

BOARD MINUTES FOR 09/22/05

SEPTEMBER 22, 2005

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 8:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS CORBIN, COPE, FINCH, SAPP AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, CLERK COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK CARTER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER WITH COMMISSIONER SAPP OFFERING PRAYER. CHAIRMAN FINCH LED IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE MINUTES FOR THE JULY 28, AUGUST 9 AND AUGUST 16 BOARD MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT ITEMS A THRU H, EXCEPT ITEM G, ON THE CONSENT AGENDA:

- A. VULCAN MATERIALS INVOICES TOTALLING \$43,715.66 FOR MATERIALS PURCHASED THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS FOR FEMA PROJECT
- B. SANGAREE OIL INVOICES TOTALLING \$30,251.02 FOR FUEL PURCHASED THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS FOR DAILY AND FEMA PROJECTS
- C. THE WATER SPIGOT, INC. INVOICE TOTALLING \$6,950.50 FOR MONITORING SERVICES FOR MUDHILL LANDFILL
- D. ADVANCED DRAINAGE SYSTEMS INVOICE TOTALLING \$10,150.09 FOR MATERIALS PURCHASED THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS FOR FEMA PROJECTS
- E. WIRE ONE INVOICE FOR PURCHASE OF POLYCOM VSX 700 PHONE EQUIPMENT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO COURTROOM TOTALLING \$6,693
- F. GAND C SUPPLIES INVOICE TOTALLING \$27,938.23 FOR SIGN MATERIALS FOR IDENTIFYING STREETS IN THE SUNNY HILLS AREA; PAYMENT TO BE MADE FROM MSBU BUDGET.
- H. FLORIDA SHERIFFS SELF-INSURANCE FUND FOR 10/01/05 THRU 10/01/06 NAMING WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AS ADDITIONAL INSURED ON THE SHERIFF'S LIABILITY POLICY.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON ITEM G ON THE CONSENT AGENDA PERTAINING TO AN INVOICE FROM SACRED HEART HOSPITAL FOR AN INDIGENT PATIENT. COMMISSIONER CORBIN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE AMOUNT STATED ON THE CONSENT AGENDA HAD BEEN REDUCED TO \$22,295 DUE TO THE COUNTY BEING AT TEN MILLS. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF PAYING AN INVOICE TO SACRED HEART HOSPITAL FOR \$22,295 FOR INDIGENT CARE.

RANDY PARKER, PLANNING CONSULTANT, ADVISED THE BOARD THE PUBLIC HEARING ON A LAND USE CHANGE FOR GARNETT AND VAN WILLIAMS FROM AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL HAS BEEN PULLED FROM THE AGENDA; THE APPLICANT DIDN'T GET ALL OF HIS INFORMATION TOGETHER. HE ALSO ADVISED THE HEARING WOULD BE RESCHEDULED FOR THE OCTOBER BOARD MEETING.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY NEWS, SAID HEARING WAS HELD ON THE LAND USE CHANGE FOR LLOYD POWELL FROM AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL ON HIGHWAY 77. PARKER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE:

- A. PROPERTY IS 4.5 ACRES LOCATED ON THE WEST SIDE OF HIGHWAY 77 AND IS PART OF AIRFIELD PROPERTY
- B. REQUEST FOR CHANGE TO LOCATE T HANGARS OR RENTAL HANGERS ON PROPERTY
- C. SOME PEOPLE AT PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING WHO WERE AGAINST PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE

D. QUESTIONED IF IT IS ALLOWED USE AT AIRFIELD; PARKER ADVISED IT IS ALLOWED UNDER THE SUB-DIVISION REGULATIONS. AS FAR AS BEING ALLOWED IN THE SUBDIVISION, THIS HAS BEEN IN AFFECT SINCE EVERYBODY BOUGHT ALL THE LOTS

PARKER READ THE COVENANTS FOR THE AIRPARK ASSOCIATION RELATING TO LOT 10 WHICH IS PART OF THE PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE REQUEST; NO COMMERCIAL USE SHALL BE ALLOWED EXCEPT AS HEREIN AUTHORIZED FOR LOTS 10, 11, 12, 13 AND 14; LOTS 12 AND 13 WILL BE ALLOWED TO CON- STRUCT AIRCRAFT HANGARS FOR STORAGE, PARKING OF AIRCRAFT BUT NOT FOR ANY COMMERCIAL MAINTENANCE OR SERVICING ACTIVITY; FURTHERMORE LOT 12 IS AUTHORIZED TO FORM AN AVIATION COOPERATIVE CONSISTING OF AIRCRAFT OWNERS, AIRPARK PROPERTY OWNERS AND THEIR FAMILIES PROVIDING NO ACTIVITY WILL BE UNDERTAKEN THAT WILL JEOPARDIZE AVIATION SAFETY, OR CAUSE UNDUE NOISE, HARDSHIP, JEOPARDY OF THE NEIGHBORS. PROPERTY OWNERS MUST COLLECT \$10 PER MONTH FOR EACH BASED AIRCRAFT AND PAY TO THE AIRPARK ASSOCIATION SECRETARY ON THE FIRST DAY OF THE MONTH.

PARKER ADVISED THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS ENVISIONED THIS HAPPENING ON THIS PROPERTY; THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE.

PARKER QUESTIONED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF THIS WAS AN ALLOWED COM- Mercial USE OR DEFINED AS A COMMERCIAL USE UNDER THE FL-DOT ETHICS; THIS HAD BEEN BROUGHT UP AND MR. TERRY THOMAS HAD PRESENTED EVIDENCE FROM FL-DOT PERSON IN CHARGE OF THESE TYPE OPERATIONS WHICH INDICATED COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY WASN'T ALLOWED AT THE AIRPORT IN GENERAL. PARKER SAID THE ISSUE OF WHETHER RENTING HANGARS WAS CONSIDERED COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS; WHEN HE SPOKE TO A REPRESENTATIVE FROM FL-DOT, HE WAS BASICALLY TOLD A COMMERCIAL RENTAL PROPERTY OPERATION WAS NOT REALLY A COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT OPERATION. PARKER SAID HE UNDERSTANDS THEY ARE NOT RUNNING COMMERCIAL AVIATION ACTIVITIES THERE BUT WOULD BE JUST RENTING COMMERCIAL SPACES.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED HE UNDERSTOOD IT THE WAY PARKER HAD EXPLAINED IT; HOWEVER, HE HAS NOT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO A REPRESENTATIVE FROM FL-DOT.

TERRY THOMAS REFERRED TO FS 330.27 STATING PRIVATE AIRPORT MEANS AN AIRPORT PUBLICLY OR PRIVATELY OWNED THAT IS NOT OPEN OR AVAILABLE FOR USE BY THE PUBLIC BUT MAY BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHERS BY INVITATION FROM THE OWNER OR MANAGER. THOMAS ADDRESSED HIM UNDERSTANDING THE OWNER MEANS THE LOT OWNER; HE DOESN'T KNOW HOW TO INTERPRET MANAGER. HE POINTED OUT THE SUBDIVISION WAS AN UNRECORDED SUBDIVISION; LOTS 10, 11, 12, 13 AND 14 HAVE ALL GOTTEN CERTAIN PRIVILEGES GIVEN TO THEM BY STAN PORTER, THE ORIGINAL DEVELOPER.

THOMAS ADVISED HIS CONCERN IS POST 911; IF THE BOARD APPROVES OF THE ZONING TO COMMERCIAL, THEY WILL LET ANYONE FROM THE PUBLIC WITH HANGAR RENT HAVE UNSECURE ACCESS TO THE AIRSTRIP, NO ID CARD, NO SECURITY AND NO BACKGROUND CHECK. DUE TO LIVING WITHIN AN AREA OF MILITARY BASIS IN THE STATE CAPITAL, THOMAS SAID HOMELAND SECURITY WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THE AIRPORT IF IT IS OPENED TO A COMMERCIAL OPERATION.

THOMAS WAS OPPOSED TO THE LAND USE CHANGE AND ASKED THE BOARD TO BE RESPONSIBLE AND KEEP THIS A PRIVATE AIRPORT FOR THE PROPERTY OWNERS; THERE ARE 23 LOTS AND NOT A SINGLE LOT OWNER WAS NOTIFIED OF THE REZONING BY LETTER AS FAR AS HE KNOWS. HE POINTED OUT, EVEN THOUGH THE BANK HOLDS LOT 11, THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED AND THEY COULD HAVE SENT OUT A LETTER TO THE CONTRACT HOLDER SO THEY COULD HAVE BEEN REPRESENTED.

HE PROVIDED A COPY OF A LETTER FROM SAM LOFT, LOT 15, HE HAD BEEN ASKED TO GIVE TO THE BOARD.

THOMAS STATED HE DIDN'T AGREE WITH LLOYD OF WHAT THEIR CONTEN- TIONS ARE ABOUT THIS SPECIAL PRIVILEGE; IF THEY WANT TO TURN THIS INTO A PUBLIC AIRPORT, THERE WILL NEED TO BE FENCES, ID ACCESS CARDS, GO TO A VIDEO CLASS TO KNOW HOW TO GO THROUGH THE GATES, SECURITY CHECKOUT, ETC. IF THEY OPEN THIS AIRPORT UP

TO A RENTAL OPERATION, THEY WOULD BE ALLOWING ANYONE PAYING RENT TO COME INTO THE AIRPORT.

THOMAS REFERRED TO THE DECLARATION STATING EACH LOT OWNER WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ILLEGAL ACTIVITY AND THEIR PRIVILEGES COULD BE REVOKED; HE DOESN'T KNOW IF IT WOULD EVER GO TO THAT POINT. HE DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE REZONING BUT HAS A PROBLEM WITH POWELL USING THE AIRSTRIP; HE ADDRESSED POWELL BEING ASKED THREE DIFFERENT TIMES AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HOW HE PLANNED ON GETTING THE AIRPLANES TO THE HANGARS AS IT IS A PRIVATE AIRSTRIP FOR USE BY THE LANDOWNERS.

LEE ANDERSON ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING HE DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH A PRIVATE AIRPORT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD BUT DOES HAVE A PROBLEM WITH FLYING JETS; HE QUESTIONED IF THE AIRPORT WOULD BE RESTRICTED TO SMALL AIRCRAFT ONLY OR OPEN TO LARGE AIRCRAFT AND WOULD IT BE RESTRICTED TO NOISE.

TERRY GAGNEY, ORIGINAL PURCHASER OF PROPERTY AT AIRSTRIP, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ADVISING HE WAS NOT NOTIFIED OF THE HEARING AND HAS HAD THE SAME ADDRESS FOR FIVE YEARS. HE EXPLAINED THE CONCESSIONS GOVERNING THE DEED RESTRICTIONS FOR LOTS BEING COMMERCIAL; STAN PORTER, DEVELOPER, WOULD GIVE ANYONE WHAT THEY WANTED AS LONG AS THEY PURCHASED A LOT. GAG EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN WITH SECURITY, POSSIBILITY OF DRUG TRAFFICKING, ETC.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED WHAT THE T-HANGARS WOULD HAVE TO DO WITH DRUG TRAFFICKING. GAGNEY POINTED OUT ANYONE COULD LAND THEIR AIRCRAFT AT NIGHT; THERE IS NO SECURITY, THEY COULD UNLOAD THEIR AIRCRAFT UNSUPERVISED, TAKE ON AND OFF ON HIGHWAY 77, DO ANYTHING THEY WANTED WITHOUT SUPERVISION AND AFTER THEY FINISH, THEY COULD LEAVE. IF A PERSON HAS USE OF A HANGAR, THEY WOULD HAVE USE OF A RUNWAY.

CHAIRMAN FINCH EXPLAINED TO CORBIN THAT GAG WAS SAYING IF THERE WAS A PRIVATE AIRPORT AND HANGAR RENTALS AVAILABLE, ANYONE WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LAND THERE; IF NOT, A PERSON COULDN'T LAND THERE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE HANGARS WOULD BE FOR THE PERSONS OWNING PROPERTY IN THE SUBDIVISION. GAG AGREED IT WAS; HOWEVER, IF THEY WERE A PILOT AND THEY WANTED A PLACE TO CONDUCT ILLEGAL ACTIVITY AND COULD RENT A HANGAR FOR A FEW MONTHS AND THEN GO AWAY, THIS WOULD BE POSSIBLE. GAG SAID HE WAS UNAWARE OF WHAT POWELL PLANNED ON DOING AS FAR AS BACKGROUND CHECKS ON PEOPLE THAT MIGHT WANT TO RENT A HANGAR.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE THOUGHT THIS COULD BE DONE PRESENTLY. GAG SAID IT COULDN'T; AT THE PRESENT TIME, IF AN AIRCRAFT LANDS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT AND STARTS UNLOADING SOMETHING ON THE END OF THE RUNWAY, PROPERTY OWNERS WILL ALERT THE AUTHORITIES. GAG SAID IT NEEDS TO REMAIN A RESIDENTIAL PRIVATE RUNWAY FOR USE OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS AS THIS IS WHAT IT WAS INTENDED TO BE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED IF ANYONE HAD SPOKEN TO FL-DOT ON THE DOT RULE OR DID A REPRESENTATIVE FROM FL-DOT SPEAK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION. PARKER ADVISED HE HAD SPOKEN TO THEM AND HE AND ATTORNEY HOLLEY BOTH PERCEIVED THE SAME THING; THIS IS NOT A COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT OPERATION POWELL IS PROPOSING; IT IS A COMMERCIAL RENTAL OF HANGARS BUT IT IS STILL A PRIVATE AIRPORT.

CHAIRMAN FINCH EXPRESSED HE WOULD BE CONCERNED WITH SECURITY ISSUES ALSO IF HE LIVED IN THE SUBDIVISION. PARKER REITERATED WHEN LOOKING AT THE DEED RESTRICTIONS, THERE ARE A LOT OF THINGS ALLOWED ON THE PROPERTY OTHER THAN PEOPLE HAVING A HANGAR AND AN AIRCRAFT ON THEIR OWN PROPERTY. HE ADDRESSED LOT 11 HAD EXCLUSIVE COMMERCIAL RIGHTS TO ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN A COMMERCIAL HANGAR FOR GENERAL SERVICE AND REPAIR OF AIRCRAFT; OTHER GENERAL FIXED BASED OPERATIONS SERVICES MAY ALSO BE ALLOWED TO INCLUDE MAINTENANCE OF A SMALL FLEET OF AIRCRAFT, OR TRAINING IN PUBLIC RENTALS, SALES OF AUTOMOTIVE AND AVIATION FUEL, AIRCRAFT STORAGE AND TIE DOWN AS WELL AS OTHER AVIATION RELATED COMMERCIAL ENDEAVORS THAT MAY BE PREAPPROVED ON AN INDIVIDUAL BASIS. PARKER SAID HE ASSUMED THE APPROVAL WOULD HAVE TO COME FROM THE AIRPARK ASSOCIATION.

PARKER THEN READ DEED RESTRICTIONS ALLOWING THE OPERATION OF A BED AND BREAKFAST ON LOT 14; FIVE BEDROOM BED AND BREAKFAST WITH THE GUEST TO PAY A TIE DOWN FEE OF \$5.00.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED IF EVERYONE WAS NOTIFIED OF THE HEARING THAT WERE SUPPOSE TO BE NOTIFIED; WALLER ADVISED ALL THE ADJACENT LANDOWNERS WERE NOTIFIED, SIGNS WERE POSTED ON THE SITE AND AN AD WAS RUN IN THE PAPER.

THOMAS ADVISED THE SIGNS WERE DOWN IN THE DIRT FOR TWO MONTHS; GAG SAID HE HAD NEVER SEEN THE SIGN AND EVERYONE OWNING PROPERTY IN THE SUBDIVISION SHOULD HAVE BEEN NOTIFIED. WHEN QUESTIONED IF THOMAS OR GAG ATTENDED THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING, GAG ADVISED HE WAS NOT AWARE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON WHETHER A PLANE CAN LAND NOW ON THE AIRSTRIP; AT THE PRESENT TIME IT CAN IF THEY OWN PROPERTY IN THE SUBDIVISION. HOWEVER, IF THE LAND USE CHANGE IS APPROVED AND HANGARS ARE RENTED OUT, ANYONE WOULD BE ABLE TO LAND THERE IF THEY PAY A RENTAL FEE.

PARKER READ A PORTION OF THE STATE STATUTE ON A PRIVATE AIRPORT; A PRIVATE AIRPORT MEANS AN AIRPORT PUBLICLY OR PRIVATELY OWNED WHICH IS NOT OPEN OR AVAILABLE FOR USE BY THE PUBLIC BUT MAY BE MADE AVAILABLE TO OTHERS BY INVITATION BY THE OWNER OR MANAGER. PARKER SAID HE ASSUMED IF A PERSON OWNS THREE LOTS, THEY RENT THE THREE PARCELS, THEY HAVE INVITED THAT PERSON.

GAG SAID HE DIDN'T CONSIDER THAT AS AN INVITATION; IF HE INVITES SOMEONE TO SPEND THE NIGHT AT HIS HOME, HE WOULDN'T CHARGE THEM FOR IT. IF POWELL IS GOING TO BUILD T-HANGARS AND LET HIS FRIENDS USE THEM AT NO CHARGE, THIS WOULD BE CONSIDERED AN INVITED GUEST BUT HE DOESN'T PERCEIVE HIM DOING THIS. HE REFERRED TO POWELL NOT BEING A PILOT, DOESN'T OWN AN AIRCRAFT, ALL THE LOTS HE OWNS AROUND THE AIRSTRIP ARE UP FOR SALE AND HE PERCEIVES POWELL IS WANTING TO DO THE HANGAR RENTALS TO INCREASE THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY FOR RESALE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ADDRESSED SOMEONE FROM FL-DOT SHOULD HAVE BEEN ASKED TO COME AND EXPLAIN THEIR POSITION ON THIS ISSUE BEFORE THE BOARD TAKES ACTION ON THE LAND USE CHANGE DUE TO THE PEOPLE'S CONCERNS.

LLOYD POWELL REFERRED TO THOMAS AND GAG'S CONCERNS ON DRUG TRAFFICING; HE ADDRESSED THIS COULD BE DONE NOW AS AIRCRAFT CAN'T BE STOPPED FROM LANDING ON THE AIRSTRIP NOW.

AS FAR AS PEOPLE NOT KNOWING, POWELL SAID THERE HAD BEEN A SIGN UP ON THE PROPERTY BEFORE HE PURCHASED IT ADVERTISING FOR AIRCRAFT HANGARS FOR COMMERCIAL USE; THE PEOPLE ARE AWARE OF THE COVENANTS AND WHAT IS SUPPOSE TO BE GOING IN THERE. HE ADDRESSED THE PEOPLE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE AIRSTRIP AS FAR AS IT BEING ZONED COMMERCIAL.

POWELL WAS QUESTIONED ON THE NUMBER OF HANGARS HE WAS GOING TO HAVE ON THE PROPERTY; HE ADVISED THERE WOULD BE TEN HANGARS FOR SMALL AIRCRAFT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO TABLE ACTION ON POWELL'S REQUEST FOR A LAND USE CHANGE UNTIL SOMEONE FROM FL-DOT CAN HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE PRESENT TO EXPLAIN THEIR POSITION ON IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HIS PERSONAL BELIEF IS ANYONE NOW COULD GO IN THERE AND DROP OFF DRUGS AND HE DOESN'T SEE WHERE THE HANGARS ARE GOING TO MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE.

POWELL ADDRESSED THERE BEING HOMEOWNERS IN THE SUBDIVISION WHO HAVE HANGARS THERE NOW AND QUESTIONED THE DIFFERENCE IN THAT AND HIM WANTING TO PUT UP T-HANGARS.

MARJORIE THOMAS ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING 24 LOTS ON THE AIRPARK PROPERTY, SOME PEOPLE OWN MULTIPLE LOTS AND IT IS A SMALL AIRPORT; THEY KNOW WHO OWNS AIRCRAFT AND COMES IN AND OUT. HOWEVER, IF YOU GET 20 PEOPLE FLYING IN AND OUT FROM THERE, NOBODY WILL KNOW WHO ANYBODY IS AND WON'T BE ABLE TO KEEP TRACK OF THEM.

MARJORIE ADDRESSED THE GRASS AIRSTRIP COULDN'T SUPPORT A LOT OF PLANES FLYING ON IT, THEIR HOME VALUES WILL BE GONE AND THEY WOULD HAVE TO CARRY A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF LIABILITY BECAUSE IT WOULD BE AN UNCONTROLLABLE AIRPORT.

CHAIRMAN FINCH QUESTIONED WHAT AUTHORITY THE AIRPORT ASSOCIATION HAD WITH THE AIRPORT. GAGNEY ADVISED THE AIRPORT ASSOCIATION DOES HAVE ANNUAL MEETINGS WHERE THEY ELECT THEIR OFFICERS AND DISCUSS THE AIRPORTS BUSINESS; UNFORTUNATELY, MOST OF THE MEETINGS HAVE BEEN BASED ON SAVING THE RUNWAY AND THE PROPERTY OWNERS HAD TO TAKE THEIR PERSONAL MONIES AND BUY IT FROM THE BANK OR THEY WERE GOING TO FORE- CLOSE ON IT.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ADDRESSED THE AIRPORT ASSOCIATION SHOULD HAVE SOME TYPE OF AUTHORITY AND POSSIBLY THEY SHOULD HAVE WRITTEN THE PLANNING COMMISSION OR THE BOARD ON THEIR CONCERNS FOR THE PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE BEING REQUESTED BY POWELL.

GAGNEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE SIGN POWELL HAD REFERRED TO; THE PROPERTY ADVERTISING FOR HANGARS WAS SOLD TO RANDY BELLENSKI AND NONE OF THE OTHER PROPERTY OWNERS WERE EVER REALLY CONCERNED ABOUT HIM DEVELOPING THE PROPERTY DUE TO HIM BEING UNABLE TO PAY HIS \$250 ASSOCIATION FEE EACH YEAR. BELLENSKI THEN SOLD THE PROPERTY TO POWELL AND POWELL IS CAPABLE OF DOING WHATEVER HE LIKES. AS FAR AS THE LOTS ALLOWING FOR BED AND BREAKFAST, HE HAS NOTHING AGAINST THIS AND DOESN'T SEE THIS AS ANY SECURITY RISK. HOWEVER, IF POWELL IS ALLOWED TO BUILD TEN HANGARS, HE SELLS THE PROPERTY AND THERE IS ROOM FOR TWENTY MORE HANGARS, THERE WOULD BE NOTHING TO STOP THIS FROM HAPPENING.

GAGNEY REITERATED, WHAT GOES ON BEHIND CLOSED DOORS OR IN A PRIVATE SHELTER IS NOT GOING TO BE NOTICED NEAR AS MUCH; IF SOMEONE LANDS ON THE RUNWAY, DRIVES A VEHICLE AND TRANSFERS SOMETHING FROM A PLANE TO A CAR, HE WILL REPORT IT TO THE POLICE. HE STATED HE DISAGREED WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN'S VIEWPOINT ON THE HANGARS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REITERATED HE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND HOW DRUG ACTIVITY COULD BE CURTAILED BY NOT HAVING HANGARS.

GAGNEY ADDRESSED THE ONLY PLACE A PERSON COULD CONDUCT AN ACTIVITY LIKE THAT WOULD BE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE RUNWAY; THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO LIVE ON THE RUNWAY AND CAN SEE IT FROM THEIR HOMES. IF THERE IS AN AIRPLANE THAT LANDS THERE AT NIGHT AND THEY NOTICE THERE ARE VEHICLES DRIVING ON THE ROADWAY WHERE THERE IS NO ACCESS, THEY WILL HAVE TO CUT THROUGH SOMEONE'S PRIVATE PROPERTY SOMEWHERE, HAVE TO BRING A VEHICLE THERE AND THE PLANE WILL HAVE TO BE UNLOADED IN PLAIN VIEW. GAGNEY QUESTIONED CORBIN WHY HE DIDN'T VIEW THIS DIFFERENTLY THAN TAKING AN AIRCRAFT INTO A RENTED HANGAR AND TRANSFERRING DRUGS WHERE PEOPLE WILL PAY NO ATTENTION BECAUSE THE PERSON HAS THE FULL RIGHT TO BE THERE BECAUSE HE IS RENTING THE HANGAR.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED HIM NOT SAYING THAT EVERY AIRCRAFT OR OWNER THAT WORKS IN DRUGS ARE KNOWN; HOWEVER, THERE ARE A LOT OF THEM THAT ARE KNOWN AND ARE FOLLOWED INTO PLACES.

POWELL ADDRESSED TERRY MAY BE FLYING DRUGS INTO HIS HANGAR; TERRY SAID THAT WAS POSSIBLE BUT IF HE WERE TO FLY DRUGS INTO HIS HANGAR AND HE WERE TO GET CAUGHT, NOT ONLY WOULD HE LOSE HIS AIRCRAFT AND HIS PERSONAL FREEDOM BUT ALL THE PROPERTY HE OWNED WOULD BE CONFISCATED BY THE GOVERNMENT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN OPPOSED.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THAT EVERYBODY WILL NEED TO BE RENOTIFIED, THE SIGNAGE BE REPOSTED ON THE PROPERTY AND A DECISION MADE ON WHEN THE BOARD WILL REHEAR THE REQUEST FROM POWELL FOR A LAND USE CHANGE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TO SCHEDULE THIS HEARING FOR THE OCTOBER BOARD MEETING AND HAVE SOMEONE PRESENT FROM THE FL-DOT THAT IS FAMILIAR WITH AIRPORT LAWS. HE SUGGESTED TO THOSE WHO VOICED THEIR CONCERNS TODAY TO HAVE A LETTER SUBMITTED FROM THE AIRPORT ASSOCIATION POINTING OUT ALL THEIR CONCERNS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF IT WAS GOING TO GET TO THE POINT WHERE INDIVIDUALS DON'T HAVE ANY PROPERTY RIGHTS AND EVERYTHING WILL HAVE TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ADDRESSED THE BOARD BEING A GOVERNING BODY AND THE PEOPLE WHO VOICED THEIR CONCERN ARE TRYING TO PROTECT THEIR PROPERTY RIGHTS ALSO. HE AGREED IF FL-DOT CAN TELL THE BOARD THEY WOULD NOT BE VIOLATING ANYTHING BY APPROVING POWELL'S REQUEST, THE BOARD SHOULD MOVE FORWARD WILL POWELL'S REQUEST; HOWEVER, HE FEELS THOSE SPEAKING IN OPPOSITION OF THE LAND USE CHANGE REQUEST BROUGHT UP SOME VALID POINTS. HE NOTED HE WAS NOT QUESTIONING THE PLANNING COMMISSION WHO MADE A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE LAND USE CHANGE; HOWEVER, MAYBE THEY SHOULD HAVE HAD MORE INFORMATION TO MAKE THEIR DECISION.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REITERATED PEOPLE EXPERIENCING NOT HAVING RIGHTS ON THEIR OWN PROPERTY AND HAVING TO GET APPROVAL FROM A GOVERNING BODY BEFORE THEY COULD DO ANYTHING. CHAIRMAN FINCH EXPLAINED THAT WAS CALLED GROWTH; HE ADDRESSED HE WAS NOT SAYING THIS WAS A GOOD THING. HE POINTED OUT THERE WERE TWO GROUPS PRESENT ON THE PROPOSED LAND USE CHANGE BEING REQUESTED BY POWELL WITH OPPOSING OPINIONS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WOULDN'T WANT THE HANGAR RENTALS IF HE LIVED IN THE SUBDIVISION; BUT, PROPERTY RIGHTS STILL HAVE TO BE RECOGNIZED SOMEWHERE.

THOMAS SAID HE RESPECTS LLOYD POWELL'S RIGHTS BUT JUST DON'T WANT HIM TO USE THEIR RUNWAY; THE PEOPLE OWN A SHARE IN THE RUNWAY PER LOT AND POWELL WOULD BE USING THEIR RUNWAY FOR HIS OPERATIONS. HE EXPLAINED THE PROPERTY WAS UNDER A CEASE AND DESIST ORDER FOR OVER A YEAR AND A HALF; THERE IS ELEVEN NEW OWNERS COMING IN WITHIN THE NEXT THIRTY DAYS AND THEY WILL HAVE A STRONGER AIRPORT ASSOCIATION.

KIRK STULL, HDR PROJECT MANAGER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE FL-DOT'S FUTURE TIMELINE FOR HIGHWAY 77 AND HIGHWAY 79; HE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD THE SAME INFORMATION THAT IS GOING TO BE PRESENTED AT A FORMAL PUBLIC HEARING THAT WILL BE HELD AT THE NATIONAL GUARD ARMORY IN CHIPLEY WHICH WILL BRING TO AN END THE STUDY PHASE ON THE TWENTY NINE MILE LONG PROJECT.

STULL ADVISED THE HEARING WOULD BE A FORMAL PUBLIC HEARING THAT IS TO BE CONDUCTED ACCORDING TO STRICT GUIDELINES IN ORDER TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR FEDERAL FUNDING WHEN IT GOES TO CONSTRUCTION; THEY ARE READY TO RECOMMEND A PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE FOR THE ENTIRE CORRIDOR. HE EXPLAINED THIS WAS STILL JUST A RECOMMENDATION AT THIS POINT AND THEY ARE SOLICITING ALL THE PUBLIC INPUT THEY CAN GET.

AT THE FORMAL HEARING, STULL ADVISED THE PUBLIC INPUT CAN COME IN SEVERAL DIFFERENT WAYS; WRITTEN COMMENTS, FORMS THAT ARE PRE-ADDRESSED THAT CAN BE SENT IN, A COURT REPORTER WILL BE AT THE HEARING FOR PEOPLE TO GO AND MAKE THEIR STATEMENTS DIRECTLY TO THE REPORTER OR AFTER THE PRESENTATION TODAY, PEOPLE WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO COME AND MAKE STATEMENTS TO THE AUDIENCE AND IT ALL BECOMES PART OF AN OFFICIAL RECORD. ACCORDING TO STULL, THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION STUDIES THE TRANSCRIPT VERY CAREFULLY TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE INCORPORATED INPUT INTO THEIR RECOMMENDATION.

STULL ADVISED THERE WOULD BE PEOPLE FROM THE FL-DOT RIGHT OF WAY DEPARTMENT AT THE FORMAL PUBLIC HEARING TO EXPLAIN THE PROCESS FOR RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION; HE EXPLAINED THERE WOULD BE MEDIANS AND THEY HAD TO CONSIDER THE WETLANDS AND FLOODPLAINS IN ACCORDANCE TO FLORIDA EXECUTIVE ORDER.

STULL THEN WENT OVER PROJECT SPECIFICS:

- A. THIS WAS A STUDY OF SR 77 FOR THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, WHICH IS 29 MILES.
- B. FL-DOT IS TRYING TO GET THESE ROADS FOUR-LANED BEFORE CONGESTION PROBLEMS COME ABOUT DUE TO GROWTH IN TRAFFIC
- C. HDR'S TASK IS TO COME UP WITH A CONCEPTUAL DESIGN DRAWING TO SCALE ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY SO EVERYONE AFFECTED OR INTERESTED CAN STUDY IT AND GET A GRASP OF WHAT THE PROJECT IS ABOUT. IF A PERSON IS A PROPERTY OWNER IN THE CORRIDOR, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO SEE WHERE THE FUTURE RIGHT OF WAY LINES ARE GOING TO BE AND HOW IT WILL AFFECT THEIR PROPERTY. AT THE SAME TIME, IT WILL ALLOW THEM TO EXAMINE THE ENVIRONMENT-

- AL EFFECTS OF WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED.
- D. THEY ARE IN PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENT-STULL ADDRESSED THIS IS ALL THE HOMEWORK THAT HAS TO BE DONE BEFORE GETTING INTO DETAILED DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS; AS THEY PROGRESS IN THIS, IT WILL ALLOW THE FL-DOT TO PURCHASE THE RIGHT OF WAY AND LET THE PROJECT CONSTRUCTION TO CONSTRUCTION.
  - E. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND INPUT-STULL ADDRESSED THIS HAS BEEN THERE ALL THE WAY; THEY FORMED CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEES THAT CONSISTED OF PEOPLE NOMINATED BY THE COMMISSION AND CITY COUNCILS. HOWEVER, ANYONE INTERESTED WAS ALLOWED TO PARTICIPATE. IT WAS THE PUBLIC IN WAUSAU AND CHIPLEY THAT CAME UP WITH THE ALTERNATIVES THAT WERE STUDIED FOR THE PROJECT. STULL ADDRESSED THERE BEING A BIG MEETING AT CHIPLEY HIGH SCHOOL COVERING THE ENTIRE CORRIDOR; THEY CAME BACK AT WORKSHOPS IN WAUSAU AND CHIPLEY DUE TO THEM FEELING THEY NEEDED TO SPEND MORE TIME EXPLAINING THE ALTERNATIVES. THEY MADE A PRESENTATION TO THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND THE SUNNY HILLS HOMEOWNERS.
  - F. STULL WENT OVER THE RURAL ISSUES; THE GAS MAIN IS AN ISSUE THEY WANT TO MINIMIZE THE IMPACT TO RESIDENCES AND BUSINESSES; WETLANDS AND NATURAL FEATURES IN THE PONDS, ESPECIALLY IN THE SOUTH END ARE VERY IMPORTANT NATURAL FEATURES, A CEMETERY AND BLUE POND PARK IS AN ASSET TO THE COMMUNITY THAT NEEDS TO BE CONSIDERED IN THEIR ALIGNMENT. THE RURAL TYPICAL SECTION IS BASICALLY THE SAME AS WHAT IS BEING BUILT IN BAY COUNTY; A FOUR LANE RURAL HIGHWAY WITH A FORTY FOOT WIDE MEDIAN, WIDE GRASS DITCHES AND IT REQUIRES ABOUT 200' OF RIGHT OF WAY. STULL EXPLAINED THEY TRY TO HOLD THE EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY LINE ON ONE SIDE AND DO ALL THEIR WORK TO THE OTHER SIDE.  
STULL ADDRESSED THEY WOULD STRADDLE THE GAS MAIN IN PLACES WITH A 90' WIDE MEDIAN; THIS WOULD ALLOW, IF THE GAS COMPANY NEEDED TO GET IN THERE TO WORK, ALL THE REQUIRED SAFETY CLEARANCES FOR THE DRIVER.
  - G. STULL THEN WENT OVER THE RECOMMENDED PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE SEGMENT BY SEGMENT:
    - A. FROM THE BAY COUNTY LINE UP TO THE NORTH SIDE OF GREENHEAD AND BLOCKER CHURCH ROAD, THEY ARE RECOMMENDING SHIFTING TO THE WEST; IN MANY CASES, THIS DOES REQUIRE THE GAS LINE TO BE IN THE MEDIAN. THIS WOULD MINIMIZE THE NUMBER OF AFFECTED PARCELS AND MINIMIZE IMPACT OF THOSE PONDS DOWN NEAR CRYSTAL LAKE
    - B. A LITTLE STRETCH NORTH OF GREENHEAD WHERE IT WILL SHIFT BACK TO THE EAST TO AVOID DYKES CEMETERY AND WHILE THEY ARE EAST, THEY WILL TRY AND STAY OFF THE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTE.
    - C. THEY WILL SHIFT BACK TO THE WEST FROM THERE FOR 7.5 MILES RUNNING UP TO WAUSAU. STULL MENTIONED THEY DIDN'T WANT TO MEANDER AND SHIFT BACK AND FORTH ANY MORE THAN THEY NEED TO; HOWEVER, AS THESE PROGRESS INTO DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS HAVE CHANGED, IT IS NOT UNUSUAL TO CHANGE THE RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW THE ALIGNMENT IS SHIFTED.
    - D. NORTH OF WAUSAU, THEY CONTINUE STAYING WEST SHIFTED UP TO OLD MILL ROAD, ABOUT A MILE AND A HALF, AND THEN THE WIDENING SHIFTS BACK TO THE EAST SIDE; THIS WAS INTRODUCED TO MINIMIZE THE NUMBER OF AFFECTED PARCELS.
    - E. AS THEY APPROACH I-10, THEY HAVE TO SHIFT BACK TO THE WEST

TO STAY OFF OF THE BLUE LAKE PARK; PARKS ARE A COMMUNITY ASSET AND AN ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURE AND THEY HAVE TO STAY OFF OF THEM WHEN THERE ARE ALTERNATIVES AVAILABLE.

- F. NORTH OF CHIPLEY, THEY FOUND THE PROJECTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES DIDN'T WARRANT FOUR LANES SO THEY ARE RECOMMENDING NOT DOING ANYTHING AT THIS TIME.
- G. IN WAUSAU, THEY HAD LOOKED AT DOING ONE WAY PAIRS, WIDENING THE EXISTING ROADWAY AND THEY LOOKED AT BYPASSES TO THE WEST AND TO THE EAST. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING ELEVEN PROPERTIES IN WAUSAU THAT ARE POTENTIALLY ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTRY FOR HISTORIC PLACES; THE COMMUNITY OF WAUSAU IN THAT AREA ALONG HIGHWAY 77, IF ELIGIBLE, COULD BE A HISTORIC DISTRICT. IN THEIR COORDINATION WITH THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE, STULL ADVISED THEY BASICALLY TOLD THEM WITH THE HISTORIC VALUE WAUSAU HAS, THEY COULDN'T WIDEN THE ROAD THROUGH WAUSAU AND IMPACT THOSE TYPES OF PROPERTY. THEIR RECOMMENDATION, DUE TO THE POSSUM PALACE AND THE WAUSAU CEMETERY, IS FOR A NEW FOURLANE ROADWAY AROUND THE EAST SIDE OF THE TOWN OF WAUSAU.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE AMOUNT OF RIGHT OF WAY NEEDED SHOULD THE FOURLANE GO THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF WAUSAU. STULL ADVISED THERE WAS AN EXISTING 75' OF RIGHT OF WAY THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF WAUSAU AND THEY WOULD NEED AN ADDITIONAL 140' TO 150' TO BUILD AN URBAN ROADWAY MEETING FIHS STANDARDS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THIS SAME SITUATION COME UP THROUGH COTTONDALE AND THERE WAS AN EXCEPTION MADE ON THE INTRASTATE SYSTEM OF 231 THROUGH COTTONDALE AND IT WOUND UP BEING A FIVE LANE ROADWAY. HE QUESTIONED WHY THIS COULDN'T BE CONSIDERED IN WAUSAU IF THE PEOPLE WANTED THIS AND MAKE IT NOT QUITE AS WIDE.

STULL ADVISED A LOT HAD CHANGED; FL-DOT HAS TAKEN THE FIHS SYSTEM VERY SERIOUSLY; THEY WENT THROUGH THIS IN VERNON ON TAKING SEGMENTS OUT OF THE MIDDLE AND SAYING THAT PART IS NOT ON THE FIHS BUT THIS ISN'T GOING TO WORK ANYMORE. HE POINTED OUT THIS WAS NOT A DISTRICT III MANDATE AS IT ORIGINATES FROM THE CENTRAL OFFICE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF FL-DOT WOULD GO BACK AND FIX THOSE SECTIONS THAT WERE EXCEPTED OUT ON HIGHWAY 231. STULL ADVISED HE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT WAS IN THE WORKS FOR HIGHWAY 231; HOWEVER, HE KNOWS WHAT THEY ARE DOING ON HIGHWAY 79 TO ACCOMODATE THE FIHS DESIGNATION.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED HOW FAR NORTH DID THE FIHS DESIGNATION GO ON HIGHWAY 77 AND 79; STULL ADVISED IT WENT TO I-10.

STULL THEN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THE FOUR-LANING THROUGH CHIPLEY:

- A. THEY LOOKED AT MULTIPLE ONE WAY PAIR OPTIONS; THERE IS A LOT OF INTEREST IN KEEPING THE TRAFFIC IN TOWN.
- B. THEY CAME UP WITH ONE WAY PAIR OPTIONS AND A TRUCK ROUTE RUNNING PARALLEL TO HIGHWAY 77 ABOUT HALF A MILE EAST OF 77; IT WOULD CROSS HIGHWAY 90 NEAR THE FL-DOT COMPLEX. AS THEY GOT INTO STUDYING THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND HOW IT WORKED, THEY NOT ONLY HAVE AN EXISTING HISTORICAL DISTRICT BUT THERE IS AN AREA THAT IS IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TO BE ADDED TO THAT HISTORICAL DISTRICT WHICH IS THAT AREA EAST OF 77 AND NORTH OF THE TRACK. THEY HAVE LITERALLY HUNDREDS OF ELIGIBLE HISTORIC STRUCTURES; IN COORDINATION WITH SHIPPO, THEY ADVISED THESE AREAS COULDN'T BE IMPACTED. AT THE SAME TIME, THESE ARE QUIET, PEACEFUL NEIGHBORHOODS NOW, GIVEN ALTERNATIVES, THEY QUESTIONED WOULD A HIGHWAY AGENCY WANT TO PLOW RIGHT THROUGH THE MIDDLE OF A QUIET RESIDENTIAL AREA GIVEN OTHER OPTIONS. WHILE THEY TALKED ABOUT SOME OF THE TRAFFIC



OPERATIONS WITH THE ONE WAY PAIRS, THEY STILL HAVE THE TRAIN, THE RAILROAD CROSSINGS, TRUCKS COMING THROUGH TOWN; WITH ONE WAY PAIRS, THEY ARE FORCING A LOT OF LEFT HAND TURNS THAT CAN'T BE ACCOMODATED BETWEEN THE TWO NORTH/SOUTH ROUTES AND THOSE LEFT TURNS SPILL OVER INTO THE THROUGH LANES. AT THE CITIZENS MEETINGS, A LOT OF THE PEOPLE TALKED ABOUT THE TRUCK TRAFFIC THROUGH TOWN AND HOW THEY DIDN'T LIKE THAT; THEY TALKED ABOUT REDUNDANCY AND AT THE PRESENT TIME THERE IS ONLY ONE WAY IN AND OUT NORTH/SOUTH AND IT HAS A RAILROAD CROSSING. STULL POINTED OUT THE NEED FOR REDUNDACY IN THE TRANSPORTATION MARKET IS VERY REAL. BY PROCESS OF ELIMINATION, THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE ROUTE IS THE NEW TRUCK ROUTE.

STULL WAS ASKED TO DEFINE THE NEW TRUCK ROUTE; HE POINTED OUT THE ROUTE ON HIS FILM PRESENTATION ADVISING IT INCLUDED A LITTLE WIDENING TYING INTO THE EXISTING FOURLANE NORTH OF I-10 AND CARRYING IT UP TO WHERE THEY WOULD ADD THE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC CAPACITY BY BUILDING A ROAD; THEY WOULD BUY A FOUR LANE RIGHT OF WAY BUT WOULD ONLY BUILD TWO LANES RIGHT NOW WHICH WOULD PROVIDE ADEQUATE TRAFFIC CAPACITY AND STILL ENCOURAGE A LOT OF PEOPLE TO COME THROUGH TOWN UNLESS THEY ARE GETTING A CONGESTION PROBLEM AND THEY SEE TRAFFIC BACKING UP. THIS ALTERNATIVE ROUTE HAS THE ADDITIONAL BENEFIT TO PROVIDING ACCESS TO THE FUTURE INDUSTRIAL PARK. IT WOULD PASS JUST WEST OF THE FL- DOT DISTRICT III COMPLEX; THERE ARE RESIDENTIAL AND NEIGHBORHOOD IMPACTS IN THE TOWN.

STULL ADVISED ALL THE INFORMATION ON THE RECOMMENDED PREFERRED ALTERNATE ROUTES WILL BE AVAILABLE IN THE LIBRARIES BY THE END OF THE DAY ON SEPTEMBER 23RD; THOSE PLANS WILL BE IN MUCH MORE DETAIL, PEOPLE WILL BE ABLE TO LOOK AT THEIR PROPERTY AND THEIR HOMES TO SEE HOW IT IS AFFECTED.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE TRUCK ROUTE GOING RIGHT THROUGH THE SOD FARM, THE WESTERNER AND PBS&J'S BUILDING.

STULL ADDRESSED ACCESS MANAGEMENT; THE EXISTING ACCESS MANAGEMENT CLASS IS FOUR AND AS PART OF THE HEARING, THEY ARE CHANGING IT TO THREE. HE ADVISED THIS IS BASICALLY SETTING THE MEDIAN SPACING, DRIVEWAY SPACING, HOW FREQUENTLY THE MEDIAN OPENINGS CAN BE, ETC. AT THE PRESENT TIME, NONE OF THIS IS FUNDED FOR RIGHT OF WAY OR CONSTRUCTION; HOWEVER, THEY DO HAVE A FIRST SEGMENT FUNDED FOR DESIGN FROM THE BAY COUNTY LINE TO GREENHEAD. FUNDING HAS ALREADY BEEN APPLIED FOR THE NEXT SEGMENT FROM I-10 TO THE SOUTH AND THEY SHOULD KNOW BY THE END OF OCTOBER IF THIS IS FUNDED.

STULL ADDRESSED THE COST ESTIMATE BEING \$240 MILLION. WHEN QUESTIONED ON EXISTING RIGHT OF WAYS; STULL ADVISED THERE WOULD BE NO PORTIONS OF EXISTING RIGHT OF WAYS THAT WOULD BE ABANDONED.

STULL REITERATED THEY WERE STILL SOLICITING COMMENTS AND THE NO BUILD ALTERNATIVE IS STILL VIABLE; THEY LEAVE IT OPEN TO COMMENT TEN DAYS AFTER THE HEARING, COMPIL IT, REACH SOME CONCLUSION AND THEN START THE PROCESS OF GETTING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO APPROVE ALL ASPECTS OF THE STUDY.

STULL ADVISED THEY WOULD BE PRESENTING THE SAME INFORMATION TO WAUSAU AND CHIPLEY ON OCTOBER 11TH AND 13TH; LETTERS WILL BE GOING OUT TO ALL PROPERTY OWNERS WITHIN 300' OF THE PROJECT AND THE LETTER WILL ADVISE WHERE THEY CAN ACCESS THE DOCUMENT SO THEY CAN STUDY IT IN ADVANCE.

A QUESTION WAS ASKED ABOUT WILDLIFE PROTECTION CROSSINGS; STULL ADVISED THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE DEVELOPMENT PAYS A LOT OF ATTENTION TO THAT ISSUE. HE ADDRESSED THIS HAS NOT BEEN FINALIZED YET BUT IT IS NOT OUT OF THE REALM OF POSSIBILITY THERE WILL BE SPECIAL WILDLIFE CROSSINGS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED IF ANYONE IN THE AUDIENCE HAD ANY QUESTIONS AS IT WOULD AFFECT EVERYONE IN THE COUNTY; THERE WAS NO RESPONSE AND THE PRESENTATION WAS CONCLUDED.

CHAIRMAN FINCH CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE BREAK.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, KENNETH FINCH, PRESIDENT OF THE WILLIAM DALLAS FINCH DESCENDANTS ASSOCIATION, PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH THE INFORMATION HE WOULD BE

ADDRESSING WITH THEM ON THE FINCH FAMILY REUNION HELD AT THE AG CENTER ON SEPTEMBER 4TH. HE ADDRESSED SEVERAL ISSUES:

- A. HE BECAME INTIMATE WITH THE RED CROSS SHELTER DURING THE TIME IT WAS IN OPERATION DUE TO HURRICANE KATRINA AND BECAME FAMILIAR AND FOND OF SOME OF THE PEOPLE THERE; HE VISITED AT LEAST ONCE A DAY AND HAD NOTHING BUT RESPECT FOR THE SHELTER MANAGERS AND EVERYONE WORKING AT THE SHELTER. THEY WERE COMPLETELY WILLING TO WORK WITH HIM ON BOTH ACTIVITIES THAT WERE TAKING PLACE; THE SHELTERING OF THE EVACUEES FROM HURRICANE KATRINA AND THE FINCH FAMILY REUNION THAT WAS TAKING PLACE.
- B. THE FINCH FAMILY MISSED SOME OF THEIR FAMILY MEMBERS DUE TO HURRICANE KATRINA; SOME SUFFERED LOSSES IN MISSISSIPPI AND WERE UNABLE TO ATTEND.
- C. ALLOWING THE RED CROSS SHELTER TO BE LOCATED IN THE SAME VACINITY AS THE FINCH FAMILY REUNION WAS PROBABLY ONE OF THE BEST DECISIONS THEY EVER DID OR EVER WILL MAKE. THE FINCH FAMILY IS THE MOST CONSIDERATE, LOVING AND CARING FAMILY THAT COULD BE FOUND ANYWHERE. IT IS ONE OF THE LARGEST AND CLOSEST FAMILY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY; THEY TOOK IT UPON THEMSELVES TO MAKE THE DISPLACED PEOPLE IN THE SHELTER FEEL AT HOME AND A PART OF THE FINCH FAMILY. AS PART OF THE GENEROSITY AND SHARING BY THE FINCH FAMILY, HE HEARD MORE THAN ONE PERSON SAY THEY INTENDED TO COME BACK AND MAKE WASHINGTON COUNTY THEIR HOME.
- D. THE PRESS RELEASE FOR THE FINCH FAMILY REUNION WAS SENT TO WASHINGTON COUNTY NEWS ON THE 8TH DAY OF AUGUST AND ASKED IT BE RAN AS MANY TIMES AS POSSIBLE UNTIL THE DATE OF THE REUNION. THERE IS A COPY OF EACH OF THE PRESS RELEASES IN THE PACKET PROVIDED TO THE BOARD; IT RAN IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY NEWS SEVEN TIMES AND IT SPECIFIED ONE NAME AND ONE PHONE NUMBER WHICH WAS HIS. HE SAID IF A COMMISSIONER DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH CONSIDERATION TO CALL HIM ON AN EVENT HE WAS SPONSORING, AND HE TAKES FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR, THEY HAVE A PROBLEM. HE RESERVED THE AG CENTER MONTHS AND MONTHS AGO AND PAID A GOOD PRICE FOR ITS USE; THAT IS THE ONLY FACILITY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY THAT IS SUITABLE FOR THE SIZE OF REUNION THEY HAVE. THERE IS THREE FINCH REUNIONS HELD EACH YEAR IN WASHINGTON COUNTY DUE TO THERE BEING NO PLACE SUITABLE FOR ALL THE PEOPLE.
- E. THE AG CENTER WAS FULL OF FINCH'S; IF ANYBODY HAD ANY PARTICULAR CONSIDERATION FOR THE PEOPLE IN THE SHELTER BEING DISPLACED, WHY DIDN'T HE SEE THAT FACE SHOW UP THERE AT THE AG CENTER THAT DAY.
- F. THE FINCH FAMILY TOOK IT UPON THEMSELVES THE DAY OF THE REUNION TO INVITE EVERYBODY THAT WAS IN THE SHELTER TO BE A PART OF THEIR FAMILY THAT DAY AND MANY OF THEM DID COME. MANY OF THEM SAID THEY HAD NEVER SEEN A FAMILY BE SO GRACIOUS, SO CARING AS THE FINCH FAMILY AND THEY APPRECIATED IT; HE HAD ONE OF THE EVACUEES THAT WANTED TO COME WITH HIM TO THE MEETING TODAY. HE REFERRED TO ONE OF THE EVACUEES AT THE SHELTER HAVING ASKED HIM FOR HELP IN FINDING HIS MOTHER; HE DID TRY AND HELP HIM FIND HIS MOTHER. WHEN THE FINCH FAMILY CAME TO THE AG CENTER ON THE DAY OF THE REUNION THEY BROUGHT POST CARDS AND STAMPS AND GAVE THEM TO THE EVACUEES; AFTER THEY FED THE EVACUEES, THE FINCH

FAMILY REUNION TOOK UP A COLLECTION TO MAKE A DONATION TO THE RED CROSS SHELTER. DUE TO THE SHELTER NOT TAKING CASH MONEY, THE FINCH'S WENT AND PURCHASED 40 \$10 GAS CARDS FROM WALMARTS TO GIVE TO THE MANAGER OF THE SHELTER.

- G. THERE WERE OVER 150 FINCH FAMILY MEMBERS AND GUESTS AT THE REUNION; WHEN HE RENTS THE AG CENTER HE TREATS IT AS IF HE WERE RENTING HIS HOME AND IF HE PAYS THE RENT ON IT, IT IS HIS AND HE WILL SHARE IT WITH ANYBODY. HOWEVER, HE DOESN'T WANT TO BE TOLD TO MOVE OUT OF HIS RENTED HOME TO MAKE ROOM FOR SOMEONE ELSE BECAUSE THIS ISN'T GOING TO HAPPEN.
- H. IN THE PROCESS OF THIS SITUATION, HE ASKED A COMMISSIONER ABOUT USING THE NATIONAL GUARD ARMORY FOR A SHELTER FOR THE EVACUEES. THE COMMISSIONER HAD RESPONDED THAT FACILITY BELONGS TO THE GOVERNMENT AND HE DIDN'T DEAL WITH THE GOVERNMENT. KENNETH ADDRESSED THE LAST TIME HE LOOKED, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS CAME UNDER COUNTY GOVERNMENT.
- I. KENNETH ADVISED THE BOARD THEY DIDN'T VOTE THEMSELVES INTO BEING A COUNTY COMMISSIONER; THE PEOPLE VOTED THEM INTO THAT POSITION AND THEY DON'T WORK FOR THE BOARD BUT THE BOARD WORK'S FOR THEM. HE STATED THE SAME PEOPLE WHO VOTED THEM IN IS THE SAME PEOPLE THAT IS GOING TO VOTE THEM OUT.
- J. THE ONLY REASON COMMISSIONER RONNIE B. FINCH HAD ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE FAMILY REUNION WAS BECAUSE HIS NAME WAS FINCH
- K. KENNETH SAID HE HAD LEFT WAUSAU AND WAS GONE A LONG TIME BUT HE CAME BACK BECAUSE IT IS HOME AND HE WANTS TO BE TREATED LIKE HE IS AT HOME; HE IS GOING TO TREAT THEM LIKE THEY ARE AT HOME AND DON'T WANT THEM TO MESS WITH HIS HOME.

ANDY ANDREASON, AG AGENT, PRESENTED LEANN KENDRICK, CANDIDATE FOR THE 4-H POSITION, TO THE BOARD AND ADVISED SHE HAD WENT THROUGH THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA SCREENING PROCESS. HE REFERRED TO THE AG CENTER BEING WITHOUT A 4-H AGENT SINCE NOVEMBER OF 2004; KENDRICK HAS EXPERIENCE AS A 4-H AGENT IN NEVADA BUT ALSO HAS EDUCATION IN MISSISSIPPI AND NEVADA, BEEN RECOGNIZED NATIONALLY FOR NEWSLETTERS AND AWARDS, HAS A SIMILAR BACKGROUND AND INTERESTED IN THE TYPE OF 4-H PROGRAM WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAVING JUST RECEIVED THEIR PACKETS ON KENDRICK. KENDRICK UPDATED THE BOARD ON BEING ANXIOUS TO COME TO WASHINGTON COUNTY; SHE IS FROM GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI ORIGINAL- LY, HAS BEEN LIVING IN LAS VAGAS AND WANTS TO MOVE CLOSER TO HOME. WHEN QUESTIONED IF SHE WOULD BE LIVING IN WASHINGTON COUNTY, KENDRICK ADVISED SHE WAS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO TAKE ACTION ON THE CANDIDATE AT THIS TIME. COMMISSIONER CORBIN UPDATED THE BOARD ON ANDREASON HAVING CALLED HIM ON KENDRICK BEING A HIGHLY QUALIFIED PERSON FOR THE 4-H POSITION; THERE WERE TWO MORE APPLICANTS BUT THEY BACKED OUT. HE ALSO ADDRESSED THE 4-H POSITION HAD BEEN VACANT FOR A WHILE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH REFERRED TO THE BOARD HAVING ASKED FOR MORE CANDIDATES TO BE BROUGHT BEFORE THEM; HOWEVER, THERE WERE NO MORE.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP TO ACCEPT LEANN KENDRICK FOR THE 4-H POSITION. COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED ALL THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO SEE A LOCAL PERSON FILL THE POSITION BECAUSE THEY ARE WASHINGTON COUNTY CITIZENS; HOWEVER, KENDRICK WILL BE LOCAL WHEN SHE MOVES HERE.

DR. VERGOTT UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE POSITION BEING POSTED; THERE WERE THREE OR FOUR APPLICATIONS IN ADDITION TO MS. KENDRICK. THE OTHER APPLICANTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED AT ALL FOR THE POSITION; THEY INTERVIEWED ON THE UNIVERSITY CAMPUS. THE ASSOCIATE DEAN AND THE PROGRAM LEADER FELT KENDRICK WOULD DO A GOOD JOB FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY AS SHE COMES WITH A LOT OF EXPERIENCE.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

LEE ANDERSON, ROLLING PINES ROAD, ADDRESSED THE BOARD CONCERNING DUST PROBLEMS AND ASKED IF ANYTHING COULD BE DONE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH INFORMED ANDERSON THE COUNTY HAS A GRANT SUBMITTED FOR THE PAVING OF ROLLING PINES ROAD.

ANDERSON REQUESTED, DURING THE INTERIM, THE BOARD CONSIDER LOWERING THE SPEED LIMIT ON THE ROAD TO 10 MPH OR GET THE SPEED LIMIT ON THE ROAD ENFORCED.

CHAIRMAN FINCH AGREED TO PUT SOME ADVISORY SIGNS UP AND SPEED SIGNS ON THE CURVES TO TRY AND LOWER THE SPEED LIMIT.

ANDREW SHERROD, OVERSEEING DELTONA ON WHAT IS BEING DONE IN SUNNY HILLS, ENCOURAGED THE BOARD TO HOLD A WORKSHOP DUE TO ALL THE GROWTH, ROAD DEVELOPMENT AND ALL THE NEW ROOF TOPS GOING IN. HE EXPLAINED THE COUNTY HAD ABOUT 198 LOTS THEY NEEDED TO ADDRESS AND FELT LIKE THE DEVELOPERS AND BUILDERS HAVE SOME IDEAS TO HELP WITH THE GROWTH; THEY WANT TO ENCOURAGE ROOFTOPS AND DO SOMETHING WITH THE ROADS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH UPDATED THE BOARD ON BEING AT A MEETING WITH BUILDERS AND DEVELOPERS AND HE WAS IMPRESSED WITH THEIR INTENTION OF BUILDING HOUSES AND GETTING SOME ROOFTOPS THERE.

SHERROD ADVISED THE BOARD THERE WERE ABOUT FIVE BUILDERS WHO ARE LOOKING AT BUILDING THREE HOUSES A MONTH FOR THE FIRST YEAR AND THIS WILL INCREASE ONCE THE DEMAND INCREASES; THIS IS JUST THE LOCAL BUILDERS AND THERE COULD BE SOME NATIONAL BUILDERS COMING IN ALSO.

CHAIRMAN FINCH RECOMMENDED THE BOARD HOLD A WORKSHOP AS REQUESTED BY SHERROD. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SET A WORKSHOP MEETING. CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TO KEEP IN CONTACT WITH SHERROD AND WORK OUT A TIME TO HOLD THE WORKSHOP.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED FOR THE ROAD MILES TO BE IDENTIFIED THAT WILL NEED TO BE RESURFACED; SHERROD ADVISED THERE WERE APPROXIMATELY EIGHTEEN MILES WHICH WOULD NEED TO BE RESURFACED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF DELTONA WAS GOING TO BE RESURFACING THE SOUTHERN END OF ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY WHERE THE PAVEMENT STOPS AND GOES DOWN TO THE CROSSROADS. SHERROD AGREED TO GET BACK WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN AFTER HE CHECKED TO SEE IF THIS WAS PART OF DELTONA'S PLANS.

MARK ODOM ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING TALKED WITH COMMISSIONER FINCH, CORBIN AND ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT RELATIVE TO THE LONGEVITY AND DURABILITY OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AND ITS CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS. HE ENCOURAGED THE BOARD TO EXAMINE THE PROCESS OF PERMITTING AND HOW THE COUNTY MANDATES HOMEOWNERS AND CONTRACTORS PRESENTS THEIR PLANS TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT IN ORDER TO CREATE A PROACTIVE APPROACH TO THE GROWTH BEING EXPERIENCED IN THE COUNTY.

ODOM INFORMED THE BOARD WASHINGTON COUNTY PRESENTLY HAS A STANDARD BUILDING CODE, FLORIDA BUILDING CODE 01. THE COUNTY HAS A DOUBLE STANDARD; A CONTRACTOR IS HELD TO A STANDARD OF CONSTRUCTING A FACILITY TO WITHSTAND THE SAID WINDLOAD OF EACH ZIP CODE WHICH RANGES FROM 110 TO 125 MPH. WHEN A HOMEOWNER PROPOSES TO BUILD A STRUCTURE, THEY ARE BASICALLY A PASS THROUGH AND A MISSED STEP; THEY CAN PROVIDE THEIR OWN DRAWING OF WHAT THEIR PLANS ARE FOR A BUILDING AND ARE PROVIDED A PERMIT. ODOM SAID THE PROBLEM WITH THIS WAS THE HOMEOWNER CAN BUILD IT, HIRE A SUBCONTRACTOR TO BUILD IT OR BE THEIR OWN CONTRACTOR IF THEY WANT TO; ETHICALLY, THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE WORKERS COMP INSURANCE AND ARE LEGAL WORKERS IN THE COUNTY. THERE IS NO STANDARD FOR THE HOMEOWNER TO SAY THE BUILDING MEETS CERTAIN WINDLOADS. HOWEVER, IF SOMEONE HIRES A CONTRACTOR TO BUILD THEM A BUILDING, THEY WILL HAVE TO GO TO AN ENGINEER WITH HIS SPECIFICATIONS AND HAVE THE ENGINEER DRAW A BUILDING THAT WILL MEET THE REQUIRED WINDLOAD; HE WOULD HAVE TO PAY THE ENGINEERING COST.

ODOM UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM BEING ONE OF THE OWNERS OF BETTER BUILT BUILDINGS; THE POLE BARN BUSINESS IN THIS AREA IS A VERY COST EFFECTIVE WAY FOR THE HOMEOWNER TO HAVE PROTECTION AND THERE ARE COMPANIES WHO JUST SALE THE KITS

IF A HOMEOWNER COMES IN AND PULLS A PERMIT FOR THE POLEBARN KIT. WITH BETTER BUILT BUILDINGS BEING A CONTRACTOR, THEY HAVE THEIR PLANS AND CAN CERTIFY THE BUILDINGS WILL WITHHOLD THE REQUIRED WINDLOAD ZONE STANDARD. HE ADDRESSED THE NEED TO ENSURE GOOD COMPETITION AND GOOD LEVEL PLAYING FIELD FROM A SALES POINT FROM BETTER BUILT BUILDINGS END AND FROM THE COUNTY'S END, IS THERE GOING TO BE A LONG TERM LIABILITY TO COUNTY.

ODOM SAID REGARDLESS OF WHO BUILDS A 1,000 FOOT BUILDING, THE HOMEOWNER OR A CONTRACTOR, IT SHOULD BE ENGINEERED BECAUSE WIND BORN DEBRIS IS A REALITY AND THE NEW FLORIDA BUILDING CODES WILL ADDRESS THIS.

ODOM SAID HIS CONCERN IS THE QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION GOING ON IN WASHINGTON COUNTY; HE WANTED TO IMPRESS ON THE BOARD THE NEED TO ADDRESS THIS AS IT IS A MATTER OF TIME BEFORE A HURRICANE HITS WASHINGTON COUNTY.

DUE TO THE UNCONTROLLABLE GROWTH IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND SUNNY HILLS BUILDINGS ALONE, IT IS PROBABLY ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE BUILDING OFFICIALS TO HAVE THE TIME TO MAKE SURE QUALITY CONSTRUCTION IS GOING ON. HE REQUESTED THEIR DEPARTMENT BE FUNDED ANOTHER POSITION TO ASSIST WITH MAKING SURE QUALITY CONSTRUCTION IS GOING ON AND ADDRESSED IT NOT HAVING TO BE A CERTIFIED INSPECTOR; THEY COULD JUST REPORT TO THE BUILDING OFFICIAL OR BUILDING INSPECTOR.

ODOM ADDRESSED THERE WAS A NEED FOR AN EXPERT IN THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT TO ASK WHERE CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS ARE SUCH AS FIRE WALLS, SPRINKLER SYSTEM, ETC.; AT THE PRESENT TIME, THEY ARE RELYING ON THE ENGINEER AND ARCHITECT TO MAKE SURE THE REQUIREMENTS ARE BEING MET. THE ENGINEER'S PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY IS TO ENGINEER THE BUILDING TO SAY THEY ARE STRUCTURALLY SOUND; AN ARCHITECT TYPICALLY DESIGNS A BUILDING AND WILL SPECIFY PART NUMBERS AND COST ESTIMATES, ETC. ODOM REFERRED TO THE BIGGER COUNTIES, HAVING GONE TO A PLAN REVIEW CODE ADHERENCE TO MAKE SURE EVERYTHING MEETS CODES; THIS IS SOMETHING WASHINGTON COUNTY WILL HAVE TO LOOK AT IN THE FUTURE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH EXPLAINED ODOM WAS BASICALLY SAYING HE WANTED A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD AND THE BOTTOM LINE IS TO HAVE QUALITY CONSTRUCTION THROUGHOUT WASHINGTON COUNTY NO MATTER WHO COMES AND APPLIES FOR A PERMIT. WHETHER IT BE A CITIZEN OR CONTRACTOR, THEY SHOULD BE LOOKED AT THE SAME WAY AND HAVE TO BE HELD TO THE SAME BUILDING STANDARDS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED WHEN THE NEW BUILDING CODES WERE COMING OUT; HE SUGGESTED WAITING UNTIL THE NEW CODES COME OUT TO SEE IF IT COVERS ODOM'S CONCERNS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SUGGESTED WAITING UNTIL THE NEW CODES COME OUT AND HOLD A WORKSHOP, INVITE ALL THE BUILDING CONTRACTORS AND HAVE THE BUILDING INSPECTORS PRESENT TO EXPLAIN WHAT THEY WILL BE LOOKING FOR WHEN DOING AN INSPECTION. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THIS WORKSHOP COULD BE HELD IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SUNNY HILLS WORKSHOP.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED AS LONG AS THE BOARD ALLOWS THE 500 SQUARE FOOT EXEMPTION, A PERSON COULD BUILD 500' TODAY AND SIX MONTHS LATER THEY COULD BUILD ANOTHER 500' AND THIS IS HAPPENING.

LLOYD POWELL, BUILDING OFFICIAL, ADVISED THE BOARD CONTRACTORS KNOW THE BUILDING CODES AND THERE IS REALLY NO USE IN HAVING A WORK- SHOP TO TELL THEM WHAT THE CODES ARE; THEY HAVE TO KNOW THE CODES BEFORE THEY PASS THEIR CONTRACTORS TEST. COMMISSIONER FINCH STATED APPARENTLY THAT HAS NOT BEEN THE CASE; THERE HAVE BEEN MISINTERPRE- TATIONS AS TO WHAT THE CONTRACTOR CAN AND CAN'T DO.

FINCH REFERRED TO AN INCIDENT WHERE AN INDIVIDUAL WAS UPSET DUE TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF HIS HOME; APPARENTLY THAT CONTRACTOR DIDN'T KNOW OR DIDN'T CARE AS TO WHAT WAS GOING ON WITH THE INDIVIDUAL'S HOME. COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED THE PROBLEM WITH THE HOME FINCH WAS REFERRING TO WAS DUE TO THE CONTRACTOR'S SUBCONTRACTORS.

POWELL ADDRESSED THE HOUSE WAS BUILT TO CODE. COMMISSIONER FINCH EXPLAINED IF THE COUNTY IS INSPECTING HOMES, THEY SHOULD TAKE SOME RESPONSIBILITY FOR A

HOUSE TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO A LEVEL OF CERTAIN STANDARDS. POWELL ADVISED THE STATE LAWS COVERS WHAT FINCH IS SPEAKING OF; WHEN SPEAKING OF QUALITY OF A HOME, THE COUNTY CAN'T GET INTO THAT AS THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT DOESN'T HAVE ANY CONTROL OVER THE QUALITY.

ODOM AGREED QUALITY CAN'T BE INSPECTED; HOWEVER, THE INSPECTIONS NEED TO BE BROUGHT UP TO THE STANDARDS OF SURROUNDING COUNTIES. COM- MISSIONER CORBIN EXPLAINED THE COUNTY CAN'T CIRCUMVENT STATE LAW; THE COUNTY CAN ADOPT A LAW IF IT IS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH A STATE LAW.

POWELL ADVISED THE COUNTY CAN INCREASE THE STANDARDS IN THE STATUTE BUT CAN'T DECREASE THEM. ODOM SAID THE BIG ISSUE IS THE COUNTY DOESN'T WANT TO GET INTO A SITUATION WHERE THERE IS A STRUCTURE NEXT TO SOMEONE'S HOUSE THAT WILL COME OUT OF THE GROUND THE FIRST TIME A WIND COMES THROUGH; THIS COULD HAPPEN WITH IMPROPER ANCHORING AND LACK OF ENGINEERING.

POWELL SAID HE THOUGHT WHAT ODOM WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS PEOPLE BEING ABLE TO PUT UP A POLE BARN ON AGRICULTURE PROPERTY; HOWEVER, IF A PERSON OWNS A RESIDENTIAL LOT AND WANTS TO PUT UP A POLE BARN AND CLOSE IT IN, THEY HAVE TO HAVE THIS ENGINEERED. AGRICULTURE USE IS THE ONLY ONE THAT GETS AWAY WITH HAVING TO MEET THE WINDLOAD STAND- ARDS AND HAVING ENGINEERED PLANS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ADVISED ODOM THE BOARD WOULD TRY AND ARRANGE A WORKSHOP AND IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE LOOK AT GETTING HELP FOR THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT. ODOM FELT LIKE GETTING ADDITIONAL HELP FOR THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT MAY HELP CURE THE PROBLEM WITH BUILDING STANDARDS.

ODOM AGREED FLORIDA BUILDING CODES 2004 SHOULD HAVE MUCH STRICTER STANDARDS ON WINDBORN DEBRIS AND ADDRESSED THE NEED FOR THE BOARD TO LOOK AT MORE BUDGET FOR THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT, EVALUATE PERMIT COST, DRIVEWAY COST, ETC. HE POINTED OUT THE BOARD WAS NOT APPOINTED TO LOSE MONIES FOR THE TAXPAYERS; EVERYBODY NEEDS TO SHARE THEIR OWN BURDEN. HE ASKED THE BOARD NOT TO LET POLITICAL PRESSURE ALTER THEIR DECISION WHEN IT COMES TO MAKING GOOD BUSINESS DECISIONS FOR THE COUNTY WHICH ULTIMATELY AFFECTS EVERYONE. HE POINTED OUT NEW CONSTRUCTION DOES NOT AFFECT SOMEONE WHO HAS LIVED IN THEIR HOUSE FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS IN THE SAME LOCATION.

COMMISSIONER FINCH EXPLAINED HE AGREED WITH ODOM BUT THEY NEED TO MAKE SURE EVERYONE IS PAYING THEIR WAY AND ALL EVALUATED EQUALLY.

BID AWARDS/INSURANCE-ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BID AWARDS FOR CAFETERIA PLAN/SUPPLEMENTAL INSURANCE:

- A. STEVE REGISTER-COLONIAL
- B. JAMES VICKERY-ALL STATE

HERBERT ADVISED THE BIG DIFFERENCE IN THE PROPOSALS WAS STEVE REGISTER HAD ALWAYS ADMINISTERED THE CAFETERIA PLAN FREE OF CHARGE; JAMES VICKERY HAS AN ANNUAL FEE PER MEMBER THAT ENROLLED. REGISTER HAS ALSO AGREED TO OFFER INDIVIDUAL POLICIES FOR AFLAC DENTAL INSURANCE VERSUS THE GROUP POLICY ALL STATE OFFERS WHICH REQUIRES 25% PARTICIPATION.

HERBERT RECOMMENDED AWARDING THE BID TO STEVE REGISTER THROUGH COLONIAL FOR THE CAFETERIA PLAN/SUPPLEMENTAL INSURANCE. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF HERBERT'S RECOMMENDATION.

UNDER THE GENERAL LIABILITY INSURANCE, ATTORNEY HOLLEY ASKED QUESTIONS ON INFORMATION HE HAD BEEN HANDED FOR BOTH REPRESENTATIVES, PRA REPRESENTATIVE, PAUL DAWSON AND FACT REPRESENTATIVE, KEN MONOGHEN TO RESPOND TO:

A. CIVIL RIGHTS COVERAGE-FACT EXCLUDES CLAIMS THAT ARISE OUT OF THE WILLFUL VIOLATION OF PENAL STATUTE OR ORDINANCE OR CLAIMS CAUSED BY, RESULTING FROM OR ARISING OUT OF FRAUDULENT CRIMINAL OR MALICIOUS ACTS ACCORDING TO PRA AND PRA ADVISED THEY PROVIDE THIS COVERAGE.

MONEGHAN ADVISED FACT CAN'T COVER CRIMINAL ACTS AND NO ONE ELSE CAN.

DAWSON ADVISED THE REASON HE BROUGHT THIS UP IS MONEGHAN HAD SAID FACT PROVIDED COVERAGE FOR INTENTIONAL ACTS. DAWSON EXPLAINED PRI DOES NOT COVER INTENTIONAL ACTS; THEY COVER ALLEGED INTENTIONAL ACTS. ATTORNEY HOLLEY NOTED

THAT CRIMINAL ACTS ARE DIFFERENT THAN INTENTIONAL ACTS; HE ALSO NOTED HE HAD THOUGHT PRI'S LANGUAGE IN THEIR POLICY SUGGESTED THEY WOULD NOT COVER ACTS THAT WERE ALLEGED TO BE INTENTIONAL, OR ALLEGED TO BE A RESULT OF A POLICY, CUSTOM OR PRACTICE. DAWSON EXPLAINED THIS EXCLUSION IS SPECIFIC TO BODILY INJURY, PROPERTY DAMAGE AND PERSONAL INJURY WHICH IS PICKED UP UNDER THE GENERAL LIABILITY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY NOTED HE THOUGHT HE HAD SEEN THIS UNDER THE CIVIL RIGHTS COVERAGE IN PRI'S POLICY. DAWSON EXPLAINED THAT MONEGHAN HAD POINTED OUT A PART OF AN EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC OFFICIALS LIABILITY WHICH DOES NOT PROVIDE COVERAGE FOR BODILY INJURY OR PROPERTY DAMAGE; THE GENERAL LIABILITY DOES AND THIS PREVENTS OVERLAP. DAWSON ADVISED THEY WERE ALL COVERED; SOME UNDER GENERAL LIABILITY AND SOME UNDER PUBLIC OFFICIALS LIABILITY.

HOLLEY ADDRESSED ANOTHER ALLEGATION BEING MADE IS THAT FACT EXCLUDES CLAIMS ARISING OUT OF EMINENT DOMAIN OR CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS AND PGIT PROVIDES A LIMIT OF \$100,000 FOR THOSE TYPE CLAIMS.

KEN AGREED THEY DO NOT COVER EMINENT DOMAIN OR CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS AS THERE ARE GENERALLY DAMAGES INVOLVED; HOWEVER, WHEN GETTING INTO THE CIVIL RIGHTS ASPECT OF IT, IT IS COVERED.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED WHAT TYPE OF CLAIMS WERE BEING REFERRED TO WHEN SPEAKING OF CLAIMS ARISING OUT OF EMINENT DOMAIN; ATTORNEY FEES AND APPRAISAL FEES ARE ABOUT THE ONLY COSTS INVOLVED WITH EMINENT DOMAIN. MONEGHAN ADVISED THEY WOULD BE LOOKING AT ZONING TYPE CASES.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ASKED BOTH DAWSON AND MONEGHAN TO RESPOND TO A CONCERN HE HAS ABOUT THE RATIO BETWEEN PREMIUMS AND RESERVES; WHAT IS THE NATIONAL STANDARD AND WHAT THEIR RATIO IS.

MONEGHAN ADVISED THE STANDARD FROM AN INSURANCE STANDPOINT IS GENERALLY 3-1 TO 4-1 AND REFERS TO SURPLUS OF THE COMPANY; IF THEY HAVE PREMIUMS OF \$100,000,000, A COMPANY SHOULD HAVE \$25,000,000 IN SURPLUS. HE ADVISED THAT FACT HAS LESS THAN A 2-1; THEY HAVE \$3,000,000 IN SURPLUS AND \$5,000,000 IN PREMIUMS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED WHY THIS IS IMPORTANT; MONEGHAN ADVISED THIS IS THE STABILITY OF THE PROGRAM IF THEY HAVE ENOUGH PREMIUM SURPLUS TO BACK UP CLAIMS THAT MAY DEVELOP THEY MAY NOT KNOW ABOUT.

DAWSON RESPONDED THAT STANDARD IS ANEQUATED AND UNAPPLICABLE TO TRUSTS; PGIT BUYS 100% OF EXCESS INSURANCE. HE EXPLAINED IF THEY COLLECT \$20,000,000 IN PROPERTY PREMIUMS, THEY TURN AROUND AND BY \$20,000,000 OF EXCESS INSURANCE WITH A RATED CARRIERS; THEY DON'T NEED SURPLUS FOR A LOSS FUND TO PAY PROPERTY CLAIMS. HE THEN ADVISED THEY HAVE \$75,000,000 IN PREMIUMS AND \$3.5 MILLION IN SURPLUS; EVEN ON THE CASUALTY LINES, THEY BUY EXCESS INSURANCE THAT CAPS AT \$100,000.

TONY GRIPPA, HEAD OF PUBLIC RISK INSURANCE, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING INSTEAD OF TAKING RISK THEMSELVES, THEY SEED IT OFF TO A RATED CARRIERS. WHERE THE PREMIUMS AND SURPLUS WOULD COME INTO PLAY WOULD BE IF THEY TOOK THE RISK THEMSELVES;; HOWEVER, THEY DON'T DO THAT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED DIDN'T BOTH COMPANIES TAKE \$100,000 RISK. GRIPPA ADVISED THE DIFFERENCE IN RE-INSURANCE, WHAT FACT BUYS, IS NOT COVERED BY THE GUARANTEE ASSOCIATION; THE COUNTY COULD BE, IF FACT DIDN'T HAVE THE SURPLUS FUNDS, RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR OWN CLAIMS WHEREAS WITH EXCESS INSURANCE, STATE LAW PROVIDES GUARANTEE FUND COVERAGE. WHEN TALKING ABOUT FINANCIAL STABILITY AND THE IMPACT TO WASHINGTON COUNTY, GRIPPA ADVISED PGIT DOES IT THROUGH EXCESS INSURANCE SO THERE IS A STATE FUNDED GUARANTEE FUND; FACT DOES IT THROUGH A RE-INSURANCE FUND AND THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE THAT PROTECTION.

MONEGHAN DISAGREED WITH WHAT GRIPPA HAD SAID; PGIT HAS A RETENTION OF \$100,000 ON THEIR POOL AND FACT DOES ALSO. HE ADVISED FACT'S REINSURANCE IS THROUGH AN A RATED COMPANY AS WELL; IF THE POOL HAS PROBLEMS AND GOES UNDER, THE COUNTY WILL STILL HAVE TO PAY THE RETENTION WHICH IS REDEEMED BY THE POOL AND NOT BY THE EXCESS CARRIER. HE REFERRED TO GRIPPA REFERRING TO IT NOT BEING

IMPORTANT AS FOR AS THE STANDPOINT OF THE STABILITY OF THE POOL; FACT HAD PEOPLE LOOK AT PGIT'S FINANCIALS AND PROGRAMS AND YOU LOOK AT IT FROM A STATE STANDPOINT AND IF YOU LOOK AT IT FROM AN INSURANCE COMPANY STANDPOINT, THEY ARE DISCOUNTING THEIR LOSS RESERVES OF \$3.7 MILLION AND WHEN YOU TAKE THIS OFF THEIR SURPLUS, THIS LEAVES \$300,000 IN THE HOLE. HE SAID PGIT IS ALSO DECLARING AN ASSET A PREPAID EXPENSE; INSURANCE COMPANIES UNDER THE STANDARDS SET BY THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE COMMISSIONERS, THEY HAVE A \$4.3 MILLION ASSET THEY ARE SHOWING WHICH IS NOT ALLOWED. IF YOU TAKE THIS OFF THEIR FUNDS AND THEY ARE \$4.0 MILLION IN THE HOLE IF LOOKING FROM A STATUTORY INSURANCE STANDPOINT.

MONEGHAN REFERRED TO PGIT SAYING THEIR EXCESS COVERAGE IS BETTER THAN BUYING REINSURANCE; HOWEVER, IF YOU ARE BUYING CLAIMS MADE REINSURANCE FOR EXCESS AND THE POOL GOES UNDER, THAT IS WHAT THEY ARE SAYING IS GUARANTEED. MONEGHAN QUESTIONED WHAT WOULD HAPPEN WHEN THE POLICY EXPIRED; THE COUNTY WOULD NO LONGER HAVE COVERAGE BECAUSE IT IS EXCESS. AS A CLAIMS MADE, WHILE THE PROGRAM MAY BE IN EFFECT AND THEY HAVE TO COLLECT FROM THEIR EXCESS CARRIER, AS A CLAIMS MADE FORM WHICH THE OTHER FORMS ARE ON THE PUBLIC OFFICIALS CLAIMS MADE ALSO MAKES A DIFFERENCE AND THEY HAVE TO PLAN ON FUNDING THAT IF THEY MAKE A CHANGE; ON THE EXCESS CARRIER, ON THE CLAIMS MADE, WHEN THE POLICY ENDS, THEY END THE PROGRAM AT THE END OF THE YEAR.

GRIPPA ADDRESSED THE DIFFERENCE IN REINSURANCE IF INSOLVENCY OCCURS IS ULTIMATELY REINSURANCE HAS TO BE COLLECTED BY A LIQUIDATOR; THE LIQUIDATOR WOULD GO TO WHATEVER A BANKRUPTCY COURT AND THERE IS USUALLY ARGUMENTS THE REINSURANCER WOULD MAKE SAYING THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE GONE INSOLVENT AND THERE IS A DEBATE; IF LOOKING AT WHAT THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE COLLECTS HISTORICALLY IS USUALLY LESS THAN \$.50 ON THE DOLLAR. EXCESS INSURANCE IS DIRECT INSURANCE MEANING IF THE EXCESS CARRIER GOES DOWN, DOLLAR ONE ON WORKERS COMP, THE COUNTY'S CLAIMS WOULD BE COVERED BY THE FLORIDA WORKERS COMP INSURANCE GUARANTEE ASSOCIATION.

GRIPPA EXPLAINED THAT FINANCIAL ISSUES ARE VERY IMPORTANT AND WHEN HE GOES THROUGH THE AMOUNT OF COUNTIES AND CITIES THAT HAVE DONE THEIR DUE DILIGENCE, WHICH HE NAMED, THAT IS WHY HE FEELS IT IS NOT FAIR THAT ANYBODY IS DISPARINGLY. HE WANTED TO GET THE PRICING PIECE AND THE OFFERINGS PGIT BROUGHT TO THE TABLE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SUGGESTED THE BOARD NOT LISTEN TO LENGTHY DEBATES BETWEEN BROWN AND BROWN REPRESENTATIVES AND FACT REPRESENT- ATIVES AS THEY ARE SALESMEN AND IT COULD LAST ALL DAY LONG.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED INITIALLY HE AND ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAD LOOKED AT THE INSURANCE PROPOSALS AND FELT THE AUTOMOBILE COVERAGE WAS BETTER WITH PRIA; THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS CLAIMS MADE OR OCCURRENCE INSURANCE. HE SAID THE BOARD MAY WANT TO ADDRESS PRIA SAYING IF THE COUNTY HAS SOMETHING THAT OCCURS DURING THE POLICY YEAR, THE COUNTY CAN GET COVERAGE FOR THE NEXT YEAR IF THEY RETAIN THEM WITHOUT PAYING FOR TAIL COVERAGE. HE ASKED DAWSON TO EXPLAIN IF THERE WAS NOT GOING TO BE A COST FOR THAT COVERAGE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY THEN READ FROM THE LETTER FROM PRIA: IF AN UNKNOWN CLAIM ARISES DURING THE CLAIMS MADE POLICY, THE CLAIMS MADE FORM WILL PROVIDE COVERAGE; ANY INSURER THAT PROVIDES A NEW POLICY IS ALWAYS PROVIDED A PRIOR ACTS COVERAGE ENDORSEMENT THAT ELIMINATES ANY GAPS IN COVERAGE. HE THEN ASKED WHAT THIS WOULD COST.

DAWSON ADVISED THEIR POLICY STATES THE GAP COVERAGE WILL COST 100% OF THE EXPIRING PREMIUM. DAWSON EXPLAINED, IN ALL FAIRNESS, THE LETTER HOLLEY WAS READING WAS FAXED ON SEPTEMBER 19TH TO GIVE THE BOARD A CHANCE TO READ IT AND REVIEW IT; HOLLEY ADVISED HE HAD RECEIVED IT THIS DATE.

DAWSON EXPLAINED THE CLAIMS MADE VERSUS THE OCCURENCE FORM PARAGRAPH IS THE SCENARIO HE HAS SCREENED FOR THE LAST TEN YEARS; HE HAD MENTIONED SOME DIRECT CITIES THAT SPECIFICALLY SWITCHED FROM OCCURRENCE TO CLAIMS MADE AND FROM CLAIMS MADE TO OCCURRENCE IN HIS LETTER. HE ADDRESSED WHEN SOMEONE SWITCHES FROM A CLAIMS MADE TO OCCURRENCE, THAT COMPANY THAT HAS AN OCCURRENCE FORM PROVIDES PRIOR ACTS ENDORSEMENT; PRIA PROVIDES ON A CLAIM MADE THEY ARE OFFERING TO THE



COUNTY WHICH MEANS IF THE COUNTY HAS ANY CLAIMS THEY ARE NOT AWARE OF THAT HAPPENED IN THE PAST TO COME UP WHILE THEY HAVE THE POLICY, THEY WILL PROVIDE COVERAGE. WHEN QUESTIONED BY HOLLEY IF THIS PERTAINED TO ANY CLAIMS THE COUNTY MAY NOT BE AWARE OF OR ANY HAPPENINGS THEY MAY NOT BE AWARE OF; DAWSON ADVISED BOTH.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED WHAT IF THE COUNTY HAD A KNOWN OCCURRENCE BUT HAD NO CLAIM; DAWSON ADVISED FACT, THE OLD POLICY WOULD PICK IT UP AND POSSIBLY PRIA WOULD TOO. DAWSON ADVISED WITH PRI'S PROPOSAL, THERE IS NO GAPS IN COVERAGE; SHOULD THE BOARD GO FROM A CLAIMS MADE TO AN OCCURRENCE IN THE FUTURE, THE PROVIDER OF THE INSURANCE POLICY WILL GIVE THEM PRIOR ACTS COVERAGE, THE SAME AS PRIA IS GIVING THEM AT THE INCEPTION OF THEIR POLICY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD IT BOILS DOWN TO WHETHER THEY PREFER THE CLAIMS MADE COVERAGE OR THE OCCURRENCE COVERAGE; HIS BIGGEST CONCERN WITH PRIA'S COVERAGE IS THEIR FINANCIAL CONDITION AND HE FEELS THERE IS AN IMPORTANCE WITH THE RATIO ADDRESSED. ON THE AUTOMOBILE COVERAGE, PRIA IS CHEAPER AND THE ONLY THING THE COUNTY WON'T HAVE THEY HAVE NOW IS A LOCAL AGENT HANDLING THE CLAIMS FOR THEM. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED THERE WAS A DIFFERENCE OF \$39,211 IN THE PROPOSALS; HOWEVER, THERE WAS A DIFFERENCE IN THE DEDUCTIBLE ALSO.

DAWSON REITERATED ON THE FINANCIAL CONDITIONS OF THEIR COMPANY, THEY HAVE BEEN EXTREMELY SUCCESSFUL AND HAVE LITERALLY HAD EVERY CONSULTANT IN THE STATE LOOK AT THEIR FINANCIALS; THEY HAVE ALSO SUBMITTED THE FINANCIALS TO THE STATE OF FLORIDA AS THEY ARE REQUIRED TO DO SO AND REFERRED TO COUNTIES AND CITIES AWARDING THEM THEIR INSURANCE BIDS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED DAWSON THE REASON FOR HIS CONCERN IS THE COUNTY HAS BEEN THROUGH FINANCIAL PROBLEMS WITH GRIT. GRIPPA SAID THE FINANCIAL CONDITION, WHEN THEIR COMPANY INSURES 200, BETWEEN SPECIAL DISTRICTS, CITIES AND COUNTYS, AND HAVE GONE THROUGH EVERY BID PROCESS, ISN'T AN ISSUE. HE ALSO REITERATED THE ADDED PROTECTION OF THE GUARANTEE ASSOCIATION, THEY HAVE THE FULL PHASE IN CREDIT WHEN THEY BUY FROM AN EXCESS CARRIER AS OPPOSED TO REINSURER OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA. HE TOLD THE BOARD ABOUT AN INCIDENT IN LEON COUNTY WHERE THE BOARD HAD VOTED NOT TO PAY THE LEGAL BILLS FOR A COMMISSIONER WHO HAD GOTTEN HIMSELF IN TROUBLE AND IT IS NOW UP TO \$200,000; FACT SETTLED THAT CLAIM AGAINST THE BOARD'S WISHES BY MAKING AN INSURANCE DECISION WHICH IS WELL WITHIN THEIR RIGHTS. GRIPPA EXPLAINED IN FACT'S CONTRACT IT STATES, FACT IS ALLOWED TO SETTLE CLAIMS; LEON COUNTY WAS FORCED TO PAY THE DEDUCTIBLE FOR THAT CLAIM AND THE RESIDENTS OF LEON COUNTY DIDN'T LIKE IT BECAUSE NO ONE FELT THERE WAS ONE DIME THE COMMISSIONER DESERVED. UNDER THE PGIT POLICY, THEY ARE NOT ALLOWED TO SETTLE A CLAIM WITHOUT THE COUNTY'S PERMISSION AND THAT IS ONE OF THE KEY ISSUES. GRIPPA ADDRESSED THIS BEING ONE OF THE KEY FACTORS LEON COUNTY SWITCHED TO PGIT PRIOR TO HIS INVOLVEMENT WITH THE COMPANY. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO LOOK AT THIS ISSUE WHEN MAKING THEIR DECISION AND ALSO LOOK AT PGIT BEING \$70,000 CHEAPER THAN FACT; THERE IS A 60% DIFFERENCE IN THE BID AND PRICE WHICH THE BOARD COULD UTILIZE TO PAY FAMILY HEALTH CARE, ETC.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED WHEN DOES A BOARD DECIDE TO STOP PAYING ATTORNEY FEES AND DECIDE IT WOULD COST MORE TO FIGHT A SMALLER LAWSUIT; GRIPPA ADVISED UNDER PGIT POLICY, IT WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD BUT UNDER FACT'S POLICY, IT IS NOT.

MONEGHAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING HE COULDN'T BELIEVE GRIPPA BROUGHT THAT CASE UP; HE ALSO REITERATED THEY HAD WORKED WITH LEON COUNTY VERY CLOSELY ON THAT CASE, MET WITH ALL THE COMMISSIONERS AND TALKED WITH THEIR ATTORNEYS AND SETTLED THE CASE ON THE BASIS OF THE AGREEMENT WITH THE ATTORNEY.

MONEGHAN REFERRED TO DAWSON AND GRIPPA ADDRESSING THEY BENEFITTED OVER THE EXCESS COVERAGES; MONEGHAN SAID THIS MAY BE BUT THEY FIRST HAVE TO PAY THE FIRST RETENTION WHICH IS \$100,000 FOR LIABILITY CLAIMS AND \$350,000 FOR WORK COMP. MONEGHAN SAID HE HAD FACT'S CLAIMS; THEY HAVE HAD SOME LARGE CLAIMS WITH THE COUNTY AND OVER A FOUR YEAR PERIOD, THEY PAID OVER \$1,000,000. HE ADDRESSED SEVERAL OF THOSE CLAIMS SOME OF THE PEOPLE WERE NAMED INTENTIONALLY IN THE

LAWSUITS; THEY WERE SUED INDIVIDUALLY AND IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITY AND DAWSON AND GRIPPA HAVE ALREADY ADMITTED THESE INCIDENTS WERE NOT COVERED.

MONEGHAN POINTED OUT HE HAD FACTS CLAIMS, PEOPLE TAKE A LOOK AT THOSE FOUR CLAIMS AND PGIT'S POLICY FORM; ON ALL THE FOUR CLAIMS FACT PAID, THERE IS EXCLUSIONS IN PGIT'S FORMS THAT WOULD HAVE EXCLUDED EVERYONE OF THE CLAIMS.

MONEGHAN EXPLAINED THEY HAVE COVERED LARGE CLAIMS FOR THE COUNTY, STOOD BY THE COUNTY, DONE A LOT OF LOSS CONTROL FOR THE COUNTY, ETC.; THERE WAS THINGS FACT PICKED UP FOR THE COUNTY THEY DIDN'T NEED TO PICK UP AND FEELS THEY HAVE SERVED THE COUNTY WELL.

CHAIRMAN FINCH COMMENTED THE BOARD WAS NOT FAMILIAR ENOUGH WITH THE INSURANCE RULES AND REGULATIONS LIKE THE INSURANCE REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT; ALL THEY CAN DO IS FORMULATE SOME QUESTIONS FROM THEIR ATTORNEY AND GO BY HIS JUDGEMENT. HE REFERRED TO HIM NOT KNOWING IF THE COUNTY WAS GETTING A BIG DIFFERENCE IN WHAT FACT WAS OFFERING AND WHAT PRI WAS OFFERING; IT IS DIFFICULT TO DISCERN THE DIFFERENCES AND KNOW WHAT DIRECTION TO GO. FINCH ACKNOWLEDGED THE BOARD HAD BEEN TOTALLY SATISFIED WITH WHAT FACT HAS DONE FOR THE COUNTY AND ALLAN CLARK HAS GIVEN THE BOARD THE GUIDANCE NEEDED IN SITUATIONS; HE SAID HE LIKED THE SAVINGS BUT DIDN'T KNOW IF THE BOARD NEEDED TO CHANGE.

GRIPPA ADDRESSED ALLAN CLARK HAVING GOTTEN THE COUNTY'S WORKERS COMP THROUGH PGIT; HE IS COMING TO THE BOARD WITH A COMPETITIVE PROJECT AND \$70,000 IN SAVINGS.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED THE BOARD BEING ABLE TO BREAK OUT THE INSURANCE PROPOSALS INTO DIFFERENT CATEGORIES AND AWARD TO DIFFERENT FIRMS. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON PRI RAISING THEIR PRICE BY 10% IF NOT AWARDED THE GENERAL LIABILITY AND AUTO POLICIES.

DAWSON AND GRIPPA BOTH REITERATED THE COVERAGES THEY PROVIDE AND THE SAVINGS TO THE COUNTY IF THEY WENT WITH THEIR PROPOSAL.

ALLAN CLARK, COUNTY AGENT, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE HISTORY OF THE COUNTY'S INSURANCE PROGRAMS AND THE REASON HE WENT WITH PGIT FOR THE COUNTY'S WORKERS COMPENSATION. HE ALSO STATED HE COULDN'T TURN HIS BACK ON FACT AS HE AND MONEGHAN HAD SET IN TOGETHER ON CLAIMS FOR THE COUNTY; FOR TWENTY YEARS, CLARK SAID HE HAD SERVED THE COUNTY AND TAKEN CARE OF THEIR NEEDS AND IF THE COUNTY CHOOSES NOT TO STAY WITH FACT, HE WOULD NO LONGER BE THE COUNTY'S INSURANCE AGENT.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED THE GOOD WORKING RELATIONSHIP THE COUNTY HAS HAD WITH FACT ON THEIR GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE BUT WANTED TO LOOK AT COST OF THE OTHER PROPOSALS FROM PRI IF FACT WAS AWARDED THE GENERAL LIABILITY AND ASKED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TO FIGURE THE COST.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REITERATED HIM BEING TOTALLY CONFUSED WITH COMMISSIONER COPE AGREEING HE WAS CONFUSED ALSO. COPE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT FACT HAD BEEN GREAT TO THE COUNTY AND HAD PAID SOME LARGE CLAIMS FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED, NO MATTER WHAT THE COUNTY BUYS, THEY WANT TO KNOW WHEN THE CLAIMS ARE SUBMITTED, THEY WILL BE PAID. HE QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD WAS GOING TO PAY A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT MORE FOR INSURANCE BECAUSE THEY HAVE HAD A GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH FACT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED HIM NOT HAVING A PROBLEM ON GOING WITH DOLLARS ON INLAND MARINE COVERAGE, AUTO AND PROPERTY COVERAGE; HOWEVER, PERSONALLY ON THE LIABILITY INSURANCE, HE HAS MORE OF A COMFORT LEVEL WITH FACT ON PERSONAL LIABILITY AND LIABILITY COVERAGE.

GRIPPA ADDRESSED IF ATTORNEY HOLLEY IS COMFORABLE WITH THE AUTO, INLAND MARINE AND PROPERTY COVERAGE BEING AWARDED TO THEIR FIRM, OVER THE NEXT YEAR THEY WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET THEIR ATTORNEY WITH ATTORNEY HOLLEY AND THE BOARD WILL BECOME MORE FAMILIAR ON THE SERVICES THEY PROVIDE.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON FACT HAVING VARIABLE DEDUCTIBLES FOR THEIR AUTOMOBILE COVERAGE AND PGIT HAD EVERYTHING LISTED AT \$1,000 DEDUCTIBLE; ON COMMERCIAL PROPERTY PGIT HAD A \$2,500 DEDUCTIBLE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION FOR THE BOARD TO MAKE A DECISION. CLERK COOK ADDRESSED, IN ALLAN CLARK'S FAVOR, HASN'T THE COUNTY RECEIVED ENOUGH OF GOOD SERVICES, AT LEAST 10% OF GOOD SERVICES, FROM HIM. COMMISSIONER COPE AGREED THEY HAD RECEIVED MUCH MORE SERVICES THAN THAT FROM CLARK.

COMMISSIONER SAPP OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT FACT'S BID ON GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE AND ACCEPT PRIA'S BID ON AUTOMOBILE, COMMERCIAL PROPERTY AND INLAND MARINE COVERAGE. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OPPOSED BECAUSE HE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE BIDS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY RECOMMENDED THE NEXT TIME THE BOARD BIDS OUT INSURANCES TO HAVE SOMEONE WITH EXPERT LAW IN INSURANCE REVIEW THE BID PROPOSALS.

STACY WEBB, GRANTSPERSON, UPDATED THE BOARD ON JAMES PETERSON, RECYCLING CONSULTANT, HAVING BROUGHT THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND OKALOOSA COUNTY FOR AMNESTY DAY TO HER OFFICE; SHE REQUESTED THE BOARD APPROVE THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF WEBB'S REQUEST.

WEBB UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE PROTOCOL TAKING PLACE WITH THE PROJECT WORKSHEETS WITH FEMA. SHE REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION TO DRAW UP A BASIC POLICY ON THE PROTOCOL TO BE USED ON THE WORKSHEETS FROM THE FEMA KICKOFF MEETING TO THE CLOSING OUT OF THE FEMA GRANTS. THE BOARD AGREED FOR ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TO WORK WITH WEBB ON DEVELOPING A POLICY AND BRING BACK A RECOMMENDATION.

WEBB ALSO ADDRESSED THE FEMA PROJECT WORKSHEETS BEING VERY TIME CONSUMING AND REQUESTED THE BOARD CONSIDER THE ADMINISTRATIVE FEES FROM THE FEMA GRANTS TO COME TO HER OFFICE FOR SUPPLIES, ETC.

WEBB ADDRESSSED THE WAIVER HAVING BEEN GRANTED FOR THE COUNTY MATCH ON ALLISON DRIVE AND CHAIN LAKE ROAD; HOWEVER, THE COUNTY WILL STILL BE DEALING WITH THE SAME MONIES FROM FL-DOT. IF THE COUNTY DOESN'T WANT TO SPEND COUNTY FUNDS AS A MATCH, THEY DON'T HAVE TO BUT THEY WON'T BE RECEIVING ANY MORE FUNDING FROM THE STATE TOWARD THE PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND THANKED WEBB FOR ALL HER HARD WORK IN OBTAINING A GRANT FOR THE CARYVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

GLEN ZANETIC ADDRESSSED THE BOARD AND SHOWED THEM PICTURES OF BOAT LAKE AND WHITE DOUBLE POND FROM A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO AND TODAY.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSSED THERE BEING A DANGEROUS SITUATION AT WHITE DOUBLE POND AND ASKED IF PARK AND RECREATION WAS SUPPOSE TO KEEP IT UP OR WAS MSBU. ZANETIC ADVISED MSBU WAS TO KEEP IT UP; HOWEVER, UNTIL THEY GET BETTER CONTROL OF THE DESTRUCTION GOING ON AT WHITE DOUBLE, THERE WOULD BE NO NEED.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED ZANETIC ON WHAT COULD BE DONE. ZANETIC ADVISED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO MAKE PROVISIONS TO SECURE THE PARK, THEY COULD POSSIBLY GATE IT UP AT NIGHT. ZANETIC ALSO ADVISED HE HOPED TO HAVE BETTER SECURITY AT WHITE DOUBLE WITHIN NINETY DAYS.

CHAIRMAN FINCH SUGGESTED CONSIDERING PUTTING UP A CHAIN LINK FENCE FOR SECURITY PURPOSES. THE BOARD ASKED ZANETIC TO MAKE AN EFFORT TO DO SOMETHING AT WHITE DOUBLE.

WHEN QUESTIONED ON OVERTIME, ZANETIC ADVISED HE HAD STOPPED OVERTIME. CHAIRMAN FINCH ADDRESSSED THE ISSUE WITH THE MSBU EMPLOYEES DRIVING THEIR VEHICLES HOME. HE EXPLAINED OTHER INMATE SUPERVISORS WERE NOT ALLOWED TO DRIVE THEIR COUNTY VEHICLES HOME AND IT HAS BEEN QUESTIONED WHY MSBU INMATE SUPERVISORS WERE ALLOWED TO.

ZANETIC EXPLAINED THE MSBU INMATE SUPERVISORS' VEHICLES ARE FULLY EQUIPPED AT ALL TIMES; THEY HAVE COMPRESSORS, GENERATORS, ETC., THAT ARE GAS POWERED ON THE VEHICLES AND THE PRISON DOES NOT ALLOW THE VEHICLES TO BE PARKED ON THEIR SITE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REFERRED TO OFFICER BESS HAVING GAS POWERED EQUIPMENT IN THE BACK OF HIS TRAILER AND COVERS IT WITH A TARP; IT IS PARKED ON THE PRISON SITE.

ZANETIC SUGGESTED IF COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND COULD CHECK TO SEE IF THE PRISON WOULD ALLOW THE EQUIPMENT TO BE PARKED ON SITE, HE WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO PARK IT ON THE GROUNDS.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THERE BEING FIVE TO SIX VEHICLES BEING DRIVEN TO CARYVILLE EVERYDAY TO PICK UP FOUR TO FIVE INMATES.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED ACCUSATIONS THE INMATE SUPERVISORS ARE USING THE COUNTY VEHICLES FOR PERSONAL USE AND THIS DOESN'T NEED TO BE HAPPENING. COMMISSIONER CORBIN ALSO ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF COMPLAINTS ON ZANETIC DRIVING THE COUNTY VEHICLE TO TAKE CARE OF PERSONAL BUSINESS.

GLEN EXPLAINED HE WAS DRIVING THE FIRE DEPARTMENT CHIEF'S VEHICLE AND IF HE HAS MSBU OR FIRE DEPARTMENT BUSINESS TO TAKE CARE OF AND HE HAS PERSONAL BUSINESS TO TAKE CARE OF IN THE SAME AREA, HE IS GOING TO TAKE CARE OF HIS PERSONAL BUSINESS AT THE SAME TIME.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON EXPLORING THE IDEA OF GETTING A VEHICLE WHERE ONE PERSON COULD HAUL ALL THE COUNTY INMATES AS IT WAS FOOLISH TO DRIVE FIVE DIFFERENT VEHICLES TO PICK UP INMATES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE MSBU INMATE SUPERVISORS TO CONTINUE DRIVING THEIR VEHICLES HOME, NO COUNTY EMPLOYEE TO USE COUNTY VEHICLES FOR PERSONAL USE AND THE COUNTY EXPLORE WITH TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL FOR A SIXTEEN PASSENGER VAN.

JANIE NORRS, ON BEHALF OF GLENN ZANETIC DRIVING A VEHICLE HOME, ADVISED THE BOARD HE WAS ON CALL TWENTY FOUR HOURS A DAY; HER SON, LUKE NORRIS, WHO IS AN MSBU EMPLOYEE WHO DRIVES HIS VEHICLE HOME, DOES NOT USE HIS COUNTY TRUCK FOR PERSONAL BUSINESS. HE ONLY GOES OUT ON PATROL IN IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED THE NEED TO DISCUSS THE PAVING GOING ON AT ALFORD ROAD AND CORBIN ROAD. COUNTY ENGINEER, CLIFF KNAUER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON PAVING GOING ON NOW ON CORBIN ROAD; THE PATCHES LOOK GOOD AND SHOULD HOLD UP TO SIX MONTHS TO A YEAR BUT IS NOT A NEW ROAD BY ANY MEANS.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BUILDING PERMIT FOR THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING HAD NOT BEEN ISSUED; IN ORDER TO GET A SITE PLAN AND STORM WATER PERMIT FOR THE BUILDING, A BOUNDARY TOPO WOULD BE NEEDED. ZANETIC ADVISED THAT DELTONA CORPORATION HAD OFFERED THEIR SERVICES FOR THE SURVEYING, ENGINEERING, ETC. ON THE PROPERTY. KNAUER SAID IF HE IS NOT TO PROVIDE THESE SERVICES OR HOLDING UP THE PROGRESS ON THE PROJECT, HE WOULDN'T BE CONCERNED WITH IT.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE FANNING BRANCH ROAD PROJECT AND ADDRESSED IT NEEDED RESURFACING BADLY; THE SCOP AGREEMENT FOR THE PROJECT WAS FOR \$463,018. HE REQUESTED TO BE ADVISED WHEN THE JPA ON THE SCOP PROJECT WAS SIGNED AND REFERRED TO THE BOARD HAVING APPLIED FOR A 25% WAIVER ON THE MATCH REQUIREMENT.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY PROJECT BEING READY TO GO OUT FOR BID, THE RIGHT TURN LANE ON COMMERCE DRIVE AND SOUTH BOULEVARD WAS INCLUDED.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE SMALL COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM FOR ALLISON DRIVE AND CHAIN LAKE ROAD. HE ADVISED THE GRANT WAS FOR 35% GRANT FUNDING AND A 65% MATCH; FL-DOT HAS INCREASED THEIR GRANT FUNDING TO 50% AND HAS PUT TOGETHER A LAP AGREEMENT AND CONTRACT FOR THE COUNTY TO SIGN.

KNAUER EXPLAINED THE COUNTY WOULD BE GETTING 50% OF THE FUNDING FOR THESE PROJECTS FROM FL-DOT WHICH WOULD BE \$92,250 FOR THE CHAIN LAKES PROJECT AND \$49,250 FOR THE ALLISON DRIVE PROJECT. HE ADDRESSED FL-DOT HAVING WAIVED THE COUNTY MATCH REQUIREMENT AND THE COUNTY WILL NOT BE REQUIRED TO FUND THE 50% MATCH; HOWEVER, THE STATE IS NOT GOING TO PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDING BEYOND THE 50% GRANT FUNDING.

HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING TALKED WITH SOUTHEASTERN SURVEYORS ON REDUCING THEIR PROPOSAL FOR SURVEYING OF THE CHAIN LAKE ROAD PROJECT; THEY ADVISED THEIR PRICE WAS NOT NEGOTIABLE. COMMISSIONER FINCH ADVISED KNAUER TO

PROCEED WITH HAVING SOUTHEASTERN DO THE SURVEYING FOR THE CHAIN LAKE PROJECT WITH THE BOARD CONSENTING.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON A MEETING THAT WAS HELD ON SEPTEMBER 19TH ON THE BONNET POND ROAD PAVING AND RIGHT OF WAY ISSUES; A MEETING WILL BE HELD ON SEPTEMBER 26TH TO MEET AND DISCUSS THE ROCHE ROAD PROJECT.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING DISCUSSED WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADVERTISING THE ALLISON DRIVE AND ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY PROJECTS TOGETHER. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON ADVERTISING THE ASPHALT FOR THE ALLISON DRIVE PROJECT. COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED IF THE COUNTY IS NOT GOING TO GET THE FULL AMOUNT OF FUNDING FOR THE PROJECT, THEY MAY HAVE TO DO THE CLEARING, GRUBBING, ETC.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE FLORIDA FOREVER GRANT APPLICATIONS BEING DUE ON SEPTEMBER 26TH; THE COUNTY MATCH FOR THE PROJECTS CAN EITHER BE INKIND SERVICES OR CASH. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE ESTIMATED COSTS FOR THE 3.5 MILE ROLLING PINES ROAD PROJECT, 2 MILE SECTION ON ROCHE ROAD AND 3 MILE SECTION ON BONNET POND ROAD. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE COUNTY DOING EARTHWORK ON THE PROJECTS. CHAIRMAN FINCH TURNED THE CHAIR OVER TO VICE-CHAIRMAN COPE AND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO ALLOW KNAUER TO PUT IN \$100,000 INKIND SERVICES FOR THE ROLLING PINES ROAD PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER SAPP UPDATED THE BOARD ON 50% OF THE ROCHE ROAD PROJECT WOULD BE IN GRADING AND PLACEMENT OF DIRT; HE WOULDN'T MIND DOING THE BONNET POND ROAD PROJECT WITH THE UNDERSTANDING ROCHE ROAD WOULD BE TOP PRIORITY WHEN SUBMITTING GRANT APPLICATIONS UNDER THE FLORIDA FOREVER GRANT PROGRAM NEXT YEAR. COMMISSIONER SAPP THEN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO SUBMIT THE GRANT FOR THE BONNET POND ROAD PROJECT WITH A 30% COUNTY MATCH FOR INKIND SERVICES.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR KNAUER TO PROCEED WITH THE ENGINEERING DESIGN ON THE ALLISON DRIVE PROJECT AS SOON AS HE GETS THE SURVEY WITH THE COST NOT TO EXCEED \$2,000.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH NORTHERN TRUST; NORTHERN TRUST HAS AGREED TO A GPS CENTER LINE SURVEY ON THE ROADWAYS WITH THE ROADWAY RIGHT OF WAY TO BE 20' FROM THE CENTER LINE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE 40' ROADWAY. HE ADDRESSED THE 40' ROADWAY BOUNDARY NEEDED TO BE ESTABLISHED SO THE COUNTY WOULDN'T GRADE BEYOND THEIR MAINTENANCE AREA. HE REQUESTED KNAUER CONTACT DAVID HENLEY PRIOR TO DOING THE CENTERLINE SURVEY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE QUIT CLAIM DEEDS TO NORTHERN TRUST FOR NORTH HENRY LANE AND ROCKY LANDING ROAD AND REQUESTED THE COUNTY ENGINEER CALL THE NORTHERN TRUST SURVEYOR AS SOON AS POSSIBLE SO THE NORTHERN TRUST CASE CAN BE FINALIZED.

THE BOARD ADVISED KNAUER THE BOARD HAD AGREED FOR MARKERS TO BE PUT EVERY 100' ON CURVES AND EVERY 500' ON STRAIGHT OF WAY OF ROADS TO BE SURVEYED AS PART OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH NORTHERN TRUST; THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD WAS TO USE METAL REBARS WITH CAPS AS MARKERS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE CONTRACT WITH PETER BROWN CONSTRUCTION FOR THE JAIL EXPANSION; IF THE COUNTY SIGNS THE CONTRACT, THE BOARD WILL AGREE ON A GUARANTEED PRICE. HOLLEY ADDRESSED ONE CONCERN WITH THE CONTRACT WAS PAYMENT WAS TO BE MADE WITHIN TEN DAYS OF RECEIPT OF BILL.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED IF THE BILL WAS RECEIVED BY BOARD FINANCE IN A TIMELY MANNER, IT COULD BE PROCESSED WITHIN TEN DAYS.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON PETER BROWN'S FEES BEING 10% OF PROJECT COST FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT; STEVE CHRISTOPHER ADVISED THEIR FEE WAS NORMALLY 15% AND HE COULDN'T REDUCE THEM BELOW THE 10% AND STILL BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THE SERVICES NEEDED.

HERBERT ADDRESSED HIM HAVING TALKED WITH BILL RUTHERFORD ON CLEMMONS AND RUTHERFORD FEES FOR ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES; HE AGREED TO REDUCE THEIR FEE FROM 8% TO 6.5%.

COMMISSIONER SAPP OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE PETER BROWN CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AND CLEMMONS RUTHERFORD CONTRACTS ON THE JAIL.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE AGREEMENT REGARDING THE CARE AND DISPOSAL OF IMPOUNDED ANIMALS; A CHANGE NEEDED TO BE MADE IN THE LAST PARAGRAPH ON THE BOTTOM OF THE FIRST PAGE TO SAY YEAR RATHER THAN MONTH. HE ALSO ADDRESSED A CHANGE TO THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT NEEDED TO BE MADE IN THE SECOND PARAGARAH UNDER PARAGRAPH 2 TO READ ANY ANIMALS EXCEEDING 1440 ANIMALS PER YEAR WITH THE REMAINDER OF THAT PARAGRAPH TO BE MARKED THROUGH. COMMISSIONER SAPP OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CHANGES ADDRESSED BY ATTORNEY HOLLEY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADDRESSED A REQUEST FROM BILLY WOOLEY FOR AN ACCESS EASEMENT ACROSS COUNTY PROPERTY THE BOARD HAD APPROVED; HE HAD DRAFTED THE EASEMENT BUT WOOLLEY IS NOW REQUESTING HE HAVE THE RIGHT TO PUT POWER LINES DOWN THE EASEMENT WHICH HE WOULD HAVE TO ADD IF THE BOARD APPROVED. COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE EASEMENT AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK TO SIGN IT ONCE ATTORNEY HOLLEY HAS ADDED THE CHANGE GIVING WOOLLEY THE RIGHT TO PUT POWER LINES DOWN THE ACCESS EASEMENT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH COPIES OF LETTERS HE HAD SENT ON FIRE DEPARTMENT CALLS THAT WEREN'T PAID.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED HE HAD TALKED WITH THE NORTHERN TRUST ATTORNEY SINCE THE LAST BOARD MEETING; THE ONLY THING REMAINING IS TO GET THE CONFLICT RESOLUTION AGREED ON IF THEY DISAGREE WITH THE CENTER LINE SURVEY. HOLLEY PROPOSED TO NORTHERN TRUST, ONCE A SETTLEMENT IS REACHED AND IS FULLY SETTLED IN WRITING, THE COUNTY WILL PAY NORTHERN TRUST THE \$10,000 AGREED ON WITHIN THIRTY DAYS OF THAT NOTICE.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT BEGAN WITH HIS REPORT:

- A. STATE AID LIBRARY GRANT AGREEMENT FOR \$50,000 WHICH THE BOARD NEEDED TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN. COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE STATE AID LIBRARY GRANT AGREEMENT.
- B. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER AND ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAD MET WITH DONNA ROGERS, REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE COUNTY'S AUDITING FIRM, GRIMSLEY, CAVIN AND ROOKS. DUE TO CLAY ROOKS, A MEMBER OF THEIR FIRM LEAVING, THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE TO REDUCE THEIR WORKLOAD. OVER THE YEARS, THE FIRM HAS BEEN PROVIDING ACCOUNTING SERVICES, WORKING ON FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND DOING THE AUDIT FOR THE COUNTY AND FELT THERE NEEDED TO BE A SEPARATION. THEY HAVE PROPOSED TO CONTINUE WORKING ON THE ACCOUNTING SERVICES AND PREPARING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE COUNTY WITH THE BOARD ADVERTISING FOR A NEW AUDITOR. THEY ESTIMATED THE ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WAS ABOUT 60% OF THE WORK AND THE AUDITING ABOUT 40%. HERBERT SAID AUTHORIZATION WOULD BE NEEDED, IF THE BOARD IS AGREEABLE TO THIS, TO ADVERTISE FOR AUDITORS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED IF AN AUDITOR WOULD AUDIT BASED ON SOMEONE ELSE'S WORK AND IT WAS MENTIONED THE BOARD MAY WANT TO ADVERTISE FOR AUDITORS TO DO THE ACCOUNTING SERVICES, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, ETC.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT INFORMED THE BOARD THE AUDITORS HAD RECOMMENDED, WHEN ADVERTISING FOR NEW AUDITORS, TO STATE IN THE ADVERTISEMENT FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WOULD BE PROVIDED TO THE AUDITOR.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THE BOARD THAT MS. ROGERS HAD

ADDRESSED SHE HAD BEEN DOING THINGS FOR THE COUNTY WHICH, AS A COUNTY AUDITOR, WAS QUESTIONABLE IF THEY SHOULD HAVE ASSISTED WITH; THERE WERE ALSO SOME OF THE NEW GASB REQUIREMENTS THEY COULD NOT ASSIST THE COUNTY WITH NOR ANY OTHER AUDITING FIRM COULD DO IF THEY WERE THE COUNTY AUDITORS. ROGERS AGREED TO DO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, WORK WITH THE COUNTY ON GASB REQUIREMENTS, DEPRECIATION, ETC. DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERING A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO ADVERTISE FOR AUDITING SERVICES AS DESCRIBED BY ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT WANTED TO GET IT IN THE MINUTES THE INMATE SUPERVISORS SALARIES AND OPERATING BUDGET WAS TRANSFERRED FROM THE TRANSPORTATION BUDGET TO THE PARK AND RECREATION BUDGET. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE FOR DISCUSSION TO APPROVE OF THE TRANSFER OF FUNDING FOR THE INMATE SUPERVISORS FROM TRANSPORTATION TO PARK AND RECREATION.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON ONE OF THE CREWS NEEDING TO STAY AT PUBLIC WORKS AND QUESTIONED HOW WAS THIS GOING TO BE HANDLED. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS IF ONE OF THE INMATE CREWS WAS GOING TO STAY AT PUBLIC WORKS, THIS SALARY SHOULDN'T BE TRANSFERRED. THE MOTION CARRIED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH WANTED TO GET IN THE RECORD, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAD ASKED AND THE BOARD HAD AGREED TO GIVE HIM A ONE YEAR CONTRACT AND AS OF THIS DATE, HERBERT HASN'T SIGNED IT YET. FINCH RECOMMENDED THE BOARD NEEDED TO EITHER REVOKE THE CONTRACT OR GET IT SIGNED AND INTO THE MINUTES; THE CONTRACT NEEDS TO BE FINALIZED AND REQUESTED HERBERT GET THE BOARD THE SIGNED CONTRACT BY THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ASKED THE BOARD TO APPOINT THREE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD MEMBERS AND SET THE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD HEARING FOR OCTOBER 20TH AT 9:00 A.M. CHAIRMAN FINCH VOLUNTEERED TO SERVE ON THE VAB AND APPOINTED COMMISSIONER SAPP AND STRICKLAND TO SERVE. COMMISSIONER CORBIN AGREED TO SERVE AS ALTERNATE IN CASE ONE OF THE OTHER MEMBERS WERE UNABLE TO SERVE.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO APPOINT COMMISSIONER FINCH, SAPP AND STRICKLAND TO SERVE ON THE VAB WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN SERVING AS AN ALTERNATE; COMMISSIONER FINCH IS TO SERVE AS CHAIRMAN OF THE VAB TO BE HELD OCTOBER 20TH AT 9:00 A.M.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF VOUCHERS SIGNED AND WARRANTS ISSUED FOR AUGUST 2005 TOTTALLING \$1,232,865.06.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE TENTATIVE BUDGET TO BE ADVERTISED IN THE PAPER WITH THE MAJORITY OF IT BEING CHANGES THE BOARD ADOPTED ON SEPTEMBER 13TH AT THEIR FIRST PUBLIC BUDGET HEARING:

1. TRANSFER FROM TRANSPORTATION FOR THE INMATE CREWS/\$185,051
2. MILLAGE RATE WAS DECREASED TO 9.5 MILLS MAKING THE TOTAL AD VALOREM TAX \$5,955,604
3. WIRELESS EMERGENCY TELEPHONE BUDGET INCREASED BY \$24,000 DUE TO THE INCREASE IN FUNDING FROM \$3,000 TO \$5,000 A MONTH
4. THE SALARIES FOR THE BOARD EMPLOYEES INCREASED TO \$1.00 AN HOUR
5. TRANSFER IN EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT FROM THE GENERAL FUND INCREASED DUE TO THE HOURLY RATE INCREASE FOR EMPLOYEES
6. THE COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT WAS ADDED
7. THE GRANTS ADMINISTRATION BUDGET INCREASED DUE TO THE HOURLY RATE INCREASE FOR EMPLOYEES
8. THE COMPUTER DEPARTMENT BUDGET INCREASED DUE TO HOURLY RATE INCREASE FOR EMPLOYEES AND JUDY WATFORD AND MALCOLM GAINNEY'S INCREASE THE BOARD HAD APPROVED FOR TAKING ON ADDITIONAL

DUTIES WITH SHERIFF

9. THE GENERAL FUND TOTAL BUDGET IS \$10,019,066 WITH A CONTINGENCY OF \$452,783.
10. INSURANCE SERVICES, HEALTH AND WORKERS COMP INCREASED DUE THE INMATE CREWS BEING PUT BACK UNDER THE GENERAL FUND
11. THE TRANSPORTATION FUND SALARY AND BENEFIT LINE ITEMS WERE INCREASED DUE TO THE \$1.00 AN HOUR EMPLOYEE RAISES AND DALLAS CARTER BEING BROUGHT UP TO ROBERT HARCUS'S SALARY LESS THE \$.25 ROBERT HAD BEEN GIVEN FOR LONGEVITY INCREASE.
12. THE NEW POSITION FOR THE INVENTORY CLERK IS INCLUDED; THE TOTAL TRANSPORTATION BUDGET IS \$3,284,450 WITH A CONTINGENCY OF \$29,715.
13. PUBLIC LIBRARY BUDGET INCREASED DUE TO TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FOR THE EMPLOYEE RAISES
14. THE AG CENTER BUDGET INCREASED DUE TO THE TRANSFER FROM GENERAL TO COVER EMPLOYEE RAISES
15. CRIMINAL RECORD IMPROVEMENTS GRANT FOR THE SHERIFF WAS ADDED TOTALLING \$28,823
16. THE TOTAL BUDGET TO BE ADVERTISED AS THE TENTATIVE BUDGET FOR FY 2005-2006 WILL BE \$30,658,370

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THIS BUDGET WILL BE THE TENTATIVE BUDGET TO BE DISCUSSED AT THE FINAL PUBLIC HEARING ON SEPTEMBER 27, 2005 AT 5:05 P.M.

CHAIRMAN FINCH EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION FOR THE WORK CARTER DOES FOR THE BOARD.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED HE HAD SCHEDULED A MEETING ON OVID LANE FOR 10:00 ON MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26TH AND REQUESTED THE CHAIRMAN, DALLAS CARTER AND ROGER HAGAN BE THERE.

CHAIRMAN FINCH ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION ON SOME EMPLOYEES THAT HAVE BEEN HIRED IF THEY ARE TO GET THE \$1.00 HOUR RAISE OCTOBER 1ST. HE EXPRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING NO MATTER WHEN AN EMPLOYEE WAS HIRED, THEY WOULD GET THE \$1.00 HOUR RAISE OCTOBER 1ST.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED THE MECHANICS AT PUBLIC WORKS THAT WERE TO BE HIRED OCTOBER 1ST. CHAIRMAN FINCH SAID THE BOARD'S ACTION ON THE MECHANICS WERE FOR THEM TO BE HIRED AT \$12.00 AN HOUR EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1ST. HE ALSO EXPRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING THE BASE SALARY FOR A POSITION WOULD BE INCREASED BY THE \$1.00 AN HOUR. HE REFERRED TO HIM HEARING ONE OF THE GIRLS IN THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT WAS GOING TO GET A RAISE AND ONE WASN'T.

DISCUSSION CONTINUED WITH ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT UPDATING THE BOARD ON THE POSITION IN THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT WAS GOING TO BE HIRED OCTOBER 1ST; THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT HAD ENOUGH MONEY IN THEIR BUDGET THIS YEAR THEY WANTED TO HIRE HER BEFORE THE NEW BUDGET AND THAT IS WHERE THE QUESTION CAME IN.

DEPUTY CLERK ADDRESSED THIS IS NOT HOW THE PROPOSED BUDGET FOR FY 2005-2006 IS ADVERTISED; HOWEVER, THE SALARIES CAN BE CORRECTED WITH A FEW MINOR CHANGES. SHE EXPLAINED, IN THE PAST, EMPLOYEES HIRED PRIOR TO OCTOBER 1ST RECEIVED THE BOARD APPROVED PAY INCREASES; THEN NO ONE WOULD GET A RAISE UNTIL OCTOBER 1ST OF THE NEXT YEAR WITHOUT BOARD APPROVAL. SHE AGREED IT BECAME CONFUSING WHEN THE BOARD AGREED TO HIRE THREE NEW MECHANICS FOR PUBLIC WORKS AT \$12.00 AN HOUR WITH THEM NOT TO RECEIVE THE \$1.00 AN HOUR INCREASE OCTOBER 1ST.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE DIDN'T WANT SOMEONE HIRED AFTER OCTOBER 1ST TO GO BACK TO THE SALARY A POSITION WAS MAKING PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER 30TH; HE WANTS THE BOTTOM PAY SCALE TO BE BROUGHT UP BY THE AMOUNT OF THE RAISE EACH YEAR.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THIS IS NOT HOW IT HAS BEEN DONE; IF THIS IS WHAT THE BOARD WISHES, THE SALARY FIGURES CAN BE ADJUSTED.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADDRESSED IF THE BASE PAY FOR A POSITION IS BROUGHT UP BY THE RAISES EACH YEAR, THERE WON'T BE ANY SEPARATION IN THE PAY FOR



LONGEVITY EMPLOYEES; HE ADVISED THE BOARD HAD AGREED TO BRING THE BASE PAY UP PERIODICALLY.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED CLARIFICATION WAS NEEDED WITH CHAIRMAN FINCH REQUESTING THE BOARD CONSIDER THE BASE PAY FOR POSITIONS BEING BROUGHT UP BY THE SAME AMOUNT OF BOARD APPROVED RAISES EACH YEAR AND ADVISE DEPUTY CLERK CARTER AT THEIR NEXT MEETING OF THEIR INTENT.

CHAIRMAN FINCH PROVIDED ATTORNEY HOLLEY WITH COPIES OF AGREEMENTS HE HAD RECEIVED FROM WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL ON THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN THE BOARD HAD VOTED TO ENTER INTO WITH SOME OTHER COUNTIES:

1. AGREEMENT WITH JACKSON, HOLMES AND WASHINGTON WHICH BASICALLY ADDRESSES THE TRI-COUNTY AIRPORT
2. AGREEMENT WITH BAY, GULF, HOLMES AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES WHICH ADDRESSES TRANSPORTATION ROAD CORRIDORS

FINCH REQUESTED ATTORNEY HOLLEY REVIEW THE AGREEMENTS FOR ANY CONCERNS HE MAY HAVE WITH THE BOARD ENTERING INTO THEM; EVEN THOUGH THE BOARD HAS ALREADY VOTED TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT, THEY HAVEN'T MADE A DECISION AS TO WHICH AGREEMENT THEY WOULD BE INTERESTED IN.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE SOD FARM EMPLOYEE STILL BEING UNDER THE PROPOSED TRANSPORTATION BUDGET AND WHETHER IT SHOULD BE PUT UNDER DAVID CORBIN'S BUDGET, AS HE WILL BE SUPERVISING THIS EMPLOYEE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO TRANSFER THE SOD FARM EMPLOYEE AND EXPENSES UNDER DAVID CORBIN'S PARK AND RECREATION BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THE MONIES FOR THE CLEANING OF THE COUNTY OWNED FACILITIES GOING INTO DIFFERENT FUNDS; HE RECOMMENDED SINCE DAVID CORBIN IS OVER THE CLEANING OF THE FACILITIES, LET HIM BE IN CHARGE OF THE MONIES SO WHEN SUPPLIES NEED TO BE ORDERED, HE CAN PROCEED WITH ORDERING THEM. ALSO, CORBIN WOULD THEN BE ABLE TO KEEP UP WITH THE SAVINGS BY USING COUNTY INMATES RATHER THAN BY CONTRACTING OUT THE CLEANING SERVICES.

COMMISSIONER COPE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE CLEANING INMATE CREW HAVING SPENT \$296.07 IN GAS SINCE THEY STARTED AND \$2,079.44 IN CLEANING SUPPLIES. DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND STATING HE WANTED A SEPARATE ACCOUNT FOR THE CLEANING SERVICES. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THE CLEANING SERVICES WAS IDENTIFIED ON A SEPARATE LINE ITEM UNDER THE BUILDING MAINTENANCE BUDGET. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS IT COULD STAY UNDER BUILDING MAINTENANCE WITH DAVID CORBIN BEING IN CHARGE OF THE BUDGET FOR THE CLEANING CREW.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND QUESTIONED WHEN PUBLIC WORKS COULD START WORKING ON WEEKENDS AGAIN ON THE FEMA PROJECTS. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO WAIT UNTIL AFTER THE NEXT STORM THAT IS ALREADY IN THE WEATHER FORECAST TO SEE WHAT THE AVAILABILITY OF FUEL WILL BE.

COMMISSIONER COPE UPDATED THE BOARD ON A REQUEST FROM MS. NEAL TO ACCESS ACROSS THE COUNTY'S PROPERTY TO THE EAST SIDE OF THE SOD FARM TO COMPLETE HARVESTING HER TIMBER. HE HAS MET WITH MR. MONROE WHO WILL BE HARVESTING THE TIMBER AND REQUESTED THE COUNTY ROAD BE LEFT IN THE SAME CONDITION AS IT WAS PRIOR TO THEM USING IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF MS. NEAL'S REQUEST.

COMMISSIONER COPE UPDATED THE BOARD ON CONTRACTORS HAVING ASKED ABOUT BUYING SOME HAY FROM THE SOD FARM TO USE ON ROAD PROJECTS. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD WOULD NEED TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS ON THE HAY. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF ADVERTISING FOR BIDS ON THE HAY.

COMMISSIONER COPE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING 188 REGISTERED GUESTS DURING HURRICANE KATRINA WHO ATE AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY AG CENTER AND 45 TO 50 WHO SLEPT THERE.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED FOR ROGER HAGAN TO SEE WHAT HE COULD WORK OUT FOR THE COUNTY TO HAVE A FOUR MAN ROAD BUILDING CREW.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED PROPOSALS PROVIDED TO HIM BY CLERK LINDA COOK FROM TOP NOTCH TO REMOVE A DEAD SWEETGUM TREE AND TRIM TREES AT THE COURTHOUSE. DUE TO CONFUSION ON WHETHER THE PRO- POSALS WERE FOR THE SAME SERVICE AS THEY WERE WORDED DIFFERENTLY AND HAD DIFFERENT DATES, COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE CLERK COOK TO ACCEPT THE LOW PROPOSAL IF SHE IS READY TO GET THE SERVICES DONE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING PLANS TO CLOSE THE CHIPLEY ASCS OFFICE AND MOVING IT TO HOLMES COUNTY; THE CHIPLEY ASCS OFFICE HAS PROVIDED HIM WITH A LIST OF SERVICES THEY PROVIDE AND REQUESTED ATTORNEY HOLLEY READ THIS INTO THE RECORD:

WASHINGTON-BAY COUNTY FSA IS LOCATED IN A USDA SERVICE CENTER IN CHIPLEY, FLORIDA. THIS SERVICE CENTER ALSO HOUSES THE NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION SERVICE AGENCY (NRCS), RURAL DEVELOPMENT (FORMERLY FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION), THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FORESTRY AND THE WASHINGTON COUNTY EXTENSION SERVICE.

THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FSA CURRENTLY HAS OVER 1500 PRODUCERS ON THEIR MAILING LIST AND SERVICES PRODUCERS FOR BOTH WASHINGTON AND BAY COUNTIES. THE FOLLOWING IS A LIST OF FSA PROGRAMS, PRODUCERS AND LAND OWNERS OF WASHINGTON AND BAY COUNTY PARTICIPATING AND THE NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS FOR EACH PROGRAM:

1. DIRECT AND COUNTER-CYCLICAL PROGRAM-APPROXIMATELY 300 CONTRACTS (1871 CROP CONTRACTS)
2. CONSERVATION RESERVE PROGRAM (CRP)-300 CONTRACTS AND PAYMENTS
3. LIVESTOCK ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (LAP)-101 CONTRACTS AND PAYMENTS
4. LIVESTOCK COMPENSATION PROGRAM (LCP)-106 CONTRACTS AND PAYMENTS
5. CROP DISASTER PROGRAM (CDP)-16 APPLICATIONS AND PAYMENTS
6. PEANUT LOANS-OVER \$1,000,000.00 PAID OUT
7. ACREAGE REPORTS-2830 FIELDS REPORTED (PEANUTS, COTTON, CORN, CRP, PASTURE, ETC.)
8. TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE(TAA)-20 APPLICATIONS
9. MILK INCOME-6 CONTRACTS AND MONTHLY PAYMENTS TO EACH
10. NON-INSURED ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (NAP)-15 APPLICATIONS AND ABOUT 50 CROPS COVERED

THESE PROGRAMS AND NUMBERS DO NOT INCLUDE HIGHLY ERODIBLE AND WETLAND CERTIFICATIONS, PERSON DETERMINATIONS, PAYMENT LIMITATION FORMS, ADJUSTED GROSS INCOME CERTIFICATIONS, SPOT CHECKS, PAID MEASUREMENT SERVICES AND COUNTLESS OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES THAT ARE ESSENTIAL TO THE DELIVERY OF AMERICA'S FARM PROGRAMS, AND WE UNDERSTAND THAT FSA OFFICIALS IN WASHINGTON, D.C, ARE PROPOSING TO CLOSE OR COMBINE MANY COUNTY OFFICES IN FLORIDA. WASHINGTON COUNTY IS MOST LIKELY ONE OF THESE OFFICES TOBE COMBINED. IF COMBINATIONS ARE INEVITABLE, WE ASK YOU TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION, THE CENTRAL LOCATION OF CHIPLEY FOR BAY COUNTY PRODUCERS AND ALSO HOLMES COUNTY PRODUCERS. ALSO CONSIDER THAT WASHINGTON COUNTY FSA IS LOCATED IN A USDA SERVICE CENTER WHERE WE CAN UTILIZE THE SERVICES OF THE NATURAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION SERVICE, THE COUNTY FORESTRY AND THE COUNTY AGENT. THESE ARE AGENCIES USDA WORKS CLOSELY WITH ON A DAILY BASIS TO PROVIDE THE BEST SERVICE POSSIBLE TO THE PRODUCERS. THE RENT FOR THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FSA IS PAID TO THE COUNTY AT A LOWER MONTHLY RATE THAN HOLMES COUNTY WHICH IS PAID TO A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL. THIS DUAL COUNTY FSA OFFICE IS ALL READY TO ACCOMODATE ANOTHER FIVE EMPLOYEES AND WITH MINER ADJUST- MENTS PROVIDED BY THE WASHINGTON COUNTY GOVERNMENT FIVE MORE CAN WORK HERE COMFORTABLY.

WE ALSO UNDERSTAND THE FSA LOAN TEAM WILL BE LOCATED IN THE COMBINED OFFICE AND WILL SERVE JACKSON, WASHINGTON, BAY AND HOLMES COUNTY. SINCE CHIPLEY IS A CENTRAL LOCATION FOR ALL OF THESE CUSTOMERS ALSO, THANK YOU FOR CONSIDERING THE CHIPLEY OFFICE AS THE COMBINED WASHINGTON/BAY/HOLMES COUNTY FSA OFFICE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE ASCS STAYING IN WASHINGTON COUNTY USING THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY ASCS, SENDING THE RESOLUTION TO THE APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS AND AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN IT. ED PELLETIER OF THE COUNTRY OAKS FIRE DEPARTMENT EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION TO ATTORNEY HOLLEY FOR SENDING OUT THE LETTERS ON THE PAST DUE FIRE FEES FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND REPORTED THE FEES COLLECTED AS A RESULT OF THE LETTERS BEING SENT.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER SAPP AND CARRIED TO RECESS UNTIL 4:30 P.M. ON SEPTEMBER 27TH.

---

DEPUTY CLERK

---

CHAIRMAN

\*END OF MINUTES\* FOR 09/22/05