

BOARD MINUTES FOR 01/05/06

JANUARY 5, 2006

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY MET IN SPECIAL SESSION ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 8:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS COPE, CORBIN, SAPP, STRICKLAND AND FINCH PRESENT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, CLERK COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK CARTER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

JAMES BARNES PROCLAIMED THE MEETING WITH COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERING PRAYER AND CHAIRMAN SAPP LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP STATED THE PURPOSE OF THE MEETING WAS A SITUATION OF EMERGENCY IN A TIME FRAME TO PUT A CONCEPT TOGETHER TO BRING JOBS TO WASHINGTON COUNTY; IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH BRINGING INDUSTRY TO WASHINGTON COUNTY, IT WILL REQUIRE THE CITY, COUNTY AND CHAMBER WORKING TOGETHER STRONGLY.

TED EVERITT, CHAMBER DIRECTOR, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE PROPOSED ITEMS OF AGREEMENT THAT HAD BEEN PROVIDED TO THEM ON THE THREE PROJECTS THAT ARE WANTING TO COME TO THE INDUSTRIAL PARK; THE ITEMS WERE WORKED OUT SO WASHINGTON COUNTY CAN GET JOBS IN AND THE CITY OF CHIPLEY CAN STILL HAVE A SPRAY FIELD AS THEY ARE MANDATED BY THE STATE TO DO.

EVERITT ADDRESSED THERE BEING A DISCREPANCY ON ITEM 3-C AS FAR AS THE ACREAGE FOR PROJECT SORBET AS IT LISTS FIVE ACRES; AT THE BOTTOM OF THE AGREEMENT IT LISTS PROJECT SORBET AT TEN ACRES AT \$5,600 PER ACRE. HE EXPLAINED PROJECT SORBET HAD ASKED BETWEEN FIVE TO TEN ACRES; THEY ARE GOING TO OFFER THEM FIVE ACRES BUT MAY HAVE TO INCREASE THIS.

HE WENT OVER THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT:

1. THE CITY WILL TAKE OPTION ON 102 ACRES IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY INDUSTRIAL PARK RUNNING NORTH AND SOUTH. EVERITT EXPLAINED THEY WANT THE CITY TO EXERCISE THEIR OPTION WITH THE COUNTY ON THE 102 ACRES AT THE INDUSTRIAL PARK RUNNING NORTH AND SOUTH; THIS WILL REQUIRE THEM TO DO MORE ENGINEERING AND TESTING ON THE NORTH END TO SEE WHAT IS AVAILABLE THERE.

2. COUNTY WILL DECLARE REMAINING PROPERTY TO BE SOLD BY WASHINGTON COUNTY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL. EVERITT EXPLAINED THE WASHINGTON COUNTY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE NEEDED THE AUTHORITY TO GO AHEAD AND ENTER INTO FINAL NEGOTIATIONS WITH THESE COMPANIES ON THE LAND.

3. A SURVEY IS NEEDED FOR THREE PARCELS TO INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS AS FOLLOWS:

- A. 40 ACRES-PROJECT PIPE
- B. 10 ACRES-PROJECT LUMBER
- C. 5 ACRES-PROJECT SORBET

4. THE CHAMBER WILL GET THE SALES AGREEMENTS BASED ON THE ACREAGE DONE IN THE SURVEYS IN THE PREVIOUS ITEM 3.

5. OFFER 30 ACRES WITH A 5 YEAR OPTION TO PROJECT PIPE AT \$_____ PER ACRE, CONTINGENT UPON NUMBER OF JOBS CREATED AND AMOUNT OF CAPITAL INVESTMENT. EVERITT EXPLAINED THEY WOULD LIKE TO OFFER PROJECT PIPE 40 ACRES WITH A CLEAR TITLE TO IT AND ANOTHER 30 ACRES WITH A FIVE YEAR OPTION TIED TO THE NUMBER OF JOBS CREATED.

6. SET EXCESS FUNDS FROM SALE OF THESE PROPERTIES ASIDE FOR USE BY THE CITY IN RELOCATING THEIR SPRAY FIELD. EVERITT EXPLAINED HE UNDERSTOOD IT WOULD TAKE ANYWHERE FROM \$30,000 TO \$55,000 FOR RE-ENGINEERING WHICH WILL BE REQUIRED WITH RELOCATION OF THEIR SPRAY FIELDS; THEY WERE REQUESTING THE COUNTY LET THE CITY OF CHIPLEY HAVE UP TO \$55,000 OF THE EXCESS FUNDS FOR RE-ENGINEERING THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO FOR THEIR SPRAY FIELDS.

EVERITT ALSO POINTED OUT THE CHAMBER WOULD LIKE FOR ANY OF THE REMAINING EXCESS FUNDS TO BE SET ASIDE FOR THE FUTURE PURCHASE OF AN INDUSTRIAL SITE.

7. GET THE CITY AND COUNTY ENGINEERS INVOLVED AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.

8. BEGIN MITIGATION PLANS; EVERITT EXPLAINED BY MOVING THE SPRAY FIELDS TO THE NORTH END OF THE INDUSTRIAL PARK, WETLANDS WOULD BE INVOLVED. HE HAS ALREADY SPOKEN WITH BRIDGETT MERRIEL AND SHE IS GOING TO SPEAK TO MARY HELEN BRINKSLY; BOTH PLAN TO COME NEXT WEEK AND TALK TO HIM, CHAIRMAN SAPP AND JIM MORRIS TO SEE IF THE MITIGATION OF THE WETLANDS CAN'T BE EXPEDITED QUICKLY. HE REFERRED TO THE COUNTY BEING A RURAL AREA OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CONCERN AND THEY ARE THEREFORE ABLE TO GO INTO THE RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVE PROGRAM. BY BEING ABLE TO GET INTO THE REDI PROGRAM, WHAT MAY TAKE UP TO A YEAR COULD POSSIBLY BE DONE IN FOUR TO SIX MONTHS; EVERITT ADDRESSED TIME WAS OF THE ESSENCE.

9. CITY MUST SIGN AN AGREEMENT TO SELL EXCESS PROPERTY BACK TO THE COUNTY AT PURCHASE PRICE. EVERITT EXPLAINED THE CITY OF CHIPLEY WOULD HAVE A LOT OF MONEY TIED UP INTO SOME OF THE PROPERTY; HE SUGGESTED THE COUNTY AND CITY, AT THE TIME THEY HAVE EXCESS PROPERTY, ENTER INTO NEGOTIATIONS ON WHAT IS A FAIR PRICE BETWEEN THEM.

EVERITT WENT OVER THE PRICING:

PROJECT PIPE-40 ACRES AT \$5,600

OPTION 30 ACRES AT \$15,000 ACRE (CONTIGUOUS)

NO BINDER ON OPTION-NO COST-NOT BEFORE TWO YEARS

PROJECT SORBET-10 ACRES AT \$5,600 PER ACRE

PROJECT LUMBER-10 ACRES AT \$30,000 PER ACRE??

EVERITT SAID HE DIDN'T FEEL THESE WERE UNFAIR PRICES AND REFERRED TO THEM NOT HAVING QUOTED A PRICE TO PROJECT LUMBER AND IS WHY THEY HAVE THE PRICE IN THERE; WHEN DEALING IN REAL ESTATE, PRICING IS NEGOTIABLE AND THE CHAMBER WILL DO THEIR VERY BEST TO BRING THE MOST MONEY IN FOR THE ACREAGE.

MISCELLANEOUS:

ALL PROJECT AGREEMENTS WITH PURCHASERS, _____ NUMBER OF JOBS IN _____ YEARS. NO RESALE OF EXCESS PROPERTY. COUNTY HOLDS RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL AT SALE PRICE. EXCEPTION WOULD BE THE FOOTPRINT OF BUILDING AND PARKING AREAS.

EVERITT SAID THEY WANTED THE COUNTY AND CITY TO UNDERSTAND ON THE 30 ACRE OPTION FOR PROJECT PIPE, SHOULD THEY DECIDE TO SELL IT FOR SOME REASON, THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE THE FIRST RIGHT OF REFUSAL; THIS WOULD ALLOW THE COUNTY COMMISSION TO STAY IN CONTROL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PARK.

HE EXPLAINED THE CHAMBER WAS REQUESTING THE COUNTY TAKE A LOOK AT THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT, SIGN OFF ON IT AND PUT IT IN THE MINUTES OF THE CHAMBER AND GO FORWARD WITH FULL AUTHORITY FROM THE COUNTY COMMISSION TO PURSUE THE PROJECTS AND ENTER INTO SERIOUS NEGOTIATIONS, WHICH WILL EVENTUALLY LEAD TO A CONTRACT, WHICH WILL EVENTUALLY LEAD TO NEW JOBS FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER SAPP QUESTIONED IF PROJECT PIPE WOULD BE MANDATED TO UTILIZE THE PROPERTY WITHIN TWO YEARS. EVERITT EXPLAINED THAT MORRIS HAD REQUESTED THEY NOT MANDATE THEY UTILIZE THE PROPERTY WITHIN THE TWO YEARS DUE TO THE CITY HAVING TO DO SOME THINGS TO FACILITATE THAT TO HAPPEN.

MORRIS ADVISED THE CITY WAS GOING TO HAVE TO ACQUIRE SOME OTHER PROPERTIES AND GET GRANTS FOR THEIR SPRAY FIELDS; HE FELT LIKE WITHIN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO OBTAIN A GRANT AND REFERRED TO HIM HAVING A MEETING SCHEDULED NEXT TUESDAY MORNING TO ADDRESS POSSIBLE GRANTS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED ITEM 9; THE CITY MUST SIGN AN AGREEMENT TO SELL EXCESS PROPERTY BACK TO COUNTY AT PURCHASE PRICE.

EVERITT EXPLAINED HE RECOMMENDED TAKING #9 OUT OF THE AGREEMENT BECAUSE WHAT WILL HAPPEN AT SOME POINT SHOULD THE CITY GET THE GRANTS THEY ARE LOOKING FOR, AND THE CHAMBER HOPES OVER TIME, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO WITHDRAW THE SPRAYFIELDS FROM THE INDUSTRIAL PARK AND MOVE IT TO A NEW LOCATION. AT THAT TIME, EVERITT REITERATED SOMETHING WOULD HAVE TO HAPPEN BETWEEN THE CITY AND COUNTY COMMISSION TO RECLAIM THAT LAND.

COMMISSIONER FINCH EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN WAS THE SELL OF EXCESS PROPERTY BACK AS THE COUNTY IS NOT SELLING TO THE CITY TO START WITH. EVERITT EXPLAINED

IF THE CITY EXERCISED THEIR 102 ACRE OPTION, THEY WOULD BE BUYING THE PROPERTY. COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY WOULD BE ABLE TO ACQUIRE THE PROPERTY BACK AT THE SAME PRICE THE CITY PAID FOR IT AND POINTED OUT THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE A LOT OF MONEY TIED UP HELPING THE CITY WITH ENGINEERING COST IF THEY ENTERED INTO THE AGREEMENT.

EVERITT REITERATED THE PRICE WOULD HAVE TO BE SOMETHING THE COUNTY AND CITY WOULD HAVE TO COME UP WITH. HE EXPLAINED THAT MORRIS HAD INFORMED HIM THE CITY WOULD HAVE THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN THAT LAND AT THAT TIME.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REITERATED THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE A LOT OF MONEY TIED UP IN THE PROPERTY ALSO WITH THE ENGINEERING COST THAT IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE UPFRONTED SUPPOSEDLY FROM THE MONIES FROM THE SALE OF PROPERTY TO THE PROJECTS MOVING TO THE INDUSTRIAL PARK.

EVERITT ADVISED HE COULDN'T TELL THE COUNTY COMMISSION WHAT PRICE THEY WOULD NEED TO WORK OUT WITH THE CITY ON THE PROPERTY AS HE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT MONIES THE CITY WILL HAVE IN THE PROPERTY AT THAT TIME. HE FELT THE BEST WAY TO LOOK AT #9 IS AT SOME FUTURE POINT, WHEN THE CITY IS LEAVING THE AREA, THE COUNTY AND CITY WILL HAVE TO NEGOTIATE A SALE PRICE FOR THE LAND BACK TO THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CORBIN VOICED THEIR OPINION THAT NOW WOULD BE THE TIME TO TAKE CARE OF NEGOTIATING A PRICE.

MORRIS SAID ITEM 9 HAD NOT BEEN DISCUSSED WITH HIM AND HE WAS NOT AWARE IT WAS GOING TO BE ON THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT; HOWEVER, THE REASON THEY CAN'T SELL THE COUNTY THE PROPERTY BACK AT THE PURCHASE PRICE WAS BECAUSE THEY MAY HAVE \$20,000 PER ACRE TIED UP IN THE PROPERTY AND IF THEY SELL THE PROPERTY AND THE STATE IS PUTTING COST INTO THE PROPERTY, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO PAY THE STATE BACK WHAT THEY HAVE INVOLVED IN IT. HE ADDRESSED IF THE CITY MOVES OFF THE PROPERTY, THE STATE HELPED PAY FOR IT AND THE CITY SELLS IT, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO PAY THE STATE BACK.

EVERITT SAID FROM THE CHAMBER'S POINT OF VIEW, THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME MECHANISM WHEN THE CITY VACATES THE PROPERTY, THE LAND COMES BACK TO THE COUNTY AND THE PRICE WILL HAVE TO BE WORKED OUT BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND CITY.

COMMISSIONER SAPP POINTED OUT THE PROPERTY WOULDN'T NECESSARILY HAVE TO COME BACK TO THE COUNTY; THE CITY WOULD OWN THE PROPERTY AND THEY COULD SELL IT.

MORRIS SAID THE CITY DIDN'T HAVE ANY INTENTIONS OF SELLING THE PROPERTY FOR A PROFIT; HOWEVER, THEY CAN'T SELL THE PROPERTY WHEN THEY ARE GOING TO VACATE PART OF IT TO LET THE COUNTY PUT MORE BUSINESSES ON THERE WHEN THEY HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY. HE EXPLAINED THE CITY CAN'T SELL IT TO THE COUNTY FOR \$1400 PER ACRE WHEN THEY WILL HAVE \$20,000 PER ACRE INVESTED IN IT AND A PORTION OF THAT WILL HAVE TO BE PAID BACK TO THE STATE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE COST THE COUNTY IS UPFRONTING TO HELP THE CITY WITH THE ENGINEERING COSTS, ETC. SHOULD BE FIGURED IN.

COMMISSIONER MORRIS POINTED OUT THE CITY HAD ALREADY EXPENDED MONIES FOR ENGINEERING COSTS. COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED, IF THE COUNTY HAD NOT MADE A GOOD FAITH EFFORT AND COMMITTED TO THE CITY, THEY WOULDN'T HAVE THE PROPERTY TO BEGIN WITH AND THE COUNTY DID THIS TO HELP THE CITY OUT. HE WANTED IT DOCUMENTED SOMEWHERE, THE COUNTY WOULDN'T HAVE TO PAY THE CITY \$20,000 PER ACRE TO GET THE PROPERTY BACK. COMMISSIONER CORBIN REFERRED TO ITEM #6 REQUESTING THE COUNTY SET ASIDE EXCESS FUNDS FROM THE SALE OF PROPERTIES FOR USE BY THE CITY IN RELOCATING THEIR SPRAY FIELDS; THE COUNTY WOULD BE MAKING A CONTRIBUTION TO THE CITY WITH THIS.

EVERITT REITERATED THE CITY HAS ALREADY DONE ENGINEERING PLANS ON THE PROPERTY RUNNING EAST AND WEST AND TO RELOCATE RUNNING NORTH AND SOUTH, THERE WOULD BE SOME EXTRA FEES INCURRED AND THE EXCESS FUNDS WOULD BE USED FOR THE EXPENSE UP TO \$50,000.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAD PREVIOUSLY COMMITTED UP TO \$30,000 TO THE CITY OF CHIPLEY. MORRIS ADVISED THIS WAS APPROVED IF THE SALES DIDN'T GO THROUGH AND THE CITY AND COUNTY HAD TO ABSORB THE COST; THE COUNTY

WOULD PAY UP TO \$30,000 AND THE CITY WOULD PAY THE REMAINDER. IF THE SALES GO THROUGH, MORRIS EXPLAINED THE COUNTY WOULDN'T HAVE TO ABSORB ANYTHING BECAUSE THEY WILL BE MAKING A PROFIT OFF THE PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE UNDERSTOOD THE COUNTY WOULDN'T BE MAKING A PROFIT AS THE FUNDS WOULD BE SET ASIDE; THE COUNTY WOULDN'T BE GETTING ANYTHING OTHER THAN BUSINESSES. MORRIS REITERATED THE CITY WOULDN'T BE MAKING ANY PROFIT EITHER; THEY WOULD ONLY BE INVESTING IT BACK INTO THE PROPERTY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE COUNTY COULD REIMBURSE THEMSELVES THE \$30,000 TO \$50,000 THEY WOULD BE AGREEING TO GIVE THE CITY OF CHIPLEY FROM THE SALE OF THE PROPERTIES TO THE PROJECTS COMING IN. THE NET FUNDS IS WHAT WILL BE SET ASIDE AND NOT THE GROSS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED ON THE SELL OF THE 30 ACRES OR 40 ACRES TO PROJECT PIPE, THERE WOULD BE SOME KIND OF AGREEMENT WHERE THEY COULDN'T SELL THIS AND WOULD SELL IT BACK TO THE COUNTY.

EVERITT REITERATED THE 40 ACRES WOULD BE THE INITIAL SALE TO PROJECT PIPE AS THIS WOULD BE WHERE THEY WILL BUILD THEIR BUILDING; THE OPTION THEY WOULD LIKE TO GIVE THEM, DUE TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE COMPANY SAYING DIRECTLY THE MINIMUM AMOUNT OF PROPERTY THEY WOULD NEED WOULD BE 70 ACRES, WOULD BE FOR 30 ADDITIONAL ACRES WITH THE COUNTY HAVING THE FIRST RIGHT OF REFUSAL ON IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED AT WHAT PRICE WOULD PROJECT PIPE HAVE TO SELL IT BACK TO THE COUNTY. EVERITT SAID IT COULD BE WORKED INTO THE AGREEMENT PROJECT PIPE WOULD HAVE TO SELL IT BACK TO THE COUNTY FOR THE SAME PRICE IT WAS SOLD TO THEM FOR.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED THE CITY OF CHIPLEY WILL HAVE INVESTED THEIR SPRAY FIELD COST ON THE THIRTY ACRES; IF IT IS USED FOR ANYTHING BESIDES THE SPRAY FIELD WHICH WOULD BE FOR THE CITY'S USE, THE CITY WOULD HAVE TO BE REIMBURSED TO RELOCATE SOMEWHERE ELSE.

MORRIS REITERATED THAT IS WHY HE POINTED OUT PREVIOUSLY THE CITY COULDN'T SELL THE PROPERTY BACK TO THE COUNTY FOR \$1400 PER ACRE BECAUSE THEY WILL HAVE MAJOR MONEY INVOLVED IN IT AND IT WILL COST MONIES TO RELOCATE IT.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADVISED WITH DOCUMENTED COSTS FROM THE CITY ON WHAT THEY HAVE INVOLVED WITH THE PROPERTY, THE COUNTY WOULDN'T BUY IT BACK, NO DOUBT, WITHOUT THEY ALREADY HAD A SELL FOR IT TO COVER THE DOCUMENTED COST.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE FELT THE COUNTY AND CITY IS IN THE SITUATION THEY ARE TODAY ON THE INDUSTRIAL PARK PROPERTY BECAUSE SOME OF THESE THINGS WEREN'T THOUGHT OUT AND THEY ASSUMED THE WAY EVERYTHING WAS GOING TO BE AND EVERYBODY WAS GOING TO BE HAPPY.

MORRIS POINTED OUT THEY WERE NOT IN A SITUATION THAT COULDN'T BE WORKED OUT AS LONG AS THEY PUT THEIR HEADS TOGETHER; THEY PRETTY MUCH HAVE IT WORKED OUT ON PAPER WITH THERE BEING A COUPLE OF ITEMS THEY ARE OFF ON.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED THE INDUSTRIAL PARK PROPERTY WHERE THE COUNTY HAS THE POND AND THE SHELTER. EVERITT ADVISED THIS WOULD BE USED AS A SPRAY FIELD AND THE COUNTY WOULD KEEP IT.

MORRIS SAID IF THE CITY COULD USE THAT TEN ACRES THAT IS AVAIL- ABLE TO SPRAY ON; IT WOULD FREE UP MORE SPACE ON THE OTHER END OF THE PROPERTY. HOWEVER, THE CITY WILL NOT KNOW WHAT THEY CAN DO UNTIL THEY GET A SURVEY ON THE PROPERTY SHOWING WHERE EVERYTHING IS GOING TO SET AT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE TAX INCENTIVES FOR THE PROJECTS WANTING TO COME TO WASHINGTON COUNTY HAD BEEN WORKED OUT. EVERITT REPORTED THEY WOULD BE TALKING WITH THEM; HOWEVER, THE FIRST TWO PROJECTS, PROJECT PIPE AND LUMBER, WILL NOT BE GETTING A TAX RELIEF DUE TO THE SALE PRICES THEY ARE GETTING THE LAND FOR.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED HOW THE \$5,600 PER ACRE PRICE WAS ARRIVED AT AND POINTED OUT HE DIDN'T REMEMBER OVER THE LAST THREE YEARS IT HAVING COME UP AT A COMMISSION MEETING. EVERITT ADVISED WHEN HE BECAME CHAMBER DIRECTOR, SOME OF THE PROJECTS WERE ALREADY IN THE WORKS AND THAT WAS THE FIGURE HE WAS TOLD HAD BEEN QUOTED ON THE PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID, AS FAR AS HE WAS CONCERNED, IF THE PROJECTS HAD NOT STARTED ANYTHING WITHIN ONE YEAR FROM THE DATE OF THE TRANSACTION, THE PROPERTY SHOULD REVERT BACK TO THE COUNTY.

EVERITT ADDRESSED THAT WOULDN'T BE FAIR AND REFERRED TO THINGS THAT COULD HAPPEN, SUCH AS PERMITTING, ETC. THAT WOULD TAKE AN AN EXTRA MONTH OVER THAT ONE YEAR PERIOD OF TIME AND QUESTIONED SHOULD THE PROPERTY REVERT BACK TO THE COUNTY. EVERITT SAID IF THE PROJECTS WERE DOING EVERYTHING WITH DUE DILIGENCE AND FAITH, THE COUNTY SHOULD HAVE TO HONOR THE CONTRACT; SOMETIMES THERE IS WEATHER PROBLEMS OR OTHER THINGS THAT COME UP. HOWEVER, EVERITT SAID IF IT APPEARS NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE AFTER A YEAR, THERE SHOULD BE SOMETHING THE COUNTY COULD LOOK AT. HE SAID HE DIDN'T FEEL ANYTHING CAN BE SIGNED ADVISING THE PERSON WITHIN ONE YEAR'S TIME THEY HAVE TO HAVE SOMETHING BUILT, CREATE A CERTAIN NUMBER OF JOBS, ETC.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WAS REFERRING TO SOME PROJECT WORK HAVING BEGUN. EVERITT ADVISED PROJECT SORBET HAS SAID THEY WOULD BE READY TO BREAK GROUND IN THE MIDDLE OF FEBRUARY; HE PERSONALLY FEELS IT WILL TAKE THEM A LITTLE LONGER.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS ANY TYPE OF COMMITMENT FROM THE PROJECTS RATHER THAN A VERBAL COMMITMENT. EVERITT REPORTED HE HAD BEEN TOLD PROJECT PIPE AND PROJECT LUMBER WILL BE DOWN NEXT WEEK TO LOOK AT THE PROPERTY THE COUNTY IS WILLING TO SELL THEM; IF AT THAT TIME EVERYTHING IS TO THEIR SATISFACTION, THEY WILL TURN AROUND AND ISSUE A LETTER OF INTENT. A LETTER OF INTENT IS SAYING THEY ARE INTENDING TO CARRY FORTH WITH NEGOTIATIONS TO LOCATE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY; THE CHAMBER IS LOOKING FOR THREE LETTERS OF INTENT WITHIN THE NEXT TWO WEEKS WHICH WILL BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE COUNTY COMMISSION AS SOON AS HE HAS THEM.

EVERITT EXPLAINED THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT WOULD GIVE THE CHAMBER THE BASIS TO PROCEED WITH THE PROJECTS; WITHOUT THE APPROVAL OF THE AGREEMENT, THE CHAMBER CAN'T GO FORWARD ON ANY OF THESE AS THEY HAVE TO HAVE THE AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT BE SIGNED WITH THE CONTINGENCY THE LETTERS OF INTENT ARE SIGNED BEFORE ANY SURVEY IS DONE, ANY COST OR ANYTHING IS CHANGED WITHOUT KNOWING THE SIGNED CONTRACT WITH A HEFTY NON- REFUNDABLE BINDER IS GOING TO GO THROUGH. BY DOING THIS, SAPP ADDRESSED ALL THE UPFRONT COSTS WILL BE TAKEN CARE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN RECOMMENDED THE BOARD GIVE THE PROJECTS A LETTER OF INTENT ON WHAT THEY WILL DO JUST LIKE THEY ARE GOING TO GIVE THE COUNTY. EVERITT DISAGREED WITH THIS AND SAID HE WOULD BE IN TOUCH WITH COMMISSIONER SAPP ON A DAILY BASIS, WHEN THE PROJECTS COME TO LOOK AT WHAT IS AVAILABLE, COMMISSIONER SAPP WILL BE REPRESENTING THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND WILL BE AT EVERY MEETING THE CHAMBER HAS TO MAKE SURE THE COUNTY'S INTEREST IS BEING LOOKED AFTER.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADVISED, AS FAR AS HIM BEING REPRESENTATIVE OF THE COUNTY ON THESE PROJECTS, THIS WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AS TO WHOM THEY WOULD LIKE REPRESENTING THEM.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE HAD INFORMED EVERITT HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO THESE PROSPECTS AND INTERVIEW THEM BUT HE HAS NOT HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO SO. EVERITT ADVISED THE CHAMBER HAS NOT HAD THE PROSPECTS DOWN YET TO DO THIS.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED WHAT WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE WITH THE WETLANDS. EVERITT ADVISED IF THE COUNTY HAD SOME WETLANDS TO MITIGATE, THIS WOULD BE HELPFUL; THE JOBS ARE WORTH TWO TO THREE ACRES FOR EVERY ONE ACRE THE COUNTY MAY HAVE OUT THERE. IF THE COUNTY COULD MITIGATE THE WETLANDS, IT GIVES THE CITY ADDITIONAL ACREAGE, IE., AND THE COUNTY ADDITIONAL ACREAGE TO THEN SELL TO MORE PROJECTS. EVERITT ADDRESSED THE COUNTY WOULD BE LOOKING AT MORE PROJECTS WANTING TO COME INTO WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE OF THE RAILROAD ACCESSIBILITY.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR BUILDING THE NEW ROAD NEEDED. EVERITT ADVISED THE CHAMBER WOULD BE WORKING WITH THE COUNTY AND WILL BE TRYING TO ACCESS THE ROAD FUND FROM THE STATE OF FLORIDA TO HELP PUT IN THE ROAD; THEY WILL HAVE TO LOOK AT THE SURVEY TO DETERMINE THE COST. HE EXPLAINED A LARGE AMOUNT OF FUNDING WILL HAVE TO BE ACCESSED FROM THE ROAD FUND TO ACTUALLY BRING IN THE SPUR TO SERVE THE THREE PROSPECTIVE CLIENTS. EVERITT AGREED THIS WAS A POINT OF CONCERN; HOWEVER, HE DOESN'T FEEL THE ROAD SHOULD BE A HOLD UP OF THE WHOLE PROJECT. HE ADDRESSED THE CITY, STATE AND COUNTY CAN LOOK AT HOW TO PAY FOR THE ROAD. HE POINTED OUT THE ROAD WOULD HAVE TO BE A HARD SURFACED ROAD DUE TO THE AMOUNT OF TRUCKING THAT WILL BE COMING IN AND OUT OF THERE; ONE OF THE PROJECTS WILL BE A SMALL RETAIL BUSINESS AND THERE WILL HAVE TO BE A ROAD THERE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED WHEN THE COUNTY WAS GOING TO KNOW AS FAR AS THE ACTUALLY BUSINESSES THAT ARE LOOKING AT COMING TO WASHINGTON COUNTY. EVERITT ADVISED THE BROKER FOR THE FIRST TWO PROJECTS HAS INDICATED HE IS WILLING TO COME INTO TOWN NEXT WEEK AND MEET WITH THE LIASON OF THE COUNTY. HE EXPRESSED THE IMPORTANCE OF KEEPING THE NAMES OF A LOT OF THE COMPANIES PRIVATE AND REFERRED TO WHAT COULD HAPPEN. IF EVERYBODY KNOWS WHO ALL THE PROJECT COMPANIES ARE AND SOMEBODY CALLS THEM UP AND SAYS THEY HEAR THEY ARE MOVING TO WASHINGTON COUNTY, HE POINTED OUT MOST OF THOSE PEOPLE DON'T KNOW THEIR COMPANY IS LOCATING AND THE NEXT THING THAT COULD HAPPEN IS THE COMPANY WOULD BE CALLING HIM UP SAYING IT IS ALL OVER THE STREETS THEY ARE MOVING TO WASHINGTON COUNTY AND NOW THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO LOCATE TO ANOTHER COUNTY. EVERITT ADVISED THEY WERE LEGITIMATE, SOLID BUSINESSES.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS WITH THESE PROJECTS. EVERITT ADVISED ALL OF THE PROJECTS ARE VERY ENVIRONMENTAL FRIENDLY AND THERE ARE NO TOXIC OR HAZARDOUS WASTE ON SITE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE NEED TO HAVE SOMETHING DOCUMENTED AS TO EXACTLY WHAT WILL BE EXPECTED FROM THE COUNTY IN REGARDS TO THE ROAD THAT WILL HAVE TO BE BUILT.

EVERITT ADVISED THE CHAMBER WAS WILLING TO LOOK FOR GRANTS THROUGH THE ROAD FUNDS TO HELP BUILD THE ROAD NEEDED; HOWEVER, HE CAN'T GUARANTEE THEY CAN GET A \$100,000. HE ADDRESSED THERE WAS GOING TO HAVE TO BE A ROAD BUILT SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE IF THERE IS GOING TO BE DEVELOPMENT AT THE INDUSTRIAL PARK; HOWEVER, HE DOESN'T KNOW WHERE THE FUNDS ARE GOING TO COME FROM TO BUILD IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED THE FUNDS FROM THE SALE OF THE LAND TO BUSINESSES COULD BE USED TO BUILD THE ROAD NEEDED. EVERITT SAID HE WOULDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH TAKING MONIES FROM THE SALE OF THE LAND AT THE INDUSTRIAL PARK TO BUILD A ROAD.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO LEAVE HERE TODAY WITH A VAGUE UNDERSTANDING AS TO WHAT IS GOING TO BE DONE AND NOTHING IS DOCUMENTED. EVERITT SAID THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT IS WORTHLESS UNLESS THEY ARE ABLE TO GET THE LETTERS OF INTENT FROM THE PROSPECTIVE PROJECTS. MORRIS SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING ON THE MONIES THE COUNTY IS GOING TO SET ASIDE IS TO USE THEM TO ENHANCE PROPERTIES, BUY PROPERTIES, ETC.; IT IS NOT JUST TO SET ASIDE TO BUY A PIECE OF PROPERTY AS THEY HAVE TO DO WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED MORRIS IF THAT CAME AHEAD OF SETTING MONIES ASIDE TO MOVE THE SPRAY FIELD IF THEY MOVED IT TO A LATER DATE; DIDN'T THE COUNTY HAVE A COMMITMENT TO GIVE THE CITY OF CHIPLEY EXCESS MONIES TO HELP MOVE THE SPRAY FIELD.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY REPORTED THE EXCESS MONIES FROM THE SALE OF PROPERTIES AT THE INDUSTRIAL PARK WILL BE USED FOR WHATEVER THE COUNTY DECIDES TO DO; THE CHAMBER IS RECOMMENDING WHAT THEY WOULD LIKE SEE DONE WITH BUT THE BOARD WILL MAKE THE DECISION.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO ITEM #6 ON SETTING ASIDE EXCESS FUNDS FROM SALE OF PROPERTIES FOR USE BY THE CITY IN RELOCATING THEIR SPRAY FIELD; HE SAID AN AMOUNT NEEDS TO BE DESIGNATED ON WHAT WILL NEED TO BE SET ASIDE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP AGREED AND SAID A SPECIFIC AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED SHOULD BE INCLUDED FOR THE SALE OF THE 30 ACRES AND IT INCLUDED IN THE MOTION.

MORRIS SAID THE ENGINEER HAD TOLD HIM A ROUGH GUESS TO RELOCATE WOULD COST \$45,000 TO \$50,000; HOWEVER, HE CAN'T TELL THEM AN EXACT AMOUNT. COMMISSIONER SAPP SUGGESTED THE BOARD AGREE TO THE \$50,000 AND IF IT IS MORE, MORRIS COULD COME BACK BEFORE THE BOARD.

MORRIS SAID IT SOUNDED TO HIM LIKE THE BOARD THOUGHT THE CITY WAS TRYING TO MAKE MONEY OFF OF THE PROPERTY; HE ASSURED THEM THEY WERE NOT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THAT WAS NOT WHAT HE WAS SAYING; HE SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO LEAVE AND IT BE ASSUMED THE COUNTY IS GOING TO DO SOMETHING. HE SAID HE UNDERSTOOD THE CITY'S NEED TO PROTECT THE GRANT AND THE NEED TO MAKE SURE THE SPRAY FIELDS ARE TAKEN CARE OF; HOWEVER, IT DOESN'T NEED TO BE ASSUMED THE COUNTY IS GOING TO TAKE CARE OF EVERYTHING AND THIS IS WHAT IT IS SAYING IN THE LETTER OF AGREEMENT.

MORRIS SUGGESTED STRIKING OUT ITEMS #6 AND #9 AS THESE ARE THE ONES BEING QUESTIONED; IN THE FUTURE IF THE CITY HAS 100 ACRES AT THE INDUSTRIAL PARK AND 60 OR 70 ACRES IS BEING USED FOR A SPRAY FIELD AND THE COUNTY WANTS THEM TO RELOCATE IT SO IT WOULD FREE UP SOME PROPERTY FOR THEM, THE CITY IS NOT GOING TO DO IT FOR \$1400 AN ACRE. HE AGREED THE CITY WOULD WORK OUT A COST IT IS GOING TO COST THEM TO RELOCATE IT; HOWEVER, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO TAKE A \$20,000 PER ACRE HIT JUST TO FREE UP ANOTHER FEW ACRES.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED WHAT THE PROBLEM WOULD BE TO GO AHEAD AND RELOCATE THE SPRAY FIELDS NOW. MORRIS EXPLAINED ALL OF THIS WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE STATE AND IT WOULD PROBABLY TAKE A YEAR TO GET IT RESUBMITTED; THEY DON'T HAVE THAT TIME FRAME. ANOTHER ISSUE MORRIS POINTED OUT WAS IF THEY WERE MOVING THEIR SPRAY FIELD EIGHT MILES DOWN THE ROAD, IT WOULD COST THEM \$800,000 MINIMUM; THEY DON'T HAVE THE FUNDING AND IT WOULD SET THEIR PROJECT BACK NO LESS THAN ONE YEAR.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED MONIES BEING SPENT NOW TO RELOCATE THE SPRAY FIELD WHERE IT IS AND THEN IN TWO YEARS, MONIES WOULD HAVE TO BE SPENT AGAIN TO RELOCATE IT SOMEWHERE ELSE BECAUSE THEY WANT INDUSTRY HERE.

MORRIS POINTED OUT THE COUNTY WOULDN'T HAVE TO SPEND MONEY TO DO THAT; HOPEFULLY, THE CITY CAN GET A GRANT TO DO IT. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID SOMEONE WAS GOING TO HAVE TO SPEND MONIES AND EITHER WAY IT WOULD BE TAXPAYERS' MONEY. MORRIS EXPLAINED THEY WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO GET AN \$800,000 GRANT WITHOUT HAVING A TWO TO FIVE YEAR PERIOD TO GET SOMETHING WORKED OUT TO RELOCATE THE SPRAY FIELD. COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO A STATEMENT BEING MADE PREVIOUSLY ON THE SPRAY FIELD ON THE WATER BEING SO PURE IT WOULD BE SAFE TO DRINK BUT FL-DEP WON'T LET THE COUNTY PUT IT BACK IN THE CREEK; IF IT IS THAT PURE, HE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY IT NEEDED TO BE MOVED ANYWAY.

MORRIS SUGGESTED FINCH GO BACK AND READ THE CLEAN WATER ACT AND EXPLAINED THEY WERE MANDATED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ON WHAT THEY CAN GET OUT OF THE CREEK. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE UNDERSTOOD WHAT WAS BEING SAID BUT IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT, IT DOESN'T SOUND RIGHT.

EVERITT UPDATED THE BOARD ON STEVE SMITH, WHO HAS MANY YEARS OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT EXPERIENCE, HAS JUST BROUGHT IT TO HIS ATTENTION, BASICALLY WHAT THEY WOULD BE LOOKING AT FOR THE ROADS AND SITE DEVELOPMENT IS GRANTS THEY CAN ACCESS THROUGH THE STATE, FEDERAL, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION, RURAL DEVELOPMENT; THERE ARE DIFFERENT ENTITIES THE CHAMBER WOULD APPLY FOR ON THE COUNTY'S BEHALF TO HELP MAKE THESE ROADS COME IN.

SMITH SAID EVEN WITH THE STATE ROAD FUND, NORMALLY THROUGHOUT FLORIDA, IT WILL COVER ACCESS ROADS AND RAIL SPURS; IN THE EVENT THEY SHOULDN'T GET THE TOTAL AMOUNT NEEDED FROM THAT SOURCE, THEY HAVE TWO MAJOR SOURCES, USDA RURAL DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION AND THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION. HE EXPLAINED THE AREA IS QUALIFIED AND THESE AGENCIES ARE SPECIFICALLY INTERESTED IN JOBS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THAT IS WHERE THE MONEY IS DIRECTED TO FOR ROADS AND SITE DEVELOPMENT. THE MONEY AND FUNDS ARE THERE AND THEY HAVE A

DIRECT LIFELINE TO IT. SMITH SAID HE HAS WORKED WITH THE GRANTS FOR MANY YEARS AND HIS WIFE IS THE ACTIVE REGIONAL DIRECTOR OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION OUT OF ATLANTA AND HAS A FULL TIME STAFF MEMBER THAT COVERS THE STATE OF FLORIDA. HE EXPLAINED HER AGENCY'S PRIME OBJECTIVE IS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PUTTING MONIES INTO GRANTS FOR LAND DEVELOPMENT, SITE DEVELOPMENT AND EVEN BUILDINGS AS WELL AS OTHER UNIQUE PROJECTS. HE FEELS PRETTY CONFIDENT KNOWING THE PROJECTS THEY HAVE DONE OVER THE YEARS. HE MENTIONED PRIOR TO HIS WIFE SERVING IN THIS POSITION, SHE WAS THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR FOR USDA RURAL DEVELOPMENT FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA. HE STATED ALMOST 90% OF THE TIME, THE STATE WILL COVER THE COST FOR THE RAIL AND ROAD COSTS.

EVERITT REFERRED TO THE COUNTY NEEDING ALL THREE PROJECTS TO JUSTIFY THE RAIL.

SMITH EXPLAINED THE LETTER OF INDUCEMENT TO BE PROVIDED BY THE CLIENTS TO THE COUNTY IS THE VERY BEGINNING FOR APPLYING FOR ROAD FUNDS AND FOR ANY OTHER GRANTS THEY MAY NEED TO APPLY FOR. HE REFERRED TO FLORIDA NOT PUTTING OUT A BENEFIT UNLESS THEY FEEL THERE IS A LOT OF COMPETITION BETWEEN SURROUNDING STATES, ALABAMA, GEORGIA AND MISSISSIPPI, FOR THESE PROJECTS; THESE HAPPEN TO BE AREAS ALL THREE OF THESE PROJECTS ARE LOOKING AT EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE FACILITIES IN THESE STATES. IF THEY JUMP THE GUN ON ANNOUNCEMENTS OF THE PROJECTS COMING, THE STATE IS GOING TO COME BACK AND SAY THE COUNTY HAS THE INDUSTRY ANYWAY AND THEY DON'T NEED TO GIVE THEM ANY GRANT MONIES.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION TO ENTER INTO THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT WITH THE SET ASIDE LIMITS TO THE CITY OF CHIPLEY UP TO \$50,000 FOR TESTING, SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING. COMMISSIONER FINCH SECONDED THE MOTION. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD WAS DELETING ITEMS #6 AND #9; THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO INCLUDE THE ELIMINATING OF ITEMS #6 AND #9 IN THE MOTION.

MORRIS EXPLAINED IN THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT IT SAYS THE CITY HAS 102 ACRES; WHEN THEY MOVE THE SPRAY FIELD NORTH AND SOUTH, THERE IS MORE WETLANDS INVOLVED AND THEY MAY HAVE TO WIND UP WITH 110 ACRES TO GET THE AMOUNT OF PROPERTY NEEDED FOR THEIR SPRAY FIELD.

EVERITT ADVISED THE CHAMBER WOULD WORK DILIGENTLY WITH THE CITY AND COUNTY TO SEE ABOUT MITIGATING THE WETLANDS.

MORRIS SAID SINCE THE CITY IS BUYING THE PROPERTY, HE ASSUMED THE COUNTY WANTED THE CITY TO BUY THE WETLANDS AND ALL. HE ADDRESSED THE WETLAND AREAS BEING AREAS THEY CAN'T USE; THEY CAN'T SPRAY WATER IN THE WETLAND AREAS UNTIL IT IS MITIGATED AND FIXED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED WHEN THE CITY PURCHASES THE PROPERTY, IS IT GOING TO BE INCORPORATED INTO THE CHIPLEY CITY LIMITS. MORRIS SAID THE WHOLE INDUSTRIAL PARK WILL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE CITY LIMITS OF CHIPLEY; THEY WON'T OWN IT BUT IT WILL BE IN THE CITY LIMITS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE TEN ACRES WHERE THE POND AND THE BUILDING IS WOULD BE OWNED BY THE CITY; MORRIS AND COMMISSIONER SAPP ADVISED IT WOULD BE OWNED BY THE COUNTY.

MORRIS SAID THE CITY COULD LEAVE THAT OUT OF THE PROPERTY THEY WILL PURCHASE BUT WILL PROBABLY NEED TO UTILIZE IT FOR A SPRAY FIELD. COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THIS WOULD WORK WITH THE CITY'S GRANT IF THEY DON'T OWN THE PROPERTY BUT THEY CAN USE IT FOR THE SPRAY FIELD AND ADVISED HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE CITY USING IT. HE THEN QUESTIONED WHY THE CITY WOULD EVER WON'T TO BUY ANY OF IT IF THE COUNTY WOULD JUST LET THEM USE IT IF THERE WERE NO GRANT REQUIREMENTS THEY OWN THE PROPERTY.

MORRIS SAID THE GRANT REQUIRES IF THE CITY DON'T OWN THE PROPERTY, THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE A THIRTY YEAR LEASE TO SPRAY ON IT FOR THIRTY YEARS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF THE \$50,000 IN THE MOTION WAS IN ADDITION TO THE \$30,000 THE BOARD TOOK ACTION PREVIOUSLY TO GIVE THE CITY AND POINTED OUT NOBODY HAD RESCINDED THE PREVIOUS ACTION. HE FELT LIKE THE MINUTES SHOULD CLARIFY THE \$30,000 PREVIOUSLY GIVEN WAS PART OF THE \$50,000 IN THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO AMEND THE MOTION TO ELIMINATE THE

PREVIOUS BOARD'S ACTION TO GIVE THE CITY OF CHIPLEY \$30,000 TOWARD RELOCATING THEIR SPRAY FIELD.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED WHEN THE CITY'S SPRAY FIELD PROJECT WOULD START; MORRIS ADVISED IT HAD ALREADY STARTED BUT THEIR DESIGN IS NOT FINAL YET. HE SAID THEY SHOULD START SOMETIME MID YEAR ON THE PLANT ITSELF.

COMMISSIONER COPE REQUESTED DEPUTY CLERK CARTER READ THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER READ THE MOTION WAS TO ENTER INTO THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT AS PRESENTED SETTING ASIDE A LIMIT TO THE CITY OF CHIPLEY UP TO \$50,000 FOR TESTING, SURVEYING AND ENGINEERING; ELIMINATING ITEMS #6 AND #9 ON THE ITEMS OF AGREEMENT AND TO ELIMINATE THE PREVIOUS BOARD'S ACTION TO GIVE THE CITY FEES UP TO \$30,000 ON RELOCATING OF THE SPRAY FIELD.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED WHERE THE \$50,000 WOULD COME FROM. COMMISSIONER SAPP ADVISED IT WOULD COME FROM THE SALE OF PROPERTIES TO THE THREE PROJECTS WITH COMMISSIONER FINCH NOTING THIS SHOULD BE ADDED TO THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER SAPP REITERATED THE TOTAL ITEMS OF AGREEMENT IS CONTINGENT ON A SOLID CONTRACT. EVERITT REITERATED IF HE CAN'T PRODUCE LETTERS OF INTENT FROM THE THREE PROJECTS, THE AGREEMENT IS NULL AND VOID.

COMMISSIONER COPE CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION. COMMISSIONER SAPP POLLED THE BOARD AND THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

CHAIRMAN SAPP APPOINTED COMMISSIONER FINCH TO BE LIASON FOR THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO WORK WITH THE CHAMBER.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR PREVIOUSLY HAVING APPROVED A DEED FOR WILDER PARK; THEY HAVE A NEW SURVEY DESCRIPTION TO CORRECT SOME OF THE AREA AND THE BOARD NEEDS TO TAKE ACTION TO APPROVE OF THE NEW DESCRIPTION. COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE NEW SURVEY DESCRIPTION FOR WILDER PARK.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON JUDGE REGISTER HAVING SIGNED OFF ON THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS ON NORTHERN TRUST SUITS. HE ADVISED THE BOARD NEEDED TO GO AHEAD AND DIRECT THE COUNTY ENGINEER, CLIFF KNAUER, TO GET BUSY DOING THE GPS SURVEY ON THE ROADS. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO GIVE ATTORNEY HOLLEY THE AUTHORITY TO WORK WITH CLIFF ON THE GPS SURVEY ON THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS ON THE NORTHERN TRUST SUITS.

COUNTY ENGINEER, CLIFF KNAUER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE PERFORMANCE BONDS AND PAYMENT BONDS FROM C. W. ROBERTS WERE DELIVERED ON JANUARY 4TH FOR THE SOUTH BOULEVARD PROJECTS. HE HAD WENT OVER WITH DALLAS CARTER AND ROGER HAGAN THE COUNTY EFFORTS THAT WERE GOING TO HAVE TO BE PUT INTO THE PROJECT AND SOME OF THE ISSUES THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE DEALT WITH ON ADVERTISING AND CLOSING SOUTH BOULEVARD WHEN WORKING ON THE HIGHWAY 277 END AND AGAIN WHEN WORKING ON THE HIGHWAY 77 END. ROGER HAS A FEW IDEAS HE WANTED TO BRING BEFORE THE BOARD BUT HE WAS ONLY WANTING TO PUT THE BOARD ON NOTICE THE PROJECT WAS ABOUT TO BEGIN AND IT IS GOING TO REQUIRE THE EFFORTS OF DALLAS AND THE COUNTY FORCES, PARTICULAR ON THE SOUTH BOULEVARD PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED HOW FAR BACK ROBERTS WAS GOING TO PAVE ON EACH SIDE OF KIRKLAND ROAD. KNAUER ADVISED HE COULD CUT BACK WHATEVER APRON COPE WANTED; HOWEVER, RIGHT NOW HE HAS IT SET ABOUT 40' TO 50' FROM THE CENTERLINE. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THEY WOULD DEFINITELY NEED THIS AMOUNT AND REQUESTED KNAUER GET A PRICE ON PAVING ALL THE WAY ACROSS THAT SECTION BECAUSE THEY WILL BE REPLACING THE PIPE THERE. COPE EXPLAINED THE SECTION HE WAS SPEAKING OF WAS A SHORT DISTANCE BETWEEN HIGHWAY 90 AND SOUTH BOULEVARD ON KIRKLAND ROAD. KNAUER SAID HE ALREADY HAD A PRICE ON ASPHALT; IT WOULD BE JUST TO FIGURE UP THE QUANTITIES NEEDED.

KNAUER UPDATED THE BOARD ON HAVING MET WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN ON ALLISON DRIVE; HE IS REQUESTING HE GET BACK WITH C. W. ROBERTS ON GETTING THE COST OF THE EARTHWORK DOWN WITH THE COUNTY LOADING AND DELIVERING THE FILL MATERIAL. KNAUER SAID THEY WOULD BE TALKING ABOUT THE COUNTY HAULING AND DELIVERING ABOUT 8600 YARDS OF MATERIAL.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THIS IS ON THE ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY AND ASKED IF THE MATERIAL WENT ON THE SOUTH END. KNAUER ADVISED IT IS PRETTY MUCH ON THE SHOULDERS ALL THE WAY BETWEEN HIGHWAY 77 AND LEDGER ROAD; VERY LITTLE IS GOING ON THE SOUTH BOULEVARD PORTION.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN QUESTIONED IF KNAUER WAS TALKING ABOUT THE SHOULDER MATERIALS WITH KNAUER ADVISING HE WAS. CORBIN THEN ASKED WHO WAS DOING THE SEEDING AND MULCHING; KNAUER SAID C.W. ROBERTS WAS GOING TO DO THE SEEDING AND MULCHING. COMMISSIONER CORBIN, AFTER LEARNING THE FILL MATERIAL WAS MOSTLY FOR SHOULDER WORK, INFORMED KNAUER TO LEAVE THE FILL MATERIAL AS IS WITH THE COUNTY PROVIDING THE MATERIAL AND THE CONTRACTOR HAULING AND DELIVERING TO THE PROJECT.

ROGER HAGAN, PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR AT ROAD AND BRIDGE, UPDATED THE BOARD ON:

1. PUBLIC WORKS WOULD START MOVING EQUIPMENT IN AT THE OTHER END OF SOUTH BLVD, HWY 277 TO GET THE COMMUNITY USE TO SEEING THE ROAD EQUIPMENT.
2. THEY ARE GOING TO ASK ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TO PUT A NOTICE IN THE PAPER THAT CONSTRUCTION IS GOING TO START ON SOU BOULEVARD SO THE PUBLIC IS AWARE OF IT
3. THEY ARE GOING TO START PUTTING SIGNAGE UP AND BLOCK THREE BLOCKS AT THE TIME TO MAKE SURE THERE IS ACCESS
4. THERE IS GOING TO BE A LOT OF DIRT THAT IS GOING TO BE CLIPPED OFF AND REQUESTED THE BOARD NOT GIVE IT AWAY; HE SUGGESTED THEY STOCKPILE IT AT THE SOD FARM OR SOMEWHERE IT IS ACCESSIBLE DUE TO HAVING SHOULDER WORK AT ALLISON DRIVE AND TOP SOIL AT OTHER PLACES
5. THERE IS GOING TO BE TIMES ALL THE EQUIPMENT WILL BE TOGETHER OVER THE NEXT FEW MONTHS DUE TO ONGOING PROJECTS THE COUNTY IS ASSISTING WITH OR DOING; THE ALLISON DRIVE PROJECT, ORANGE HILL PROJECT, SOUTH BOULEVARD PROJECT, GREENHEAD PROJECT AND A BRIDGE. HE EXPLAINED THE COUNTY'S MAINTENANCE WILL JUST ABOUT BE WHAT A GRADER CAN DO AND A FEW BACKHOES. HE ASKED FOR PATIENCE FROM THE BOARD, THE SUPERVISORS, THE DEPARTMENT HEADS AND EMPLOYEES DUE TO THE COUNTY HAVING GIVEN PUBLIC WORKS ABOUT FIVE MAJOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS TO UNDERTAKE.
6. THE BOARD MAY BE LOOKING AT CONSIDERABLE OVERTIME IF PUBLIC WORKS IS GOING TO BE DOING THE TEMPORARY STRIPING FOR THESE PROJECTS; THEY WILL BE LOOKING AT FLAGMEN, OPERATORS OF EQUIPMENT, SUPERVISORS, ETC. AS LONG AS THEY ARE WORKING FEMA PROJECTS, THEY MAKE THE OVERTIME UPFRONT AND THEY MAY CAN PAY FOR THE FEMA WORK ON FRIDAY AND SATURDAY; HOWEVER, AT THE POINT FEMA RUNS OUT THE COUNTY COULD BE LOOKING AT CONSIDERABLE COMPENSATORY TIME THEY WILL BE BANKING FOR EMPLOYEES.
7. HAGAN REQUESTED IF THE BOARD NEEDS TO COMPLAIN TO ANYONE, CONTACT HIM AS DALLAS AND ROBERT WILL ONLY BE DOING WHAT HAS BEEN PASSED ON TO THEM. HE SAID PUBLIC WORKS WOULD WORK WITH THE BOARD IN ANY WAY.

HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HE AND ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT WOULD BE MEETING ON BUDGET MATTERS ON SOME OF THESE ISSUES AND HERBERT WILL PROBABLY BE MAKING SOME REPORTS TO THE BOARD WITHIN THE NEXT FEW WEEKS.

LINDA WALLER UPDATED THE BOARD ON PREVIOUSLY HAVING RECEIVED AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE FOR THE FLOOD ORDINANCE HEARING TO ADOPT THE REVISED FLOOD ORDINANCE AS REQUIRED BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA. SHE ADVISED IT HAD GONE THROUGH THE LOCAL REVIEW PROCESS; THE COUNTY ENGINEER, ROGER HAGAN, RANDY PARKER AND ALL POWERS AT THE LOCAL LEVEL HAVE REVEIUED IT. ALSO, FL-DCA HAS REVIEWED IT AND APPROVED FOR THE COUNTY TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE ORDINANCE. SHE REQUESTED

AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE THE REVISED FLOOD ORDINANCE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING AT THE JANUARY 26TH BOARD MEETING. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF WALLER'S REQUEST TO ADVERTISE THE REVISED FLOOD ORDINANCE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN. ATTEST: _____

DEPUTY CLERK
END OF MINUTES FOR 01/05/06

CHAIRMAN