

BOARD MINUTES FOR 10/26/06

OCTOBER 26, 2006

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 8:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS LENZY CORBIN, LYNN COPE, JERRY SAPP, RONNIE FINCH AND DONNIE STRICKLAND PRESENT. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE CARTER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER AND STATED THE PURPOSE OF THE WORKSHOP WAS TO PUT TOGETHER A FIVE YEAR WORK PLAN ON ROAD PAVING AND DEVELOP CRITERIA ON PRIORITIZATION OF ROADS THAT NEED TO BE PAVED.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON WHAT HE HAD COME UP WITH FOR SOME GENERAL PERIMETERS FOR THE COUNTY TO LOOK INTO WHEN DEVELOPING A ROAD PAVING PROGRAM. HE PROVIDED HANDOUTS ON ROAD PAVING PROGRAMS OTHER COUNTIES HAVE USED.

HE SAID THE FIVE THINGS HE WANTED TO TALK TO THE BOARD ABOUT TODAY WERE:

1. THE GOALS OF A PAVING PROGRAM FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY
2. PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT WHICH WILL INCLUDE PRIORITY PAVING LIST
3. PROGRAM FUNDING OPTIONS
4. PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION
5. PROGRAM REVIEW AND ADJUSTMENT BASED ON EXPERIENCE

CLIFF ADDRESSED PUTTING TOGETHER A PAVING PROGRAM WITHOUT IMPLEMENTATION OR FUNDING WOULD MEAN NOTHING. HE SAID HE WAS HOPING TO GET SOME PUBLIC INPUT AND INPUT FROM THE COMMISSION ON WHAT THEY ARE WANTING TO ACCOMPLISH AND HOW THEY WANT TO APPROACH THE WHOLE TOPIC OF A PAVING PROGRAM.

HE OUTLINED ITEMS HE FELT WOULD BE THE MOST IMPORTANT GOALS FOR A PAVING PROGRAM FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY:

1. TWO LISTS WILL HAVE TO BE DEVELOPED; A NEW ROAD PAVING LIST AND A RESURFACING LIST
2. ESTABLISH FUNDING SOURCES/PRIVATE AND PUBLIC
3. ESTABLISH COUNTY PAVING PROGRAM THAT SETS GOALS FOR COMPLETION ANNUALLY
4. PROVIDE COST EFFECTIVE USE OF LIMITED FUNDS
5. IMPROVE SAFETY THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY

HE WENT OVER THE FIRST METHOD FOR PRIORITY PAVING LIST ON DIRT ROADS IS A METHOD THAT INVOLVES COMMUNITY INPUT AND HAS BASIC LOGIC BEHIND IT; THIS METHOD IS THE MATRIX METHOD AND IS THE ONE HE LIKES THE MOST AND FEELS WOULD WORK THE BEST FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY.

HE EXPLAINED HOW THE MATRIX METHOD WORKED:

- A. PUT TOGETHER A LIST OF ALL UNPAVED COUNTY MAINTAINED ROADS IN THE COUNTY
- B. SET UP A SURVEY WITH RANKINGS OF 1-10 FOR EVERY ROAD WHERE 10 IS THE WORSE AND 1 IS THE BEST
- C. TAKE LIST OF ALL UNPAVED COUNTY MAINTAINED ROADS AND GIVE ONE SET TO THE SCHOOL BUS DRIVERS, ONE SET TO THE AMBULANCE DRIVERS, ONE SET TO THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, ONE SET TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND ONE SET TO PUBLIC WORKS.
- D. EACH SET OF UNPAVED ROADS IS RANKED BY EACH ONE OF THE AGENCIES OR THE INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBLE FOR IT
- E. THERE WILL BE AN AVERAGE FOR EACH ROAD ON THE LIST FROM THE FIVE DEPARTMENTS
- F. ADDITIONAL PERCENTAGE OF POINTS FOR ROADS THAT HAVE DIFFERENT ISSUES; AN EXAMPLE WOULD BE ADDING ANOTHER 5% IF RIGHT OF WAY IS ALREADY ACQUIRED OR IF IT IS A COLLECTOR ROAD THAT FEEDS LOCAL ROADS SO THEY WOULD BE PUTTING THE MONEY WHERE IT IS GOING TO BE MOST USED

HE MENTIONED ADDING ANOTHER 5% IF THERE WERE SAFETY ISSUES ON THE ROAD THAT WOULDN'T BE ADDRESSED BY ANY OTHER METHOD SUCH AS MAINTENANCE.

AFTER ADDING 5% FOR RIGHT OF WAY, COLLECTOR ROAD OR SAFETY ISSUE, CLIFF SAID THEY COULD ADD ANOTHER 10% IF THE RESIDENTS ON THE ROAD WANTED TO DO COST SHARING TO PAVE THE ROAD. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE IF THE RESIDENTS WANTED TO PROVIDE 25% OF THE TOTAL COST, IT WOULD ADD TO THEIR AVERAGE 10%. HE SAID EVERYTIME THE NUMBER FOR A ROAD GETS HIGHER, IT IS MOVING UP THE LIST HIGHER.

CLIFF ADDRESSED NONE OF THE RESIDENTS WOULD PROBABLY WANT TO PUT UP MONEY UNTIL THEY SEE WHERE THE ROAD IS ON THE LIST; IF THE ROAD IS TOWARD THE BOTTOM AND THEY KNOW THEY CAN MOVE THEIR PROJECT UP THE LIST BY DOING COST SHARING, IT WILL MOVE THEM UP THE LIST.

HE SAID THE NEXT BENEFIT TO RAISE THE AVERAGE FOR THE ROAD WOULD BE THE NUMBER OF RESIDENTS BENEFITTING FROM THE PROJECT. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF ROADS IN THE COUNTY THAT ARE SEVEN MILES LONG THAT DON'T HAVE THREE PEOPLE ON THEM. HE SAID THERE NEEDED TO BE A WAY TO BALANCE A ROAD THAT HAS A HUNDRED PEOPLE ON IT VERSUS A ROAD THAT HAS SEVEN PEOPLE ON IT.

HE SAID THE LAST FACTOR COULD BE IF THE ROAD PROJECT IS IN THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM FOR THE COUNTY. HE EXPLAINED THE COUNTY WAS JUST GETTING STARTED WITH THEIR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT LIST; BUT, IT IS SOMETHING THEY SHOULD KEEP IN THE LOOP ON THEIR PRIORITY LIST FOR UNPAVED ROADS.

HE SAID THE MATRIX METHOD WAS CURRENTLY BEING USED BY WAKULLA COUNTY AND A NUMBER OF COUNTIES IN GEORGIA. HE SAID IT GAVE A LOT OF FLEXIBILITY BECAUSE THE BASE NUMBERS, WHICH IS THE RANKINGS, ARE DONE BY ALL THE AGENCIES THAT HAVE A TREMENDOUS INTEREST IN SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, ETC. OTHER THAN IT IS THEIR ROAD. HE SAID ALL THE DIFFERENT BONUS POINTS JUST ADD ON TO THAT AVERAGE.

CLIFF THEN BRIEFED THE BOARD ON THE PAVED ROAD PAVING LIST THEY WOULD NEED TO COME UP WITH. HE SAID IF THE COUNTY CAME UP WITH A ROAD PAVING PROGRAM THAT DIDN'T ADDRESS THEIR EXISTING PAVED ROADS, IT WOULDN'T BE THE RIGHT THING TO DO. HE EXPLAINED THE USEFUL LIFE OF THEIR PAVED ROADS DECLINES RAPIDLY IN THE LAST HALF OF ITS USEFUL LIFE. HE SAID IF THE COUNTY IS NOT ADDRESSING OVERLAYS ON THEIR EXISTING PAVED ROADS AND NOT ADDRESSING MAINTENANCE ISSUES ON THEIR EXISTING PAVED ROADS AND JUST ADDRESSING DIRT ROADS, THEY WILL SEE THE QUALITY OF ALL THEIR ROADS COUNTYWIDE STEADILY DROPPING.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REQUESTED CLIFF TALK ABOUT THE SCRAP AND SCOP FUNDING THAT FL-DOT PROVIDES THE COUNTY AND ADDRESSED IT BEING FOR EXISTING PAVED ROADS.

CLIFF SAID IN THE LAST SEVEN YEARS, THE COUNTY HAS NOT RESURFACED A SINGLE ROAD OUTSIDE A FL-DOT FUNDED OR ANOTHER GRANT FUNDED PROGRAM. HE SAID THE WHOLE TIME THE COUNTY ROADS ARE AGING, THEY ARE ALSO DETERIORATING. HE ALSO ADDRESSED UNLESS THE COUNTY HAS A PROGRAM SET UP THAT IDENTIFIES THE ROADS THAT NEED TO BE CAUGHT NOW, THEY GET A SITUATION LIKE CORBIN ROAD OR ALFORD ROAD WHERE THE BASE IS EXPOSED AND IT IS DETERIORATING VERY RAPIDLY.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID IF THE COUNTY COULD GO ANOTHER THREE YEARS AT THE RATE THEY ARE GOING, THEY WOULD HAVE MOST OF THE ROADS RESURFACED. HE EXPLAINED THAT IS WHY THE BOARD IS TRYING TO CONCENTRATE ON NEW ROADS.

CLIFF SAID HE UNDERSTANDS THAT; BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, IT IS TOUGH TO RELY JUST ON FL-DOT TO RESURFACE ALL THE COUNTY ROADS. HE SAID A LOT OF TIMES THE ROADS THAT NEED ATTENTION DON'T GET RANKED BY FL-DOT OR DON'T GET SUBMITTED TO FL-DOT. HE ADDRESSED THE BOARD WAS SUBMITTING THE ROADS TO FL-DOT; IF THEY ARE SUBMITTING THE ROADS, THEY STILL NEED A PRIORITY PAVING LIST.

COMMISSIONER FINCH AGREED WITH WHAT CLIFF HAD SAID ABOUT THE FL-DOT GRANT FUNDING THAT WAS PROVIDED TO THE COUNTY FOR RESURFACING; HOWEVER, THERE WERE SEVERAL MILES OF ROADS IN SUNNY HILLS RESURFACED WITHOUT FL-DOT FUNDS REFERRING TO THE MSBU.

CLIFF SAID THE COUNTY HAS MADE HUGE STEPS FORWARD TOWARD A PRIORITY PAVING LIST FOR PAVED ROADS WITH THE PURCHASE OF THE PAVING INDEX MACHINE FOR THE GASB TECHNICIAN, CECELIA WELD. HE EXPLAINED CECELIA WAS GETTING TRAINING TO DO THE PCI RATING FOR ALL THEIR EXISTING PAVED ROADS; THIS WILL BE A TREMENDOUS BENEFIT.

HE WENT OVER THE PRIORITY LIST FOR THE COUNTY PAVED ROADS AND SAID THEY COULDN'T BE RANKED BASED ONLY ON THE PCI RATING; THERE ARE OTHER ISSUES THAT ARE INVOLVED THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. HE EXPLAINED IF CECELIA TAKES THE INDEXING MACHINE OUT, RUNS DOWN A PAVED ROAD AND IT IS A BAD RATING, IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH TRAFFIC COUNT, SAFETY ISSUES, POT HOLES, ETC. HE SAID WHEN PUTTING TOGETHER A PAVING LIST FOR PAVED ROADS IT NEEDS TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING ITEMS:

1. USEFUL LIFE REMAINING
2. TRAFFIC COUNT DATA
3. SAFETY ISSUES
4. POTHOLES

CLIFF WAS ASKED WHAT THE LIFE OF AN AVERAGE ROAD IN WASHINGTON COUNTY WAS. CLIFF SAID A LOT OF IT WOULD HAVE TO DO WITH HOW THE ROAD WAS BUILT ORIGINALLY AND IF IT WAS A FL-DOT SECONDARY ROAD THE COUNTY HAS TAKEN OVER. HE SAID AS A GENERAL RULE, THE FL-DOT SECONDARY ROADS HOLD UP PRETTY GOOD; A LOT OF THE ROADS IN THE COUNTY THAT ARE TWENTY FIVE TO THIRTY YEARS OLD HAVE NOT EVEN BEEN TOUCHED BY THE COUNTY AND THEY ARE BUILT PRETTY WELL. HE SAID THEY HAVE GOOD BASE ON THEM AND THEY STABILIZE BEYOND THE SHOULDERS. HE SAID A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF THE FL-DOT SECONDARY ROADS IN THE COUNTY ARE NO PROBLEM. HE SAID THERE ARE ROADS THAT WERE PAVED OUTSIDE OF THE FL-DOT SECONDARY ROADS THAT ARE NOT IN AS GOOD OF SHAPE; HOWEVER, HE FEELS THE COUNTY SHOULD GET AT A MINIMUM AT LEAST TEN YEARS OUT OF A ROAD WITHOUT AN OVERLAY. HE SAID UNFORTUNATELY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY, THE OVERLAY PROGRAM IS STRICTLY RELATED TO FL-DOT. HE ADVISED HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER THE AVERAGE LIFE OF A ROAD UNLESS THE TYPE OF ROAD TALKED ABOUT IS QUANTIFIED.

CLIFF ADDRESSED FUNDING SOURCES OTHER COUNTIES ARE USING. OF ALL THE COUNTIES HE HAS LOOKED AT, CLIFF SAID HE HAS NOT FOUND A SINGLE ONE THAT HAS THEIR ENTIRE PAVING PROGRAM PAID FOR THROUGH THE COUNTY'S REGULAR BUDGET PROCESS.

HE WENT OVER SOME OF THE FUNDING SOURCES IN THE HANDOUTS HE HAD PROVIDED. THE FIRST EXAMPLE WAS THE BAY COUNTY PARTICIPATING PROGRAM; IT IS A 60%/40% PROGRAM WHERE ALL THE RESIDENTS THAT LIVE ON A ROAD COME UP WITH 40% OF THE MONEY TO PAVE IT, THE COUNTY RECOGNIZES THE MONEY IS NOW AVAILABLE FROM THE 40% SIDE AND THEY ALLOCATE THE OTHER 60% OF FUNDING FOR PAVING. CLIFF SAID THIS WAS A VOLUNTARY PROCEEDING INITIATED BY A PETITION SIGNED BY PROPERTY OWNERS WHO TOGETHER OWN AT LEAST 60% OF THE FRONTAGE OF THE PROPERTY ABUTTING THE PROJECT. HE POINTED OUT BAY COUNTY HAS DONE OVER TWENTY MILES OF RESIDENTIAL STREETS SINCE JANUARY OF 2001.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE THOUGHT THE DONATING OF RIGHT OF WAY SHOULD BE THE FIRST THING LOOKED AT WHEN SELECTING A ROAD PAVING PROJECT.

CLIFF SAID BAY COUNTY ALLOWS THE PROPERTY OWNERS TO DECIDE IF THEY WANT OPEN GRADE COLD MIX OR REGULAR HOT MIX ASPHALT; THE COST FOR OPEN GRADE COLD MIX IS SUBSTANTIALLY LESS; BUT, THEY HAVE A FAR LESS QUALITY ROAD THAT USUALLY DOESN'T LAST ANYWHERE NEAR AS LONG AS REGULAR ASPHALT DOES IN HIS EXPERIENCE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THEY USED THE COLD MIX WHERE THERE WAS RESIDENTIAL AND NO HEAVY TRUCK TRAFFIC.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED PROJECTS WHERE THERE WAS PRICING PROVIDED FOR OPEN GRADE, HE DIDN'T SEE THAT MUCH SAVINGS ESPECIALLY IF YOU ARE DOING A PROJECT WITHOUT ANY DRAINAGE.

CLIFF SAID WITH HIS EXPERIENCE WITH OPEN GRADE COLD MIX, THE THICKNESS OF THE MATERIAL REQUIRED TO STAND UP UNDER EVEN LIGHT RESIDENTIAL TRAFFIC IS A MINIMUM OF 3". HE SAID WHENEVER YOU ARE COUNTING ON THE POROSITY OF THE PAVEMENT TO HANDLE STORMWATER, THE WATER IMMEDIATELY GOES INTO THE BASE; WHENEVER YOU HAVE A WET BASE AND GET A HEAVY TRUCK ON IT, THEY KNOW WHAT HAPPENS. HE SAID IN AREAS WHERE THERE IS EVEN A POSSIBILITY OF HEAVY TRAFFIC BEING ON THE ROAD, IT DOESN'T WORK VERY WELL. HE POINTED OUT, IF YOU WENT BACK AND TALKED TO THE RESIDENTS WHO PAID FOR A COLD MIX ROADWAY IN BAY COUNTY, THEY ARE NOT REAL PLEASED WITH WHAT THEY HAVE NOW. HE EXPLAINED HE WAS NOT SAYING

COLD MIX COULDN'T BE USED; BUT, HE IS SAYING WHEN IT IS A LESS COST, IT IS ALSO AN INFERIOR FINISHED PROJECT IN HIS OPINION.

HE ADDRESSED A QUESTION IN THE HANDOUT HE HAD PROVIDED ON HOW TO INITIATE A PARTICIPATED PAVING PROGRAM; IT IS DONE THROUGH A PETITION OF THE LANDOWNERS. HE SAID THIS COULD BE ONE OF THEIR OPTIONS USING A 60%/40% PROGRAM FOR FUNDING PROJECTS.

HE DISCUSSED MSTU AND MSBU FUNDING SOURCES AND WENT OVER HOW CHARLOTTE COUNTY FUNDS THEIR PROJECTS. HE ADDRESSED THEM ESTABLISHING AN MSBU AND MSTU WHERE ESSENTIALLY YOU ARE ASSESSED A SPECIAL TAX FOR YOUR SPECIFIC BOUNDARIES OF YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD TO DO SPECIFIC IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN YOUR NEIGHBORHOOD.

CLIFF GAVE AN EXAMPLE: IF A LARGE SUBDIVISION IN WASHINGTON COUNTY HAD UNPAVED ROADS, DECIDED THEY WANTED TO GET THEIR ROADS PAVED, THEY WEREN'T ON A PRIORITY LIST AND DECIDED THEY WANTED TO GET THE ROADS PAVED THEMSELVES, THESE ARE OPTIONS THEY CAN USE. HE REFERRED TO THE MSBU FUNDING FOR SUNNY HILLS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

HE DISCUSSED THE ROAD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS WHICH IS ANOTHER TYPE OF MSBU OR MSTU; BUT, IT DOESN'T HAVE ALL THE LEGAL RAMIFICATIONS THE MSBU AND MSTU HAVE. HE SAID HE HASN'T DONE AN EXTENSIVE RESEARCH ON RID; HOWEVER, HE UNDERSTANDS IT IS A LOT LESS COMPLICATED THAN AN MSBU OR MSTU AND IT CAN BE SET UP SPECIFICALLY JUST TO PAVE A PARTICULAR ROAD. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING AN EXAMPLE OF THE RID PROGRAM IN HIS HANDOUT WHICH MASON COUNTY WAS USING. HE READ PART OF THE INFORMATION ON RID STATING IT COULD BE INITIATED BY A PETITION OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS OF THE AREA TO BE BENEFITTED BY THE ROADS PROPOSED FOR IMPROVEMENT. HE SAID THE PETITION MUST BE SIGNED BY THE OWNERS OF A MAJORITY OF THE ACREAGE WITHIN THE PROPOSED RID BOUNDARIES, BY THE OWNERS OF THE MAJORITY OF THE FRONT FOOTAGE MEASURED ALONG BOTH SIDES OF THE ROAD PROPOSED FOR IMPROVEMENT. HE SAID EACH OWNERSHIP HAS ONLY ONE SIGNATURE WITH IT; THE SIGNATURE IS EQUIVALENT OF A YES VOTE FOR THAT LOT, ACREAGE AND FRONT FOOTAGE. THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS MUST HOLD A CREATION HEARING BEFORE THE RID CAN BE CREATED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE RID PROGRAM IS IN FLORIDA. CLIFF AGREED TO RESEARCH TO SEE IF THE RID PROGRAM IS IN FLORIDA. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID RID SOUNDED LIKE EXACTLY SOME OF THE THINGS THE BOARD HAS BEEN ASKED; PEOPLE HAVE ASKED IF THEY CAN DO JUST ONE ROAD.

CLIFF SAID PEOPLE WOULDN'T WANT TO BE STUCK WITH THE ROAD FOR LIFE EITHER. HE SAID IF THE BOARD READS THROUGH THE INFORMATION HE HAS PROVIDED, A LOT OF THE OPTIONS ALLOW FOR A SIX OR SEVEN YEAR PAYMENT PROGRAM OR THEY CAN PAY IT ALL AT ONE TIME. HE REFERRED TO SOME COUNTIES HAVING A HARDSHIP CLAUSE FOR SOME RESIDENTS WHO DON'T MEET CERTAIN FINANCIAL QUALIFICATIONS IF THEY HAPPEN TO BE ON THE SAME STREET THAT EVERYBODY IS TRYING TO PAVE.

CLIFF SPOKE ON THE METHOD USED BY MASON COUNTY; THEY REQUIRE A MINIMUM OF 60' OF RIGHT OF WAY BEFORE THEY WILL DO ANYTHING AND ALSO REQUIRE RESIDENTS TO DONATE THE PROPERTY VOLUNTARILY. IF RESIDENTS DECIDE THEY ARE NOT GOING TO DONATE THEIR PROPERTY VOLUNTARILY, HE SAID THEY COULD DO SO. HOWEVER, IF THIS HAPPENS, THE COUNTY WILL DO AN ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY TO SEE WHAT THE FAIR MARKET VALUE OF THE PROPERTY IS AND THEN MAKE ALL THE NEIGHBORS PAY FOR IT.

CLIFF WENT OVER BONDING BY THE COUNTY; THEY CAN ISSUE BONDS FOR ROAD PAVING PROJECTS DEPENDING ON THEIR FINANCIAL SITUATION. HE ADDRESSED OAKLAND COUNTY HAVING A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DISTRICT; HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE HAS PASSED ANYTHING SIMILAR TO "SAD"; BUT HE COULD CHECK ON IT OR THE COUNTY ATTORNEY COULD HELP THEM WITH IT. HE READ THE DEFINITION OF "SAD":

IT IS A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FOR A DESIGNATED AREA WHERE A MAJORITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS AGREE TO ALLOW A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY TO LEVY A SPECIAL PROPERTY TAX IN EXCHANGE FOR A SPECIFIC SERVICE; THAT SERVICE IS THE PAVING OR REPAVING OF RESIDENTIAL STREETS. THE GOVERNMENT AGENCY AGREES TO PAY THE COST OF THE SERVICE UPFRONT AND THE PROPERTY OWNER AGREES TO PAY BACK HIS OR HER FAIR SHARE OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS IN THE FORM OF A SPECIAL PROPERTY TAX.

CLIFF SAID IT WAS VERY SIMILAR TO THE ROAD IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT AND IS A GREAT WAY TO PAVE ROADS IF THERE IS A LOT OF NEIGHBORS IN ONE SMALL AREA THAT ARE MOTIVATED TO GET THEIR ROAD PAVED.

HE THEN UPDATED THE BOARD ON PROGRAM COMPLETION GOALS; EVERY YEAR AFTER GETTING THE ROAD PAVING PROGRAM TOGETHER, THE BOARD COULD HAVE A GOAL EACH YEAR ON HOW MANY ROADS THEY PLAN ON DOING OR HOW MANY MILES THEY PLAN ON PAVING AND SOME PERCENTAGE OF PAVED VERSUS UNPAVED. HE SAID THIS WOULD PROBABLY HELP THE BOARD EACH YEAR WITH THEIR RANKINGS THEY STRUGGLE WITH FOR SCRAP AND SCOP. HE SAID IF THE BOARD HAD THEIR TRAFFIC COUNTS, WHEN CECELIA GOES AND DOES HER RATING SYSTEM AND CHECKS OUT THE SAFETY ISSUES ON THE ROADS, THEY WILL HAVE A REAL GOOD MEANS OF RANKING THEIR PAVED ROADS.

HE ADDRESSED THE COMPLETION GOALS WOULD BE FUNDING DEPENDENT; THE BOARD MAY WANT TO GET AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL MILEAGE THEY WOULD LIKE TO PAVE. HE REFERRED TO THE BOARD DISCUSSING HAVING A COUNTY ROAD BUILDING CREW WHICH HE FEELS IS A GREAT IDEA WITH THEIR EQUIPMENT AND PERSONNEL SOURCES. HE SAID THE COUNTY COULD HAVE AN ANNUAL CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT. IN REALITY, HE SAID A PROGRAM LIKE THIS IS TRIAL AND ERROR UNTIL THEY REALLY GAUGE THE RESULTS OF THE PROGRAM.

AS TO WHERE THEY GO FROM HERE, CLIFF ADDRESSED ADDITIONAL PUBLIC HEARINGS, PAVED AND UNPAVED PRIORITY LISTS, FUNDING OPTIONS.

JASON ALDERMAN, FL-DOT PLANNING OFFICE, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STAT- ING THEIR PLANNING OFFICE WAS SPLIT BETWEEN RCI AND THE TRADITIONAL FUNDING PART OF THE PLAN. HE INTRODUCED JIMMY SMITH, WHO OVERSEES THE RCI SIDE OF THE FL-DOT PLANNING OFFICE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADVISED HE HAD REQUESTED JASON BRING PEOPLE TO THE WORKSHOP TODAY WHO WERE INVOLVED IN PLANNING.

JIMMY ADDRESSED FL-DOT DOES TRAFFIC COUNTS FOR LOCAL COUNTIES FROM TIME TO TIME; HE SUGGESTED THE COUNTY SUBMIT THE TRAFFIC COUNTS THEY WANT, THE SITE LOCATIONS, ETC. AND FL-DOT WILL TAKE A LOOK AT IT.

COMMISSIONER SAPP QUESTIONED HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO DO THE SPEED SITE LOCATION TRAFFIC COUNT. JIMMY SAID IT WOULD DEPEND ON THE TYPE OF TRAFFIC COUNT TO BE DONE; A 24 HOUR COUNT, 48 HOUR TRAFFIC COUNT, ETC.

CLIFF SAID THERE WERE SOME ROADS THAT HAVE A LOT OF HEAVY WEEK- END TRAFFIC; THESE TYPE OF ROADS WOULD NEED TO HAVE THE TRAFFIC COUNT RUN THROUGH A WEEKEND.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED JIMMY HAVING A LOT OF ROADS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND A LOT OF THEM ARE ALREADY ON A CD. JIMMY SAID THEY HAVE A LOT OF THE DISTRICT SITES ALREADY. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD SUBMIT TO FL-DOT THE LOCATION ON WHERE THEY WANT THE TRAFFIC COUNT TAKEN, HE WILL LOOK AT WHAT THEY ALREADY HAVE EXISTING, WHAT THEY DON'T HAVE, ETC.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED HOW OLD WAS THE TRAFFIC COUNTS ON THE ROADS FL-DOT HAS ON CD'S. JIMMY ADVISED THEY ARE ALWAYS ONE YEAR BEHIND.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED ABOUT GETTING THE COUNTY ENGINEER A COPY OF THE TRAFFIC COUNT CD'S THEY HAVE FOR ROADS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. JIMMY AGREED TO PROVIDE THE COUNTY ENGINEER WITH THIS INFORMATION.

JIMMY SAID ALL OF FL-DOT'S INFORMATION WAS USER FRIENDLY; IT SHOWS THE MAP OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, THE LOCATION OF THE ACTUAL COUNT STATIONS AND IT HAS ANOTHER LINK TO IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID FL-DOT HAD SOME GOOD INFORMATION ON COUNTY ROADS SUCH AS WIDTHS, LOCATION OF BRIDGES, ETC. CLIFF SAID THIS KIND OF INFORMATION WOULD CERTAINLY HELP WHEN THE COUNTY IS TRYING TO PUT TOGETHER A PRIORITY LIST OF THE PAVED ROADS.

JIMMY SAID ANY COUNTY ROAD THAT HAS A BRIDGE ON IT OR CULVERTS OVER 20', FL-DOT HAS A LOT OF INFORMATION THAT WOULD ASSIST THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF FL-DOT STILL CATEGORIZED THE TRAFFIC AND DISTINGUISHED THE TRUCK TRAFFIC FROM THE SMALL VEHICLES. JIMMY ADVISED THEY DID A CLASSIFICATION COUNT AND A VOLUME COUNT.

CLIFF ASKED IF FL-DOT'S COUNT GIVES AN AVERAGE SPEED LIMIT SO THEY CAN FIGURE THE 85TH PERCENTILE SPEED TO HELP ESTABLISH THE SPEED LIMIT ON THE ROAD. JIMMY SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THIS INFORMATION WAS AVAILABLE AND RECOMMENDED THE COUNTY CONTACT FL-DOT'S TRAFFIC OPERATIONS FOR INFORMATION THAT MAY BE HELPFUL. HE ADDRESSED TRAFFIC OP DOES A LOT OF INTERSECTION COUNTS THAT HIS DEPARTMENT DOESN'T DO; HIS OFFICE JUST DOES ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC VOLUME AND CLASSIFICATION.

CLIFF ASKED CECELIA TO ADDRESS THE BOARD ON THEIR NEW EQUIPMENT AND WHAT SHE IS WORKING TOWARD DOING WITH IT FOR THE CPI INDEXES.

CECELIA SAID THE MACHINE THEY HAVE IS GOING TO ASSIST WITH GETTING INFORMATION ON THE ROADWAYS; IT WILL GIVE THE IRI, THE BROAD NUMBER, THE RUNNING OF THE ROADS, THE MEASUREMENTS USING A GPS SYSTEM. SHE SAID THIS INFORMATION WILL BE CRITICAL IN DETERMINING WHAT THE CONDITIONS OF THE ROADS ARE. HOWEVER, SHE SAID A LEVEL OF SERVICE WILL NEED TO BE ESTABLISHED ON WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO MAINTAIN THEIR COUNTY ROADS AT.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED IF THE MACHINE WOULD DO DIRT ROADS ALSO. CECELIA SAID IT CAN; BUT, IT IS NOT RECOMMENDED FOR USE ON DIRT ROADS.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED IF CECELIA'S REPORTING WAS GOING TO BE HOW MANY MILES OF PAVED ROADS VERSUS HOW MANY MILES OF DIRT ROAD. CECELIA SAID SHE DOESN'T HAVE IT SEPARATED OUT; SHE HAS AN EXCEL SPREAD SHEET ON COUNTY MAINTAINED ROADS WHICH WILL BE PROVIDED TO THE BOARD AT THE MEETING LATER ON TODAY.

CECELIA UPDATED THE BOARD ON HER BEING SCHEDULED TO GO TO GAINSVILLE NEXT WEEK FOR TRAINING TO ASSIST HER WITH THE INDEXING MACHINE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REQUESTED JIMMY COME AND DO A DEMONSTRATION ON WHAT INFORMATION FL-DOT HAS; HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERVISORS TO SEE SOME OF THE RCI INFORMATION, STRAIGHT LINE DIAGRAMS THEY HAVE ON CD'S. HE SAID IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING ON A LAPTOP THEY COULD ACCESS AND BECOME FAMILIAR WITH; THEY NEED TO SEE WHERE IT CAME FROM AND HOW IT WORKS.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THE DOWNFALL OF USING THE INDEXING MACHINE ON DIRT ROADS. IF CECELIA CHECKS A DIRT ROAD THE DAY BEFORE THE MOTORGRADER COMES THROUGH, THEY WOULD HAVE TWO COMPLETELY DIFFERENT RATINGS. HE SAID THE MACHINE IS REAL SENSITIVE AND MAY NOT BE REAL EFFECTIVE ON ESTABLISHING DIRT ROAD CONDITIONS.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID IF THEY GRADED A ROAD THE SAME DAY JUST BEFORE CECELIA WOULD COME AND USE THE MACHINE, WITHOUT WEATHER CONDITIONS IMPEDING IT, THEY WOULD HAVE THE SAME CONDITION OF GRADING ON THE SAME ROAD SYSTEM THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. HE SAID AT LEAST THE MACHINE WOULD REFLECT THE WIDTH AND BASIC CONDITION OF THE ROAD.

CLIFF SAID THE MACHINE ONLY DOES ROUGHNESS OF THE ROAD AND DOESN'T DO WIDTHS OF ROADS; HOWEVER, THE GPS CAN DO THE LENGTHS AND MEASURE PLACES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID SOME OF THE INFORMATION FL-DOT HAS ON INVENTORY OF COUNTY ROADS, EVEN ON DIRT ROADS, GIVES WIDTHS ON SOME OF THEM.

CLIFF SAID THE NEXT STEP WOULD BE FOR THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO RESEARCH THE SPECIAL ASSESSMENT DISTRICTS TO SEE IF THAT IS A LEGAL WAY FOR THE COUNTY TO PROCEED. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD REVIEW THE HANDOUTS HE HAD PROVIDED AND GET SOME IDEAS.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THE COMMUNITY TRAFFIC SAFETY TEAM HAS FUNDED PAVING OF ANY ROADS. CLIFF SAID HE HAD DONE A BUNCH OF INTERSECTIONS WITH CTST FUNDS; BUT, HAS NEVER PAVED A ROAD.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE COUNTY HAS TURNED IN SEVERAL INTERSECTIONS TO THE CTST AND THEY HAVE ALWAYS BEEN TURNED DOWN. HE REFERRED TO THE INTERSECTION AT BRICKYARD AND ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY HAVING BEEN DENIED AND SAID THERE WAS A LOT OF TRUCK TRAFFIC AT THIS INTERSECTION. HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAVING TRIED TO GET A SIGNAL, FOUR WAY STOP AND HAVE BEEN DENIED. HE ASKED WHAT TYPE OF INFORMATION WAS NEEDED TO GET CTST FUNDING.

CLIFF SAID THE BIGGEST THING THAT HELPED WAKULLA COUNTY GET FUNDING AT THREE DIFFERENT INTERSECTIONS WAS THEY HAD ACCIDENT REPORTS FOR ALL THREE OF

THEM; THERE HAD BEEN A TREMENDOUS NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS AT EACH ONE AND THIS WAS THE BASIS FOR THEIR ARGUMENT THE INTERSECTIONS NEEDED IMPROVING. HE SAID THERE WERE PICTURES AND STOP IN SIGHT DISTANCE ISSUES ALONG WITH THE TRAFFIC ACCIDENT REPORTS.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE INTERSECTION OF HIGHWAY 20 AND HIGHWAY 79 HAD BEEN SUBMITTED SEVERAL TIMES. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS A SECTION OF PAVED ROAD THAT WAS IN GOOD SHAPE BUT IT WAS NARROW AND IT HAD SAFETY ISSUES ON IT, IF THE COUNTY CAME UP WITH A NUMBER OF FATAL ACCIDENTS AND TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS, THEY MAY BE ABLE TO COME UP WITH ENOUGH INFORMATION TO THE CTST TO GET FUNDING ASSISTANCE.

CLIFF SAID ALL THE INFORMATION THE COUNTY COULD GET THAT WOULD BE AMMUNITION FOR THEIR CASE IS WHAT IS NEEDED. HE SAID A LOT OF TIMES A SURVEY MAKES A HUGE DIFFERENCE; IF A SURVEY CAN BE DONE THAT SHOWS THERE IS A STOP IN SIGHT DISTANCE PROBLEM OR THERE IS SOMETHING GEOMETRICAL THAT IS REALLY WRONG WITH THE ROAD, THIS IS GOOD EVIDENCE TO PRESENT TO FL-DOT TO PROVE THERE IS A PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THERE ARE SOME FUNDING SOURCES THAT HAVE SPECIFIC CRITERIA; THE BOARD NEEDS TO MAKE SURE THEIR PRIORITY PAVING LIST INCLUDES THOSE KIND OF THINGS NECESSARY TO GET FUNDING.

CLIFF ADDRESSED A PETITION FOR IMPROVEMENT ROAD PAVING THAT HAS BEEN USED QUITE A BIT; IT IS A PETITION WHERE EVERYBODY SIGNS, GIVES THEIR ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER AND A COPY OF THEIR DEED. THE PETITION ALSO IDENTIFIES ALL THE DIFFERENT REQUIREMENTS BEFORE THE COUNTY WOULD DO ANYTHING WITH THE ROAD:

1. A PERSON HAS TO PROVE THEY OWN THE PROPERTY
2. THERE HAS TO BE A CERTAIN NUMBER OF PETITIONS SIGNED
3. THERE ARE SET DATES FOR THE PETITIONER TO ACCOMPLISH CERTAIN GOALS IN ORDER TO GET INTO THEIR ROAD PAVING PROGRAM

CLIFF SAID THERE WERE SOME THINGS IN THE PETITION WASHINGTON COUNTY MAY NOT FOLLOW EXACTLY. HE REFERRED TO THE PETITION REQUIRING THE PETITIONER TO COORDINATE THE RELOCATION OF UTILITIES DESIGNATED BY THE COUNTY AS NEEDING TO BE REMOVED, PERFORM NORMAL MAINTENANCE ON THE ROADS, NOTIFY THE COUNTY ENGINEER IN WRITING ALL THE UTILITIES HAVE BEEN RELOCATED BY THE LAST DAY OF NOVEMBER OF THAT YEAR, RESIDENTS WILL HAVE TO PURCHASE ALL THEIR OWN CULVERTS IN FRONT OF THEIR HOUSES, ETC. HE SAID IT WAS KIND OF EXTREME; HOWEVER, WASHINGTON COUNTY MAY DEVELOP SOMETHING SIMILAR TO THAT WHICH WORKS FOR THEIR SITUATION AS WELL.

THE BOARD AGREED THIS WAS CERTAINLY A GOOD BEGINNING TO WORK TOWARD A ROAD BUILDING CREW. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THERE WAS A LOT OF DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS THE BOARD WOULD BE GOING IN DEVELOPING PROJECTS FOR THE FUTURE. HE ASKED HOW THE BOARD WAS GOING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY CLIFF AND THE NEED TO ADDRESS A TIME LINE TO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE FIRST THING THE BOARD WOULD NEED TO LOOK AT WAS THE FUNDING. HE SAID DISCUSSION HAD BEEN HELD ON A FIVE CENT GASOLINE TAX THAT IS AVAILABLE; THERE IS A LOAN ISSUE THAT WILL BE PAID OFF IN OCTOBER 2007. HE SAID THEY MAY WANT TO CONSIDER RENEWING THE LOAN, BORROW UP TO \$2,000,000 AND REPAY THE LOAN IN FOUR YEARS. HE ASKED DEPUTY CLERK CARTER IF THEY COULDN'T PAY IT OFF IN FOUR YEARS.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER SAID THEY PROBABLY COULD; HOWEVER, THEY NEED TO CONSIDER THEY MAY NEED THE REVENUE USED TO PAY THE LOAN JUST TO OPERATE ROAD AND BRIDGE IN THE FUTURE. SHE ASKED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER THIS CAREFULLY.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE FUNDING WOULD STILL GO INTO ROADS AND WITH THE ROAD BUILDING CREW, IT WOULD MEAN LESS MAINTENANCE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IF THEY COULD GET THE SHORT ROADS TAKEN CARE OF, THIS WOULD ADD UP OVER A PERIOD OF TIME AND WOULD BE A MAJOR COST SAVINGS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE BOARD NEEDED TO CONSIDER DOING ALL THE PROJECTS THE STATE WILL FUND AT A 35/65 OR 50/50 MATCH.

A PERSON IN THE AUDIENCE SPOKE ON LUCAS LAKE ROAD. HE SAID SOME OF THE PROPERTIES ARE UP TO SIXTY ACRES AND ASKED HOW THEY WOULD DESIGNATE WHO PAYS

WHAT WHEN THE ROAD THAT NEEDS PAVING ONLY HAS ABOUT 25 HOUSES; BUT, IT WILL ACCOMODATE OVER 115 DWELLINGS BY THE END OF THE YEAR.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE LAND OWNER THAT OWNS MOST OF THE LAND PROBABLY DOESN'T LIVE ON THAT ROAD. THE PERSON SAID THERE WAS NOTHING ON THE SIXTY ACRES THAT JUST SOLD BUT PASTURE LAND.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE LAND OWNER PROBABLY WOULDN'T BE WILLING TO GIVE ANY RIGHT OF WAY WHERE THE RESIDENTS WOULD BE. THE PERSON SAID LAKESHORE DRIVE PROBABLY WON'T GET PAVED; BUT, LUCAS LAKE ROAD IS THE ONE THAT NEEDS IT.

CLIFF SAID THAT WAS ONE OF THE BEAUTIFUL THINGS ABOUT THE MATRIX SYSTEM; HE WOULD BE GETTING A LOT OF POINTS FOR OTHER THINGS BESIDES LUCAS LAKE ROAD. HE SAID THE EXTRA POINTS WOULD BE DUE TO IT BEING A COLLECTOR ROAD, IT SERVES A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER OF RESIDENTIAL UNITS, ETC.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT TWO DIFFERENT THINGS; A PAVING PRIORITY LIST AND A PAVING LIST WHERE THERE IS PARTICIPATION FROM THE PUBLIC ALSO.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED THE CRITERIA FOR THE SMALL COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM. CLIFF SAID THE PROGRAM WAS SET UP AT 65/35; HOWEVER, DUE TO THE STATE HAVING FUNDING LEFT OVER, THIS WAS CHANGED TO 50/50.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID NO MATTER WHAT PROGRAM THE COUNTY MAY GO INTO, THEY NEED TO KEEP THE SMALL COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM IN MIND. HE SAID THIS WOULDN'T COST THE CITIZENS ANYTHING; IT WOULD COME OUT OF STATE FUNDS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH RECOGNIZED JASON ALDERMAN; HE HAS BEEN RESPONSIBLE FOR THE "TRIP" PROGRAM FOR FL-DOT. FINCH SAID HE AND ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAD MET WITH JASON AND SUBMITTED ELKAM BOULEVARD FOR FUTURE FOUR LANING. HE SAID HE THOUGHT THEY WOULD GET APPROXIMATELY \$350,000.00.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADVISED A FEASIBILITY STUDY WOULD BE FUNDED FOR APPROXIMATELY \$325,000.

FINCH SAID CLIFF HAD PUT TOGETHER THE ELKAM BOULEVARD PROJECT WHICH CONVINCED EDWARD PRESCOTT AND JASON ALDERMAN TO GIVE THEM THE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED HIM HAVING FORGOTTEN TO RECOGNIZE THE WORK CLIFF AND HIS FIRM HAD DONE FOR HUNTER PARK AND WANTED TO RECOGNIZE HIM TODAY FOR THE ENGINEERING WORK HE HAD DONE.

WILLIAM MCKEITHEN, VERNON CITY COUNCIL, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THEM DEPENDING ON THE COUNTY FOR HELP WHEN THE FOUR LANING IS DONE ON HIGHWAY 79. HE REQUESTED WHEN THE BOARD IS PUTTING TOGETHER THE FIVE YEAR ROAD PAVING PLAN, HE WOULD LIKE TO BE INVOLVED.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADDRESSED EDWARD PRESCOTT, FL-DOT, HAD RECOMMENDED HAVING MUNICIPALITIES INVOLVED WHEN THEY DEVELOP THEIR FIVE YEAR ROAD PLAN.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID WHEN THEY DEVELOP THE COMMITTEE FOR THESE PROJECTS, THEY NEED TO KEEP THE DIFFERENT CITIES IN MIND AND LET THEM BE ON BOARD WITH THE COMMITTEE.

CECELIA SUGGESTED FORMING A TASK TEAM AND INVOLVE MUNICIPALITIES, THE SCHOOL BOARD, FIRE DEPARTMENTS, ETC.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THE TASK TEAM MAY RATE THE ROADS; BUT, THERE IS A NEED FOR A COMMITTEE TO STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PLAN.

LINDA WALLER ADDRESSED, WHEN APPROVING LARGE DEVELOPMENT PLANS ON A ROAD, THE BOARD NEEDS TO CONSIDER THEY MAY BE CALLED ON IN THE FUTURE TO IMPROVE THE ROAD AND BRING THE LEVEL OF SERVICES UP.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED WHY THE COUNTY COULDN'T REQUIRE A DEVELOPER TO GIVE THEM ALL THE RIGHT OF WAY WITHIN HIS PROPERTY IF THE DEVELOPER IS GOING TO BUILD A SUBDIVISION ADJACENT TO A COUNTY ROAD. HE SAID OBVIOUSLY WHEN THE DEVELOPER BUILDS A SUBDIVISION, THEY ARE GOING TO GENERATE TRAFFIC AND WILL CAUSE MORE DISRUPTION OF THE COUNTY ROADS.

LINDA SAID WHEN A DEVELOPER DOES THEIR PLAT, THEY ARE AUTOMATIC- ALLY REQUIRED TO GIVE A ROADWAY EASEMENT WITHIN THE SUBDIVISION.

LINDA SAID THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE ANY CONTROL ON THE SUBDIVISION RIGHT OF WAY GOING INTO THE SUBDIVISION. SHE SAID YOU HAVE TO ASSUME SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE, THE DEVELOPER IS GOING TO HAVE TO GET THE RIGHT OF WAY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IF THE COUNTY HAS A TWO LANE ROAD AND HAS APPROVED A SUBDIVISION THAT WILL FRONT THE ROAD FOR SOME LENGTH, IT SEEMS LIKE THE COUNTY COULD TAKE OFF 30' OF THE FRONT OF THE DEVELOPER'S PROPERTY TO BEGIN WITH FOR FUTURE PAVING OR WIDENING OF THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THIS SHOULD BE BEFORE THE DEVELOPER BREAKS THE PROPERTY UP INTO LOTS AND SELLS THEM. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THIS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED IN THE PLATTING PROCESS.

LINDA SAID THE CURRENT STANDARDS REQUIRE THE DEVELOPER TO PROVIDE A 60' EASEMENT ON 20' PAVEMENT.

CLIFF SAID WALTON COUNTY REQUIRES ANYONE DOING A SUBDIVISION, THERE BE A MINIMUM OF 40' OF RIGHT OF WAY GOING FROM A COUNTY PAVED ROAD TO THAT SUBDIVISION. THEY PUT IT ON THE DEVELOPERS BACK TO GET RIGHT OF WAY TO HIS PROJECT. HE SAID THE RIGHT OF WAY IS MORE THAN THAT IN THE SUBDIVISION; ANYTHING THE DEVELOPER CAN DONATE, HE IS BECAUSE HE HAS TO HAVE THE 40'. HE SAID IF IT IS A 500' STRETCH BEFORE GETTING TO THE DEVELOPER'S PROPERTY, THE DEVELOPER IS MOTIVATED TO GET RIGHT OF WAY FROM ALL THE OTHER PROPERTY OWNERS BECAUSE HE HAS TO HAVE IT IN ORDER TO GET APPROVAL FOR A DEVELOPMENT ORDER. WALTON COUNTY DOES THIS THROUGH THEIR LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE.

LINDA SAID AT THE PRESENT TIME, WASHINGTON COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE THIS PROVISION IN THEIR CODE. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IT NEEDED TO BE INCLUDED IN THEIR CODE.

ANDREW SHIROD ASKED IF ELKAM WOULD BE PAVED FROM BAY COUNTY OR JACKSON COUNTY. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE FEASIBILITY STUDY IS TO DETERMINE HOW THE ROUTE IS GOING; IF IT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD END UP IN BAY COUNTY OR CONNECTED TO JACKSON COUNTY. HE SAID BAY COUNTY WAS ONE OF THEIR PARTNERS IN THE REGIONAL GROUP; JACKSON COUNTY IS NOT. HE ADDRESSED THERE WERE SOME OTHER THINGS THAT HINGES ON WHICH WAY IT OUGHT TO GO BECAUSE IT IS A LOT CLOSER IN JACKSON COUNTY; BUT, THEY WOULD HAVE TO GET SOME WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH JACKSON COUNTY TO MAKE SURE IT WOULD WORK. HE REITERATED WHEN THE STUDY IS COMPLETED, ALL THESE QUESTIONS WILL BE ANSWERED. HE SAID AT THE PRESENT TIME, THERE IS A STUDY PARTIALLY FUNDED TO GO FROM HIGHWAY 77 TO HIGHWAY 231.

ED PELLETIER THANKED FOSTER FOLLIES FOR THEIR STORY ON THE PAVING OF ORANGE HILL ROAD. HE THANKED C. W. ROBERTS AND THE COUNTY COMMISSION FOR THE PAVING PROJECT.

LEE ANDERSON, ROLLING PINES ROAD, ASKED WHAT WOULD IT TAKE TO SCRAPE ALL THE DOLOMITE OFF THE ROAD IN FRONT OF HIS HOUSE; WHEN IT IS DRY, IT WILL GO 200' UP IN THE WATER AND 500 YARDS ACROSS THE LAKE. HE SAID HE NEEDED HELP WITH THE DUST PROBLEM CAUSED BY THE DOLOMITE ON THE ROAD.

DALLAS CARTER SAID THE BEST WAY TO HANDLE THIS PROBLEM WOULD BE TO COVER UP THE DOLOMITE.

CLIFF ADVISED ROLLING PINES IS UP FOR REVIEW BY NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT FOR APPROVAL TO GO OUT FOR BID.

CHAIRMAN SAPP CONCLUDED THE WORKSHOP. ATTEST: _____

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN

OCTOBER 26, 2006

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 1:00 P.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS CORBIN, COPE, FINCH, STRICKLAND AND SAPP PRESENT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE CARTER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

LT. MIKE QUALLS PROCLAIMED THE MEETING WITH ATTORNEY HOLLEY OFFERING PRAYER AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

CHAIRMAN SAPP PRESENTED EMPLOYEE OF THE QUARTER CERTIFICATE, PLAQUE AND \$100 CHECK TO BERNICE HAGAN AND N.B. PETTIS.

CONSENT AGENDA-ITEMS A THROUGH D:

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA AS PREPARED. COMMISSIONER COPE SECONDED THE MOTION FOR DISCUSSION.

COMMISSIONER COPE DISCUSSED THE BOARD HAVING GIVEN ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AUTHORITY TO APPROVE AND SIGN OFF ON INVOICES OVER \$5,000. HE RECOMMENDED THE BOARD GIVE HERBERT THE AUTHORITY TO SIGN OFF ON FEMA INVOICES AND SANGAREE OIL INVOICES WHERE MONIES HAVE BEEN BUDGETED WITHOUT PUTTING THEM ON THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE BOARD TO APPROVE.

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR TO APPROVE ITEMS A THROUGH D ON THE CONSENT AGENDA CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY:

- A. 2007 EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PREPAREDNESS ASSISTANCE BASE GRANT CONTRACT AND ANNUAL SCOPE OF WORK
- B. ANDERSON COLUMBIA INVOICE FOR MATERIALS PURCHASED THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS FOR ROUTINE MAINTENANCE PROJECTS TOTTALLING \$6,300
- C. WATSON LUMBER INVOICE FOR \$7,050 FOR MATERIALS PURCHASED THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS FOR ROUTINE MAINTENANCE ON COUNTY BRIDGES
- D. QUADMED INC. INVOICE FOR \$5,982.66 FOR MEDICAL SUPPLIES PURCHASED THROUGH WASHINGTON COUNTY EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT APPROVAL TO SIGN OFF ON INVOICES UP TO \$10,000.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADVISED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, IF HE DIDN'T FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH SIGNING OFF ON ANY INVOICE, TO GET WITH THE CHAIRMAN OR BRING IT BACK BEFORE THE BOARD BEFORE PAYING IT.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

AGENDAED AUDIENCE:

A. FOUR WHEELERS-ALLAN BUSH ADDRESSED THE BOARD REPRESENTING A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PEOPLE DESIRING TO RIDE FOUR WHEELERS ON UNPAVED ROADS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE SAID HE HAD THOUGHT THEIR DESIRES HAD BEEN MET BY LEGISLATIVE THAT HAD BEEN PASSED EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1ST. HE EXPLAINED IT WAS THEIR INITIAL UNDERSTANDING THEY COULD RIDE FOUR WHEELERS ON UNPAVED ROADS THAT WERE SIGNED AT 35 MPH OR LESS. HOWEVER, UPON TRYING TO DO SO, THEY WERE CONFRONTED BY DEPU- TY DANNY HAYES AND WAS INFORMED THAT WAS NOT THE CASE; THE LAW SAYS THE UNPAVED ROADS HAD TO HAVE SPEED LIMIT SIGNS OF LESS THAN 35 MPH.

BUSH SAID THE LAW ACTUALLY STATES YOU CAN RIDE FOUR WHEELERS ON COUNTY UNPAVED ROADS POSTED LESS THAN 35 MPH. HE DIDN'T PROPOSE TO KNOW THE LEGISLATIVE INTENT; HOWEVER, HE CAN'T UNDERSTAND WHY THEY WOULD PASS A LAW THAT WOULD ALLOW FOUR WHEELERS TO RIDE ON ROADS THAT WERE POSTED AT 25 MPH THAT WERE HIGHLY RESIDENTIAL AND RESTRICT ALL TERRAIN VEHICLES TO RIDE ON RURAL OR UNDEVELOPED AREAS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY TO ENJOY THE NATURAL SCENIC BEAUTY. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO LOOK INTO THE LAW AND REFERRED TO THE SECOND PARAGRAPH OF THE LEGISLATURE GIVES THE COUNTY THE ABILITY TO EXEMPT ITSELF OUT FROM THE LAW. HE ASKED THE BOARD, THROUGH THE USE OF THEIR COUNTY ATTORN- EY, WHAT ABILITY THEY DO HAVE AND TO TRY TO MAKE IT WHERE PEOPLE CAN UTILIZE FOUR WHEELERS ON THE RURAL COUNTY ROADS. HE SAID IF THAT IS NOT THE CASE, LOOK AT POSSIBLY REDUCING THE SPEED LIMIT ON SOME OF THE RURAL COUNTY ROADS TO WHERE THEY COULD RIDE THEIR FOUR WHEELERS.

BUSH SAID HE WAS AWARE THERE WERE UNDESIRABLES THAT GO AND CAUSE A LOT OF DESTRUCTION AND DAMAGE WITH FOUR WHEELERS; HOWEVER, HE ASKED THE BOARD TO APPLY COMMON SENSE AND NOT BASE DECISIONS ON A SORRY MINORITY.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WITH PEOPLE RIDING FOUR WHEELERS IS THEY DON'T REALIZE WHICH WAY THEY ARE TURNING UNTIL THEY HAVE

ALREADY TURNED; THERE IS NO WAY TO WARN THE PEOPLE BEHIND THEM. HE SAID THIS INHIBITS A PLACE WHERE THERE CAN BE A TRAGIC ACCIDENT. HE SAID THERE NEEDED TO BE A SAFE ENVIRONMENT FOR FOUR WHEELERS AND NOT A PROBLEM FOR SAFETY HAZARDS.

BUSH AGREED AND POINTED OUT THERE ARE HAZARDS IN EVERYTHING. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD AT LEAST HAVE CERTAIN DESIGNATED ROADWAYS FOR PEOPLE TO RIDE THEIR FOUR WHEELERS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THERE WAS NO DIFFERENCE IN PERSONS USING GOLF CARTS OR GATORS USED BY FARMERS. HE REFERRED TO THE SAFETY ISSUES COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED; HE EXPLAINED THERE WERE FOUR WHEELER ACCIDENTS AND VEHICLE ACCIDENTS. HE ASKED COULDN'T THE COUNTY ADOPT AN ORDINANCE ALLOWING FOUR WHEELERS TO RIDE ON UNPAVED COUNTY ROADS AT 35 MPH OR BELOW. BY DOING THIS, HE SAID THEY WOULDN'T HAVE TO GO BACK AND CHANGE ANY OF THE SPEED LIMIT SIGNS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE COUNTY EITHER NEEDS TO BUILD A PLACE FOR THE FOUR WHEELERS TO RIDE OR LET THEM RIDE ON THE DIRT ROADS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE HAD THOUGHT ABOUT IT A LOTS AND FEELS LIKE SOME GOOD POINTS HAVE BEEN MADE. HE SAID HE DIDN'T SEE AT TIMES WHERE ALLOWING FOUR WHEELERS TO RIDE ON THE DIRT ROADS WOULD WORK. HE ADDRESSED ALL YOU EVER HEARD ABOUT FOUR WHEELERS WAS A LOT OF NEGATIVE PRESS.

HE SAID IF THE BOARD AGREES TO MOVE INTO THIS, THEY NEED TO DO SO CAUTIOUSLY AND DESIGNATE A COUPLE OF ROADS FOR PEOPLE TO RIDE THEIR FOUR WHEELERS. HE ADDRESSED POSSIBLY HAVING A SIX MONTH TRIAL PERIOD; IF IT IS ALL NEGATIVE, THE BOARD WILL HEAR ABOUT IT. HOWEVER, IF IT IS DONE IN AN ORDERLY MANNER, HE FEELS THEY WILL HEAR ABOUT IT ALSO. HE REITERATED ALL HE HAD EVER HEARD SINCE HE BECAME A COMMISSIONER WAS ROADS BEING TORN UP BY FOUR WHEELERS AND SAID HE REALIZED THE NEGATIVE WAS GOING TO BE BROUGHT OUT. HE SAID IF HE WAS GOING TO BE RIDING A FOUR WHEELER, HE WOULD WANT TO MAKE IT SAFE SO PEOPLE BEHIND THEM WOULD KNOW IF THEY WERE GOING TO TURN OR NOT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED HOW MUCH TROUBLE IT WOULD BE TO PUT TURN SIGNALS ON THEIR FOUR WHEELER. ALLAN SAID TURN SIGNALS ARE NOT REQUIRED; THEY USE THE SAME HAND SIGNALS AS A PERSON RIDING A TRACTOR.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE USES A THREE WHEELER ON A COUNTY DIRT ROAD TO MAINTAIN HIS FARM. HE SAID HIS MAIN CONCERN IF THE BOARD OPENS THIS UP, WHAT WILL THEY BE FACING IF 800 SHOWS UP TO RIDE WITH THE GROUP FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY.

BUSH SAID HE HAD THOUGHT ABOUT THIS AND THOUGHT ABOUT DIFFERENT THINGS SUCH AS HAVING PERMIT FEES, ETC. HE ADDRESSED PERSONS CAN RIDE THEIR FOUR WHEELERS IN HOLMES COUNTY AND THEY ARE UNDER THE SAME LAWS AS WASHINGTON COUNTY; BUT, THEY DON'T ENFORCE THE FOUR WHEELER LAW. HE SAID THEY DON'T SEEM TO BE INUNDATED WITH PEOPLE FROM BAY COUNTY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID PERSONS COULD RIDE THEIR FOUR WHEELERS IN HOLMES COUNTY ON ROADS THAT ARE POSTED LESS THAN 35 MPH IF THE COUNTY HASN'T OPTED OUT. HE SAID THEY COULD DO THE SAME THING IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED FOUR WHEELERS RIDING ON DOGWOOD LAKES HIGHWAY EVERYDAY IN HOLMES COUNTY. BUSH REITERATED PERSONS RIDING FOUR WHEELERS DON'T WANT TO RIDE IN HIGHLY RESIDENTIAL AREAS AND THE PEOPLE LIVING IN THESE AREAS DON'T WANT THEM TO RIDE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE WAS ALL FOR RIDING FOUR WHEELERS; BUT, THEY ARE FOR OFF ROAD USE. ALLAN ASKED THE BOARD TO SEE IF NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT WOULD OPEN UP SOME OF THEIR PROPERTY FOR PERSONS TO RIDE THEIR FOUR WHEELERS.

COMMISSIONER COPE AGREED IT IS SOMETHING THE BOARD NEEDS TO LOOK INTO AND GET THE SHERIFF INVOLVED WITH WHATEVER IS DECIDED. HE QUESTIONED IF A FOUR WHEELER HAD TO BE TAGGED IF THEY ARE ON THE ROAD.

BUSH SAID YOU HAVE TO HAVE PROOF OF OWNERSHIP AND BE A LICENSED INDIVIDUAL SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER.

COMMISSIONER SAPP QUESTIONED WHO WOULD BE LIABLE IN CASE OF AN ACCIDENT BETWEEN A FOUR WHEELER AND A VEHICLE. BUSH SAID HE WASN'T SURE HOW THAT WORKED.

COMMISSIONER SAPP RECOMMENDED MORE RESEARCH BE DONE, THE LAWS, LIABILITY ISSUES, ETC. BE REVIEWED TO SEE IF SOMETHING COULD BE DONE TO ASSIST WITH THE FOUR WHEELERS.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD WITH COMMISSIONER SAPP APPOINTING COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO MEET WITH ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, ALLAN BUSH, ATTORNEY HOLLEY AND A REPRESENTATIVE SELECTED BY SHERIFF HADDOCK ON THE FOUR WHEELER ISSUE AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD AT THEIR NEXT MEETING.

DOT MCDONALD ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON A 911 ISSUE AND ASKED WHY 911 WAS DOWN ON OCTOBER 5TH. MALCOLM GAINNEY WAS ASKED TO EXPLAIN THE REASON FOR 911 BEING DOWN ON THAT DATE. HE SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE COURT ADMINISTRATOR WAS RUNNING A FIBER OPTIC LINE FROM THE ROOM WHERE THE COMPUTER EQUIPMENT WAS HELD FOR THE JUDGES TO HAVE VIDEO CONFERENCES AND THE CONTRACTOR DOING THE WORK ACCIDENTALLY UNPLUGGED 911 AND WAS NOT AWARE THEY HAD DONE SO. HE SAID DISPATCH HAD TOLD HIM THE LINES APPEARED TO BE UP AND THE ONLY WAY THEY KNEW 911 LINES HAD WENT DOWN WAS THEY HAD RECEIVED A CALL ON EMS'S RADIO FREQUENCY SAYING THEY HAD A CALL FOR THE HOSPITAL AND FOR THEM TO CALL THE HOSPITAL BACK; THEY PICKED UP THE PHONE TO CALL THE HOSPITAL AND THE LINE WAS DEAD.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE ROLLOVER, IF A CALL CAN'T BE ACCEPTED BY 911, IS SUPPOSE TO GO TO THE JAIL.

MALCOLM SAID ONCE IT WAS REALIZED THE 911 LINES WERE DOWN, THE PEOPLE FROM POSITRON FIGURED OUT WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS WITHIN ABOUT TEN MINUTES.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE BATTERY BACK UP. MALCOLM EXPLAINED THE BATTERY BACK UP WAS INSIDE THE CIRCUIT BEFORE IT GETS TO THE PLUG WHERE IT IS ACTUALLY PLUGGED IN. COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED WHAT GOOD WAS THE BATTERY BACK UP AND ADDRESSED THE BIG PRICE THE COUNTY PAID FOR IT. HE EXPLAINED THERE WAS A GENERATOR THERE THAT KICKS ON WITHIN LESS THAN A MINUTE. HE SAID IN HIS OPINION, THE BATTERY BACK UP IN THIS INSTANCE WOULD HAVE WORKED IF IT HAD BEEN HOOKED UP PROPERLY. HE SAID THEY HAD A PROBLEM WITH 911, THEY SHOULD LEARN FROM THEIR MISTAKE AND IT SHOULDN'T HAPPEN AGAIN.

DOT EXPLAINED SHE HAD CALLED 911 AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND GOT NO ANSWER. SHE CALLED THE HOSPITAL AND THEY TOLD HER SHE SHOULD BE CALLING 911. SHE EXPLAINED SHE HAD ALREADY TRIED CALLING 911 AND NO ONE ANSWERED. SHE HAD CALLED THE HOSPITAL FOUR TIMES; ONE TIME THEY TOLD HER TO PUT THE PERSON SHE WAS ASSISTING IN HER CAR AND BRING THEM TO THE HOSPITAL. SHE EXPLAINED SHE COULDN'T PUT HER IN THE CAR AND TAKE CARE OF HER PROBLEM TOO.

DOT THEN ADDRESSED THERE BEING ONE AMBULANCE OUT OF THE COUNTY. JOE TAYLOR AND SOMEONE FROM THE HOSPITAL GOT UP WITH THE AMBULANCE AND CALLED HER TO LET HER KNOW HELP WAS ON THE WAY. SHE SPOKE HIGHLY OF THE PARAMEDICS AND EMT PERSONNEL.

SHE SAID SHE WENT TO SEE SHERIFF HADDOCK AFTERWARDS TO SEE WHAT HAD HAPPENED WITH 911, AS IT WAS SUPPOSE TO ROLL OVER TO THEIR OFFICE. SHE ADDRESSED HADDOCK SHOWING HER THE DISPATCH ROOM AND SHE HAD NEVER SEEN SO MANY CORDS; SHE SAID NO WONDER SOMEBODY COULD STUMBLE OVER THEM. SHE ASKED WHY DIDN'T THE PEOPLE IN DISPATCH WATCHING THE SCREENS KNOW THE 911 LINES WERE DOWN.

MALCOLM REITERATED THE SCREENS NEVER WENT DOWN; THE PART OF THE SYSTEM THAT WENT DOWN WAS THE PHONE LINE SYSTEM AND NOT THE COMPLETE SYSTEM.

DOT SAID SHERIFF HADDOCK CALLED SOMEONE IN TO SEE WHAT HAD HAPPENED TO THE 911 LINES. THE PERSON TOLD THEM SOMEBODY HAD STUMBLED OVER THE CORDS AND UNPLUGGED THEM.

DOT THEN ADDRESSED WASHINGTON COUNTY BEING A BIG COUNTY TO COVER AND TWO AMBULANCES CAN'T COVER IT; THEY NEED AN AMBULANCE IN THE SOUTHEASTERN AND SOUTHWESTERN END OF WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE THERE ARE A LOT OF PEOPLE LIVING OUT IN THOSE WOODS. SHE EXPLAINED IT TOOK A LONG TIME TO GET AN AMBULANCE TO THE PERSON'S HOUSE SHE WAS ASSISTING AND SAID THE PERSON HAD TO BE MOVED SIX TIMES TO GET HER TO GULF COAST HOSPITAL.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED HIM HAVING TRIED TO GET AN AMBULANCE STATION PUT IN THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY A FEW MONTHS AGO.

COMMISSIONER COPE TOLD DOT HE WAS SORRY FOR THE 911 LINES GOING DOWN; BUT, HE ASSURED HER HE WAS WORKING ON IT. HE SAID IT WAS NOT ACCEPTABLE FOR 911 TO BE DOWN FOR THAT MANY MINUTES AND NO ONE BE AWARE OF IT.

MALCOLM SAID SINCE THIS HAS HAPPENED, SHERIFF HADDOCK HAS IMPLEMENTED THAT NO ONE, SUCH AS A CONTRACTOR, ETC., IS TO BE IN THE DISPATCH ROOM WITHOUT A REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT IS WITH THEM.

DOT ASKED WHY DIDN'T SOMEONE FROM DISPATCH CALL THE HOSPITAL EMERGENCY ROOM AND REQUEST THEY TAKE THE 911 CALLS. SHE REFERRED TO THE HOPITAL GETTING AGGRAVATED WITH HER FOR CALLING THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE REITERATED 911 DISPATCH DIDN'T REALIZE THE LINES WERE DOWN.

MALCOLM SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THERE WAS A WAY TO PUT SOMETHING INSIDE THE SYSTEM TO TELL THEM IF THE PHONE LINES ARE DOWN. COMMIS- SIONER COPE REITERATED THE CALLS WERE SUPPOSE TO ROLL OVER TO THE JAIL.

DOT ASKED IF THERE WAS A WAY THE 911 CALLS COULD ROLL OVER TO THE HOSPITAL EMERGENCY ROOM. SHE THEN ADDRESSED SHE HAD HEARD THE PARAMEDICS HADN'T GOTTEN AS MUCH OF A RAISE AS SOME OF THE OTHER PEOPLE DID. SHE REITERATED THE PARAMEDICS PUT THEIR LIFE ON THE LINE EVERY TIME THEY RESPOND TO A CALL. SHE REFERRED TO PARAMEDICS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY HAVING TO GO TO WORK IN ANOTHER COUNTY IN ORDER TO MAKE MORE MONEY.

DOT ADDRESSED HER HAVING BEEN TOLD THE PARAMEDICS AND EMT PEOPLE HAVE A LOT OF DOWN TIME; SHE SAID SHE DIDN'T CARE IF THEY SAT THERE FOR SEVEN DAYS WITHOUT GETTING CALLED OUT, THAT MEANS THERE IS HEALTHY PEOPLE IN THE COUNTY AND PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT HURT OR ILL. HOWEVER, THE PARAMEDICS NEED TO BE PAID GOOD WAGES SO THE COUNTY CAN KEEP THEM. SHE TOLD THE BOARD SHE HOPED THEY WOULD WORK ON GETTING ANOTHER AMBULANCE IN THE COUNTY; THEY NEED FOUR AMBULANCES.

DOT ADDRESSED THE BOARD PURCHASING ANOTHER PIECE OF EQUIPMENT FOR THE ROAD DEPARTMENT AND SAID SHE WAS PROUD OF THE ROAD DEPARTMENT; HOWEVER, THEIR EMERGENCY SYSTEM IS PRIORITY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE COUNTY HAS FOUR OR FIVE AMBULANCES. DOT SAID THE COUNTY ONLY HAS TWO AMBULANCES.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND EXPLAINED DOT WAS SAYING WHEN EMS GETS A CALL, THERE MAY BE ONE AMBULANCE IN PANAMA CITY OR DOTHAN AND THERE IS ONLY ONE AMBULANCE LEFT IN THE COUNTY TO TAKE CARE OF THE WHOLE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE COUNTY HAD MORE AMBULANCES THAN THAT; THEY JUST DON'T HAVE CREWS FOR THEM. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AGREED AND SAID HIS GOAL WAS TO PUT AN AMBULANCE STATION IN THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY; HOWEVER, THEY CAN'T DO THAT UNLESS THEY PUT AN AMBULANCE AT THE HOSPITAL TO DO NOTHING BUT TRANSPORTING TO PANAMA CITY OR DOTHAN.

DOT STATED THE COUNTY NEEDED TWO MORE AMBULANCES IN WORKING ORDER SO THEY WILL BE READY TO GO WHEN THEY ARE NEEDED.

STACY WEBB, GRANTS DEPARTMENT, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THERE STILL BEING \$130,000 IN THE SHIP DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE FUNDS FOR SHIP YEAR 14; IN SHIP YEAR 15, SHE HAS \$173,000 DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE FUNDS. SHE REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION TO TRANSFER \$50,000 FROM SHIP YEAR 14 DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE TO EMERGENCY REHAB.

WEBB SAID ACCORDING TO THE LOCAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE PLAN, SHE CAN ONLY SPEND \$10,000 ON DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE PER HOUSE UNLESS SHE BRINGS IT BEFORE THE BOARD. SHE AND COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A REHAB PROJECT WHERE THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH A ROOF; SHE BID THE PROJECT OUT AND IT CAME IN AT ALMOST \$3,000 OVER THE \$10,000 LIMIT. SHE ASKED IF THE BOARD WOULD ALLOW HER TO, SHE COULD PUT THE ADDITIONAL \$3,000 TOWARD THE REHAB PROJECT IN ORDER TO GET THE ROOF DONE.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO ALLOW STACY TO PUT AN ADDITIONAL \$3,000 TOWARD THE ROOF REHAB PROJECT. COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED WHY COULDN'T THEY RAISE THE LIMIT FOR REHAB PROJECTS FROM \$10,000 TO \$15,000 DUE TO THE PRICE OF MATERIALS, ETC. STACY SAID THE

BOARD COULD RAISE THE REHAB LIMIT AND ADDRESSED HER FEELING SHE WAS CURRENTLY ONLY PUTTING BANDAIDS ON REHAB PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER COPE AND STRICKLAND AGREED TO AN AMENDMENT TO THEIR MOTION AND SECOND TO INCLUDE RAISING THE SHIP REHAB LIMIT FROM \$10,000 TO \$15,000. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO MOVE \$50,000 OUT OF SHIP YEAR 14 DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE INTO EMERGENCY REHAB.

ROGER HAGAN, EOC DIRECTOR, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON DISASTER, STRATEGIES & IDEAS/LLC CONTRACT. HE THANKED THE BOARD FOR THEIR EFFORTS MADE TO PROVIDE EMPLOYEES WITH DECENT WAGES, INSURANCE, ETC.

HAGAN INFORMED THE BOARD THEIR COMPREHENSIVE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLAN IS UP FOR REVIEW AND HAS TO BE REVIEWED EVERY THREE YEARS. HE ADDRESSED JOSEPH MYERS WITH DSI/LLC IS DOING CONSULTING AND GRANT WORK FOCUSING ON SMALL COUNTIES FOR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT. HE HAD PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH A COPY OF A CONTRACT WITH DSI/LLC TO GO AFTER GRANT MONIES FOR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, ADMINISTER THE GRANT TO COMPLETE THE EOC EXERCISES, TRAINING COURSES AND THE CEMP. HE EXPLAINED EACH WORK ELEMENT WOULD HAVE TO BE APPROVED BY ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT OR BY THE BOARD, A DEFINITE DOLLAR AMOUNT AND A WORK PROJECT WILL BE IN HAND WHEN PRESENTED. HE ADVISED THERE WOULD BE OVER A \$100,000 IN STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT MONIES THE EOC WOULD BE ELIGIBLE FOR. HE POINTED OUT THESE WERE FUNDS HIS STAFF DIDN'T HAVE THE EXPERTISE IN AND STACY'S STAFF DIDN'T HAVE THE TIME TO DEDICATE TO GO AFTER.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD COULD APPROVE THE CONTRACT WITH DSI/LLC WITHOUT ADVERTISING FOR CONSULTING SERVICES. ROGER EXPLAINED THE COUNTY WOULD BE PIGGYBACKING OFF ANOTHER CONTRACT THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN APPROVED. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM SINCE THE COMPANY GETS FUNDS FROM GRANTS THEY SECURE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH DSI/LLC TO OBTAIN AND ADMINISTER STATE AND FEDERAL GRANT FUNDING TO ASSIST WITH TRAINING EXERCISES, CEMP, ETC.

ROGER UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING ASKED MYERS TO DEVELOP A PLAN TO HANDLE A KATRINA SIZE STORM IN THE GULF OF MEXICO ON PANAMA CITY BEACH AND BY VIDEO SHOW WHAT IT COULD DO TO WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE ADVISED THERE WAS A VIDEO PRODUCTION COMPANY MYERS IS AFFILIATED WITH AND THEY ARE GOING TO TRY TO PUT TOGETHER A TRAINING VIDEO IF THIS STORM WERE TO HAVE HIT THERE, WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

THE MOTION CARRIED TO APPROVE THE CONTRACT WITH DSI/LLC.

BID AWARDS-WHEELED EXCAVATOR: HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON DEBBIE RILEY, PUBLIC WORKS, HAVING COME UP WITH \$308,283 ADDITIONAL FUNDS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN BUDGETED THE COUNTY WOULD RECEIVE FROM FEMA WHEN CLOSING OUT ON HURRICANE DENNIS AND IVAN. SHE SAID THERE MAY BE SOME ADDITIONAL FUNDING COMING FOR REIMBURSEMENT ON FILL MATERIAL DUE TO OTHER COUNTIES HAVING RECEIVED MORE REIMBURSEMENT PER YARD THAN WASHINGTON COUNTY. HERBERT POINTED OUT DEBBIE HAD ADVISED THESE FIGURES WERE SUBJECT TO THE FINAL CLOSE OUT ON HURRICANE DENNIS AND IVAN.

HERBERT REPORTED ON THE BIDS RECEIVED:

- A. TRACTOR AND EQUIPMENT-\$232,625
- B. BEARD EQUIPMENT-\$174,500
- C. COASTAL MACHINERY-\$192,655
- D. THOMPSON-\$172,055
- E. NATIONWIDE EQUIPMENT-\$159,148.38

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE DEMONSTRATION WITH THE JOHN DEERE MACHINE; IT WAS AN EXCELLENT HIGHWAY MACHINE BUT NOT FOR OFF ROAD USE AND NOTHING THE COUNTY COULD USE.

STEVE PARISH WITH THOMPSON WAS ASKED BY CHAIRMAN SAPP TO ADDRESS THE BOARD ON HIS BID AND WAS ASKED IF THE EXCAVATOR WAS EQUIPPED LIKE WHAT THE COUNTY IS

RUNNING NOW OR WOULD SOMETHING HAVE TO BE ADDED TO. STEVE ADVISED IT WAS EXACTLY WHAT THE COUNTY HAD BEEN BUYING AND MEETS ALL THE SPECIFICATIONS ADVERTISED FOR.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE FUNDING TO PURCHASE THE EXCAVATOR AND IT WAS ADDRESSED THEY HAD ALREADY PURCHASED A MIXER. STEVE SAID THOMPSON COULD PROVIDE FINANCING FOR THE EXCAVATOR.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE ADDITIONAL FEMA FUNDING THAT WOULD BE RECEIVED. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO HOLD OFF PURCHASING THE EXCAVATOR UNTIL THE FEMA PROJECTS WERE CLOSED OUT AND THE MONEY WAS IN HAND.

STEVE OFFERED TO ALLOW THE COUNTY TO PAY \$10,000 DOWN WITH NO MONEY PAYMENT FOR A YEAR. HE ASKED IF THE COUNTY PLANNED ON KEEPING THEIR OLD EXCAVATOR AND OFFERED TO GIVE THEM \$30,000 FOR IT TOWARD HIS BID ON THE NEW EXCAVATOR. HE SAID THEIR FIRST PAYMENT WOULD BE A YEAR FROM DATE OF DELIVERY. HE SAID THIS WAY THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE NO MONEY UPFRONT GOING OUT.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON WHAT THE AUCTION COMPANY HAD OFFERED FOR THE EXCAVATOR WITH COMMISSIONER SAPP SAYING HE THOUGHT IT WAS \$38,000.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SUGGESTED, SINCE STEVE HAS AGREED TO WAIT THIRTY DAYS, THE BOARD WAIT AND CHECK MORE ON THE ADDITIONAL FEMA MONIES AND A MORE DEFINITE CALL CAN BE MADE ON THE ADDITIONAL FUNDS THEY WILL RECEIVE FROM FEMA.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THE BOARD COULD VOTE ON BUYING THE CATERPILLAR MACHINE WHEN THEY AGREE TO PURCHASE ONE TO SETTLE THAT ISSUE WITH THE BIDS OR MAKE A DECISION ON WHAT MACHINE THEY DO WANT TO BUY.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION TO PURCHASE THE CAT WHEELED EXCAVATOR WITH THE BID THOMPSON TRACTOR COMPANY HAS GIVEN CONTINGENT ON FEMA FUNDS BEING AVAILABLE. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SECONDED THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SUGGESTED RATHER THAN SAYING CONTINGENT ON THE FEMA FUNDS COMING IN AGREE TO A FAVORABLE PAYMENT PLAN THE BOARD CAN WORK WITH. HE ADDRESSED THE BOARD DIDN'T NEED TO CONTINUE DELAYING BUYING THE MACHINE AND REFERRED TO STEVE HAVING SAID IT WOULD TAKE A COUPLE OF MONTHS TO GET THE MACHINE IN.

STEVE SAID IF THE BOARD WANTED TO GO AHEAD AND AWARD IT, THEY COULD PAY FOR IT ANY WAY THEY WANTED TO. IF THEY DECIDED THEY WANTED TO PAY \$10,000 DOWN AND FINANCE IT, STEVE SAID THEY COULD; IT COULD BE SET UP WHERE THEY COULD PAY IT OFF ANY TIME THEY WANTED TO SHOULD THE ADDITIONAL FEMA FUNDING BE AVAILABLE OR THEY COULD TRADE IN THEIR USED EXCAVATOR AND NOT HAVE ANY MONEY PUT OUT ON THE NEW EXCAVATOR.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO TRADE IN THE EXCAVATOR OR KEEP IT. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO TRADE IT IN OR SALE IT AT PUBLIC AUCTION; WHICHEVER WOULD BRING THE MOST MONEY. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID BY TRADING IT IN OR SELLING IT AT PUBLIC AUCTION, IT WOULD FURNISH MORE THAN WHAT WAS NEEDED TO SECURE THE CATERPILLAR EXCAVATOR.

COMMISSIONER COPE AMENDED HIS MOTION, WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN SECONDING THE AMENDED MOTION TO PURCHASE THE CATERPILLAR EXCAVATOR, PAY \$10,000 DOWN, PAY THE REMAINDER CONTINGENT ON THE MONEY COMING IN FROM FEMA; IF THE FEMA MONIES DON'T COME IN, CONVERT TO THE FOUR YEAR PAYMENT PLAN AND TRADE IN THE OLD EXCAVATOR OR SELL IT AT AUCTION, WHICHEVER AMOUNT IS THE HIGHEST AND APPLY THIS AMOUNT TO THE NEW EXCAVATOR. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OPPOSING STATING HE DIDN'T WANT TO PURCHASE SOMETHING IF THE COUNTY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY IF THE FEMA MONIES DON'T COME IN.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, BEGAN WITH HIS REPORT:

A. FLORIDA FOREVER GRANT PROJECT/ROLLING PINES ROAD-CLIFF ADVISED THIS PROJECT WAS BEING REVIEWED BY NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT; AFTER THEIR APPROVAL, THE PROJECT CAN BE PUT OUT FOR BID.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED, AFTER NFWFMD REVIEWS AND APPROVES THE FLORIDA FOREVER GRANT PROJECT ON ROLLING PINES ROAD, AUTHORIZE CLIFF TO PUT THE PROJECT OUT FOR BID.

2. QUAIL HOLLOW BOULEVARD-CDBG GRANT: CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THIS PROJECT HAVING BEEN SUBMITTED TO FL-DCA FOR APPROVAL.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH TO AUTHORIZE CLIFF TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS ON THE QUAIL HOLLOW BOULEVARD PROJECT ONCE HE RECEIVES APPROVAL FROM FL-DCA.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SUGGESTED ALLOWING THE MIXER USE AS PART OF THE COUNTY'S INKIND SERVICES ON THESE PROJECTS. CLIFF SAID HE WAS GOING TO SET UP THE BID SCHEDULE ON ROLLING PINES WITH SEVERAL ALTERNATES BECAUSE HE DOESN'T KNOW HOW THE BIDS ARE GOING TO COME IN.

HE SAID ALL THE PAVING WOULD BE IN THE BASE BID AND THERE WOULD PROBABLY BE TWO ALTERNATES; ONE WITH SAND CLAY BASE AND ONE FOR LIMEROCK BASE. HE SAID HE WOULD TRY AND SET UP THE BIDS WHERE THE COUNTY WILL GET THE MAXIMUM VALUE THEY CAN OUT OF THE BID; BUT, THEY DON'T HAVE TO WIND UP DOING THE WHOLE PROJECT THEMSELVES EITHER.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE COUNTY COULD PROVIDE THE SAND CLAY BASE MATERIALS FOR THE PROJECT. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE WANTED THE COUNTY TO SAVE ENOUGH OFF THE ROLLING PINES AND CDBG PROJECT TO PAY FOR THE MIXER ON THIS YEAR'S USAGE.

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR TO AUTHORIZE CLIFF TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS ON THE QUAIL HOLLOW BOULEVARD PROJECT AS SOON AS HE RECEIVES APPROVAL FROM FL-DCA CARRIED.

CLIFF SAID HE WOULD DO THE QUAIL HOLLOW PROJECT LIKE THEY DID THE ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY A LONG TIME AGO; HAVE THE COUNTY SUPPLY THE SAND CLAY BASE MATERIAL WITH THE CONTRACTOR INSTALLING IT.

3. ORANGE HILL/SOUTH BOULEVARD PROJECT: CLIFF ADVISED THEY ARE NEARING COMPLETION; THEY HAVE SOME SOD AND ASPHALT QUANTITIES LEFT OVER. HE SAID THEY ARE LOOKING AT PAVING ALL THE PAVED COUNTY ROAD INTERSECTIONS STARTING AT HIGHWAY 277 HEADED TOWARD KAYS CORNER 100' BACK FROM ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY OR SOUTH BOULEVARD, WHEREVER YOU ARE AT, SO THEY END UP WITH NICE RADIUSSES AND EVERYTHING TYING IN GOOD.

HE SAID HE HAD ALREADY WRITTEN A CHANGE ORDER TO INCLUDE KIRKLAND ROAD THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY THE BOARD. HE ADDRESSED JIM MORRIS AND THE CITY OF CHIPLEY HAS APPROACHED HIM ABOUT GETTING A PROPOSAL FROM C. W. ROBERTS TO PAVE INDUSTRIAL DRIVE IN THE INDUSTRIAL PARK WITH THE CITY PAYING FOR IT. CLIFF SAID IT WOULD HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE COUNTY'S CONTRACT; BUT, THE CITY WOULD PAY FOR IT. HE REQUESTED BOARD APPROVAL FOR THE CHANGE ORDER TOTTALLING \$27,000 TO C. W. ROBERTS CONTRACT FOR INDUSTRIAL PARK DRIVE TO BE PAID FOR BY THE CITY OF CHIPLEY. HE REQUESTED TO ADD AN ADDITIONAL 45 DAYS TO C. W. ROBERTS CONTRACT FOR KIRLAND ROAD AND INDUSTRIAL DRIVE PAVING.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF CLIFF'S REQUEST FOR A CHANGE ORDER FOR \$27,000 TO C. W. ROBERTS CONTRACT TO PAVE INDUSTRIAL PARK DRIVE AND FOR A 45 DAY ADDITION TO THE CONTRACT TO DO THIS PROJECT AND THE KIRKLAND ROAD PROJECT.

4. FLORIDA FOREVER GRANT PROJECTS-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON HAVING RECEIVED COMMENTS FROM NFWFMD ON BOTH THE FL-FOREVER GRANT PROJECTS. HE MET WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ON RIVER ROAD AND WENT OVER SOME OF THE ISSUES NFWFMD HAD WITH THIS PROJECT. HE WILL BE ADDRESSING NFWFMD'S ISSUES WITH THE BRIDGE ON LEISURE LAKES ROAD. HE SAID HE WOULD BE RESPONDING TO THE COMMENTS APPROPRIATELY.

CLIFF SAID THE ORIGINAL AWARD DATE FOR THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT FLORIDA FOREVER PROGRAM HAS BEEN EXTENDED TO THE END OF NOVEMBER.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THE FL-FOREVER GRANT PROJECT INCLUDED THE REPLACEMENT OF THE BRIDGE ON RIVER ROAD. CLIFF SAID THE ORIGINAL SUBMITTAL DID INCLUDE THE REPLACEMENT OF THE BRIDGE; HOWEVER, IT LOOKS LIKE IT WILL PROBABLY BE TAKEN OUT WITH THEIR RESPONSE TO FL-DEP. HE EXPLAINED THE CONCEPT WITH THE FL-FOREVER PROGRAM IS TOP OF HILL TO TOP OF HILL; IF NOT PAVING TOP OF HILL TO TOP OF HILL, YOU HAVE TO DEMONSTRATE HOW THE IMPROVEMENTS ARE GOING TO IMPROVE

WATER QUALITY. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING SOME SECTIONS ON RIVER ROAD THAT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO PROVE THE WATER QUALITY SITUATION. AT THIS TIME, CLIFF SAID IT APPEARED THEY WERE LOOKING AT PAVING APPROXIMATELY THREE MILES ON RIVER ROAD AND A COUPLE OF OTHER SECTIONS WHERE IT WOULD BE TOP OF HILL TO TOP OF HILL.

HE SAID IF THE BOARD WISHES TO MAKE SURE THE BRIDGE IS IN THE PROJECT, HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO DO IT; HOWEVER, HE HAS CARRIED NWFWM D REPRESENTATIVES TO THE PROJECT AND HE KNOWS WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING FOR AND DOESN'T THINK THEY WILL AGREE WITH THE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REFERRED TO AN E-MAIL THEY HAD RECEIVED FROM NWFWM D AND AGREES WITH CLIFF ON NWFWM D NOT AGREEING WITH THE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT ON RIVER ROAD.

CLIFF SAID IT WAS PROBABLY THE ONLY BRIDGE IN THE COUNTY THAT DOESN'T HAVE RED CLAY AND EROSION GOING INTO THE CREEK. ALSO, THE BRIDGE HAS JUST RECENTLY BEEN REDONE. HE AGREED IT COULD USE A SAFETY UPGRADE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED CLIFF WHEN CLAYTON ROAD, ALFORD ROAD AND RIVER ROAD, ETC. MAY BE READY TO PUT OUT FOR BID. CLIFF SAID HE WOULD EXPECT IT TO BE OUT FOR BID BEFORE THE NEXT COMMISSION MEETING IF THE BOARD WANTED TO GO AHEAD AND GIVE HIM AUTHORIZATION TO PUT THE PROJECTS OUT FOR BID. HE SAID SOUTHEASTERN SURVEYORS IS WORKING ON CLAYTON ROAD AND TWO OF HIS CREWS ARE WORKING ON THE REST OF PIONEER ROAD; HE SAID HIS CREWS ARE DESIGNING BEHIND THE SURVEYING CREWS. HE REFERRED TO THIS BEING A SUBSTANTIAL PROJECT, APPROXIMATELY 18 MILES OF ROADWAY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE CLIFF TO ADVERTISE THE SCRAP AND SCOP PROJECTS FOR BID AS SOON AS HAS ENGINEERING PLANS PREPARED; HIGHWAY 279, CLAYTON ROAD AND PIONEER ROAD.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED CLIFF IF HE HAD DONE A DESIGN ON THE PIONEER ROAD ENTRANCE AT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL. CLIFF SAID HE HAD LOOKED AT IT TODAY AND ADDED A DRIVEWAY FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED ABOUT A TURN LANE. CLIFF SAID HE NEEDED TO WAIT UNTIL HE GETS FURTHER ALONG WITH HIS COST ESTIMATES TO SEE IF HE CAN AFFORD TO DO A TURN LANE. HE SAID, AT THE PRESENT TIME, THERE ARE QUITE A FEW CROSS DRAINS AND HEADWALLS THAT NEED TO BE EXTENDED ON PIONEER ROAD; SOME OF THE CROSS DRAINS ARE VERY LARGE. HE SAID BY THE TIME THEY WIDEN THE ROAD, THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT IS GOING TO BE RIGHT ON THE HEADWALL AND THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO EXTEND A LOT OF CULVERTS. HE SAID HE WAS CONCERNED ALREADY ABOUT HAVING TO CUT INTO THE ASPHALT QUANTITIES TO GET DRAINAGE THE WAY IT IS SUPPOSE TO BE ON THE PROJECT; THE SCRAP PROJECTS ARE SUPPOSE TO BE STRICTLY FOR RESURFACING. HOWEVER, CLIFF SAID THEY HAD ALWAYS BEEN ABLE TO GET DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS AND OTHER THINGS DONE AS THEY GO; BUT, WITH THE PRICE OF ASPHALT AND THE AMOUNT OF DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS HE IS GOING TO HAVE TO DO ON PIONEER ROAD, IT IS GOING TO BE TOUGH.

CLIFF AGREED TO DESIGN THE TURN LANE AT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND SET IT UP AS AN ALTERNATE WHEN BIDDING THE PROJECT TO SEE IF THEY CAN AFFORD TO DO IT WHEN THE BIDS COME IN.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED CLIFF IF THERE WOULD BE ENOUGH RIGHT OF WAY. CLIFF SAID HE HADN'T ATTEMPTED TO PUT A TURN LANE THERE SO HE CAN'T TELL.

WHEN ASKED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH WHERE THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT PUTTING A TURN LANE, COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED IT WAS ON PIONEER ROAD AT THE VERNON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL; IT WOULD BE A BACK ENTRANCE TO THE SCHOOL WHERE THE CHAIN LINK FENCE IS OFF OF PIONEER ROAD.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF CLIFF WOULD BE CENTERING THE DRIVEWAY IN THAT CHAIN LINK FENCE. CLIFF SAID HE PLANNED ON CENTERING IT WITH THE GATE; THIS WOULD BE SAFER THAN CENTERING IT WITH THE FENCE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID SOME OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS ARE SAYING THE FENCE IS NOT WHERE IT IS SUPPOSE TO BE AND IS ON THEIR PROPERTY ABOUT 10'. HE ASKED

CLIFF IF THIS WOULD SHOW UP ON THE SURVEY. CLIFF ADVISED HE HAD IT ON THE SURVEY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IF THEY ARE GOING TO ADDRESS A TURN LANE FOR THE DRIVEWAY FOR THE VERNON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, IT NEEDS TO SERVE HIGHWAY 277 TOO. CLIFF ADDRESSED THERE BEING A HUGE INTER-SECTION THERE WHICH MAKES IT MORE DIFFICULT. HE REITERATED HE HASN'T DONE ANYTHING WITH THE TURN LANE YET.

COMMISSIONER COPE SUGGESTED CLIFF GET WITH JOE TAYLOR AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD OFFICE TO SEE WHICH WAY THE BUSES WILL BE COMING IN AND OUT. CLIFF SAID HE HAD TALKED WITH JOE; JOE WAS TALKING ABOUT MAKING SURE TO PUT A PIPE AND A DRIVEWAY THERE. HE SAID HE WASN'T AWARE HE WAS PUSHING FOR A TURN LANE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID JOE WAS NOT PUSHING FOR A TURN LANE. CLIFF SAID NORMALLY THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT SHUTS EVERYBODY DOWN WHEN THE BUSES ARE COMING IN AND OUT AT SCHOOLS.

CLIFF SAID IF THE BOARD WANTED HIM TO DESIGN A TURN LANE OFF PIONEER TO THE VERNON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, HE WOULD. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE WAS NOT SAYING TO PUT ONE THERE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP REQUESTED CLIFF UPDATE THE BOARD ON THE SMALL COUNTY INCENTIVE PROJECT. CLIFF SAID HE HAD NOT HEARD ANYTHING BACK ON THESE PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED CLIFF HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO GET QUAIL HOLLOW STARTED AND COMPLETED. HE REFERRED TO THE COUNTY NEEDING TO GET IN LINE WITH ANOTHER CDBG GRANT CYCLE ON ROADS THE STATE HAS ALREADY DONE SOME WORK ON.

CLIFF SAID PROBABLY WITHIN A YEAR AFTER THEY GET STARTED THEY SHOULD HAVE IT CLOSED OUT WITH FL-DCA IN ORDER TO APPLY FOR ANOTHER CDBG GRANT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF POT HOLES ON SECOND STREET IN WAUSAU FROM PIONEER ROAD TO THE TOM THUMB; IT WILL COST ABOUT \$15,000 TO FIX THE APPROXIMATE .3 OF A MILE. HE ASKED IF HE COULD GET THE \$15,000 OUT OF LAND SALES WHEN THE CONTRACTOR IS DOING PIONEER ROAD.

MARGARET RILEY, TOWN CLERK, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE TOWN POSSIBLY BEING ABLE TO HELP PAY FOR HAVING THE ROAD REPAIRED. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, IF THE COST WOULD BE UNDER \$15,000 AND THE CITY OF WAUSAU WANTS TO HELP OUT, FOR THE CONTRACTOR TO REPAIR SECOND STREET IN WAUSAU FROM PIONEER ROAD TO THE TOM THUMB WHEN DOING THE PIONEER ROAD PROJECT. COMMISSIONER FINCH SECONDED THE MOTION.

MARGARET RILEY ADVISED SECOND STREET WAS A HIGHLY USED ROAD. AS PART OF REVENUE SHARING IS DESIGNATED FOR STREETS, SHE SAID SHE WOULD CHECK WITH THE WAUSAU TOWN COUNCIL TO SEE IF THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO CONTRIBUTE UP TO 50% OF THE REPAIR COSTS ON SECOND STREET IN WAUSAU. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE SECTION OF THE MEETING BEGAN:

1. BOB AND BECKY COUSSON REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE ON 4.5 ACRES THEY HAD PURCHASED FROM DON HARRELL; IT ORIGINALLY WAS FOUR PARCELS OF LAND WITH THREE PARCELS BEING 1.05 ACRES AND ONE ACRE BEING 1.61 ACRES. BOB ADDRESSED THEM HAVING PURCHASED THE PROPERTY WITH GAIL AND RONNIE HARTZOG AND ARE REPRESENTING THEM AS WELL. HE EXPLAINED THE REASON THEY ARE REQUESTING THE VARIANCE IS TO BE ABLE TO SELL OFF SOME OF THE 4.5 ACRES TO PAY FOR THEIR PROPERTY AND STILL HAVE A PLACE TO LIVE WHEN THEY MOVE BACK TO WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE SAID THEY WERE REFERRED TO THE PLANNING AND CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICE ORIGINALLY AND BECKY THOUGHT SHE HAD OBTAINED ALL THE INFORMATION SHE WAS SUPPOSE TO. HOWEVER, BOB SAID WHEN THEY ATTENDED THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING SHE DIDN'T HAVE ALL THE INFORMATION NECESSARY FOR THEM TO GRANT A VARIANCE. HE ADDRESSED HER HAVING ALL THE INFORMATION AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING SHE WAS TOLD WOULD BE NEEDED.

BOB THEN SAID HE WAS NOT SURE IF A VARIANCE WOULD BE REQUIRED AND EXPLAINED THE LAND WAS ON TOOLE CIRCLE SOUTH OF THE INTERSTATE ON HOUSTON ROAD. HE POINTED OUT THE PROPERTY WAS ORIGINALLY SURVEYED IN 1978 AND NOTHING HAS CHANGED SINCE THAT TIME; HE SAID THEY HAD TO HAVE IT RESURVEYED RECENTLY AND IT WAS JUST AS IT WAS. HE SAID ALL FOUR OF THE LOTS FACE A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD. HE SAID

THEY WERE TOLD AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION THEY WERE SUPPOSE TO HAVE A PLAT OF THE PROPERTY. HE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF MR. HARRELL HAD IT PLATTED OR NOT; HOWEVER, IT HADN'T CHANGED SINCE 1978.

BECKY ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HER HAVING BEEN SHOCKED AT THE OUTCOME OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING LEARNING THEY HAD TO HAVE A PLAT. SHE SAID SHE WAS NOT SURE THE BOARD COULD HELP THEM AT THIS POINT; BUT, POSSIBLY COULD HELP PREVENT THIS FROM HAPPENING IN THE FUTURE.

BECKY EXPLAINED SHE HAD MADE NOTES TWICE WHEN SHE HAD COME TO THE PLANNING OFFICE TO FIND OUT WHAT SHE WOULD NEED TO COME BEFORE THE PLANNING COUNCIL TO REQUEST A VARIANCE ON THE 4.5 ACRES. SHE DID EXACTLY WHAT SHE WAS TOLD ONLY TO FIND OUT AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING, SHE WOULD NEED A PLAT. SHE SAID IT WOULD HAVE BEEN JUST AS EASY FOR HER TO HAVE BROUGHT A PLAT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AS IT WAS A NEW SURVEY. AS IT STANDS NOW, BECKY SAID THEY WERE LOOKING AT HAVING TO SPEND ANOTHER \$500 BESIDES THE \$1200 THEY HAD ALREADY PUT OUT AND PROBABLY ANOTHER \$50 TO COME BACK BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION. SHE QUESTIONED WHY CAN'T PEOPLE BE TOLD, WHY CAN'T THE INFORMATION COME AT ONE TIME AND WHY ARE THE FEES DIFFERENT. SHE ASKED WHY DOES ANYBODY HAVE TO GO THROUGH WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN THROUGH AND QUESTIONED IF THE PLANNING OFFICE WAS NOT SUPPOSE TO BE A PUBLIC OFFICE TO PROVIDE INFORMATION.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THE 4.5 ACRES HAD EVER BEEN PLATTED. BOB REITERATED IT WAS SURVEYED OUT ORIGINALLY AND DIVIDED UP INTO LOTS. BECKY SAID EVERYTHING AROUND TOOLE CIRCLE WAS IN ONE ACRE TRACTS UNLESS SOMEONE BOUGHT FROM THEIR NEIGHBOR; SHE HAD PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH A COPY OF THE 1978 SURVEY AND THE NEW SURVEY THEY HAD DONE.

BOB REFERRED TO THE AGENDA FOR THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION THE NIGHT BECKY HAD REQUESTED A VARIANCE FOR THEIR PROPERTY. HE SAID BASICALLY A PERSON WITH THE SAME SET OF CIRCUMSTANCES APPEARED BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND QUESTIONED:

- A. WHY WAS THIS PERSON ALLOWED TO PROVIDE A HAND DRAWN PLAT
- B. WHY DID THE PLANNING COMMISSION ACCEPT A SURVEY THAT WAS SEVERAL YEARS OLD AND THEY HAD TO PROVIDE A NEW ONE
- C. WHY WAS THEIR FEE \$50 AND THE OTHER PERSON ONLY HAD TO PAY \$25.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID AFTER REVIEWING THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY THE COUSSON'S, HE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE DIFFERENCE IN FEES; EVERYBODY SHOULD BE TREATED THE SAME WAY.

LINDA WALLER, PLANNING OFFICE, ADDRESSED THE BOARD TO CLARIFY SOME OF THE ISSUES. SHE EXPLAINED THE DIFFERENCE IN FEES WAS MS. LAWRENCE FILED HER APPLICATION IN AUGUST; THE BOARD INCREASED THE FEES TOWARD THE END OF AUGUST. SHE POINTED OUT THE DIFFERENCE IN FILING DATES WAS THE DIFFERENCE IN FEES CHARGED.

LINDA THEN ADDRESSED MS. LAWRENCE'S LAND REQUIRED A ONE TIME SPLIT OF ONE ACRE OUT OF A TEN ACRE PARCEL. THE COUSSON'S PROPERTY WAS NOT FOUR PARCELS; THE FOUR PARCELS WERE SURVEYED OUT BUT WERE NEVER DIVIDED OUT OF THE PARENT PARCEL AND REMAIN TODAY AS ONE PARCEL.

LINDA ADDRESSED NOT BEING ABLE TO DISCUSS A VARIANCE WITHOUT DISCUSSING PLATTING. WHEN VISITING THE PLANNING OFFICE, MS. COUSSON TOLD HER THEY HAD GOTTEN A SURVEY DONE. LINDA SAID SHE TOLD MS. COUSSON FOR A LITTLE BIT MORE MONEY, THE SURVEYOR PROBABLY COULD HAVE DONE A PLAT FOR HER. LINDA SAID MS. COUSSON INSISTED SHE WANTED TO DO IT AS A VARIANCE AND THAT IS WHAT THEY DID; THEY AGREED TO BRING IT BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND LET THEM MAKE A DECISION. LINDA SAID THEY BROUGHT IT BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION AS A VARIANCE TO GO AHEAD AND ALLOW THESE AS LEGAL BUILDING BLOCKS. SHE STATED IT WAS THE CHOICE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO REQUEST THEY RETURN TO THE PROCESS OF PLATTING.

MS. COUSSON STATED SHE WAS NOT ILLITERATE AND IF MS. WALLER HAD TOLD HER TO BRING A PLAT, SHE WOULD HAVE BROUGHT IT. LINDA SAID SHE DID NOT TELL MS. COUSSON TO BRING A PLAT BECAUSE MS. COUSSON HAD SAID SHE THOUGHT SHE COULD GET

THIS DONE WITH A VARIANCE. LINDA SAID SHE HAD PROVIDED COUSSON THE INFORMATION NEEDED TO APPLY FOR A VARIANCE TO LET THE PLANNING COMMISSION MAKE A DECISION WHETHER THEY WERE GOING TO CONSIDER IT AS FOUR SEPARATE PARCELS OR IF THEY WERE GOING TO REQUIRE PLATTING. SHE REITERATED THIS WAS A PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION AND NOT HERS TO MAKE TO REQUIRE THE COUSSONS TO DO A PLAT OR SAY THE 4.5 ACRES WAS FOUR SEPARATE PARCELS. SHE EXPLAINED THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION; WHEN A DECISION CAN'T BE MADE BY THE PLANNING OFFICE TO EVERYONES SATIS-FACTION, THEY CAN COME TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

COMMISSIONER SAPP QUESTIONED IF A VARIANCE WASN'T WHEN SOMEONE WAS BREAKING A PARCEL INTO FROM ONE TO TWO PARCELS INSTEAD OF FROM ONE TO THREE OR FOUR PARCELS.

LINDA ADVISED A VARIANCE WAS A ONE TIME SPLIT WHEN SEPARATING OUT PROPERTY; BUT, THERE IS NO OTHER WAY TO GET IT BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOR THEM TO CONSIDER IT OTHER THAN AS A VARIANCE. SHE SAID HAD THE PLANNING COMMISSION DECIDED THE COUSSON'S PROPERTY WAS FOUR INDEPENDENT FREE STANDING LOTS OF RECORD, THEY COULD HAVE GONE AHEAD AND ISSUED A VARIANCE. SHE REITERATED THIS WAS NOT IN HER AUTHORITY AS PLANNING OFFICER.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED WHEN THERE IS EXISTING ROADWAY FRONTAGE TO THE PROPERTY, IS IT STILL NECESSARY TO PLAT IT. LINDA ADVISED IT WAS STILL NECESSARY TO PLAT IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WAS UNAWARE IF IT HAD EXISTING ROADWAY FRONTAGE TO AN EXISTING COUNTY ROAD IT STILL HAD TO BE PLATTED. LINDA SAID IF THEY WERE 4.5 ACRES OR MORE, THEY DON'T HAVE TO PLAT THEM OR IF THEY GET A ONE TIME VARIANCE. HOWEVER, WHEN YOU DO MORE OF WHAT THEY CALL A MINOR SUBDIVISION, LINDA ADVISED YOU ARE REQUIRED TO DO A PLAT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF THERE HAD BEEN ANY VARIANCES THAT DIVIDED PARCELS INTO MORE THAN TWO PIECES. LINDA ADVISED NOT SINCE SHE HAS BEEN WORKING WITH THE COUNTY HAVE THEY EVERY DONE A VARIANCE WHERE THEY DID A FOUR LOT SPLIT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE PLANNING COMMISSION COULD HAVE GRANTED THE COUSSON'S A VARIANCE; BUT, THEY CHOSE NOT TO. COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S REASON FOR NOT GRANTING THE VARIANCE.

LINDA ADVISED THE PLANNING COMMISSION DIDN'T CONSIDER THE COUSSON'S PROPERTY AS FOUR FREE STANDING LOTS AS OF 1991, WHICH IS REQUIRED BY THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, SECTION 6.0404 PARAGRAPH A. SHE ADDRESSED IT WAS SPELLED OUT IN THAT SECTION ABOUT SINGLE LOT SUBDIVISIONS AND THAT IS WHAT THIS WAS. SHE EXPLAINED MS. LAWRENCE HAD A ONE TIME SPLIT AND THAT QUALIFIES AS A SINGLE LOT SUBDIVISION.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THE PLANNING COMMISSION WOULD HAVE MADE A FINDING OF FACT AND THAT WAS THE CASE TO LEGITIMATELY GRANT THE VARIANCE AS FAR AS DIVIDING IT INTO FOUR PIECES.

BOB REITERATED HISTORICALLY THE LAND WAS SOLD AS ONE ACRE AND TWO ACRE LOTS SINCE 1978. HE SAID THE MORTGAGE DEED THEY HAVE IS FOR FOUR PARCELS; IF THEY HAD ONLY PURCHASED ONE OF THESE PARCELS, THEY WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN BEFORE THE BOARD.

LINDA SAID SHE THOUGHT THERE HAD BEEN ONE OR TWO VARIANCES GRANTED ON PROPERTIES OUT THERE; BUT, SHE WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK AND RESEARCH IT. SHE SAID SHE THOUGHT MOST OF IT WAS LEGAL BUILDING BLOCKS AS OF 1991; IN OTHER WORDS, THEY WERE ALL GRANDFATHERED IN LIKE THEY WERE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED LINDA IF SHE MENTIONED TO BECKY WHEN SHE CAME INTO HER OFFICE THEY PROBABLY WOULDN'T GOING TO GET A VARIANCE BECAUSE IT IS GOING TO BE FOUR PIECES. LINDA SAID SHE TOLD BECKY IT WAS THE DECISION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND SHE COULDN'T MAKE A DECISION AS IT WAS A VIOLATION OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE. SHE ALSO TOLD HER SHE NEEDED TO COME TALK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND LET THEM MAKE THE DECISION.

MS. COUSSON SAID THEY WERE ADDRESSED TO COME BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION; MS. WALLER WAS ASKED AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION IF THERE WAS A PROBLEM WITH WHAT THE COUSSON'S AND HARTZOG'S WERE TRYING TO DO WITH THE

PROPERTY. SHE ADDRESSED MS. WALLER HAVING REPLIED IT HAD TO BE PLATTED. MS. COUSSON REITERATED IF SHE HAD BEEN TOLD SHE NEEDED A PLAT, SHE WOULD HAVE GOTTEN ONE; IT WOULD HAVE BEEN JUST AS EASY TO HAVE DONE THAT AS WHAT SHE DID DO.

LINDA SAID A PLAT WAS MORE INVOLVED AND TIME CONSUMING AND PROBABLY WOULD HAVE TAKEN LONGER. MS. COUSSON STATED SHE HAD PLENTY OF TIME TO DO IT.

BOB REFERRED TO THEM HAVING BEEN TOLD IT WOULDN'T HAVE COST ANY MORE TO GET A PLAT AT THE TIME THEY DID THE SURVEY; NOW, IT IS GOING TO BE \$500 MORE. LINDA SAID THE COUSSON'S DIDN'T HAVE THE SURVEY DONE BECAUSE SHE TOLD THEM THEY HAD TO HAVE IT DONE AND THAT IS WHAT THEIR LETTER LED EVERYONE TO BELIEVE.

MS. COUSSON SAID LINDA HAD SAID THEY WOULD NEED TO HAVE A SURVEY; SHE DIDN'T DISCUSS THIS AS THEY WERE ALREADY HAVING A SURVEY DONE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THERE HAD BEEN ENOUGH DISCUSSION FOR THE BOARD TO MAKE A DECISION. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD THEY COULDN'T GRANT A VARIANCE WITHOUT A PUBLIC HEARING; IT HAS TO BE SCHEDULED AS A PUBLIC HEARING TO GRANT A VARIANCE TO A LAND USE ISSUE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THE BOARD MAY NEED TO LOOK AT GIVING THE COUSSON'S SOME CONCESSION ON THEIR COST INVOLVED WITH THEIR VARIANCE WITH THE PLANNING OFFICE. HE SAID THEY COULD GRANT THEM A SECOND HEARING WITHOUT ADDITIONAL COST.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF IT WAS A PUBLIC HEARING WHEN THE PLANNING COMMISSION GRANTS A VARIANCE. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED COUSSON'S REQUEST HAD WENT BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

LINDA SAID A ONE TIME SPLIT DOES NOT REQUIRE A PUBLIC HEARING ACCORDING TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE; HOWEVER, TO DO ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE ONE TIME SPLIT OF ONE PARCEL, THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH A PUBLIC HEARING.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED IF THIS WOULD REQUIRE A PLAT ALSO. LINDA ADVISED THAT WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THEY COULD GRANT A VARIANCE WITHOUT A PLAT IF THEY DECIDED THE COUSSON'S WERE GRANDFATHERED IN OR WHATEVER; THIS IS SOMETHING THE BOARD WOULD HAVE TO DECIDE.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED, IN THAT SUBDIVISION, HAVE THEY ALLOWED ANY VARIANCES IN THE PAST. LINDA REITERATED SHE THOUGHT THERE HAD BEEN TWO VARIANCES GRANTED ON ONE TIME SPLITS OUT OF A LARGER PARCEL OF LAND.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF THE BOARD COULD GRANT THE COUSSON'S A VARIANCE TODAY WITHOUT THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD COULD GRANT IT; HOWEVER, IT WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE AT A PUBLIC HEARING. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID ONE OF COUSSON'S MAJOR CONCERNS IS IT WILL HAVE TO BE PUT OFF ANOTHER THIRTY DAYS. HE QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS SOMETHING THE BOARD COULD DO TO EXPEDITE THE SITUATION FASTER BY DOING SOMETHING TODAY OR DID THE COUSSONS STILL HAVE TO GO BACK BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOR THEM TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD COULD SCHEDULE A PUBLIC HEARING WITHOUT IT GOING BACK BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION IF THEY WANT TO; HOWEVER, THEY CAN'T DO IT WITHOUT GOING THROUGH A PUBLIC HEARING.

BOB ASKED ATTORNEY HOLLEY WHAT HE UNDERSTANDS THEY NEED TO PRESENT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED IF THE BOARD IS PREPARED TO GRANT A VARIANCE WITHOUT THEM DOING ANYTHING ELSE, THEY COULD DO IT. IF THEY ARE GOING TO INSIST ON A PLAT, THE BOARD NEEDS TO TELL THE COUSSONS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED HOW LONG THE PUBLIC HEARING WOULD NEED TO BE ADVERTISED AND IF THEY PLAN ON HEARING THE COUSSONS REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE AT THEIR NOVEMBER 16TH MEETING, WOULD THIS BE BEFORE THE NEXT PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING.

LINDA ADVISED THEY WOULD ONLY HAVE TO ADVERTISE SEVEN DAYS; THEY WOULD HAVE TO WORK AROUND THE NEWSPAPER SCHEDULES AND SOMETIMES IT MAY BE MORE BUT NOT LESS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY REITERATED THE BOARD NEEDED TO LET THE COUSSON'S KNOW IF THEY ARE INCLINED TO GRANT THE VARIANCE WITHOUT A PLAT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN TO HOLD A PUBLIC HEARING AT THE BOARD'S NOVEMBER 16TH MEETING AND CONSIDER THE COUSSONS' VARIANCE WITHOUT REQUIRING A PLAT.

LINDA ADDED THIS IS THE WAY THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE IS SET UP AND THIS IS THE CORRECT PROCEDURE. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THE BOARD MAY SET A PRECEDENCE WHERE THEY HAVE SEVERAL PEOPLE COMING WANTING TO DEVELOP A PIECE OF PROPERTY THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN A ONE TIME SPLIT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE THOUGHT THIS WAS A ONE TIME SPLIT. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THIS IS THE SECOND TIME AND IT HAS ALREADY BEEN DONE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID WHAT LINDA DEFINES AS A ONE TIME SPLIT IS DIVIDING A PIECE OF PROPERTY INTO TWO PARCELS. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE THOUGHT THIS IS A ONE TIME SPLIT WHERE THE COUSSONS ARE SPLITTING IT INTO FOUR PIECES OF PROPERTY. HE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THERE WAS A DEFINITION THAT SAYS IT HAS TO END UP BEING TWO PIECES OF PROPERTY AND ADDRESSED THIS COMMISSION HASN'T SPLIT THIS PROPERTY UP BEFORE. HE SAID THE BOARD WOULD BE GRANTING THE COUSSONS ONE TIME SPLITTING THEIR PROPERTY SO IT WOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH THE REST OF THE PROPERTY IN THAT SUBDIVISION.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID IF THE BOARD CAN DO IT FOR ONE ACRE PARCELS OR A FIVE ACRE TRACT, MAYBE THEY CAN BREAK IT UP LESS THAN THAT. HE SAID SOMEBODY IS GOING TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD WITH A TWENTY ACRE PARCEL WANTING TO SPLIT IT INTO ONE ACRE TRACTS AS LONG LONG AS IT IS TOUCHING A COUNTY ROAD WITHOUT IT BEING A PLATTED SUBDIVISION. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE BOARD WOULD STILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO APPROVE OR NOT APPROVE OF THAT REQUEST. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THE BOARD WOULD BE SETTING A PRECEDENCE BY NOT REQUIRING THE COUSSONS TO SUBMIT A PLAT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IF THE BOARD WOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN A TEST, HE BET THERE WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN A ONE OF THEM TODAY THAT WOULD HAVE KNOWN THE FOUR PARCELS NEEDS TWO VARIANCES; THIS WAS NEWS TO HIM AND APPARENTLY NEWS TO THE COUSSONS. HE REFERRED TO THEM HAVING WENT INTO THE PLANNING COMMISSION NOT KNOWING THIS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REITERATED HE THOUGHT AS LONG AS THERE WAS EXISTING ROADWAY FRONTAGE, YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO PLAT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED IF THAT WAS THE CASE YOU WOULD HARDLY EVER HAVE A PLAT.

LINDA WALLER ADVISED THE AFTERNOON OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING, SHE HAD TWO CALLS FROM PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS WHO WANTED TO GO OVER THE AGENDA WITH HER. SHE SAID THEY ASKED HER ABOUT THE COUSSONS' REQUEST AS IT HAD CAUGHT THEIR EYE BECAUSE THEY KNOW WHAT THE RULES ARE.

LINDA ADDRESSED SHE HAD TALKED WITH RANDY PARKER, PRIOR PLANNING CONSULTANT FOR THE COUNTY, ON ANOTHER MATTER AND VERIFIED WITH HIM THE ACTION THEY WERE TAKING ON THE COUSSONS' REQUEST WAS THE CORRECT ACTION. SHE STATED HIS WORDS WERE TO LET THE PLANNING COMMISSION TELL THE COUSSONS THEY NEED TO PLAT IF THEY DON'T WANT TO TAKE HER WORD FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE BOTTOM LINE IS THIS MATTER IS A HE SAID, SHE SAID, THEY SAID AND ASKED HOW TO REMEDY THIS. HE ASKED IF SOMETHING COULD BE PROVIDED TO PEOPLE IN WRITING WHEN THEY COME TO THE PLANNING OFFICE ON WHAT THEY ARE REQUIRED TO DO.

LINDA SAID SHE THOUGHT THE MATTER BEFORE THEM WAS THE ONLY ISSUE IN THE PLANNING OFFICE THEY DIDN'T HAVE WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS ON; BUT, THEY DO NOW.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE COUSSONS WENT TO THE MEETING UNDERSTANDING SOMETHING. HE QUESTIONED WHY WOULD THEY HAVE WANTED TO BE HERE TODAY IF THEY COULD HAVE GOTTEN IT TAKEN CARE OF AND WERE QUITE WILLING TO DO WHATEVER WAS NEEDED TO BE DONE. HE SAID IF THEY NEEDED A PLAT, HE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND AND REFERRED TO MS. COUSSON PRESENTING THE BOARD WITH HER WRITTEN NOTES ON WHAT SHE HAD BEEN TOLD WAS NEEDED. HE DIDN'T KNOW WHETHER THIS WAS ALL OF IT; HOWEVER, IT WAS HER UNDERSTANDING WHEN LEAVING THE PLANNING OFFICE. HE THEN CALLED FOR

THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION. ON A ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER SAPP OPPOSING.

MS. COUSSON ADVISED THE BOARD THE PLAT HAD ALREADY BEEN ORDERED. SHE EXPLAINED ALL SHE WAS TRYING TO DO IS TO KEEP SOMEONE ELSE FROM HAVING TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD WITH THIS SAME SITUATION. SHE SAID THEY WERE ALREADY OUT \$500 FOR THE PLAT; THEY COULD PRODUCE THE PLAT TO THE BOARD OR PLANNING COMMISSION. SHE STATED SHE THOUGHT THEIR SITUATION WAS HANDLED VERY IMPROPERLY.

LINDA SAID IF THE COUSSONS HAVE ALREADY DONE A PLAT OR IS GOING TO DO A PLAT, THEY DON'T HAVE TO HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING; THEY JUST DO A FIRST AND FINAL PLAT AND ARE THROUGH WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THIS WOULD SAVE TIME BY USING THE PLAT. LINDA SAID IT WOULD AND REFERRED TO THERE BEING A REVIEW PROCESS THAT HAS TO GO THROUGH A COUNTY SURVEYOR TO BE REVIEWED. IF THEY ARE IN A HURRY AND THEY ARE GOING TO PLAT IT, LINDA SAID HAVING A PUBLIC HEARING IS COUNTER PRODUCTIVE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP QUESTIONED IF THIS WOULD COST ANY MORE MONEY. LINDA REFERRED TO MS. COUSSON HAVING JUST SAID THE PLAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ORDERED.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED WHEN THE PLAT WOULD BE READY. MS. COUSSON ADVISED SHE HADN'T TALKED TO THE SURVEYOR THIS WEEK AND COULDN'T ANSWER THIS. SHE REITERATED ALL SHE WAS TRYING TO DO IS TO KEEP THIS SAME SITUATION FROM HAPPENING TO SOMEBODY ELSE AND STATED THEY WERE ALREADY OUT \$1700.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID WHAT HE WAS SAYING IT MIGHT REQUIRE ANOTHER REVIEW BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION. LINDA SAID IT WOULD REQUIRE A REVIEW BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND BOARD WHEN DOING THE FIRST AND FINAL PLAT. SHE RE-EMPHASIZED THE PLANNING OFFICE DIDN'T COST THE COUSSON'S \$1700; MS. COUSSON ORDERED HER SURVEY IN AUGUST BEFORE SHE EVER INQUIRED ABOUT SPLITTING THE LAND.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THEY WOULD CONTINUE AS THE BOARD HAD VOTED ON AND WILL ADDRESS THE COUSSONS AT THEIR NOVEMBER 16TH MEETING. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED IT WOULD BE EASIER FOR THE BOARD TO JUSTIFY GRANTING THE VARIANCE IF THE COUSSONS WOULD BRING THEIR PLAT TO THE PUBLIC HEARING.

MALCOLM GAINNEY PRESENTED A REPORT TO THE BOARD ON HIS ACTIVITIES FOR THE LAST 45 DAYS AND REFERRED TO THE BOARD HAVING REQUESTED HE KEEP UP WITH HIS TIME FOR 30 DAYS.

WHEN QUESTIONED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH IF MALCOLM'S LIST OF ACTIVITIES WAS A MIXTURE OF WHAT HE DID AT THE COUNTY ANNEX AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. MALCOLM SAID IT WAS THE ACTIVITIES HE HAD DONE AT THE COUNTY ANNEX, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, EMS, SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS, ETC.; HE DIVIDED UP THE TIME FOR WHICHEVER OFFICE HE WAS SUPPLYING SERVICES TO.

COMMISSIONER FINCH REFERRED TO THE REPORT THEY HAD BEEN PROVIDED SHOWED MALCOLM HAD SPENT FROM 9:00 A.M. UNTIL 1:00 P.M. AT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE ONE DAY. MALCOLM ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

MALCOLM SAID THERE WERE A FEW PLACES IN THE REPORT WHERE HE DIDN'T WRITE DOWN WHAT HE HAD DONE OR REMEMBERED WHAT HE HAD DONE; THERE ARE SOME BLANK SPACES. HE SAID HE TRIED TO REMEMBER TO WRITE EVERYTHING DOWN; BUT, WHEN YOU ARE NOT USE TO DOING IT, IT IS HARD TO REMEMBER EVERYTHING AND WRITE IT ALL DOWN. HE EMPHASIZED HE DIDN'T FEEL THE NEED TO PUT DOWN EVERY TIME HE TOOK A BREAK OR ATE LUNCH EITHER.

COMMISSIONER SAPP QUESTIONED IF MALCOLM WAS SETTING UP USERS FOR SMART COP AT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE. MALCOLM SAID THEY HAD TO SET UP USERS FOR SMART COP WITH THE MAIN ACCESS ON THE SERVER AND THEN HAD TO GO INTO SMART COP OPERATIONS AND SET THEM UP A USER NAME AND PASSWORD AND THEN ALLOW THEM WHAT RIGHT THEY HAD TO THE DIFFERENT PARTS OF SMART COP. HE REFERRED TO THERE BEING SEVERAL DIFFERENT MODULES WITHIN SMART COP. HE ADDRESSED PART OF THE TIME SPENT WAS MEETING WITH CAPTAIN BARNES AND CAPTAIN STRICKLAND DECIDING WHAT ACCESS WOULD BE GIVEN TO ROAD DEPUTIES VERSUS INVESTIGATORS, EVIDENCE OR WHOEVER WOULD ALLOW THEM ACCESS. HE STATED THEY DIDN'T WANT EVERYBODY TO HAVE FULL ACCESS TO EVERYTHING.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF THE WORK ON SURPLUS COMPUTERS WAS ON THE COMPUTERS FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT; HE SAID IT SEEMED LIKE THERE WAS QUITE A BIT OF TIME BEING SPENT ON CLEANING UP DATA THAT WAS ON THOSE COMPUTERS.

MALCOLM SAID THE COMPUTER DEPARTMENT SPENT RIGHT AT TWO WEEKS GETTING THE DATA OUT BECAUSE THEY RECEIVED INFORMATION FROM MASON SALES; THEY PUT EVERYTHING ELSE PRETTY MUCH ASIDE, EXCEPT EMERGENCY CALLS, TO GET THE COMPUTERS READY FOR THE SURPLUS SALE.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED WHAT TYPE OF INFORMATION WAS ON THE COMPUTERS FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. MALCOLM ADVISED HE DIDN'T GO THROUGH THE COMPUTERS TO SEE WHAT INFORMATION WAS ON THEM; HE JUST MADE SURE THE INFORMATION WAS OFF OF THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE HAS A PROBLEM WITH THIS. MALCOLM SAID HE UNDERSTANDS THERE IS ANOTHER BATCH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IS REQUESTING THE BOARD ACCEPT NOW. HE SAID HE KNOWS THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WAS ADDRESSED IN A PREVIOUS MEETING WHERE THE BOARD SAID THEY WEREN'T GOING TO TAKE ANY MORE OF THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE REFERRED TO HIS EMPLOYMENT WITH FL-DOT; WHEN COMPUTERS LEFT THEIR DEPARTMENT, NO DATA WAS LEFT ON THEM. HE SAID HE FELT IT SHOULD BE THE SAME WAY WHEN THE COMPUTERS LEAVE THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT; THEY SHOULD BE CLEANED UP BEFORE THEY LEAVE THERE AND COME TO THE COUNTY.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED COMMISSIONER COPE WAS CORRECT; IT WAS PROBABLY A VIOLATION IF THE COMPUTERS THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT GAVE TO THE COUNTY HAD ANY HEALTH DATA ON THEM.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT INFORMED THE BOARD PATSY JUSTICE, WASHINGTON COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT, TOLD HIM SHE WAS GETTING WITH THEIR COMPUTER PERSON AND INVESTIGATING COMPUTERS LEAVING THEIR DEPARTMENT WITH INFORMATION ON THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE UNDERSTANDS THESE COMPUTERS FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT BELONG TO THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND HAVE COUNTY PROPERTY STICKERS ON THEM. HE SAID HE THOUGHT THE COMPUTERS WERE BOUGHT WITH STATE FUNDS.

MALCOLM SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING IS THE COMPUTERS WERE PURCHASED WITH STATE FUNDS; BUT, THEY HAVE COUNTY STICKERS ON THEM. HE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THAT WAS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, HEALTH DEPARTMENT OR HOW THAT IS ASSOCIATED, HE IS NOT SURE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE COUNTY DIDN'T WANT TO SELL THEM WITH MEDICAL INFORMATION ON THEM AND WITH WASHINGTON COUNTY'S NAME ON THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE DIDN'T WANT IT TO COME BACK ON THE BOARD SAYING IT WAS THEIR RESPONSIBILITY TO TAKE THAT INFORMATION OFF AND FOR SOME REASON IT GOT SKIPPED. HE SAID THE RESPONSIBILITY SHOULD COME BACK TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE BOARD SHOULDN'T ACCEPT THE COMPUTERS FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT UNLESS THEY CLEAN OFF THE INFORMATION.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED COULDN'T THEY HAVE THE ATTORNEY WRITE A LETTER TO THAT AFFECT TO THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SUGGESTED SENDING THEM A COPY OF THE MINUTES; THE COMPUTERS SHOULDN'T LEAVE THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT WITH HEALTH INFORMATION ON THEM.

MALCOLM ASKED ABOUT COMPUTERS COMING TO THE COUNTY OTHERWISE. HE SAID THE LAST BOARD ACTION WAS THEY WEREN'T GOING TO TAKE ANY MORE OF THEM.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED WHAT THE COMPUTERS BROUGHT AT THE SALE. MALCOLM SAID AFTER COMMISSION, THE COUNTY RECEIVED A CHECK FOR \$63 FOR EVERY UNIT THEY TOOK. HE SAID IT TOOK TWO TRIPS WITH A TRUCK AND A TRAILOR TO HAUL THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID IF THE BOARD COULD ADVERTISE THEM AND HAVE A PUBLIC AUCTION THEMSELVES, THEY PROBABLY COULD GET A LOT MORE OUT OF THEM RATHER THAN TAKING THEM TO A FARM AUCTION.

MALCOLM SAID HE HAD HEARD PEOPLE COMMENT AT THE AUCTION THEY DIDN'T KNOW IF THE COMPUTERS WERE RAINED ON THE NIGHT BEFORE BECAUSE THEY HAD BEEN SITTING OUT; PEOPLE WERE SCARED OF PURCHASING THE COMPUTERS. HE SAID ANOTHER THING THAT HURT

THE PRICE WAS MASON SOLD ALL THE COMPUTERS AS ONE LOT AND NOT AS INDIVIDUAL UNITS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE HAD MUCH RATHER SEE PEOPLE IN THE COUNTY ON LOW INCOME THAT CAN'T AFFORD A COMPUTER FOR THEIR KIDS GET THE SURPLUS COMPUTERS.

MALCOLM SAID HE WOULD VENTURE TO SAY JUST THE HAULING OF THE COMPUTERS TO THE AUCTION AND BACK COST MORE THAN THE MONEY THEY RECEIVED FROM THE SALE, MUCH LESS THE TWO WEEKS IT TOOK TO CLEAN THEM UP AND SEND THEM OUT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF THEY COULD JUST GIVE THEM TO INDIVIDUALS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THEY COULD DECLARE THEM A SURPLUS AND LET PEOPLE HAVE THEM AT A PRICE SET BY THE BOARD. HOWEVER, THEY WOULD NEED TO BE CLEANED UP AND ALL THE HEALTH INFORMATION OFF THEM BEFORE THE COUNTY TAKES POSSESSION OF THEM.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY AGREED TO WRITE THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT A LETTER REGARDING THE CLEANING UP OF THEIR COMPUTERS BEFORE BRINGING THEM TO THE COUNTY.

MALCOLM ADVISED THE BOARD OF A THANK YOU CARD FROM ED PELLETIER REGARDING THE COMPUTERS THE COUNTY DONATED TO THE COUNTRY OAKS LEARNING CENTER AND THE BENEFIT IT WAS TO THEM.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAVING REQUESTED MALCOLM KEEP UP WITH HIS TIME FOR 30 DAYS DUE TO THE AMOUNT OF TIME HE HAD SAID HE WAS SPENDING AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; HE QUESTIONED MALCOLM IF IT WAS 25% OF HIS TIME HE HAD SPENT AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

MALCOLM SAID IF YOU CONSIDER THE TWO WEEKS THE COMPUTER DEPARTMENT SPENT DOING THE SURPLUS, HE WOULD SAY HE SPENT 25% OF HIS TIME AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; IF THEY TOOK THOSE TWO WEEKS OUT, HE WOULD SAY IT WAS CLOSER TO 40%.

WHEN COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED WHAT THE BOARD WAS GOING TO DO WITH THE INFORMATION MALCOLM PROVIDED ON HIS TIME, COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THEY COULD TAKE IT, REVIEW AND LOOK OVER IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THEY COULD TAKE IT UP AT THE NEXT MEETING. COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED WHAT WOULD THEY BE TAKING UP. COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THEY WOULD BE TAKING UP THE AMOUNT OF TIME MALCOLM IS SPENDING AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT VERSUS WHAT HE IS SPENDING WITH THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED AFTER THIS IS DETERMINED, THEN WHAT.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID HE THOUGHT THE DISCUSSION WAS ON THE ADDITIONAL MONIES MALCOLM AND JUDY WERE GETTING ABOVE THEIR SALARY BECAUSE THEY WERE WORKING AT THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT. HE SAID WHEN THEY HAD THE SALARY ADJUSTMENTS OCTOBER 1ST, WERE THEY GOING TO KEEP ON PAYING MALCOLM AND JUDY THE THE ADDITIONAL MONEY. HE ADDRESSED THEM GETTING IN DISCUSSION ON HOW MUCH TIME THEY SPENT AT THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT AND IF THEY NEEDED TO HAVE THEM QUIT WORKING AT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE AND LET THE SHERIFF HIRE HIS OWN HELP, ETC.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IF THE SHERIFF HIRED HIS OWN HELP, IT WOULD COME OUT OF THE TAXPAYERS FUND. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID IT WOULD BE A WHOLE LOT CHEAPER TO GIVE MALCOLM THE EXTRA \$5,000 AND JUDY THE EXTRA \$3,000 THAN IT WOULD FOR THE SHERIFF TO HIRE SOMEONE.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER STATED THE LAST ACTION TAKEN BY THE BOARD WAS TO TURN IT BACK OVER TO THE CONSULTANT AND SUBMIT INFORMATION TO THE CONSULTANT ON MALCOLM AND JUDY'S WORK THEY DID AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TALKED TO THE CONSULTANT ABOUT MALCOLM AND JUDY'S SALARY AND HIS QUESTIONS WERE IF THEY WERE DOING THE SAME TYPE WORK WHETHER THEY WERE WORKING AT THE COUNTY ANNEX OR AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WERE THEY STILL WORKING 40 HOURS A WEEK AND IF THEY WORKED MORE THAN 40 HOURS, IF THEY RECEIVED OTHER COMPENSATION OR IF THEY GOT COMP TIME. HERBERT SAID THE CONSULTANT'S OPINION WAS, NOW THAT THEY HAVE A NEW COMPETITIVE WAGE, HE DIDN'T SEE ANY ADDITION- AL MONEY BEING ADDED TO THEIR SALARY OR RECLASSIFYING THE POSITIONS.

MALCOLM SAID ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAD GIVEN HIM THE CONSULTANT'S NUMBER AND HE TALKED TO HIM HIMSELF. WHEN HE ASKED THE CONSULTANT FOR AN EXPLANATION HOW HE DERIVED AT THE FIGURES HE DERIVED AT, HE TOLD HIM TO GO BACK TO

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AS HE HAD THE INFORMATION. MALCOLM SAID WHAT ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAS IS THE MINIMUM, MID RANGE AND MAXIMUM SALARY AND A JOB DESCRIPTION; ON THOSE JOB DESCRIPTIONS, NO WHERE DID IT INCLUDE THE DUTIES THEY CURRENTLY ARE DOING NOT ONLY FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT BUT EVEN WHAT THEY ARE DOING FOR THE BOARD. HE EXPLAINED IN JUDY'S CASE, THERE WAS NO PLACE ON THERE FOR THE WEB SITE. HE SAID APPARENTLY THERE WERE SOME THINGS THAT GOT LEFT OFF OF BOTH THEIR JOB DESCRIPTIONS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE WAS TOTALLY CONFUSED ABOUT WHAT MALCOLM WAS SUPPOSE TO BE DOING. HE SAID MALCOLM HAD EIGHT HOURS TO WORK AND IT LOOKED LIKE THE BOARD WAS GOING TO DECIDE IF HE WAS GOING TO SPEND EIGHT HOURS AT THE COUNTY ANNEX OR EIGHT HOURS MIXTURE BETWEEN THE ANNEX AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND WITH THE FIGURE HE IS MAKING NOW, DOES THE BOARD EXPECT HIM TO GO TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND DO THAT WORK WITH THE SALARY THEY HAVE BEEN ADJUSTED TO.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE KNOWS WHEN JUDY WAS HIRED, THE WEB WAS A BIG CONSIDERATION TO GET SOMEBODY TO KEEP UP THE COUNTY'S WEB PAGE. MALCOLM AGREED AND SAID HE DIDN'T UNDERSTAND HOW IT DIDN'T GET ON HER JOB DESCRIPTION. FINCH SAID MALCOLM AND JUDY WERE GOING TO BE PAID "X" NUMBER OF DOLLARS AND WAS THE BOARD GOING TO ALLOW MALCOLM TO GO TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND WORK SOME IS THE QUESTION. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IS THE BOARD GOING TO PAY HIM THIS SALARY AND THEN THE SHERIFF IS GOING TO PAY HIM MORE; HE SAID THAT DIDN'T MAKE ANY SENSE. HE SAID IT OUGHT TO BE ONE AMOUNT OF MONEY AND THEY GO AND DO THEIR JOB; HE SAID HE DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT AMOUNT IS. IF IT IS WHAT THEY ARE MAKING NOW OR WHAT THEY ARE MAKING NOW PLUS SOME, ETC. HE SAID THIS NEEDED TO BE FINALIZED.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED IF THIS COME UNDER A JOB DESCRIPTION AND IF SO, SOMEBODY NEEDS TO GET WITH MALCOLM AND WRITE A JOB DESCRIPTION.

MALCOLM SAID THE JOB DESCRIPTION THEY RECEIVED FROM THE CONSULTANT IS WHAT THEY WERE BASING THEIR INFORMATION OFF OF.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE WAS CONFUSED AND WENT BACK OVER THE SITUATION:

A. LAST YEAR MALCOLM WAS PAID A SALARY; IN ADDITION, MALCOLM WAS PAID AN ADDITIONAL \$5,000 AND JUDY WAS PAID AN ADDITIONAL \$3,000 FOR THE SERVICES AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. HE QUESTIONED WHO THAT WAS PAID BY; THE SHERIFF OR THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. MALCOLM SAID TO HIS KNOWLEDGE IT WAS PAID BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REPORTED MALCOLM AND JUDY'S SALARIES WERE INCREASED BY THAT AMOUNT; WHEN THE JOB DESCRIPTIONS WERE SENT TO THE CONSULTANT, THEIR SALARY WAS ADJUSTED BY THAT AMOUNT AND THEY GOT AN INCREASE BASED ON WHATEVER JOB DESCRIPTION WAS TURNED IN TO THE CONSULTANT. SHE SAID SHE DIDN'T REALLY KNOW AND IT WAS TOTALLY CONFUSING TO HER ALSO. SHE SAID SHE FELT LIKE MALCOLM WORKS EIGHT HOURS DOING COMPUTER WORK.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF MALCOLM'S SALARY WAS LESS NOW. MALCOLM ADVISED IT WAS NOT.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADVISED THAT MALCOLM GOT AN INCREASE BASED ON THE CONSULTANT'S RECOMMENDATION JUST LIKE EVERY OTHER BOARD EMPLOYEE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED MALCOLM IF HE WAS DISSATISFIED WITH THE SALARY HE RECEIVED. MALCOLM SAID HE DIDN'T FEEL LIKE THE CONSULTANT'S RECOMMENDATION WAS BASED ON WHAT HE DOES; HE SAID THAT WAS WHAT HIS PROBLEM WAS AND HE WAS NOT SAYING HE WAS NOT SATISFIED WITH THE AMOUNT OF MONEY HE MAKES. HE STATED HE WAS LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE AND WOULD LIKE EVERY DOLLAR HE COULD GET.

MALCOLM SAID IN HIS AND JUDY'S JOB DESCRIPTION, THERE ARE THINGS THAT ARE NOT ADDRESSED AT ALL WHICH THEY DO ON A DAILY BASIS.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADVISED MALCOLM THEY COULD WORK ON THE JOB DESCRIPTIONS. MALCOLM SAID IF THE CONSULTANT IS BASING HIS SALARY RANGE UPON THOSE DUTIES, THAT IS WHERE HIS ARGUMENT IS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THERE WERE A LOT OF THINGS JUDY AND MALCOLM WORK ON FOR THE COUNTY AND ADDRESSED JUDY SPENDING A LOT OF TIME ON THE COUNTY'S

WEB PAGE. WHEN HE COMES TO THE ANNEX AND GOES TO JUDY'S OFFICE, SHE IS ALWAYS RIGHT THERE WORKING ON THE COMPUTER.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ASKED WHAT WAS JUDY HIRED TO DO AS COMMISSIONER FINCH HAD SAID THE WEB PAGE WAS ADDRESSED WITH HER WHEN SHE WAS HIRED.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THIS WAS LIKE ALL THE EMPLOYEES WHO GET ADD ON DUTIES; HE DON'T FEEL LIKE JUDY AND MALCOLM WAS TREATED RIGHT.

CLERK COOK QUESTIONED IF DEPUTY CLERK CARTER WORKED UNTIL 6:30 AT NIGHT AT THE BOARD ROOM, DID SHE GIVE HER A \$10,000 A YEAR RAISE. SHE SAID IF SHE WAS AT THE COURTHOUSE, SHE COULD BE DOING SOMETHING SHE NEEDED HER TO DO. SHE SAID SHE THOUGHT THAT WAS THE WHOLE POINT. MALCOLM IS SAYING HE WORKS EIGHT HOURS A DAY; BUT, HE DOES MORE WORK BECAUSE HE GOES TO THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT. CLERK COOK SAID DEPUTY CLERK CARTER DOES MORE WORK COMING TO THE COUNTY ANNEX; SHE QUESTIONED IF CARTER WAS ENTITLED TO A RAISE.

MALCOLM SAID THAT WAS NOT WHAT HE WAS SAYING AT ALL; HE IS SAYING HIS JOB DESCRIPTION ACCORDING TO THE CONSULTANT THAT HE BASED HIS SALARY ON DOES NOT INCLUDE DUTIES HE IS PERFORMING. CLERK COOK SAID THEY HAD THIS ISSUE BEFORE THEY HAD EVER ASKED A CONSULTANT TO GET IN ON IT. MALCOLM SAID THAT WAS A DECISION THE BOARD MADE A YEAR AGO AND HE IS NOT GOING BACK ON WHAT WAS DONE THEN.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE NEXT CLASSIFICATION AFTER MALCOLM'S ON THE INFORMATION THEY HAD BEEN PROVIDED WAS THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR. HE ADDRESSED ROGER HAGAN, EOC DIRECTOR CLASSIFICATION, WAS LOWER THAN MALCOLM, THEN MALCOLM'S CLASSIFICATION AND THEN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AND THE BUILDING INSPECTOR WERE NEXT. HE ASKED MALCOLM HOW HIGH DID HE WANT TO GO AS HE WAS ONE OF THE MOST OUTRANKED CLASSIFICATIONS ACCORDING TO THE SCHEDULE. HE THOUGHT THIS WAS SORT OF ODD.

MALCOLM SAID HE WAS A MEMBER OF A STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT "I T" ASSOCIATION AND HE CAN GET A SURVEY THEY DO EVERY YEAR BASED ON DIFFERENT COUNTIES AND CITIES DUE TO THEIR SIZE. MALCOLM SAID HE WAS JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHERE THE CONSULTANT WAS COMING FROM; HE HAS NEVER GIVEN HIM AN ANSWER EXCEPT TO GO TO THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO SEE WHAT THE LIST WAS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE DIDN'T SEE HOW MALCOLM COULD HAVE GOTTEN ANY BETTER NUMBERS OR RANKED ANY HIGHER UNLESS HE HAD BEEN THE ADMINISTRATOR'S ASSISTANT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE CONSULTANT'S SUGGESTION ON SALARIES HAS BEEN THE BIGGEST HEADACHE HE HAS HAD ALL YEAR. HE ADDRESSED HIM GOING TO ROAD AND BRIDGE AND JUST ABOUT EVERYBODY DOWN THERE IS DISSATISFIED WITH THEIR SALARY. HE SAID IT SEEMED LIKE THE CONSULTANT DIDN'T TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE QUALITY OF WORK A PERSON DOES OR HOW HE TOOK CARE OF HIS EQUIPMENT; IT WAS TIME AND SERVICE THE EMPLOYEE DONE THAT WAS LOOKED AT. HE SAID IF A MAN DID PERFECT WORK AND DIDN'T HAVE TO BE SUPERVISED HARDLY AT ALL, AND GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF CHRIS ROBERTS, HE GOT NO CONSIDERATION FOR THAT AT ALL. CHRIS CAN DO AS PRETTY OF WORK AS ANYBODY AND WHEN YOU TELL HIM TO DO SOMETHING, YOU DON'T HAVE TO GO BACK AND SEE ABOUT HIM, HE WILL DO WHAT YOU TOLD HIM TO DO. HE STATED THAT CHRIS WAS VERY DISSATISFIED ABOUT HIS SALARY ALONG WITH OTHER EMPLOYEES. HE REITERATED EMPLOYEES WERE DISSATISFIED ABOUT HOW THEY WERE RANKED BY THE CONSULTANT BECAUSE THEY TOOK NO CONSIDERATION ABOUT THE QUALITY, NEATNESS OR PERFECTION OF THEIR WORK AND HOW WELL THEY TOOK CARE OF THEIR EQUIPMENT. HE SAID IF CHRIS WAS WORKING WITH HIM IN A PRIVATE JOB, HE WOULD LOOK AT HIS SERVICES AS WORK, THE WAY HIS WORK LOOKED PLUS HOW WELL HE TOOK CARE OF HIS EQUIPMENT.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT IF THE CONSULTANT DIDN'T TAKE WHAT INFORMATION WAS SENT TO HIM FROM THE EMPLOYEES; THE EMPLOYEES WERE SENT OUT A LETTER ASKING THEM TO PUT DOWN WHAT THEY DID ON THEIR JOB. ADMINISTRATOR ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THE CONSULTANT TOOK THAT INFORMATION AND WHAT HE DID WITH IT. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID HE THOUGHT THE CONSULTANT LOOKED AT

OTHER COUNTIES GENERIC JOB DESCRIPTIONS AND PUT THINGS TOGETHER; HE SAID HE THOUGHT SOME OF THE JOB DESCRIPTIONS DO NEED TO BE WORKED ON TO TAILOR THEM TO WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THE CONSULTANT DID THIS WITHOUT GOING OUT AND OBSERVING THE EMPLOYEES. HE QUESTIONED HOW COULD YOU EVALUATE OR AUDIT A POSITION UNLESS YOU ACTUALLY PHYSICALLY GO AND STAY WITH THAT PERSON A CERTAIN PERIOD OF TIME, TAKE THE JOB APPLICATION OR DESCRIPTION AND COMPARE IT TO WHAT THE PERSON IS ACTUALLY DOING ON THE JOB.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER COPE; IF A PERSON IS DOING A NEAT JOB WITH HIS WORK AND TAKING CARE OF THEIR EQUIPMENT, THAT IS WORTH A LOTS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE CONSULTANT DID EXACTLY WHAT THEY ASKED HIM TO DO. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AGREED AND SAID THIS WAS FUNDED THROUGH THE SMALL COUNTY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SUGGESTED IF THERE IS A QUESTION ON THE ISSUE WITH MALCOLM, SEND THE CONSULTANT THE OTHER INFORMATION HE DIDN'T GET TO START WITH AND LET HIM LOOK AT IT, COMPARE IT TO SEE IF HE DID A TRUE EVALUATION OR NOT. IF THE CONSULTANT DIDN'T DO A TRUE EVALUATION, SAPP SAID THE BOARD COULD LOOK AT THIS; IF HE DID A TRUE EVALUATION, THEY WILL CONTINUE AS THEY ARE. HE SAID IF MALCOLM GETS DISSATISFIED, ANOTHER OPTION WOULD BE ANOTHER EMPLOYER.

MALCOLM SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE CONSULTANT WAS NOT INTERESTED IN INFORMATION BEING SUBMITTED. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID HE HAD TALKED WITH THE CONSULTANT ON THE PHONE; BUT, HE DIDN'T RESUBMIT ANY INFORMATION.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH FOR ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TO RESUBMIT INFORMATION ON MALCOLM AND JUDY'S JOB DUTIES AND LET THE CONSULTANT RE-EVALUATE.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO DO WITH ALL THE OTHER EMPLOYEES WHO WERE DISSATISFIED AND REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER CORBIN HAVING ADDRESSED EMPLOYEES AT PUBLIC WORKS BEING DISSATISFIED.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE GOT THE FEELING THE EMPLOYEES COMMISSIONER CORBIN WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS DIFFERENT. HE RECOMMENDED GOING ON WITH MALCOLM AND JUDY'S AND GET THROUGH WITH THEIRS AND THEN TALK ABOUT THE ROAD DEPARTMENT.

THE MOTION CARRIED TO RESUBMIT INFORMATION ON MALCOLM AND JUDY'S JOB DUTIES TO THE CONSULTANT FOR RE-EVALUATION.

MARY WILLIAMS ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HER FAMILY HAVING LIVED ON WHAT IS NOW THE OLD BONIFAY ROAD, THE PROPERTY WHERE THE POWER PLANT IS ON THE NORTH SIDE OF CHIPLEY DOWN BENNETT ROAD. SHE SAID THE PROPERTY THAT JOINS IT USE TO BE A DAIRY; AFTER IT BECAME IN THE HANDS OF THE STATE, HER FAMILY STILL LIVED THERE AND WAS HELPFUL WITH THE STATE OWNERS.

SHE ASKED PERMISSION TO PURCHASE AN ACRE OR TWO OF THIS LAND TO BE ABLE TO BUILD A HOME WHEN SHE RETURNS TO WASHINGTON COUNTY. SHE SAID SHE WAS AWARE IT WAS SLATED FOR INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY; SHE HAS NO OBJECTIONS AGAINST THAT. SHE SAID THE PROPERTY HAS ALWAYS BEEN A PART OF HER ALL OF HER LIFE AND IT WOULD MEAN SO MUCH TO HER IF SHE WERE ABLE TO COME BACK HOME AND BUILD A HOME THERE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED MS. WILLIAMS IF SHE WAS REQUESTING PERMISSION FROM THE BOARD TO PURCHASE APPROXIMATELY TWO ACRES OF THE INDUSTRIAL PARK SITE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID TWO ACRES WOULD KIND OF MATCH UP WITH THE PROPERTY MS. WILLIAMS HAS NOW; HE SAID IT WAS KIND OF IN THE JOG ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE SOD FARM, NORTH OF THE ROULHAC PROPERTY. HE SAID IT WAS AT THE VERY END OF THE OLD BONIFAY ROAD ON THE EAST END, THERE IS A POWER LINE THAT GOES ACROSS IT AND TO KIND OF SQUARE IT UP IN THAT CORNER, MS. WILLIAMS WOULD PROBABLY NEED TWO ACRES TO GET OUT FROM UNDER THE POWER LINE AND BE ABLE TO BUILD A HOUSE THERE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE MS. WILLIAMS HAVE THE TWO ACRES AND DOESN'T FEEL IT WOULD INTERFERE WITH ANYTHING THE BOARD IS GOING TO DO AT THE SITE. HOWEVER, HE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF LEGALLY THEY COULD SELL IT TO MS. WILLIAMS.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADVISED HE DIDN'T THINK IT WAS GOING TO BE A PROBLEM EVEN THOUGH IT IS ZONED FOR INDUSTRIAL. HE SAID HE DIDN'T THINK THE STATE

RESTRICTED THE COUNTY JUST TO INDUSTRIAL USE. HERBERT SAID HE HADN'T CHECKED ON THE MORTGAGE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE PURCHASE PRICE WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK TO THE STATE. HERBERT SAID THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED TO PAY THE STATE \$1,400+ PER ACRE.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF THE BOARD COULD LEGALLY SELL IT OR DID IT HAVE TO BE BID OUT. ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID THE STATUTE REQUIRES THE BOARD BID IT OUT UNLESS THEY SELL TO A PUBLIC BODY OR IF IT IS AN IRREGULAR SHAPED PARCEL THAT IS NOT ANY GOOD FOR ANYTHING FOR THE COUNTY. HE SAID THEY WOULD STILL HAVE TO SELL IT FOR FAIR MARKET VALUE. HE SAID IN ORDER FOR THE COUNTY TO GET FAIR MARKET VALUE, THEY WILL HAVE TO GET THAT OVER AND ABOVE WHAT THE STATE IS REQUIRING BACK.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY SAID HE WOULD BE GLAD TO LOOK AT THAT STATUTE TO SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT SAYS; THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS TO IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF THEY COULD MAKE A MOTION CONTINGENT ON WORKING THROUGH THE LEGAL ISSUES AND SEEING IF THE BOARD CAN SELL IT TO MS. WILLIAMS, THEY COULD GO AHEAD AND APPROVE IT BASED ON THE LEGAL ASPECT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW THIS WOULD FIT IN WITH WHAT THE COUNTY HAS AGREED WITH THE CITY OF CHIPLEY ON FOR THEIR SPRAY FIELD.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE A SURVEY OF WHERE THE CITY WANTS THEIR SPRAY FIELD. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE HAD TOLD MS. WILLIAMS THERE MAY BE A SPRAY FIELD IN HER BACK DOOR; BUT, SHE DIDN'T SEEM TO HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF ISSUES THAT NEED TO BE INVESTIGATED BEFORE THEY CAN ALLOW MS. WILLIAMS TO PURCHASE THE PROPERTY. HE QUESTIONED AS LONG AS SHE KNEW THE BOARD WAS WILLING TO WORK WITH HER ON TRYING TO GET THE PROPERTY, WOULD IT SATISFY HER TODAY.

JIM MORRIS WITH THE CITY OF CHIPLEY SAID HE HAD MISSED THE DISCUSSION ON WHAT MS. WILLIAMS WAS REQUESTING AND ASKED IF SHE WAS WANTING A COUPLE OF ACRES FROM THE COUNTY. CHAIRMAN SAPP ADVISED HIM MS. WILLIAMS WAS WANTING A COUPLE OF ACRES OF THE SOD FARM PROPERTY.

JIM SAID HE WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESSED IF IT IS IN A WETLAND AREA, THE CITY CAN'T SPRAY IN IT NOR COULD MS. WILLIAMS BUILD IN IT. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THERE WAS A WETLAND AREA BETWEEN WHERE MS. WILLIAMS IS WANTING TO BUILD AND THE MAJOR PORTION OF THE PROPERTY. HE SAID WHERE MS. WILLIAMS IS WANTING TO BUILD, THERE IS ENOUGH HIGH LAND THERE SHE COULD BUILD.

JIM SAID HE WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THE PROPERTY TO SEE IF THIS IS PART OF THE SOD FARM PROPERTY THE CITY OF CHIPLEY WAS GOING TO USE FOR THEIR SPRAY FIELD; HE IS PRETTY SURE IT IS.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR COMMISSIONER COPE TO WORK WITH JIM MORRIS ON THE PROPERTY MS. WILLIAMS IS REQUESTING AND THEY COULD GET WITH ATTORNEY HOLLEY. ATTORNEY HOLLEY AGREED TO CHECK THE STATUTE TO SEE WHAT IT REQUIRES THE BOARD TO DO TO SELL THE PROPERTY.

GLEN ZANETIC, MSBU COORDINATOR, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON REPLACING THE SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT'S THIRTY YEAR OLD PUMPER BASICALLY WITH THE SAME MACHINE. HE SAID IT WOULD HAVE A LITTLE LARGER WATER TANK AND THEY SET IT UP AS AN ALS RESCUE PUMPER. HE ADDRESSED THE ADVANCED LIFE SUPPORT UNIT IS ONE THING AND THE PERSONNEL IS ANOTHER. HE EXPLAINED WITH THE ALS UNIT, THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE PARAMEDICS AND FIREFIGHTER II'S; THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF WORKING THROUGH THIS. HE ASKED AUTHORIZATION TO PUT A MACHINE OUT TO BID TO A SET OF SPECS AND EXPLAINED THEY HAVEN'T FINALIZED THIS YET.

HE SAID THEY WOULD LIKE TO GET SOME PRICES IN SO THEY COULD GET SOME SPECIFICS ON THE COST OF THE PUMPER.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED HOW THIS MACHINE WOULD BE PAID FOR. ZANETIC SAID IT HAS BEEN IN A LONG TERM BUDGET OF MSBU WITH A 2008 TIME FRAME; MSBU JUST CAN PAY FOR IT BECAUSE OF THE REVENUE INCREASES THEY GET. HE SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO CREATE A COST ON ANY KIND OF GRANTS OR OTHER REVENUE SOURCES; HE HATES TO KEEP PAYING FOR EVERYTHING OUT OF MSBU. HE SAID WITH THE GRANT PROCESS, MSBU DOESN'T

QUALIFY AS WELL AS SOME AREAS. HE SAID RIGHT NOW THEY RESERVE THEIR PUMPER AND DON'T USE IT FOR THE RUNS THEY REALLY SHOULD USE IT FOR BECAUSE HE DOESN'T WANT TO BREAK IT ON SOMETHING SIMPLE AND IT BE BROKEN DOWN WHEN HE NEEDS IT FOR A STRUCTURAL FIRE. HE SAID ANYTHING YOU ORDER IN A CUSTOM CHASSIS, USUALLY WITH A ONE YEAR CONTRACT, THEY HAVE TO DELIVER IT TO YOU AND THEY ARE USUALLY SIX TO EIGHT MONTHS OUT BEFORE THEY TAKE DELIVERY OR SOMETHING.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN TO AUTHORIZE GLEN TO GET BIDS ON A NEW PUMPER FOR THE SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THE PUMPER WOULD BE FINANCED AND PAYMENTS MADE ON IT. GLEN ADDRESSED THERE WERE SEVERAL WAYS TO DO THIS; MOST OF THE BIGGER COMPANIES HAVE LEASE PROGRAMS SUCH AS A TEN YEAR LEASE AND A BUY OUT OR A TEN YEAR LEASE AND TURN IT BACK IN. HE SAID THAT WAS WHAT THEY HAD LOOKED AT AND HAD IN THE MSBU BUDGET. HE SAID IF HE COULD FIND SOME OTHER KIND OF MONIES TO HELP OFFSET SOME OF THIS, HE WOULD LIKE TO DO SO.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE WOULD HATE TO SEE SOMEBODY GO TO A LEASE ON A FIRETRUCK WHEN THEY SIT MOST OF THE TIME. GLEN ADDRESSED THAT IS WHY HE TURNED IN THEIR FIRE RUNS BECAUSE THEY GO OUT QUITE A FEW TIMES; LAST YEAR THEY WENT OUT 235 TIMES. HE SAID WHEN LOOKING AT LEASING, YOU LOOK AT PERMANENT FINANCING TO BRING IT IN WITHIN YOUR BUDGET; HE SAID IT DEPENDS ON HOW YOU DO THE FINANCING.

THE MOTION CARRIED TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS ON A NEW PUMPER FOR THE SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT.

GLEN SAID SUNNY HILLS WAS GOING TO BE EXPANDING TO THEIR EAST. HE HAS TALKED WITH MR. ED PELLETIER; THEY ARE EXPLORING DIFFERENT THINGS AND ONE OF THEM IS HAVING A FIRE DEPARTMENT ON THE EAST SECTION OF SUNNY HILLS BASICALLY IN THE ORANGE HILL AREA.

GLEN SAID AT ONE POINT, DELTONA WAS GOING TO GIVE A PIECE OF PROPERTY ON ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY, HE THOUGHT TRACT J, IF THE COUNTY PAVED THE ROAD. HOWEVER, HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THERE WAS EVER ANY- THING IN WRITING ON HOW LONG THE COUNTY HAD TO PAVE THAT ROAD. HE SAID HE HAS EXPLORED TO SEE IF DELTONA WOULD STILL STAND BY GIVING THE COUNTY THE PIECE OF PROPERTY IF THE ROAD GETS PAVED; OBVIOUSLY IT IS GOING TO GET PAVED NOW. IF DELTONA DOESN'T GIVE THE COUNTY THE PROPERTY, NRPI THAT OWNS THE OTHER PROPERTY OUT AT THE VERY END OF SUNNY HILLS, WHICH IS ABOUT FOUR PARCELS TOGETHER SURROUNDED BY NATURAL PRESERVES RIGHT ON QUAIL HOLLOW BOULEVARD AND IS ABOUT A HALF MILE FROM WHERE THE ROAD SPLITS. HE SAID THAT WOULD BE AN IDEAL LOCATION FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

GLEN ASKED PERMISSION TO HAVE DISCUSSION WITH NRPI TO SEE WHAT THEIR ATTITUDE IS ABOUT THE PROPERTY FOR A FIRE DEPARTMENT. HE SAID NRPI ALSO HAS A CVD IN PLACE WHICH SAYS IF YOU PUT IN CERTAIN IMPROVEMENTS, THEY CAN ASSESS THOSE PROPERTIES FOR THE IMPROVEMENTS THAT ARE BEING MADE. HE SAID THAT IS SOMETHING ELSE HE WOULD LIKE TO PURSUE WHILE NRPI IS HERE.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED HOW CLOSE TO MR. ED WOULD THE NEW FIRE DEPARTMENT LOCATION BE. GLEN SAID THEY WERE PROBABLY IN A TWO YEAR PROCESS OF LOOKING AT THIS; THEY WOULD PROBABLY LEAVE JUST ONE MACHINE OUT THERE AND IT WOULD BE ABOUT 3.5 MILES FROM THE COUNTRY OAKS FIRE DEPARTMENT. HE SAID THEY WANT TO GET SO YOU ARE IN ABILITY TO GO EAST AND WEST, NORTH AND SOUTH WITHOUT HAVING TO COME ALL THE WAY OUT AND THEN GO BACK IN TO THE EAST AGAIN.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN TO AUTHORIZE GLEN TO HAVE DISCUSSION WITH NRPI ON EXCHANGING OF PROPERTY FOR A FIRE DEPARTMENT WITH HIM COMING BACK TO THE BOARD BEFORE IT IS FINALIZED.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED WHO WAS GOING TO MAN THE NEW FIRE DEPARTMENT, WOULD IT TAKE AWAY FROM THE REST OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS IN THE COUNTY OR WILL THE MSBU FUND IT.

GLEN SAID PROBABLY SOME OF THE PERSONNEL FROM THE COUNTRY OAKS FIRE DEPARTMENT WILL BE ASSIGNED TO THE NEW DEPARTMENT PLUS THERE WILL BE SOME OF THE PERSONNEL FROM SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED IF GLEN WAS TRYING TO DO WHAT FIVE POINTS IS TRYING TO DO BY PUTTING A STATION IN POPLAR HEAD. HE SAID THE MONEY IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO RUN FIVE POINTS IS ALSO GOING TO BE USED TO RUN POPLAR HEAD. HE ASKED GLEN IF THE MONIES FROM COUNTRY OAKS FIRE DEPARTMENT OR SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT WAS GOING TOWARD RUNNING THE NEW FIRE STATION.

GLEN SAID THEY HAVEN'T GONE THAT FAR YET; IF WORSE COMES TO WORSE, THEY CAN FUND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET IF THEY HAVE TWO FIRE DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE WITHIN A HALF MILE APART. LOGICALLY, WHAT THEY WOULD WANT TO DO IS MERGE THEIR FIRE DEPARTMENTS; THAT WOULD MERGE THE AREA AND THE 911 ADDRESS WHICH WOULD NOW DIVIDE OUT BASED ON THE FORMULA WHICH IS 911 ADDRESSES, AREA COVERED AND PERSONNEL THEY HAVE. HE SAID THEY WOULD HAVE "X" AMOUNT OF DOLLARS DIVIDED BY TEN RATHER THAN BY ELEVEN. HE SAID MR. ED'S SIDE WOULD PROBABLY WORK OUT MUCH BETTER BECAUSE YOU HAVE THOSE FEW UNITS BRING OUT OVER 3,000 PARCELS RIGHT THERE FOR ASSESSMENTS.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED HOW MANY WAYS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT FORMULA WOULD BE DIVIDED. GLEN SAID, IF YOU ACTUALLY TAKE TWO DEPARTMENTS AND MERGE THEM INTO ONE, SUNNY HILLS WOULD ACTUALLY BECOME LIKE ONE FIRE DEPARTMENT WITH SEVERAL SUBSTATIONS. THEY WOULD TAKE THE AREA, PERSONNEL AND 911 ADDRESSES AND DIVIDE IT BY TEN AND GO FROM THAT BASIS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN TOLD GLEN THE BOARD WAS NOT AUTHORIZING ANY OF THAT; THEY ARE JUST AUTHORIZING HIM TO GET SOME FIGURES AND SEE IF HE CAN GET SOME INTEREST IN IT.

GLEN SAID THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO OFFER WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF SUNNY HILLS THE SAME SERVICE ON THE WEST SIDE AND EAST SIDE.

THE MOTION CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE GLEN TO HAVE DISCUSSION WITH NRPI ON EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY FOR A NEW FIRE DEPARTMENT.

GLEN ADDRESSED A REQUEST FOR BLOCK II OF 14 PARCELS FOR \$200,000 PLUS DOLLARS BASED ON \$17,000 A LOT. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING A LADY THAT WOULD LIKE TO PURCHASE THESE LOTS. HE HAS ADVISED HER IT WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE THROUGH A BID PROCESS AND HE WOULD HAVE TO GET THE BOARD'S PERMISSION TO DO IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO AUTHORIZE GLEN TO PUT THE 14 PARCELS IN BLOCK II OUT FOR BID. GLEN SAID THERE WAS A GROUP MEETING TODAY THAT MAY WANT TO PICK UP ALL THE PARCELS AND ASKED IF HE HEARD BACK FROM THEM, WOULD THE BOARD LIKE FOR HIM TO GO AHEAD AND ADVERTISE THEM.

COMMISSIONER FINCH AND COPE AGREED TO AMEND THEIR MOTION TO APPROVE OF GLEN ADVERTISING FOR SALE ALL OF COUNTY OWNED SUNNY HILLS PROPERTIES IF THE GROUP IS INTERESTED.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

COMMISSIONER FINCH INVITED THE BOARD TO THE GROUND BREAKING ON THE NEW COMMUNITY BUILDING IN SUNNY HILLS AT 11:30 A.M. ON OCTOBER 27TH.

GLEN ADDRESSED PERRY BEOTE BEING PRESIDENT OF THE SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT AND AT THEIR LAST MEETING, HE WAS ELECTED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT TO SIT ON THE MSBU COMMITTEE. GLEN REQUESTED APPROVAL ON BEOTE'S APPOINTMENT.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF PERRY BEOTE'S APPOINTMENT TO THE MSBU COMMITTEE.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY GAVE HIS REPORT:

A. RESOLUTION ON FIRE DEPARTMENTS WAS READ BY ATTORNEY HOLLEY WHICH INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING:

1. REPEALS ALL PREVIOUS RESOLUTIONS REGARDING THE ESTABLISHMENT AND ADMINISTRATION OF A VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT, CONTINGENCY FUND AND PUBLIC SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
2. AFFIRMS A MUTUALLY AND ADVANTAGEOUS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS
3. THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS RECOGNIZES THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FIREFIGHTERS ASSOCIATION (WCFA) AS THE UNIFIED VOICE REPRESENTING THE INTEREST OF THE COUNTY VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS

4. AFFIRMS THE FIRE OPERATIONS FUND OF THE COUNTY BUDGET; THE FIRE OPERATIONS FUND SHALL BE FUNDED BY NOT LESS THAN ONE HALF MILL FROM THE WASHINGTON COUNTY GENERAL FUND. THE FIRST \$25,000 OF ONE HALF MILL WILL BE PLACED IN THE CONTINGENCY LINE OF THE FIRE OPERATIONS FUND. FUNDS COLLECTED AS FEES FOR SERVICES SHALL ALSO BE USED TO FUND THE FIRE OPERATIONS FUND. CONTINGENCY SHALL BE ADMINISTERED AS HEREINAFTER PRESCRIBED.

5. EACH VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT WILL BE ADVISED OF THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING IT IS TO RECEIVE ANNUALLY. THE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT WILL THEN CREATE A BUDGET FOR THOSE FUNDS. UNEXPENDED FUNDS MAY BE CARRIED FORWARD AT THE END OF ANY FISCAL YEAR.

6. THE FIRE OPERATIONS FUND SHALL BE MONITORED AND ADMINISTERED BY THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT OFFICE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FIRE FUNDING FORMULA DEVELOPED BY GOVERNMENT SERVICES GROUP (GSG) AND THE COUNTY FUNDING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE SEVERAL VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS.

7. THERE IS HEREBY ESTABLISHED A FIVE (5) MEMBER PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE TO ADMINISTER THE CONTINGENCY LINE OF THE FIRE OPERATIONS FUND ACCORDING TO THE CRITERIA HEREINAFTER ESTABLISHED. THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE SHALL BE COMPOSED OF THE FOLLOWING PERSONS: (1) THE WASHINGTON COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR, (2) THE WASHINGTON COUNTY EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES DIRECTOR, AND (3) THE PRESIDENT, VICE-PRESIDENT, AND TREASURER OF THE WCFA.

8. THE WASHINGTON COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR SHALL SERVE AS THE CHAIRPERSON OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE AND SHALL KEEP MINUTES OF ALL MEETINGS.

9. THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE SHALL MEET ON AN "AS NEEDED" BASIS AT THE CALL OF THE CHAIRPERSON. A QUORUM SHALL CONSIST OF THREE (3) MEMBERS. 10. EXPENDITURES FROM THE CONTINGENCY LINE MAY BE MADE FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES:

A. FINANCIAL EMERGENCY-THE EXPENDITURE MUST BE EQUAL TO OR GREATER THAN 25% OF THE AFFECTED DEPARTMENT'S TOTAL COUNTY ALLOCATION OR BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMITTEE TO CONSTITUTE A SEVERE FINANCIAL HARDSHIP.

B. DIMINISHED CAPABILITY EMERGENCY-FAILURE TO PROVIDE FOR THE EXPENDITURE WILL SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE THE AFFECTED DEPARTMENT'S ABILITY TO RESPOND TO EMERGENCIES IN THE COUNTY AT THEIR NORMAL AND CUSTOMARY LEVEL.

C. ENHANCEMENT PROJECTS-EXPENDITURES MAY BE MADE FROM THE CONTINGENCY LINE FOR PROJECTS THAT ENHANCE THE DELIVERY OF FIRE SERVICES PROVIDED SUCH PROJECTS HAVE BEEN ENDORSED BY A UNANIMOUS VOTE OF THE FIRE CHIEFS PRESENT AT THE WCFA MEETING WHEN SAID PROJECTS ARE INTRODUCED AND SAID PROJECTS BENEFIT THREE OR MORE DEPARTMENTS. ENHANCEMENT PROJECTS MAY NOT REDUCE THE CONTINGENCY LINE BALANCE BELOW \$25,000.

D. MATCHING FUNDS-FUNDS MAY BE USED TO PROVIDE MATCHING REQUIREMENTS FOR GRANTS. THE USE OF FUNDS FOR MATCHING GRANTS MUST MEET THE SAME CRITERIA OF APPROVAL AND CONTINGENCY LINE BALANCE AS ENHANCEMENT PROJECTS.

E. OTHER-ANY OTHER EMERGENCY SERVICES RELATED NEED NOT NAMED HEREIN PROVIDED SUCH OTHER USE MUST HAVE A UNANIMOUS APPROVAL OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE AND MUST NOT REDUCE THE CONTINGENCY LINE BALANCE BELOW \$25,000 11.

ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE CONTINGENCY LINE SHALL BE COMMITTED BY WASHINGTON COUNTY PURCHASE ORDERS. EXPENDITURES UP TO \$10,000 SHALL BE AUTHORIZED BY A MAJORITY VOTE OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE. ALL EXPENDITURES ABOVE \$10,000 SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND MUST BE RECOMMENDED BY A MAJORITY VOTE OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE. 12. DEPARTMENTS MAY NOT RECEIVE FUNDS MORE THAN ONE (1) TIME WITHIN ANY TWELVE (12) MONTH PERIOD. DEPARTMENTS APPLYING FOR FUNDS MUST BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF ITS AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY FOR OPERATIONAL FUNDS, MUST BE CURRENT WITH ITS WCFA DUES, AND HAVE NOT MISSED MORE THAN TWO (2) WCFA MEETINGS WITHIN THE PREVIOUS TWELVE (12) MONTHS.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED HE DIDN'T DRAFT THE RESOLUTION; IT WAS GIVEN TO HIM TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD.

ROGER HAGAN ADVISED THE BOARD IT REPLACES THE PREVIOUS RESOLUTION, CHANGES THE MAKEUP OF THE COMMITTEE TAKING ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT OFF OF IT BECAUSE, AS ADMINISTRATOR REPRESENTING THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, THEY TALKED ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS A CONFLICT. HE SAID THE RESOLUTION TIGHTENS UP THE PARTICIPATION OF THE DEPARTMENTS IN THE WCFA MAKING IT A UNIFIED COUNTY WIDE EFFORT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT RESOLUTION AS READ.

2. ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR PREVIOUSLY HAVING ENTERED INTO A LAND SWAP WITH DELTONA CORPORATION; HE PROVIDED THE ORIGINAL DEED FROM DELTONA TO WASHINGTON COUNTY THAT NEEDED TO BE RECORDED.

3. ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING BEEN PROVIDED WITH AN UPDATED LIST OF COUNTY MAINTAINED ROADS. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK TO SIGN THE APPROPRIATE AFFIDAVIT OR CERTIFICATE THEY USE TO POST ON THE BULLETIN BOARD. HE SAID WHAT THIS DOES IS CREATE A PRESUMPTION THESE ARE COUNTY ROADS IF THEY GO THROUGH A PROCEDURE; ANYONE CONTESTING THEM HAS TO PROVE IT IS NOT A COUNTY ROAD. HE SAID THE BOARD HAS DONE THIS BEFORE; BUT, THEY ARE UPDATING THE LIST.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN AND CLERK TO SIGN THE AFFIDAVIT ON THE UPDATED LIST OF COUNTY ROADS.

4. ATTORNEY HOLLEY INFORMED THE BOARD THE SURVEYORS HAS FURNISHED HIM ALL THE SURVEYS ON ALL THE ROADS IN THE NORTHERN TRUST SETTLEMENT. HE HAS PREPARED THE QUIT CLAIM DEED AND HAS SENT THOSE TO THE NORTHERN TRUST ATTORNEY; THEY SHOULD BE HEARING BACK FROM THESE SHORTLY AND THIS ISSUE CAN BE FINALIZED.

5. ATTORNEY HOLLEY REPORTED ON THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS ASKING HIM TO MENTION THE NEW HOPE VOTING BOOTH AND THE WATER ISSUES THERE. THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS HAS SAID SHE CHECKED IN WITH THE PERSON THEY ARE GOING TO HOOK UP WITH; THE PERSON SAYS THE PIPE IS ALREADY THERE AND ALL THAT IS REQUIRED IS TO TURN THE WATER ON.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID HE THOUGHT THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS WAS LOOKING FOR A WRITTEN AGREEMENT WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER FOR USE OF THE WATER. ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED HE COULD DO ONE; BUT, THEY NEED TO MAKE SURE THE WATER IS TURNED ON FOR THE IMMEDIATE ELECTION. HE THEN REQUESTED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT PROVIDE HIM WITH THE PERSON'S NAME PROVIDING THE WATER AND HE WILL PREPARE A WRITTEN AGREEMENT.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT BEGAN WITH HIS REPORT:

A. LETTER FROM OLE ELLIS ASKING THE BOARD TO REAPPOINT JOHN DOUGHERTY III AND ROY CARTER TO THE WASHINGTON COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE REAPPOINTMENTS OF ROY CARTER AND JOHN DOUGHERTY TO THE WCID BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

B. HERBERT RESPONDED TO TWO EMPLOYEE GRIEVANCES WHO WANT TO GO TO THE NEXT STEP WHICH REQUIRES THE BOARD TO APPOINT A HEARING OFFICER. HE ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAVING PREVIOUSLY APPOINTED ATTORNEY HOLLEY DUE TO NOT BEING ABLE TO FIND ANYONE TO VOLUNTEER TO DO IT.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED IF THE BOARD HAD TIME TO WAIT FOR THE HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICER TO COME ON BOARD TO RESPOND TO THE GRIEVANCES OR DOES IT HAVE TO BE DONE BEFORE THE NEXT BOARD MEETING ON NOVEMBER 16TH.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID HE THOUGHT THE POLICY SAID YOU HAVE TO APPOINT A HEARING OFFICER WITHIN TWENTY DAYS AND THE DECISION IS TO BE APPROVED AT THE MEETING AFTER THE HEARING OFFICER MEETS WITH THE EMPLOYEES ON THEIR GRIEVANCE.

CHAIRMAN SAPP ASKED ATTORNEY HOLLEY IF HE WOULD BE WILLING TO SERVE AS THE HEARING OFFICER ON THE GRIEVANCES. HOLLEY ADDRESSED, MOST OF THE TIME, THE EMPLOYEES OBJECT TO HIM BEING THE HEARING OFFICER BECAUSE THEY CONSIDER HIM TO

BE IN LINE WITH THE BOARD. HOWEVER, HE WOULD DO WHATEVER THE BOARD WANTED HIM TO DO.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE HAD BEEN THERE A FEW YEARS AGO AND HE DOESN'T FEEL IT IS RIGHT FOR ATTORNEY HOLLEY TO SERVE AS THE HEARING OFFICER FOR THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH NOMINATED JIM TOWNE TO BE THE COUNTY HEARING OFFICER TO HANDLE THE TWO EMPLOYEE GRIEVANCES AND OFFERED A MOTION TO THAT AFFECT. COMMISSIONER COPE SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT CARRIED.

C. HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON A LETTER HE HAD RECEIVED FROM THE FL-DEP; ACCORDING TO FLORIDA STATUTES, THEY HAVE MEETING ANNOUNCEMENTS THAT NEED TO BE READ AT A MEETING OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. HE READ TWO ANNOUNCEMENTS:

1. NOTICE OF MEETING/WORKSHOP HEARING; FL-DEP, DIVISION OF RECREATION AND PARKS ANNOUNCE A PUBLIC MEETING WHICH ALL PERSONS ARE INVITED ON NOVEMBER 2, 2006 AT 7:00 P.M. CENTRAL TIME AT THE BLUE LAKE COMMUNITY CENTER, 1865 HIGHWAY 77, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA. THE GENERAL SUBJECT MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED IS THE PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION ON THE PROPOSED LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR FALLING WATERS STATE PARK WITH THE PUBLIC. A COPY OF THE AGENDA MAY BE OBTAINED BY CONTACTING FALLING WATERS STATE PARK AT (850) 638-6130 OR 1130 STATE PARK ROAD, CHIPLEY, FL 32428. PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT, ANY PERSON REQUIRING SPECIAL ACCOMODATIONS TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS WORKSHOP MEETING IS ASKED TO ADVISE THE AGENCY AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE WORKSHOP MEETING BY CONTACTING MR. RONNIE HUDSON, PARK MANAGER, FALLING WATERS STATE PARK (850)-638-6130 OR E-MAIL AT RONNIE.HUDSON@DP.STATE.FL.US. IF YOU ARE HEARING OR SPEECH IMPAIRED, PLEASE CONTACT THE AGENCY USING THE FLORIDA RELAY SERVICE, 1-800-955-8771 (TDD) OR 1-800-955- 8770 (VOICE)

FOR MORE INFORMATION, YOU MAY CONTACT MR. RONNIE HUDSON, PARK MANAGER.

2. NOTICE OF MEETING/WORKSHOP HEARING-THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, DIVISION OF RECREATION AND PARKS ANNOUNCE A PUBLIC MEETING TO WHICH ALL PERSONS ARE INVITED TO ATTEND ON NOVEMBER 3, 2006 AT 9:00 A.M. (CT) AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY, 1444 JACKSON AVENUE, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA. THE GENERAL SUBJECT MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED IS DISCUSSION OF THE FALLING WATER STATE PARK PROPOSED LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN WITH THE ADVISORY GROUP MEMBERS.

HERBERT ADVISED NO BOARD ACTION WAS NEEDED ON THESE TWO FL-DEP ANNOUNCEMENTS.

D. HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON LINDA NORTON, LIBRARIAN, REQUESTING THE LIBRARY BE CLOSED NOVEMBER 11, 25 AND DECEMBER 23; THEY ARE OFF ON SATURDAYS AND THESE DATES ARE ALL IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE HOLIDAYS.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE LIBRARY CLOSING ON THE DATES REQUESTED BY LINDA NORTON.

E. HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR PREVIOUS ACTION TO DECIDE THE BOARD MEETING DATE FOR DECEMBER DUE TO THE CHRISTMAS HOLIDAYS. DUE TO THE FOURTH THURSDAY BEING ON DECEMBER 28TH AND A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE NORMALLY OFF THE WEEK OF CHRISTMAS, HERBERT SAID IF THEY MOVED IT TO THE THIRD THURSDAY, IT WOULD BE DECEMBER 21ST.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO MOVE THE DECEMBER BOARD MEETING TO DECEMBER 21ST.

F. HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE SMALL COUNTY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE SENDING A LETTER OFFERING TO HELP THE COUNTY WITH THEIR FIVE YEAR ROAD IMPROVEMENT PLAN. SCTA IS WILLING TO PROVIDE \$4,500 TOWARD THIS PROJECT; THE BOARD WILL HAVE TO INITIATE THE PROJECT BY DECEMBER 18 AND HAVE IT COMPLETED BY JUNE 1, 2007.

G. HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON A LETTER FROM LINDA WALLER, PLANNING AND CODE ENFORCEMENT, NOTIFYING OF A VACANCY ON THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD DUE TO THE DEATH OF MR. GENE HENDERSON. LINDA DOESN'T HAVE ANY VOLUNTEERS OR ANYONE TO FILL THE VACANCY. SHE IS REQUESTING THE BOARD ASSIST WITH FILLING THE VACANCY.

H. HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON A LETTER FROM PUBLIC WORKS ASKING THEY BE ALLOWED TO CLOSE ON DECEMBER 27 AND DECEMBER 28; RATHER THAN BE OPEN THOSE TWO DAYS THAT WEEK, THEY WOULD LIKE TO CLOSE FOR THE ENTIRE WEEK AND USE ANNUAL LEAVE FOR THOSE TWO DAYS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED IF ANY OF THE WORKERS HAD COMPLAINED ABOUT THIS AND REFERRED TO, IN THE PAST, EMPLOYEES DIDN'T WANT TO BE MADE TO TAKE THEIR ANNUAL LEAVE.

HERBERT SAID HE WAS NOT AWARE OF ANY COMPLAINTS; HOWEVER, HE HAD JUST RECEIVED THE LETTER AND HADN'T TALKED WITH ROBERT OR DALLAS ABOUT IT.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE HAD TALKED TO DEBBIE RILEY ABOUT THIS; SO FAR, ALL SHE HAD SIGNED UP TO TAKE OFF WAS ABOUT TWENTY PEOPLE. HOWEVER, SHE SAID THERE MAY BE SOME MORE THAT WILL SIGN OFF LATER.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE HAD A PROBLEM MAKING PEOPLE TAKE OFF IF THEY DON'T WANT TO AS IT IS THEIR ANNUAL TIME.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID IF BOTH SUPERVISORS ARE OFF THAT WEEK, THERE WOULD NEED TO BE A SUPERVISOR FOR THE EMPLOYEES WHO WANT TO WORK.

THE BOARD REQUESTED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT CHECK FURTHER ON THE CLOSING OF ROAD AND BRIDGE ON DECEMBER 27TH AND 28TH AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD AT THEIR NOVEMBER 16TH MEETING.

ROGER HAGAN SAID THE PROBLEM THEY HAVE HAD WITH CLOSING ROAD AND BRIDGE DOWN IN THE PAST YEARS IS SOME EMPLOYEES HAVEN'T WORKED THEIR SIX MONTH PROBATIONARY PERIOD AND ARE NOT ALLOWED TO USE THEIR ANNUAL LEAVE DURING THEIR FIRST SIX MONTHS EMPLOYMENT.

I. HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON A REQUEST FROM PUBLIC WORKS TO PURCHASE A SMALL STORAGE SHED AND USE IT PRIMARILY TO STORE THEIR UNI- FORMS AND EXCHANGE THEM WHEN THE DELIVERY TRUCK COMES. THEY GOT THREE QUOTES AND WOULD LIKE TO PURCHASE A 12' X 16' HALLMARK PORTABLE BUILD- ING FOR \$2,520. HERBERT ADVISED ROAD AND BRIDGE HAS FUNDS AVAILABLE IN THEIR CURRENT BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS LINE ITEM.

HERBERT REPORTED THE OTHER TWO QUOTES RECEIVED WERE FROM BETTER BUILT BUILDINGS AND BESTWAY PORTABLE BUILDINGS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF ROAD AND BRIDGE PURCHASING THE HALLMARK PORTABLE BUILDING FOR \$2,520.

I. HERBERT UPDATED THE BOARD ON COMMISSIONER FINCH REQUESTING HE BRING UP THE SPEED LIMITS ON SOME OF THE NEWER ROADS IN SUNNY HILLS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE HAD RECEIVED SEVERAL COMPLAINTS FROM PERSONS DRIVING 55 MPH ON THE BACK SIDE OF SUNNY HILLS GETTING STOPPED AND TICKETED BECAUSE IT IS POSTED AT 35 MPH. HE FELT THIS WAS RIDICULOUS BECAUSE THERE ARE ROADS IN CHIPLEY THAT ARE POSTED AT 35 MPH AND THERE ARE NICE OPEN PAVED ROADS IN SUNNY HILLS WHERE THERE IS NOTHING BUILT AROUND POSTED AT 35 MPH. HE SUGGESTED INCREASING THE SPEED LIMITS ON THE BACK ROADS IN SUNNY HILLS UNTIL THERE IS SOME GROWTH IN SOME OF THOSE AREAS. HE SAID HE DIDN'T THINK THERE WAS ANY REASON NOR ANYTHING ENGINEERING WISE THAT WOULD SUBSTANTIATE THEM BEING 35 MPH.

FINCH ADDRESSED WHAT THE STATE USE TO ESTABLISH SPEED ZONES BY WAS 85 PERCENTILE SPEED; THEY WOULD CHECK THE SPEED OF 85% OF THE VEHICLES, DO SOME CALCULATIONS AND PUT A SPEED LIMIT THAT WAS REASONABLE.

FINCH SAID HE WAS SURE AS DEVELOPMENT BEGINS IN A MAJOR MANNER, THEY WOULD NEED TO LOOK AT IT AGAIN; HOWEVER, RIGHT NOW HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME OPEN ROAD SPEED SIGNS PUT UP AT LEAST 45 MPH IF NOT 55 MPH. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER INCREASING THE SPEED LIMIT ON THESE ROADS.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE DIDN'T SEE WHERE IT WOULD'T BE ADVIS- ABLE TO GO UP TO 45 MPH ON A GOOD MANY OF THE ROADS AS 35 MPH IS WAY TO SLOW.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED HOW WOULD THEY KNOW WHICH ROADS TO INCREASE THE SPEED LIMIT ON UNLESS SOMEBODY RECOMMENDS IT. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR

COMMISSIONER FINCH TO BRING BACK SOME RECOMMENDATIONS AT THE NEXT MEETING ON ROADS TO CONSIDER INCREASING THE SPEED LIMIT ON.

CLERK LINDA COOK ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING TWO COUNTY EMPLOYEES THE COUNTY IS PAYING THEIR KIWANIS CLUB DUES AND SHE DOESN'T FEEL THAT IS RIGHT. SHE SAID SHE PAID HER OWN DUES, MR. HOLLEY PAYS HIS AND THE JUDGE PAYS HIS; HOWEVER, THAT IS STRICTLY THE BOARD'S CALL WHETHER TO PAY IT OR NOT. SHE ADDRESSED HER GETTING IRRITATED EVERY MONTH WHEN SHE SEES IT AND DECIDED TO ASK THE BOARD ABOUT IT. SHE TOLD THE BOARD IF THEY SAID TO SIGN THE CHECKS, THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT SHE WILL DO.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED IF THERE WAS A REASON THE COUNTY WOULD BE PAYING THESE EMPLOYEES KIWANIS CLUB DUES.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADDRESSED THE KIWANIS CLUB HAVING A MEMBERSHIP DRIVE HERE WHILE BACK AND WERE TRYING TO GET SOME COUNTY EMPLOYEES TO JOIN. HE SAID THE COMMISSIONERS WERE ASKED IF THESE EMPLOYEES JOINED, COULD THEY PAY THE DUES OUT OF THEIR COUNTY BUDGET LINE ITEM. HE SAID THE DUES WAS \$35 PER MONTH.

WHEN QUESTIONED IF IT CAME UP AT A BOARD MEETING, ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID HE DIDN'T THINK IT CAME UP AT A BOARD MEETING. HE SAID SOME OF THE MEMBERS OF THE KIWANIS ACTUALLY APPROACHED THE COMMISSIONERS ABOUT ALLOWING THE EMPLOYEES TO JOIN.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE THOUGHT THE BOARD HAD OKAYED FOR ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT'S DUES TO BE PAID. MALCOLM SAID, IF HE REMEMBERED CORRECTLY, IT WAS STACY WEBB WHO APPROACHED THE BOARD ABOUT GETTING THE DUES PAID. HE SAID HE HAD BEEN PAYING HIS OWN DUES PRIOR TO HER REQUESTING THE BOARD PAY THEM.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HIS OPINION WOULD BE, IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO PAY KIWANIS DUES FOR ONE, PAY FOR ALL OR PAY NONE OF THEM. HE SAID A MOTION WOULD BE NEEDED TO REVOKE PAYING THOSE DUES; HE DOESN'T FEEL LIKE THE BOARD SHOULD BE PAYING ANY KIWANIS DUES.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SAID HE WAS NO LONGER A MEMBER; STACY AND MALCOLM ARE THE ONLY TWO MEMBERS THE BOARD IS PAYING FOR.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE KIWANIS WAS A WORTHWHILE ORGANIZATION TO MARKET THE COUNTY SOMEWHAT; HE SAID IF THE BOARD WANTED TO SEND SOMEBODY TO REPRESENT THE COUNTY LIKE ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT, THEY SHOULD PAY IT.

CLERK COOK SAID SHE FELT THE KIWANIS CLUB WAS A WORTHWHILE ORGANIZATION AND NOTED SHE BELONGED TO IT; HOWEVER, SHE DOESN'T THINK THE COUNTY SHOULD PAY FOR ANYONE'S DUES. SHE SAID SHE DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS A TAXPAYERS RESPONSIBILITY TO PAY DUES FOR SOMEBODY TO BELONG TO THE KIWANIS CLUB.

COMMISSIONER SAPP PASSED THE GAVEL TO VICE-CHAIRMAN COPE AND OFFERED A MOTION NOT TO PAY THE KIWANIS CLUB DUES. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT CARRIED.

CHAIRMAN SAPP CALLED FOR A FIFTEEN MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS AND PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING IN THE CHIPLEY NEWSPAPER, ATTORNEY HOLLEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE ORDINANCE PROVIDING FUNDS COLLECTED TO TEEN COURT HAD BEEN ADVERTISED AND THE BOARD NEEDED TO HEAR ANY PUBLIC INPUT ON THE ORDINANCE. HE SAID THE ORDINANCE PROVIDES FUNDING MECHANISMS TO FUND TEEN COURT; IT IS PUT ON FILING FEES AT THE COURTHOUSE IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE.

CHAIRMAN SAPP ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY PUBLIC INPUT ON THE PROPOSED TEEN COURT ORDINANCE. DUE TO THERE BEING NO COMMENTS, COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE ORDINANCE.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING IN THE CHIPLEY NEWSPAPER, A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON AN ORDINANCE REPEALING WASHINGTON COUNTY ORDINANCE 2002-3; PROVIDING FOR A USER'S FEE FOR FIRE DEPARTMENTS.

CHAIRMAN SAPP ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY INPUT FROM THE AUDIENCE ON THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE. DUE TO THERE BEING NO RESPONSE, COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE ORDINANCE.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING ADVERTISED IN THE CHIPLEY NEWSPAPER, A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON A ROAD CLOSURE/PLAT VACATION ON SHILOH LANE, A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD.

MR. R. D. JUSTICE, SPOKESMAN FOR SHILOH, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THEIR REQUEST TO HAVE THE ROAD CLOSED AND STATED SEVERAL REASONS FOR THEIR REQUEST:

1. TO MAINTAIN OR KEEP VEHICULAR TRAFFIC FROM PARKING IN THE CEMETERY. MR. JUSTICE SAID THEY HAD AMPLE PARKING AT THE CHURCH; BUT, SOMETIMES PEOPLE USE THIS ROAD WHEN THERE IS A FUNERAL AT THE CHURCH. HE ADDRESSED THE CEMETERY WAS NOT DESIGNED AS A PARKING LOT.

2. WANT TO KEEP THE MEMBERS FROM PARKING IN THE CEMETERY ON SUNDAY AND WEDNESDAY NIGHTS

3. AT A LATER DATE, THEY WOULD LIKE TO FILL IT IN AND USE IT AS PART OF THE CEMETERY

4. FOR SAFETY ISSUES; HE SAID, IF YOU ARE GOING TOWARD VERNON ON HIGHWAY 277 AND TURN LEFT ON THIS ROAD, YOU ARE CROSSING DOUBLE SOLID LINES. IF THE BOARD SEES FIT TO GRANT APPROVAL TO CLOSE THE ROAD, THE STATE HAS AGREED TO RESURFACE THE ROAD, PUT PAVED SHOULDERS BUT TAKE UP THE ACCELERATION LANE, FIX THE SLOPES AND DITCHES.

MR. JUSTICE SAID THEY WERE REQUESTING THE BOARD CLOSE THE ROAD AND PUT UP BARRIERS NEXT TO THE HIGHWAY UNTIL THE STATE CAN GET IT FIXED.

LINDA WALLER REPORTED THEY HAD LETTERS FROM PUBLIC WORKS AND THE 911 COORDINATOR STATING THEY SEE NO PROBLEM WITH THE CLOSING OF THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO CLOSE SHILOH LANE.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ASKED THE BOARD TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION STATING THE BOARD'S ACTION TO CLOSE SHILOH LANE SO THE ROAD CLOSING CAN BE ADVERTISED IN THE PAPER. MR. JUSTICE ASKED IF THE COUNTY WOULD TAKE CARE OF PUTTING UP THE BARRIERS. COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED HIM THE COUNTY WOULD TAKE CARE OF THIS.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION ON THE BOARD'S ACTION TO CLOSE SHILOH LANE.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING IN THE CHIPLEY NEWSPAPER, A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TYPE V TO ALLOW A MINING OPERATION IN AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE PETITIONED BY JAMES AND NELLA MILLER.

LINDA WALLER REPORTED THEY WERE ASKING FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TYPE V MINING OPERATION ON AN AGRICULTURAL AREA. SHE SAID SHE HAD ONE CALL FROM SOMEONE EXPRESSING SOME CONCERNS ABOUT HOLMES VALLEY ROAD AND THE DAMAGES THE TRUCKS MAY CAUSE. SHE SAID SHE THOUGHT THIS WAS PRETTY EASILY MONITORED ACCORDING TO DALLAS CARTER AT PUBLIC WORKS. LINDA SAID SHE DIDN'T SEE GOING AHEAD AND APPROVING THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION AS A MAJOR PROBLEM.

LINDA EXPLAINED THERE WAS A HILL ON THE PROPERTY AND MR. MILLER IS JUST TRYING TO LEVEL IT OFF; SHE SAID THERE WAS NO RECLAMATION PLAN BECAUSE THERE WILL BE NO PIT DUG.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO APPROVE OF THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION TYPE V TO ALLOW A MINING OPERATION IN AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE PETITIONED BY JAMES AND NELLA MILLER.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION. NO ONE RESPONDED. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

PURSUANT TO A NOTICE OF HEARING IN THE CHIPLEY NEWSPAPER, A PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD ON THE CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM ORDINANCE (AS REQUIRED UNDER SB 360 GROWTH MANAGEMENT LAWS) WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL.

PAUL MILLER, WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, ADDRESSED THE ORDINANCE WAS DEALING WITH AN AMENDMENT TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DEALING WITH THE CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. HE SAID THE WHOLE IDEA OF THE CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IS FORCING US TO LOOK AT A BIT TO THE FUTURE, INSURING BEFORE AMENDMENTS ARE MADE TO THE COMP PLAN OR APPROVE DEVELOPMENT ORDERS, ETC., THE COUNTY WILL HAVE THE CONCURRENCY AVAILABLE FOR THAT PARTICULAR EFFORT AT THE TIME THEY ARE GOING TO APPLY FOR IT. HE SAID, RATHER THAN WAITING UNTIL

SOMEONE HAS HOUSES BUILT, ETC., AND THEN TRYING TO PUT IN THE ROAD, THE COUNTY WILL BE LOOKING AHEAD TO SAY BEFORE THEY CAN BUILD, THEY WILL HAVE TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE THE WATER, SEWER, ETC. IN PLACE. HE SAID THE ORDINANCE INCORPORATES AN UPGRADE TO THE COUNTY'S CONCURRENCY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM MOST OF WHICH IS A SOFTWARE PROGRAM THAT WILL BE DOING THE TRACKING OF DEVELOPMENT COMING IN AND SOME RECTIFICATION ISSUES FOR STRATEGIES IF SOMEBODY WANTS TO BUILD, HOW DO THEY GET IT TO BE ALLOWABLE WITHIN THE CONSTRAINTS THEY MAY HAVE FOR WHATEVER SYSTEM. HE SAID THE BIGGEST ONE INCORPORATED HERE IS THE PROPORTIONATE FAIR SHARE WHICH ALLOWS FOR THE STRATEGY IN THE ORDINANCE. HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY WOULD STILL HAVE TO DO THE ACTUAL PROPORTIONATE FAIR SHARE ORDINANCE AND GET IT INTO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE BEFORE IT BECOMES AFFECTIVE.

MR. MILLER SAID THE ORDINANCE BEING ADDRESSED AT LEAST ALLOWS THE COUNTY THE STRATEGY. HE SAID ESSENTIALLY THERE ARE FOUR STRATEGIES FOR A DEVELOPMENT THAT IS COMING IN THAT COULD NOT MEET CONCURRENCY:

1. REDUCE THE SCALE OF DEVELOPMENT
2. DO A COMP PLAN AMENDMENT TO CHANGE THE LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARD
3. HAVE THE DEVELOPER CREATE THE FACILITY PRIMARILY AIMED AT SEWAGE
4. PROP SHARE-PAY FOR YOUR SHARE OF IMPROVEMENTS

HE ADVISED THAT WAS THE STRATEGIES INCORPORATED WITH THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE. HE SAID WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO APPROVE THE ORDINANCE, AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN IT AND TRANSMIT IT TO FL-DCA.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL'S RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT THE ORDINANCE, AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN IT AND SUBMIT IT TO FL-DCA.

MR. MILLER ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON WASHINGTON COUNTY'S INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT RELATING TO PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING (AS REQUIRED UNDER SB 360 GROWTH MANAGEMENT LAWS) WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL. HE SAID THIS WAS AN UPDATE TO THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT SIGNED BACK IN 2002. HE EXPLAINED THE INTENT OF THE AGREEMENT WAS TO PROVIDE COORDINATION BETWEEN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD SO THEY DON'T END UP WITH A SCHOOL OUT IN THE MIDDLE OF NOWHERE THAT IT TAKES THIRTY MINUTES BY BUS FOR EVERYBODY TO GET TO AND BY THE SAME TOKEN THE BOARD IS NOT APPROVING DEVELOPMENT WITHOUT HAVING THE SCHOOLS AVAILABLE. HE SAID HOPEFULLY THEY CAN GET THEM ALL IN THE SAME RELATIVE TIME FRAME IN THE SAME RELATIVE AREA SO THEY CAN PLAY OFF OF EACH OTHER AND FIT BETTER. HE SAID THE BIGGEST REASON TO DO THIS SORT OF EFFORT IS FINANCIAL GAINS BOTH ENTITIES WILL MAKE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED IF THIS WOULDN'T BE DUPLICATING SERVICES WITH FL-DCA. HE REFERRED TO HIM HAVING A DEVELOPMENT PREVIOUSLY AND THE COUNTY HAD TO GET APPROVAL THROUGH FL-DCA AND THE SCHOOL SYSTEM HAD TO PRESENT SOME INFORMATION BEFORE THEY WOULD APPROVE IT.

MR. MILLER SAID THAT IS PART OF THE EFFORT; RATHER THAN GETTING TO THE FL-DCA AND DOE LEVEL, THE INPUT WOULD BE PROVIDED WITHIN WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE SAID BEFORE THE COUNTY APPROVES A COMP PLAN AMENDMENT, THE SCHOOL DISTRICT WOULD HAVE REVIEWED IT AND COME UP WITH A FACT WHETHER THEY WILL OR WILL NOT HAVE THE SPACES AVAILABLE FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN REFERRED TO FL-DOT HAVING TO SIGN OFF ON HIS DEVELOPMENT ALSO BEFORE HE COULD GET IT APPROVED ON TRANSPORTATION ISSUES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED HOW WOULD THIS GET TO THE SCHOOL SYSTEM BECAUSE THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE ANY INTERACTION WITH THE SCHOOLS.

MR. MILLER SAID ESSENTIALLY, WHEN MS. WALLER RECEIVES IT AND BEFORE SHE BRINGS IT UP TO THE BOARD FOR REVIEW, A COPY WILL GO TO THE SCHOOL BOARD AND IT WILL ALSO BE THROUGH THE PLANNING BOARD THEY WILL HEAR IT.

LINDA WALLER ADVISED THEY HAD A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SCHOOL BOARD ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION BOARD, MR. JOE TAYLOR.

MR. MILLER SAID WHAT THIS EFFORT IS DOING NOW IS DRIVING TOWARD CONCURRENCY; BUT, IT IS NOT GOING TO GET THEM TO CONCURRENCY. HE SAID IT SETS THE LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARDS, WHICH HAPPENS TO BE 100% OF THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION'S CORE LEVEL. HE SAID THE SCHOOL IS ACTUALLY ALLOWED TO GO TO 120% OF CAPACITY WITH TIME SHARES, ETC. HE SAID RIGHT NOW IT WAS SET UP FOR DISTRICT WIDE; EVERY HIGH SCHOOL IS THE SAME AND ALL THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS ARE TREATED THE SAME. WITHIN THE NEXT FIVE YEARS, MILLER SAID THEY WOULD BE COMING BACK BEFORE THE BOARD TO ESTABLISH A LEVEL OF SERVICE FOR EACH ONE OF THOSE SCHOOLS SO IT REDUCES SOME OF THE REDISTRICTING EFFORTS THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS END UP GOING THROUGH ALL THE TIME.

MILLER SAID THE LAST THING THE AGREEMENT DOES IS SET UP THE TIME SCHEDULE; FOR EACH YEAR ONE ACTS AS EACH OF THE VARIOUS ENTITIES INVOLVED WITH THIS HAS TO PROVIDE FOR EACH OTHER. HE SAID THE REQUIREMENT IS FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY TO INCORPORATE THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT'S FIVE YEARS FACILITIES PLAN INTO THEIR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ELEMENT. HE ADDRESSED THIS DIDN'T MEAN THEY ARE TRANSFERRING FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES, ETC.; THE WAY THEY ARE RECOMMENDING IT NOW IS IT WILL BE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE RATHER THAN TRYING TO GET INTO EACH AND EVERY LINE ITEM FOR BOTH OF THE BUDGETS. HE SAID AT LEAST IT WILL SHOW THE BOARD WHAT THE SCHOOL DISTRICT'S PLANS ARE SO THE COUNTY WILL HAVE AN IDEA, WHEN A DEVELOPMENT COMES BEFORE THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL, IF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT PLANS ON PUTTING ANYTHING IN THAT GENERAL LOCATION AHEAD OF TIME.

COMMISSIONER FINCH QUESTIONED MR. MILLER IF HE KNEW THE SCHOOL DISTRICT HAD A PLAN. MR. MILLER ADVISED THE SCHOOL DISTRICT DID HAVE A PLAN.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE SCHOOL DISTRICT COULDN'T IMPLEMENT THE PLAN UNTIL THE HOUSES ARE BUILT AND THE RESIDENTS ARE THERE; THE FL-DOE DOESN'T PUT OUT ANY MONIES FOR SCHOOLS WITHOUT THE BUILDINGS ARE THERE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID IT SEEMS LIKE A LOT OF THESE THINGS ARE BRINGING YOU TO THE POINT THEY HAVE TO HAVE SCHOOLS, ETC. AVAILABLE BEFORE THEY BUILD THE HOUSES. ON THE OTHER HAND, IT SAYS YOU HAVE TO HAVE THE SCHOOLS AVAILABLE BEFORE YOU CAN BUILD THE HOUSES AND THE SCHOOLS CAN'T GET FUNDING TO BUILD THE SCHOOLS UNTIL THEY HAVE THE HOUSES.

MR. MILLER REITERATED THE ADVANTAGE OF THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WOULD BE THEY ARE LOOKING AT LEAST FIVE YEARS OUT TO DETERMINE WHERE THEY HAVE TO START PUTTING THEIR EFFORTS RATHER THAN A LAST MINUTE SCRAMBLE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT RELATING TO PUBLIC SCHOOL FACILITY PLANNING.

MR. JOHN GALLAGHER, COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING DIRECTOR FOR WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON AGED INVOICES FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE SAID HE HAD GOTTEN WITH ADMINI-STRATOR HERBERT AND THEY WERE ABLE TO WORK THROUGH SOME INVOICES WFRPC SHOWED WERE AGED. HOWEVER, THERE WERE TWO INVOICES HERBERT HAD CONCERNS ABOUT; BOTH OF THE INVOICES WERE FOR PERIODS OF TIMES THAT DATED BACK TO FISCAL YEARS 2002 AND 2003. HE ADDRESSED HERBERT HAVING THOUGHT IT WOULD BE BEST IF HE CAME AND EXPLAINED TO THE BOARD THOSE TWO INVOICES.

MR. GALLAGHER SAID HE UNDERSTANDS PEOPLE DON'T BUDGET FOR PAST FISCAL YEARS IN THEIR CURRENT FISCAL YEAR. AFTER REVIEWING THE BILLS AGAIN, GALLAGHER SAID THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION IN HOW THEY HAD DONE SOME OF THEIR BILLING ON ONE OF THE INVOICES; THEY HAD IT LISTED UNDER ONE CONTRACT AND IT WAS ACTUALLY WORK THAT WAS DONE UNDER ANOTHER CONTRACT. HE SAID IT APPEARED WFRPC DID THE WORK; BUT, THEY MAY NOT HAVE THE JUSTIFICATION OF A CONTRACT TO SHOW THEY SHOULD HAVE BILLED FOR IT. THEREFORE, HE SAID HE JUST THROUGH OUT THE INVOICE FOR \$8,000.

HE ADDRESSED HERBERT HAVING SOME CONCERNS WHETHER OR NOT THE WORK WAS DONE ON THE \$7,424 INVOICE; HE SAID THE CONTRACTS ON THIS PROJECT DID SHOW THERE WAS SOMETHING SET UP. HE SAID ANOTHER QUESTION WAS WHETHER OR NOT WFRPC BILLED ON

TIME; AFTER REVIEWING THEIR RECORDS, THE BILL DID GO OUT ON TIME. HOWEVER, HE SAID IT WAS NEVER PAID. HE EXPLAINED IT COULD HAVE BEEN AT THE TIME, THERE COULD HAVE BEEN SOME DISCUSSION ON WHETHER SOME OF THE ITEMS SHOULD HAVE BEEN BILLED AND IT MAY HAVE CAUSED THE INVOICE TO GET FROZEN AT THE TIME AND TOSSED ASIDE. HE SAID HE COULDN'T BE SURE OF WHAT IS INVOLVED WITH WHAT THE CAUSE FOR THE BILL NOT BEING PAID.

GALLAGHER SAID IF THE CHARGES ARE LEGITIMATE AND THE BILL JUST WASN'T PAID AT THAT TIME, THAT BECOMES AN ISSUE FOR THE BOARD TO ADDRESS WHETHER OR NOT THEY BELIEVE IT IS SOMETHING THEY SHOULD STILL PAY. HE SAID AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED, THE WORK WAS DONE AND BEING HE IS THE ONE WFRPC PUT IN CHARGE OF BILL COLLECTING, IT KIND OF PUTS HIM IN CHARGE OF BEING THE ONE TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD AND SAY THIS. HE SAID THE MORE IMPORTANT THING TO HIM NOW IS HE DOESN'T LIKE TO SEE THINGS THAT ARE NOT PROPERLY INVOICED THAT ARE MORE THAN 90 DAYS; THIS IS ONE OF THE THINGS THEY HAVE BEEN WORKING ON IN THEIR SYSTEM. HE MADE THAT COMMITMENT TO THE BOARD, WFRPC WILL AVOID THOSE KIND OF SITUATIONS.

GALLAGHER SAID HE HAD MADE COPIES OF EVERYTHING HE HAS SUBMITTED AND PROVIDED THEM TO THE BOARD. ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED WHAT THE SERVICES BILLED WERE FOR.

GALLAGHER ADVISED PART OF THE INVOICE WAS COVERING FLORIDA FOREVER BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT FOR HOLMES CREEK; THESE WERE THINGS THAT HAPPENED BEFORE APRIL OF 2003. THERE WERE OTHER DIRECT EXPENSES FOR TRAVEL, PRODUCTION, MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATIONS, ETC. DONE IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE GENERAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT, AN LMS MEETING AND THE COMPREHENSIVE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLAN. AFTER HAVING TALKED WITH THE ADMINISTRATOR ABOUT HIM NOT BEING SURE ANY WORK WAS DONE AT THAT TIME, GALLAGHER SAID HE WAS WANTING TO MAKE SURE WORK WAS DONE AND FEELS THEY HAVE JUSTIFICATION SHOWING THEY DID THE WORK THAT WAS REQUIRED FOR THAT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY QUESTIONED IF WFRPC HAD AN AGREEMENT SIGNED BY THE COUNTY ON THE COMPREHENSIVE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLAN PART OF THE INVOICE. GALLAGHER ADVISED THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY RECOMMENDED DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REVIEW THE MINUTES TO SEE WHAT THEY REFLECT ON THE CEMP. ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REFERRED TO HIM TALKING TO EOC DIRECTOR, ROGER HAGAN, AND HE DIDN'T BELIEVE THERE WERE ANY UPDATES OR WORK DONE IN 2003.

MR. GALLAGHER SAID IF THERE WAS ANY DOUBT WHETHER THE COUNTY OWES IT AFTER LOOKING OVER THE RECORDS, IN HIS MIND, THE TIE WOULD GO TO THE COUNTY. HE SAID IF WFRPC CAN'T PROVE THE WORK WAS DONE IN A WAY THAT MAKES SENSE, THERE IS NO REASON FOR THEM TO CHARGE THE COUNTY. HE SAID THE REAL ISSUE FOR THE BOARD IS DETERMINE IF THIS IS A LEGITIMATE BILL UP TO \$7,424 AND HOW THEY ARE GOING TO DEAL WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE ONLY PROBLEM HE WOULD HAVE IS, IF IT IS A GRANT PROJECT, FUNDING WAS TO BE PAID OUT OF GRANT FUNDS AND THE GRANT WAS CLOSED OUT, HE WOULD HAVE A PROBLEM PAYING OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND BUDGET IF IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN PAID OUT OF A GRANT. HE SAID IF THEY CAN MAKE SURE IT IS A LEGITIMATE CHARGE AND IT SLIPPED THROUGH THE CRACKS, IT WOULD BE SOMETHING ELSE. HOWEVER, HE SAID HE WOULD HOPE IT WOULDN'T BE SOMETHING THEY SHOULD NORMALLY HAVE PAID OUT OF A GRANT AND DIDN'T.

GALLAGHER SAID IF IT TURNS OUT THERE WAS A PROBLEM AND THE BOARD DETERMINES THEY OWE THE BILL, WFRPC WOULD BE WILLING TO SPREAD OUT THE CHARGES OVER A PERIOD OF TIME IF THE BOARD NEEDS TO DO SO.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED WHY IT TOOK THREE YEARS TO FIND THE INVOICES. GALLAGHER SAID HE ONLY STARTED TO WORK WITH WFRPC IN JANUARY; APPARENTLY, IT WAS ALL AGED INVOICES. HE STATED HE DIDN'T SEE THE FIRST ONE UNTIL APPROXIMATELY FIVE TO SIX MONTHS AFTER HE GOT INTO THE OFFICE. HE SAID PART OF IT WAS THE WAY WFRPC HAD THEIR PROCEDURES SET UP AND BILLED IT AND IT LOOKED LIKE REVENUE IN THE SYSTEM. HE SAID UNLESS YOU HAVE SOMEONE DIRECTLY TRACKING RECEIVABLES AS THEY COME IN, CHANCES ARE IT WILL DISAPPEAR OFF THE LIST BECAUSE IT WILL LOOK

LIKE ITS BEEN PAID, EVEN THOUGH IT HAS ONLY JUST BEEN BILLED. HE SAID HE CAN SEE WHERE SOMETHING LIKE THAT COULD FALL THROUGH THE CRACKS; IT IS STILL NOT SMART BUSINESS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE COULD AGREE WITH THREE MONTHS OR SIX MONTHS ON REQUESTING PAYMENT FOR AN INVOICE; HOWEVER, NOT THREE YEARS.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADDRESSED BOARD FINANCE ALWAYS HAVING PAID THE BILLS RECEIVED IN A TIMELY MANNER; THEY DON'T HAVE ANY OUTSTANDING INVOICES THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED FOR PAYMENT.

THE BOARD ASKED FOR DEPUTY CLERK CARTER TO CHECK ON THE \$7,424 INVOICE SUBMITTED BY MR. GALLAGHER, GET WITH ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD.

GALLAGHER ADDRESSED ANOTHER ISSUE WITH THE BOARD. HE SAID WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL WAS TRYING TO GET THE WORD OUT THEIR JOB IS TO HELP THE COUNTY GROW. HE ASKED THE COUNTY TO LOOK AT WFRPC AS A RESOURCE THEY CAN TAKE ADVANTAGE OF, DO A GAP ANALYSIS AND SEE WHERE THEY NEED TO FILL IN AND FIX THINGS.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADDRESSED THE HABITAT FOR HUMANITY REQUESTING THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TAKE OUT AN AD IN THEIR PUBLICATION. HE ADVISED THE COST WOULD BE \$200 FOR A FULL PAGE AND A \$100 FOR A HALF PAGE. IF THE COMMISSIONERS ARE INTERESTED, HERBERT INSTRUCTED THEM TO TALK TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARY, SUSAN LAWSON.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REPORTED ON THE VOUCHERS FOR THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER 2006 TOTTALLING \$1,736,136.36. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF PAYING THE VOUCHERS FOR SEPTEMBER.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REPORTED ON AN INVOICE FROM CARR, RIGGS AND INGRAM; THEY HAVE A FLAT FEE ACCORDING TO THE CONTRACT AND THERE WAS A STIPULATION IN THE CONTRACT ABOUT A FEE FOR 1% OF GRANTS. SHE ADVISED THAT IS WHAT THEY HAVE INVOICED AND THEY ARE CURRENTLY OVER THE \$45,000 BUDGETED BY \$28,017. SHE ASKED AUTHORIZATION TO PAY IT.

SHE INFORMED THE BOARD SHE HAD SPOKEN WITH SARAH APPLEWHITE OF THE AUDITING FIRM AND ADVISED IT WOULD BE PREFERABLE IF THEY COULD PROVIDE A FLAT FEE AMOUNT TO PROVIDE THE AUDITING SERVICES FOR BUDGETING PURPOSES.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REQUESTED THE BOARD APPROVE AUTHORIZATION TO PAY THE CARR, RIGGS & INGRAM INVOICE CONTINGENT ON THE AUDITORS PROVIDING THE INFORMATION ON HOW THEY DERIVED AT THE AMOUNT THEY INVOICED. COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE TO APPROVE OF DEPUTY CLERK CARTER'S REQUEST.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED IF IT WAS NECESSARY TO HAVE AGREEMENTS WITH TWO DIFFERENT AUDITING FIRMS AS HE HAD RATHER HAVE IT ALL UNDER ONE.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADDRESSED GRIMSLEY, CAVIN AND ROOKS PROVIDES SERVICES TO THE COUNTY THEIR AUDITOR CAN'T ASSIST WITH. SHE SAID THIS FIRM ASSISTS WITH THE GASB REQUIREMENTS, THE MD&A REQUIREMENT, ETC.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THERE BEING QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT ONE FIRM WAS SUPPOSE TO BE DOING AND WHAT THE OTHER FIRM WAS SUPPOSE TO BE DOING. DEPUTY CLERK CARTER SAID ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAD BEEN MEETING WITH THE AUDITING FIRMS. SHE REFERRED TO IT BEING LIKE OTHER INSTANCES WHERE THE BOARD BID FOR SERVICES AND THE BIDS THEY RECEIVED WERE HIGHER THAN WHAT THEY WERE PAYING.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THE BOARD NEEDED TO LOOK AT HAVING ONE AUDITING FIRM IN THE FUTURE DUE TO THE COST INVOLVED BEING MORE THAN WHAT THEY NEED TO PAY. HE REFERRED TO IT COSTING A LOT MORE THAN THEY USE TO HAVE TO PAY.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER AGREED AND SAID IN THE FUTURE WHEN THEY DO BID, THE AUDITING FIRM NEEDS TO PROVIDE A FLAT FEE FOR SERVICES SO THEY CAN BUDGET FOR IT.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION TO ADVERTISE FOR A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET FOR FY 2005-2006 FOR ADDITIONAL REVENUES THAT COME IN THAT WAS NOT ANTICIPATED OR NEW FUNDS, ETC.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE THE ADVERTISING OF A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET HEARING FOR 2005-2006 AT THE NOVEMBER 16TH MEETING.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN BROUGHT UP THE DISSATISFACTION OF THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES WITH THE NEW PAY SCALE. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE BET THE EMPLOYEES WOULDN'T WANT TO GO BACK TO THEIR OLD PAY SCALE; IF THERE WAS AN OPTION 1 AND 2 AND THAT WAS OPTION 2, HE IMAGINED THEY WOULD KEEP OPTION 1.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED HE HAD WENT TO PUBLIC WORKS THIS MORNING AND EXPLAINED THE PROCESS TO DERIVE AT THE PAY SCALE. HE SAID THERE WERE NOT A LOT OF QUESTIONS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE PERSON WHO HAD TALKED WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN HAD COME AND TALKED TO HIM ABOUT IT ALSO. THIS EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN ON THE EXCAVATOR FOR TWENTY YEARS AND WHEN THEY DONE THE CONSULTANT, THEY KNOCKED HIM DOWN FROM AN EXCAVATOR TO A BACKHOE AND THIS PUT HIM IN A DIFFERENT JOB CLASSIFICATION.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADVISED THE CONSULTANT GROUPED THE EXCAVATOR AND BACKHOE OPERATORS UNDER ONE CLASSIFICATION AND THEY USE TO BE SEPARATE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THESE TWO EQUIPMENT CLASSIFICATIONS ARE ALTOGETHER DIFFERENT; A PESON THAT CAN RUN A BACKHOE AND DO A GOOD JOB CAN'T EVEN RUN AN EXCAVATOR. HE SAID AN EXCAVATOR IS A VERY SKILLED PIECE OF EQUIPMENT, ESPECIALLY WHEN DIGGING DITCHES ON THE SIDE OF THE ROAD TRYING TO KEEP THEM DRAINED.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THERE WERE ONLY TWO EXCAVATOR OPERATORS IN THE COUNTY; ONE FOR THE EAST SIDE AND ONE FOR THE WEST SIDE. HE SAID THAT IS WHERE THE EMPLOYEE COMPLAINTS ARE; BEFORE, THE EXCAVATOR OPERATORS USE TO BE CLASSIFIED WITH THE GRADER OPERATORS AND NOW THEY HAVE BEEN MOVED DOWN TO THE BACKHOE'S PAY SCALE.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE THOUGHT IT WAS NOT SO MUCH THE PAY; BUT, THE CLASSIFICATION THEY WERE PUT IN. HE ADDRESSED THE MOTOR- GRADER OPERATORS ARE CLASSIFIED IN A PW-4 POSITION AND THE EXCAVATOR OPERATORS ARE CLASSIFIED IN A PW-3.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AGREED WITH WHAT COMMISSIONER CORBIN HAD SAID ABOUT SKILL; IT TAKES SOMEBODY A LITTLE MORE SKILLED TO OPERATE AN EXCAVATOR THAN A BACKHOE. HE ADDRESSED HE COULD OPERATE A BACKHOE; BUT, HE COULDN'T OPERATE AN EXCAVATOR.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE EMPLOYEE HE WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS CHRIS ROBERTS. HE SAID CRIS COULD DO BEAUTIFUL WORK ON THE EXCAVATOR AND NEVER HAS ANY PROBLEM WITH HIS EQUIPMENT; HE KEEPS HIS EQUIPMENT IMMACULATE AND KEEPS IT MAINTAINED.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID WHEN THE GRADE-ALL CAME DOWN TO BE TESTED OUT, CHRIS WAS SICK; THEY CALLED HIM AT HIS HOUSE TO COME AND OPERATE IT. HE SAID IF IT WAS A BACKHOE, THEY COULD HAVE GOTTEN SOMEBODY TO OPERATE A BACKHOE TO DO THE JOB, BUT THEY DIDN'T.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED WHAT CHRIS WAS WANTING THE BOARD TO DO.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE THINKS CHRIS WANTS THE BOARD TO DO LIKE THEY DID WITH MALCOLM; AGREE FOR CHRIS TO RESUBMIT HIS JOB DUTIES AND LET THE CONSULTANT RE-EVALUATE IT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE PAY SCALE FOR AN EXCAVATOR OPERATOR SHOULD BE THE SAME AS THE MOTORGRADER OPERATOR RATHER THAN WITH THE BACKHOE. HE EXPLAINED IT ALWAYS HAD BEEN LIKE THAT IN THE PAST.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER ADDRESSED, IN THE PAST, THE PAY CLASSIFICA- TIONS WERE PREPARED BY HER AND COMMISSIONER ENFINGER AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD; THE PAY CLASSIFICATION THEY ARE ADDRESSING NOW WAS DONE BY A CONSULTANT THAT CATEGORIZED THE POSITIONS. SHE SAID SHE WAS NOT SAYING THAT WAS RIGHT; BUT, THAT WAS THE DIFFERENCE.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED IN THE PAST, THEY HAD MORE CATEGORIES; THE CONSULTANT REDUCED THE NUMBER OF CATEGORIES.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADDRESSED THERE HAD BEEN OTHER COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE CLASSIFICATIONS AND THE BOARD MAY WANT TO CONSIDER PUTTING MORE CATEGORIES BACK INTO THE PAY SCALE AND SPREAD IT OUT MORE.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID AS LONG AS HE STAYS ON THE BOARD, HE WON'T EVER AGREE FOR A CONSULTANT TO EVALUATE THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES; HE SAID THE BOARD SHOULD BE CAPABLE OF DOING THIS ALONG WITH THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AND FOREMEN. HE SAID THE BOARD MADE A MISTAKE WITH THE CONSULTANT.

COMMISSIONER COPE REITERATED IT IS HARD TO EVALUATE A POSITION UNLESS YOU GO OUT AND VISIT PEOPLE AND VISIT THAT POSITION; THE CONSULTANT SHOULD HAVE WENT OUT AND BEEN WITH THE PERSON TO SEE WHAT THEY DO IN ORDER TO GIVE A TRUE EVALUATION OF THEIR POSITION.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ASKED HOW FAIRER OF A WAY IS THERE TO DO IT OTHER THAN NOT BEING OPINIONS; IF YOU GIVE THE JOB DUTIES TO SOMEBODY THAT DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT A PERSON EXCEPT THEY OPERATE A CERTAIN PIECE OF EQUIPMENT. HE SAID HE IS NOT SAYING THAT IS HOW IT SHOULD END UP AND REFERRED TO ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT HAVING SAID SEVERAL TIMES WHEN THE HUMAN RESOURCE PERSON GETS ON BOARD, THEY LOOK AT THESE ISSUES AND MAYBE THEY CAN BE FINE TUNED AND MAYBE ADJUSTMENTS BE MADE. HE SAID IT SEEMS THE HUMAN PART OF IT CAN GET IN IT AND GAVE AN EXAMPLE: "I LIKE OLD CHRIS BUT I CAN'T STAND THAT OTHER FELLOW, JOHN RICHARDS, I JUST DON'T CARE NOTHING ABOUT HIM." FINCH SAID HE WAS NOT SAYING THIS TYPE OF THING HAPPENS; HOWEVER, THESE TYPE OF PERSONALITY THINGS CAN GET INVOLVED. HE SAID IT SEEMS LIKE A FAIRER WAY TO GIVE IT TO SOMEONE THAT DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING AND ADDRESSED THE CONSULTANT BEING HIRED AND WAS FROM A PROFESSIONAL FIRM.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HE HAD TOOK HEAT FROM PEOPLE TELLING HIM HOW STUPID THE BOARD WAS TO GIVE THESE KIND OF RAISES. HE ADDRESSED THESE WERE THE BEST RAISES EMPLOYEES EVER HAVE GOTTEN AND HE DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THE COMPLAINTS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WAS SPEAKING FOR RICHARDS AND ROBERTS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THE REASON THE BOARD USED A CONSULTANT WAS BECAUSE HE WAS PAID FOR BY THE SMALL COUNTY COALITION. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE BOARD HAD AGREED TO DO THIS.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID THE CONSULTANT WAS A NEUTRAL PERSON FROM A NEUTRAL COMPANY THAT HAD NO INSIDE, NO FRIENDS, RELATIVES AND WAS TOTALLY INDEPENDENT OF THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THERE WAS NO BETTER FRIEND THAT HE HAD THAN CHRIS ROBERTS; HE SAID HE THOUGHT HE WAS THE BEST EXCAVATOR OPERATOR. HOWEVER, IF THEY PUT HIM IN A PW4 CLASSIFICATION, HE DOESN'T SEE WHERE THIS WILL MAKE HIM A BETTER OPERATOR. IF HE IS WANTING MORE MONEY, FINCH SAID HE JUST OUGHT TO SAY THAT.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE ADMINISTRATOR HAS A PLAN AND THE BOARD SHOULD WAIT AND SEE HOW THAT WORKS; IF IT DOESN'T WORK, THE BOARD CAN LOOK AT IT AGAIN.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HOPEFULLY THE HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICE WILL BE ON DECK WITHIN ABOUT A MONTH AND THE COMPLAINTS CAN BE SENT TO THEM.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADDRESSED THIS BEING ONE OF THE JUSTIFICATIONS FOR THE HUMAN RESOURCE POSITION. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE DIDN'T THINK THE BOARD NEEDED TO TAKE ACTION ON CHRIS ROBERT TODAY.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IF THE BOARD STARTED SINGLING OUT AN EMPLOYEE, HE DOESN'T SEE HOW THEY WILL DO THIS EMPLOYEE AND NOT OTHERS. HE ADDRESSED THEY HAD ALREADY DONE SOME OF THAT AND THAT WASN'T A GOOD PLAN EITHER. HE FELT LIKE THESE ISSUES SHOULD BE LOOKED AT AS A GROUP.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE WAS NOT SAYING THE BOARD SHOULDN'T GO BACK AND LOOK AT THEM; AT LEAST EVALUATE THEM AND GO BACK AND LOOK AT THEM.

DEPUTY CLERK CARTER SAID SHE THOUGHT IT HAD BEEN SAID PREVIOUSLY, WHEN THE HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICER GETS ON BOARD, THE PAY SCALE CAN BE FINE TUNED IF THEY FEEL AN EMPLOYEE NEEDS MORE MONEY OR BE PUT IN A DIFFERENT CLASSIFICATION.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICER COULD ACTUALLY GO DOWN AND REVIEW WHAT THE EMPLOYEE DOES AND MAKE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS ON WHETHER AN ADJUSTMENT IS NEEDED OR NOT.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID WHEN THE FORMS WERE SENT OUT TO THE EMPLOYEES TO FILL OUT TO SEND BACK TO THE CONSULTANT ON THEIR JOB DUTIES, THEY DIDN'T TAKE IT AS SERIOUS AS THEY SHOULD HAVE. HE SAID HE THINKS THAT IS WHERE IT ALL STARTED; THE EMPLOYEES DIDN'T TURN IN A TRUE EVALUTION OF THEIR JOB DUTIES AND JUST PUT DOWN SOME- THING TO GET BY. HE ADDRESSED THE CONSULTANT ONLY HAD THE INFORMATION THAT WAS SENT TO THEM.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID HE WAS NOT SAYING ANYTHING AGAINST JOHN RICHARDS AS AN EXCAVATOR OPERATOR BECAUSE HE HAS NEVER SEEN HIM OPERATE; HOWEVER, OUT OF ALL THE OPERATORS HE HAS EVER SEEN OPERATING AN EXCAVATOR, CHRIS IS AS GOOD AS HE HAS EVER SEEN AND HE TAKES CARE OF HIS MACHINE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED A PERSON WANTING TO USE A SOLID PLASTIC PIPE WHICH IS STRONGER THAN THE GALVANIZED PIPE APPROVED BY THE COUNTY FOR DRIVEWAY PIPE. HE SAID THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERVISORS ARE WANTING TO REVAMP THE DRIVEWAY POLICY TO ACCEPT THE SOLID PLASTIC MATERIAL, WHICH IS AS GOOD OR BETTER THAN WHAT HAS BEEN APPROVED.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO AMEND THE DRIVEWAY POLICY TO ALLOW THE USE OF SOLID PLASTIC PIPE AND/OR CORRUGATED GALVANIZED PIPE.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED THE BOARD ABOUT EXTENDING THE SHOP BUILDING; THE COUNTY ENGINEER WAS SUPPOSE TO COME UP WITH DESIGN PLANS. HE SAID PUBLIC WORKS IS STILL NEEDING SOME ADDITION- AL ROOM AT THE SHOP AND THEY HAVE ASKED WHAT HAS HAPPENED WITH THE EXTENSION. HE ADVISED THEM IT HAD BEEN DROPPED BECAUSE IT HADN'T BEEN BROUGHT TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION LATELY AND THEY NEVER GOT THE PLANS FROM CLIFF. HE SAID HE GUESSED THEY WOULD NEED TO REITERATE WITH CLIFF TO SEE IF HE WILL PROVIDE THE PLANS FOR EXTENDING THE SHOP BUILDING AND SEE IF THEY CAN FUND IT SOME WAY.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT REPORTED THAT CLIFF HAD RECOMMENDED THE BOARD CHECK WITH BETTER BUILT BUILDINGS OR OTHER COMPANIES THAT DO THAT TYPE OF WORK AND TRY AND GET SOME QUOTES. HOWEVER, CLIFF STILL NEEDS TO PROVIDE THE SPECIFICATIONS ON WHAT IS NEEDED.

CHAIRMAN SAPP ASKED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TO FOLLOW UP WITH THIS AND GET QUOTES FROM THREE DIFFERENT BUILDING COMPANIES. COM- MISSIONER FINCH SAID THE BUILDING COMPANIES CAN PROVIDE AN ENGINEERING PLAN.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSSED A REQUEST FROM THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO PUT UP A SPEED LIMIT SIGN OF 10 MPH ON MELODY LANE, A SPEED LIMIT SIGN OF 25 MPH ON BELL CIRCLE OFF OF MELODY LANE AND A CHILDREN AT PLAY SIGN ON WORLEY ROAD NORTH OF CHEVRIE CIRCLE.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE SHERIFF'S REQUEST WITH THE FUNDING COMING OUT OF COMMISSIONER COPE'S MATERIAL BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSSED RESIDENTS AT BAKER CROSSROADS HAVING REQUESTED A FOUR WAY STOP SIGN BE PUT AT THAT INTERSECTION; THERE ARE TWO COUNTY ROADS THAT CROSS THERE.

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CORBIN TO APPROVE OF A FOUR WAY STOP SIGN AT THE INTERSECTION AT BAKER CROSSROADS. COMMISSIONER FINCH COMMENTED THERE IS A CERTAIN CRITERIA FOR FOUR WAY STOPS AND THEY USUALLY HAVE SOME KIND OF ENGINEERING. HE SAID THEY NEED SOME TRAFFIC COUNTS OR SOMETHING AND REFERRED TO THERE HAVING BEEN A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WANTED A FOUR WAY STOP AT FALLING WATERS. HOWEVER, HE SAID THAT DIDN'T WORK BECAUSE THE ENGINEER HAD SAID IT WOULDN'T BE A GOOD IDEA. HE SAID LEGALLY THE BOARD COULD DECLARE IT A FOUR WAY STOP WITHOUT ENGINEERING; BUT, IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING THEY WANT TO CHECK ON BEFORE THEY DO SO.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE THOUGHT YEARS AGO IT USE TO BE A FOUR WAY STOP THERE; BUT, IT GOT REMOVED. HE SAID HE WAS NOT AWARE OF THE REASON WHY IT GOT REMOVED. COMMISSIONER CORBIN AGREED IT WAS A FOUR WAY STOP AT ONE TIME.

COMMISSIONER SAPP QUESTIONED IF THERE NEEDED TO BE SOME KIND OF WARNING SIGN AHEAD OF THE FOUR WAY SIGN AND POINTED OUT WITHOUT AN EARLY WARNING SIGN,

PEOPLE DON'T KNOW IT IS THERE. HE RECOMMENDED PUTTING UP A PRE-WARNING SIGN BEFORE THE FOUR WAY STOP SIGN FOR A CERTAIN PERIOD OF TIME UNTIL PEOPLE GOT USE TO IT. THE BOARD AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER COPE AGREEING TO HAVE CHRIS LAWSON PUT UP THE PRE-WARNING SIGN. THE MOTION TO PUT UP A FOUR WAY STOP SIGN AT THE INTERSECTION OF BAKER CROSSROADS CARRIED.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED THE ARTS COMMITTEE HAVING BEEN DISCUSSED PREVIOUSLY AND SEVERAL PEOPLE HAD BEEN RECOMMENDED FOR THAT COMMITTEE AND ASKED IF THE BOARD HAD ANY RECOMMENDATIONS.

COMMISSIONER SAPP RECOMMENDED TONYA PIPPIN; THE OTHER BOARD MEMBERS ADVISED MS. PIPPIN HAD CALLED THEM TOO ABOUT SERVING ON THE ARTS COMMITTEE. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HIS DAUGHTER, AMANADA BROADFOOT, WOULD LIKE TO SERVE ON THE ARTS COMMITTEE TOO.

COMMISSIONER COPE SAID THERE WERE SEVERAL PEOPLE ON THE COMMITTEE WILLING TO SERVE; HOWEVER, THERE ARE SOME THAT ARE WILLING NOT TO SERVE IF SOMEONE ELSE WOULD. HE REQUESTED ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT SUBMIT THE NAMES OF TONYA PIPPIN AND AMANDA BROADFOOT TO LINDA NORTON TO SERVE ON THE FINE ARTS COUNCIL.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED BIDS THEY HAD RECEIVED ON TREE REMOVAL ON BRICKYARD ROAD AT MYRTLE PEEL'S; THERE IS FOUR MAGNOLIA TREES AND TWO CEDAR TREES TO BE TAKEN DOWN, THE STUMPS GROUND, ETC. HE SAID THE CITY OF CHIPLEY WAS GOING TO HELP REMOVE THE TREE DEBRIS AND GULF POWER HAS ALREADY BEEN IN AND TRIMMED AROUND THE POWER LINES. IN RETURN FOR DOING THE TREE REMOVAL, THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO MOVE ALL THE FLOWERS AND SHRUBS THAT ARE OBSTRUCTING THE VIEW OF TRAFFIC THERE, MOVE THEM BACK TO THE ACTUAL LINE WHICH IS 33' FROM THE CENTER OF PAVEMENT. HE ADVISED THERE WERE TWO BIDS RECEIVED:

1. TOP NOTCH-\$925
2. BUSY BEE-\$1,000

COMMISSIONER COPE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH TO ACCEPT THE BID OF \$925 FROM TOP NOTCH TO REMOVE THE TREES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED COPE IF HE HAD SPOKEN WITH MS. PEEL ABOUT DOING THIS. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID MS. PEEL WAS IN AGREEMENT.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID MS. PEEL HAD CALLED HIM AND SHE DIDN'T TALK LIKE SHE WAS IN AGREEMENT; SHE WAS VERY OUTSPOKEN ABOUT IT.

THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER CORBIN OPPOSED.

FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES, COMMISSIONER COPE ADVISED THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE A 4-H DIRECTOR ANYMORE AND THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA IS GOING TO BE ADVERTISING THE POSITION SOON. HE SAID THEY MAY HAVE SOMEONE LOCAL THAT WOULD PUT IN AN APPLICATION FOR THE POSITION THAT IS GOOD ON THAT; WHETHER THE UNIVERSITY WILL ACCEPT THEM OR NOT, HE DOES'T KNOW.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN ASKED IF COMMISSIONER COPE HAD SPOKEN TO ANDY ANDREASON ABOUT WHETHER HE WOULD BE WILLING TO ACCEPT THE PERSON OR NOT. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE HAD NOT TALKED TO ANDY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID IT WOULD DEPEND ON WHETHER ANDY WANTS THEM OR NOT; IF HE DON'T WANT THE PERSON, THE UNIVERSITY WANT HIRE THEM. COMMISSIONER COPE SAID HE WOULD LEAVE THAT UP TO THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED MS. GRANT ON COYOTE TRAIL HAVING TALKED TO HIM ABOUT WATER ON THE ROADWAY. HE SAID THE COUNTY HAS BEEN GRADING THE ROAD; HOWEVER, WHEN IT IS RAINING, THERE IS STILL A LOT OF WATER ON THE ROADWAY. MS. GRANT IS ASKING IF THE COUNTY COULD GO IN THERE AND DIG SOME DITCHES SO THE WATER CAN DRAIN.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID ALL THE COUNTY IS DOING IN CRYSTAL VILLAGE NOW IS GRADING IT ONCE IN A WHILE; IT STILL HASN'T BEEN DECIDED THOSE WERE COUNTY ROADS.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SAID THEY COULDN'T DIG A DITCH AWAY FROM THE ROAD WITHOUT HAVING AN EASEMENT. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE COUNTY DOESN'T EVEN HAVE A ROAD.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID IF THE GRADER IS IN THAT AREA GRADING AND HE CAN DRAG THE BLADES AND MAKE A DITCH WITHOUT A LOT OF WORK, IT WOULD BE OKAY.

HOWEVER, HE DON'T WANT TO GO IN THERE AND MAKE A BIG DITCH ON SOMETHING THE COUNTY DOESN'T EVEN OWN.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THAT WOULD WORK; IT WOULD BE BETTER THAN WHAT IS IN THERE NOW.

COMMISSIONER COPE QUESTIONED WOULDNT'T THIS ONE OF THE REAL SANDY ROADS IN CRYSTAL VILLAGE AND IT JUST HAS A LOT OF HOLES THAT HOLDS WATER. HE QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS A BETTER SOLUTION THAN MAKING DITCHES.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID THE ONLY SOLUTION WOULD BE TO TAKE OVER THE ROADS AND GO IN THERE AND FIX THEM. HE SAID IT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN EVENTUALLY.

COMMISSIONER COPE ADDRESSED ATTORNEY HOLLEY HAVING TOLD THE BOARD THEY COULD GO IN AND FIX THE ROADS. COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID HOLLEY SAID THEY COULD GO IN THERE IF THEY WANTED TO; BUT, THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID IF THE DITCH IS LOWER THAN THE CENTER OF THE ROAD, IT WILL HELP ELIMINATE SOME OF THE POTHOLES EVENTUALLY. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO APPROVE OF THE GRADERS TRYING TO CUT DITCHES ON COYOTE TRAIL WHEN GRADERS ARE GRADING ROAD.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REQUESTED CONNIE ANDERSON'S PAY SCALE CAN BE REVIEWED WHEN THEY SEND IN MALCOLM GAINNEY'S AND JUDY WATFORD'S. HE ADDRESSED WHEN LINDA WALLER WAS MOVED UP TO TAKE OVER RANDY PARKER'S DUTIES, CONNIE TOOK OVER LINDA'S JOB.

MALCOLM GAINNEY SAID IF THE BOARD WAS GOING TO HAVE THE HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICER RE-EVALUATING SOME OF THE POSITIONS ON THE PAY SCALE DONE BY THE CONSULTANT, HE IS ALL FOR DOING THE SAME FOR EVERYBODY. HE SAID THERE WAS NO POINT IN THE BOARD SENDING HIS AND JUDY'S BACK TO THE CONSULTANT FOR REVIEW IF EVERYBODY ELSE IS GOING TO BE HANDLED BY THE HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICER. THE BOARD CONSENTED FOR MALCOLM AND JUDY'S POSITION TO BE RE-EVALUATED BY THE HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICER RATHER THAN SENDING IT BACK TO THE CONSULTANT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED DAVID CORBIN HAVING ADVISED HIM ONE OF THE VEHICLES USED BY ANIMAL CONTROL WAS BEYOND REPAIR. SINCE THE TWO SUPERVISORS AT THE ROAD DEPARTMENT GOT NEW VEHICLES, HE ASKED IF ONE OF THEIR OLD VEHICLES COULD BE USED TO REPLACE THIS ANIMAL CONTROL VEHICLE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH SAID DAVID IS A MANIPULATOR AND ADDRESSED THERE BEING ENOUGH TRUCKS SITTING OUT THERE THAT COULD BE USED. HE REFERRED TO THE TRUCK JACK DORCH USED WAS NOT BEING USED; BUT, DAVID IS WANTING THE FOUR WHEEL DRIVE TRUCK FROM PUBLIC WORKS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE OTHER ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER HAS A FOUR WHEEL DRIVE TRUCK AND THE PLACES THEY HAVE TO GO TO, THEY NEED A FOUR WHEEL DRIVE VEHICLE.

COMMISSIONER FINCH ADDRESSED HIM NOT SAYING THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER DOESN'T NEED A FOUR WHEEL DRIVE TRUCK; BUT, THERE IS TWO TRUCKS JUST SITTING THERE AND NOT BEING USED.

COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE THOUGHT ROBERT AND DALLAS HAD SOME- WHERE FOR THEIR VEHICLES BEING REPLACED TO BE USED AT ROAD AND BRIDGE. HE SAID BEFORE THE BOARD TAKES ACTION ON THE REQUEST FOR ONE OF THESE VEHICLES TO BE GIVEN TO THE ANIMAL CONTROL DEPARTMENT, THEY NEED TO GET RELEASED FROM WHAT THE BOARD HAD COMMITTED TO PUBLIC WORKS ON WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO DO WITH THEM.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT TO WORK OUT THE VEHICLE ISSUE WITH PARKS AND RECREATION AND PUBLIC WORKS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED JOEL PAUL, REPRESENTING TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL, HAD TALKED WITH HIM ABOUT NEEDING AN ACRE OF LAND SO THEY CAN MOVE THE HEAD START BUILDING IN VERNON AWAY FROM THE THE SPRAY FIELD.

COMMISSIONER SAPP ADDRESSED IT BEING IN THE PAPER VERNON WAS GOING TO MOVE THEIR SPRAYFIELD TO A NEW SITE THE 31ST OF OCTOBER; IF THEY ARE, HE QUESTIONED WHY WOULD THE CITY NEED A SPRAYFIELD IN TOWN. HE FELT LIKE IT WOULD ALL BE MOVED TO THE NEW SITE.

ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT ADDRESSED JOEL HAD TALKED TO HIM AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ABOUT GETTING AN ACRE OF LAND AROUND THE VERNON MIDDLE SCHOOL AND THE NEW HIGH SCHOOL ON THE PROPERTY THE COUNTY OWNS AT THE EMS STATION. HERBERT

SAID HE THOUGHT PAUL WAS JUST LOOKING FOR PROPERTY AS KIND OF A BACKUP RIGHT NOW IN CASE THERE IS A NEED TO MOVE THEIR FACILITIES.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN SUGGESTED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LOCATE THE PROPERTY AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD. COMMISSIONER SAPP SAID HE DIDN'T SEE WHY PART OF THE OLD VERNON HIGH SCHOOL BUILDING COULDN'T BE UTILIZED FOR THE HEAD START PROGRAM RATHER THAN IT JUST SETTING THERE DETERIORATING.

COMMISSIONER COPE ASKED IF THE CITY OF VERNON GOT ALL OF THE OLD VERNON HIGH SCHOOL OR JUST PART OF IT. HE SAID THE SCHOOL BOARD HAS ALLOWED DIFFERENT THINGS TO BE HOUSED IN THE OLD CHIPLEY HIGH SCHOOL; TRI-COUNTY MAY GET WITH THE SCHOOL BOARD AND SEE IF THEY HAD A BUILDING TRI-COUNTY COULD USE FOR THEIR HEAD START PROGRAM.

ATTORNEY HOLLEY ADVISED THE SCHOOL BOARD AND THE CITY OF VERNON IS NEGOTIATING SOME KIND OF LAND SWAP NOW.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR ADMINISTRATOR HERBERT AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO RESEARCH COUNTY OWNED PROPERTY IN THE VERNON AREA AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND UPDATED THE BOARD ON TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL WANTING TO PLACE AN ANGEL TREE INSIDE THE COUNTY ANNEX LOBBY. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COPE AND CARRIED TO ALLOW TRI-COUNTY TO PUT UP AN ANGEL TREE INSIDE THE COUNTY ANNEX LOBBY.

COMMISSIONER CORBIN OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER FINCH AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN

END OF MINUTES FOR 10/26/06