; CAPTAIN BARNES DOES AN OUTSTANDING JOB ON RUNNING THE JAIL WITH WHAT SOURCES HE HAS AVAILABLE. HE REFERENCED THE INMATE LABOR PROVIDED TO THE COUNTY.
- E. CONTRACTS WITH THE PHONE COMPANIES--THEY RECEIVE A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE OF PHONE SALES. PEEL ADVISED THEY HAD PURCHASED A 15 PASSENGER VAN WITH THIS REVENUE AS WELL AS A GRINDER TO GRIND UP THE SEWAGE.

PEEL ADDRESSED WHEN HE CAME BACK IN OFFICE IN 1996, HE WAS LEFT WITH A \$110,000 DEFICIT AND THEY WILL BE LEAVING JANUARY 1ST WITH \$270,000 WORTH OF ASSETS. HE INFORMED THE BOARD HE TURNED IN \$3,000 EXCESS FEES THIS YEAR; HE HAD RETURNED APPROXIMATELY \$174,000 OVER TIME.

PEEL UPDATED THEM ON THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT HAVING RECEIVED ABOUT 1.3 MILLION DOLLARS WORTH OF STATE GRANT FUNDING AND 1.4 MILLION WORTH OF FEDERAL GRANT FUNDING OVER THE YEARS.

PEEL COMPLIMENTED CHIEF HALEY ON THE JOB HE HAD DONE FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; THE COUNTY HAD BEEN FORTUNATE IN HAVING A RETIRED FBI AGENT WITH A MASTERS DEGREE. PEEL SAID EVERY DECISION HALEY MADE WAS FOR THE GOOD OF THE DEPARTMENT AND HE RESPECTED HIM FOR THIS.

PEEL EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION FOR THE RELATIONSHIP HE HAS HAD WITH THE BOARD AND ALL THE OTHER EMPLOYEES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN EXPRESSED APPRECIATION TO SHERIFF PEEL FOR THE GOOD JOB HE, CHIEF HALEY AND HIS DEPARTMENT HAS DONE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION TO THE SHERIFF STATING HE HAD BEEN A GREAT ASSET TO THE COUNTY; HE ALSO ADDRESSED THE GOOD RELATIONSHIP THE BOARD HAS HAD WITH THE SHERIFF AND SAID HE HOPED THE NEW ADMINISTRATION WOULD MAINTAIN THE SAME WORKING RELATIONSHIP.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED PEEL IF THE COUNTY WOULD SEE HIM BACK IN POLITICS IN FOUR YEARS. PEEL STATED HE LIVED HIS LIFE DAY BY DAY AND HAD MADE A VOW NOT TO BECOME A NEGATIVE PERSON AS HE GROWS OLDER. HE ALSO ADDRESSED HIM HAVING BEEN SHERIFF FOR HALF OF HIS LIFE; THERE IS NOTHING BETTER THAN SERVING THE PUBLIC.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REQUESTED EVERYONE STAND AND APPLAUD SHERIFF PEEL.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY NEWS, SAID HEARING WAS HELD ON A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TYPE I TO ALLOW RESIDENTIAL UNITS IN A GENERAL COMMERCIAL LAND USE BY APPLICANTS RODNEY AND MYRA SEWELL.

RANDY PARKER, PLANNING CONSULTANT, UPDATED THE NEW COMMISSIONERS ON THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION PROCESS; THIS IS A PROVISION IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE THAT ALLOWS LOCAL APPROVAL AND DOES NOT HAVE TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE STATE FOR THEIR APPROVAL.

PARKER THEN BRIEFED THE BOARD ON THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION INCLUDING FIVE ACRES OF LAND ON THE EAST SIDE OF HIGHWAY 90 WHERE THE WESTERNER IS; ALL FIVE ACRES IS DESIGNATED AS GENERAL COMMERCIAL USE. HE SAID NORMALLY RESIDENTIAL UNITS ARE NOT ALLOWED IN COMMERCIAL ZONES; HOWEVER, WHEN THEY WERE WORKING ON THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE YEARS AGO, THEY SAW FROM TIME TO TIME THERE WOULD BE SITUATIONS TO COME UP WHERE THEY WOULD NEED TO ALLOW RESIDENTIAL UNITS IN COMMERCIAL AREAS.

PARKER ADVISED THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION, IF APPROVED, WOULD ALLOW SEWELL TO PUT FOUR MOBILE HOMES ON THE PROPERTY; SEWELL WOULD NOT HAVE TO REGISTER IT AS A MOBILE HOME PARK OR PLAT IT. PARKER SAID THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION WAS ADVERTISED IN THE PAPER AND THE SURROUNDING PROPERTY OWNERS WERE NOTIFIED VIA CERTIFIED MAIL AS REQUIRED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO ACCEPT THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION APPLIED FOR BY RODNEY AND MRYRA SEWELL. CHAIRMAN

FINCH ASKED IF ANYONE HAD ANY DISCUSSION PERTAINING TO THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION; NO ONE RESPONDED. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING, SAID HEARING WAS HELD ON A PLAT VACATION OF OLD MILL ACRES SUBDIVISION REQUESTED BY MARK ODOM, DEVELOPER OF THE SUBDIVISION. PARKER SAID THIS WAS A MINER PLAT ON AN EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY, IT WAS APPROVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THE BOARD, FILED, PLATTED AND RECORDED AT THE COURTHOUSE, ETC.

HOWEVER, PARKER SAID BETWEEN THE TIME THE PRELIMINARY PLAT WAS FILED AND THE FINAL WAS DONE, THE DEVELOPER OR SURVEYOR CHANGED IT FROM SAYING WASHINGTON COUNTY TO BAY COUNTY. THE PLAT WAS ERRONEOUSLY RECORDED WITH BAY COUNTY; THERE IS A PROVISION FOR THIS TO BE CORRECTED AND FILE THE CORRECTION AT THE COURTHOUSE. HOWEVER, THE TITLE COMPANY WOULD NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN; THEY ADVISED THE PLAT WOULD HAVE TO BE VACATED AND FILE A NEW PLAT. PARKER SAID THE NEW PLAT HAS BEEN FILED AND RECORDED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP TO APPROVE OF THE PLAT VACATION OF OLD MILL ACRES SUBDIVISION. CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED IF ANYONE HAD ANY DISCUSSION PERTAINING TO THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PLAT VACATION; NO ONE RESPONDED.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

ANDY COMPTON ADDRESSED THE BOARD REQUESTING FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE CHIPLEY HIGH SCHOOL BASEBALL TOURNAMENT; IN FEBRUARY OF 2003, THEY WERE AWARDED THE BID TO HOLD THE DIXIE MAJORS BASEBALL STATE TOURNAMENT. ABOUT A MONTH AGO, THE STATE DIRECTOR CALLED AND ASKED IF THEY WOULD BE INTERESTED IN HOSTING THE 17 AND 18 YEAR OLDS TOURNAMENT AS WELL AS THE 15 AND 16 YEAR OLDS TOURNAMENT; RATHER THAN TEN TEAMS COMING IN, THERE WILL PROBABLY BE TWENTY.

COMPTON SAID IN THE PAST, THEY HAD RAISED MONIES THROUGH A PROGRAM BY PUTTING TOGETHER ADVERTISEMENTS; HOWEVER, DUE TO THERE BEING TWO DIFFERENT AGE GROUP TOURNAMENTS, THEY ARE REQUESTING FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE. HE PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH A ROUGH DRAFT OF ESTIMATED FIGURES TO HOST THE TOURNAMENTS AND THE LOCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY DUE TO THE TOURNAMENTS BEING HELD IN CHIPLEY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE TOURIST DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL COULD ASSIST WITH THE FINANCING. COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED THEY HAD ALREADY BEEN TO THE TDC; HOPEFULLY, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO CONTRIBUTE \$3,000. HOWEVER, COPE ADVISED THAT COMPTON WAS NEEDING \$5,000 AND WAS REQUESTING \$2,000 FROM THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER SAPP QUESTIONED IF THERE WERE ANY GATE ADMISSION CHARGES. COMPTON ADVISED THERE WAS A SET FEE FOR THE ENTIRE DAY. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE DIXIE PROGRAM THE CITY OF CHIPLEY HAS GOES UP TO THE AGE OF 14; THEY DON'T HAVE THE FACILITIES TO DO THE 15, 16, 17 AND 18 YEARS OLD AGE GROUP. HE SAID THEY PROVIDE THEIR OWN FRANCHISE, PAY THE INSURANCE FEES, ETC. AND HAVE A DIXIE MAJOR, PRE-MAJOR PROGRAM FOR AGES 15-18; THEY DO THEIR OWN THING THROUGH THEIR DIXIE MAJORS PROGRAM.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE TOURNAMENTS WOULD BRING A LOT OF MONIES INTO THE COMMUNITY DUE TO THE NUMBER OF TEAMS PARTICIPATING AND THE LENGTH OF TIME THE TOURNAMENTS WILL BE HELD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED COMPTON IF HE HAD GONE BEFORE THE CITY OF CHIPLEY REQUESTING ASSISTANCE; COMPTON ADVISED HE HAD NOT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO GIVE COMPTON \$1,000 AND LET THE CITY OF CHIPLEY MATCH THE \$1,000.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAVING DESIGNATED \$25,000 FOR RECREATION OUT OF THE LAND SALES FUND; DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADDRESSED THIS WAS FOR THE MUNICIPALITIES IN THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID ONE OF THE REASONS COMPTON IS BEING ASKED TO HOST THE SECOND TOURNAMENT WAS DUE TO A LOT OF THE FIELDS DOWN SOUTH BEING DESTROYED BY THE HURRICANES. COMPTON REITERATED THEY DIDN'T ASK TO DO THE SECOND TOURNAMENT BUT HAD RECEIVED A PHONE CALL ASKING IF THEY WOULD HOST IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE SCHOOL BOARD WAS HELPING IN ANY WAY. COMPTON ADVISED THE SCHOOL BOARD WAS ALLOWING THE USE OF THE FACILITY, UTILITIES, ETC.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN TOLD COMPTON IF THEY NEEDED HELP WITH REPAIRS TO THE BALLFIELDS, THE COUNTY WOULD BE GLAD TO ASSIST.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON WHETHER THERE WAS A RESTRICTION ON THE \$1,000 IF THE CITY OF CHIPLEY DIDN'T CONTRIBUTE. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THERE WAS NOT A RESTRICTION; IF THE CITY DOESN'T CONTRIBUTE, THE COUNTY WILL STILL PAY THE \$1,000. ALSO, COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF THEY CITY DOESN'T CONTRIBUTE, COMPTON COULD COME BACK BEFORE THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN AMENDED HIS MOTION FOR THE \$1,000 TO COME OUT OF THE DELTONA LAND SALES MONIES. THE MOTION CARRIED.

LINDA WALLER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE STATUS OF ANGELENA COURT. SHE STATED THERE WERE NO LOTS DEVELOPED AT CROOKED LAKE SUBDIVISION, ANGELENA COURT; IT IS LOCATED OFF OF PINE LOG ROAD.

SHE SAID NO LOTS HAD BEEN SOLD TO INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY OWNERS; HOWEVER, THE ENTIRE SUBDIVISION, INCLUDING THE ROADS, WAS RECENTLY SOLD IN MAY 2004 TO PETER C. RAY TRUST COMPANY. AT THAT TIME, WALLER SAID SHE HAD SPOKEN WITH MR. HARPER AND THEY HAVE PLANS OF VACATING THE PLAT AND REPLATTING.

WALLER SAID THE FOUR FAMILIES THAT WERE ADDRESSED IN A LETTER TO THE BOARD LIVE ON A LARGER LOT NOT ASSOCIATED WITH THE SUBDIVISION; THESE FAMILIES HAVE A PRIVATE EASEMENT WHICH IS THEIR LEGAL INGRESS AND EGRESS. HOWEVER, THEY CHOOSE TO USE ANGELENA COURT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS BECAUSE IT IS A SHORTCUT.

WALLER SAID THAT GENEISSE ERLEWINE WAS NOT PART OF THE SUBDI- SION AND THERE ARE QUESTIONS WHERE SHE HAS PERMISSION TO USE ANGELENA COURT TO ACCESS HER PROPERTY; SHE HAS A PRIVATE EASEMENT FROM ANGELENA COURT INTO HER PROPERTY BUT WALLER SAID SHE DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THE ARRANGEMENTS ERLEWINE MAY HAVE ABOUT GETTING ACROSS ANGELENA COURT. WALLER REITERATED THE ROADS BEING PRIVATE ROADS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED HOW COULD THE COUNTY GRADE PRIVATE ROADS. WALLER SAID THE COUNTY CAN NOT GRADE PRIVATE ROADS.

WHEN QUESTIONED ON WHAT GENEISSE ERLEWINE WAS WANTING THE BOARD TO DO, WALLER EXPLAINED SHE WAS WANTING THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THE ROAD BE GRADED SO THE FOUR FAMILIES THAT LIVE ON THE OTHER PIECE OF PROPERTY CAN USE THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED CHAIRMAN FINCH GIVE THE BOARD A REPORT ON THE REQUEST AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING DUE TO THIS BEING IN HIS DISTRICT.

COMMISSIONER COPE REFERENCED THE BOARD'S POLICY ON PERSONS BEING ABLE TO RENT THE EQUIPMENT TO GRADE A PRIVATE ROAD. WALLER EXPLAINED THERE WAS NO ONE WHO NEEDED TO USE THE ROAD; ERLEWINE IS AT THE ENTRANCE OF THE CORNER OF PINE LOG.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE BOARD'S RESPONSE TO ERLEWINE WOULD BE TO ADVISE HER OF THE COUNTY PROCESS WHERE A PRIVATE ROAD CAN BE GRADED FOR \$50 PER HOUR. WALLER SAID THIS WOULD BE UP TO PETER RAY TRUST TO REQUEST THE RENTAL OF THE EQUIPMENT.

CHAIRMAN FINCH CALLED FOR A FIVE MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, ERIC PAIGE ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON A HOME PERMIT ON WAGES POND. HE REPORTED HE WAS TRYING TO GET A A NEW MOBILE HOME ON HIS PROPERTY AT WAGES POND; HOWEVER, THERE IS A SITUATION WHERE THE COUNTY IS NOT ALLOWING HIM TO GET A PERMIT BECAUSE OF A LANDLOCK SITUATION WITH MR. SYFRETT AND WASHINGTON COUNTY.

PAIGE EXPLAINED HE HAD BEEN A RESIDENT ON WAGES POND FOR SIX YEARS AND IS NOW CAUGHT IN A SITUATION BETWEEN MR. SYFRETT AND THE COUNTY; SYFRETT HAS SAID HE HAS ALREADY GIVEN THE COUNTY PERMIS- SION TO COME IN AND DO WHATEVER THEY WANT TO WITH THE ROAD. HE SAID, ACCORDING TO SYFRETT, ALL SYFRETT IS WANTING TO DO IS TO DIVIDE UP THE LOTS AND SELL THEM.

COMMISSIONER FINCH UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING AN OLD ROAD THAT GOES INTO WAGES POND THAT HAS ALWAYS BEEN OPEN FOR HIS WHOLE LIFETIME; THE COUNTY GRADES THE ROAD TO A CERTAIN POINT AND STOPS, EVEN THOUGH THE ROAD CONTINUES ON

THROUGH. HE ADDRESSED TREES GROWING UP CLOSE TO THE ROAD. WHEN MR. ROGERS OWNED THE PROPERTY, HE WAS GOING TO CLOSE EVERYONE OFF AND WAS IN THE PROCESS OF SELLING IT TO MR. SYFRETT AND APPARENTLY DID SO.

CHAIRMAN FINCH CONTINUED EXPLAINING THE ROAD GOES OUT SOUTH OF WATER MANAGEMENT PROPERTY; WHEN THEY HEARD ABOUT THE DISPUTE, THEY IMMEDIATELY CAME IN AND PUT UP A FENCE ACROSS THE SOUTH END OF THE ROAD.

PAIGE SAID THERE IS STILL A GATE THERE NOW AND THIS IS THE ONLY WAY OUT; SYFRETT HAS NO PROBLEM WITH HIM TRAVELLING THROUGH THAT AREA. PAIGE SAID HE FOUND OUT FROM MS. WALLER HE COULD NOT GET A PERMIT BECAUSE OF THE SITUATION WITH SYFRETT. SYFRETT HAS NO PROBLEM WITH GOING AHEAD AND HAVING THE ROAD GRADED THROUGH AS COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND COMMISSIONER FINCH HAS DISCUSSED WITH MR. SYFRETT AT A PREVIOUS MEETING.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID SYFRETT HAD PROPOSED TO THE BOARD HE WAS WANTING TO PURCHASE THE PROPERTY IN QUESTION AND DIVIDE IT UP INTO SMALLER LOTS THAN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ALLOWED FOR.

WALLER ADVISED THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN ALLOWED FOR IT TO BE DIVIDED UP INTO SMALLER PARCELS; HOWEVER, THERE WERE PROVISIONS SYFRETT WOULD HAVE TO MEET TO DO SO.

COMMISSIONER FINCH FURTHER EXPLAINED SYFRETT WAS WILLING TO GIVE THE COUNTY A PUBLIC LANDING TO THE POND IF THE COUNTY WOULD GRADE THE ROAD THROUGH AND ALLOW HIM TO DIVIDE UP THE LOTS INTO ONE ACRES VERSUS 4.5 ACRES.

FINCH REITERATED PAIGE HAS LIVED IN THE SAME LOCATION FOR SIX YEARS; HE HAS AN OLD MOBILE HOME AND HE WANTS TO REPLACE IT WITH ANEW ONE. ALL PAIGE IS ASKING THE BOARD DO IS ALLOW THIS TO BE DONE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED WALLER ON WHY PAIGE COULDN'T GET A PERMIT. WALLER EXPLAINED SHE HAD NOT TURNED DOWN A BUILDING PERMIT FOR PAIGE BECAUSE HE HAS NOT APPLIED FOR ONE. SHE TOLD PAIGE SHE HAD ADVISED HIM TO GET SOMETHING IN WRITING FROM WHOMEVER OWNS THE PROPERTY.

PAIGE SAID HE OWNED THE PROPERTY WHERE HIS TRAILER IS LOCATED AND HE HAD GOTTEN PERMISSION FROM WATER MANAGEMENT TO COME THROUGH THEIR PROPERTY TO GET THE NEW MOBILE HOME THERE.

WALLER SAID SHE NEEDED WRITTEN PERMISSION FOR PAIGE TO BE ABLE TO GO ACROSS NWFWM D PROPERTY TO GET HIS NEW MOBILE HOME; OTHERWISE, IF PAIGE GETS THE TRAILER TO HIS PROPERTY AND SOMEONE OBJECTS TO IT, THE COUNTY WOULD BE LIABLE.

PAIGE SAID HE HAD BEEN WORKING ON THIS ISSUE FOR THREE MONTHS; HIS TRAILER IS FALLING APART AND HE WOULD LIKE TO GET HIS NEW MOBILE HOME ON HIS PROPERTY. WALLER EXPLAINED IF HE WAS DENIED A PERMIT, PAIGE DID NOT HAVE LEGAL INGRESS AND EGRESS TO THE PROPERTY; ALL IT WOULD TAKE WOULD BE A LETTER FROM WATER MANAGEMENT OR MR. SYFRETT.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID PAIGE COULD NOT BRING THE NEW MOBILE HOME ACROSS SYFRETT'S PROPERTY DUE TO THE TREES BEING TOO CLOSE TO THE ROAD. ACCORDING TO FINCH, PAIGE WILL HAVE TO COME IN FROM THE SOUTH END ACROSS NWFWM D PROPERTY.

RANDY PARKER, PLANNING CONSULTANT, SAID IF PAIGE HAD A DEAL WORKED OUT WITH NWFWM D TO GO ACROSS THEIR PROPERTY TO HAVE THE NEW MOBILE HOME PUT ON HIS PROPERTY; THIS WOULD BE BETWEEN PAIGE AND THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. HOWEVER, THE KEY ISSUE IS TO HAVE LEGAL ACCESS ONCE THE MOBILE HOME IS THERE. BY HAVING A WRITTEN EASEMENT OR AUTHORIZATION TO CROSS NWFWM D PROPERTY, THIS WOULD BE PROTECTING PAIGE MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE. PARKER SAID ALL THAT WAS TRYING TO BE DONE WAS TO MAKE SURE PAIGE HAS SOMETHING IN WRITING SAYING HE HAS LEGAL PERMANENT ACCESS TO HIS MOBILE HOME.

PAIGE REITERATED THE ROAD HAD BEEN THERE FOR A HUNDRED YEARS. COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD COULD GIVE PAIGE PERMISSION, IF HE GETS SOMETHING IN WRITING FROM NWFWM D GIVING HIM AUTHORIZATION TO CROSS THEIR PROPERTY, TO MOVE FORWARD.

PAIGE SAID IF HE DOES GET PERMISSION FROM NWFWM D TO GET HIS MOBILE HOME TO HIS PROPERTY, HIS ISSUE IS ON THE PERMIT SITUATION. PAIGE SAID MR. SYFRETT HAD

ALREADY SPOKEN AND WANTED TO GIVE THE COUNTY THE LAND; HOWEVER, THE TRAILER PEOPLE HAS SAID SYFRETT OWNS THE ROAD AND THEY CAN'T ALLOW PAIGE TO PURCHASE THE TRAILER DUE TO THIS BEING THE ONLY WAY TO ACCESS TO HIS PROPERTY EVEN THOUGH NFWFMD HAS GIVEN PERMISSION TO HIM TO CROSS THEIR PROPERTY TO GET THE TRAILER IN. HE SAID THE ROAD NEEDED TO BECOME A COUNTY ROAD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THEY COULDN'T DO THIS WITH PAIGE AGREEING TO GET A LETTER SIGNED BY NFWFMD GIVING HIM AUTHORIZATION TO CROSS THEIR PROPERTY. CORBIN TOLD PAIGE TO GET A FORM FROM WALLER FOR NFWFMD TO SIGN AUTHORIZING HIM TO CROSS THEIR PROPERTY; IF THEY DO THIS, THE COUNTY HAS NO PROBLEM WITH IT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED WRITTEN AUTHORIZATION FROM NFWFMD OR A WRITTEN EASEMENT FROM SYFRETT WOULD WORK. WALLER ADDRESSED SHE COULD FORESEE DOWN THE LINE, IF PAIGE DECIDES HE WANTS TO SELL HIS PROPERTY AND HE DOESN'T HAVE SOME KIND OF RECORDED EASEMENT, THE TITLE COMPANIES WILL PUT A STOP TO THE SALE. WALLER SAID THE ONLY TYPE OF SOLUTION FOR PAIGE IS TO GET SOME TYPE OF WRITTEN PERMISSION; HOWEVER, A LETTER FROM WATER MANAGEMENT WILL STILL NOT SOLVE PAIGE'S PROBLEM IF YEARS DOWN THE ROAD SHE IS NO LONGER WORKING HERE OR HIS WIFE CAN NO LONGER REMEMBER WHAT HAS HAPPENED. WHEN HE STARTS TO SELL HIS PROPERTY, WALLER SAID PAIGE WOULD RUN INTO THE SAME PROBLEM HE IS HAVING NOW.

PAIGE SAID WHEN HE ORIGINALLY PURCHASED THE PROPERTY SIX YEARS AGO, THERE WERE NO PROBLEMS OR NO MENTION OF THE ROAD GOING INTO OR OUT OF HIS PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IT WAS NOT A COUNTY ROAD BUT WAS PROBABLY A TRAVELLED ROAD BY THE PUBLIC FOR A HUNDRED YEARS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY REFERENCED THE LAW ON A PUBLIC ROAD; THE ROAD MUST BE USED BY THE PUBLIC FOR OVER TWENTY YEARS ADVERSE TO THE RIGHT OF THE OWNER. HE SAID IF IT IS PERMISSIVE USE, IT IS NEVER A PUBLIC ROAD; IT HAS TO BE ADVERSE USE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REQUESTED PAIGE WORK WITH MS. WALLER TO ASSIST WITH GETTING PROPER AUTHORIZATION TO GET HIS TRAILER MOVED TO HIS PROPERTY. HE ALSO REITERATED WALLER HAVING SAID UNTIL THE ROAD BECOMES SOME TYPE OF PUBLIC, COUNTY OR ACKNOWLEDGED ROAD, EVEN IF HE GETS HIS MOBILE HOME SET UP, IN THE FUTURE, HE COULD HAVE A PROBLEM SHOULD HE DECIDE TO SELL IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN TOLD PAIGE THE COUNTY DIDN'T GET INVOLVED WITH PUBLIC ROADS; THIS IS BETWEEN PROPERTY OWNERS; THEY GET INVOLVED WITH COUNTY ROADS. PAIGE AGREED HE WOULD TRY AND GET AN EASEMENT FROM SYFRETT.

STACY WEBB, GRANTS PERSON, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE CONTRACT BEING READY TO SIGN WITH ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT CORP. FOR THE HOMELAND SECURITY GRANT PROJECT. SHE REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION FOR THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE CONTRACT. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF WEBB'S REQUEST.

DAVID CORBIN, PARK AND RECREATION DIRECTOR, UPDATED THE BOARD ON COMPLAINTS ON DUNFORD POND. HE SAID HE HAD SPOKEN WITH ATTORNEY HOLLEY ON THIS ISSUE AND THE OWNERS HAVE BEEN SENT ANOTHER LETTER PERTAINING TO THE AGREEMENT THE COUNTY HAS WITH THEM ON THE USE OF DUNFORD POND.

HE PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH A COPY OF THE AGREEMENT AND UPDATED THEM ON ITS CONTENTS:

1. OWNERS ARE SUPPOSE TO ALLOW THE CITIZENS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY TO PURCHASE A \$4.00 PERMIT FEE TO ENTER DUNFORD LAKE; THERE ARE RESTRICTIONS OF 25HP MOTOR OR LESS

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF ACTION WAS EVER TAKEN TO ALLOW PEOPLE TO PURCHASE THE PERMIT FROM THE TAX COLLECTOR'S OFFICE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID A COURT HEARING WAS HELD ON IT; THE JUDGE ORDERED THE OWNERS TO HAVE SOMEONE AVAILABLE AT THE GATES AT CERTAIN TIMES TO SELL THE PERMITS; HOWEVER, THEY HAVE NOT COMPLIED WITH THIS. HOLLEY SAID THE BOARD COULD GO BACK AND SEEK COURT APPROVAL TO AMEND THE ORDER TO ALLOW THE PERMITS TO BE SOLD AT THE TAX COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID HE DIDN'T FEEL THE BOARD HAD ANY CHOICE BUT TO ALLOW THE PERMITS TO BE SOLD AT THE COUNTY OFFICE.

HOLLEY SAID HE HAD RUN INTO ONE OF THE OWNERS AND HE IS CON- TENDING THE COUNTY HAS NOT DONE ALL THEY AGREED TO DO; THE COUNTY AGREED TO MAINTAIN A ROAD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION TO AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO ENTER INTO THE TORT REFORM AND GET THE JUDGE'S ORDER TO ALLOW THE PERMITS TO BE SOLD AT THE TAX COLLECTOR'S OFFICE. COM- MISSIONER FINCH SAID THERE WAS A MOTION TO ALLOW ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO WORK THROUGH THE CLARIFICATION ON THE COURT ORDER AND PUT IT INTO FORCE. HE THEN ASKED FOR A SECOND. COMMISSIONER COPE SECONDED THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED THE PERMIT COST FOR NON-COUNTY RESIDENTS; DAVID SAID NON-COUNTY RESIDENTS CAN'T PURCHASE A PERMIT. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE HAD PERSONS WHO OWN PROPERTY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND LIVE IN BAY COUNTY WHO QUESTION WHY THEY CAN'T HAVE ACCESS TO THE DUNFORD.

DAVID SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS SOME OF THE PRETTIEST, NATURAL RESOURCES IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA AND HE DOESN'T FEEL IT IS RIGHT TO DENY ANYONE THE USE OF THE LAKES THE LORD HAS BLESSED THE COUNTY WITH. HOLLEY SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE OWNER WOULD BE WILLING TO ENLARGE THE AGREEMENT TO ALLOW EVERYONE TO HAVE ACCESS TO THE DUNFORD.

DAVID SAID HE THOUGHT THE COUNTY HAD OWNED THE LAKE AT ONE TIME; ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THE COUNTY HAD GRADED IT. HOLLEY ALSO SAID THE COUNTY HAD A PRIVATE AGREEMENT WITH THE OWNERS TO DO WHAT THEY ARE DOING; HOWEVER, HE DOESN'T THINK THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO ENLARGE IT TO EVERYONE OUTSIDE THE COUNTY.

BILLY CLARK ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINING THE BOATRAMP ON THE DUNFORD WHEN HE WORKED WITH THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED THE ADVANTAGE TO THE COUNTY TO ENTER INTO THE AGREEMENT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE OWNERS GAVE THE COUNTY LAND FOR THE PARK SITE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED DEPUTY CLERK CARTER TO READ THE MOTION. SHE READ THE MOTION AS STATED BY FINCH TO AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO WORK THROUGH THE CLARIFICATION ON THE COURT ORDER AND PUT IT INTO FORCE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID HE WOULD FILE A LAWSUIT ASKING THE COURT TO MODIFY THEIR PRIOR RULING TO ALLOW THE SELLING OF THE PERMITS AT THE TAX COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DAVID UPDATED THE BOARD ON ORANGE HILL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVA- TION HAVING DRILLED A WELL FOR THE ORANGE HILL COMMUNITY CENTER; HE HAD TO BRING IT UP TO FL-DEP SPECIFICATIONS WITH HAROLD REGISTER AT A COST OF \$1875. DAVID INFORMED THE BOARD HE DIDN'T HAVE IT IN HIS BUDGET TO COVER THIS COST.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN UPDATED THE BOARD ON A WELL BEING AT THE FACILITY THAT WAS SERVICING FOR DRINKING WATER OUT OF THE BIG TANK; THERE WAS A FILTERING SYSTEM, CHLORINATION SYSTEM, ETC. THERE WAS ANOTHER WELL THERE THAT WAS INOPERABLE; ORANGE HILL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION GOT INVOLVED, GOT ANOTHER DRILLER AND DRILLED THE WELL OUT, PUT A PUMP IN IT AND GOT IT TO WORKING GOOD. HOWEVER, CORBIN SAID REGISTER COULDN'T PERMIT THE WELL WITHOUT IT BEING UP TO FL-DEP SPECIFICATIONS FOR DRINKING WATER.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE 6" WELL ISN'T BEING USED NOW; THEY USE THE 4" WELL AND HAD OVER \$2,000 TO SPEND ON THE 6" WELL TO GET IT CHLORIFORMED, ETC. HE REFERRED TO LLOYD BRUNER, BUILDING MAINTENANCE SUPERVISOR, SAYING THE 6" WELL WAS NOT DESIGNED FOR A HIGH VELOCITY OF PUMPING AND RECOMMENDED GOING WITH THE 4" WELL AND USE IT FOR WATER SERVICES IN THE ORANGE HILL COMMUNITY CENTER AND USE THE 6" PUMP THAT WATER MANAGEMENT DRILLED FOR FIRE FIGHTING.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SUGGESTED THE BOARD APPROVE PAYING THE BILL AND THEN WHEN THE FACILITY IS RENTED, THE RENTAL FEES BE USED TO PAY IT BACK. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THERE WAS ALREADY A BUDGET FOR RENTAL INCOME AND IT CAN'T BE REBUDGETED AGAIN.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID HE WAS NOT SAYING THAT; IN THE FUTURE AS RENTAL MONIES COME IN, PUT IT BACK TOWARD THE BILL. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REITERATED, THE

RENTAL INCOME MONIES IS BUDGETED BASED ON WHAT IS PROJECTED TO BE RECEIVED FOR THE YEAR; THESE ARE ALREADY IN THE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED WHY THE BOARD PAYS THE UTILITIES FOR ORANGE HILL FIRE DEPARTMENT AND NOT FOR ANY OTHER FIRE DEPARTMENT.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADVISED THE BOARD THERE WERE TWO DIFFERENT BILLS; ONE THAT WAS DENIED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND THE ONE DAVID IS ADDRESSING BEFORE THE BOARD.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID THE INVOICE DAVID WAS REQUESTING APPROVAL ON NEEDED TO BE TAKEN CARE OF; HOWEVER, HE FEELS THE UTILITY ISSUE COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED NEEDED TO BE DISCUSSED ALSO AND MAKE IT CONSISTENT THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID DAVID HAD TO ABSORB PAYING THE OTHER BILL OUT OF HIS BUDGET; ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID THE BOARD HAD PAID THE BILL AND REQUESTED THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE REIMBURSE THEM BUT THEY DENIED IT AT THIS TIME. THE COMMITTEE FELT LIKE THE FIRE DEPARTMENT SHOULD PAY THE BILL.

WHEN COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED WHAT THE TOTAL BILL WAS FOR, DAVID SAID IT WOULD BE \$1,875 TO GET THE WELL BROUGHT UP TO FL- DEP AND STATE STANDARDS ON LIMITED USE CONSUMPTION OF THE PUBLIC.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF IT WERE PERMISSABLE, HE WOULD DONATE THIS FROM HIS MATERIALS BUDGET. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED COMMISSIONER CORBIN HE COULDN'T SPEND TRANSPORTATION MONIES ON GENERAL FUND EXPENSES.

CHAIRMAN FINCH QUESTIONED IF COMMISSIONER CORBIN HAD ANY INTEREST MONIES HE COULD USE TO PAY THE BILL; DEPUTY CLERK CARTER SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE ANY INTEREST MONIES.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THE ORANGE HILL FIRE DEPARTMENT HAD ANY MONIES. DAVID SAID HE WOULD ASK THE FIRE DEPARTMENT TO PAY THE \$1875 BILL AND THE OTHER BILL THE BOARD HAS ALREADY PAID.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE FIRE DEPARTMENT DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH RENTING THE BUILDING; THEY DON'T NEED THE WATER GOING INTO THE BUILDING FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THE BILL DAVID WAS ADDRESSING WAS STRICTLY FOR THE WELL FOR THE ORANGE HILL PARK; DAVID AGREED IT WAS FOR THE PARK, THE COMMUNITY CENTER AND THE BATHROOM FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED SHE WAS NOT RECOMMENDING PAYING THE BILL FROM THE GENERAL FUND CONTINGENCY DUE TO THEM JUST BEGINNING THE YEAR AND THE DIFFICULTY THEY HAD IN BALANCING THE BUDGET THIS YEAR; HOWEVER, THEY DID HAVE A CONTINGENCY LINE ITEM BUDGETED.

DUE TO NOT HAVING A CHOICE, COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE FOR DISCUSSION TO APPROVE PAYING THE \$1875 FROM CONTINGENCY.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF A PORTION OF THE BILL COULD BE BILLED BACK TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT; HE FEELS THEY SHOULD PAY PART OF THE BILL. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED IT COULD BE TAKEN FROM THEIR FIRE ALLOTMENT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN AGREED THIS COULD BE DONE BUT QUESTIONED IF THIS WOULD BE FAIR TO TAKE IT FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WHENEVER THE WELL IS SERVICING THE PARK AND THE COMMUNITY CENTER BUILDING.

CHAIRMAN FINCH AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER COPE THE FIRE DEPARTMENT SHOULD SHARE SOME OF THE RESPONSIBILITY.

BRYAN PIERCE, VOLUNTEER FIREMEN, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING AN EMERGENCY FUND AND THE COMMITTEE DENIED USING THESE FUNDS TO PAY THE BILL; RATHER THAN GOING BACK TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, THE BOARD COULD LEAN ON THE COMMITTEE TO PAY THE BILL FROM THE EMERGENCY FUND.

ED PELLETIER, EMERGENCY FUND BOARD, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE BILL BEING PRESENTED AND UPDATED THEM ON THE WAY THE BYLAWS ARE WRITTEN UP. HE THEN EXPLAINED THE 6" WELL ORIGINALLY BEING PUT IN BY THE ORANGE HILL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION SO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WOULD HAVE WATER TO FIGHT FIRES; HOWEVER,

THEY WENT AHEAD AND TRIED TO MAKE A PRESSURE TANK OUT OF THE BIG TANK AND THIS SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN DONE.

PELLETIER SAID THE SMALLER WELL WAS SAID TO BE NO GOOD; HOWEVER, THEY REDRILLED IT TO USE FOR THE ORANGE HILL PARK. HE REFERENCED HINSON CROSS ROADS GETTING THE SAME TYPE OF GRANT THAT ORANGE HILL DID; HOWEVER, THEY ARE HAVING TO PAY FOR EVERYTHING THEY HAVE TO DO. PELLETIER ALSO ADDRESSED HIM HAVING TO CUT THE GRASS AT THE COUNTRY OAKS FIRE DEPARTMENT; HOWEVER, THERE IS A COUNTY CREW THAT IS CUTTING THE GRASS AND TAKING CARE OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE ORANGE HILL FIRE DEPARTMENT THEY ARE NOT DOING FOR ANY OTHER DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED PELLETIER IF HE HAD EVER ASKED FOR AN INMATE CREW TO MOW THE GRASS AT COUNTRY OAKS FIRE DEPARTMENT; PELLETIER SAID HE HAD NOT AS HE DIDN'T THINK THE COUNTY CREW SHOULD HAVE TO DO IT. PELLETIER SAID THE COMMISSIONERS HAD DONE A GOOD JOB OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS OF GIVING THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS MORE FUNDING AND THEY ARE PRETTY MUCH SATISFIED WITH THE AMOUNT THEY ARE GETTING.

COMMISSIONER COPE CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DAVID THEN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR HAVING APPOINTED A COMMITTEE TO BRING BACK A FACT FINDING RECOMMENDATION ON ANIMAL CONTROL; THE COMMITTEE CONSISTED OF ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, JIM MORRIS, JIM LASSITER, DAVID CORBIN, KAREN RUSTIN AND COMMISSIONER COPE. HE PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH HANDOUTS ON A PROPOSED BUDGET FOR ANIMAL CONTROL THAT WAS PREPARED BY HERBERT.

DAVID EXPLAINED THE COMMITTEE TOOK THE 2002 CENSUS PROVIDED BY 9-1-1, DIVIDED THE NUMBER OF PEOPLE WITHIN A MUNICIPALITY INTO THE TOTAL POPULATION; THIS GIVES YOU THE PERCENTAGE OF FUNDING EACH MUNICIPALITY SHOULD CONTRIBUTE TOWARD THE PROPOSED BUDGET. HE TOLD THE BOARD HE HAD NOT WENT TO WAUSAU, VERNON, EBRO, CARYVILLE OR SUNNY HILLS ON THE ANIMAL CONTROL PROPOSAL; HOWEVER, HE HAS HAD VERBAL CONTACT WITH THEM REQUESTING A BUDGET AND COST BE PROVIDED AND THEY WOULD BE INTERESTED IN PARTICIPATING.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ADDRESSED CARYVILLE NOT BEING INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSAL. DAVID SAID THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T AGREE WITH THE CENSUS FOR CARYVILLE AND DID NOT INCLUDE THEM. JIM MORRIS SAID THE 800 CENSUS FIGURE WAS WRONG; CARYVILLE WILL PROBABLY BE BASED ON 100 PEOPLE AS THIS IS WHAT IS REQUIRED TO BE INCORPORATED.

DAVID SAID THE COMMITTEE HAD DISCUSSED HAVING A UNIFORM ORDINANCE TO CUT DOWN ON CONFUSION FOR THE ANIMAL CONTROL DEPARTMENT. HE ADDRESSED THE CITY OF CHIPLEY HAVING A GOOD ORDINANCE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY'S FUNDING WOULD BE INCREASED FOR ANIMAL CONTROL. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID THE COUNTY'S FUNDING WOULD BE INCREASED FROM \$36,776.72 TO \$56,000 BASED ON THE \$80,000 PROPOSED BUDGET; IT WOULD BE INCREASED FROM \$36,776.72 TO \$70,000 BASED ON THE \$100,000 BUDGET. HERBERT RECOMMENDED LETTING THE BUDGET COMMITTEE REVIEW THE INFORMATION.

CHAIRMAN FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE HANDOUT PROVIDED WAS JUST FOR INFORMATION TODAY; DAVID SAID IT WAS FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY. CHAIRMAN FINCH AGREED FOR THE BUDGET COMMITTEE TO REVIEW THE PROPOSED BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER COPE SUGGESTED DAVID PROVIDE EACH OF THE BOARD MEMBERS A COPY OF THE CITY OF CHIPLEY'S ORDINANCE; HE FELT THEY HAD A GOOD ORDINANCE AND AGREED THERE SHOULD JUST BE ONE UNIFORM ORDINANCE FOR THE COUNTY AND ALL THE MUNICIPALITIES.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED DAVID WHEN HE WOULD BE ADDRESSING THE OTHER MUNICIPALITIES IN THE COUNTY ON THE PROPOSED ANIMAL CONTROL BUDGET. DAVID SAID, WITH THE PERMISSION OF THE BOARD, HE WOULD LIKE TO GO TO EACH OF THE MUNICIPALITIES NEXT AGENDAED MEETING.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO ALLOW DAVID TO ATTEND THE JANUARY BOARD MEETINGS OF ALL THE MUNICIPALITIES IN THE COUNTY AND PRESENT THEM WITH THE PROPOSED ANIMAL CONTROL BUDGET.

DAVID THEN ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING TO PURCHASE WRAPPING TO ROLL SOD AND IT WAS BILLED TO COMMISSIONER FINCH; COMMISSIONER FINCH HAD QUESTIONED WHAT THE BILL WAS FOR.

DAVID EXPLAINED IN THE PAST, THE WRAPPING WAS CHARGED TO WHEREVER THEY WERE WORKING; THE SOD PAYS FOR ITSELF.

COMMISSIONER FINCH AGREED THE SOD MACHINE HAS PAID FOR ITSELF AND A LOT MORE SOD HAS BEEN PUT OUT SINCE IT WAS PURCHASED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED HOW MUCH THE WRAPPING COST; DAVID SAID HIS LAST ORDER WAS FOR \$1,100. COMMISSIONER CORBIN THEN ASKED HOW MANY ROLLS OF SOD THIS WOULD COVER; DAVID SAID IT WOULD LAST A LONG TIME AS HE HAS ONLY PURCHASED WRAPPING THREE TIMES SINCE THEY PURCHASED THE SOD MACHINE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE DIDN'T SEE A PROBLEM WITH A DISTRICT PAYING THEIR SHARE FOR THE WRAPPING; HOWEVER, HE DOESN'T FEEL ONE DISTRICT SHOULD PAY FOR THE WHOLE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REITERATED IT HAD SHOWED UP UNDER HIS MATERIALS BUDGET THAT HE HAD PAID \$1,100 FOR SOD WRAPPING AND HE WAS NOT AWARE OF WHAT THIS WAS OR HOW IT HAD BEEN BEING HANDLED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF THIS EXPENSE SHOULD BE TAKEN DIRECTLY OUT OF ROAD AND BRIDGE; COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE THOUGHT IT WOULD.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE SOD WRAPPERS HAD BEEN BEING TAKEN OUT OF THE ROAD AND BRIDGE MATERIALS BUDGET; HE HAD BOUGHT IT BEFORE AND SOME OF THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS HAD TOO.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID AS LONG AS IT WAS UNDERSTOOD THE NEXT TIME THE WRAPPERS ARE PURCHASED, IT WILL COME OUT OF ANOTHER COMMISSIONER'S MATERIAL BUDGET. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE WANTED THIS TO COME OUT OF HIS MATERIALS BUDGET.

DAVID REQUESTED THE COMMISSIONERS WORK WITH HIM ON THE SOD AS HE HAS SEVERAL SOD PROJECTS TO DO.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID IF THE COUNTY IS GOING TO STAY IN THE SOD BUSINESS, THEY NEED TO TRY AND GET BETTER SOD. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE SOD WAS THE ANSWER TO A LOT OF THEIR MAINTENANCE PROBLEMS AND IF THERE WAS ANY WAY TO ENHANCE IT, THE BOARD NEEDS TO CONSIDER IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED DAVID BRING A FERTILIZER PLAN TO IMPROVE THE SOD AT THE FEBRUARY MEETING FOR THE BOARD TO APPROVE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WAS IT HAS BEEN BUSH HOGGED SO MANY TIMES, LEAVING DEAD GRASS ON THE SOD AND IT KILLS IT. HE HAS TRIED TO GET SOMEONE TO COME AND BALE THE HAY BUT HAS BEEN UNSUCCESSFUL.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE COUNTY IS CONTINUOUSLY PURCHASING HAY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SUGGESTED GETTING A CONTRACTOR TO COME AND BALE THE HAY WITH COMMISSIONER COPE REITERATING HE HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO GET ANYONE TO DO THIS. JIM MORRIS ADVISED THAT FFA BALES HAY EVERY YEAR TO FEED THEIR LIVESTOCK.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED THE BIGGEST COST TO BALING HAY WOULD BE THE LABOR TO GET IT OFF THE GROUND; DUE TO THE COUNTY ALREADY HAVING THE LABOR, THERE WOULDN'T BE A LOT OF EXPENSE JUST TO RUN A BALER TO BALE THE HAY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID A RAKE AND A BALER WOULD BE NEEDED; COMMISSIONER COPE SAID A HAY CUTTER WOULD BE NEEDED ALSO. SAPP SAID IT WOULD COST AN ESTIMATED \$20,000 FOR THE RAKE, CUTTER AND BALER.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REQUESTED DAVID GET INFORMATION ON THE COST TO PUT THE COUNTY IN THE HAY BALING BUSINESS. DAVID QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD WANTED NEW OR USED EQUIPMENT WITH COMMISSIONER COPE RECOMMENDING GETTING PRICES ON BOTH.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SUGGESTED GETTING A LUMP SUM PRICE FOR CUSTOM CUTTING, RAKING AND BALING PER BALE OF HAY. COMMISSIONER COPE AGREED TO TRY AND GET THIS INFORMATION.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY REQUESTED DAVID UPDATE THE BOARD ON THE INCIDENT WHERE A PERSON'S COWS WERE INJURED DUE TO ANIMAL CONTROL USING DOGS TO CAPTURE THEM FOR SAFETY REASONS.

DAVID REPORTED THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAD CALLED HIM EARLY ONE MORNING ABOUT COWS BEING OUT ON HIGHWAY 279; THE ANIMAL CONTROL DEPARTMENT, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND COWBOYS ARRIVED AT THE SCENE. THE COWBOYS COULDN'T GET THE COWS; THEY RAN INTO WOODS WHEN THE COWBOYS TRIED TO CAPTURE THEM WITH THE HORSES. THERE WERE TWO DEPUTIES AND A HIGHWAY PATROLMAN ON THE SCENE AT THIS TIME. DUE TO THE COWBOYS NOT BEING ABLE TO CAPTURE THE COWS WITHOUT THE DOGS AND DUE TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY OF THE CITIZENS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, THE COWBOYS WAS TOLD TO USE THE DOGS. HE APOLOGIZED FOR THE COWS BEING BITTEN BUT THEY HAD TRIED TO CATCH THEM WITHOUT THE DOGS; THEY HAD THEM CAUGHT WITHIN 30 MINUTES AFTER THE DOGS GOT OUT. HE DOESN'T RECOMMEND TURNING DOGS OUT ON CAPTURING LARGER ANIMALS UNLESS IT IS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY REASONS. DAVID SAID IF THEY HAD NOT TURNED THE DOGS OUT AND CAPTURED THE COWS, THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WAS GOING TO SHOOT THEM. HE SAID THE OWNER OF THE COWS HAS SENT A BILL FOR \$650 FOR ANIMAL CONTROL CAPTURING THE ANIMALS AND \$1,000 FOR THE DAMAGE DONE TO HIS HEIFERS. DAVID SAID HE MADE THE CALL ON CAPTURING THE ANIMALS AND HE TOLD THEM TO DO IT WITHOUT THE DOGS.

DAVID SAID THE OWNER ALSO WANTS THE COUNTY TO RE-ADDRESS THEIR POLICY ON USING DOGS TO CAPTURE COWS; HE HAS ADDRESSED THIS WITH THE BOARD AND THE ANIMAL CONTROL COMMITTEE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF THIS WAS A LEGITIMATE REQUEST ON THE OWNER OF THE COWS. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID HE WOULD DENY THE REQUEST IF HE WERE THE COMMISSIONERS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO DENY THE REQUEST FOR \$1,650 AND LET ATTORNEY HOLLEY REPLY TO THE OWNER'S LETTER.

COMMISSIONER COPE SUGGESTED IN THE FUTURE, IF THE ANIMALS ARE HURT IN ANY WAY, THEY BE TAKEN TO THE VETERINARIAN FOR AN OPINION.

DAVID REITERATED THE OWNER OF THE COWS WANTED THE BOARD TO VISIT THEIR CAPTURING PROCEDURES; HE REQUESTED ATTORNEY HOLLEY INCLUDE IN THE LETTER TO THE OWNER THAT HE HAD ADDRESSED THIS WITH THE BOARD AND NO DOGS WILL BE USED UNLESS IT IS ORDERED BY THE SHERIFF OR THEY HAVE NO OTHER CHOICE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED DAVID IF THEY KNEW WHOSE COWS THEY WERE. DAVID SAID THEY DID NOT AT THE TIME. FINCH RECOMMENDED ATTORNEY HOLLEY PUT IN THE LETTER ANIMAL CONTROL HAD THE AUTHORITY TO SHOOT THE ANIMALS BUT WANTED TO KEEP THEM ALIVE IF POSSIBLE; THEIR ONLY RECOURSE WAS TO USE THE DOGS TO CATCH THEM.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM TAKING ANIMALS THAT ARE HARMED TO A VET; HOWEVER, THE OWNER OF THE ANIMALS WILL HAVE TO PAY FOR THIS EXPENSE.

DAVID SAID HE ASKED THE HIGHWAY PATROL IF THEY NEEDED TO BE TAKEN TO THE VET BECAUSE THE COWS EARS WERE SPLIT; IF THEY HAD TAKEN THEM TO THE VET, THE OWNER WOULD HAVE HAD A BIGGER BILL THAN \$650.

DAVID SAID ALL HE HAD ON HIS MIND THAT MORNING WAS THE PUBLIC SAFETY OF WASHINGTON COUNTY; HE MADE THE BEST DECISION ACCORDING TO THE STATE LAWS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA UNDER FL STATUTE 588.16 DEALING WITH LIVESTOCK RUNNING AT LARGE.

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO TAKE ANY ACTION INSTRUCTING DAVID TO TAKE THE ANIMALS TO THE VET IF NECESSARY. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS JUST TO LEAVE THE DECISION AT DAVID'S DISCRETION.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED DAVID TO LET HIM KNOW WHEN HE WAS GOING TO CARYVILLE ON THE PROPOSED ANIMAL CONTROL BUDGET AND HE WOULD ATTEND THE MEETING WITH HIM.

DAVID INVITED THE OTHER COMMISSIONERS TO GO WITH HIM WHEN HE MAKES THE ANIMAL CONTROL BUDGET PRESENTATION TO THE MUNICIPALITIES IN THEIR DISTRICTS.

JIM MORRIS ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE PROPOSED ANIMAL CONTROL BUDGET BEING FOR NEXT YEAR; THE BUDGET WILL ADD A BUILDING, WHICH WILL MORE THAN TRIPPLE THE CAPACITY THEY HAVE NOW, ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL, MAINTENANCE ON THE VEHICLES, AN ADDITIONAL VEHICLE, GAS, ETC. HE POINTED OUT THAT SUNNY HILLS WAS GOING TO BE

INVOLVED WITH SHARING THE EXPENSE, WHICH WILL ACTUALLY HELP WITH THE COUNTY'S PORTION.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID SUNNY HILLS WAS INTERESTED IN BEING INVOLVED WITH THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE. HE ASKED WHAT SUNNY HILLS WAS GOING TO USE TO PAY FOR THEIR SHARE OF THE EXPENSE AND WAS ZANETIC AUTHORIZED TO USE MSBU FUNDS TO PAY FOR IT.

ZANETIC SAID MSBU FUNDS COULDN'T BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE; HOWEVER, THEY COULD PAY THE COUNTY FOR THINGS THEY CURRENTLY DON'T PAY THEM TO DO AND THE COUNTY COULD REDIRECT THE MONEY.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID THE BOARD DOESN'T NEED TO LET THE CITIZENS IN SUNNY HILLS FEEL THE MSBU FUNDS ARE BEING SPENT INAPPROPRIATELY. ZANETIC SAID TECHNICALLY IT WOULD NOT LOOK LIKE AN MSBU LINE ITEM.

MORRIS SAID THE \$100,000 PROPOSED ANIMAL CONTROL BUDGET WOULD HAVE TO BE THE ONE THAT WOULD HAVE TO WORK; THE BUILDING WOULD BE BASED ON A TEN YEAR PAYOUT AT \$4,500 A YEAR.

MORRIS ADDRESSED THE CITY ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE BEING A GOOD ORDINANCE; HOWEVER, THEY MAY WANT TO ADDRESS SOME ITEMS IN THE ORDINANCE TO PROTECT THE COUNTY ON ISSUES THAT DAVID HAD ADDRESSED ON THE USE OF DOGS IN CAPTURING ANIMALS. WITH THE SAME ORDINANCE THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY, MORRIS SAID NO ONE WOULD HAVE TO WONDER WHAT THE CRITERIA WAS NO MATTER WHERE THEY ARE IN THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER COPE FELT WITH THE NEW POSITION IN THE BUDGET, THIS WILL GET THE ADOPTIONS BACK UP. MORRIS AGREED BECAUSE, AT THE PRESENT TIME, THERE IS NO ONE TO STAY AT THE FACILITY ALL THE TIME.

HUGH ROCHE, BUILDING INSPECTOR, PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH CHART INFORMATION ON WHAT HAS BEEN GOING ON WITH BUILDING IN THE COUNTY OVER THE PAST FIVE YEARS; THE TYPE OF PERMITS, NATURE OF CONSTRUCTION, MANHOURS DEDICATED TO IT, EXPENSES, ETC.

HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE NUMBER OF BUILDING PERMITS GOING UP EACH YEAR:

1. RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION TRENDS--MORE PEOPLE WERE SETTING UP MOBILE HOMES; NOW THEY ARE BUILDING HOMES. THERE ARE SIX TO EIGHT TIMES THE NUMBER OF INSPECTIONS REQUIRED FOR A HOME COMPARED TO A MOBILE HOME.
2. COMMERCIAL CONSTRUCTION TRENDS--FELL DOWN IN 2002/2003 BUT, DUE TO THE GROWTH IN THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY AND ELSEWHERE IN THE COUNTY, IT WILL BE ON THE RISE AGAIN
3. FEES COLLECTED--\$35,000 TO \$40,000 RANGE; IT GOES BACK UP IN 2001 AND IN 2004, IT STEADILY DECLINES.
4. NUMBER OF INSPECTIONS--STABLE UNTIL 2004 AND IT IS CONTINUOUSLY RISING
5. BUDGET AVERAGE TO PERFORM INSPECTION--HAS BEEN CUT IN HALF; THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT BUDGET HAS BEEN CUT IN HALF WITH WORKLOAD INCREASING 30% TO 40%
6. THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT SHOULD HAVE SOMETHING WITHIN 60 DAYS ON THEIR OUTLOOK AND RECOMMENDATIONS ON WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE; RECOMMENDATIONS WILL BE MADE BASED ON WHAT THEY WILL PROJECT AS GROWTH.

ROCHE INVITED THE BOARD MEMBERS TO VISIT WITH HIM ON AN INDIVIDUAL BASIS TO PROVIDE THEM WITH WHATEVER INFORMATION HE MAY ASSIST THEM WITH.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE BOARD WOULD NEED TO HOLD A WORKSHOP WITH HIM PRIOR TO INCREASING THE PERMITTING FEES; THE BOARD NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO JUSTIFY ANY INCREASE IN FEES. HE REFERENCED WASHINGTON COUNTY ALREADY HAVING HIGHER PERMITTING FEES THAN ADJOINING COUNTIES.

ROCHE SAID IT WOULD HAVE BEEN EVIDENT TO HIM OVER THE PAST FOUR YEARS, THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT BUDGET SHOULD HAVE BEEN INCREASED DUE TO THE RISE IN GASOLINE PRICES, ETC. HE SAID HE WANTED TO PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH THE INFORMATION FOR THEM TO REVIEW AND TRY TO ASSIST HIM WITH SOME REMEDIES.

CHAIRMAN FINCH QUESTIONED THE NUMBER OF PERMITS GOING UP BUT THE FEES WERE COMING DOWN. ROCHE REITERATED THIS HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE MOBILE HOME PERMITS REQUIRING A SMALLER NUMBER OF PERMITS THAN WHEN BUILDING A HOME; THE REVENUE FROM EACH TYPE OF PERMIT STAYS THE SAME. THE REVENUE FOR A HOUSE IS SIMILAR TO A MOBILE HOME BUT THERE ARE MORE INSPECTIONS; IF THEY START PERMITTING HOUSES, THE REVENUES WOULD BE THE SAME, BUT THE NUMBER OF INSPECTIONS WOULD BE MUCH GREATER.

COMMISSIONER FINCH AGREED THE BOARD NEEDED TO UNDERSTAND THE INSPECTION PROCESS, WHAT NEEDS TO BE INSPECTED, WHAT FEES ARE BEING CHARGED AND THE PROJECTED GROWTH FOR THE COUNTY. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD PLAN A WORKSHOP WITH THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT.

ROCHE REQUESTED THE BOARD REVIEW THE INFORMATION PROVIDED; THE BOARD NEEDS TO TAKE ACTION SO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT CAN MAINTAIN SERVICES THAT ARE REQUIRED BY LAW. HE REFERENCED THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT PERFORMS MANY OTHER SERVICES OTHER THAN JUST INSPECTING; HOWEVER, THEY HAVE WORKED WITH EFFICIENCY BUT MAY COME UP SHORTHANDED IN THE FUTURE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REQUESTED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT WORK WITH ROCHE TO SET UP A WORKSHOP AS SOON AS ROCHE FEELS IT IS APPROPRIATE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD HE WOULD BE REQUESTING LATER FOR AN EXECUTIVE SESSION TO BE HELD PRIOR TO JANUARY 21ST. CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED ROCHE IF HE FELT HE COULD BE PREPARED FOR A WORKSHOP SOMEWHERE AROUND THE 21ST; ROCHE SAID HE WOULD BE PREPARED.

LLOYD POWELL, BUILDING OFFICIAL, INFORMED THE BOARD THEY WOULD NEED TO PUT ON ANOTHER BUILDING INSPECTOR IN TIME; HE REFERENCED THE NEW SCHOOL AND THE DOUBLING IN SIZE OF THE PRISON WOULD BE REQUIRING A LOT OF INSPECTIONS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REFERENCED THE GROWTH IN SUNNY HILLS AND DELTONA PUTTING HOUSES ON THOSE FIRST 22 LOTS THE COUNTY SOLD. POWELL THEN ADDRESSED THERE BEING AN OUT OF STATE CONTRACTOR THAT IS GOING TO COME IN AT SUNNY HILLS AND PUT 50 NEW HOMES IN.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, BEGAN WITH HIS REPORT:

- A. ROCHE ROAD-THEY HAVE MOVED TO THIRD PROJECT MANAGER WITH FL-DEP, MAT DETWILER OUT OF PENSACOLA; THEY ANTICIPATE MEETING WITH HIM WITHIN THE NEXT WEEK TO TWO WEEKS. DETWILER HAS THE DRAWINGS THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED ON THE ROCHE ROAD EROSION PROJECT TO DEP APPROVAL. HE WANTED THE BOARD TO BE AWARE THIS PROJECT HAS NOT BEEN FORGOTTEN BUT IT HAS HAD TO GO THROUGH SEVERAL PROJECT MANAGERS.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD NEEDED TO DO ANYTHING WITH THE NRCS AGREEMENT ON THE PROJECT; KNAUER SUGGESTED THEY HANG ONTO THE PROJECT AGREEMENT AS THE DEP STILL HAS TO PERMIT THE PROJECT.

WHEN QUESTIONED BY FINCH IF THE NRCS WAS A FUNDING SOURCE FOR THE PROJECT, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID IT WOULD PROVIDE \$45,000 FUNDING FOR THE ROCHE ROAD PROJECT.

- B. CR-273 SCRAP PROJECT-12.5 MILES OF RESURFACED WORK HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE STATE FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY; THE PROJECT WILL BEGIN ON THE ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY FROM HIGHWAY 277, INCLUDING SOUTH BOULEVARD, ACROSS HIGHWAY 77 ALL THE WAY TO LEDGER ROAD.

KNAUER ADDRESSED THERE BEING A DISCREPANCY IN THE WAY IT WAS AWARDED; IT ACTUALLY SAID 1.8 MILES SOUTH OF GILBERT MILL CREEK, IN REALITY IT WASN'T THAT DISTANCE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED IF THERE HAD BEEN ANY WORD BACK FROM THE STATE PERTAINING TO THE DISCREPANCY. HERBERT SAID VANESSA, WITH FL-DOT, WENT AND RODE THE ROADS AND SAID THERE WAS A DISCREPANCY; HOWEVER, SHE DIDN'T THINK THERE

WOULD BE A PROBLEM TO GET THE MILEAGE INCREASED BUT IT HAD TO GO THROUGH TALLAHASSEE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED HOW THE STATE CAME UP WITH THAT MILEAGE. KNAUER ADVISED HE DIDN'T KNOW AS THE STATE HAS THEIR OWN INSPECTORS TO COME AND REVIEW THE PROJECTS. KNAUER SAID ON THE AWARD IT INDICATED THERE WERE 25.7 LANE MILES; HOWEVER, IF YOU GO ALL THE WAY TO TO THE END OF ORANGE HILL IT IS 28.5 MILES.

KNAUER INFORMED THE BOARD THERE WAS NOT A LOT OF WORK TO DO ON THE PROJECT UNTIL THE JPA IS SIGNED WITH FL-DOT; THERE HAS BEEN NO ENGINEERING OR RESEARCH DONE AT THIS TIME. HE PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH INFORMATION ON WHAT IT MAY TAKE TO GET THE JOB DONE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THERE WAS NO DRAINAGE CULVERTS INCLUDED AND THERE ARE SEVERAL CROSS DRAINS WHICH WILL HAVE TO BE REPLACED. KNAUER SAID HE WAS ONLY TRYING TO GIVE THE BOARD A BALLPARK FIGURE ON THE ASPHALT COST; THERE WILL BE A LOT MORE COSTS INVOLVED.

KNAUER SAID HE WOULD BE LINING UP SOME CORES TO BE DONE ALL THE WAY FROM HWY 277 TO LEDGER ROAD IF FL-DOT AGREES. HE POINTED OUT THIS WOULD NEED TO BE DONE SO THEY WOULD KNOW WHAT THICKNESSES THEY WOULD BE DEALING WITH AS FAR AS THE EXISTING ASPHALT THICKNESSES; THEY WILL BE DIFFERENT ALL THE WAY THROUGH THE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF LEVELING THAT NEEDED TO BE DONE ON SOUTH BOULEVARD ON THE WEST SIDE OF HIGHWAY 77 AND FROM HIGHWAY 77 AROUND TO BRICKYARD ROAD. COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED KNAUER TO LOOK AT THE HEADWALLS ON SOUTH BOULEVARD AND HOYT STREET.

KNAUER ADDRESSED THE EXISTING CROSS DRAINS WERE NOT LONG ENOUGH AT THE INTERSECTION OF HIGHWAY 277 AND THE RADIUSSES WERE TERRIBLE COMING OFF OF HIGHWAY 277 ON TO SOUTH BOULEVARD.

KNAUER UPDATED THE NEW BOARD MEMBERS ON WHAT THE SCRAP PROGRAM WAS; SMALL COUNTY ROADWAY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM- THE FL-DOT DESIGNATES ABOUT \$25,000,000 A YEAR FOR SMALL RURAL COUNTIES TO DO MAINTENANCE ON ROADWAYS THAT USED TO BE SECONDARY HIGHWAYS THAT HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO THE COUNTIES. HE SAID THE COUNTY HAD SCORED GOOD THE FIRST THREE YEARS UNDER THE SCRAP PROGRAM; THE FL-DOT CUT IT OUT FOR TWO YEARS AND THE STATE IS JUST STARTING UP THE PROGRAM AGAIN. HE SAID HIGHWAY 280 AND HIGHWAY 284 WERE BOTH SCRAP PROJECTS.

HE EXPLAINED THE DIFFERENCE IN THE SCRAP AND SCOP PROGRAM WAS SCRAP WAS FUNDED AT 100% AND SCOP IS ONLY 75% FUNDED WITH THE COUNTY HAVING TO MATCH 25%

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED IF THERE WERE SUFFICIENT FUNDS ON THE SCRAP PROJECT, HE WOULD LIKE TO REPLACE THE CULVERTS RATHER THAN REPAIRING THEM WITH LINERS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REQUESTED THE ENGINEER SURVEY THE CULVERTS AND GIVE THE BOARD A RECOMMENDATION. KNAUER ADVISED HE WOULD BE DOING A SURVEY ON THE REMAINDER OF SOUTH BOULEVARD AND A GOOD PORTION ON THE OTHER SIDE OF HIGHWAY 77.

KNAUER SAID THERE WAS A FLAT SUPER ELEVATION BY THE FAIR- GROUND, BALLOON FESTIVAL SITE, THEY PLAN ON ADDRESSING AS WELL DUE TO THERE HAVING BEEN A LOT OF ACCIDENTS THERE. CHAIRMAN FINCH REFERENCED THE SCRAP PROJECT WILL ACTUALLY

IMPACT THREE DISTRICTS; COMMISSIONER COPE'S, COMMISSIONER CORBIN'S AND COMMISSIONER FINCH'S DISTRICTS. COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A COUPLE OF AREAS WHERE WATER GOES OVER THE ROAD; THE DRAINAGE IS NOT SUFFICIENT.

- C. BRIDGE ON ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY-KNAUER ADVISED THE REPAIRS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED AND THE CERTIFICATION FROM THE ENGINEERS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPAIRS IS SIGNED AND SEALED. NOW THAT ALL THE CERTIFICATIONS ARE IN HAND, KNAUER SAID IT WOULD BE AN APPROPRIATE TIME TO CALL FL-DOT TO DO THEIR REINSPECTION.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TOLD THE BOARD HE HAD AN INVOICE FROM MURPHREE BRIDGE COMPANY FOR \$39,600 ON THE BRIDGE REPAIR THE BOARD NEEDED TO APPROVE; KNAUER HAS RECOMMENDED APPROVAL. KNAUER SAID THEY DIDN'T CHARGE THE BOARD FOR THE RETAINAGE; HOWEVER, IN REALITY THEY ARE DONE WITH THE PROJECT AND RECOMMENDED APPROVING THE FULL AMOUNT OF \$44,000.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO PAY THE MURPHREE BRIDGE COMPANY INVOICE FOR \$39,600 AND RETAIN THE 10% UNTIL THEY GET INSPECTION APPROVAL FROM FL-DOT. KNAUER ASKED WHEN THE BOARD GETS APPROVAL FROM FL-DOT, COULD THE 10% RETAINAGE BE PAID TO MURPHREE. THE BOARD AGREED FOR THIS TO BE ADDED TO THE MOTION AND IT BE APPROVED.

- D. CREEK ROAD AND PARISH STEEL PROJECT-KNAUER SAID HE WAS ASKED BY THE BOARD AT THEIR LAST MEETING TO PUT TOGETHER SOME FIGURES SO THEY WOULD KNOW WHERE THEY STAND ON THESE PROJECTS:

- A. TOTAL BILL ON CREEK ROAD AND PARISH STEEL ROAD WAS \$296,014.83
- B. FOUR INVOICES ON THE PROJECT
- C. CREEK ROAD OVERLAY-\$9,450; AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING HE TOLD THE BOARD THERE WAS 158 TONS USED ON THE RESURFACE PROJECT. THE AMOUNT WAS MORE LIKE 210 TO 213 TONS
- D. PARISH STEEL ROAD-\$56,011.20
- E. CREEK ROAD EXTENSION-\$230,553.63

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THIS FIGURE INCLUDED THE LAST PART OF CREEK ROAD THAT WAS ADDED ON; KNAUER SAID THIS WAS JUST NEW CONSTRUCTION ON CREEK ROAD.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE LAST DAY OR TWO UNDER THE LAST ADMINISTRATION THERE WERE A FEW HUNDRED FEET ADDED ON. KNAUER SAID THAT WAS ON PARISH STEEL AND THEN SAID THERE WAS ABOUT A 400' SECTION ADDED ON TO CREEK ROAD AND IT WAS INCLUDED IN THE FIGURES HE PROVIDED.

KNAUER SAID THE INVOICE FOR \$63,158.67 IS A LITTLE CONFUSING; IT INCLUDED A CHANGE ORDER FOR THREE DIFFERENT ITEMS:

- 1. OVERLAY ON SECOND STREET ON THE END OF COLEMAN AVENUE FOR \$2,310
- 2. TWO DRIVEWAYS DONE ON COLEMAN AT THE SAME TIME FOR \$390
- 3. \$2,500 MOBILIZATION

KNAUER SAID THESE THREE CHANGE ORDERS COME OUT OF THE \$63,158.67 INVOICE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REQUESTED KNAUER PROVIDE A BREAKDOWN ON THE \$56,011.20 FOR PARISH STEEL ROAD; WHAT WAS ORIGINALLY THERE AND WHAT WAS ADDED THE LAST COUPLE OF DAYS.

KNAUER SAID THERE WAS .6 MILE DONE ON PARISH STEEL ROAD ORIGINALLY AT A COST OF \$26,611.20; .9 MILE WAS ADDED AT AT COST OF \$29,400. HE THEN REITERATED THE CREEK ROAD OVERLAY WAS 225 TONS AT \$9,450.

COMMISSIONER FINCH CONTENDED THE \$29,400 WAS NOT AUTHORIZED BY THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REITERATED COMMISSIONER HALL HAVING COME TO HIS HOUSE AND SAID THERE WAS CONFUSION WITH THE CONTRACTOR; HE HAD TOLD THE CONTRACTOR TO DO CREEK ROAD FIRST AND IF THEY HAD ANY ASPHALT LEFT OVER TO PUT IT ON PARISH STEEL. HE REFERENCED HIM NOT KNOWING WHAT TO SAY ABOUT WHAT HAD BEEN SAID ABOUT COMMISSIONER HALL WORKING OUT THERE HIMSELF.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND IF HE HAD HEARD OF ANYBODY THAT WAS ABLE TO VERIFY IF THERE WAS ANY COMMISSIONER ON SITE DURING THE TIME THE WORK WAS BEING DONE ON .9 MILE ADDITION ON

PARISH STEEL ROAD. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADVISED HE HAD; ATTORNEY HOLLEY REPORTED HE HAD INTERVIEWED SEVERAL WITNESSES THAT COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND HAD PROVIDED TO HIM; THERE IS AN INDICATION THERE WAS A COMMISSIONER ON SITE PART OF THE TIME. HE SAID HE PLANNED ON GIVING THE BOARD MEMBERS A WRITTEN SUMMARY ON WHAT THE WITNESSES SAY FOR THEM TO REVIEW AND LET THEM DECIDE AT THEIR NEXT MEETING WHAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO DO.

HOLLEY SAID IF THE BOARD WAS CONTEMPLATING SUING FOR THE \$29,000, HE NEEDED TO KNOW FROM THE ENGINEER WHAT KIND OF BENEFIT THE COUNTY INCURRED BY WHAT IS THERE, IF ANY.

KNAUER SAID WITH A LITTLE MORE WORK, IT WOULD BE A SUBSTANTIAL BENEFIT; IF SOME SHOULDERS COULD BE BUILT AND SOME SWALES MOVED OUT, IT COULD BE A DECENT ROAD.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HIS RESPONSE WOULD BE TO THAT IS THAT THE APPROPRIATE PLACE TO HAVE THAT MUCH ASPHALT OR WOULD THERE HAVE BEEN A MORE APPROPRIATE PLACE LIKE ON THE EXISTING CREEK ROAD TO PUT THE ASPHALT. FINCH POINTED OUT THERE WERE STILL AREAS ON CREEK ROAD THE COUNTY WAS GOING TO HAVE TO GO BACK AND OVERLAY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID PERSONALLY HE FELT LIKE COMMISSIONER HALL SHOULD HAVE FIXED CREEK ROAD BEFORE DOING ANYTHING ELSE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID OUT OF WHAT COMMISSIONER CORBIN HAS SAID, THIS IS WHAT COMMISSIONER HALL HAD INTENDED BUT THIS IS NOT SUBSTANTIATED IN ANY WAY.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID COMMISSIONER HALL HAD TOLD HIM HE HAD SOME EXTRA ASPHALT AND HE WAS GOING TO GO BACK TO PARISH STEEL; COPE SAID HE TOLD HIM HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. HOWEVER, COPE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW MUCH EXTRA HE WAS TALKING ABOUT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF ANY OF THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS HAD DRIVEN DOWN CREEK ROAD; HE SAID HE HAD AND IT CERTAINLY WAS IN NEED OF MORE REPAIRS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE \$29,000 THAT WAS SPENT ON PARISH STEEL ROAD WOULD HAVE FIXED CREEK ROAD; COMMISSIONER FINCH AGREED IT WOULD HAVE TOTALLY OVERLAID CREEK ROAD.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE BOARD HAD AGREED TO SPEND THE \$25,000 COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD GIVEN COMMISSIONER HALL FOR THE OVERLAY OF CREEK ROAD; THERE WAS \$9,000 SPENT AND QUESTIONED WHAT MONIES DID DISTRICT I HAVE LEFT.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER SAID DISTRICT I DIDN'T HAVE THE MONIES TO COVER ALL THE EXPENSES FOR THE CREEK ROAD AND PARISH STEEL ROAD WORK EVEN WITH THE \$25,000 COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD GIVEN HIM. SHE SAID IT APPEARED HE WOULD BE SHORT APPROXIMATELY \$19,000.

COMMISSIONER SAPP REFERRED TO THE BOARD HAVING RESCINDED THE MINUTES OF THE NOVEMBER 12TH MEETING AND ASKED DIDN'T HE GET HIS \$25,000 BACK.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID WHAT DISTURBED HIM WAS COMMISSIONER HALL WAS NOT A ROOKIE COMMISSIONER; HE HAD BEEN HERE EIGHT YEARS AND KNEW WHAT HE WAS DOING. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID, AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED, COMMISSIONER HALL HAD NO REASON TO GET CONFUSED WITH THE CONTRACTOR; HE SHOULD HAVE EXPLAINED HIMSELF AND MADE SURE THE CONTRACTOR KNEW WHAT TO DO. ALSO, CORBIN SAID IF HALL WAS OUT THERE WORKING, AS RUMORS IS, HE KNEW WHAT WAS HAPPENING.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO REMARKS MADE THAT COMMISSIONER HALL WAS A PRETTY GOOD STEWARD OF HIS MONEY; HE HAD MORE MONEY THAN ANY OF THE OTHER COMMISSIONERS AND WAS VERY CONSCIENTIOUS OF WHERE HE SPENT IT; HOWEVER, HE GOT OFF THE LAST FEW DAYS HE WAS THERE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF HALL HAD DONE WHAT WAS NECESSARY ON CREEK ROAD AND HAD ASPHALT LEFT, HE WOULDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM. COM- MISSIONER FINCH SAID THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT WAS VOTED ON TO BE DONE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE BELIEVED IT WAS SPECIFIED IN THE MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 12TH, THE \$25,000 COMMISSIONER BROCK GAVE COM- MISSIONER HALL WAS TO GO TOWARD CREEK ROAD.

COMMISSIONER FINCH AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND REFERRED TO SOME DISCUSSION HE HAD WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK ABOUT THE BOARD HAVING GIVEN HIM \$40,000 AND HE SHOULD GIVE THE \$25,000 BACK TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER COPE REFERENCED THERE BEING A SAME INCIDENT ON NEARING HILLS ROAD WHEN COMMISSIONER FINCH TOOK OVER; THE COUNTY WAS IN THE PROCESS OF DOING THAT ROAD AND IT DON'T LOOK A WHOLE LOT BETTER THAN PARISH STEEL ROAD AS THERE IS NO SHOULDERS ON IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH STATED HE DIDN'T BUILD THE ROAD; COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE KNOWED HE DIDN'T BUT HE HAD TO HELP PAY FOR IT OUT OF HIS DISTRICT MONIES. COPE SAID THE COUNTY WAS IN THE HOLE ON THAT PROJECT ALSO AND HE HELPED OUT ON THAT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN STATED NEARING HILLS WAS A BOARD AUTHORIZED EXPENDITURE; AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED, PARISH STEEL WAS NOT A BOARD AUTHORIZED EXPENDITURE. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IT SHOULD BE IN THE RECORD PARISH STEEL ROAD WAS NOT A BOARD AUTHORIZED EXPENDITURE.

E. CREEK ROAD-KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON HAVING RODE WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ON CREEK ROAD TO IDENTIFY SOME GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS TO MAKE IT A SAFE ROAD. HE MADE A LIST OF THINGS TO BRING THE ROADWAY UP TO MINIMUM GREENBOOK FL-DOT STANDARDS:

1. CHECK SUPER ELEVATION TO MAKE SURE SIGNAGE WAS PUT UP WITH AN APPROPRIATE SPEED LIMIT
COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED HOW KNAUER WAS GOING TO FIND A SPEED LIMIT THAT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE WITH AN EYEBALL SUPER ELEVATION. KNAUER SAID IT WOULD HAVE TO BE SURVEYED TO SEE WHAT CROSS SLOPE IS ON IT; BASED ON THE CROSS SLOPE, HE COULD COME UP WITH A SPEED LIMIT.

2. SOME HEADWALLS THAT NEED TO BE EXTENDED

3. SIX POWER POLES THAT NEED TO BE RELOCATED

4. SHOULDERS THROUGHOUT THE ROAD COULD USE SOME ADDITIONAL FILL TO BE BUILT OUT SO THERE WOULD BE A 6' SHOULDER AT 6%.
COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF THERE WAS SUFFICIENT RIGHT OF WAY TO DO THIS; KNAUER SAID THAT WOULD DEPEND ON WHAT HE IS CALLING RIGHT OF WAY. FINCH REFERRED TO THERE BEING FENCE LINE ALONG THE EDGE OF THE ROAD IN SOME PLACES AND OBVIOUSLY THERE WOULD BE AREAS WHERE THEY WOULD HAVE TO GET BEYOND SOMEONES FENCE UNLESS THE RIGHT OF WAY HAS ALREADY BEEN GIVEN.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF A 6' SHOULDER WAS PUT ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE ROAD, THE FENCE WOULD HAVE TO BE MOVED EVERYWHERE; THERE IS SOME FENCE ON THE LEFT SIDE THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE MOVED BUT THERE IS SOME EXTRA SPACE BETWEEN THE DITCH AND THE FENCE.

KNAUER SAID HE WOULDN'T JUST TALKING ABOUT PARISH STEEL WHICH IS WHAT COMMISSIONER CORBIN WAS TALKING ABOUT; HE WAS TALKING ABOUT CREEK ROAD, THE EXTENSION OF CREEK ROAD AND PARISH STEEL ROAD.

KNAUER SAID PARISH STEEL HAS A LOT OF AREAS WHERE THERE IS 20' TO THE FENCE LINE BEYOND WHAT IS OUT THERE NOW; IF THEY ARE GOING TO ASSUME THEY HAVE USE OF THE ROADWAY TO THE FENCE LINE, THERE IS PLENTY OF ROOM TO WIDEN IT. KNAUER SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE COUNTY HAD AUTHORIZATION OR NOT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY STATED THE COUNTY HAS THE RIGHT TO USE IT IF THEY HAVE BEEN MAINTAINING IT OVER THE YEARS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND IF THERE WERE ANY EASEMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN LEGALLY DESCRIBED THAT HAVE BEEN DESCRIBED ON THE CREEK OR PARISH STEEL ROAD. STRICKLAND SAID HE HAD NOT SEEN ANY BUT KNAUER MAY BE ABLE TO ANSWER THIS. ON THE PART OF PARISH STEEL ROAD THAT PRIOR COUNTY COMMISSIONER HALL PAVED, STRICKLAND SAID THE PEOPLE LIVING IN TRAILERS ON THAT PART SAID THE COUNTY COULD TAKE ALL THE LAND NEEDED.

STRICKLAND SAID THE COUNTY HAS WENT IN AND PULLED SOME DITCHES, SHAPED THE SHOULDERS AND IT LOOKS GOOD; ON THE EAST SIDE WHERE THERE IS A FENCE THAT IS PARTIALLY TORN DOWN, STRICKLAND SAID THE COUNTY TOOK THE BACKHOE EXCAVATOR AND WENT AS CLOSE AS THEY COULD TO IT AND PULLED THE DIRT UP TO THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT. HE SAID THEY GOT ENOUGH OF A SHOULDER TO PUT SOD DOWN. HE SAID THERE WERE STILL SOME BAD SPOTS ON THE ROAD IF THE CONTRACTOR COMES BACK THAT NEEDS FIXING; THIS COULD BE OVERLAYED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE BOARD WAS STILL LOOKING AT \$10,000 TO \$15,000 TO COMPLETE THE JOB; WIDEN THE SHOULDERS, EXTEND THE CULVERTS, ETC.

KNAUER SAID HE THOUGHT ON THE CREEK ROAD EXTENSION AND ON PARISH STEEL, THE ROADS COULD BE IN PRETTY GOOD SHAPE FOR \$10,000. HE REFERENCED THE TREMENDOUS BASE FAILURE ON CREEK ROAD WHERE THE OLD PAVEMENT WAS; THE ROAD IS NARROW AND THE LOGGING TRUCKS ARE EATING THE ROADS TO PIECES. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THERE WAS NO ALIGNMENT ON THE ROAD; AND SPEED LIMIT SIGNS FOR 20 MPH WERE NEEDED FOR SAFETY PURPOSES.

KNAUER SAID HE WAS TALKING ABOUT THE PART OF CREEK ROAD THAT WAS ALREADY PAVED AND FALLING APART; THIS PART IS STRAIGHT. COMMISSIONER SAPP DISAGREED WITH KNAUER'S REMARK ABOUT LOGGING TRUCKS DOING MORE DAMAGE TO A ROAD THAN ANYTHING ELSE; HE AGREED THEY MAY DO DAMAGE. HE SAID THE IMPACT OF THE ROAD, THE FREQUENT TRANSPORTATION OF THE ROADS HAULING MATERIALS IN A DUMP TRUCK DOES FAR MORE DAMAGE THAN ANY LOGGING OPERATIONS HAVE DONE OTHER THAN MISUSE OR ABUSE BY HAPHAZARDOUS WAYS OF DOING THINGS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID WHAT REALLY TORE UP THE ROADS WAS WHEN THE COUNTY TRUCKS WERE HAULING BASE THAT IS ON THE END OF THE CREEK ROAD AND THEN ASPHALT.

KNAUER RETRACTED HIS LOGGING COMMENT AND SAID THE ROAD WAS TORN UP.

- F. OLD BONIFAY HIGHWAY-KNAUER SAID THERE WAS A LITTLE BIT MORE WORK TO DO ON THE ROAD BEFORE BEING READY FOR ASPHALT; SHOULDERS NEED FINISHING OUT AND DIRT NEEDS TO BE HAULED. HE HAS BEEN WORKING WITH DALLAS CARTER AT PUBLIC WORKS ON GETTING THE ROAD READY FOR PAVING. COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED THE NUMBER OF TIMES THE COUNTY HAS GOTTEN THE ROAD READY TO PAVE; HE THEN SAID DALLAS FOUND OUT THIS WEEK THAT KNAUER WAS WANTING THE SHOULDER WORK DONE

IN ORDER TO GET IT PAVED.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE PROJECT HAD BEEN ONGOING FOR THREE YEARS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF HE WERE A CONTRACTOR DOING THE JOB, HE WOULDN'T GET THE ROAD READY FOR PAVEMENT UNTIL THE SHOULDERS, ETC. ARE PREPARED DUE TO IT COSTING A LOT OF MONEY TO KEEP PREPPING THE ROAD AND NOT DO ANYTHING.

COMMISSIONER COPE SUGGESTED, AS HE HAD IN THE PAST, TO TAKE ONE ROAD PROJECT, BUILD IT AND THEN MOVE TO ANOTHER IF THEY ARE GOING TO BE IN THE ROAD BUILDING BUSINESS; MOVING FROM ONE PROJECT TO ANOTHER IS NOT WORKING.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID HE AND KNAUER HAD SOME DISCUSSION ON HAVING A WORKSHOP AS SOON AS POSSIBLE ON GETTING A ROAD BUILDING CREW DESIGNATED TO CERTAIN PEOPLE WHO WILL WORK ON A ROAD. HE AGREED THERE HAD BEEN PROBLEMS WITH HAVING SEVERAL PROJECTS AND MOVING BACK AND FORTH, SWAPPING EQUIPMENT, ETC. HE POINTED OUT EACH DISTRICT HAS THE COUNTY EQUIPMENT FOR A COUPLE OF WEEKS, THEY GET THINGS ACCOMPLISHED AND THEN THE EQUIPMENT IS MOVED ELSEWHERE; BY THE TIME THE EQUIPMENT IS SCHEDULED BACK IN THE DISTRICT, THEY HAVE LOST A WEEK IN WHAT WAS ACCOMPLISHED THE LAST TIME IT WAS IN A DISTRICT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED WHERE THE COUNTY WOULD GET THE MONIES TO FUND A ROAD BUILDING CREW.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THIS IS WHY A WORKSHOP IS NEEDED TO FIGURE OUT A REMEDY; JUST BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY, THEY STILL NEED TO FIGURE OUT A BETTER WAY OF DOING WHAT IS BEING DONE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REFERENCED WHEN HE HAD RAY PIGOTT AND J. T. HARREL WORKING ON ONE OF HIS PROJECTS, THEY DID ABOUT 1.5 MILES OF ROAD FOR APPROXIMATELY \$6,000 TO 7,000 WITH AN OLD MOTORGRADER. DUE TO THE BOARD BEING AFRAID OF THEIR AGE AND HEALTH, CORBIN SAID THEY DISCONTINUED USING HARREL AND PIGOTT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE HAD OFTEN ARGUED YOU COULD TAKE A CHEAP MOTORGRADER AND A COUPLE OF SKILLED PEOPLE AND BUILD 20 TO 25 MILES OF ROAD A YEAR; YOU COULD BASE IT OUT AND HAVE IT READY FOR RESURFACING.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REQUESTED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SET UP A WORKSHOP WITH ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS, DALLAS AND ROBERT, ROAD AND BRIDGE SUPERVISORS, AND THE COUNTY ENGINEER TO DISCUSS THE ROAD BUILDING CREW.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID DURING THE WORKSHOP, THEY COULD GO OVER THE ROAD AND BRIDGE BUDGET WITH THE TWO NEW COMMISSIONERS; THEY CAN GET A COPY OF THE TRANSPORTATION BUDGET AND REVIEW IT PRIOR TO THE MEETING.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID A DATE AROUND THE 15TH OF JANUARY WOULD BE BETTER FOR HIM.

KNAUER SAID HE WOULD LOVE TO HELP THE BOARD BUILD A ROAD BUILDING TEAM; HE FEELS THIS WOULD BE GREAT FOR THE COUNTY. HE SAID THEY HAD A TREMENDOUS RESOURCE WITH SOME OF THE SKILLED PEOPLE THEY ALREADY HAVE EMPLOYED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED KNAUER ON WHAT HE HAD SAID ABOUT THE WORK PIGOTT AND HARREL HAD DONE ON A ROAD NEXT TO COUNTRY OAKS; CORBIN SAID KNAUER COMMENTED ON THE DITCHES, ETC. KNAUER SAID THIS SITUATION HAD BEEN DISCUSSED A NUMBER OF TIMES.

COMMISSIONER COPE REFERRED TO THE ROAD CORBIN WAS ADDRESSING WAS 300' WIDE. KNAUER SAID THE ROAD WAS THE STORM WATER; WHEN THE SAND WAS TOOK OUT AND A PLACE FOR THE WATER TO GO, IT DRAINED FAST.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THERE WAS DITCHES ON THE SIDE, THEY SOAK THE WATER RIGHT UP AND IT IS NOT IN THE ROADWAY. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE DATE TO HOLD A WORKSHOP WITH IT BEING DECIDED TO HAVE IT ON THE 18TH OF JANUARY AFTER THE EXECUTIVE SESSION TO BE HELD AT 8:00 A.M.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED A COURT REPORTER WOULD NEED TO BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR THE EXECUTIVE SESSION ON THE 18TH.

- G. FALLING WATERS ROAD MULTI/USE PATH-KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON GETTING CLOSE TO 60% PLANS ON THE PROJECT AND WANTED TO SCHEDULE A PUBLIC MEETING ON THE PROJECT FOR PUBLIC INPUT ON THE DESIGN, ANSWER QUESTIONS, ETC. WHO WILL BE USING THE PATH AND WHO WILL ALSO BE AFFECTED BY THE CONSTRUCTION. COMMISSIONER FINCH SUGGESTED HOLDING THE MEETING AT THE BLUE LAKE COMMUNITY CENTER/TDC OFFICE. COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF THE MEETING COULD BE HELD THE SAME DAY AS THE WORKSHOP ON JANUARY 18TH AT THE COUNTY ANNEX.

WHEN QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH IF HE WOULD HAVE INFORMATION DISPLAYED, KNAUER SAID HE WOULD SET UP A POWER POINT PRESENTATION AND HAVE SOME PLANS TO PROVIDE TO THE PEOPLE SO THEY COULD TAKE THEM WITH THEM.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SUGGESTED HAVING IT AT 1:00 ON THE 18TH AFTER THE WORKSHOP.

CHAIRMAN FINCH RECOMMENDED HAVING THE MEETING WHEN PEOPLE COULD COME RATHER THAN HAVING IT DURING THE MIDDLE OF THE DAY. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF PEOPLE ARE INTERESTED, THEY WILL COME.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SUGGESTED HAVING IT AT THE JANUARY 27TH BOARD MEETING; IT WOULD BE ADVERTISED ALREADY. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO HOLD IT DURING THE JANUARY 27TH MEETING. KNAUER THEN UPDATED THE NEW COMMISSIONERS ON THE BIKE PATH PROJECT. HE REPORTED A GRANT APPLICATION WAS PUT TOGETHER TO APPLY FOR BUILDING A MULTI-USE PATH FOR PEDESTRIANS, BICYCLISTS, JOGGERS, ETC. FROM THE CORNER OF MCDONALDS WHERE HIGHWAY 77 AND BRICKYARD ROAD COME TOGETHER DOWN TO FALLING WATERS ROAD, HEAD SOUTH ON FALLING WATERS ROAD ALL THE WAY TO STATE PARK ROAD AND THEN FROM STATE PARK ROAD BACK TO HIGHWAY 77. KNAUER SAID THE COUNTY WOULD RECEIVE \$768,000 TO \$778,000 FOR THE FL-DOT ENHANCEMENT GRANT PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF THERE WERE A LOT OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AT THE PUBLIC HEARING AND THE PEOPLE WANTED SOME CHANGES, COULD CHANGES BE MADE TO THE PLANS.

KNAUER SAID HE FELT THEY COULD BE CHANGED AS LONG AS FL-DOT WAS NOTIFIED AND APPROVED OF THE CHANGES. CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID AS LONG AS THE CHANGES STAY WITHIN THE SAME SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND THE BEGINNING AND THE END OF THE PROJECT REMAINS THE SAME.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IT WAS ALWAYS GOOD TO INVOLVE THE PUBLIC BUT HE DOESN'T KNOW OF ANYTHING THAT COULD BE CHANGED ON THE PROJECT. CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID HE THOUGHT THE BOARD WAS REQUIRED TO INVOLVE THE PUBLIC.

KNAUER SAID HE WAS NOT SURE IF THE BOARD IS REQUIRED TO HOLD PUBLIC MEETINGS BUT IT IS ALWAYS BEST TO DO SO.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE THOUGHT PUBLIC INPUT WAS REQUIRED.

- H. LEISURE LAKE ROAD-KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING A LANDOWNER ON THE ROAD HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A MEETING WITH TWO COMMISSIONERS AND ASKED ATTORNEY HOLLEY HOW HE COULD DO THIS. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED KNAUER HE WOULD HAVE TO MEET WITH THEM SEPARATELY.
- CHAIRMAN FINCH ADVISED COMMISSIONER SAPP THIS WAS A PROJECT HE HAD BEEN WORKING ON BUT IT WAS IN COMMISSIONER SAPP'S DISTRICT; LEISURE LAKE COMES FROM HIGHWAY 77 TO THE LEISURE LAKE DEVELOPMENT AND HE IS WANTING TO PAVE CARTER CIRCLE WHERE THE MILLED ASPHALT STOPS ON INTO THE GATE. HOWEVER, THERE ARE SOME SITUATIONS ON A CURVE THEY NEED TO GET THE PROPERTY OWNER TO GIVE THE COUNTY SOME LAND; THE LAND OWNER HAS AGREED A TIME OR TWO BUT EACH TIME, HE BACKS OFF. HE AND KNAUER WANTS TO MAKE ONE LAST EFFORT TO TRY AND GET THE LANDOWNER TO ALLOW THEM TO GET THE PROPERTY NEEDED ALONG HIS PROPERTY LINE TO MAKE BETTER SHOULDERS AND DO BETTER DRAINAGE WORK.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REQUESTED KNAUER SET THE MEETING UP WITH THE LANDOWNER AND HE AND SAPP WOULD MEET AT SEPARATE TIMES.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY REQUESTED AN EXECUTIVE SESSION BE HELD ON JANUARY 18TH AT 8:00 TO DISCUSS PENDING LITIGATION WITH NORTHERN TRUST.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED THERE BEING TEN MOTIONS THAT WERE ACTED ON AND PASSED IN THE NOVEMBER 12TH MEETING AND SOME OF THEM NEED TO BE REAFFIRMED:

1. ACCEPT THE BID FROM DELTONA TO PURCHASE SUNNY HILL PROPERTIES. COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN TO ACCEPT THE BID ON THE LAND SALES FOR THE ORIGINAL SUNNY HILLS FOR 22 LOTS. CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY DISCUSSION; THERE WAS NO RESPONSE. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.
2. PAY \$600 TO MARILYN CARTER FOR TREES THE COUNTY HAD DAMAGED OFF THE RIGHT OF WAY. CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID THE BOARD CAME BACK AT THEIR NEXT BOARD MEETING AND TOOK CARE OF THAT MATTER. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THE MOTION TO PAY THE \$600 TO CARTER FAILED ON NOVEMBER 12TH ANYWAY.
3. COMMISSIONER BROCK GAVE COMMISSIONER HALL \$25,000 OF HIS DISTRICT MONIES. CHAIRMAN FINCH QUESTIONED COMMISSIONER SAPP IF HE WANTED TO REAFFIRM THIS ACTION OR KEEP THE \$25,000.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID IF SAPP KEEPS THE \$25,000, THE BOARD WILL HAVE TO COME UP WITH IT SOMEWHERE ELSE; IT HAS ALREADY BEEN SPENT.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID IT WOULD LEAVE HIM ABSOLUTELY BROKE IF HE REAFFIRMED THE ACTION AND HE WAS AGAINST THIS BEING DONE THE DAY THE ACTION WAS TAKEN.

COMMISSIONER FINCH AGREED IF THE \$25,000 IS GOING TO BE FUNDED, IT SHOULD BE LOOKED AT FROM SOME OTHER METHOD THAN TAKING ALL OF SAPP'S FUNDING; HE DIDN'T FEEL THE ACTION TAKEN WAS APPROPRIATE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADVISED SAPP HE COULD MAKE A MOTION HE DIDN'T WANT TO GIVE \$25,000 TO COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND. COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED NO ACTION WOULD BE NEEDED IF THE BOARD DIDN'T WANT TO REAFFIRM THE ACTION THAT WAS TAKEN; THE MINUTES HAVE ALREADY BEEN RESCINDED.

4. COMMISSIONER BROCK GAVE \$2,000 TO PARK AND RECREATION FROM

HIS INTEREST MONIES TO ASSIST WITH THE PARKS UTILITY BILLS AND TRANSFERRED \$1,000 TO TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL FOR THEIR COMMODITY EXPENSES. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE HAD SPOKEN WITH DAVID CORBIN, PARK AND RECREATION DIRECTOR, ABOUT THE MONIES AND HE WASN'T LOOKING FOR THE MONIES TO COME INTO HIS FUND ANYWAY. COMMISSIONER SAPP THEN MADE A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO RECLAIM THE \$2,000 TO BE PUT IN HIS DISTRICT 3 MONIES AND GIVE TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL THE \$1,000.

5. STACY WEBB UPDATED THE BOARD ON BEING IN THE PROCESS OF RESUBMITTING TWO FRDAP APPLICATIONS; THE BOARD APPROVED OF WEBB'S REQUEST TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION ADDING HUNTER PARK AND HINSON CROSS ROADS PARK TO THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO REAFFIRM THE BOARD'S ACTION OF NOVEMBER 12TH TO ADD THE TWO PARKS TO THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN.

6. ADVERTISE THE LOTS FOR THE SECOND SALE AT SUNNY HILLS. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO REAFFIRM THE ADVERTISING OF THE LOTS FOR THE SECOND SALE AT SUNNY HILLS.

7. RELEASE OF LIABILITY FORM FOR THE HUNTERS TO CROSS THE COUNTY PROPERTY TO GET TO THEIR HUNTING LEASE NEAR CARYVILLE.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID IT WAS A COUNTY EMPLOYEE WHO HUNTS ON A LEASE ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE PROPERTY; THEY ARE WANTING PERMISSION TO CROSS THE COUNTY PROPERTY TO GET TO THE LEASED PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO REAFFIRM BOARD'S ACTION TO ALLOW THE HUNTERS TO CROSS THE COUNTY PROPERTY. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OPPOSED.

8. ACCEPT EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT WITH PETER HERBERT WITH A CHANGE OF ONE YEAR RATHER THAN TWO YEARS. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THERE WAS A COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION THAT HADN'T COME UP YET IF THEY WOULD LIKE TO WAIT FOR IT. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO WAIT ON THE RECOMMENDATION.

9. TRAVEL PAY TO BE READJUSTED WITH NEW COMMISSIONERS KEEPING UP WITH THEIR TRAVEL FOR ONE MONTH. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID IT WAS HARD FOR HIM TO KEEP UP WITH HIS MILEAGE TO GO WITH HIS INTER-RELATED BUSINESS WORK ALONG WITH HIS COUNTY WORK UNLESS IT IS A TRIP SOMEWHERE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE BOARD ONLY KEEPS UP WITH THEIR MILEAGE ONE MONTH A YEAR, USUALLY IN AUGUST; HE DIDN'T FEEL THE BOARD NEEDED TO DO ANYTHING UNTIL NEXT YEAR. THEY COULD JUST TURN IN MILEAGE FOR OUT OF COUNTY TRAVEL.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID COMMISSIONER HALL TURNED IN APPROXIMATELY 1,000 MILES; HE HAS KEPT UP WITH HIS MILEAGE FOR A MONTH AND IT TOTALS 1,900. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO ACCEPT COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S MILEAGE FOR THE MONTH HE KEPT UP WITH IN LIEU OF COMMISSIONER HALL'S MILEAGE WITH COMMISSIONER SAPP KEEPING THE SAME MILEAGE COMMISSIONER BROCK TURNED IN UNTIL NEXT YEAR.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED DEPUTY CLERK CARTER IF SHE WAS GOING TO RECALCULATE USING COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S

MILEAGE AND REFIGURE THE AMOUNT EACH COMMISSIONER WILL RECEIVE. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED IF THIS WAS LEGAL AND THE BOARD INSTRUCTED HER TO, THIS IS WHAT WOULD BE DONE. COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF THIS WAS LEGAL. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THE MILEAGE COULD BE RE-AVERAGED USING THE MILEAGE TURNED IN FOR AUGUST AND REPLACING HALL'S MILEAGE WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S MILEAGE. THE MOTION CARRIED. 10. SIGN THE 30 YEAR LEASE FROM SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO REAFFIRM BOARD'S ACTION TO SIGN THE 30 YEAR LEASE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID HE HAD THE CONTRACT WITH PETER HERBERT AND WHAT THE COMMITTEE DID; THE BOARD HAD BEEN PROVIDED A COPY OF THE CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THEY HAD A COPY OF THE NEW CONTRACT BUT DID NOT RECEIVE ANY MINUTES FROM THE COMMITTEE WORKING ON THE CONTRACT AS TO WHO WAS THERE AND WHAT WENT ON AT THE MEETING. HE QUESTIONED WHO WAS AT THE COMMITTEE MEETING.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THAT JERRY SASSER WAS NOT THERE AND COMMISSIONER FINCH HAD APPOINTED A NEW MEMBER TO SERVE ON THE COMMITTEE, GLENN ZANETIC. THE OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS WERE PRESENT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN WANTED TO HOLD A WORKSHOP ON THE CONTRACT. COMMISSIONER FINCH DID NOT FEEL LIKE A WORKSHOP WAS NECESSARY; ALL THE ACTION FROM THE NOVEMBER 12TH HAS ALREADY BEEN RESCINDED. IF THE BOARD WANTS TO TAKE THE COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION, THEY CAN BUT IF NOT, THEY DON'T HAVE TO.

COMMISSIONER SAPP REPORTED HE HAD CHECKED WITH OTHER COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND ADMINISTRATORS, DURING A MEETING THAT WAS HELD DOWN SOUTH, ON WHAT THEY WERE DOING IN THEIR SMALL COUNTY ADMINISTRATIONS. HE SAID MOST OF THEM HAD A CONTRACT WITH THEIR ADMINISTRATORS; HOWEVER, THE LIMITATIONS VARIED. HE FOUND THE AVERAGE OF THE COUNTIES HAD AN AVERAGE OF SIX MONTHS TERMINATION CLAUSE IF THEIR ADMINISTRATOR WAS SUSPENDED; THE LENGTH FOR THE TERM OF THE CONTRACT RUN FOR ABOUT THREE YEARS AND WERE RENEWABLE EACH YEAR SO THEY RETAINED A THREE YEAR CONTRACT YEAR BY YEAR. AT THE END OF EACH YEAR, IT WOULD BE UP FOR BOARD APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL TO KEEP THE ADMINISTRATOR THE FOLLOWING THREE YEARS. IF THEY DISAPPROVED, THERE WAS A TWO YEAR CONTRACT LEFT AT THAT TIME WITH A SIX MONTHS SEVERANCE PAY; IF THEY CHOSE TO KEEP HIM ANOTHER YEAR, THE ADMINISTRATOR WOULD HAVE ANOTHER THREE YEAR CONTRACT AGAIN. BY DOING THIS, IT WOULD ENSURE THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR WOULD KNOW HE WOULD MORE THAN LIKELY BE TERMINATED IN THE NEXT TWO YEARS OR HE KNEW HE WOULD BE SAFEGUARDED BY ANOTHER YEAR EXTENSION AND HAVE THREE YEARS AGAIN TO WORK OFF OF AND A SIX MONTHS SEVERANCE PAY CONSIDERING HE WAS SUSPENDED DUE TO THE BOARD JUST WANTING TO GET A DIFFERENT ADMINISTRATOR. ALSO, THERE IS PROVISIONS THE ADMINISTRATOR WOULD NEED TO ADVISE THE BOARD 90 DAYS PRIOR TO HIM DESIRING TO LOOK FOR ANOTHER JOB SO THE COUNTY WOULDN'T BE LEFT WITHOUT AN ADMINISTRATOR ON A SHORT NOTICE; THIS WOULD GIVE THE BOARD AMPLE TIME TO LOOK FOR ANOTHER ADMINISTRATOR SHOULD THEY NEED TO.

THE COMMITTEE'S CONSENSUS WAS THE CONTRACT THEY WOULD BE PRESENTING THE BOARD TODAY WOULD PROBABLY REFLECT SOME CONSENSION ON THE ADMINISTRATOR'S SIDE FROM HIS VIEW POINT AND CONSENSION FROM THE BOARD'S SIDE WHERE IT WAS PREVIOUSLY ADDRESSED AT A BOARD MEETING WHERE THE TWO YEAR CONTRACT WAS REDUCED DOWN TO SEVERANCE PAY, UP TO TWO YEARS SEVERANCE PAY REDUCED DOWN TO ONE YEAR SEVERANCE PAY, WITH A FIVE YEAR CONTRACT.

SAPP THEN STATED THE COMMITTEE WAS RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD A THREE YEAR CONTRACT WITH A ONE YEAR, YEAR TO YEAR RELEASE AT THE END OF EACH YEAR WHICH WILL MAINTAIN A THREE YEAR CONTRACT AND A SIX MONTHS SEVERANCE PAY WITH THE OTHER ITEMS THEY HAVE WENT OVER.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED SAPP IF HE WAS MAKING A MOTION FOR THE BOARD TO TAKE THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE. SAPP SAID DUE TO COMMISSIONER COPE HAVING

MADE THE PREVIOUS MOTION PERTAINING TO THE ADMINISTRATOR'S CONTRACT, HE REQUESTED HE MAKE THE MOTION.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID COMMISSIONER SAPP CHAIRED THE COMMITTEE AND EVERYONE AGREED TO THE RECOMMENDATION; JERRY SASSER WAS THE ONLY MEMBER NOT PRESENT.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP FOR DISCUSSION TO ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION REPORT FROM THE COMMITTEE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED A WORKSHOP BE HELD SO ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS CAN TELL THE ADMINISTRATOR THEIR VIEWS, ETC.,; HE SAID THE BOARD WAS OPENING UP A CAN OF WORMS AS EVERYBODY ELSE THAT WORKS WITH THE COUNTY WILL WANT A CONTRACT, TOO. HE REFERRED TO A NUMBER OF THE EMPLOYEES HAVING ASKED HIM WHY THE ADMINISTRATOR WAS ENTITLED TO A CONTRACT WHEN THEY ARE NOT ENTITLED TO A CONTRACT. HE SAID IF A WORKSHOP WAS HELD PRIOR TO ACTING ON THE RECOMMENDATION, HE MAY HAVE SOME DEMANDS HE MAY WANT TO SEE IN THE FUTURE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT IF HE HAD ANY COMMENTS PERTAINING TO THE CONTRACT. HERBERT SAID HE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER SAPP'S SUMMARY OF THE COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED COMMISSIONER SAPP, IF IN HIS DISCUSSIONS WITH OTHER COMMISSIONERS FROM OTHER COUNTIES, DID OTHER COUNTIES HAVE CONTRACTS WITH THEIR EMPLOYEES OTHER THAN THE ADMINISTRATION. COMMISSIONER SAPP ADVISED THEY DID NOT; ONLY THE ADMINISTRATIVE END.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED COMMISSIONER SAPP IF HE SPECIFICALLY ASKED ABOUT CONTRACTS WITH OTHER EMPLOYEES. CORBIN SAID THE ROAD FOREMEN AND OTHERS SERVE AT THE PLEASURE OF THE BOARD.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THAT EVERY EMPLOYEE SERVES AT THE PLEASURE OF THE BOARD; THERE MAY BE OTHER EMPLOYEES THE COUNTY NEEDS TO LOOK AT BUT HE DOESN'T KNOW OF ANY COUNTY WHO HAS A CONTRACT WITH A REGULAR COUNTY EMPLOYEE. IN ADDRESSING OTHER PEOPLE THE BOARD MAY WANT TO LOOK AT, HOLLEY REFERENCED HIM NOT KNOWING IF ROGER HAGAN, EOC DIRECTOR, HAD A CONTRACT, IF HE WAS INTERESTED OR IF HE EVEN WANTED ONE. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WANTED ONE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED TO MAKE A STATEMENT: HE HAS GOTTEN ALONG GOOD WITH ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT; HERBERT CALLS HIM WHEN HE FEELS IT IS APPROPRIATE AND HE HAS NEVER ASKED HIM TO DO ANYTHING THAT HE HASN'T DONE AND HAS NO ILL WILL WITH HIM WHATSOEVER. HOW- EVER, HE DOESN'T THINK THE BOARD NEEDS A CONTRACT POSITION; THERE HAS BEEN AN ADMINISTRATOR SINCE THE FIRST ONE, MR. SYFRETT, IN 1975 OR 1976. THE BOARD HAS NEVER HAD A PROBLEM WITH ONE OR DOESN'T KNOW OF ONE THEY HAVE FIRED. HE REITERATED THE BOARD WOULD BE OPENING UP A CAN OF WORMS THEY DON'T HAVE TO OPEN UP.

COMMISSIONER SAPP STATED HE FELT THERE WAS A REASONING FOR THE BOARD TO ASK HIM TO BE ON A COMMITTEE; WHY DISCUSS A CONTRACT IF THEY ARE NOT GOING TO LOOK AT ONE. HE SAID THERE WAS A FUTILE STAY ON HIS PART TO LISTEN TO THE BOARD TO GO AND NEGOTIATE THE CONTRACT THEY ALREADY HAVE IF THEY WERE NOT GOING TO HAVE ONE AT ALL. HE SAID HE WAS NOT DISAGREEING IT MAY BE THE WRONG THING; BUT, THIS IS WHAT THE BOARD SENT HIM TO DO AND HE ORGANIZED AND SPENT TIME WITH OTHERS IN DOING IT. IF THIS IS GOING TO DISPLEASE THE BOARD, HE WOULD RATHER NOT BE ON A COMMITTEE THAT IS GOING OUT FOR JUST A FUTILE THING.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADVISED SAPP HE WOULD FIND OUT WITH THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS A LOT OF TIME HE WOULD COME BACK WITH SOME GOOD RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WON'T BE WORTH A FLIP; JUST BECAUSE HE WAS ON A COMMITTEE DOESN'T MEAN THE BOARD WILL ACCEPT A RECOMMENDATION.

COMMISSIONER SAPP STATED HE WAS NOT ASKING THE BOARD TO ACCEPT HIS RECOMMENDATION BUT CONSIDER THE TIME AND EFFORT PUT INTO THE CONTRACT.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID HE APPRECIATED THE TIME AND EFFORT; BUT, IF THE COMMITTEE COMES BACK WITH SOMETHING HE AGREES WITH, HE WILL VOTE FOR IT; HOWEVER, IF THEY COME BACK WITH SOMETHING HE DISAGREES WITH, HE WON'T. HE INFORMED SAPP HE HAD THE SAME OPTION IF HE WERE ON A COMMITTEE AND HE DISAGREED WITH WHAT THE RECOMMENDATION WAS; IT WAS NOTHING PERSONAL.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ADDRESSED THERE BEING A MOTION AND SECOND ON THE FLOOR TO ACCEPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE AND QUESTIONED IF THEY WANTED TO ACT ON THIS MOTION AND THEN DECIDE IF THEY WANT A WORKSHOP OR NOT THAT WAS REQUESTED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF THEY ACT ON THE MOTION, THEY CAN'T HOLD A WORKSHOP UNLESS THEY ACCEPT A FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION THAT HE REQUESTED A WORKSHOP AND VOTE ON THAT. CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED IF THIS COULD BE ADDED AS A STIPULATION IF THEY APPROVE IT. COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED NO.

CHAIRMAN FINCH THEN POLLED THE BOARD ON THE MOTION. COMMISSIONER CORBIN, FINCH AND STRICKLAND VOTED NO WITH COMMISSIONER COPE AND SAPP VOTING YES; THE MOTION FAILED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION TO HAVE A WORKSHOP ON THE ADMINISTRATOR TO TRY AND WORK OUT SOMETHING EVERYONE CAN SUPPORT ON A CONTRACT AND A SEVERANCE PAY OR HE WOULD MAKE A MOTION AT THIS TIME ON WHAT HE WAS WILLING TO DO.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE WOULD ALMOST SAY FOR COMMISSIONER CORBIN TO JUST SAY WHAT HE IS WANTING TO DO; WITH THE WORKSHOP, THE BOARD HAS GOTTEN THEIR IDEAS ON WHAT POSITION WAS TAKEN.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WAS PREPARED TO DO THIS TODAY; HE HAS REVIEWED THE CONTRACT EVER SINCE IT HAS COME UP. CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID BEFORE GOING THROUGH A WORKSHOP, HE FEELS CORBIN SHOULD SAY WHAT HE IS WANTING TO DO.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN WITHDREW HIS PREVIOUS MOTION. HE THEN OFFERED A MOTION TO ENTER INTO A ONE YEAR CONTRACT WITH THE ADMINISTRATOR WITH THREE MONTHS SEVERANCE PAY IF HE IS DISMISSED WITHOUT CAUSE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED THE MOTION.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID THE FIRST THING WAS TO SEE IF THE ADMINISTRATOR WOULD ENTERTAIN ACCEPTING THOSE TERMS. COMMISSIONER CORBIN DISAGREED; THE BOARD CAN OFFER THIS TO THE ADMINISTRATOR AND IF HE DOESN'T WANT THEM, HE DON'T HAVE TO ACCEPT IT.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID HE UNDERSTOOD BUT HE WANTED TO HAVE DISCUSSION; HE FEELS THIS IS APPROPRIATE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF HE WAS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE COUNTY, HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO CONSIDER WHAT THE MAJORITY OF THE BOARD WANTED RATHER THAN COME ON WITH THREE COMMISSIONERS NOT BEING HAPPY WITH WHAT THE TERMS ARE. HE SAID HE BELIEVED AT THE END OF ONE YEAR, WHEN HERBERT THINKS HIS JOB IS SECURE AND SEES THE NEW COMMISSIONERS AREN'T OUT TO FIRE HIM, HE DOESN'T FEEL HE WILL ASK FOR A CONTRACT. HE FEELS SOMEONE PUT THE SCARE TACTICS IN HERBERT AND THIS IS WHAT HAS HAPPENED.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REQUESTED DEPUTY CLERK CARTER READ THE MOTION. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE OFFER TO THE ADMINISTRATOR WAS FOR A ONE YEAR CONTRACT AND IF FIRED WITHOUT CAUSE DURING THE YEAR, HE GETS THREE MONTHS SEVERANCE PAY. COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDED THE CONTRACT WOULD BE VISITED EACH YEAR.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REFERRED TO ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAVING MADE APPLICATIONS IN BAY COUNTY, JACKSON COUNTY AND HAS BEEN SOLICITING ANOTHER JOB; HE QUESTIONED WHAT KIND OF CONSIDERATION HERBERT WOULD HAVE GIVEN THE BOARD IF HE HAD GOTTEN A BETTER JOB. HE SAID THE BOARD WAS NOT DOING ANYTHING TO HERBERT OR PUNISHING HIM IN ANY WAY OR ABUSING HIM AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED; HIS OPINION IS THIS IS A FAIR OFFER.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED THERE NOT BEING ANYTHING IN THE MOTION ON NOTIFICATION SHOULD THE ADMINISTRATOR DECIDE TO QUIT AND GO SOMEWHERE ELSE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED COMMISSIONER CORBIN IF HE WANTED TO MAKE A MOTION FOR ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO DRAW UP THE CONTRACT AND PUT IN THE TERMS HE IS REQUESTING; THIS WAY, THE BOARD WILL HAVE A CONTRACT PRIOR TO ANYTHING HAPPENING. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE HAD NO PROBLEM WITH REQUESTING HERBERT GIVE A 30 DAY NOTICE SHOULD HE ACCEPT EMPLOYMENT ELSEWHERE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP REFERRED TO A CONTRACT ALREADY BEING DRAWN UP WHICH HAD A LOT OF TIME INVOLVED; IF THE BOARD IS LOOKING AT CHANGING THE TIME FRAME ON

TERMINATION MOST OF ALL FROM SIX MONTHS TO THREE MONTHS AND FROM THREE YEARS RENEWABLE EACH YEAR DOWN TO ONE YEAR; THERE IS ALREADY PROVISIONS IN THE CONTRACT DRAWN UP FOR A 90 DAY NOTIFICATION BY EITHER PARTY. HE SUGGESTED LEAVING EVERYTHING THE SAME IN THE CONTRACT ALREADY DRAWN UP; IF THE BOARD WANTS TO VOTE ON THE CONTRACT WHICH HAS BUILT IN IT THE SUBSEQUENT RAISES, ETC. IF THEY WANT TO CHANGE THE TIME FRAME, TAKE A VOTE ON THAT BY THE BOARD AND HE RECOMMENDED JUST CHANGING THE TWO DATES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED WHO DRAFTED THE CONTRACT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THE COMMITTEE CHANGED THE CONTRACT THAT WAS ALREADY DRAWN UP AND MADE THE CHANGES THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING IN THE CONTRACT THAT WOULD BE HARMFUL TO THE COUNTY. ATTORNEY HOLLEY WENT OVER THE CONTRACT:

1. THE CONTRACT HAS THE SALARY IN IT; HE PRESUMED THE SALARY WOULD BE THE SAME. THE BOARD AGREED TO LEAVE THE SALARY THE SAME.
2. THE CONTRACT REQUIRES THE EVALUATION TO BE DONE EACH YEAR; HE RECOMMENDED DOING THIS. THERE WILL BE AN EVALUATION FORM DEVELOPED AND EACH COMMISSIONER WILL GET ONE AND FILL IT OUT.
3. THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE CONTRACT WILL BE THE SAME, NOVEMBER 12TH FOR A PERIOD OF ONE YEAR.
4. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT WILL BE REQUIRED TO GIVE THE BOARD A 90 DAYS WRITTEN NOTICE IF HE WANTS TO TERMINATE THE AGREEMENT. COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED THE ADMINISTRATOR'S PENALTY IF HE DOESN'T GIVE THE 90 DAY NOTICE; HE KNOWS WHAT THE BOARD'S PENALTY WILL BE, THREE MONTHS SEVERANCE PAY IF THEY FIRE THE ADMINISTRATOR WITHOUT CAUSE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THERE WAS NO PENALTY IN THE CONTRACT AT THIS TIME. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF THERE WAS GOING TO BE A CONTRACT, THERE NEEDS TO BE A PENALTY FOR EACH PARTY; HE COULDN'T BLAME PETE IF HE GOT A JOB OFFER MAKING \$50,000 MORE IF HE COULD GO TO WORK THE NEXT DAY.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID THE BOARD MAY NEED TO PUT A DISCLOSURE IN THE CONTRACT IF HERBERT DOESN'T GIVE THE REQUIRED NOTIFICATION, HE WILL BE CHARGED "X" AMOUNT OF DOLLARS. COMMISSIONER SAPP RECOMMENDED PUTTING THE SAME PENALTY AMOUNT FOR THE ADMINISTRATOR AS THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED TO PAY HIM.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID THE MOTION WOULD BE TO CHANGE THE TERM OF THE ADMINISTRATOR'S CONTRACT TO ONE YEAR WITH THREE MONTH'S SEVERANCE PAY; IF THE ADMINISTRATOR LEAVES WITHIN 90 DAYS OR SOONER, HE WILL HAVE TO PAY THE COUNTY THREE MONTHS SEVERANCE PAY.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID THE BOARD COULD HOLD OUT THE ADMINISTRATOR'S SEVERANCE PAY FROM ANY LEAVE TIME HE MAY HAVE ACCRUED. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE HAD NO PROBLEM WITH THE REMAINDER OF THE CONTRACT STAYING THE SAME.

CHAIRMAN FINCH POLLED THE BOARD ON THE NEW CONTRACT. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND, FINCH, SAPP AND CORBIN VOTED IN FAVOR OF THE NEW CONTRACT; COMMISSIONER COPE OPPOSED.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD HAD TAKEN ACTION TO RETAIN ATTORNEY HOLLEY ANOTHER YEAR AND THE OTHER DEPARTMENT HEADS. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID HE WAS NOT SURE IF THEY TOOK ACTION TO RETAIN HIM OR NOT BUT THEY HAD DISCUSSED IT.

COMMISSIONER COPE WAS ADVISED THESE MATTERS WERE NOT DISCUSSED ON NOVEMBER 12TH; THEY WERE DISCUSSED AT THE NOVEMBER 18TH REGULAR MEETING.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION TO ACCEPT ATTORNEY HOLLEY FOR ANOTHER YEAR AND ALL THE DEPARTMENT HEADS. THE BOARD ADVISED THEY HAD TAKEN THIS ACTION ON NOVEMBER 18TH.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER BEGAN WITH HER REPORT:

1. VOUCHERS SIGNED AND WARRANTS ISSUED FOR NOVEMBER 2004. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF VOUCHERS TOTALLING \$1,138,163.39.
2. INMATE MEDICAL INVOICES FOR TWO MONTHS TOTALLING \$10,832.64. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON INMATE MEDICAL EXPENSES AND THE AMOUNT BUDGETED PROBABLY NOT BEING ENOUGH TO COVER THE ENTIRE YEAR. COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO PAY THE INVOICES.
3. INVOICES FOR ATTORNEY FEES FOR COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL; DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED DUE TO ARTICLE V, THE BOARD IS NO LONGER RESPONSIBLE FOR PAYING THESE COSTS AFTER JULY 1, 2004; HOWEVER, THESE INVOICES WAS FOR SERVICES PROVIDED PRIOR TO THIS DATE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY REITERATED THE ATTORNEYS COULD NOT BILL THE COUNTY FOR WORK DONE AFTER JULY 1, 2004; HOWEVER, SOME OF THEM DIDN'T GET THEIR INVOICES IN ON TIME.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF HE RECOMMENDED THE BOARD PAY THE INVOICES. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID IF THE WORK WAS DONE PRIOR TO JULY 1, 2004, HE RECOMMENDED PAYING THEM.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REPORTED THE INVOICES WERE FOR \$1,500, \$1,937.13 AND \$4,543.06; THERE WAS ALSO AN INVOICE FROM UPTAIN AND ASSOCIATES FOR PLEA AND SENTENCING. SHE REFERENCED THERE BEING A DATE ON THE INVOICE OF 10-14-02 FOR \$120 ORDERED BY JUDGE REGISTER. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WOULD QUESTION THE COURT REPORTER INVOICE IF IT HAS BEEN OVER TWO YEARS.

THE BOARD AGREED FOR DEPUTY CLERK CARTER TO CHECK THE DATE OF SERVICES ON THE COURT REPORTER INVOICE AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION TO PAY THE COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL INVOICES. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THESE WOULD HAVE TO BE PAID OUT OF THE CONTINGENCY FUND. COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS ENOUGH FUNDING IN CONTINGENCY TO COVER THE INVOICES; DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THERE WAS IF ALL THE REVENUES ARE COLLECTED THAT WERE BUDGETED.

COMMISSIONER COPE SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT CARRIED.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED:

1. LINDA NORTON, LIBRARIAN, IS REQUESTING THE LIBRARY BE CLOSED DECEMBER 27 THRU JANUARY 1 IN VERNON AND JANUARY 3 THRU JANUARY 8 IN CHIPLEY TO DO INVENTORY. COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF NORTON'S REQUEST.
2. AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING, A NEW TRUCK FOR THE RECYCLING CENTER WAS DISCUSSED; THE BOARD WANTED TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT PURCHASING A TRUCK AT STATE BID PRICES. JAMES PETERSON, RECYCLING DEPARTMENT MANAGER, HAS ADVISED HE FOUND A CHASSIS FOR A TRUCK FOR \$37,343 AND ADDITIONAL \$7,000 FOR THE BODY WOULD BE NEEDED. PETERSON IS REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE CHASSIS NOW. CHAIRMAN FINCH QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS MONIES IN RECYCLING

TO PURCHASE THE CHASSIS. HERBERT ADVISED THERE WAS. COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED IN THE FUTURE, THE ADMINISTRATOR CHECK ON THESE TYPE MATTERS; A DEPARTMENT HEAD WILL GET A TRUCK JUST AS COMFORTABLE AS HE CAN AND JUST LIKE HE WANTS. THE ADMINISTRATOR SHOULD DO THE BIDDING ISSUES; IF HE NEEDS ASSISTANCE, HE COULD CALL THE DEPARTMENT HEAD AND ASK FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE PURCHASE OF THE TRUCK CHASSIS.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO KEEP THE SAME BUDGET COMMITTEE AS DEPUTY CLERK CARTER WAS WANTING TO GET STARTED ON THE BUDGET AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO KEEP THE SAME BUDGET COMMITTEE AS LAST YEAR.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED THE ADMINISTRATOR MAKE THE REPORT FROM THE BUDGET COMMITTEE TO THE BOARD; HE FEELS UNCOMFORTABLE FOR THE DEPARTMENT HEAD TO GIVE A REPORT ON THE BOARD'S BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN. ATTEST:_____

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN

END OF MINUTES FOR 12/16/04