

BOARD MINUTES FOR 01/12/06

JANUARY 12, 2006

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 8:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS COPE, FINCH, CORBIN, SAPP AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, CLERK COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK CARTER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER WITH ATTORNEY HOLLEY OFFERING PRAYER AND COMMISSIONER FINCH LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, PRESENTED A CERTIFICATE AND PLAQUE TO LLOYD BRUNER FOR BEING SELECTED THE EMPLOYEE OF THE QUARTER. HE READ THE CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION FOR HIS OUTSTANDING JOB PERFORMANCE AND DEDICATION TO WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DURING THE QUARTER OF OCTOBER 1, 2005 THRU DECEMBER 31, 2005. HE THEN PRESENTED BRUNER A CHECK FOR \$100.

CHAIRMAN SAPP, AS WELL AS THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS, EXPRESSED THEIR APPRECIATION TO BRUNER FOR THE GOOD JOB HE HAS ALWAYS DONE. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT EXPLAINED ALL THE EMPLOYEES AND DEPARTMENT HEADS' NAMES WERE PLACED ON A BALLOT AND THE EMPLOYEE OF THE QUARTER WAS SELECTED BY THEIR FELLOW EMPLOYEES.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT THEN PRESENTED A CERTIFICATE AND PLAQUE TO THOMAS ANDREWS FOR BEING SELECTED THE EMPLOYEE OF THE QUARTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS. HE READ THE CERTIFICATE OF APPRECIATION FOR HIS OUTSTANDING JOB PERFORMANCE AND DEDICATION TO WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DURING THE QUARTER OF OCTOBER 1, 2005 THRU DECEMBER 31, 2005. HE THEN PRESENTED ANDREWS A CHECK FOR \$100. THE BOARD EXPRESSED THEIR APPRECIATION TO ANDREWS. AS LIASON TO PUBLIC WORKS, CHAIRMAN SAPP EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION FOR ANDREWS GOOD STEWARDSHIP AND SAID IT WAS NOT EASY TO RECEIVE THE EMPLOYEE OF THE QUARTER AWARD; AN EMPLOYEE HAS TO BE FAITHFUL IN ALL AREAS AND BE CONSIDERATE OF THEIR FELLOW MAN.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND BEING THE ONE WHO BROUGHT UP AWARDED AN EMPLOYEE OF THE QUARTER TO EXPRESS THE BOARD'S APPRECIATION FOR THE CONSIDERATION AN EMPLOYEE GIVES TO THEIR FELLOW EMPLOYEES.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE MINUTES FOR THE OCTOBER 25, 27, NOVEMBER 17 AND DECEMBER 7, 2005 BOARD MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO ADOPT ITEMS A, B AND C ON THE CONSENT AGENDA:

- A. LIFE MANAGEMENT CENTER INVOICE FOR \$21,651.89 FOR BAKER ACT SERVICES FOR DECEMBER
- B. ADOPT A RESOLUTION TO PROCLAIM JANUARY 22-28, 2006 AS HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AWARENESS WEEK
- C. BAY MEDICAL CENTER INVOICE FOR \$14,558.99 FOR INMATE MEDICAL CARE

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON ITEM D OF THE AGENDA REQUESTING APPROVAL FOR THE CHIPLEY DRUGS INC., INVOICE FOR INMATE MEDICAL EXPENSES. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THERE HAVING BEEN A LOT OF MONEY SPENT ON INMATE CARE SINCE HE HAS BEEN A COMMISSIONER AND SUGGESTED THE BOARD CONSIDER HAVING A NURSE AT THE JAIL TO TAKE CARE OF THE INMATES. COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADVISED THERE WAS ALREADY A NURSE AT THE JAIL.

COMMISSIONER COPE POINTED OUT THERE WERE A LOT OF INMATES THAT WORK IN OTHER AREAS BESIDES THE COUNTY AND THE COUNTY IS FOOTING THE BILL FOR ALL THE INMATE CARE; IF THE INMATES GO OUT OF THE JAIL, THEY SHOULD BE GOING TO WORK FOR THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SUGGESTED THE BOARD LOOK AT CHANGING THIS; IF A CITY OR OTHER AGENCY GETS INMATES, MAYBE THEY COULD AFFORD TO HELP PAY FOR THE INMATE CARE RATHER THAN THE COUNTY FOOTING THE ENTIRE BILL.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF ITEM D ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON ITEM E PERTAINING TO A BUDGET AMENDMENT FOR THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT FOR ADDITIONAL REVENUES TOTTALLING \$455,328.87 FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2005.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF THAT WAS GRANTS THAT HAD COME IN WITH DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REFERRING HIM TO THE INFORMATION IN HIS AGENDA PACKET. CORBIN ADDRESSED IN HIS TENURE AS COUNTY COMMISSIONER, HE THOUGHT IT WAS THE FIRST TIME THEY HAD AN EXPLANATION OF THE ADDITIONAL GRANTS THAT COME IN LIKE THIS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE SHERIFF WOULDN'T BE AWARE SOME OF THESE GRANTS WOULD BE COMING IN AND WHY WOULDN'T THE BOARD ALREADY HAVE BEEN MADE AWARE OF THE ADDITIONAL REVENUES. HE ALSO REFERRED TO THE COUNTY HAVING ALREADY GIVEN THE SHERIFF ADDITIONAL FUNDING AND ADJUSTED HIS BUDGET.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REPORTED THE BOARD HAD GIVEN THE SHERIFF AUTHORIZATION TO KEEP A COUPLE OF MONTHS OF INMATE HOUSING FUNDS AT THE END OF THE 2004-2005 FISCAL YEAR.

AFTER REVIEWING OTHER REVENUE SOURCES LISTED ON THE BUDGET AMENDMENT, COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN TO TABLE ACTION ON THE BUDGET AMENDMENT AND REQUEST THE SHERIFF COME AND DISCUSS IT WITH THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN MADE A STATEMENT HE DIDN'T EVER REMEMBER SHERIFF PEEL DOING A BUDGET AMENDMENT AT THE END OF THE YEAR AND QUESTIONED IF HE HAD; DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED PEEL HAD SUBMITTED A BUDGET AMENDMENT ALSO.

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND UPDATED THE BOARD ON SYLVESTER SCOTT HAVING COME BEFORE THE BOARD AT THEIR LAST MEETING ABOUT CUTTING A TREE DOWN ON WARMOUTH ROAD. STRICKLAND SAID THIS ISSUE HAD BEEN BROUGHT UP PREVIOUSLY ON MAY 29, 2002 AND LINDA WALLER, PLANNING AND CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER, HAD GONE AND LOOKED AT THE SITUATION.

STRICKLAND REPORTED HE HAD TOOK SOME PICTURES OF THE TREE IN THE ROAD WHICH SHOW WHERE THE PROPERTY LINE IS; SCOTT HAS PVC PIPE STICKING OUT IN THE COUNTY ROAD AND THE FENCE IS ABOUT 2" FROM THE LINE. HE SHOWED THE BOARD PICTURES OF WHERE THE OLD FENCE LINE USED TO BE AND ADVISED THERE WERE THREE HOUSES THAT LIVE ON THE ROAD; THEY ALL WANT TO LEAVE THE TREE AS IS.

STRICKLAND SAID HE SAW NO PROBLEM WITH LEAVING THE TREE AND ACCORDING TO THE PICTURES, THERE IS NO GATE THERE WHERE HE WANTS TO COME OUT TO THE OTHER ROAD. STRICKLAND RECOMMENDED LEAVING THE TREE THERE AND TAKE DOWN THE PVC PIPE SCOTT HAS IN THE ROAD.

BRYAN CONROY ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE TREE HAVING BEEN THERE FOR TWENTY FIVE YEARS; WHEN SCOTT PURCHASED HIS PROPERTY, HE HAD IT SURVEYED AND FILLED IN ALL THE AREA BEHIND THE FENCE AND PUT IN A FLOWER BED TO KEEP IT FROM WASHING. INSTEAD OF DROPPING THE FENCE DOWN TO THE WASH, SCOTT BUILT IT UP AND BUILT A BERM INTO THE ROAD.

CONROY EXPLAINED HE WAS THE ONLY ONE ON THE END OF THE ROAD THAT RECEIVES GARBAGE SERVICE FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT; WHEN THE GARBAGE TRUCK WOULD START BACKING UP THE ROAD, THEY WOULD BACK INTO THE BERM SCOTT HAD IN THE ROAD TO TRY AND AVOID HITTING THE PVC PIPE. WHEN THE GARBAGE TRUCK HIT THE TREE, THEY HIT THE BRANCH HANGING OFF THE TREE; SCOTT THEN STARTED SAYING THE TREE HAD TO COME DOWN.

CONROY SAID THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A PROBLEM WITH THE TREE IN THE SEVENTEEN YEARS HE HAS LIVED THERE. HE EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH SCOTT PUTTING A FENCE ON HIS PROPERTY LINE; HOWEVER, RATHER THAN DROPPING THE FENCE DOWN TO WHERE THE WATER WASHES, SCOTT BUILT IT UP AND BUILT A BERM. THE

RESIDENTS DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW SCOTT GETS TO HAVE A BERM 3' OUT FROM HIS PROPERTY LINE INTO A COUNTY ROAD.

CONROY SAID HE HAD CONTACTED WASTE MANAGEMENT AND THEY ADVISED HIM THEY DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM BACKING UP THE ROAD TO PICK UP HIS GARBAGE; HE ADDRESSED, WHEN THE COUNTY WENT TO CUT THE TREE DOWN ORIGINALLY, HE WENT AND ASKED THEM NOT TO AND WAS ADVISED THEY HAD BEEN TOLD WASTE MANAGEMENT HAD BEEN COMPLAINING ABOUT THE TREE. HE SAID WASTE MANAGEMENT WOULD PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION THE TREE IS NOT A PROBLEM FOR THEM TO PROVIDE HIM GARBAGE SERVICE. HE INFORMED THE BOARD THE RESIDENTS DIDN'T WANT THE TREE GONE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID IT APPEARED TO HIM THE PVC PIPE SCOTT HAS PUT UP NEEDS TO COME DOWN.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE LAW REQUIRES THE ROAD BE MAINTAINED CONTINUOUSLY FOR SEVEN YEARS; WHAT THE COUNTY HAS MAINTAINED FOR THE LAST SEVEN YEARS IS WHAT THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO.

CONROY POINTED OUT, BEFORE THE FENCE WAS PUT OUT INTO THE ROAD, THE COUNTY HAD ALWAYS MAINTAINED IT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO LEAVE THE TREE STANDING AND TAKE THE PVC PIPE UP. HOLLEY REITERATED IF THE TREE IS WITHIN THE AREA THE COUNTY HAS MAINTAINED CONTINUOUSLY FOR THE PAST SEVEN YEARS, THEY COULD REMOVE THE PVC PIPE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY WOULD BE HELD LIABLE IF SOMEONE RAN INTO THE TREE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID HE WOULDN'T THINK SO BECAUSE THE TREE IS NOT WITHIN THE COUNTY'S GRADED MAINTAINED AREA.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SUGGESTED STRICKLAND PUT REFLECTORS UP TO MAKE PEOPLE AWARE THE TREE IS THERE. CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED FOR THE VOTE ON THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY TO LEAVE THE TREE AS IS.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON LEAVING THE PIPE STANDING BECAUSE IT WAS NOT WITHIN THE AREA THE COUNTY HAS BEEN MAINTAINING. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND QUESTIONED IF THEY COULDN'T TAKE THE PIPE UP DUE TO SCOTT NOT OWNING THE LAND. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THEY MAY DO IT AND GET BY WITH IT; HOWEVER, THEY ARE ONLY ENTITLED TO THE PART THEY MAINTAIN.

CONROY REITERATED THE COUNTY ALWAYS MAINTAINED WARMOUTH ROAD; BUT, AS SOON AS SCOTT PUT THE FENCE UP, HE FIXED IT WHERE THEY COULD NO LONGER MAINTAIN IT. HE SAID THE RESIDENTS DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE STAKE THAT IS STICKING UP A FOOT OUT IF THE COUNTY WOULD JUST TAKE A GRADER AND CUT DOWN THE BERM; HE EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T SEE HOW SCOTT WOULD GET TO HAVE THAT PROPERTY TOO JUST BECAUSE HE PREVENTED THE COUNTY FROM MAINTAINING IT.

CONROY ADDRESSED MR. TOOLE HAVING PULLED THE STAKES UP BEFORE AND TOLD SCOTT HE COULDN'T HAVE THE PIPES UP BECAUSE HE WAS PUSHING THE RESIDENTS TOWARD THE TREE; THIS IS WHAT SCOTT IS TRYING TO DO SO HE COULD THEN COME BEFORE THE BOARD AND TELL THEM THEY ARE HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE TREE. CONROY REITERATED THEY WERE NOT HAVING ANY PROBLEMS WITH THE TREE BEFORE SCOTT PUT UP THE PVC PIPE AND THE BERM; HE SAID HE DIDN'T SEE HOW SCOTT GOT TO BUILD A FENCE UP AND THEN BUILD A BERM OFF HIS PROPERTY LINE.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO LEAVE THE PVC PIPE UP UNTIL COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND GETS PERMISSION FROM THE LAND OWNER TO TAKE THEM DOWN.

MELISSA PROCTOR, GOVERNMENT SERVICES GROUP, FOLLOWED UP ON A PRESENTATION DONE IN MARCH BY CAMILLE THARP ON IMPACT FEES AND WAS GOING TO REPORT ON THE ACTUAL FEES FOR ANY PARTICULARS THE BOARD WAS INTERESTED IN.

SHE HAD INFORMATION ON FIRE, EMS, PARK AND RECREATION, LIBRARIES AND TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEES; THEY HAD WORKED OUT SOME REDUCED FEES WITH THE SMALL COUNTY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, JIM PARISH, AND FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES. SHE REPORTED SCTAP AND FACT HAD AGREED AND SET ASIDE \$8,250 FOR PHASE I OF ONE OF THE IMPACT FEE STUDIES; EACH OF THE IMPACT FEE STUDIES HAS TWO PHASES:

- A. PHASE ONE IS THE STUDY PHASE WHERE THEY GATHER ALL THE DATA AND DEVELOP RATES; PHASE ONE FOR FIRE, PARK AND

- RECREATION, LIBRARIES AND EMS WOULD COST \$8,250 EACH
- B. PHASE II IS IMPLEMENTATION; IT HAS A LEGAL COMPONENT WITH NEIGHBORS, GIBLIN AND NICKERSON WHO WILL DRAFT THEIR MASTER ORDINANCE, INITIAL ASSESSMENT RESOLUTION AND THE FINAL ASSESSMENT RESOLUTION, ATTEND ALL THE PUBLIC HEARINGS, ETC. PHASE TWO FOR GSG WOULD BE TO ATTEND ALL THE PUBLIC HEARINGS, INCLUDING WORKSHOPS, TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ON HOW THE IMPACT FEES WOULD WORK.

GSG HAS GONE OUT AND DEVELOPED A PARTNERSHIP WITH ARCDIS ENGINEERING TO DO THE MAJORITY OF THE TRANSPORTATION FEE FOR THEM; PHASE ONE COST FOR TRANSPORTATION WOULD BE \$29,600 AND PHASE II WOULD COST \$13,935. SHE REITERATED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO DO TRANSPORTATION FIRST, THE \$8,250 WAS AVAILABLE FROM SCTAP TOWARD PHASE I TO HELP OFFSET SOME OF THE COST.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD, SHOULD THEY IMPLEMENT AN IMPACT FEE, COULD RECOVER THE COSTS THEY HAVE INVOLVED WITH PHASE I AND PHASE II. PROCTOR ADVISED WHEN GSG DOES A STUDY IT IS CONSIDERED A PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF A RATE FOR THE IMPACT FEE; THE COUNTY CAN RECOUP THESE COSTS.

PROCTOR REPORTED ON AN UPDATE IMPACT FEE STUDY GSG HAD JUST COMPLETED FOR CHARLOTTE COUNTY; THE COUNTY HAD INITIALLY IMPLEMENTED THE IMPACT FEE IN 1994 AND AFTER JUST COMPLETING A NEW UPDATED STUDY ON THEIR TRANSPORTATION RATES, GSG SUGGESTED THEY INCREASE THEIR RATE FROM \$2,900 TO \$9,000 PER DWELLING UNIT.

PROCTOR ADDRESSED HOW COSTLY ROAD PAVING AND TRANSPORTATION ISSUES ARE; IF THE COUNTY IS SHOWING A PERCENTAGE OF GROWTH THEY FEEL IS GOING TO IMPACT A CERTAIN AREA, THAT AREA CAN BE CARVED OUT AND A RATE DEVELOPED FOR THAT SPECIFIC AREA.

PROCTOR EXPLAINED IMPACT FEES ARE ONE TIME CHARGES FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT ONLY; IT WOULD NOT AFFECT THE CURRENT POPULATION OR CURRENT CONSTITUENTS UNLESS THEY WERE TO BUILD A NEW HOUSE ON ANOTHER PIECE OF PROPERTY. IF A PERSON'S EXISTING HOUSE CAUGHT FIRE AND WAS DESTROYED, THE IMPACT FEE WOULD BE WAIVED FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF THE STRUCTURE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED ADDITIONS TO A HOME; PROCTOR ADVISED ADDITIONS TO A HOUSE ARE NOT AFFECTED BY AN IMPACT FEE. HOWEVER, SHE ADDRESSED ADDITIONS TO COMMERCIAL PROPERTY WOULD BE AN ADD ON AND WOULD BE SUBJECT TO AN IMPACT FEE BECAUSE THEIR FEE IS BASED ON SQUARE FOOTAGE AND NOT PER UNIT.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED HOW THE IMPACT FEE WOULD BE PLACED ON AN APARTMENT COMPLEX WHERE THERE WAS MORE THAN ONE DWELLING IN A UNIT AND IF IT WOULD BE A ONE TIME CHARGE. PROCTOR ADVISED BECAUSE IT IS AN APARTMENT, IT WOULD BE COMMERCIAL AT THE TIME IT IS BUILT AND WOULD BE IMPLEMENTED ON A SQUARE FOOTAGE BASIS. SHE ADDRESSED, CONDOS, TOWNHOUSES, ETC. WOULD BE CONSIDERED INDIVIDUAL DWELLING UNITS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN EXPLAINED THE BOARD HAS BEEN TALKING ABOUT IMPACT FEES FOR SEVERAL MONTHS; WITH THE POPULATION GROWTH, NEW BUILDINGS AND INCREASE IN TAX REVENUE, HE QUESTIONED IF THEY REALLY NEEDED IMPACT FEES. HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAVING THE BEST TAX GROWTH THEY HAD SEEN IN YEARS AND IT PROBABLY WOULD BE BETTER THIS YEAR.

COMMISSIONER SAPP POINTED OUT THERE WERE PROS AND CONS TO IMPACT FEES. HE EXPLAINED SOME OF THE GROWTH THE COUNTY WAS GETTING WAS FROM BAY COUNTY DUE TO THEIR IMPACT FEES HAVING GOTTEN SO OUTRAGEOUS OVER THE YEARS.

PROCTOR ADDRESSED PEOPLE IN BAY COUNTY WERE COMING TO WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE WASHINGTON COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE AN IMPACT FEE. SHE REPORTED ON GSG HAVING RECENTLY COMPLETED A JACKSON COUNTY EMS/FIRE STUDY; THE FEE IS ONLY \$200 BUT IT HELPS THEM OFFSET SOME OF THE COST WITH THE NEW DEVELOPMENT.

PROCTOR EXPLAINED AN IMPACT FEE WOULD HELP THE COUNTY WITH CAPITAL MONIES BECAUSE THE IMPACT FEES CAN ONLY BE SPENT ON CAPITAL AND CAN'T BE SPENT ON DAY TO DAY OPERATION EXPENSES; THEY DEVELOP AN IMPACT FEE BASED ON THE CURRENT LEVEL OF SERVICES, BUILD IN THE GROWTH COMPONENT OVER THE PAST FIVE YEARS, SET IT IN PLACE, LOOK AT IT IN ANOTHER THREE TO FIVE YEARS TO INCREASE IT IF THE GROWTH IS

THERE AND WARRANTS IT. SHE ADDRESSED IT ASSISTING THE COUNTY WITH OFFSETTING THEIR GENERAL FUND MONIES, ETC. FOR CAPITAL.

COMMISSIONER FINCH VOICED HIS SUPPORT OF AN IMPACT FEE AT THIS TIME BASED ON THE FACT THEY CAN DO IT AND THERE HAS BEEN GROWTH; THE BOARD CAN START THE FEE OFF SMALL AND AS THE NEEDS CONTINUE TO GROW AND THE OPPORTUNITIES ARISE, THEY CAN LOOK AT IT AGAIN IN THE FUTURE. HE EXPLAINED WASHINGTON COUNTY COULD STILL LOOK AT GETTING BAY COUNTY PEOPLE INTO THE COUNTY IF BAY COUNTY IS LOOKING AT A \$10,000 IMPACT FEE VERSUS \$200.00 OR WHATEVER. HE FELT LIKE TRANSPORTATION NEEDED TO BE AT THE TOP OF THE COUNTY'S LIST WHEN IMPLEMENTING IMPACT FEES.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED ONE OF THE AREAS THEY ARE MOST CONCERNED WITH WAS PROBABLY FIRE AND RESCUE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES MORE SO THAN LIBRARY AND PARKS; LIBRARY AND PARKS ARE SUFFICIENT RIGHT NOW.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REPORTED FIRE AND RESCUE AND AMBULANCE WERE GETTING A HALF MILL EACH FROM THE COUNTY ALREADY AND FELT THEY WERE SUFFICIENT NOW. COMMISSIONER FINCH AND STRICKLAND DISAGREED WITH FINCH REFERRING TO FIRE DEPARTMENTS NEEDING NEW VEHICLES; STRICKLAND AGREED DUE TO THE GROWTH IN THE COUNTY THE LAST YEAR, THE HALF MILL HELPS THE AMBULANCE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT A LOT BUT THEY STILL ARE BEHIND TIMES. PROCTOR SAID AS THE COUNTY ADDS TO THEIR VALUE AND THE POPULATION STARTS PAYING TO THEIR AD VALOREM, THOSE ADDITIONAL FUNDS WILL BE AVAILABLE. SHE EXPLAINED THOSE PEOPLE WOULD REQUIRE ADDITIONAL SERVICES AND THE ADDITIONAL FUNDS WILL HAVE TO HELP PAY FOR THOSE SERVICES. SHE ALSO ADDRESSED AS THE GROWTH IN THE COUNTY GOES UP, THEIR BUILDING PERMITS WILL INCREASE AND THEY MAY HAVE TO ADD AN ADDITIONAL PERSON TO KEEP UP WITH THEM; THEY MAY ALSO NEED TO LOOK AT THEIR FEE STRUCTURE FOR THEIR BUILDING PERMITS TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE GETTING ENOUGH FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED THE NUMBER OF PERMITS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND SAID THE BOARD NEEDED TO LOOK AT HOW MUCH MONEY THEY WILL GENERATE; HE ADDRESSED THE IMPACT FEES WOULD HAVE TO BE SET REALLY HIGH TO GENERATE THE SAME AMOUNT OF FUNDING AS THE HALF MILL DOES FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND AMBULANCE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE WAS NOT SUGGESTING TAKING THE HALF MILL FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND AMBULANCES; HOWEVER, THIS WOULD GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO, AT SOME POINT IN TIME, IF THE IMPACT FEES GOT TO WHERE THEY COULD SUPPORT IT.

PROCTOR SAID THE FIRE DEPARTMENT'S ISO RATINGS AFFECTS A PERSON'S PROPERTY INSURANCE; IF THE ISO RATINGS GO DOWN, A HOMEOWNER'S INSURANCE PREMIUM WILL GO DOWN.

COMMISSIONER FINCH INVITED CHAIRMAN SAPP AND COMMISSIONER COPE TO VISIT WITH GLEN ZANETIC IN SUNNYY HILLS; NUMBERS CAN'T BE BASED ON WHAT HAS BEEN DONE IN THE PAST BUT THEY CAN BASE IT ON PLANS. EVEN THOUGH THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF NEW CONSTRUCTION IN THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY, FINCH SAID NOTHING IS GOING TO COMPARE TO WHAT WILL BE SEEN THIS YEAR.

PROCTOR ADVISED THEY LOOK AT PLANNING, PERMITTING, TREND, INVENTORY, WHAT THE VALUE IS NOW, ETC. TO DEVELOP THE TREND OF GROWTH, THE ESTIMATED CAPITAL COST PER THAT GROWTH, BUILD IT IN AND DIVIDE IT BASED ON WHAT THEY FEEL THE POPULATION IS GOING TO BE.

WILLIE VAUGN, BUILDING INSPECTOR, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT TRYING TO ADJUST THEIR PERMITTING FEES GRADUALLY WITH THE OTHER COUNTIES TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE MAINTAINING STANDARDS THAT IS GOING TO BE MET FOR TODAY'S FEES.

JAMES WHITE, BOYINGTON CUTOFF, BUILDER AND DEVELOPER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR NEED TO START LOOKING AT SEWER AND WATER FROM THE LARGE DEVELOPERS; THE COUNTY NEEDS TO LOOK AT GETTING IN A WATER SYSTEM AS NWFWMDC IS GOING TO BEGIN CHARGING THEM FOR GROUND WATER.

HE ADDRESSED THE NEED FOR THE COUNTY TO START LOOKING AT THE LARGER DEVELOPMENTS, LOOK AT THE LONG TERM PROJECTS RATHER THAN IMPACT FEES AND ROADS AND QUESTIONED HOW MUCH THE AVERAGE WATER BILL IS IN THE CITY. HE REITERATED THE NEED FOR A COUNTY WATER AND SEWER SYSTEM; THIS WOULD GENERATE MILLIONS OF

DOLLARS OF GENERAL REVENUE THAT WOULD DO A LOT FOR THE COUNTY FROM THE DEVELOPERS COMING IN AND PUTTING IN THE PUBLIC SERVICE WELL SYSTEMS TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF HOUSES THEY PUT PER ACRE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE FOR GSG TO BEGIN PHASE I OF AN IMPACT FEE STUDY FOR TRANSPORTATION, AMBULANCE AND FIRE PROTECTION. DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON WHERE THE MONIES WOULD COME FROM WITH PROCTOR ADDRESSING IF THE COUNTY GOES WITH THESE THREE, SHE WOULD GO TO HER BOSS TO TRY AND GET A 10% DISCOUNT.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE FIRE PROTECTION AND AMBULANCE STUDIES BEING SEPARATE STUDIES. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO JUST GO WITH FIRE AND TRANSPORTATION STUDIES NOW.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN EXPLAINED IF THEY ONLY GO WITH TWO STUDIES, THE COUNTY WOULDN'T GET THE 10% DISCOUNT AND IT WOULDN'T COST MUCH MORE TO DO THE STUDY ON THE AMBULANCE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH READDRESSSED HIS UNDERSTANDING, IF THE IMPACT FEES WERE IMPLEMENTED, THE COUNTY COULD BE REIMBURSED FOR THE COST TO DO THE STUDIES AND THE MONIES WOULD BE PUT BACK FROM WHERE THEY WERE PAID FROM. COMMISSIONER FINCH AGREED FOR HIS MOTION TO INCLUDE TRANSPORTATION, AMBULANCE AND FIRE PROTECTION WITH THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS FOR THE FUNDING FOR THE STUDIES TO COME OUT OF CONTINGENCY. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

KEN MONEGHAN, FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES TRUST, UPDATED THE BOARD ON A MEETING FACT HAD AT THEIR ANNUAL DECEMBER MEETING AND PROVIDED THE BOARD A HANDOUT ON FACT BOARD ACTIVITIES FOR 2004/2005 THAT WAS HANDED OUT AT FACT'S DECEMBER MEETING.

MONEGHAN ADDRESSED THE KEY THINGS THAT WERE TALKED ABOUT WERE:

- A. FINANCIAL STABILITY-PROGRAM IS DOING EXTREMELY WELL; FACT'S RATIO FROM PREMIUM TO SURPLUS IS 1.5 TO 1 WHICH MEANS THEY ARE EXTREMELY GOOD
- B. RISK MANAGEMENT AND LOSS CONTROL-HE IS TO GET INFORMATION TO THE BOARD WHEN THEY GET THIS PROGRAM WORKED OUT ONE THING THEY WILL BE DOING IS PUT ON A SEMINAR ON EMPLOYMENT ISSUES AT THE END OF FEBRUARY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY
- C. FACT WILL BE PAYING FOR PERSONS THE MEMBER COUNTIES WANT TO SEND TO ATTEND SOME OF FACT'S PROGRAMS
- D. ANNUAL CONFERENCE FOR FACT WILL BE AUGUST 16TH AND 17TH AT THE WORLD GOLF VILLAGE; HE WILL BE PROVIDING THE INFORMATION ON THE ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN A FEW MONTHS
- E. FACT IS WORKING CLOSER WITH FAC AND WILL PROBABLY BE PRESENTING A COUPLE OF PROGRAMS AT THE ANNUAL CONFERENCE
- F. FACT HAS HIRED INSURANCE ADVISOR TO OVERSEE AND REVIEW EVERYTHING GOING ON, EVERYTHING IS ON TRACK AND IN THE BEST INTEREST OF ALL THE MEMBER COUNTIES. (KIRK HAYES)
- G. IMPLEMENTATION OF A JUST CALL PROGRAM TO PROVIDE FACT MEMBERS WITH ACCESSIBILITY TO EXPERT ADVICE ON EMPLOYMENT OR OTHER MATTERS WHICH MAY RESULT IN COVERED CLAIMS; THEY HAD MUCH RATHER SPEND A FEW HUNDRED DOLLARS FOR A CALL TO AN ATTORNEY, WHICH FACT WILL PAY FOR, INSTEAD OF THE COUNTY TAKING ACTION WHICH MAY RESULT IN A LAWSUIT THAT WILL COST A LARGE AMOUNT OF MONEY. MONEGHAN ADVISED THEY ONLY WANTED A COUPLE OF PEOPLE FROM EACH COUNTY INVOLVED IN CALLING IN ORDER FOR THEM TO KEEP CONTROL OF IT AND SUGGESTED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AND ATTORNEY HOLLEY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING WRONG WITH OTHER AGENCIES SENDING REPRESENTATIVES TO SIT IN ON THE PROGRAM FACT PUTS ON EVERY YEAR IN WASHINGTON COUNTY ON EMPLOYMENT ISSUES OR IS IT STRICTLY FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY.

MONEGHAN EXPLAINED THEY DIDN'T WANT TO BRING IN A LOT OF PEOPLE TOO MUCH BECAUSE THE MONEY THE COUNTY IS SPENDING IS FOR THEIR PROGRAM SPECIFICALLY; HOWEVER, IF THE AGENCIES HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE THAT WOULD LIKE TO COME AND SIT IN, HE WOULDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM. MONEGHAN DID POINT OUT FACT WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO ADVISE THE OTHER AGENCIES ON ANYTHING.

MONEGHAN ADDRESSED FACT WAS TRYING TO GET THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS INVOLVED WITH THE FACT PROGRAM THEY PRESENT IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

MONEGHAN ADDRESSED, IF AT ANY TIME, ANY OF THE COMMISSIONERS WOULD LIKE TO SIT ON THE FACT BOARD, LET HIM KNOW AS IT IS THEIR PROGRAM.

THE BOARD THANKED MONEGHAN FOR FACT'S GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COUNTY. MONEGHAN ADVISED FACT WAS WORKING CLOSER NOW WITH THE SMALL COUNTY COALITION; THEY ASSIST WITH THE LOBBYING FOR SMALL COUNTIES WHEN IT COMES TO LIABILITY ISSUES.

CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED FOR A RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, RICHARD MILRON ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON A PERSON AT THEIR LAST MEETING HAVING REQUESTED A WAIVER FOR A DRIVEWAY PERMIT FEE. HE INFORMED THE BOARD LAST FEBRUARY HE PUT A NEW MOBILE HOME ON HIS PROPERTY, WHEN HE WENT TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THEY TOLD HIM TO CALL DOWN TO THE ROAD AND BRIDGE DEPARTMENT AND THAT WAS IT. HOWEVER, ROAD AND BRIDGE TOLD HIM HE WOULD HAVE TO GO THERE AND PAY A \$25 DRIVEWAY PERMIT FEE FOR A PRE-EXISTING DRIVEWAY. HE REFERRED TO THE BOARD WAIVING THE FEE AT THEIR LAST MEETING FOR A PERSON THAT HAD A PRE-EXISTING DRIVEWAY.

COMMISSIONER SAPP EXPLAINED THE BOARD CHANGED THEIR DRIVEWAY POLICY AT THEIR LAST MEETING; THE PERSON WHO ADDRESSED THEM HAD A LEGITIMATE ISSUE WITH HIS DRIVEWAY. SAPP APOLOGIZED TO MILRON FOR ANY INCONVENIENCE HE MAY HAVE HAD; HOWEVER, THE POLICY HAS JUST BEEN CHANGED AND UNLESS THE BOARD AGREES TO, THEY ARE NOT GOING BACK AND REIMBURSE PEOPLE WHO PAID A DRIVEWAY PERMIT FEE WHEN THEIR PREVIOUS POLICY REQUIRED IT.

MILRON SAID HE DIDN'T THINK IT WAS FAIR FOR THE BOARD TO CHANGE THE POLICY FOR ONE PERSON; SAPP EXPLAINED THEY WERE NOT JUST CHANGING IT FOR ONE PERSON BUT FOR EVERYONE FROM THIS POINT FORWARD.

MILRON REITERATED HE DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS FAIR THE COUNTY COM- MISSIONERS CHANGED RULES FROM ONE YEAR TO ANOTHER; SAPP ADVISED THE BOARD HAD THAT AUTHORITY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THEY DIDN'T WAIVE THE FEE FOR THE PERSON AT THEIR LAST MEETING; THEY CHANGED THE POLICY.

STACY WEBB UPDATED THE BOARD ON HAVING RECEIVED THE FBIP CONTRACT FOR GAP POND REFURBISHMENT. SHE REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION FROM THE BOARD FOR SIGNATURES AND SUBMITTAL TO TALLAHASSEE FOR EXECUTION. COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF WEBB'S REQUEST.

JIM ACKERMAN THANKED THE BOARD FOR CHANGING THEIR MEETINGS TO TWICE A MONTH AND HAVING ONE IN THE EVENING. HE PROVIDED A RESOLUTION HE WAS REQUESTING THE BOARD ADOPT FOR REMOVAL OF A JUNKYARD. HE REFERRED TO A RESOLUTION THAT WAS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD ON JANUARY 18, 2005; WASHINGTON COUNTY CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD CASE 200425, VIOLATION OF COUNTY ORDINANCE 91-8 AND FLORIDA STATUTES WITH BRENDA HARRIS AND LISA GILES ON 4952 SKUNKYARD TRAIL IN VERNON.

HE REITERATED HIS REQUEST FOR THE BOARD TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION FOR THE REMOVAL OF UNAUTHORIZED ITEMS THAT ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, ZONING CODE AND COUNTY ORDINANCE AT HIGHWAY 273, GARDNER WAY; OWNERS OF PROPERTY ARE ALEXANDER AND ROLENA CLARK, CODE VIOLATION 2003-3 AND ORDINANCE 91-8, CODE ENFORCEMENT CASE 200427, ALSO ON HIGHWAY 273, GARDNER WAY, OWNERS ARE CRAIG AND MICHELLE CLARK, CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD CASE 200428, CODE VIOLATION 2003-3 AND ORDINANCE 91-8 AND FLORIDA STATUTES 403.7172.

HE REQUESTED THE RESOLUTION ADOPTED BY THE COUNTY SHOULD GIVE THE CLARKS THIRTY DAYS TO COME INTO COMPLIANCE; THE DATE OF THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT WAS SEPTEMBER 7, 2004. IF THE CLARKS SHOWED SUBSTANTIAL PROGRESS IN CLEANING UP THE

PROPERTY WITHIN THE THIRTY DAYS, ACKERMAN SAID AN ADDITIONAL THIRTY DAYS COULD BE AUTHORIZED BY THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER.

ALSO, ACKERMAN SAID THE RESOLUTION COULD PROVIDE FOR THE COUNTY OR PRIVATE CONTRACTOR TO DO THE CLEANUP; IF THE CLEANUP IS PROVIDED BY EITHER, IT SHOULD BE NO MORE THAN NINETY DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THE RESOLUTION AND FINAL INSPECTION SHOULD BE BASED ON THE COUNTY CODE ENFORCEMENT COMPLIANCE REVIEW. IF IT BECOMES NECESSARY FOR THE COUNTY TO DO THE CLEANUP, ACKERMAN AGREED TO PAY UP TO \$500 FOR FUEL; THE COUNTY CAN PLACE A LIEN ON THE PROPERTY TO PAY FOR THE CLEANUP.

ACKERMAN REFERRED TO HIM HAVING WAITED EIGHTEEN MONTHS TO PUT HIS PROPERTY ON THE MARKET; THE RESOLUTION WOULD ALLOW HIM THAT OPPORTUNITY. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS SUBMIT AND APPROVE THE RESOLUTION AT THEIR MEETING ON JANUARY 26TH.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY DIDN'T HAVE A LIEN ON THE PROPERTY ALREADY; ACKERMAN ADVISED THEY DID AND AS OF OCTOBER 17TH, THE PROPERTY OWNERS HAVE BEEN CHARGED \$250 A DAY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE LIEN WAS ACTUALLY ONLY FILED THIS WEEK; IT WAS RECORDED MUCH EARLIER BUT AFTER RESEARCHING THE STATUTE, IT REQUIRED A CERTIFIED COPY BE RECORDED AND THIS WAS NOT DONE UNTIL THIS WEEK.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION TO AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY HOLLEY WRITE THE CLARKS A LETTER STATING THERE IS A \$250 A DAY LIEN AGAINST THEIR PROPERTY. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE LETTER WOULD BE GOING OUT FROM LINDA WALLER'S OFFICE WHICH HE WOULD REVIEW; IT WOULD BASICALLY TELL THEM WHAT THE FINE IS AND TELL THEM THE BOARD WOULD COMMENCE FORECLOSURE WITHIN NINETY DAYS AFTER THE LIEN IS FILED. COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED ATTORNEY HOLLEY SIGN THE LETTER AND THE LETTER BE ON ATTORNEY HOLLEY'S STATIONARY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN EXPLAINED THE CLARKS DIDN'T SEEM TO TAKE THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD, LINDA WALLER OR JIM ACKERMAN SERIOUS; HOWEVER, THE LIEN IS SERIOUS AND WILL REMAIN ON THEIR PROPERTY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY REPORTED THERE WERE LETTERS BEING SENT TO THE CLARKS AND TWO OTHER CASES ON CODE ENFORCEMENT VIOLATIONS. COM- MISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED THE MOTION FOR ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO SEND THE LETTERS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND FELT THE \$250 A DAY WAS TOO HIGH. ATTORN- EY HOLLEY EXPLAINED THE CASES BEING DEALT WITH NOW WERE PEOPLE WHO CAME TO THE CODE ENFORCEMENT HEARINGS AND ADVISED THEY WEREN'T GOING TO COMPLY WITH THE CODE ENFORCEMENT.

ACKERMAN REITERATED HE WOULD BE UNABLE TO PUT HIS PROPERTY ON THE MARKET AS LONG AS THE JUNKYARD IS NEAR HIS PROPERTY; IF HE COULD GET A BUYER, HE WOULD HAVE TO TAKE A LOT LESS FOR HIS PROPERTY AND THAT IS WHY HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE CLARKS TO CLEAN UP THEIR PROPERTY. HE ADDRESSED, IF THE BOARD SO CHOOSES AND THEY HAVE IT CLEANED UP, THEY CAN PUT A LIEN ON THE PROPERTY FOR THE AMOUNT OF CLEANUP; THEY COULD COME BACK AND ASK THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD TO RELIEVE THE LIEN OF \$250 A DAY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE INDIVIDUALS COULD BE NOTIFIED IN THE LETTER THEY ARE GOING TO BE RECEIVING, IF THEY CLEAN IT UP VOLUNTARILY, THEY COULD REDUCE THE LIEN.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED ACKERMAN IF HE HAD TRIED TO SELL HIS PROPERTY. ACKERMAN SAID HE HAD A LETTER FROM A REAL ESTATE PERSON IF THE JUNKYARD PROPERTY WAS NOT CLEANED UP, HE COULD LOSE UP TO 8%. ACKERMAN ADDRESSED HIM HAVING PURCHASED THE PROPERTY AT PINE BLUFF IN 2004; THE MARKET IS NOT THE SAME TODAY, THE MORTGAGE INSTITUTION OF LEND RATE IS DIFFERENT TODAY AND BUILDING MATERIALS ARE NOT THE SAME. ACKERMAN SAID THERE WERE THREE WAYS HE HAD LOST: DEPRECIATION OF HIS PROPERTY, PAYING TWO PROPERTY TAXES AND PAYING TWO MORTGAGES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED ACKERMAN IF HE WOULD AGREE TO WAIT UNTIL THE BOARD'S JANUARY 26TH MEETING TO GET A REPLY FROM THE LETTER ATTORNEY HOLLEY IS GOING TO SEND THE CLARKS. CORBIN SAID IF ACKERMAN WOULD AGREE TO WAIT UNTIL THEN, HE WOULD HAVE NO PROBLEM GOING ALONG WITH HIS REQUEST.



ACKERMAN QUESTIONED IF THE PROPERTY IS HOMESTEADED, HOW WAS THE COUNTY GOING TO GET THE CLARKS TO CLEAN UP THE PROPERTY; THEY CAN PUT A LIEN ON THE PROPERTY BUT THIS WOULDN'T CLEAN UP THE PROPERTY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE PEOPLE BEING SENT THE LETTERS PRETTY MUCH LAUGHED IN YOUR FACE WHEN HE HAS BEEN AT THE CODE ENFORCE- MENT MEETINGS AND SAY THEY ARE NOT GOING TO CLEAN IT UP.

THE MOTION CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR FOR ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO SEND A LETTER TO THE CLARKS INFORMING THEM THE LIEN HAS BEEN FILED ON THEIR PROPERTY AND THE AMOUNT OF THE LIEN.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED ACKERMAN'S REQUEST ON HAVING ACTION ON THE RESOLUTION BY THE JANUARY 26TH BOARD MEETING AND QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD SHOULD DECIDE TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION, WOULD IT BE LEGAL. ATTORNEY HOLLEY AGREED TO REVIEW THE RESOLUTION PRIOR TO THE NEXT MEETING AND LET THE BOARD KNOW.

CHAIRMAN SAPP ADVISED THE RESOLUTION WOULD BE ADDRESSED AT THEIR NEXT BOARD MEETING.

DAVID CORBIN, ANIMAL CONTROL, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE COUNTY CHARGING A \$20 IMPOUND FEE AND ARE BEING CHARGED BY NORTHWEST FLORIDA ANIMAL SERVICES A \$37.70 IMPOUND FEE. HE RECOMMENDED THE BOARD AUTHORIZE THE FOLLOWING FEES:

- A. IMPOUND FEE \$50
- B. SECOND TIME ANIMAL PICKED UP, IMPOUND FEE \$100
- C. THIRD TIME ANIMAL PICKED UP, IMPOUND FEE \$150

DAVID ADVISED THE BOARD THEY NEEDED TO CHARGE \$50 TO AT LEAST RECOUP THEIR EXPENSES FOR PICKING UP THE ANIMALS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE ANIMAL CONTROL TO CHARGE \$50 IMPOUND FEE FOR PERSONS WANTING TO RETRIEVE THEIR ANIMALS PICKED UP BY ANIMAL CONTROL.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE WOULD HAVE TO BE READVERTISED BEFORE THE THE FEES WILL BE AFFECTIVE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF ALL THE CITIES IN THE COUNTY WERE PARTICIPATING IN THE COUNTY'S ANIMAL CONTROL PROGRAM; DAVID ADVISED ALL OF THEM WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE TOWN OF WAUSAU.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THERE BEING AN INCIDENT WHERE ANIMAL CONTROL HAS PICKED UP AN ANIMAL IN WAUSAU AND COMPLAINTS WERE RECEIVED DUE TO THEM NOT PARTICIPATING IN THE PROGRAM. DAVID REPORTED THE ANIMAL WAS PICKED UP BECAUSE IT WAS A BITE CASE DURING THANKSGIVING; COMMISSIONER SAPP SUGGESTED DAVID REFER THESE CALLS TO THE TOWN OF WAUSAU BECAUSE IT IS NOT A COUNTY ISSUE.

DAVID SAID THE BOARD COULD GET ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO DRAFT A LETTER TO WAUSAU ADVISING IF THEY ARE NOT GOING TO PARTICIPATE, THEY ARE GOING TO ABORT ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES TO THEM. THE BOARD AGREED THE TOWN OF WAUSAU ALREADY IS AWARE OF THIS AND CONSENTED FOR HIM TO BILL THEM FOR THE ANIMAL HE PICKED UP. DAVID QUESTIONED IF ANIMAL CONTROL GOES TO WAUSAU, THEY NEED TO BILL THEM; THE BOARD CONSENTED FOR HIM TO BILL THE ONE THEY HAD ALREADY PICKED UP BUT NOT TO PICK UP ANOTHER ONE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN AGREED A BITE CASE WAS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT AS SOMEONE'S LIFE MAY BE IN DANGER. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE COUNTY SOLD IT TO EVERYBODY BUT WAUSAU; THE BOARD NEEDS TO DO SOMETHING TO LET WAUSAU PARTICIPATE OR EITHER HAVE THE MECHANISM TO BILL THEM WHEN THEY DO PICK UP AN ANIMAL.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION NOT TO PICK UP ANY ANIMALS IN WAUSAU UNLESS IT IS A LIFE AND DEATH SITUATION; IF ANIMALS HAVE TO BE PICKED UP DUE TO LIFE AND DEATH SITUATIONS, BILL WAUSAU FOR THE SERVICE. COMMISSIONER COPE SECONDED THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND QUESTIONED DIDN'T WAUSAU AGREE TO PAY THE \$37.70 PER DOG PICKED UP RATHER THAN ENTERING INTO AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY AS THEY FELT IT WOULD BE CHEAPER. DAVID ADVISED THAT WAUSAU HAS TALKED TO BOTH THE LOCAL VETERINARIANS; ONE HAS REFUSED TO TAKE THEIR ANIMALS BECAUSE HE IS CONTRACTED WITH THE COUNTY AND HE ISN'T AWARE WHAT THE OTHER VETERINARIAN HAS TOLD THEM.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER QUESTIONED IF IT WEREN'T IN THE AGREEMENT THE MUNICIPALITIES THAT DID NOT CHOOSE TO PARTICIPATE WOULD NO LONGER RECEIVE ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES FROM THE COUNTY; ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT. SHE POINTED OUT THE OTHER MUNICIPALITIES OR SUNNY HILLS MAY HAD RATHER PAY JUST PER ANIMAL RATHER THAN A FLAT FEE.

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DAVID CORBIN UPDATED THE BOARD ON VEHICLE EXCHANGES HE WOULD LIKE TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL ON:

EXCHANGE ONE TON TRUCK AT SOD FARM FOR 3/4 TON TRUCK FROM DISTRICT FOUR

EXCHANGE VAN FROM DISTRICT FOUR TO CITY OF CHIPLEY FOR FORD RANGER PICKUP TRUCK

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON JAMES FINCH USING THE TRUCK JACK DORCH WAS USING WITH THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS FOR HIM TO CONTINUE DOING SO AND THE FORD RANGER PICKUP BE UTILIZED AT THE COUNTY ANNEX.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE EXCHANGE OF VEHICLES DAVID REPORTED ON.

ED PELLETIER, TREASURER FOR THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FIREMENS ASSOCIATION, INVITED THE BOARD, CLERK COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK CARTER TO THEIR ANNUAL BANQUET ON JANUARY 19TH AT THE CHIPLEY FIRE STATION AT 7:00 P.M.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED IMPACT FEES AND THE BOARD'S NEED TO CONSIDER HOW THIS IS GOING TO AFFECT INCORPORATED AREAS; ARE THEY GOING TO HAVE IMPACT FEES ON NEW CONSTRUCTION IN INCORPORATED AREAS AND WOULD THIS MONEY GO TO THE CITIES.

MS. PROCTOR ADVISED THE IMPACT FEES WOULD JUST BE INVOLVING THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS, EXCEPT EMS, UNLESS THE COUNTY ENTERED INTO INTERLOCAL AGREEMENTS WITH ALL THE CITIES IN THE COUNTY. WHEN COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE STUDIES THE COUNTY HAD APPROVED FOR GSG TO DO WOULD NOT INCLUDE ANY OF THE INCORPORATED AREAS; PROCTOR ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, PRESENTED HIS REPORT:

A. SURVEY CREW WILL BEGIN WORK ON SOME OF THE ROADS INVOLVED IN NORTHERN TRUST SUITS

B. THE COUNTY HAS RECEIVED THE NOTICE TO PROCEED ON THE FANNING BRANCH SCRAP PROJECT. KNAUER REPORTED HE DIDN'T HAVE ANY KIND OF CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY TO DO THE PROJECT BUT OFFERED TO DO THE SURVEYING, DESIGN AND CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AND INSPECTIONS FOR THE AMOUNT ALLOCATED IN THE JPA.

HE EXPLAINED FL-DOT GRANT MONIES ARE ONLY TO BE USED FOR RESURFACING AND POINTED OUT THERE WERE TWO THINGS THAT NEEDED TO BE ADDRESSED:

1. TWO PLACES THAT HAVE STEEP DROP OFFS THAT NEED TO BE FILLED IN OFF THE EDGE OF THE EXISTING ROAD TO MAKE IT A SAFER ROAD

2. THERE ARE SOME NASTY HAIRPIN CURVES ON THE ROAD AND BIG DROP OFFS.

HE AGREED, WHEN HE PUT THE PROJECT OUT FOR BID, HE WOULD BALANCE THE FILL REQUIREMENTS, ASPHALT REQUIREMENTS, ETC. SO THERE WANT BE ANY COUNTY EFFORTS INVOLVED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THERE WOULD BE ANY TEMPORARY STRIPING INVOLVED. KNAUER REPORTED THERE WOULD BE TEMPORARY STRIPING AND THERMO INVOLVED.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED THE FANNING BRANCH PROJECT WAS A SCOP PROJECT AND THERE WAS A 25% COUNTY MATCH UNLESS IT HAD BEEN WAIVERED. KNAUER APOLOGIZED AND AGREED IT WAS A SCOP PROJECT; HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY COULD USE THE FILL NEEDED FOR THE PROJECT FOR THEIR INKIND MATCH.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REPORTED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAD INFORMED HIM THE COUNTY MAY BE AWARDED ANOTHER SCRAP GRANT TO GO FROM HIGHWAY 77 ACROSS TO CLAYTON ROAD TO ALFORD ROAD TO THE JACKSON/WASHINGTON COUNTY LINE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REQUESTED THE BOARD KEEP ALL OF THE ONGOING PROJECTS IN MIND THEY ALREADY NEED TO BE WORKING ON AND ADDRESSED HIM NOT WANTING HIS PROJECTS TO KEEP BACKING UP.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF KNAUER PROCEEDING WITH THE ENGINEERING SERVICES ON THE FANNING BRANCH SCOP PROJECT FOR THE AMOUNT ALLOCATED IN THE JPA.

C. KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON SOME OF THE ONGOING COUNTY PROJECTS:

1. THEY STARTED ON THE SOUTH BOULEVARD PROJECT THIS WEEK, CLIPPING SHOULDERS, ETC.
2. ALLISON DRIVE PROJECT-PAVING AND DRESSING WON'T TAKE A WHOLE LOT
3. LEISURE LAKE ROAD FOR COMMISSIONER FINCH
4. ROLLING PINES ROADS-3.2 MILES; THERE IS A FLORIDA FOREVER GRANT THE COUNTY RECEIVED FOR A LITTLE LESS THAN \$500,000. HE IS ANTICIPATING HAVING TO MOVE A LOT OF DIRT ON THIS PROJECT
5. GAP POND-THEY JUST RECEIVED A NOTICE TO PROCEED ON THIS PROJECT AND RECEIVED APPROXIMATELY \$114,000 FBIP FUNDS; DEPENDING ON WHAT THE CONTRACT PRICES ARE WHEN IT IS PUT OUT FOR BID, KNAUER REPORTED THEY MAY OR MAY NOT BE ABLE TO DO THE PROJECT EXCLUSIVELY THROUGH CONTRACT

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED ONCE THEY START ON THE ROLLING PINES PROJECT, HE WOULD NEED TO USE ALL THE TRUCKS TO GET THE MOVING OF DIRT ON THE PROJECT TAKEN CARE OF. HE WAS AWARE THEY WOULD HVE TO WORK AROUND ANY EMERGENCY SITUATIONS.

COMMISSIONER COPE REQUESTED KNAUER CHECK ON THE BASE AT THE INTERSECTION OF SOUTH BOULEVARD AND KIRKLAND ROAD; KNAUER AGREED TO CHECK ON THE BASE AND REFERRED TO THERE BEING WATER THAT IS TRAPPED ON THE ROAD AND IT COULD BE A FAILURE BECAUSE OF THE WATER. HE ALSO REFERRED TO THERE BEING A VERY THIN BASE ON THE WEST END OF THE ROAD.

KNAUER REPORTED ON HAVING MET WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN ON THE POSSIBILITY OF PUTTING AN OVERLAY ON SEWELL FARM ROAD; THEY PUT MILLED ASPHALT DOWN LAST YEAR ON ABOUT 1.5 MILES OF THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING ENOUGH PAVING FUNDS TO PUT DOWN 1/4" TO 1/2" ASPHALT OVER THE MILLED ASPHALT AS A TEST PROJECT. HE FELT IF THEY BROOMED EACH SIDE, PUT A TACK COAT ON IT AND PUT 1/4" TO 1/2" ASPHALT OVER THE MILLED ASPHALT, IT POSSIBLY COULD STOP THE CLIPPING OFF OF THE MILLED ASPHALT.

KNAUER ADVISED THE BIGGEST DIFFICULTY IN PUTTING DOWN A THIN LAYER OF ASPHALT WAS CONTROLLING THE QUANTITIES BECAUSE THE SPREADER DOESN'T FOLLOW ALL THE IMPERFECTIONS IN THE MILLED ASPHALT; THERE MAY BE PLACES ON THE ROAD WHERE MORE QUANTITIES OF ASPHALT WILL BE PUT THAN IN OTHER PLACES. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WOULD ONLY GO AS FAR AS HIS MONIES WILL ALLOW HIM TO GO.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE COMMISSIONER CORBIN TO USE HIS PAVING FUNDS TO PUT DOWN AN OVERLAY OF ASPHALT ON SEWELL FARM ROAD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF THEY COULD TAKE THE SAME PRICE FOR ASPHALT ON THE SEWELL FARM ROAD PROJECT  AS THEY RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR ON THE ALLISON DRIVE PROJECT DUE TO THEM BEING IN THE SAME GENERAL AREA. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THEY COULD IF THE CONTRACTOR WOULD DO IT AT THE SAME TONNAGE PRICE AS THE OTHER PROJECTS.

KNAUER ADVISED THE COUNTY MAY HAVE TO DO THE MAINTENANCE AND TRAFFIC ON THE SEWELL FARM ROAD PROJECT; HE WILL DISCUSS THE PROJECT WITH THE CONTRACTOR AND MAYBE THE COUNTY CAN PIGGYBACK ON THEIR CONTRACT FOR ALLISON DRIVE.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE NEED FOR HE, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AND A REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE BOARD MEET WITH FL-DEP IN PENSACOLA AND DISCUSS ISSUES ON THE CHIPLEY LANDFILL. COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO APPOINT COMMISSIONER COPE TO REPRESENT THE BOARD IN THE CHIPLEY LANDFILL ISSUES.

KNAUER ADDRESSED ONE OF THE WELLS AT THE LANDFILL TESTED A LITTLE HIGHER IN IRON THAN IT WAS SUPPOSE TO; IT BASICALLY WAS THE SAME SCENARIO AS THEY HAD AT THE MUDHILL LANDFILL.

BID AWARDS--ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED NO BIDS WERE RECEIVED ON THE SUNNY HILLS PROPERTY SALES.

GLEN ZANETIC ADDRESSED THE BOARD RECOMMENDING THEY NOT RE- ADVERTISE AT THIS TIME UNTIL THE MARKET CHANGES; THERE NEEDS TO BE A TARGET MARGET. HE REPORTED THERE IS A MARKET OUT THERE THAT WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE PROPERTY; THEY ARE THE BUILDERS AND THEY HAVE BUSINESS INTERESTS.

ZANETIC EXPLAINED THE PROPERTIES NEED TO BE PACKAGED IN DIFFERENT WAYS; LOOK AT GIVING BACK A REFUND IN SOME LIMITED AMOUNT ON SOME OF THE PROPERTIES, THOSE THAT ARE NOT IN THE MOST PREFERENTIAL PLACES, SUCH AS BACK OF THE COUNTRY CLUB. HE ALSO ADDRESSED WHERE THERE WERE TWELVE LOTS THAT WERE CLOSE, THE BUILDERS DIDN'T WON'T TO END UP WITH TWO OF THEM AND NOT THE OTHER TEN; THEY COULD AFFORD ALL TWELVE AND WOULD BUILD ON THEM.

ZANETIC REITERATED THERE WERE TARGET MARKETS THE COUNTY WOULD REALLY WANT TO SELL TO AND HE WOULD BRING BACK SOME SCENARIOS FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME LOTS WERE ADVERTISED FOR SALE WITHOUT OFFERING THE REFUND BACK; ZANETIC ADVISED ANY IMPROVED PROPERTIES SOLD IN THE PAST WERE ADVERTISED OFFERING A REFUND.

ZANETIC REFERRED TO THE BUILDERS MEETING HELD IN SUNNY HILLS AND THE MEETING HELD WITH THE BOARD; THE ROAD COMMITTEE HAD WENT OUT, GOTTEN PICTURES AND RATED THE ROADS. HE SAID THE DEVELOPERS WERE WANTING TO SEE IF THEY WERE GOING TO MAKE A BIG INVESTMENT OR IF THE COUNTY WAS GOING TO MAKE SOME INVESTMENT ON THE ROADS.

ZANETIC ALSO REFERRED TO THERE SHOULD BE SOME NEWS ON THE AIRPORT BY MAY AND THIS SHOULD CHANGE THE MARKET; EVERYTHING SHOULD START GOING UP AGAIN. HE REITERATED HIS RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO WAIT SEVERAL MONTHS BEFORE READVERTISING AND COME OUT WITH A LITTLE DIFFERENT PACKAGE ON THE LOTS IN SUNNY HILLS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF THE BOARD SHOULD CONSIDER LEAVING THE BIDS OPEN FOR PEOPLE TO PURCHASE AND QUESTIONED IF SOMEONE MET THE MINIMUM BID, COULD THEY SELL THEM A LOT.

ZANETIC ADVISED HE WOULD BRING SOMETHING BACK WHICH WILL TAKE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF THE PROPERTIES WHERE THEY ARE HOLDING THE INVENTORY, THE COUNTY WILL TAKE A DEPOSIT, IF THE DEVELOPER GUARAN- TEES WITHIN A CERTAIN PERIOD OF TIME, THE COUNTY WILL GET "X" PERCENT OF THEIR BUILD COST. HE EXPLAINED THIS IS ALMOST HOW THE DEVELOPER DOES IT; THE PRICE GUARANTEES THE COUNTY A HOUSE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE WAS REFERRING TO THE PROPERTIES NO BIDS WERE RECEIVED ON. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE OFFER EXPIRED WITH THE BID DEADLINE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED SHOULD THE BOARD LEAVE IT TO WHERE SOMEONE COULD BUY SOME OF THE PROPERTIES DURING THE TIME THEY ARE DECIDING WHAT ELSE THEY ARE WANTING TO DO WITH THE REMAINDER OF THE PROPERTIES.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD THE STATUTES REQUIRE THEY BE ADVERTISED AND OFFER THE PROPERTIES BY COMPETITIVE BID. COM- MISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED WOULDN'T THE PROPERTIES ADVERTISED WHERE SOMEONE COULD BID ON ONE PROPERTY OR ALL OF THEM; ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THEY WERE ADVERTISED AS COPE HAD SAID.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED COULDN'T THE BOARD LEAVE IT THAT WAY UNTIL THEY GET READY TO ADVERTISE THE PROPERTIES AGAIN; HE REALIZED THE BID DATE HAD EXPIRED BUT QUESTIONED IF THEY PUT THEM ON THE MARKET, COULD SOMEONE COME AND MAKE A BID ON IT.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED THEY COULD READVERTISE THE PROPERTIES JUST LIKE THEY DID AND LEAVE IT OPEN. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THEY COULDN'T LEAVE IT OPEN; THERE HAS TO BE A SPECIFIC BID DEADLINE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED CLERK COOK IF SHE HAD AN EMPLOYEE WHERE WHEN THEY GET A TAX DEED READY, SOMEONE GOES AND BUYS THEM. HE REFERRED TO SOMEBODY MAY HAVE ASKED TO BUY MORE TAX DEEDS BUT HER EMPLOYEE DIDN'T HAVE THEM READY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE HAD HEARD A LOT OF TALK ABOUT WHEN THE CLERK'S OFFICE GETS A TAX DEED WORKED UP AND READY, INDIVIDUALS COME IN AND BUY THEM.

CLERK COOK ADVISED THEY HAVE HAD PEOPLE CALL IN AND REQUEST SOME OF THE TAX DEEDS WHICH MAY NOT HAVE BEEN PREPARED; THE ADVERTISING FEES HAVE TO BE FIGURED IN BEFORE PEOPLE BUY THEM. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE TAX DEEDS HAVE TO BE ADVERTISED AND COULDN'T JUST BE SOLD TO AN INDIVIDUAL.

CLERK COOK ADVISED THE TAX DEEDS DO HAVE TO BE ADVERTISED WITH CORBIN SAYING AS THE TAX DEEDS BECOME AVAILABLE, PEOPLE COME IN AND SAID THEY WOULD TAKE CERTAIN PROPERTIES AND THE CLERK'S OFFICE PROCEEDED TO WORK IT UP AND THE PEOPLE BOUGHT THEM.

CLERK COOK ADVISED THEY HAVE SALE DATES ON THE TAX DEEDS. COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THERE HAVE BEEN A LOT MORE ATTENDANCE ON THE SALE DATES THAN THERE HAVE BEEN IN THE PAST.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO WAIT UNTIL THEY GET A RECOMMENDATION BACK ON READVERTISING THE SUNNY HILLS PROPERTIES, MAYBE THE SPRING OF THE YEAR, AND LOOK AT READVERTISING THE SUNNY HILLS PROPERTIES.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY REPORTED:

- A. THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT ON COWFORD ISLAND WAS APPROVED BY WALTON COUNTY; THERE ARE TWO ORIGINAL COPIES OF THE AGREEMENT SIGNED BY WALTON COUNTY. HE SAID THE BOARD NEEDED TO TAKE ACTION ON THE AGREEMENT AND ADDRESSED IT WAS SPLITTING IT DOWN THE SECTION LINE LIKE THEY HAD DISCUSSED PREVIOUSLY. WALTON COUNTY WOULD GET THE 80+ ACRE SIDE THAT HAS THE OLD PLAT ON IT THAT WAS FILED IN WALTON COUNTY; WASHINGTON COUNTY WOULD GET THE 102 ACRES THAT HAD NO PLAT ON IT. COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH WALTON COUNTY ON COWFORD ISLAND AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN IT.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED:

1. AT BUDGET TIME, LINDA NORTON, LIBRARIAN, HAD TALKED WITH THE BOARD ABOUT HIRING ANOTHER BRANCH MANAGER; SHE WANTED TO HOLD OFF UNTIL SHE SAW WHAT SHE WOULD BE RECEIVING IN STATE AID GRANT FUNDING. \$50,000 WAS BUDGETED FOR GRANT FUNDING AND \$82,000 WAS AWARDED; SHE IS WANTING TO PROCEED WITH ADVERTISING FOR BRANCH MANAGER.

AT BUDGET TIME, NORTON HAD ALSO DISCUSSED BREAKING OUT HER EMPLOYEES INTO DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATIONS RATHER THAN THEM ALL BEING LISTED AS BRANCH MANAGERS:

- A. CIRCULATION MANAGER CLASSIFIED AS G3
- B. CHILDREN SERVICE LIBRARIAN AS G4
- C. TECHNICAL LIBRARIAN AS G4
- D. BRANCH MANAGER AS G5
- E. BRANCH MANAGER WITH BACHELORS DEGREE AS G7

THE BREAK DOWN IN CLASSIFICATIONS WOULD ONLY AFFECT THE ENTRY LEVEL FOR NEW HIRES; SHE HAD ONE EMPLOYEE THAT WOULD NEED TO BE MOVED UP WHICH SHE COULD COVER WITH THE INCREASE IN GRANT AID. HERBERT RECOMMENDED CLASSIFYING THE LIBRARY EMPLOYEES UNDER THE CLASSIFICATIONS PROPOSED BY LINDA NORTON. COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF HERBERT'S RECOMMENDATION.

2. THE BOARD HAD REQUESTED COMMISSIONER FINCH DO SOME RESEARCH ON THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT, THE BUILDING OFFICIAL POSITION AND THE CONTRACT. RECENTLY THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF QUESTIONS ON THE POLE BARNs BEING BUILT AROUND THE COUNTY; BETTER BUILT FEELS THEY ARE BEING HELD TO A DIFFERENT STANDARD THAN OTHER CONTRACTORS AND BUILDERS IN THE COUNTY. BETTER BUILT IS BEING REQUIRED TO PULL A PERMIT ON ALL THEIR

STRUCTURES AND OTHER POLE BARN ARE BEING BUILT WITHOUT A PERMIT. THE ONES WITHOUT A PERMIT DO NOT RUN INTO A PROBLEM UNTIL THEY WANT TO CLOSE THE POLE BARN IN AND RUN ELECTRICITY TO IT; SINCE THEY DIDN'T PULL A PERMIT TO BEGIN WITH, THEY ARE NOT GETTING THE PERMITS THEY NEED TO DO THAT. HERBERT ADVISED THAT LLOYD POWELL AND WILLIE VAUGHN WERE PRESENT AND HE WANTED TO BRING IT TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION IF THERE WAS SOME WAY THEY COULD INSTRUCT THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT ON SOME WAY TO HANDLE THE POLE BARN ISSUES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE BIGGEST ISSUE WAS THE UNCERTAINTY ON THE DIRECTION TO GO; BETTER BUILT SAYS ALL THEIR POLE BARN ARE ENGINEERED AND THEY GET PERMITS AND QUESTIONED IF THEY WERE LEGALLY ALLOWED TO BUILD A POLE BARN WITHOUT A PERMIT THAT IS NOT ENGINEERED. IS IT ALRIGHT FOR SOMEONE TO PURCHASE A POLE BARN KIT AND HIRE A NON-LICENSED CONTRACTOR TO PUT IT UP.

POWELL REPORTED THE ONLY POLE BARN EXEMPT ARE FOR AGRICULTURE; IF A POLE BARN IS PUT UP FOR A GARAGE OR ON PROPERTY THAT IS NOT AGRICULTURE, IT HAS TO BE PERMITTED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO CASES WHERE THE POLE BARN WERE NOT ON AGRICULTURE PROPERTY AND THEY WERE NOT PERMITTED; POWELL SAID THAT WAS PROBABLY TRUE AND THERE WAS QUITE A BIT OF STUFF THAT GOES ON THAT IS NOT CAUGHT. POWELL REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER FINCH REPORTING SEVERAL HE REQUESTED BE FOLLOWED UP ON.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE HAD REPORTED SOME INSTANCES TO BE FOLLOWED UP ON. POWELL ADVISED THEY DO FOLLOW UP AND IF THEY CATCH SOMEBODY PUTTING A POLE BARN ON PROPERTY THAT IS NOT AGRICULTURE, THEY REQUIRE THE PERSON TO GET IT PERMITTED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE WAS NOT SUGGESTING TO DO IT ONE WAY OR THE OTHER BUT IT NEEDS DISCUSSING; IF THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT CATCHES SOMEONE PUTTING UP A POLE BARN, THEY DON'T HAVE A PERMIT BUT AGREE TO GO AND GET ONE, HOW CAN THEY DO THIS IF IT IS NOT AGRICULTURAL.

POWELL EXPLAINED A PERSON HAS TO GO THROUGH THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT JUST LIKE EVERYONE ELSE IF THEY ARE CAUGHT PUTTING UP A POLE BARN THAT IS NOT ON AGRICULTURAL PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A FINE LINE BETWEEN AGRICULTURAL AND OTHER CLASSIFICATIONS; SOME MAY START OUT AS AGRICULTURE AND EVOLVE INTO OTHER THINGS.

POWELL ADVISED A PERSON HAS TO HAVE TEN ACRES OR MORE TO EVEN QUALIFY AS AGRICULTURE; A POLE BARN FOR FARM EQUIPMENT IS FOR FARM EQUIPMENT OR HAY STORAGE, ETC. AND NOT FOR ANYTHING ELSE THAT DON'T QUALIFY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH POINTED OUT A PERSON COULD PARK THEIR FARM EQUIPMENT UNDER A POLE BARN FOR A WEEK OR TWO AND THEN START SELLING PRODUCE OUT OF IT. POWELL AGREED AND SAID THERE ARE FINE LINES ON ALL KIND OF BUILDINGS AND PEOPLE DO CHANGE RULES IN MID STREAM SOMETIMES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH EXPLAINED IT OUGHT TO BE IF SOMEONE WENT AND PURCHASED A POLE BARN KIT, IT WASN'T ENGINEERED BUT THEY GOT A PERMIT, THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO TELL THEM WHAT THEY WOULD NEED TO DO TO ENGINEER IT TO THE POINT THEY COULD ENCLOSE IT. POWELL SAID THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT WOULD REQUIRE PERSONS IF THEY WANT TO ENCLOSE A POLE BARN TO GET AN ENGINEER TO COME OUT AND TELL THEM WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO TO BRING IT UP TO CODE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE THOUGHT WHAT WAS HAPPENING WAS PEOPLE WERE GOING OUT AND BUYING THE POLE BARN KITS AND HIRING UNLICENSED PEOPLE TO PUT THEM UP. POWELL ADDRESSED THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT COULD NOTIFY THE STATE WORKERS COMP PEOPLE TO COME TO THE COUNTY AND CHECK THE PERMITS AND JOB SITES; IF THEY CATCH A PERSON CONTRACTING WITHOUT A LICENSE, THEY WILL FINE THEM.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED HOW IT COULD BE POLICED WHEN SOMEBODY IS JUST HELPING SOMEONE BUILD A POLE BARN; POWELL SAID HE IS NOT A POLICING AGENCY FOR AN INSURANCE COMPANY AND ALL A CONTRACTOR HAS TO DO IS SHOW THE BUILDING

DEPARTMENT PROOF OF INSURANCE TO PULL A PERMIT. HOWEVER, IF A PERSON GOES OUT AND PUTS UP A POLE BARN AND HIRES SOMEONE TO HELP THEM, HE IS NOT GOING TO GO OUT THERE AND QUESTION PEOPLE ON THEIR JOB TO SEE IF THEY ARE PAYING WORKERS COMPENSATION.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED HOW IT WOULD BE IF THE COUNTY REQUIRED A PERMIT FOR ALL POLE BARN AND WAIVERED THE FEE FOR AGRICULTURAL PROPERTY IN ORDER TO HAVE A RECORD OF WHERE POLE BARN ARE AND WHEN THEY ARE BEING PUT UP.

POWELL REFERRED TO THERE BEING A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO COME IN TO PUT UP POLE BARN ON AGRICULTURE AND ARE QUESTIONING IF THEY NEED TO PULL A PERMIT; A LOT OF THEM WANTS TO PURCHASE A PERMIT BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO HIRE A CONTRACTOR TO PUT IT UP AND THEY WANT TO MAKE SURE THEIR INSURANCE WILL COVER THE POLE BARN IF SOMETHING HAPPENED TO IT.

COMMISSIONER COPE ALSO REFERRED TO THE NEED TO HAVE A PERMIT FOR ALL TYPES OF BUILDINGS AND CONSTRUCTION JUST TO BE ABLE TO HAVE A RECORD OF IT WHETHER THEY CHARGE FOR IT OR NOT; HE ADDRESSED THE 500' EXEMPTION.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED THE POSSIBILITY OF HAVING ONE PRICE PERMIT FOR PERSONS BUILDING THEMSELVES AND ONE PRICE FOR THOSE CONTRACTING OUT THE BUILDING. POWELL SAID IT WOULD BE THE SAME PERMIT REGARDLESS; IF A PERSON PULLS A PERMIT AS A HOMEOWNER, THEY SIGN AN AFFIDAVIT THEY WILL NOT SELL THEIR HOME FOR A YEAR AFTER THEY GET A C.O.E. AS THIS IS STATE LAW.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID ALL PERMITS SHOULD BE THE SAME PRICE REGARDLESS IF THE PERMIT IS PULLED BY THE HOMEOWNER OR A CONTRACTOR; POWELL ADVISED IT WAS.

SAPP SAID BY HAVING A PERMIT, IT WOULD GIVE THE LANDOWNER KNOWLEDGE IF A CONTRACTOR HAS PROPER INSURANCE, ETC, AND THEY PULL THE PERMIT, THEY WOULD BE PROPERLY COVERED.

POWELL REFERRED TO AN INCIDENT IN THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY WHERE A HOMEOWNER PULLED A PERMIT, A PERSON FELL OFF THE ROOF AND IT KILLED HIM. HE ADDRESSED THE HOMEOWNER NOT HAVING INSURANCE AND THE ONLY THING THAT SAVED THEM FROM LOSING EVERYTHING WAS WHEN OSHA CAME IN, THE SUB-CONTRACTOR DOING THE CONTRACT WORK HAPPENED TO HAVE WORKERS COMP AND IT COVERED THE PERSON.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF HE PUT UP A POLE BARN ON AGRICULTURAL PROPERTY, WAS HE REQUIRED TO DO THE SAME THINGS A CONTRACTOR WOULD DO IF HE WERE PUTTING UP A POLE BARN LIKE PUTTING CONCRETE AROUND THE POSTS, ETC. POWELL ADVISED THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT DOESN'T INSPECT POLE BARN ON AGRICULTURAL PROPERTY BUT HE WOULD RECOMMEND A PERSON PUT CONCRETE AROUND THE POSTS.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE COULD UNDERSTAND IF A PERSON HAS A POLE BARN ON FIVE OR TEN ACRES AND A WIND COMES ALONG AND BLOWS IT INTO THEIR NEIGHBORS HOME; HOWEVER, IF A PERSON OWNS 200 ACRES AND THE POLE BARN IS IN THE MIDDLE OF THE ACREAGE, IT IS NOT SUBJECT TO BLOW INTO SOMEBODY ELSE'S PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED HOW MUCH CAN AN OWNER DO HIMSELF AS FAR AS A COMMERCIAL BUILDING. POWELL ADVISED A PERSON COULD BUILD A COMMERCIAL BUILDING VALUED UP TO \$25,000.

COMMISSIONER FINCH THEN QUESTIONED WHAT IF A PERSON BUILT A COMMERCIAL BUILDING VALUED UP TO \$25,000 AND THEN WAITED A YEAR, COULD THAT PERSON THEN BUILD ANOTHER \$25,000 COMMERCIAL BUILDING. POWELL ADVISED THEY COULD.

ED PELLETIER ADDRESSED SOME OF THE POLE BARN BEING PUT UP WITH COUNTY INMATE CREWS. POWELL ADVISED THE COUNTY WOULD BE PUTTING THESE UP AND IT IS ON COUNTY PROPERTY WITH PELLETIER ADVISING ALL OF THEM WERE NOT.

POWELL ADVISED HE HOPED THE INMATE CREWS WEREN'T PUTTING THEM UP ON PRIVATE PROPERTY. ED WAS ADDRESSING POLE BARN BEING PUT UP AT FIRE DEPARTMENTS BY COUNTY INMATE CREWS AND IT IS REALLY NOT COUNTY PROPERTY. IT WAS QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS A PERMIT PULLED FOR THESE POLE BARN AND IF THERE WASN'T, HOW WAS THE COUNTY GOING TO REQUIRE PEOPLE TO PULL A PERMIT, IF THEY AREN'T.

POWELL ADDRESSED WHEN DAVID CORBIN, PARK AND RECREATION, DOES WORK FOR THE COUNTY, HE PULLS A PERMIT; THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT IS IN LINE TO DO UP TO A \$25,000 PROJECT WITHOUT A PERMIT BUT ANYTHING OVER THAT, THEY WOULD HAVE TO HIRE A BUILDING CONTRACTOR.

ED ADVISED THE INMATE CREWS PUT UP COUNTRY OAKS FIRE DEPARTMENT'S POLE BARN; HOWEVER, ORANGE HILL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT PAID FOR IT.

MYRON PIERCE, CHIEF OF THE FIVE POINTS FIRE DEPARTMENT, UPDATED THE BOARD ON ORANGE HILL SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT PAYING FOR A POLE BARN TO BE PUT UP IN THE POPLAR HEAD AREA TO BE USED AS A SUB-STATION BECAUSE THERE IS ABOUT 25 SQUARE MILES, AS FAR AS THEIR INSURANCE IS CONCERNED, WHERE PEOPLE DON'T HAVE FIRE PROTECTION. SINCE THEN, PIERCE SAID THE FIRE DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN TRYING TO CLOSE IN THE POLE BARN TO STORE THEIR EQUIPMENT. HE REITERATED THE FIRE DEPARTMENT HAD A POLE BARN THAT WAS DONATED TO THEM THAT IS ON A 100 YEAR LEASE TO THEM; THEM AND COUNTRY OAKS IS RUNNING INTO THE SAME PROBLEM WITH ENCLOSING THE POLE BARN. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE POLE BARN BEING PUT UP AND WHEN THEY WENT TO GET THE PLUMBING, THEY HAD TO HAVE A PERMIT AND THE BUILDING INSPECTOR ADVISED THEY DIDN'T GET A PERMIT FOR THE POLE BARN FROM THEM. THEREFORE, STRICKLAND SAID THEY HAD BEEN BACK AND FORTH FOR ABOUT NINE MONTHS TRYING TO GET THE POLE BARN WIND RESISTANT IN ORDER TO GET A PERMIT.

PIERCE SAID WHAT HE HAD ENDED UP DOING WAS GETTING A PERMIT TO BUILD AN outhouse UNTIL HE CAN GET A SEPTIC TANK AND WATER FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT; IT WILL BE OUTSIDE THE POLE BARN.

COMMISSIONER COPE EXPLAINED IF A PERMIT HAD BEEN PULLED IN THE BEGINNING, THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS WOULDN'T BE HAVING ALL THESE PROBLEMS.

PIERCE DISAGREED AND SAID THEY WOULD STILL HAVE THE PROBLEM BECAUSE THE INMATES BUILT THE POLE BARN; SINCE THEY BUILT IT, THE FIRE DEPARTMENT DON'T HAVE ANY ENGINEER THAT WILL SIGN OFF ON IT.

POWELL ADVISED PIERCE WHOMEVER SOLD THE FIRE DEPARTMENT THE POLE BARN SHOULD HAVE MADE SURE IT WAS ENGINEERED. PIERCE ADVISED THE POLE BARN DONATED TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IS THE SAME POLE BARN THAT IS ENGINEERED; HOWEVER, THE ENGINEER WANTS TO SEE THEM BUY THEIR PLANS TO PUT IT UP AND THE ENGINEER WANTS TO SEE IT BEING PUT UP BEFORE THEY SIGN IT.

POWELL SAID HE DIDN'T THINK AN ENGINEER CARES WHO PUTS UP THE POLE BARN AS ALL HE DOES IS DESIGN IT. POWELL ADVISED HE DIDN'T THINK THE BIG BEAMS, ETC. THE FIRE DEPARTMENT HAS BOUGHT AND PUT UP IS ENGINEERED TO MEET THE WINDLOAD. PIERCE REITERATED THE POLE BARN THAT WAS PURCHASED WAS ENGINEERED; HOWEVER, THEY WANT SIGN OFF ON IT BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T SEE IT PUT UP.

COMMISSIONER SAPP RECOMMENDED PELLETIER AND PIERCE GET WITH THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT OUTSIDE THE MEETING ON THESE ISSUES AS THE BOARD IS DEALING WITH OTHER BUILDING ISSUES.

COMMISSIONER COPE REITERATED THE NEED FOR EVERYTHING TO BE PERMITTED EVEN IF THE FEE IS WAIVERED ON CERTAIN STRUCTURES; BY DOING THIS, THE BUILDING INSPECTOR CAN INSPECT THE STRUCTURES TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE BEING BUILT RIGHT.

POWELL SAID ON THESE POLE BARN ISSUES, IF IT IS NOT PERMITTED, HE CAN'T PUT HIS NAME ON SOMETHING STATING IT IS ENGINEERED AND WAS BUILT TO MEET THE CODES; HE ADDRESSED THE NEED TO MAKE SURE WHEN THE POLE BARN ARE PUT UP IN ANY AREA THEY GET ENGINEERED TRUSSES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SUGGESTED HAVING STEPS FOR PERSONS TO TAKE THAT WOULD MEET ALL THE CODES AND REQUIREMENTS TO ENCLOSE A POLE BARN THAT WAS NOT ENGINEERED WHEN IT WAS PUT UP.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED IF THE BUILDING INSPECTOR GOES AND ALL THE CODES AND REQUIREMENTS ARE MET, HE CAN SIGN OFF ON THE POLE BARN. POWELL AGREED AND HE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY BETTER BUILT WOULDN'T SIGN OFF ON A SET OF PLANS AS THEY HAVE ENGINEERED PLANS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID MARK ODOM OF BETTER BUILT BUILDINGS DID HAVE ENGINEERED PLANS; HOWEVER, HIS GRIPE WAS HIS BUILDINGS ARE ENGINEERED BUT PEOPLE ARE ALLOWED TO PUT UP BUILDINGS WITHOUT THERE BEING ENGINEERED PLANS, DON'T BUY A PERMIT, ETC. UNTIL THEY ARE CITED TO DO IT. FINCH ADDRESSED HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE BOARD TO HAVE SOME KIND OF UNDERSTANDING OR DIRECTION ON WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO; THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME KIND OF WRITTEN WAY TO FOLLOW TO BE ABLE TO KNOW



WHEN THEY LEAVE THE MEETING, THEY UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY WILL BE DOING. HE EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T KNOW THAT AT THIS POINT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE FOR ANY BUILDINGS CURRENTLY EXEMPT FROM PERMITTING, BE REQUIRED TO GET A PERMIT BUT THE FEES BE WAIVERED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED POWELL ON HOW THE \$25,000 FEE IS ARRIVED AT FOR PERSONS TO BE ABLE TO BUILD THEIR OWN COMMERCIAL BUILDING. POWELL EXPLAINED THEY TAKE THE SQUARE FOOTAGE OF THE BUILDING AND USE A FORMULA THAT IS SET BY THE STATE USED TO DETERMINE THE COST OF THE BUILDING.

FINCH SAID HE COULD DO WHAT HE WANTED TO DO FOR \$25,000 IF HE COULD SHOW THE RECEIPTS AND WHAT IT COST HIM; HOWEVER, WITH THE FORMULA USED BY THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT, HE CAN BUILD VERY LITTLE. POWELL REITERATED THE FORMULA WAS SET BY THE STATE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO PRICES HAVING BEEN CHECKED IN DIFFERENT SURROUNDING COUNTIES AND THEY WERE DIFFERENT THAN WASHINGTON COUNTY'S PRICES. POWELL ADDRESSED A LOT OF THE COUNTIES ARE BEGINNING TO CHANGE NOW AND UPGRADE THEIR PERMIT FEES; THE BOARD CAN UPGRADE THEIR PERMIT FEES.

WILLIE VAUGHN, BUILDING INSPECTOR, REQUESTED THE BOARD RESTATE THEIR MOTION AND PROVIDED THEM WITH A COPY OF THE STATE STATUTES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN INFORMED VAUGHN OF HIS MOTION AND ADVISED THE BUILDINGS WOULDN'T HAVE TO BE INSPECTED BUT BY REQUIRING PERMITTING, THERE WOULD BE A RECORD OF THE STRUCTURES.

VAUGHN ADVISED THE BOARD THERE WAS A LAND USE CERTIFICATE ALREADY IN EFFECT NOW WHICH COMES THROUGH THE PLANNING OFFICE; EVERYONE WHO IS GOING TO BE DOING CONSTRUCTION HAS TO FILL OUT A LAND USE APPLICATION TO MAKE SURE THEY MEET THE SET BACK CODES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO THE PERSONS NEVER COMING IN BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO IF THEY WERE EXEMPT FROM THE PERMITTING; HOWEVER, WITH THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR, ALL CONSTRUCTION WILL REQUIRE PERMITTING BUT THOSE CURRENTLY EXEMPT WILL HAVE THE PERMITTING FEE WAIVERED.

AFTER COMMISSIONER CORBIN GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF HIM PUTTING UP A STORAGE BUILDING AND DECIDED HE WANTED TO PUT AN OVERHANG ON IT TO PARK HIS TRUCK UNDER; ALL HE IS GOING TO DO IS PUT DOWN SOME POSTS, PUT SOME TIN ON TOP OF IT FOR PROTECTION FOR HIS VEHICLE. CORBIN EXPLAINED AT THE PRESENT TIME IT IS EXEMPT; HOWEVER, IT WON'T BE EXEMPT FROM A PERMIT IN THE FUTURE BUT THE PERMIT FEE WILL BE WAIVERED.

POWELL REITERATED IT IS NOT EXEMPT NOW IF IT IS NOT AGRICULTURE; IF CORBIN WERE TO PUT UP A POLE BARN ON HIS LOT TO PUT HIS VEHICLE UNDER, IT REQUIRES A PERMIT. COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED HIM LIVING ON A FARM.

WILLIE UPDATED THE BOARD ON AN INCIDENT IN WAUSAU WHERE HE CAME UP ON A PERSON PUTTING UP A POLE BARN; HE QUESTIONED IF IT WAS A FARM. THE PERSON INFORMED HIM THE MAN BEHIND THE PROPERTY WAS LEASING THE PROPERTY TO HIS BOSS; WILLIE TOLD THE PERSON THEIR BOSS WOULD NEED TO DO A LAND USE APPLICATION TO SEE HOW THE PROPERTY WAS ZONED TO SEE IF THE POLE BARN COULD BE PUT ON THE PROPERTY. WILLIE EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T STOP THE PROGRESS ON THE POLE BARN BUT REQUESTED THE PERSON TELL HIS BOSS TO CONTACT HIM TO DETERMINE IF HE NEEDS TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR A PERMIT. THE POLE BARN WAS UP THE NEXT TIME HE WENT THROUGH WAUSAU AND WAS BEING EQUIPPED WITH ELECTRICITY AND WATER; HE STOPPED AND THE PERSON TOLD HIM THE BOSS WAS ALREADY COMING IN AND APPLYING FOR A PERMIT AND DOING IT WITH AN ENGINEERING BUILDING MEETING THE 110 MPH WINDLOAD. WILLIE EXPLAINED THIS HAS TO HAPPEN ON THE COMMERCIAL PIECE OF PROPERTY; HOWEVER, ON A FARM, AFTER THEY GO UNDER 10 ACRES AND IT IS NOT A BONA FIDE FARM, THE PERSON HAS TO PERMIT IT AND GO THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS. VAUGHN PROVIDED A COPY OF THE STATE STATUTES TO THE BOARD AND TOLD THEM IF THEY HAD ANY QUESTIONS AFTER REVIEWING THEM, BE SPECIFIC ON THE THINGS THEY NEED TO BE KNOWLEDGABLE ABOUT AND HE WOULD DO WHATEVER THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT NEEDS TO DO TO HAVE A SYSTEM DOWN BEFORE THEY PASS ANY LAWS OR ANY AMENDMENTS TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE DOING THE RIGHT THINGS.

FINCH QUESTIONED THERE HAVING TO BE TEN ACRES TO BE A BONA FIDE FARM; VAUGHN INFORMED THE BOARD LINDA WALLER HAD PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION TO HIM.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING WRONG WITH HIM BRINGING IN A PREFAB BUILDING LIKE THE ONES FROM BEST WAY BUILDERS AND SET IT ON HIS COMMERCIAL LOT; WOULD HE HAVE TO HAVE A PERMIT. POWELL ADVISED HE THOUGHT BEST WAY HAD TO GET A PERMIT BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO ANCHOR THEM DOWN; HOWEVER, HE WAS NOT FOR SURE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH THEN QUESTIONED IF IT WOULD BE PERMISSABLE IF HE COULD GET A PERMIT THAT SAID ANCHORED, HE COULD PUT IN A STORAGE BUILDING. POWELL SAID IF THE BUILDING WAS OVER 500 SQUARE FEET, IT WOULD HAVE TO BE PERMITTED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH THEN QUESTIONED IF THERE WOULD BE ANYTHING WRONG WITH HIM BRINGING IN A PREFAB BUILDING IF IT IS LESS THAN 500 SQUARE FEET, SET IT ON HIS COMMERCIAL PROPERTY, THEN WAIT A YEAR AND PUT ANOTHER ONE LESS THAN 500 SQUARE FEET ON IT. POWELL ADVISED THERE WAS NO LAW AGAINST THAT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THIS BEING THINGS EVERYBODY NEEDS TO KNOW WITH POWELL REQUESTING THE BOARD PUT AN ARTICLE IN THE PAPER TO COVER BUILDING DEPARTMENT ISSUES; THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT WILL PROVIDE INFORMATION TO THE NEWSPAPER IF THEY WILL COME BY THEIR OFFICE.

POWELL EXPLAINED TO THE BOARD BY HAVING EVERYBODY GET A PERMIT FOR ALL CONSTRUCTION WOULD RUN THE COST UP FOR THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT BY HAVING A LOT MORE INFORMATION ON FILE; THE COUNTY WOULD ALSO HAVE TO LOOK AT HIRING ANOTHER BUILDING INSPECTOR BECAUSE THEY WOULD BE DOING SO MANY MORE INSPECTIONS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF THE PERSONS ARE EXEMPT NOW, REQUIRE THEM TO GET A PERMIT BUT LEAVE THEM EXEMPT FROM THE INSPECTIONS. POWELL ADVISED ANYTHING PERMITTED WOULD NEED TO BE INSPECTED.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY INFORMED THE BOARD THERE WOULD BE A LIABILITY ISSUE IF SOMETHING WAS PERMITTED AND NOT INSPECTED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND COPE WITHDREW THEIR MOTION AND SECOND.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS ANY WAY THEY COULD SIMPLIFY THE PERMITTING AND STREAMLINE IT. HE ADDRESSED COMPLAINTS HE HAS RECEIVED ON HAVING TO GO AROUND THE WORLD TO GET A BUILDING PERMIT DUE TO HAVING TO GET A DRIVEWAY PERMIT, 911 PERMIT, SEPTIC TANK PERMIT, ETC.

POWELL ADDRESSED THE POSSIBILITY OF HAVING A WORKSHOP TO POSSIBLY COME UP WITH IDEAS ON HOW TO MINIMIZE OR STREAMLINE THE PERMITTING PROCESS.

ED PELLETIER AGREED THE PERMITTING PROCESS WAS A RUNAROUND; FIRST A PERSON HAS TO GET A LAND USE, THEN GO TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT, ETC. HE SUGGESTED HAVING ALL THE PERMITS REQUIRED PACKAGED AND PICKED UP AT THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT.

PELLETIER ALSO MENTIONED NOT BEING ABLE TO GET POWER TO A MOBILE HOME UNTIL EVERYTHING IS TIED DOWN, ETC.; HOWEVER, IF HE IS BUILDING A HOUSE, HE CAN GET A 60 DAY TEMPORARY PERMIT. HE ADDRESSED MOBILE HOMES NEEDING TO BE ABLE TO GET A TEMPORARY PERMIT ALSO.

POWELL ADVISED THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT COULD ISSUE MOBILE HOMES A 90 DAY TEMPORARY PERMIT AND POINTED OUT IT WOULD COST EXTRA.

COPE SAID HIS POINT WAS TO TRY AND STREAMLINE THE PERMITTING PROCESS SO THE AVERAGE PERSON CAN COME, DO WHAT THEY NEED TO GET THE NECESSARY PERMITTING AND BE SATISFIED WHEN THEY LEAVE.

POWELL OFFERED TO HOLD A WORKSHOP AND STREAMLINE THE PERMITTING PROCESS ANY WAY THEY CAN; THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE BUILDING PERMITTING IS ALL STATE MANDATED.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED WHAT THE BOARD WAS WANTING ACCOMPLISHED WAS FOR EVERYBODY OPERATING IN THE BUILDING INDUSTRY ON THE SAME SET OF GUIDELINES WHETHER THEY ARE A CONTRACTOR OR NOT.

WILLIE EXPLAINED THE NEED TO BE EXTREMELY CAREFUL WHEN ISSUING TEMPORARY POWER AND REFERRED TO ANOTHER INCIDENT HE WAS INVOLVED IN WHEN HE WENT TO A MOBILE HOME; POWER IS NOT SUPPOSE TO BE TURNED UNTIL THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT INSPECTS IT, OKAYS IT AND NOTIFIES THE POWER COMPANY. WHEN HE WENT TO INSPECT THIS PARTICULAR MOBILE HOME, AN ELECTRICIAN HAD HOOKED UP THE POWER AND WHEN

GOING AROUND DOING HIS INSPECTION, HE COULD HAVE BEEN KILLED DUE TO HIM NOT KNOWING THE POWER WAS ON UNTIL HE HEARD THE REFRIGERATOR CLICK ON.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THERE SHOULD BE SOMETHING THAT COULD BE DONE WHERE THE CONTRACTOR COULD BE ENCOURAGED WITH A FINE SO AN INCIDENT LIKE WILLIE ADDRESSED WOULDN'T HAPPEN ANYMORE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH INTRODUCED JACK PRICE, CERTIFIED BUILDING INSPECTOR AND EXPLAINED PRICE DIDN'T HAVE HIS PROVISIONAL LICENSE BUT HAS TOLD HIM HE COULD GET THEM. COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED WITH THE GROWTH THAT IS GOING ON IN THE COUNTY, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO CONSIDER ANOTHER BUILDING INSPECTOR.

PRICE UPDATED THE BOARD ON HE AND HIS WIFE HAVING OWNED PROPERTY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY SINCE 1985, BUILT A HOME IN 1995 AND HOPE TO MOVE HERE IN THREE TO SIX MONTHS. HE ADDRESSED HIM HAVING BEEN INTERVIEWED FOR THE BUILDING INSPECTOR POSITION AT THE TIME WILLIE VAUGHN WAS SELECTED. AFTER THAT, HE DECIDED HE WOULD GO OUT AND GET HIS BUILDING INSPECTOR LICENSE WHICH HE GOT IN NOVEMBER AND HE IS SCHEDULED TO TAKE THE PLANS EXAM IN MARCH; WHEN HE WAS SCHEDULING FOR THE PLANS EXAM, HE WAS ADVISED HE WAS QUALIFIED TO TAKE THE BUILDING OFFICIAL EXAM AS WELL.

PRICE ADVISED HE WAS INTERESTED IN WORKING WITH THE COUNTY BUILDING DEPARTMENT IN WHATEVER CAPACITY THEY MAY NEED HIM AND IS ON TRACK OF BECOMING A CERTIFIED BUILDING OFFICIAL WITHIN A YEAR OR SO. PRICE ADDRESSED HIM HAVING TALKED WITH COMMISSIONER FINCH AND COMMISSIONER CORBIN A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THIS AS WELL AS LLOYD POWELL.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE HAD NOT SPOKEN TO PRICE ABOUT THE BUILDING OFFICIAL POSITION; HE HAS KNOWN JACK SINCE 1985 AND SAID HE WAS A FINE MAN AND HAD A NUMBER OF QUALIFICATIONS.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM, ROGER HAGAN, ROBERT HARCUS, DALLAS CARTER AND DEBBIE RILEY HAD DISCUSSED THE NEED FOR MORE BARROW PITS. THEY LOOKED AT THEIR FEMA PROJECTS AND WHAT THEY ARE BEING REIMBURSED FOR THEIR FILL MATERIAL; THERE SHOULD BE \$325,000 REIMBURSED TO THE COUNTY FROM HURRICANE IVAN FOR FILL MATERIAL AND THEY FELT IT SHOULD BE USED TOWARD THE PURCHASE OF A NEW BARROW PIT. EVEN THOUGH THEY REALIZED THIS WOULDN'T BE ENOUGH FUNDING TO PURCHASE A LARGE ENOUGH PARCEL, THEY WERE HOPING THE SALE OF PROPERTY IN SUNNY HILLS WOULD HAVE WENT BETTER AND THEY WOULD HAVE SOME FUNDS AVAILABLE THERE THEY COULD MATCH WITH THE IVAN FUNDS.

HERBERT REPORTED THAT HAGAN AND HARCUS HAD TALKED WITH A PROPERTY OWNER ON THE WEST SIDE THAT IS NEAR THE ST. MARYS PIT WHO IS INTERESTED IN SELLING SOME OF HER PROPERTY. HE ADDRESSED SINCE THE COUNTY DIDN'T GET ANY BIDS ON THE SUNNY HILLS PROPERTY, HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE BOARD WOULD BE READY TO CONTINUE NEGOTIATIONS ON THIS PROPERTY OR NOT.

THE SUPERVISORS HAVE ALSO BEEN LOOKING AT LAND ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE COUNTY FOR A BARROW PIT; ON HERO ROAD, THERE IS ABOUT A TWENTY ACRE PIT THE COUNTY OWNS AND NEEDS TO GET THE MATERIALS TESTED TO SEE IF IT IS SUITABLE MATERIAL.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REPORTED HE HAD INSTRUCTED DALLAS TO USE THE MATERIALS OUT OF THE HERO ROAD PIT FOR THE COUNTRY OAKS AREA AND OTHER AREAS NEAR THE PIT.

HERBERT REPORTED ON THERE STILL BEING MATERIALS IN THE GILBERT PIT; HOWEVER, THERE WILL BE A LOT OF LOADS ON THE SOUTH BOULEVARD PROJECT AND THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED HIM BEING SURE DIRT OUT OF THE GILBERT PIT WOULD BE USED IF THE COUNTY HAS ANY OBLIGATION FOR DIRT ON THE NEW CONSTRUCTION GOING ON DOWN THERE. HE REMINDED THE BOARD THERE WAS STILL 21' OF GOOD MATERIAL LEFT IN THE GILBERT PIT; HOWEVER, THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE AN EXCAVATOR TO GET IT. HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAD IT CORED BY FL-DOT AND THERE IS STILL 21' OF GOOD MATERIAL LEFT IN THE PIT.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE ACREAGE FOR SALE NEAR THE ST. MARY'S PIT WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN RECOMMENDING GETTING THE PROPERTY CORED BEFORE MAKING AN

OFFER ON IT. COMMISSIONER COPE REFERRED TO THE PROPERTY FROM THE ST. MARYS PIT BEING GOOD MATERIALS AND FELT LIKE THIS PROPERTY WOULD BE JUST AS GOOD.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED HIM HAVING SEEN THE STRATEGY CHANGE WITHIN A 100' AS FAR AS THE SUITABILITY OF BARROW PIT MATERIALS.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID WITH THE FUNDS BEING TALKED ABOUT, IT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED IN PREVIOUS MEETINGS ABOUT THE NEED TO PURCHASE AN EXCAVATOR TO RECLAIM THE DIRT OUT OF THE GILBERT PIT RATHER THAN DIGGING MORE PITS AND RUINING MORE PROPERTIES. HE SUGGESTED LOOKING AT PURCHASING ONE EXCAVATOR AS IT WOULD BE MORE ADVANTAGEOUS TO THE COUNTY THAN PURCHASING MORE PROPERTY AND HAVING TO DO MORE CLEARING AND DESTRUCTING MORE SOIL. HE ADDRESSED EVEN IF THIS WOULD NOT BE A LONG TERM FIX, IT WOULD CERTAINLY BE A FIX FOR THE NEXT YEAR OR SO.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN POINTED OUT THERE MAY BE MORE DEPTH IN THE ST. MARYS PIT ALSO AND THE FL-DOT WOULD CORE IT FOR THE COUNTY FREE OF CHARGE. HE EXPLAINED ANYTIME THE COUNTY IS CONSIDERING PURCHASING LAND FOR A BARROW PIT OR ANYTIME THEY ARE CUTTING INTO AN EXISTING PIT, IT NEEDS CORING FOR DEPTH.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED HOW MUCH MORE DEPTH WAS LEFT IN THE HERO ROAD PIT. COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADVISED THERE WAS FIFTEEN OR MORE ACRES AND IT COULD BE HAULED ALL THE WAY INTO HIS DISTRICT ALL THE WAY UP TO GILBERT MILL; HOWEVER, IT WOULD BE A PRETTY LONG HAUL AND THERE ARE A LOT OF DIRT ROADS TO GO OVER TO GET THERE.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE HERO ROAD PIT WOULD BE A SHORT HAUL FOR COUNTRY OAKS AND THIS IS WHERE A LOT OF MATERIALS IS GOING TO BE NEEDED. COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO ANYWHERE THEY HAUL FROM GILBERT PIT, EXCEPT IN COMMISSIONER CORBIN'S DISTRICT WOULD BE A LONG HAUL.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO LET ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT GET A PRICE ON RENTING A THREE YARD EXCAVATOR FOR SIX MONTHS AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD AT THEIR JANUARY 26TH MEETING.

HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON STATE BID PRICES ON PICKUP TRUCKS TO UPGRADE THE TRUCKS THE MEN ARE USING AT PUBLIC WORKS TO GET BACK AND FORTH TO THEIR EQUIPMENT. HE HAD DISCUSSED THIS WITH ROGER HAGAN AND THE TWO PUBLIC WORKS SUPERVISORS AND THEY CAME UP WITH THE FOLLOWING SCENARIO:

1. TWO NEW FOUR-WHEEL DRIVE TRUCKS FOR THE SUPERVISORS
2. ONE 3/4 TON TRUCK FOR THE SIGN SPECIALIST
3. FOUR PICKUP TRUCKS
4. SUPERVISORS AND SIGN SPECIALIST WOULD PASS THEIR TRUCKS DOWN AND PUT THEM ON THE LINE
5. THERE WOULD BE SEVEN TRUCKS ON THE LINE SO EVERYONE WOULD HAVE GOOD TRANSPORTATION. STATE BID PRICES:
  - A. CHEVROLET SILVERADOS: 4-TWO WHEEL DRIVE TRUCKS, 2-FOUR WHEEL DRIVE TRUCKS AND ONE 3/4 TON TRUCK; TOTAL STATE BID PRICE WOULD BE \$104,000

HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON LOOKING AT THE FEMA REIMBURSEMENT AND IT APPEARS THERE WILL BE \$113,000 REIMBURSEMENT FOR MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT TO SUBMIT ON THE MARCH REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST; THIS WOULD BE ENOUGH TO COVER THE COST OF THE VEHICLES.

HERBERT REPORTED COMMISSIONER CORBIN HAD REQUESTED HE LOOK AT THE PRICE OF FORD VEHICLES; ON THE STATE BID CONTRACT, THE 2-WHEEL DRIVE TRUCKS F-150 WERE ABOUT \$700 LESS AND THE 4-WHEEL DRIVE CHEVROLET TRUCKS WERE LESS THAN THE FORDS. HERBERT ADVISED HE DIDN'T KNOW WHY THEY WENT WITH ALL CHEVROLET'S; DON BOOP, SERVICE MANAGER AT ROAD AND BRIDGE, DID THE SPECS ON THE VEHICLES AND HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THERE WAS SOMETHING HE SAW THAT MADE HIM THINK CHEVROLET'S WERE BETTER.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED ROAD AND BRIDGE WAS JUST MAKING A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD BUT IT IS THE BOARD'S CHOICE ON WHAT TO PURCHASE.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE GOOD SERVICE THE COUNTY HAS GOTTEN OUT OF THE FORD TRUCKS THEY HAVE BEEN PURCHASING AND THE 2-WHEEL DRIVE FORD TRUCKS BEING CHEAPER THAN THE CHEVROLETS.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE WAS FOR THE SUPERVISORS AT PUBLIC WORKS WORKS HAVING GOOD VEHICLES BUT FELT THEY COULD GO TWO YEARS WITHOUT CHANGING TRUCKS; THIS WOULD SAVE INVESTING \$35,000 BY NOT PURCHASING THESE TWO VEHICLES. HE DIDN'T WANT TO LOOK AT SPENDING ALL THE FEMA MONIES FOR REOCCURRING VEHICLE EXPENSE; HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME OF IT SPENT FOR LONG TERM DEVELOPMENT ON PAVING.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF IT WAS MORE IMPORTANT TO HAVE A GOOD PIECE OF EQUIPMENT TO WORK ON ALL DAY LONG THAN IT IS TO HAVE A TRUCK TO RIDE ON THIRTY MINUTES A DAY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REFERRED TO ROGER HAGAN'S REPORT STATING THE PURCHASE OF THESE VEHICLES WOULDN'T INTERFERE WITH THE PURCHASE OF OTHER NEW EQUIPMENT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO PURCHASE FIVE FORD TRUCKS AND LEAVE OFF THE 2-FOUR WHEEL DRIVE TRUCKS.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON WHERE TO PUT THE FIVE TRUCKS. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REFERRED TO DAVID CORBIN HAVING ALWAYS GOTTEN A TRUCK THAT WAS PASSED DOWN FROM ANOTHER DEPARTMENT AND HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE HIM HAVE ONE OF THE NEW TRUCKS AND PUT HIS TRUCK ON THE LINE. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED FOR DAVID CORBIN TO GET ONE OF THE FIVE NEW TRUCKS AND PASS THE TRUCK HE IS NOW DRIVING TO ROAD AND BRIDGE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE FELT CHRIS LAWSON NEEDED THE 3/4 TON TRUCK DUE TO THE TRUCK HE IS DRIVING NOW BEING LOADED ALL THE TIME AND AS FAR AS THE OTHER THREE VEHICLES, HE DOESN'T HAVE A PREFERENCE.

ON THE VEHICLES BEING DISCARDED, COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED FOR PUBLIC WORKS TO BRING BACK A RECOMMENDATION ON THE EXCESS VEHICLES TO BE SOLD.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED ON THE RECYCLING CENTER REFURBISHING TWO OF THEIR BINS; DUE TO THE COST BEING OVER HIS \$5,000 APPROVAL LIMIT, HE REQUESTED BOARD APPROVAL TO PAY THE INVOICE TOTALLING \$5,200.

HERBERT REPORTED ON A LETTER FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE SUNNY HILLS CIVIC AND IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION, BARBARA BRANT; WHEN THEY HELD THEIR ELECTION, GLEN ZANETIC WAS UNOPPOSED FOR THE MSBU COORDINATOR POSITION. DUE TO THE BYLAWS OF THEIR ORDINANCE REQUIRING HE BE APPOINTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND THE SUNNY HILLS CIVIC AND IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION HAVING ELECTED HIM, HERBERT ADVISED THE BOARD WOULD NEED TO TAKE ACTION TO APPROVE OF ZANETIC'S APPOINTMENT AS MSBU COORDINATOR FOR ANOTHER FOUR YEAR TERM.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF ZANETIC'S APPOINTMENT AS MSBU COORDINATOR FOR ANOTHER FOUR YEAR TERM.

HERBERT REPORTED ON USSI JANITORIAL SERVICES WANTING TO INCREASE THEIR RATES DUE TO THE INCREASE IN THE MINIMUM WAGE; THEY STILL CLEAN THE PROBATION AND PAROLE AND STATE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. HE ADDRESSED THE LAST TIME USSI WENT UP ON THEIR RATES, THE BOARD CHOSE TO HAVE THE JANITORIAL SERVICES DONE INHOUSE FOR CERTAIN BUILDINGS. HE HAS SPOKEN TO DAVID CORBIN AND DAVID FEELS LIKE THE CLEANING CREW COULD HANDLE THESE TWO FACILITIES. HE THEN ADVISED OF THE INCREASE USSI IS REQUESTING WAS \$50 PER MONTH WHICH WILL MAKE THE TOTAL COST \$1,083.67 A MONTH.

COMMISSIONER COPE REPORTED ON HIM HAVING COMPLAINTS UNTIL THE COUNTY CREW STARTED DOING THE CLEANING SERVICES; HE HAS NOT HAD ANY COMPLAINTS SINCE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE NEWSPAPER ARTICLE ON THE DISSATISFACTION OF THE CLEANING SERVICES THE SCHOOL BOARD WAS BEING PROVIDED BY USSI.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE TODD ABBOT STAY BUT IF HE IS NOT DOING A GOOD JOB OR IS INCREASING HIS PRICE, THAT IS A DIFFERENT STORY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF THEY SHOULD GET TODD ABBOT AT A BOARD MEETING BEFORE MAKING A CHANGE OR IS IT WORTHWHILE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THE INMATE CREW DOING THE CLEANING DOING A GOOD JOB AND THE COUNTY COULD SAVE MONEY.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY ALREADY HAVING A CLEANING CREW, A SUPERVISOR, CLEANING SUPPLIES, VEHICLE AND THE INITIAL COSTS TAKEN CARE OF.

AS FOR AS COMPLAINTS FROM THE PROBATION AND PAROLE AND STATE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ON THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY USSI, HERBERT ADVISED HE HADN'T RECEIVED ANY IN A LONG TIME.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED CLERK COOK ON THE INMATE CREW CLEANING THE COURTHOUSE. COOK ADVISED SHE WAS SATISFIED WITH THE CLEANING BUT REFERRED TO AN INCIDENT WHERE THE CREW DIDN'T COME FOR A COUPLE OF DAYS DUE TO A DEATH IN THE INMATE SUPERVISOR'S FAMILY. SHE SAID SHE WAS UNDERSTANDING OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES BUT WOULD APPRECIATE IT IN THE FUTURE, IF SOMEONE WOULD LET HER KNOW IF THEY ARE NOT COMING TO CLEAN SO SHE CAN MAKE SURE SUPPLIES ARE AVAILABLE IF COURT IS GOING ON.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE NEED FOR THE BOARD TO BE CAREFUL IN USING THE CLEANING CREW SUPERVISOR IN OTHER AREAS; IF HE IS GOING TO CLEAN BUILDINGS, THIS IS ALL HE SHOULD BE DOING. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AGREED IF THE BOARD GIVES THE SUPERVISOR TWO MORE BUILDINGS TO CLEAN, HIS JOB SHOULD STRICTLY BE CLEANING OF BUILDINGS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO LET THE COUNTY CREW ASSUME THE CLEANING OF THE PROBATION AND PAROLE AND STATE ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY INFORMED THE BOARD THEY WOULD NEED TO GIVE USSI A 30 DAY NOTICE PER THEIR CONTRACTURAL AGREEMENT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO TALK TO ABBOT ABOUT THE CLEANING BEFORE TAKING ANY ACTION. COMMISSIONER SAPP ADVISED ABBOT WAS PROBABLY AWARE THIS ISSUE WAS GOING TO BE DISCUSSED TODAY.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADVISED THE LETTER WAS NOT FROM ABBOT BUT FROM THE CFO OF USSI AND HE WAS UNSURE IF ABBOT WAS AWARE IT WOULD BE DISCUSSED OR NOT.

THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN OPPOSED.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF VOUCHERS SIGNED AND WARRANTS ISSUED FOR THE MONTH OF DECEMBER TOTALLING \$1,361,520.71.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER READ THE MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FILED BY COMMISSIONERS JERRY SAPP AND LENZY CORBIN PERTAINING TO ACTION TAKEN ON DECEMBER 7, 2005 PERTAINING TO A LAND USE CHANGE FROM AGRICULTURAL TO LOW MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL-TIMBERLAKES SUB-DIVISION. THE REASONS STATED FOR THEIR ABSTAINING FROM VOTING ON THIS ISSUE WAS:

COMMISSIONER CORBIN STATED HE WAS THE PROPERTY OWNER SELLING THE PROPERTY TO THE DEVELOPER OF TIMBERLAKES SUBDIVISION.

COMMISSIONER SAPP STATED IF LAND USE CHANGE WAS APPROVED, HE HAD A VERBAL CONTRACT WITH THE INDIVIDUAL PURCHASING THE PROPERTY TO DO THE CLEARING AND WOULD STAND TO MAKE A PROFIT ON THE CLEARING OF THE TIMBER ON THE LAND.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THERE BEING A PROBLEM IN THE COUNTY WITH A LOT OF TRASH ON THE ROADS. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE FELT SOME OF IT WAS COMING FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT GARBAGE TRUCKS.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON IT BEING WITNESSED THAT GARBAGE WAS COMING FROM THE GARBAGE TRUCKS WHEN THEY EMPTY THE GARBAGE CANS INTO THEIR TRUCK, ESPECIALLY ON WINDY DAYS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO WRITE A LETTER TO WASTE MANAGEMENT INFORMING THEM OF THEIR TRUCKS STROWING GARBAGE ALONG THE COUNTY ROADS AND PERHAPS THEY COULD COMPACT THEIR GARBAGE EACH TIME THEY EMPTY INTO THEIR TRUCKS ON WINDY DAYS. COMMISSIONER SAPP SUGGESTED ADVERTISING AWARENESS TO HELP KEEP WASHINGTON COUNTY CLEAN; THE WASHINGTON COUNTY NEWS REPORTER AGREED TO RUN AN AD IN THE PAPER FREE OF CHARGE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SUGGESTED PUTTING SIGNS UP ON A COUPLE OF THE ROADS IN THE COUNTY THAT HAS THE MOST AMOUNT OF TRASH ON THEM AND SEE IF IT MAKES A DIFFERENCE; IF SO, THE BOARD CAN LOOK AT PUTTING UP SIGNS ON OTHER ROADS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED HIGHWAY 277 ON HIGHWAY 280 TO HIGHWAY 79 BEING BAD ROADS. COMMISSIONER SAPP REFERRED TO HIGHWAY 279 BEING BAD TO VERNON AND FROM VERNON TO CARYVILLE.

THE MOTION TO SEND A LETTER TO WASTE MANAGEMENT ON THEIR STROWING OF GARBAGE CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO PUT UP SIGNS ON LITTER CONTROL ON HIGHWAY 279 AND HIGHWAY 280 FROM 277 TO 79.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED AN INCIDENT WHERE WASTE MANAGEMENT IS SKIPPING PICKING UP A PERSON'S GARBAGE EACH WEEK; ONCE THEY ARE CALLED, THEY COME BACK AND PICK IT UP BUT THEY HAVE TO BE CALLED EVERY WEEK.

COMMISSIONER COPE RECOMMENDED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND CONTACT WASTE MANAGEMENT AND HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE COME AND GO TO THE SITE BEING SKIPPED EACH WEEK. HE ADDRESSED THIS IS WHAT HE HAD DONE WHEN HE HAD A SIMILAR PROBLEM IN HIS DISTRICT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO RECESS UNTIL 1:00 P.M. FOR THE WORKSHOP.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED THE MEETING BACK TO ORDER AND STATED THE PURPOSE OF THE WORKSHOP WAS TO DEAL WITH GROWTH MANAGEMENT IN THE COUNTY; THOSE ADDRESSING THESE ISSUES WILL INCLUDE ROGER HAGAN, LINDA WALLER AND RANDY PARKER.

COMMISSIONER SAPP EXPLAINED ALONG WITH GROWTH COMES PROSPERITY AND A LOT MORE PRESSURE ON THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. HE TURNED THE MEETING OVER TO LINDA WALLER, PLANNING DEPARTMENT.

WALLER ADDRESSED ROGER HAGAN WAS GOING TO PROVIDE A HISTORY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COUNTY AS IT IS IMPORTANT TO KNOW WHERE THE COUNTY HAS BEEN IN ORDER TO KNOW WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO GO.

ROGER HAGAN REPORTED ON THE HISTORY OF COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND THE STATE OF FLORIDA; LAWS HAD TO BE PASSED BECAUSE THE LEGISLATURE REALIZED LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WOULDN'T DOING ANY PLANNING ON THEIR OWN. HE EXPLAINED COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WAS THE SAME AS A SHOP KEEPER; WHEN THEY SEE THE STOCK DIMINISHING THEY DO SOMETHING TO MAKE SURE IT IS REPLENISHED AND PLAN AHEAD SO AS NOT TO RUN OUT COMPLETELY.

IN THE MID 1970'S, HAGAN REPORTED ON FIGURES BEGINNING TO SHOW FLORIDA WAS BEGINNING TO GROW IN AN EXPLOSIVE WAY AND ESPECIALLY SMALL CITIES AND COUNTIES IN RURAL AREAS WEREN'T DOING ANYTHING TO MAKE SURE THE GROWTH WAS GOING TO BE ORDERLY AND CONTROLLED, THE DENSITY CONTROL WAS GOING TO BE TAKEN CARE OF, THE PROHIBITION OF URBAN SPRAWL WOULD BE TAKEN CARE OF AND THE INFRASTRUCTURE WAS GOING TO BE AVAILABLE TO THE PEOPLE COMING.

HAGAN ADDRESSED THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING ACT THAT WAS PASSED IN 1975; THIS WAS PROBABLY REALLY MORE OF A SUGGESTION OR THIS IS WHAT WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO DO LAW RATHER THAN BEING SOMETHING FORCEABLE OR HAVING TEETH IN IT. HE REPORTED IT WAS A PLAN THAT ALL COUNTIES HAD TO DO; HOWEVER, ANY LAW WITHOUT A PENALTY IS EASY TO IGNORE OR COME INTO COMPLIANCE TOO.

THE LGCPA WOULD BE UP FOR REVIEW IN 1985 AND THE COUNTIES HAD TO ADOPT A PLAN WITHIN FIVE YEARS OF 1975; WASHINGTON COUNTY ADOPTED ITS FIRST PLAN AROUND FEBRUARY 1979. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING SOME FUNDS TO START THE PLANNING PROCESS AND THE APPALACHEE REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, WHICH WASHINGTON COUNTY WAS A PART OF AT THAT TIME, HELPED WASHINGTON COUNTY DO THEIR FIRST COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

IN 1985, THE LESISLATURE REVIEWED THE 1975 ACTS AND THOUGHT THERE WERE CHANGES WHICH NEEDED TO BE MADE, THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT WAS PASSED AND IT HAD PENALTIES ATTACHED TO IT IF THE COUNTY DIDN'T COME INTO COMPLIANCE. IT ALSO EXPANDED SOME OF THE REQUIREMENTS AND THE FIVE YEAR EVALUATION AND APPRAISAL

REPORT REQUIREMENT WAS A CRITICAL PART OF THE PLANNING AND MADE SURE THE COUNTY'S PLAN KEPT UP WITH WHERE THE COUNTY WAS IN GROWTH MANAGEMENT.

HAGAN EXPLAINED THE LOSS OF FUNDING FOR FAILING TO COMPLY WAS AN ATTENTION GETTER; ALONG THE SAME TIME, FEDERAL REVENUE SHARING WENT AWAY; THE SMALL COUNTY KICKER WAS ADOPTED BY FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO HELP OFFSET SOME OF THAT FUNDING. HE ADDRESSED HAVING GONE THROUGH SOME YEARS WHEN THE OLD CETA PROGRAM AND OTHER PROGRAMS WERE TAKING MONIES AWAY. THE COUNTY'S BUDGET AT THAT TIME WOULD HAVE BEEN TERRIBLY IMPACTED AT THAT TIME BY THE LOSS OF ANY FUNDING.

WITH THE COUNTY NOT BEING ABLE TO AFFORD OR PROBABLY DIDN'T HAVE THE WORK TO KEEP A STAFF PLANNER BUSY OR ABLE TO HOUSE A STAFF PLANNER, THEY ENTERED INTO A CONTRACT WITH GAINES AND ASSOCIATES; RANDY PARKER WORKED WITH GAINES AND ASSOCIATES AND WHEN GAINES AND ASSOCIATES WAS UNABLE TO CONTINUE THEIR CONTRACT, PARKER BECAME THE PLANNER FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY AND HAS BEEN AN ASSET TO THEM.

HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE FIRST PLANNING COMMISSION CONSISTING OF ALLAN POTTER, CLAYTON PHILLIPS, HAROLD SAPP, LARRY ENFINGER AND BERT ROBERTS. WASHINGTON COUNTY'S FIRST ATTEMPT OF DOING COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING PROBABLY FELL SHORT OF WHAT THE LEGISLATURE WOULD HAVE LIKED FOR THEM TO DO; THEY DID THEIR FIRST SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE WHICH HAD A GRANDFATHER PROVISION IN IT WHICH ALLOWED SOME OF THE PIECE OF PAPER PLATS TO BE RECORDED WITHOUT MEETING SOME OF THE STANDARDS AT THE TIME. THERE WERE PEOPLE WHO WERE ACCEPTING PIECES OF PROPERTY THEY OWNED, SET AT A TABLE AND DRAW OUT A SQUARE, CUT IT OUT IN FIVE ACRES, ETC. AND SOME OF THESE WERE ALLOWED TO BE RECORDED.

HAGAN REPORTED THE COUNTY BEGAN TO DEVELOP CRITERIA FOR DIRT ROADS; THEY DEVELOPED THE FIRST BUILDING DEPARTMENT HEADED BY MR. BERNARD MCWILLIAMS. HE ADDRESSED HIMSELF, JERRY BROCK, COMMISSIONER FINCH, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AND STACY WEBB HAVING BEEN ASKED OVER THE LAST FEW MONTHS TO PUT TOGETHER A STATE OF THE COUNTY PRESENTATION. WHEN THEY DO THAT, EVERYTIME THEY HEAR MR. EVERITT FROM THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, GLEN ZANETIC FROM THE MSBU, ETC., WASHINGTON COUNTY IS GROWING AND GROWTH IS HERE. HAGAN POINTED OUT REAL ESTATE PRICES ARE CERTAINLY GOING UP; THERE WILL BE TAXES REALIZED BY THE COUNTY OFF OF THESE PROPERTIES BUT IT WILL TAKE A LONG TIME FOR THE COUNTY TO GET BACK THE REVENUE IN TAXES THAT THE DEVELOPER MADE IN THE SALES.

HAGAN EXPLAINED GROWTH IS COMING FROM PEOPLE THAT ARE CHOOSING TO MAKE WASHINGTON COUNTY THEIR HOME; IF THE COUNTY IS NOT CAREFUL, THEY CAN COME BACK THIRTY YEARS LATER AND FACE THE SAME UNCONTROLLED GROWTH PROBLEMS THEY HAD IN 1975 WHEN THE LEGISLATURE PASSED THE FIRST COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING ACT.

HAGAN ADDRESSED THE LOCAL MITIGATION STRATEGY COMPLIMENTS AND STRENGTHENS AND IS A LOGICAL SUCCESSION FROM THE 1975 AND 1985 ACTS; IT MAKES THE COUNTY BE MORE COMPETITIVE FOR GRANTS AND TAKE CARE OF PROBLEMS THAT IMPEDES GROWTH WHETHER IT IS DRAINAGE, FLOOD PLAINS, ETC. HE EXPLAINED THE DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT HAS A BREAKING THE CYCLE PROGRAM; ONE OF THE THINGS THE COUNTY NEEDS TO BREAK AWAY FROM IS FEMA FEDERAL DOLLARS AND WITH THE KATRINAS, FIRES, ETC. THAT HAS BEEN OCCURRING, FEMA CAN'T CONTINUE TO BAIL THE COUNTY OUT.

HE ITERATED THE COUNTY WOULD BE CAUGHT UP IN A SITUATION BETWEEN LAND OWNER RIGHTS AND THOSE THINGS THAT ARE GOOD GOVERNMENT; THERE ARE GRANTS AVAILABLE AND HAGAN RECOMMENDED SENDING REPRESENTATIVES TO THE GOVERNMENT'S CONFERENCES.

HAGAN ALSO SUGGESTED THE COUNTY REVIEW THE PLAT REVIEW AND LET PUBLIC WORKS, THE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT BE PART OF THE REVIEW PROCESS. OTHER ISSUES HE ADDRESSED INCLUDED REQUIRING A SITE VISIT, MAKING THE PLAT REVIEW APPROVAL PROCESS BE MORE STRINGENT, IMPROVE THE DRAINAGE PLANS, THE DEVELOPMENTS NEED TO HAVE PAVED STREETS, CURVES, OUTFALL DITCHES, RETENTION PONDS AND THE COUNTY NEEDS TO HAVE DEEDS AND EASEMENTS IF THEY ARE GOING TO BE EXPECTED TO KEEP THEM CLEAN, GO ON THE PROPERTY AND REMOVE THE DIRT, ETC.

HAGAN POINTED OUT UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOULD BE MANDATORY IN SUBDIVISIONS. HE ADDRESSED THE DEVELOPERS CAME TO WASHINGTON COUNTY OVER THE PAST YEARS DUE TO THERE BEING AN ABUNDANCE OF RELATIVELY CHEAP LAND AND



WASHINGTON COUNTY WAS EASY TO DO. AS ALL BUSINESS MEN AND PROFESSIONALS HAVE TO INVEST IN CONTINUING EDUCATION, HAGAN SAID REQUIRING THE DEVELOPERS TO PAY FOR THESE IMPACTS UPFRONT IS JUST PART OF DOING BUSINESS.

HAGAN EXPLAINED THE DEVELOPERS ARE NOT PURCHASING THE LAND AND MOVING TO WASHINGTON COUNTY; THEY ARE BUYING IT TO LIVE SOMEWHERE ELSE, SEND SOMEONE ELSE IN TO CUT UP THE PROPERTY AND MARKET IT FOR THEM. HE REITERATED THE DEVELOPMENTS SHOULD BE DONE UPFRONT AND BE QUALITY DEVELOPMENTS WITH THE COUNTY BEING THE WINNER IN THE END.

HAGAN SAID REQUIREMENTS IN OTHER PARTS OF THE STATE DIDN'T STOP DEVELOPMENT AND IT WON'T STOP DEVELOPMENT IN WASHINGTON COUNTY; THE COUNTY DOESN'T NEED TO WEAKEN DEVELOPMENT CODES AND GRANT VARIANCES TO EVERYONE COMING INTO WASHINGTON COUNTY BUT RATHER THEY NEED TO STRENGTHEN THEIR CODES.

RANDY PARKER, PLANNING CONSULTANT, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON WASHINGTON COUNTY HAVING DONE A GOOD JOB IN TRYING TO MANAGE THEIR GROWTH AND TRYING TO MAKE THE COUNTY A BETTER COUNTY. PARKER EXPLAINED SOME OF THE THINGS ROGER MENTIONED THE COUNTY SHOULD DO ARE ALREADY BEING DONE:

1. THE PLAT REQUIRES A DRAINAGE PLAN NOW
2. THE DEVELOPER HAS TO MAINTAIN THE ROADS FOR A YEAR BEFORE THE COUNTY ACCEPTS THE ROADS FOR MAINTENANCE
3. THE COUNTY REQUIRES PAVED STREETS IN SUBDIVISIONS SINCE 1999

PARKER POINTED OUT A LOT OF ISSUES THE COUNTY DEALS WITH DAY TO DAY INCLUDE ROADS, DRAINAGE, INFRASTRUCTURE TYPE ISSUES THEY ARE DEALING WITH THAT HAPPENED A LONG TIME AGO; THESE ARE ISSUES THEY ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS UNLESS THE TAX BASE INCREASES OR FEES ARE PUT INTO PLACE WHERE THE COUNTY CAN PAVE THE ROADS, PUT THE DRAINAGE IN, ETC. HE ADDRESSED TO CURE EXISTING PROBLEMS, USUALLY THERE HAS TO BE A COUNTY WIDE STRUCTURAL TAX REVENUE IN PLACE AND POINTED OUT, SELDOM IS ROAD, DRAINAGE, ETC. COMPLAINTS COMING BEFORE THE BOARD WITH NEW DEVELOPMENTS THAT ARE COMING IN.

PARKER PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH A HANDOUT SHOWING THE VERSION OF A 1993 EDITION OF A PLAT BOOK ON THE SECTION OF THE COUNTY OFF OF HIGHWAY 77 NORTH OF BAY COUNTY IN COMPARISON TO A 2003 VERSION OF THE PLAT BOOK ON THE SAME SECTION. HE REFERRED TO THE DIVISION OF LANDS THAT HAVE OCCURRED SINCE THEN POINTING OUT IN 2003; ALL OF THOSE PROPERTIES WERE CLOON SECTIONS WITH NOTHING BUT LAKES AND THEY WERE ALL 640 ACRES WITH NO DIVISIONS. TODAY PARKER ADDRESSED EVERYONE OF THE SECTIONS ARE DIVIDED UP.

PARKER PROVIDED INFORMATION ON REAL ESTATE SALES FROM 2001-2005 THAT WERE ABOVE \$100 AND WERE ARM LENGTH TRANSACTIONS; THEY BEGAN TO INCREASE TREMENDOUSLY IN 2004 AND AGAIN IN 2005. HE PROVIDED INFORMATION ON THE NUMBER OF REAL ESTATE SALES FOR NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOMES, LOTS, RESIDENTIAL LOTS, MOBILE HOMES, COMMERCIAL AND OTHER IMPROVEMENTS AND COMMERCIAL AND OTHER VACANT LOTS AND THE DOLLAR VOLUME OF SALES FOR EACH OF THOSE CATEGORIES. PARKER ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAVING A JUMP IN THEIR AD VALOREM TAX BASE IN 2005 BASED ON THE SALES THAT HAD OCCURRED PRIMARILY IN 2004; WHEN THE 2005 SALES COME ON THE TAX ROLL, THEY SHOULD REFLECT THE INCREASE IN THE VOLUME OF SALES.

PARKER EXPLAINED A LOT OF THE REAL ESTATE SALES IS VACANT LAND SALES; THIS MEANS IF SOMEBODY LIVES IN THE HOUSES ON THEM, THERE IS A MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF 3% A YEAR THEY CAN GO UP ANYWAY. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF PARCELS THAT PEOPLE WON'T BE LIVING ON FOR SOME PERIOD OF TIME; OBVIOUSLY, THERE IS A LOT OF INTEREST IN BUYING AND DEVELOPING PROPERTY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

PARKER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR COMP PLAN THAT WAS PASSED IN 1990 WAS THE FIRST PLAN FOUND TO BE IN COMPLIANCE ON AN ORIGINAL SUBMISSION IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA. HE REFERRED TO THE STATE NOW HAVING PASSED SENATE BILL 360 AND IMPLEMENTED CODES TO GO ALONG WITH IT; A LOT OF IT BRINGS FUNDAMENTAL CHANGES TO CONCURRENCY AS FAR AS ROADS, SCHOOLS AND WATER AND REQUIRES ALL THIS TO BE KEYED IN.

PARKER EXPLAINED A SHORT TERM FOR CONCURRENCY IS WHEN A DEVELOPMENT IS PLANNED AND PERMITTED, THERE HAS TO BE INFRASTRUCTURE THERE TO SERVE THEM. HE ADDRESSED WASHINGTON COUNTY NOT HAVING A WATER PROBLEM NOR DOES HE FEEL THEY EVER WILL. HE ALSO EXPRESSED THE NEED FOR THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD TO BE IN A COOPERATIVE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COUNTY COMMISSION AND THE CITIES THAT PERMIT DEVELOPMENT TO KEEP UP WITH THE GROWTH.

RANDY ADDRESSED THERE BEING PLENTY OF CAPACITY FOR ROADS AND SEWER AND WATER; HOWEVER, THE SCHOOLS DON'T HAVE ENOUGH CAPACITY TO PUT THE CHILDREN THAT IS COMING IN DUE TO THE GROWTH AND THIS IS WHAT BROUGHT ABOUT SENATE BILL 360. HE EXPLAINED THE CLASS SIZE AMENDMENT PUT ANOTHER CONSTRAINER WHERE CERTAIN STANDARDS HAVE TO BE MET SET BY THE WHOLE ELECTORATE OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA WHICH SAYS YOU CAN'T PLACE BUT SO MANY KIDS IN A CLASSROOM.

PARKER NOTED THAT JOE TAYLOR FROM THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD WAS PRESENT AT THE WORKSHOP AND THEN INTRODUCED PAUL MILLER OF WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL. PARKER ADDRESSED HIM HAVING ATTENDED A LOT OF THE WORKSHOPS WFRPC HAS HELD ON ALL THE NEW LEGISLATION.

PARKER THEN UPDATED THE BOARD ON DATES THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO HAVE CERTAIN THINGS IMPLEMENTED:

1. DECEMBER 2008--CONCURRENCY REQUIRED FOR SCHOOLS; IF NOT IN PLACE BY THIS DATE, THE STATE WILL PROHIBIT LAND USE CHANGES TO INCREASE THE DENSITY FROM LOW DENSITY TO MEDIUM DENSITY AND FROM AGRICULTURE TO LOW DENSITY. HE REITERATED THE STATE WOULDN'T ALLOW DEVELOPMENT IN THE COUNTY UNLESS THERE IS INFRASTRUCTURE IN PLACE. HE EXPLAINED THERE MAY BE WAIVERS FOR LOCAL COMMUNITIES AND CITIES IN THE COUNTY, SUCH AS CARYVILLE DUE TO THEM NOT GROWING A WHOLE LOT.

2. LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND SCHOOL BOARDS ARE REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH A LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARD; CONCURRENCY HAS TO BE IN PLACE WITHIN THREE YEARS FOLLOWING THE APPLICATION FOR A SUBDIVISION.

3. BY THE END OF 2006, THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED TO ADOPT A PROPORTIONATE SHARE ORDINANCE; THIS APPLIES NOT ONLY TO SCHOOLS BUT TRANSPORTATION ALSO. HE EXPLAINED THE ORDINANCE WOULD PUT INTO PLACE A MECHANISM WHERE THE DEVELOPERS CAN PAY TO INCREASE THE SCHOOL SIZES; THE SAME CAN BE DONE FOR ROADS TOO.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED NEW LAWS THAT WERE PASSED IN 2005 WHERE A PERSON CAN'T DEVELOP A SUBDIVISION NOW UNLESS THEY GET INPUT FROM THE SCHOOL SYSTEM ON HOW THEY ARE GOING TO HANDLE THE INFLUX OF STUDENTS; THE TRANSPORTATION, WATER, SEWER, ETC. HAS TO BE ADDRESSED ALSO PRIOR TO THE STATE APPROVING IT NOW.

PARKER SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW EXACTLY THE STATE IS GOING TO ENSURE CONCURRENCY SINCE THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE TO HAVE CONCURRENCY IN PLACE UNTIL 2008.

MR. MILLER ADVISED THEY PRESENTLY HAVE TO HAVE SOME INDICATION FROM THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD THEY WILL HAVE CAPACITY AVAILABLE TO HANDLE THE INFLUX OF STUDENTS. PARKER EXPLAINED THE SCHOOL BOARD IS APPROPRIATELY LOOKING AT THE CONCURRENCY ISSUE NOW.

JERRY BROCK ADDRESSED THE SCHOOL BOARD HAS TO BUILD SCHOOLS BASED ON THEIR CURRENT POPULATION AND NOT A PROJECTED POPULATION SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE; IF THEY KNOW THERE IS GOING TO BE A FIVE HUNDRED UNIT SUBDIVISION GOING IN, THEY CAN'T START BUILDING THE SCHOOL UNTIL THE STUDENTS BEGIN MOVING IN THE AREA.

JOE TAYLOR EXPLAINED THE POPULATION GROWTH IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOLS HAVE MORE TO DO WITH BAY DISTRICT POLICIES THAN WITH THE ACTUAL GROWTH IN WASHINGTON COUNTY THE PAST YEAR. TAYLOR ADDRESSED THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION BUILDS THE SCHOOLS; D.O.E. WILL NOT ALLOW THEM TO BUILD FOR GROWTH.

PARKER ADDRESSED WHEN A NEW SUBDIVISION IS BEING BUILT, IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THERE IS GOING TO BE PEOPLE LIVING THERE RIGHT AWAY; THE SCHOOL BOARD CAN'T BUILD A SCHOOL JUST BECAUSE A SUBDIVISION IS THERE AS IT IS KEYED MORE TO THE POPULATION, WHICH IS WHAT D.O.E. IS LOOKING AT. HOW THE CONCURRENCY ISSUE IS GOING TO BE WORKED OUT WITH THE SCHOOLS WILL HAVE TO BE WORKED THROUGH THE SYSTEM; THIS IS WHY THEY HAVE UNTIL 2008 TO HAVE IT IN PLACE.

PARKER ADDRESSED TRANSPORTATION, WATER AND SCHOOLS LINKS INTO GROWTH MANAGEMENT; WASHINGTON COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE A LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARD ON LOCAL ROADS. HE EXPLAINED WHEN HE REFERRED TO LOCAL ROADS, HE WAS ADDRESSING SUBDIVISION ROADS, DIRT ROADS, CONNECTING ROADS BETWEEN COUNTY PAVED ROADS AND STATE ROADS. HE SAID THE ONLY LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS SET IN WASHINGTON COUNTY WAS ON STATE ROADS, FEDERAL HIGHWAYS AND WHEN THE COUNTY MAKES A PAVED ROAD; HIS UNDERSTANDING IS THESE ARE THE ONLY ONES THE COUNTY IS GOING TO HAVE TO COMPLY WITH AS THE STATE IS CONCERNED MAINLY WITH THE INTER- MODEL SYSTEM DUE TO THE FACT THEY MAINTAIN THE LOCAL SERVICE STANDARD ON THAT SYSTEM.

PARKER EXPLAINED THE STATE WAS GOING TO ESTABLISH THE STANDARDS FOR THE FISSA; THE COUNTY HAS BEEN IN COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR STANDARDS ALREADY.

PARKER ADDRESSED DISCUSSION THAT HAD BEEN HELD ON WASHINGTON COUNTY ENTERING INTO THE TRIP PROGRAM WITH SOME OTHER SURROUNDING COUNTIES.

AS FAR AS THE TRANSPORTATION PLAN FOR THE COUNTY, PARKER ADVISED THE KEY THING WAS TO MAINTAIN CONCURRENCY ON THE ROADS IN THE SIS SYSTEM AND POINTED OUT THEY HAVE BEEN DOING THIS IN THE PAST. HE ADDRESSED THE MAIN AREA WITH ISSUES IS HIGHWAY 77 AND UNTIL ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS ARE PUT IN, IT WILL CONTINUE TO BE AN ISSUE. ANYTIME THE COUNTY PERMITS DEVELOPMENT THAT WILL IMPACT HIGHWAY 77, IT IS GOING TO DISTRIBUTE TRIPS TO THE ROADWAY AND PUSH THE COUNTY FURTHER AWAY FROM BEING IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARD.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED HOW FAR OUT CAN A TRANSPORTATION PROJECT BE PLANNED AND THE COUNTY OWNING THE DEVELOPMENT AND HAVING CAPACITY ON THE ROAD. PARKER ADVISED THE CAPACITY HAS TO BE IN PLACE WITHIN THREE YEARS AFTER PERMITTING FOR THE DEVELOPMENT IS ISSUED. HE REITERATED THE COUNTY CAN ISSUE THE BUILDING PERMITS FOR A DEVELOPMENT AS LONG AS THEY CAN SHOW THEY WILL HAVE CAPACITY WITHIN THREE YEARS.

PARKER ADDRESSED THE WATER CONCURRENCY ISSUE; NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT PREPARES A DISTRICT WATER SUPPLY STUDY EVERY FIVE YEARS; THEY HAVE TO PROVIDE A REGIONAL WATER SUPPLY ALSO. HE ADVISED HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE EIGHTEEN MONTHS FROM THE TIME ONE IS DONE FOR THE WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA TO MAKE SURE THEIR CONCURRENCY AND WATER SUPPLY PLANNING COORDINATES WITH NWFWMMD'S PLAN. HE REITERATED HE DIDN'T SEE THE WATER SUPPLY BEING A BIG PROBLEM FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY; THEY WILL HAVE TO INTERGRATE NWFWMMD'S PLAN INTO IT.

PARKER SAID IF NWFWMMD WERE TO EVER TAKE WATER FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY'S WATER SUPPLY AND HAUL IT TO THEIR OTHER DISTRICT AREAS, WASHINGTON COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO BE MORE INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS FOR THE WHOLE AREA.

JAMES WHITE ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON NWFWMMD CURRENTLY PULLING WATER OUT OF DEER POINT LAKE IN BAY COUNTY AND SUPPLYING SOUTH WALTON COUNTY. HE SUGGESTED THE BOARD MAY WANT TO CONSIDER PUTTING WATER INTO A LARGER STORAGE WATER FACILITY TO BE ABLE TO ACCOMODATE THE SHARING OR POSSIBLY SELLING OF WATER TO ADJACENT COUNTIES TO GENERATE REVENUES BACK TO THE GENERAL FUND IF THE COUNTY HAS AN ASTRONOMICAL AMOUNT OF WATER RESOURCES.

PARKER REFERRED TO THIS BEING A GOOD PLAN AND ADDRESSED BOTTLING PLANTS SELLING WATER OUT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY NOW AS A PRIVATE BUSINESS. HE POINTED OUT THERE WERE WATER SYSTEMS IN THE COUNTY NOW IN CHIPLEY, SUNNY HILLS, VERNON, CARYVILLE AND WAUSAU; IN THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY WHERE THE GROWTH IS OCCURRING NOW ON HIGHWAY 77 AND THE WHOLE AREA SOUTH WOULD BE THE PRIME AREA TO PUT IN A WATER FACILITY.

PARKER ADDRESSED ONE OF THE DIFFICULTIES FOR RURAL COUNTIES TO GET INTO THE WATER BUSINESS IS DENSITY; WHEN THEY DON'T HAVE WATER SUPPLIES ALREADY AND THEY ALLOW A LOT OF LARGE SCALE DEVELOPMENT WHERE PEOPLE CAN BUILD ON FOUR TO FIVE ACRE PARCELS, THEY WILL PUT A WELL DOWN AND IT DOESN'T MAKE A WHOLE LOT OF FINANCIAL SENSE SOMETIMES TO PUT A PUBLIC WATER SYSTEM IN. PARKER SUGGESTED CONSIDERING IN SOME AREAS THE COUNTY TRY TO REQUIRE DEVELOPMENT TO BE MORE CLUSTERED; THIS WOULD MAKE MORE SENSE FOR A WATER SERVICE TO BE PROVIDED.

LLOYD POWELL QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY COULDN'T OBTAIN GRANTS TO PURCHASE FACILITIES LIKE BECTON SPRINGS FOR A WATER SUPPLY. PARKER AGREED A SPRING AREA WOULD BE MORE JUSTIFIED IN RECEIVING STATE OR FEDERAL GRANT FUNDING FOR CONSERVATION OR PRESERVATION PURPOSES; HOWEVER, ONCE THEY DO THIS THERE IS CONCERNS THIS WOULD PRECLUDE THE SPRINGS FROM BEING A WATER SUPPLY FACILITY. HOWEVER, THE COUNTY COULD BE UPFRONT WHEN APPLYING FOR GRANT FUNDING ON THEIR PURPOSE IN PURCHASING SPRINGS WOULD BE FOR PRESERVATION AND THEY WOULD BE USING THE SPRINGS FOR A WATER SOURCE. HE REFERRED TO THERE POSSIBLY BEING RESTRICTIONS ON THE GRANT FUNDING WHICH WOULD NOT ALLOW THE COUNTY TO USE THE SPRINGS AS A WATER SUPPLY.

WHITE QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY COULD LEVY A MILLAGE ON THE GALLONS OF WATER THE BOTTLING COMPANIES PULLS FROM THE SPRINGS OR WOULD THIS BE LEFT UP TO NWFWMDC. PARKER ADVISED THE COMPANIES WOULD HAVE TO GET A CONSUMPTION USE PERMIT FROM NWFWMDC; HOWEVER, THE STATUTES EXEMPT BOTTLED WATER MANUFACTURERS FROM AD VALOREM TAXES.

WHITE REFERRED TO WATER BEING PULLED RIGHT FROM THE MOUTH OF ECONFINA CREEK FROM THE PATRONIS FAMILY AND QUESTIONED WHY COULDN'T WASHINGTON COUNTY OBTAIN A GRANT, PURCHASE A SPRING AND GET INTO THE BOTTLED WATER BUSINESS.

PARKER SAID THE ONLY THING THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO CONSIDER IS COMPETING WITH PRIVATE ENTERPRISE; HOWEVER, IT WOULD BE A BUSINESS DECISION THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO MAKE TO DETERMINE IF THEY WANTED TO GET INTO THE BOTTLED WATER BUSINESS. THEORETICALLY, PARKER SAID THEY COULD BUY THE SPRINGS AND GET INTO THE BUSINESS; BUT, HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE COUNTY COULD GET A GRANT TO DO IT.

WHITE ADDRESSED LARGE CORPORATIONS HAVE COME INTO THE COUNTY AND ARE MAKING MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OFF OF THE BOTTLED WATER AND QUESTIONED WHAT WASHINGTON COUNTY IS GETTING FROM IT. COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED THE COUNTY RECEIVED NOTHING EXCEPT THE SALES TAX OFF THE BOTTLED WATER SOLD IN THE COUNTY. PARKER ADDRESSED THERE HAD BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION WHEN PEOPLE WANT TO PUT THE BOTTLED WATER PLANTS IN, THEY HAVE TO PERMIT WHERE THE PLANT GOES UNDER THE LAND USE PROVISION OF THE COMP PLAN BECAUSE IT IS A BOTTLING OPERATION. WHEN THEY HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS ON BOTTLED WATER AND PEOPLE DRAWING WATER OUT OF THE SPRINGS FOR THIS PURPOSE, EVERYBODY IS CONCERNED ON HOW THE DRAWING OF WATER FROM THE SPRINGS IS GOING TO AFFECT THEIR WATER DOWN STREAM, IS THE LEVEL OF THE CREEK GOING TO FALL, HOW IS THEIR WELL GOING TO BE AFFECTED BY WATER BEING PULLED OUT OF THE SAME ACQUIFER. PARKER EXPLAINED THESE ARE NWFWMDC'S CONCERNS AND THEY ARE CHARGED WITH LOOKING AT MAKING SURE NO ONE'S WELL IS AFFECTED NEGATIVELY AND THE SPRING FLOW IS NOT AFFECTED. HE ADDRESSED NWFWMDC IS CHARGED, UNDER SENATE BILL 360 AND SOME OF THE OTHER PROVISIONS THAT HAVE BEEN PASSED, WITH SETTING MINIMUM FLOW LEVELS PER DAY; THIS WILL BASICALLY RESTRICT PERMITTING TO A CERTAIN LEVEL.

WHITE EXPLAINED HE HAS A PUBLIC SERVICE WELL AND NWFWMDC ALLOCATES HIM A CERTAIN NUMBER OF GALLONS OF FLOW PER DAY; NWFWMDC DOES RESTRICT AND REGULATE THE PARTICULAR AMOUNT OF WATER PULLED OUT FOR PUBLIC CONSUMPTION WELLS.

COMMISSIONER COPE REFERRED TO ALL THE GROWTH IN THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY IS AROUND NATURAL RECHARGE AREAS AND ALL ARE ON SEPTIC TANKS AND QUESTIONED WHAT THIS WOULD DO TO THE GROUND WATER.

PARKER ADVISED WHEN LOOKING AT THE LATEST DISTRICT WATER PLAN, THEY SHOW MINIMUM CONTAMINANTS IN THE GROUND WATER IN WASHINGTON COUNTY FROM AGRICULTURE, ETC.; THEY MENTION A CONCERN OF INADEQUATE FUNCTION OF SEPTIC TANKS BUT DON'T SHOW IT AS A SOURCE OF CONTAMINATION. PARKER SAID BASICALLY THE COUNTY AND ITS RESIDENTS ARE DEPENDING ON THE PERMITTING PROCESS FROM THE STATE OF FLORIDA ON SEPTIC TANKS TO ENSURE GROUND WATER VIABILITY.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE LENGTH OF TIME IT TAKES FOR THE WATER IN THE RECHARGE AREAS TO REACH THE SPRINGS AND THEN FINALLY GO INTO DEER POINT LAKE; THE COUNTY MAY NOT KNOW THE AFFECTS OF THIS UNTIL A HUNDRED YEARS FROM NOW AND THAT IS WHY THE SEPTIC TANKS NEED TO BE GOTTEN AWAY FROM THE RECHARGE AREAS.

PARKER ADDRESSED WASHINGTON COUNTY DOUBLED THE STATES STANDARDS IN 1990 IN THE ORIGINAL COMP PLAN THAT WAS ADOPTED FOR THE LOT SIZE REQUIREMENT FOR A WELL AND SEPTIC TANK. HE EXPLAINED THE COUNTY EXCEEDED THE STATE'S STANDARDS DUE TO SEPTIC TANK CONCERNS AND THE RURAL NATURE OF THE COUNTY.

PARKER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE COUNTY ALREADY HAVING CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ELEMENT; THERE ARE A LOT OF COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE NOT UPDATED THE ELEMENT ANNUALLY AND HAVE NOT TRIED TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS IN PUBLIC SERVICES LISTED IN THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ELEMENT TO BE LINKED TO THE CURRENT STANDARD REQUIRED. IN SOME CASES, PARKER SAID INFORMATION TO BACK UP WHETHER THEY ARE FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE OR NOT HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED EITHER.

PARKER ADVISED THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ELEMENT WILL NOW BE REQUIRED TO BE UPDATED ANNUALLY OR THE COUNTY WILL NOT BE ALLOWED TO AMEND THEIR COMP PLAN ANYMORE; AN UPDATED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT ELEMENT WILL HAVE TO BE IN PLACE BY THE END OF 2007.

PARKER ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAVING DONE A LOT OF UPDATING WITH RECREATION BECAUSE THEY HAVE HAD A LOT OF FUNDING AVAILABLE TO FUND IT; THEY HAVE DONE A LOT FOR PUBLIC SERVICES SUCH AS FIRE, EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ALSO. HE EXPLAINED ONE OF THE REASONS FOR THIS IS DUE TO A LOT OF THE GRANTS REQUIRE THEY BE INCLUDED IN THE COUNTY COMP PLAN AND THE COUNTY GETS ADDITIONAL POINTS IF IT IS INCLUDED.

PARKER RECOMMENDED THE COUNTY LOOK AT GETTING A STRATEGIZED OR PRIORITIZED LIST OF ROADWAYS IN THE COUNTY THAT NEED TO BE IMPROVED, PAVED, DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED, ETC.; THIS LIST OF ROADWAYS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ELEMENT AND A FUNDING SOURCE BE IDENTIFIED. PARKER REFERRED TO THE ROADWAYS BEING DONE ANALYTICALLY; HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE THE ROADS GOING TO SERVE, HOW MANY PARCELS OF PROPERTY ARE GOING TO BE IMPACTED BY THE PAVING OF THE ROAD, DOES IT MAKE TRAVEL BETTER FROM THIS ROUTE TO ANOTHER ROUTE AND COME UP WITH A SCORING SYSTEM.

WHITE QUESTIONED PARKER IF A DEVELOPER COMES IN AND DEVELOPS ON A GRADED ROAD, PAVES A STREET AND IT IS A MILE FROM A MAJOR HIGHWAY AND THEY PUT FIVE HUNDRED UNITS IN THERE, WOULD THIS PUT THE COUNTY IN A CATCH 22 IN ORDER FOR THEM TO ACCOMMODATE THE TRAVEL OF THOSE PEOPLE IN AND OUT THE DIRT ROAD. HE QUESTIONED IF THAT WOULD MAKE THE TAXPAYERS HAVE TO ACCOMMODATE THE DEVELOPMENT TO ACCOMMODATE 500 NEW RESIDENTS ON THE ROAD; WILL IT TAKE PRIORITY OVER SOMETHING THAT IS OLDER.

PARKER SAID IT WOULD DEPEND ON WHETHER THE COUNTY SETS A LEVEL OF SERVICE ON THE ROAD; NORMALLY YOU WOULD LOOK AT THE NUMBER OF CARS AND NUMBER OF TRIPS TRAVELLED. IN THIS INSTANCE, THEY WOULD BE LOOKING AT WHETHER THE ROADS ARE PAVED OR NOT, WHETHER THERE IS ADEQUATE DRAINAGE. SINCE THE COUNTY DOESN'T SET THOSE STANDARDS AND THE STATE DOESN'T REQUIRE THEM TO SET THEM ON THE LOCAL ROADS, THEY WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED TO ACCOMMODATE THE DEVELOPMENT TO ACCOMMODATE THE 500 NEW RESIDENTS ON THE ROAD.

PARKER SAID THERE ARE CLEARLY SITUATIONS WHERE THE COUNTY REQUIRES THIS; THERE MAY BE A DIRT ROAD FOR FIVE MILES, SOMEBODY WANTS TO COME IN, DIVIDE A PIECE OF PROPERTY AND PUT A NEW ROAD IN. PARKER ADVISED THE COUNTY REQUIRES THEM TO PAVE THE ROAD IN FRONT OF THE NEW DEVELOPMENT. HOWEVER, IT MAY BE FIVE MILES FROM THE NEW DEVELOPMENT TO THE NEXT PAVED ROAD; IT IS EASY FOR THE DEVELOPER TO SAY IT IS A WASTE OF MONEY FOR THEM TO HAVE TO PAVE A HALF MILE OF ROAD TO SERVE THE 550 HOMES AND THEN THEY WILL HAVE TO TRAVEL A DIRT ROAD TO GET BACK OUT. PARKER EXPLAINED THIS IS BUILDING THE PAVED ROAD NETWORK AND INDUCES THE DEVELOPER TO TRY AND BUILD THEIR DEVELOPMENT CLOSER TO THE PAVED ROAD ALREADY SO THEY COULD TIE INTO IT AND MAKE THEIR PROPERTY MORE VALUABLE.

WHITE QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY HAD PARTICIPATING PAVING LIKE THEY DO IN BAY COUNTY WHERE THE COUNTY WILL INCREASE THE LEVY OF TAXES ON PEOPLE'S PROPERTY WHO SAY THEY WANT TO PAVE A ROAD IN THEIR COMMUNITY. THE COUNTY AGREES TO SPLIT THE COST OF PAVING THE ROAD BUT WILL LEVY THEIR LAND TAXES FOR FIVE YEARS OR UNTIL THE PROJECT IS PAID OFF AND THEN THE PEOPLE'S LAND TAXES WILL DECREASE.

PARKER ADVISED THE COUNTY ADOPTED THE MSBU IN SUNNY HILLS WHERE EACH LOT IS ASSESSED A CERTAIN AMOUNT, THE ASSESSMENT COMES TO THE COUNTY AND THE COUNTY THEN DOES THE ROAD PAVING.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN INFORMED WHITE THE COUNTY HAS HAD SEVERAL ROADS THE PROPERTY OWNER WANTED PAVED AND THEY PAID FOR HALF THE COST AND THE COUNTY PAID THE OTHER HALF.

COMMISSIONER COPE EXPLAINED THIS SYSTEM WORKED WELL WHEN THERE ARE A LOT OF HOUSES CLOSE TOGETHER; HOWEVER, WHEN THERE IS A MILE BETWEEN HOUSES, IT IS HARD TO GET PEOPLE TO SHARE IN THE COST.

HAGAN ADDRESSED MOST OF THE DEVELOPMENT IN THE SOUTHERN PART OF THE COUNTY HAS BEEN AROUND LAKES OR WITHIN A HALF MILE OF A LAKE; THERE IS GREAT EXPANSES OWNED BY NFWFMD OR OTHER CORPORATIONS THAT ARE UNDEVELOPED. HE QUESTIONED PARKER WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN WHEN ALL THE LAKES ARE BUILT AROUND AND THERE ARE GREAT BIG OPEN SPACES LEFT.

PARKER SAID PEOPLE CHOOSE TO LOCATE WHERE THEY LIVE BASED ON THE CLOSENESS OF WHERE THEY WORK, SHOPPING IS AVAILABLE OR WHERE OTHER SERVICES ARE AVAILABLE; HIGHWAY 77 IS A SHORT RUN AND IS RELATIVELY A STRAIGHT SHOT.

AROUND THE LAKES, PARKER SAID THIS IS A LOCATION ISSUE AND PEOPLE ARE PROBABLY MOVING THERE BECAUSE IT IS MORE AFFORDABLE WATER FRONT PROPERTY THAN THEY CAN PURCHASE ELSEWHERE; IT IS PRIME PROPERTY AND PEOPLE WANT TO LIVE ON THE WATER.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO FIVE YEARS AGO, NO ONE COULD GIVE ANYONE PROPERTY IN SUNNY HILLS; NOW, PEOPLE CAN'T AFFORD TO BUY IT. OBVIOUSLY, THE OPEN AREAS ARE GOING TO FILL UP AT SOME POINT.

HAGAN ADDRESSED THERE WAS PROBABLY ENOUGH PLATTED, HOUSING LOTS THERE IS NO NEED FOR PEOPLE TO KEEP ON DEVELOPING SUBDIVISIONS; THERE IS A SURPLUS OF HOUSING LOTS.

PARKER AGREED THERE WAS DEFINITELY MORE GROWTH IN DEVELOPMENT THAN GROWTH IN POPULATION; THIS IS ANOTHER REASON THE COUNTY NEEDS TO TRY AND GET NEW DEVELOPMENT IN PLACES THEY WANT IT WHICH WOULD LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF INFRASTRUCTURE THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO PUT IN.

HAGAN ADDRESSED EARLY IN THE PLANNING LAWS, ONE OF THE THINGS LOOKED AT BEFORE APPROVING A SUBDIVISION IS WHETHER OR NOT A COMMUNITY NEEDED DEVELOPMENT; THIS MAY OR MAY NOT BE DONE NOW.

PARKER ADVISED THEY DO LOOK AT THIS NOW; ONE OF THE THINGS THEY HAVE TO LOOK AT WITH EVERY AMENDMENT IS TO LOOK AT HOW THE POPULATION GROWTH REQUIRES THAT NEED IN THE LAND USE CHANGE IN THE INCREASED DENSITY. HE SAID IT IS HARD TO DO SOMETIMES WHEN THERE IS THE POPULATION GROWTH VERSUS THE DEVELOPERS COMING FORWARD.

PARKER REFERRED TO BALANCING PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS VERSES THE OVERALL PUBLIC GOOD WHICH IS CONTROLLED GROWTH TOTALLY; EVERY GOVERNMENTAL UNIT AND THE STATE IS WALKING THIS LINE. HE ADDRESSED HIM NEVER REMEMBERING ANY CASE WHERE THE STATE SAYING A SUBDIVISION COULDN'T BE BUILT BECAUSE THE COUNTY'S POPULATION GROWTH DIDN'T REQUIRE IT.

MILLER SAID THERE HAD BEEN A LOT OF EFFORTS IN INCREASED LEVELS IN JUSTIFICATION FOR IT BUT HE IS NOT AWARE OF ANY CASES WHERE THE STATE DENIED IT.

PARKER ADDRESSED THE STATE WANTED THE COUNTY'S TO USE THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA'S PROJECTION OF POPULATIONS; THEY HAVE TO PRESENT THESE POPULATION PROJECTIONS WHEN THEY SUBMIT LAND USE AMENDMENTS. HE ADDRESSED WASHINGTON COUNTY, UP TO THIS POINT, HAS HAD A MINIMUM AMOUNT OF AMENDMENTS WITH MOST OF THEM BEING COMMERCIAL; AS MORE RESIDENTIAL AMENDMENTS COME ON, THE STATE MAY LOOK AT THESE HARDER. HE EXPLAINED THE WAY THE STATE LOOKS AT THESE IS TIE DEVELOPMENTS INTO INFRASTRUCTURE; THEY CAN GET THE PROPERTY REZONED BUT CAN'T BUILD UNTIL THEY GET THE NEEDED INFRASTRUCTURE.

CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER LEFT THE MEETING AT THIS TIME.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED THE MEETING BACK TO ORDER AND INFORMED RANDY PARKER TO CONTINUE WITH HIS PRESENTATION ON GROWTH MANAGEMENT BUT PLEASE CUT IT SHORT DUE TO THE COMMISSIONERS HAVING ANOTHER MEETING TO ATTEND AT 3:30 P.M.

RANDY INFORMED THE BOARD HE WOULD BE LEAVING BUT WOULD STILL WORK AND HELP THE COUNTY ANY WAY HE COULD. HE FINISHED HIS PRESENTATION AND ROGER HAGAN ASKED IF HE WOULD EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCE IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE.

PARKER EXPLAINED THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN WAS A GUIDING DOCUMENT FOR THE COUNTY TO GO BY AND ANY CHANGES TO THE PLAN HAD TO BE APPROVED BY THE STATE; THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE WAS A HAND BOOK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE PRESENTATIONS ON GROWTH MANAGEMENT WERE VERY INFORMATIVE AND HE WOULD LIKE THE COUNTY TO HAVE A GROWTH MANAGEMENT OFFICE; HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT OFFICE, THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT MEET WITH THE DEVELOPERS. HE ALSO SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE HAGAN RUN THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT AS HE WAS VERY VALUABLE TO THE COUNTY, HAD WONDERFUL LEADERSHIP ABILITIES AND WAS WELL QUALIFIED TO MAKE PRESENTATIONS. HE SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE ANY PROBLEMS WITH ANY OF THE OTHERS, HE JUST WANTED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER HAGAN BEING OVER THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT OFFICE.

COMMISSIONER COPE INJECTED THAT THE COUNTY HAD JUST PUT HAGAN IN HIS POSITION AT PUBLIC WORKS. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE UNDERSTOOD BUT WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO LOOK AT HIRING SOMEONE FOR PUBLIC WORKS; HE SAID HE WAS ALSO TALKING TO SOMEONE ABOUT BEING AN INHOUSE ENGINEER FOR THE COUNTY BUT WOULD NOT GIVE A NAME.

COMMISSIONER SAPP COMPLIMENTED HAGAN AND SAID HE WAS A MAN OF MANY TALENTS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED HAGAN HOW HE FELT ABOUT THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT POSITION. HAGAN SAID HE WOULD NOT BE INTERESTED IN ANY CHANGES UNLESS IT WAS SPELLED OUT AS TO WHAT HIS JOB DUTIES ARE. HE ALSO TALKED OF HIS POSITION AS EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR BUT AGREED TO DO WHAT THE BOARD ASKED HIM TO DO.

COMMISSIONER FINCH AGAIN SAID HE WAS JUST THROWING THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT OFFICE OUT FOR THE BOARD TO CONSIDER AND REITERATED HAGAN REPRESENTS WASHINGTON COUNTY WELL.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HAGAN WAS THE BEST EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS EVER HAD. HE SAID LINDA WALLER COULD NOT BE THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR AND KEEP UP WITH ALL HER DAILY DUTIES TOO.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR POSITION WAS A VERY IMPORTANT POSITION AND THE BOARD DIDN'T NEED TO JUMP IN AND MAKE A DECISION TODAY.

COMMISSIONER SAPP TOLD HAGAN SOMEONE HAD CALLED FROM PUBLIC WORKS AND ASKED THE BOARD NOT MOVE HIM FROM PUBLIC WORKS AS HE WAS DOING A GOOD JOB.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE FELT HAGAN WOULD MAKE A GOOD GROWTH MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR BUT FELT HE ONLY NEEDED ONE JOB.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS THE BOARD AND HAGAN GIVE THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT POSITION A LOT OF THOUGHT AND TAKE THE MATTER UP LATER.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN. ATTEST:\_\_\_\_\_

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN

\*END OF MINUTES\* FOR 01/12/06