

1-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 142

NOVEMBER 16, 2010

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS BROCK, STRICKLAND, PATE AND HOWELL PRESENT. COUNTY MANAGER EMORY PITTS, ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

SHERIFF HADDOCK PROCLAIMED THE MEETING WITH COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERING PRAYER AND LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL INTRODUCED JEFF GOODMAN, THE NEW COUNTY ATTORNEY.

JUDGE COLBY PEEL PERFORMED THE SWEARING IN OF RE-ELECTED COMMISSIONER JOEL PATE AND NEWLY ELECTED COMMISSIONER TODD ABBOTT.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HOWELL, ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD, WELCOMED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TO THE BOARD AND RE-WELCOMED COMMISSIONER PATE TO A NEW TERM.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HOWELL, ACTING AS CHAIRMAN, ENTERTAINED A MOTION FOR CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TO NOMINATE COMMISSIONER PATE AS CHAIRMAN. THE BOARD VOTED UNANIMOUSLY IN FAVOR OF COMMISSIONER PATE AS CHAIRMAN.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED FOR NOMINATIONS FOR VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION TO NOMINATE COMMISSIONER HOWELL AS VICE-CHAIRMAN. CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY FURTHER NOMINATIONS. THERE BEING NO FURTHER NOMINATIONS, THE BOARD VOTED UNANIMOUSLY IN FAVOR OF COMMISSIONER HOWELL AS VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD.

2-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 143

CHAIRMAN PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAVING A LIST OF LIASONS THAT WERE APPROVED FOR NOVEMBER 2009 THROUGH NOVEMBER 2010. HE READ THE DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES WHICH THE BOARD WOULD ASSIGN A LIASON TO WITH THE PROPOSED LIASON APPOINTMENTS FOR NOVEMBER 2010 THROUGH NOVEMBER 2011 LISTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY.

CHAIRMAN PATE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE SHERIFF HAVING ASKED A LIASON BE RE-ASSIGNED TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS, THEY MEET REGULARLY AND PULL TOGETHER.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE PROPOSED LIASON APPOINTMENTS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO THERE BEING A NEW LIASON DEPARTMENT CALLED THE CHIPLEY PARK AND RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD AND QUESTIONED IF THAT SHOULD BE WASHINGTON COUNTY PARK AND RECREATION.

minutes11162010.txt

CHAIRMAN PATE EXPLAINED THIS WAS A LIASON APPOINTED PREVIOUSLY AT THE REQUEST OF THE CITY OF CHIPLEY. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO CALL IT THE CITY PARK AND RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD.

DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON THE LIASON APPOINTMENT TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL RECOMMENDED THE CHAIRMAN BE LIASON TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS.

SHERIFF HADDOCK EXPLAINED, IN THE PAST, SOMEBODY FROM THE BOARD WOULD SIT DOWN WITH THEM AND THE COUNTY MANAGER WHEN THERE WERE PROBLEMS. THEY TALKED ABOUT AT BUDGET TIME LAST YEAR NOT TO WAIT UNTIL THE END OF THE YEAR; LETS BE TALKING AND DISCUSSING THINGS AND IN JANUARY SIT DOWN AND START GETTING READY FOR NEXT YEAR.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REQUESTED THE BOARD APPOINT SOMEONE ELSE TO BE LIASON TO TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL DUE TO HIM GOING TO SCHOOL. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED TO ACCEPT THE APPOINTMENT TO TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REQUESTED COMMISSIONER HOWELL BE APPOINTED LIASON TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED TO ACCEPT THIS APPOINTMENT IF NO ONE ELSE ON THE BOARD WANTED TO DO IT. THE BOARD AGREED FOR COMMISSIONER HOWELL

3-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 144

TO REPRESENT THE BOARD WITH THE DOC.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED LIST OF LIASON APPOINTMENTS WITH THE FOLLOWING CHANGES; CHIPLEY PARK AND RECREATION CHANGED TO CITY PARK AND RECREATION, CHAIRMAN PATE APPOINTED AS LIASON TO CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS, TODD ABBOTT AS LIASON TO TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL AND COMMISSIONER HOWELL AS LIASON TO DOC.

THE APPROVAL OF COUNTY ATTORNEY FOR ANOTHER YEAR AND APPROVAL OF EMORY PITTS AS COUNTY MANAGER FOR ANOTHER YEAR WAS TAKEN OFF THE LIST DUE TO BOTH OF THEIR CONTRACTS HAVING BEEN RECENTLY APPROVED.

CHAIRMAN PATE ADDRESSED THE NEED TO SET THE DATE, TIME AND PLACE FOR BOARD MEETINGS AND STATED, FOR THE RECORD, ALL COUNTY COMMISSION MEETINGS ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO HOLD ALL THE COUNTY COMMISSION MEETINGS AFTER 5:00 P.M. SO THE AVERAGE WORKING PEOPLE COULD ATTEND. HE ALSO WOULD LIKE TO INCLUDE BRINGING BACK THE WORKSHOPS WITHIN A WEEK OF THE BOARD MEETINGS. BY HAVING THE MEETINGS AFTER 5:00 P.M, THIS WOULD ALLOW FOR THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES TO BETTER ATTEND THE MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED ON BRINGING BACK THE WORKSHOPS. HE IS AWARE SOMETIMES THE MEETINGS WENT ON AS LONG AS THE WORKSHOPS; HOWEVER, THE IDEA OF THE WORKSHOPS IS TO GET THE BUSINESS DISCUSSED AND BE READY TO COME IN AND DO THE BUSINESS AT THE MEETING SO THE MEETINGS WON'T LAST SO LONG. HE FELT IF THEY WORK AT THIS, IT COULD HAPPEN. HE ADDRESSED THEM ONLY HAVING ONE MEETING THIS YEAR SOMEWHERE OTHER THAN CHIPLEY AND THAT WAS IN VERNON. THEY ORGINALLY HAD FOUR MEETINGS SCHEDULED IN OTHER AREAS OF THE COUNTY AT 5:00 P.M. HE WOULD LIKE THEM TO MOVE THE MEETINGS AROUND LIKE THEY HAD PLANNED TO DO AND MOVE TO SOME 5:00 MEETINGS; BUT, MAYBE NOT EVERY MEETING BE AT 5:00 P.M. THIS WILL GIVE THE PUBLIC AN OPPORTUNITY TO ATTEND THE MEETINGS; THAT WAY THEY WILL SERVE THE PUBLIC BY OFFERING A MEETING AT A PROPER TIME SO PEOPLE CAN COME.

4-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 145

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WAS OF THE OPINION ALL THE MEETINGS SHOULD TAKE PLACE AT 5:00 P.M. OR LATER AND HOLD THE WORKSHOPS AT 9:00 A.M. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND POINTED OUT HE HAD BEEN ON THE BOARD FOR SIX YEARS AND THEY HAVE HELD THE MEETINGS AT 9:00 A.M., 1:00 P.M. AND 5:00 P.M. AND YOU STILL HAVE THE SAME CROWD AT ALL THE MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE EIGHTEEN YEARS HE HAS SET ON THE BOARD, THE MEETINGS HAVE BEEN HELD AT DIFFERENT TIMES. HE ADDRESSED WHEN YOU HAVE AN ELECTION, THERE IS THREE OR FOUR EMPLOYEES WHO ASK WHY DON'T THEY HOLD THE MEETINGS WHEN THEY CAN ATTEND. WHEN THE BOARD DOES SET THE MEETINGS LIKE THE EMPLOYEES ASK, YOU DON'T SEE THEM AT THE MEETINGS. IN GENERAL, MR. ED PELLETIER HAS BEEN ATTENDING BOARD MEETINGS AS LONG AS HE HAS AND IN GENERAL THEY SEE THE SAME FACES. HE FELT THEY HAD BEEN DOING REAL GOOD IN THE LAST FIVE TO SIX MONTHS SINCE THEY DID AWAY WITH THE WORKSHOPS; THEY USUALLY GET THROUGH BY LUNCH AND AT NIGHT, THEY ARE THROUGH IN A COUPLE OF HOURS. HE SAID THE MOST IMPORTANT THING TO HIM IS HIS HEALTH; HE STRUGGLES, HIS LUNGS ARE BAD AND AT THE END OF THE DAY HE IS REAL TIRED. IT SORT OF PUTS A HARDSHIP ON HIM; BUT, HE WILL TRY TO DO THE WAY THE BOARD WISHES.

CHAIRMAN PATE VOICED HIS OPINION IT DOESN'T MATTER TO HIM WHEN THEY MEET, WHAT TIME THEY MEET OR HOW LONG IT TAKES.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TO HAVE WORKSHOPS AGAIN, HAVE ONE MEETING A QUARTER OUT OF CHIPLEY IN OTHER AREAS OF THE COUNTY, ALL THE MEETINGS OUTSIDE OF CHIPLEY BE HELD AT 5:00 P.M. AND THE REMAINDER OF THE MEETINGS BE SPLIT BETWEEN 9:00 A.M. AND 5:00 P.M. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK OPPOSED.

5-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 146

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT FOR NEXT MONTH'S MEETING TO BE HELD AT EBRO OR SUNNY HILLS AT 5:00 P.M.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION. COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHICH LOCATION.

CHAIRMAN PATE STATED TO HAVE THE DECEMBER BOARD MEETING IN EBRO AT 5:00 P.M.

MR. PITTS INFORMED THE BOARD THEY NEEDED TO DECIDE WHAT DAY THE MEETINGS WILL BE HELD; HE ASSUMES THEY WILL CONTINUE TO BE ON THURSDAYS. HOWEVER, THEY NEED TO SET A DAY FOR THEIR WORKSHOPS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH HAVING THE DECEMBER MEETING IN EBRO, THEY HAVE IT IN SUNNY HILLS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED HIM ATTENDING EMT SCHOOL AT NIGHT; BUT, HE WILL BE THROUGH AT THE END OF FEBRUARY. HE SAID IF THEY COULD HOLD THE MEETINGS ON THURSDAY AT 9:00 A.M. UNTIL

MARCH, IT WOULD HELP HIM OUT A LOT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION FOR THE NEXT 5:00 P.M. MEETING TO BE IN MARCH 2011; OTHERWISE, THEY WILL MEET ON THURSDAYS AT 9:00 A.M. COMMISSIONER BROCK SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO HOLD THE DECEMBER WORKSHOP ON DECEMBER 11TH AT 9:00 A.M. AS THE REGULAR BOARD MEETING IS TO BE HELD DECEMBER 16TH AT 9:00 A.M. WITH THE REMAINDER OF THE MEETINGS TO BE HELD THE FOURTH THURSDAY OF EACH MONTH.

MR. ALLAN WHITE, BOYINGTON CUTOFF, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING WHILE THE BOARD IS TRYING TO DECIPHER WHAT THEY ARE DOING, THE COMMUNITY'S RESPONSE IS WHAT DAY OF THE WEEK, WEEK OF THE MONTH, TIME OF THE DAY AND WHERE ARE THEY GOING TO BE MEETING AT. HE SUGGESTED THE BOARD START ADVERTISING THEIR MEETINGS IN THE PAPER LETTING THE COMMUNITY KNOW WHERE AND WHEN THE MEETINGS ARE GOING TO BE HELD. THE BOARD WILL THEN GET A LOT MORE AUDIENCE PARTICIPATION AND CITIZEN PARTICIPATION.

6-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 147

CHAIRMAN PATE EXPLAINED THEY ARE REQUIRED TO SET THE MEETING DATES, TIME AND PLACE AS THEIR MEETINGS ARE PUBLIC. HE AGREES WITH MR. WHITE ON THE ADVERTISING AND TOLD HIM THERE ARE CHANGES ON THE WAY.

KATHY FOSTER QUESTIONED WHEN THE DECEMBER WORKSHOP WAS TO BE HELD WITH THE BOARD INFORMING HER IT WOULD BE HELD DECEMBER 11TH AT 9:00 A.M. MS. FOSTER TOLD THE BOARD DECEMBER 11TH WAS ON SATURDAY.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO HOLD THE DECEMBER WORKSHOP ON DECEMBER 9TH AT 9:00 A.M.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE MINUTES FOR AUGUST 2, SEPTEMBER 14TH AND SEPTEMBER 28TH. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF IT WAS CUSTOMARY FOR THE DATES OF THE BOARD MINUTES TO VARY LIKE THIS.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID FROM JULY THROUGH DECEMBER THE DATES OF THE BOARD MINUTES DO VARY; SHE TRIES TO GET THE REGULAR MEETING MINUTES PREPARED AND DO WORKSHOP MINUTES AS SHE CAN.

CHAIRMAN PATE RECESSED THE MEETING FOR THIRTY MINUTES.

PURSUANT TO THE RECESS, MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD AT THEIR LAST MEETING IT WAS DISCUSSED TO SELL SIX OF ROAD AND BRIDGE'S OLDER MOTORGRADERS AND PURCHASING SIX NEW ONES. HE PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH A BID FROM THOMPSON TRACTOR COMPANY ON SIX NEW CATERPILLARS. THE OFFER ON SELLING THE SIX OLDER GRADERS WAS \$555,000; THE COST OF THE SIX NEW MOTORGRADERS IS APPROXIMATELY \$238,000 EACH. THE FINANCE PLAN SHOWS A PAYMENT OF \$217,000 PER YEAR FOR FIVE YEARS; THIS INCLUDES THE INSURANCE FOR THE EQUIPMENT. MR. PITTS EXPLAINED HE HADN'T HAD A CHANCE TO SEE IF THE COUNTY'S CURRENT INSURANCE ON EQUIPMENT WOULD SUFFICE TO SATISFY CATERPILLAR FINANCIAL; IF SO, HE BELIEVES THE ACTUAL PAYMENTS WOULD COME IN AT APPROXIMATELY \$200,000 PER YEAR.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THAT DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW AND DEBBIE RILEY

7-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 148

OF PUBLIC WORKS HAS MET TO COME UP WITH A PLAN TO WHERE WE FEEL THE BOARD COULD PAY FOR THIS EQUIPMENT OUT OF FEMA FUNDS COLLECTED IN THE COMING YEAR AND ACTUALLY BE ABLE TO PAY THE TRACTORS OFF IN A YEAR'S TIME WITH THE FEMA MONIES THAT ARE OBLIGATED.

MR. PITTS REMINDED THE BOARD THE QUOTE FROM THE AUCTION COMPANY FOR THE SIX OLDER GRADERS WAS ACTUALLY ONLY GOOD THROUGH NOVEMBER 15TH; HOWEVER, THE AUCTION COMPANY HAS GIVEN THE BOARD A COUPLE OF DAYS EXTENSION. THE BOARD HAS TO LET THE AUCTION COMPANY KNOW WITHIN A COUPLE OF DAYS WHETHER OR NOT THEY WILL ACCEPT THEIR OFFER. HE TOLD THE BOARD EDDIE JERNIGAN, PUBLIC WORKS SHOP MECHANIC, WAS PRESENT TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD MAY HAVE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HIS UNDERSTANDING THE \$217,000 PER YEAR PAYMENT FOR THE EQUIPMENT IS COMING FROM FEMA FUNDS THIS YEAR.

MR. JERNIGAN EXPLAINED IF THEY KEEP WORKING ON FEMA JUST LIKE THEY ARE GOING NOW, BY THE END OF THE FEMA WORK, THE REIMBURSEMENT, LIKE MR. PITTS SAID, WOULD BE MORE THAN ENOUGH TO PAY THE EQUIPMENT OFF.

MR. PITTS SAID THEY WOULDN'T CONTINUE TO MAKE ANNUAL PAYMENTS; THEY WOULD PROBABLY MAKE ONE ANNUAL PAYMENT AND THEN WITH THE FEES COLLECTED FROM FEMA, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO PAY THE GRADERS OFF NEXT YEAR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO MAKE ENOUGH MONEY OFF THIS FEMA PROJECT TO PAY FOR THE GRADERS IN FULL. MR. PITTS AND MR. JERNIGAN BOTH AGREED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HOW CLOSE OF A GUESTIMATION IS THAT.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THEY WERE LOOKING AT COLLECTING ABOUT \$5,000,000 FROM FEMA OVER THE NEXT YEAR; THIS STRETCHED OUT INTO PAYMENTS WOULD BE A \$1,000,000. HE HASN'T CALCULATED IT TO SEE WHAT THE PAYMENT WOULD BE IF THEY PAID IT OFF EARLY; BUT, IT WOULD BE CONSIDERABLY LESS.

8-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 149

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THIS WAS THE CATERPILLAR PRICE OF BUYING THE EQUIPMENT. MR. JERNIGAN SAID IT WAS STATE BID CONTRACT PRICE THEY WOULD BE PAYING FOR THE NEW GRADERS; ACTUALLY, IT WAS LOWER THAN THE STATE BID CONTRACT PRICE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF CATERPILLAR WAS BUYING THE OLD GRADERS. MR. JERNIGAN SAID AN AUCTION COMPANY WAS OFFERING TO PURCHASE THE OLD GRADERS FOR \$555,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE \$555,000 WAS GUARANTEED FOR THE OLD GRADERS. MR. JERNIGAN SAID IT WAS GUARANTEED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED THE DIFFERENCE IN THE TIME FRAME OF GETTING RID OF SIX GRADERS AND ADDING SIX GRADERS.

MR. JERNIGAN REPORTED CATERPILLAR COULD DELIVER THE FIRST OF FEBRUARY AND THE AUCTION COMPANY WOULD LIKE TO PICK THE OLD GRADERS

UP THE MIDDLE OF JANUARY; THEY WOULD BE DOWN WITH GRADERS FOR ABOUT TWO WEEKS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY COULD AFFORD TO BE WITHOUT SIX GRADERS FOR TWO WEEKS. MR. PITTS SAID THEY COULD.

MR. JERNIGAN, IN HIS OPINION, SAID THEY COULDN'T AFFORD NOT TO SELL THE SIX GRADERS AND PURCHASE SIX GRADERS. HE EXPLAINED THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS HE HAS HAD MAJOR EXPENDITURES ON THE GRADERS.

MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD OF FEDERAL CHANGES ON THE REQUIREMENTS ON THE SPECS THE GRADERS HAVE TO MEET WHICH COULD ADD AS MUCH AS \$60,000 EACH TO THE COST NEXT YEAR AND THE MAINTENANCE IS GOING TO BE TREMENDOUSLY HIGHER TO MAINTAIN THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED HIS QUESTION IS NOT IF THE COUNTY NEEDS GRADERS AS HE FELT MR. JERNIGAN IS VERY CAPABLE OF MAKING THESE DECISIONS. HIS CONCERN IS THE AFFORDABILITY. THE LAST TIME HE KNEW ABOUT THE FEMA WORK, THE COUNTY DIDN'T HAVE A PLAN.

COMMISSIONER PATE AND MR. JERNIGAN BOTH AGREED THEY HAVE A PLAN NOW. MR. PITTS ADDRESSED THEM WORKING ON FEMA FIVE DAYS A WEEK NOW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF DEANCO AUCTION COMPANY WAS PURCHASING THE GRADERS. MR. JERNIGAN INFORMED HIM RICHARD BROTHERS

9-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 150

AUCTION COMPANY OUT OF ORLANDO WAS PROPOSING TO PURCHASE THE GRADERS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO THE BOARD USUALLY ADVERTISES FOR BIDS AND THE BOARD NEGOTIATES WITH THE AUCTION COMPANIES.

MR. JERNIGAN EXPLAINED TO THE BOARD WHAT HAPPENED IS THESE GRADERS CATERPILLAR HAS IS 2011 TIER III GRADERS; NEXT YEAR, ALL OFFROAD CATERPILLAR EQUIPMENT IS GOING TO HAVE TO GO TO TIER IV. THAT IS GOING TO ADD \$60,000 TO \$70,000 A YEAR. CATERPILLAR TOLD HIM THEY WOULD SELL THESE GRADERS TO THE COUNTY AT 2009 PRICES. HE CALLED THE QUICKEST AUCTION COMPANY THAT COULD GET HERE TO MAKE AN OFFER; HE DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO CALL DEANCO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED WOULDN'T DEANCO STILL IN DOTHAN AND ADDRESSED THEY WERE USUALLY THE AUCTION COMPANY THAT GETS THE EQUIPMENT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF ALL SIX OF THE OLDER GRADERS WERE APPROXIMATELY THE SAME AGE. MR. JERNIGAN SAID THEY WERE 2001 TO 2003 MODELS; ALL OF THEM HAVE BETTER THAN 8,000 HOURS ON THEM. ONE OF THEM HAD 12,000 HOURS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED THE LIFE EXPECTANCY OF A GRADER. MR. JERNIGAN SAID ACCORDING TO JOHN DEERE AND CATERPILLAR TECHNICIANS, ANY MACHINE PAST 7,500 HOURS, THEY WOULD START HAVING PROBLEMS WITH THEM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THEM HAVING ALREADY PUT TRANSMISSIONS IN TWO OF THE OLDER GRADERS.

MR. JERNIGAN SAID IF THE COUNTY PURCHASES THE NEW GRADERS, THEY WILL BE UNDER WARRANTY; SO, HIS SHOP BILLS WON'T BE QUITE AS HIGH AS THEY ARE RIGHT NOW.

MR. PITTS SAID THE PRICE OF THE NEW MACHINES ALSO INCLUDES FILTERS FOR THEM FOR THREE YEARS.

MR. JERNIGAN SAID THE ONLY REASON HE CAME BEFORE THE BOARD NOW IS BECAUSE HE HAS TO GIVE THE AUCTION COMPANY AN ANSWER BY THE END OF THIS WEEK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE GIVING EVERYBODY

10-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 151

THE OPPORTUNITY AND HE DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE STUFF THAT IS TAKING PLACE NOW; BUT, HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE GETTING TOP DOLLAR OUT OF THE GRADERS THEY ARE SELLING. IF THEY JUST CHECKED WITH ONE FACILITY, HOW CAN THEY BE CERTAIN THEY HAVE DONE THAT.

MR. JERNIGAN SAID HE HAD CATERPILLAR GIVE HIM A TRADE-IN VALUE FOR THE OLD GRADERS; THEY GAVE HIM A QUOTE OF AN AVERAGE OF \$70,000 FOR EACH OF THE SIX GRADERS. RICHARD BROTHERS AUCTION COMPANY IS GOING TO GIVE AROUND \$92,500 FOR EACH OF THE GRADERS WHICH IS \$22,500 MORE THAN THE TRADE IN VALUE. IN HIS OPINION, THAT WAS A PRETTY GOOD DEAL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REITERATED HE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT IS THE BEST NUMBER AND THEY ARE GIVING EVERYBODY AN OPPORTUNITY TO PURCHASE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED HOW LONG BEFORE CATERPILLAR WILL DELIVER THE SIX NEW GRADERS. MR. PITTS INFORMED HIM THE 1ST OF FEBRUARY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED HOW LONG WAS THE AUCTION COMPANY WILLING TO HOLD THE PRICE. MR. JERNIGAN SAID TO BEGIN WITH IT WAS NOVEMBER 15TH; BUT, THE AUCTION COMPANY HAS AGREED TO GIVE THEM A COUPLE OF EXTRA DAYS. HE EXPLAINED THE REASON FOR THE RUSH IS BECAUSE RICHARD BROTHERS IS PUTTING THE EQUIPMENT IN AN AUCTION IN FEBRUARY IN ORLANDO AND THEY WANT THIS EQUIPMENT IN THAT AUCTION.

MR. PITTS SAID HE DIDN'T THINK DEANCO AUCTION WOULD PURCHASE THE GRADERS OUTRIGHT; THAT WOULD BE THE COUNTY TAKING THE GRADERS TO THE AUCTION TO SEE HOW MUCH THEY BRING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID DEANCO HAS ALWAYS GIVEN THE BOARD A GUARANTEED PRICE IN WRITING; IT IS A CONTRACT. HE HAS NO PROBLEM WITH TRADING GRADERS AND PURCHASING NEW ONES BECAUSE THEY NEED THEM BADLY; IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE SEVERAL YEARS AGO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THEY ABSOLUTELY NEEDED SIX NEW GRADERS. MR. PITTS SAID IF THEY ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO DO FEMA WORK, HE FELT THEY DID. HIS FIRST SUGGESTION WAS TO SELL SIX

11-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 152

GRADERS, BUY FOUR AND DO AWAY WITH TWO SPREADER GRADERS. HE DOESN'T THINK THEY CAN DO THIS AND DO FEMA FIVE DAYS A WEEK.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THEY COULD BUY FOUR NEW GRADERS AND KEEP THE TWO SPREADER GRADERS. MR. JERNIGAN SAID THEY WOULDN'T BE ACCOMPLISHING MUCH BY DOING THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY WOULD HAVE FOUR NEW GRADERS THEY DON'T HAVE NOW. MR. JERNIGAN EXPLAINED THE NUMBERS THE AUCTION COMPANY GAVE HIM WAS FOR SIX; IF THEY TAKE TWO OUT OF THE MIX, THEY WILL HAVE TO START OVER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE BOARD WAS NOT TALKING ABOUT NOT

SELLING THE SIX GRADERS; HE IS NOT ABOVE SELLING SIX AND BUYING FOUR NEW ONES.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS LIKE MR. PITTS; THEIR FIRST THOUGHT WAS TO BUY FOUR AND HE IS NOT TOO SURE THEY COULDN'T DO THAT ANYWAY. WHEN THEY GOT TO LOOKING AT SCHEDULING THE FEMA WORK AND WHEN YOU START A PW, FINISH IT AND GET THROUGH, GIVE IT TO DEBBIE AND LET THEM GET IT IN THE SYSTEM FOR THE MONEY TO COME BACK AROUND, THEY COULD EASILY WIND UP PUTTING DIRT DOWN ON A LONG ROAD AND COMING RIGHT BACK IN THERE AFTER IT IS PACKED AND PUT THE ROCK DOWN BECAUSE THEY COULD HAVE TWO DIFFERENT GRADERS OUT THERE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY OUGHT TO BE DOING THAT NOW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT READDRESSSED THE HOURS ON THE GRADERS THEY ARE LOOKING AT SELLING HAVING 8,000 TO 12,000 MILES ON THEM AND AFTER 7,500 YOU CAN LOOK AT THE POSSIBILITY OF HAVING MAJOR REPAIRS.

MR. JERNIGAN REITERATED THEY HAD TWO JOHN DEERE GRADERS AND THE REST OF THEM ARE CATERPILLARS; HE HAS DISCUSSED THE HOURS ON THE MACHINES WITH TECHNICAL PEOPLE AT BOTH PLACES. THERE IS A CHANCE YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE MAJOR REPAIRS AFTER 7,500 HOURS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOT QUESTIONED IF BOTH JOHN DEERE GRADERS WOULD BE SOLD AT AUCTION. MR. JERNIGAN SAID "NO;" THEY ARE BOTH 2006 GRADERS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LEAVE THE PURCHASING OF THE

12-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 153

GRADERS TO THE PROFESSIONALS; HIS CONCERN IS AFFORDABILITY AND DO WE NEED THEM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED HOW MUCH A TRANSMISSION COST. MR. JERNIGAN SAID HE SPENT \$20,000 A PIECE ON THE LAST TWO AND IT WAS DOWN FOR A MONTH. FOR AN ENGINE, THEY WOULD BE LOOKING AT AROUND \$40,000 TO \$50,000; THIS IS NOT FOR A NEW ENGINE EITHER.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN WITH THE MONEY AS WELL; IF SOMETHING HAPPENS WITH FEMA AND THE COUNTY DOESN'T GET THE \$5,000,000, IT IS NOT LOCKED FOR THEM. HE KNOWS THEY ARE WORKING ON FEMA; BUT, HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT THE MONEY AND PUTTING THE COUNTY INTO DEBT, THEY REALLY CAN'T AFFORD IF SOMETHING GOES AWRY.

MR. PITTS ADDRESSED IT HAS NEVER HAPPENED IN THE PAST THE COUNTY DIDN'T GET THE MONEY THAT FEMA OBLIGATED; OF COURSE, HE UNDERSTANDS THEY ARE IN UNCHARTERED WATERS WITH THE ECONOMY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL POINTED OUT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT MOTOR-GRADERS; BUT, THEY HAVE DUMPTRUCKS WITH THOUSANDS OF HOURS ON THEM TOO.

MR. PITTS SAID THEY HAD SIX MORE MOTORGRADERS IN FOUR MORE YEARS THEY WOULD BE LOOKING AT THE SAME THING. THEY HAVE GOT TO GET ON A PLAN OF TRYING TO GET RID OF SOME OF THEM, TRADING THEM OUT AND BUYING SOME NEWER EQUIPMENT. HE THOUGHT PROBABLY TRUCKS WOULD BE NEXT. THEY HAVE BACKHOES AND OTHER EQUIPMENT TOO THAT IS GETTING A LOT OF AGE ON THEM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IT SOUNDED TO HIM LIKE THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE ANOTHER STORM AND MORE FEMA MONEY TO DO THAT WITH.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY HAVE TO FINISH THIS FIRST. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID AT ONE TIME THE COUNTY USE TO BE ON A LEASING PROGRAM WITH TRUCKS AND GRADERS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THAT OPTION WAS NOT AVAILABLE ANY MORE OR IS NOT ECONOMICAL TO DO IT ANYMORE.

MR. PITTS DIDN'T THINK THEIR RETURNS FROM CATERPILLAR ON THEIR BUYBACKS IS AS GOOD AS WHAT IT IS IF THEY TAKE THE EQUIPMENT TO AN

13-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 154

AUCTION LIKE THEY ARE DOING THIS TIME. THIS IS TECHNICALLY A LEASE; THEY HAVE A BUYOUT FOR \$1 AT THE END OF FIVE YEARS. CATERPILLAR WOULD GUARANTEE A BUYBACK ON THE EQUIPMENT; BUT, IT IS NOT WHAT THE COUNTY WOULD WANT TO SELL IT FOR.

MR. JERNIGAN SAID HE THOUGHT IN PREVIOUS YEARS, ABOUT EVERY THREE OR FOUR YEARS, THE COUNTY WOULD CALL IN AN AUCTION COMPANY LIKE DEANCO. DEANCO WOULD COME IN AND GIVE THEM A SET PRICE OF WHAT THEY WOULD SELL THE GRADERS FOR AND IT WAS PRETTY CLOSE TO WHAT THEY COULD BUY GRADERS FOR AT STATE BID PRICE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED MR. JERNIGAN IF HE HAD AN IDEA OF WHAT THE COUNTY HAS SPENT FOR MAJOR REPAIRS ON THE GRADERS WITHIN THE LAST YEAR. MR. JERNIGAN SAID NOT RIGHT OFF THE TOP OF HIS HEAD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF A WILD GUESS WOULD BE \$150,000. MR. JERNIGAN SAID IT WOULD BE CLOSE TO \$150,000.

MR. PITTS TOLD THE BOARD THE GRADERS THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT SELLING, THEY PAID IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF \$150,000 FOR THEM BACK IN 2001 AND 2003 AND ARE GETTING \$92,000 BACK. THAT IS CHEAP OPERATING COST. HE WOULD HOPE THESE MORE EXPENSIVE GRADERS WOULD BRING THEM EVEN MORE WHEN THEY GET READY TO GET RID OF THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED COMMISSIONER PATE, DUE TO HIM BEING LIASON OVER ROAD AND BRIDGE FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS AND HE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT THAT CONSISTS OF, IF THEY COULD SELL SIX GRADERS AND BUY FOUR.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE THOUGHT THEY COULD; HOWEVER, THE BOARD HAS GOT TO BE COMMITTED TO WORKING FEMA ON A PLAN. IT IS ALL GOING TO BE AROUND FINISHING THEIR PW'S. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE IF HE HAS A PW THAT HAS EIGHT PROJECTS IN IT, THEY GO AND DO THREE OF THEM, PULL OFF AND GO TO SOMEBODY ELSE'S DISTRICT AND A MONTH OR SO LATER, THEY COME BACK IN AND DO THE REMAINDER OF THE EIGHT PROJECTS, THIS SPREADS IT OUT OVER A TIME AND YOU CAN HAVE ALL KIND OF HANGUPS. THEN, WHEN YOU SEND IT OFF TO FEMA, FEMA WILL CALL AND ASK IF THEY ARE NOT WORKING ON THIS ALL THE TIME. IT WILL TAKE A COMMITMENT TO MAKE IT WORK; CAN IT WORK, YES.

14-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 155

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE GUESSES HIS QUESTION IS WHEN HE ASKED IF THEY HAD A PLAN TO GET THE FEMA WORK DONE, HE WAS TOLD YES. HE ASKED WOULD THE PLAN ALLOW THEM TO DO WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO AND DO THE FEMA PROJECTS WITH FOUR NEW GRADERS, GETTING RID OF SIX.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY FIRST THOUGHT SO; BUT, HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF BUDDY ROAD IN HIS DISTRICT. THAT WHOLE ROAD HAS FEMA WORK ON IT; BUT, IT IS ONLY ON ONE PW.

minutes11162010.txt

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WITH THE PLAN COMMISSIONER PATE HAS LAID OUT, WILL THE MAN HOURS AND EQUIPMENT NEEDS THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO FINISH THIS FEMA WORK, WILL HIS PLAN ALLOW THEM TO GET RID OF SIX GRADERS AND BUY FOUR.

MR. PITTS SAID THEIR MAINTENANCE WILL SUFFER IF THEY SELL SIX GRADERS AND ONLY BUY FOUR. THEY WOULD HAVE TO PULL ONE GRADER OFF THEIR MAINTENANCE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THERE IS ONE GRADER THAT BASICALLY STAYS WITH A DUMPTRUCK ALL THE TIME; RIGHT NOW THAT LEAVES THEM WITH TWO GRADERS. IF THEY TAKE ONE AWAY, THEY WILL HAVE TO TAKE ONE OF THEIR MAINTENANCE GRADERS WORKING IN THEIR DISTRICT AND USE IT AS A SPREAD GRADER. WHAT YOU DO, YOU CUT YOUR SERVICES AS FAR AS MAINTAINING AND GRADING THE ROADS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HOW MANY MILES WOULD A MOTORGRADER GRADE IN A DAYS TIME.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADVISED IT WAS ACCORDING TO THE ROAD CONDITIONS AND WEATHER. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED THE AVERAGE MILES A GRADER COULD GRADE IN A DAY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID TWENTY MILES A DAY; HOWEVER, IT IS ACCORDING TO THE GRADER. ABBOTT ASKED AGAIN WHAT THE AVERAGE MILES OF ROAD A GRADER CAN GRADE IN A DAY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID AN AVERAGE OF TEN TO FIFTEEN MILES A DAY; A LOT OF THE ROADS HAVE TO HAVE DITCHES PULLED, ETC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY HAD TEN MOTORGRADERS GRADING ROADS EVERY DAY AND THERE ARE 582 MILES OF DIRT ROADS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY;

15-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 156

THAT INCLUDES THE MILLED ASPHALT. HE TOOK AWAY THE 82 MILES AND SAID THEY HAD TEN MOTORGRADERS THAT CAN GRADE 20 MILES A DAY; IF YOU BACK THAT OFF TO JUST GRADING TEN MILES A DAY, EVERY FIVE DAYS EVERY DIRT ROAD IN WASHINGTON COUNTY WOULD BE GRADED. HE QUESTIONED IF THAT IS WHAT IS GOING ON NOW. THAT IS MATH AND THAT IS COMMISSIONER BROCK'S AND STRICKLAND'S NUMBERS AND HE DROPPED IT BY 50% SAYING A MOTORGRADER CAN ONLY GRADE TEN MILES A DAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED IF YOU HAVE A GRADER OPERATOR ON EACH ONE OF THEM, YOU MAY COULD DO THAT; BUT, THE OPERATOR MAY BE WORKING THAT DAY BUT NOT RUNNING THE GRADER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED THE WORST CASE SCENARIO. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE GETS THE GRADERS EVERY THIRD OR FOURTH WEEK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY COULD SEE THERE IS A PROBLEM. NOT TO UPSET THE APPLICANT OR NOT TO EMBARRASS ANYBODY, ETC.; BUT, HE DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THE PLAN.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE PLAN IS TO WORK THAT PW; IF IT HAS A BUNCH OF PROJECTS IN IT, THAT CREW STAYS IN THERE WORKING THAT PW UNTIL IT IS FINISHED. IN REALITY, THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN. WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO DO NOW IS TO MAKE SURE IT DOES HAPPEN AND IT IS GOING TO TAKE COOPERATION FROM THE PEOPLE ON THE BOARD TO LET MR. PITTS AND THE SUPERVISORS KEEP THOSE PEOPLE OUT THERE UNTIL THEY FINISH THE PW AND THEN MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ONE. IT ALL REVOLVES AROUND THE FEMA MONEY BEING REIMBURSED. THE STATEMENT WAS MADE HERE WHAT THE COUNTY MAKES OFF OF FEMA; THEY DON'T MAKE ANYTHING OFF OF IT. IT IS BEING REIMBURSED FOR WHAT THEY SPEND. JUST LIKE ON THE EQUIPMENT THAT IS CHARGED OUT AT FEMA RATES AND THEN IT COULD GO BACK INTO PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET. MOST OF THEIR PROBLEMS ARE THEY NEED TO WORK

minutes11162010.txt

A PLAN, LET THE PAID STAFF WORK THE PLAN AND STAY OUT OF THEIR WAY. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE KEEPS SAYING THIS OVER AND OVER AGAIN; BUT, THEY NEED SOMEBODY AT PUBLIC WORKS TO MAKE SURE THAT HAPPENS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THANKED COMMISSIONER HOWELL FOR BRINGING

16-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 157

THAT UP AND QUESTIONED COULD HE MAKE A MOTION NOW. COMMISSIONER PATE ADVISED HIM HE COULDN'T NOW. IT WILL COME UP AT THE END OF THE MEETING.

JAMES HAYES, 2037 DALLAS ROAD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA, ADDRESSED THE BOARD QUESTIONING WHY THEY COULDN'T BE A SMART BOARD FOR A CHANGE THIS YEAR AND GO AHEAD AND PURCHASE FOUR GRADERS AND SELL SIX. THE BOARD KEEPS TALKING ABOUT FEMA MONEY; THEY DON'T HAVE A GUARANTEE THEY ARE GOING TO GET FEMA MONEY. HE AGREES WITH COMMISSIONER PATE ABOUT FINISHING A PROJECT. THIS COUNTY HAS WORKED FOUR DAYS A WEEK ON REGULAR ROADS AND TWO DAYS A WEEK ON FEMA; THIS EQUIPMENT OVER HERE, YOU HADN'T GOT THE WORK DONE YET. HE ASKED HOW MANY PROJECTS WERE THEY BEHIND. HE SAID WITH ALL THE EQUIPMENT WORKING ON FRIDAY AND SATURDAY, THEY STILL COULDN'T CATCH UP. NOW, THEY HAVE GONE BACK AND ARE WORKING ONE DAY; BUT, THAT EQUIPMENT AIN'T WORKING REGULAR ROADS ON FRIDAYS OR SATURDAYS WHEN THEY ARE DOING FEMA WORK. HE TOLD THE BOARD TO SAVE THAT MONEY; THEY HAVE DUMPTRUCKS THAT ARE WEARING OUT. TO COMMIT MONEY THEY DON'T HAVE IS WRONG AND THEY CONTINUOUSLY COMMIT MONEY THEY DON'T HAVE. HE REITERATED FOR THE BOARD TO SELL SIX GRADERS, PURCHASE FOUR AND IF THEY MAKE MONEY, SAVE IT UP AND BUY THEM SOME DUMPTRUCKS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE CAN'T SUPPORT ANY OF IT BECAUSE OF THE COST. ONCE THEY BEGIN TO BUILD A LITTLE MONEY FROM FEMA, HE SEES SOME PROJECTS GETTING COMPLETED AND THE COUNTY BEGIN TO GET PAID. THEY NEED A LITTLE MONEY IN THE BANK AND THEY DON'T HAVE ANY. HE KNOWS THEY NEED MOTORGRADERS; MR. JERNIGAN HAS COME BEFORE THE BOARD AND SAYS THEY DO AND HE RESPECTS HIS OPINION. AT THE SAME TIME, THEY HAVE TO PAY FOR THOSE GRADERS TOO AND HE IS JUST NOT WILLING TO PUT THIS COUNTY IN DEBT AT THIS POINT IN TIME. WE DO NEED DUMP TRUCKS IN THE WORST WAY.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID THE BOARD HAS THREE OPTIONS; THEY COULD SELL SIX GRADERS AND BUY FOUR, THEY COULD SELL SIX GRADERS AND BUY SIX OR THEY COULD DO NOTHING.

17-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 158

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION TO TABLE THE SELLING AND PURCHASING OF GRADERS, DISCUSS IT SOME MORE AND MAYBE MAKE ANOTHER DECISION AT ANOTHER TIME. HE HAS A LITTLE BIT OF A PROBLEM IN GETTING THINGS SO LATE AND TRYING TO MAKE A DECISION WITHOUT RESEARCHING IT

AND FEELING COMFORTABLE WITH IT. HE DOESN'T FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SECONDED THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE MOTORGRADERS WERE BROUGHT UP AT THE LAST MEETING. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE UNDERSTANDS; BUT, THE BOARD WAS JUST HANDED THIS CORRECTED FINANCING INFORMATION FROM CATERPILLAR TODAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED WITH EVERYTHING COMMISSIONER HOWELL HAS SAID AND WANTED TO ADD BEFORE HE WOULD FEEL COMFORTABLE SPENDING THAT KIND OF MONEY, HE WOULD LIKE TO VISUALIZE WITH HIS OWN EYES THE PLAN IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO GET THIS FEMA PROJECT DONE. HOW MUCH LABOR IT IS GOING TO TAKE, HOW MANY MAN HOURS, WHAT EQUIPMENT AND GIVE HIM A TIME FRAME BY PROJECT FOR THIS FEMA WORK TO BE COMPLETED JUST LIKE YOU WOULD PLAN ANY PROJECT. HE SAID HE STILL CAN'T GET PAST 500 MILES OF DIRT ROAD AND THEY HAVE TEN GRADERS GRADING DIRT ROADS; THAT TELLS HIM EVERY FIVE DAYS EVERY DIRT ROAD SHOULD BE GRADED AND HE KNOWS THAT IS NOT HAPPENING AND HE KNOWS SOME OF THEM IS NOT EVEN BEING GRADED ONCE A MONTH. THAT TELLS HIM THEY HAVE SERIOUS PROBLEMS AT PUBLIC WORKS. HE WANTS TO HELP THIS BOARD, THIS COUNTY, THE STAFF AT ROAD AND BRIDGE WITH SOME ORGANIZATION DOWN THERE.

THE MOTION CARRIED TO TABLE THE SELLING AND PURCHASING OF THE MOTORGRADERS, DISCUSS IT FURTHER AND MAYBE MAKE A DECISION AT ANOTHER TIME.

18-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 159

A PUBLIC HEARING TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION ON A SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 DUE TO CHANGES MADE BY THE BOARD DURING THE YEAR, ADDITIONAL REVENUES RECEIVED AND ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURES TOTALLING \$1,283,102.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW STATED THE PUBLIC HEARING WAS ADVERTISED IN THE NEWSPAPER, EACH OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WAS PROVIDED AN EXPLANATION OF THE CHANGES MADE, THE ADJUSTMENTS MADE, ETC. IT IS BASICALLY SOMETHING THEY DO AT THE END OF THE YEAR TO CLOSE OUT THE BOOKS; IF THE BOARD TOOK ACTION TO TAKE MONIES FROM A DIFFERENT FUND AND MOVE IT INTO GENERAL FUND DURING THE YEAR, IT TAKES CARE OF ANY BUDGET AMENDMENTS NECESSARY TO TAKE CARE OF THESE ADJUSTMENTS ADVERTISED. THE LARGEST CHANGE WAS FEMA, WHICH WAS NOT BUDGETED AND THERE WAS \$1,000,000 PLUS RECEIVED. SHE ADDRESSED THERE BEING SOME FUNDS THAT WERE CUT AND SOME THAT WERE INCREASED; BUT, ON THE PACKET PROVIDED THE BOARD IT SHOWED THE DIFFERENCES. THE TOTAL BUDGET FOR 2009-2010 NOW TOTALS \$38,840,431.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010 TOTALLING \$38,840,431. THERE WAS NO RESPONSE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010 TOTALLING \$38,840,431 AND AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION.

CHAIRMAN PATE CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009-2010.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL TO APPROVE ITEMS A THROUGH C ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW INFORMED THE BOARD UNDER ITEM B, THE LAW ENFORCEMENT

TRUST FUND II ACCOUNT SHOULD BE LAW ENFORCEMENT III ACCOUNT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT DISCUSSED ITEM B QUESTIONING NOT THE PURCHASE OF THE NEW TRUCK OUT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT III; BUT, WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN TO THE 1997 FORD TRUCK BEING REPLACED.

19-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 160

MR. PITTS SAID HE IS NOT SURE WHAT THE SHERIFF INTENDS TO DO WITH THE OLD TRUCK.

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR TO APPROVE OF CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS A THROUGH C CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. PITTS TO FIND OUT WHAT THE SHERIFF'S INTENT IS FOR THE OLD TRUCK. HIS CONCERN WOULD BE IF THEY ARE ADDING ANOTHER VEHICLE TO THE FLEET OR ARE THEY REPLACING ONE; IF THEY ARE REPLACING IT AND ARE GOING TO DELETE IT, WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO DO WITH IT.

MR. PITTS TOLD THE BOARD TYPICALLY THE SHERIFF OFFERS THOSE TRUCKS TO OTHER COUNTY DIVISIONS; BUT, HE WILL FIND OUT.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR OCTOBER 2010 TOTALLING \$2,451,888.48.

B. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL FOR PAYMENT OF \$26,008.50 TO CHIPOLA FORD TO PURCHASE A NEW 2010 FORD TRUCK OUT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT TRUST FUND III; THIS WILL REPLACE 1997 FORD TRUCK USED FOR WATER MANAGEMENT.

C. WRITTEN REQUEST TO U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT FROM THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ASKING THAT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SECTION 8 VOUCHER PROGRAM BE TRANSFERRED TO TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL, INC., THE CURRENT ADMINISTRATOR OF THE PROGRAM. BY TRANSFERRING THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SECTION 8 VOUCHER PROGRAM TO TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL, INC., THIS WOULD ALLEVIATE REPORTING RESPONSIBILITIES AND WORK LOAD FOR COUNTY STAFF.

AGENDA ITEMS:

A. RURAL WORKS PROGRAM-MIKE DERUNTZ, SENIOR PLANNER FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE ADOPTED 2010 FINAL REPORT ON THE RURAL WORKS PROGRAM BY BOB BURANTE. MR. BURANTE WAS PRESENT AT A PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING; AT THAT MEETING, THEY LOOKED AT WHAT THE STATE ROADS ARE IN THE COUNTY AND THE RECOMMENDA-

20-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 161

TIONS THEY HAD TO GO TO THE RURAL WORKS PROGRAM. IT WAS APPROVED AND THIS IS THE FINAL REPORT. HE PRESENTED IT TO THE CHAIRMAN. ONE OF THE THINGS THE COUNTY HAD IDENTIFIED IN THE SYSTEM WAS THEY WANTED TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE SUFFICIENT EVACUATION SIGNAGE; THEY ARE IDENTIFYING THIS ON THE PLAN AND ARE LOOKING AT OTHER ISSUES THE BOARD IDENTIFIED SUCH AS A BEAUTIFICATION PROGRAM, CROSS DRAINAGE,

POTENTIAL WIDENING, ETC. THOSE ITEMS ARE GOING TO BE INCORPORATED AND REVIEWED AND WOULD BE IDENTIFIED IN THE 2011 RURAL WORK PROGRAM PLAN. WHAT THEY HAVE NOW IN THE PLAN IS A LIST OF PROJECTS THATS INCORPORATED; SOME OF THESE PROGRAMS ARE BEING WORKED ON OR THEY HAVE BUDGETED MONIES TO DO THE ENGINEERING WORK ON. FL-DOT REALLY APPRECIATES THE BOARD PARTICIPATING IN THE RURAL WORKS PROGRAM AND RESPONDING TO THEM; THEY WERE ENCOURAGED BY THE COUNTY'S EFFORTS AND LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THE COUNTY IN THE FUTURE.

MR. DERUNTZ THOUGHT COMMISSIONER PATE WAS THE BOARD'S REPRESENTATIVE TO THIS OVERALL PROGRAM. THERE IS A MEETING ON NOVEMBER 23RD WHERE THEY WILL BE TALKING ABOUT BYLAWS, ETC. AND HOPEFULLY THE BOARD COULD BE IN ATTENDANCE WITH THAT.

MR. DERUNTZ, IN LOOKING AT THE 2010-2020 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, SAID THERE WERE A COUPLE OF AREAS IN THE ELEMENTS THEY WERE PROPOSING TO HAVE ADVISORY COMMITTEES. HE PROVIDED A SCHEDULE OF ACTIVITIES HE WOULD BE INVOLVED WITH. HE EXPLAINED THERE ARE THREE PROGRAMS HE NEEDS THE BOARD'S DIRECTION ON; IT WAS IDENTIFIED IN THE PLAN THEY WOULD HAVE A CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD, CITIZENS WATER ADVISORY BOARD, ENERGY CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROUP. HE WOULD LIKE TO GET A RECOMMENDATION FROM THE BOARD TO PROCEED WITH GETTING THESE COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO FILL THESE POSITIONS AND PRESENT THEM TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL OF THESE DIFFERENT COMMITTEES. HE HAD PROVIDED A COPY OF A FORM THEY COULD ADVERTISE SUGGESTING IF ANY CITIZEN IS INTERESTED IN SERVING ON ONE OF THESE COMMITTEES, THEY COULD IDENTIFY WHICH COMMITTEE THEY WOULD BE INTERESTED IN SERVING ON AND PROVIDE QUALIFICATIONS AND PRESENT IT.

21-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 162

FROM THE LIST, THEY COULD GIVE IT TO THE BOARD AND THEY COULD DECIDE ON THE COMMITTEES. THIS IS ENGAGING THE CITIZENS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE THESE PLANS NEED TO REPRESENT THE COMMUNITY'S INVOLVEMENT AND THEIR INTEREST. BY DOING THIS, THEY WILL BE MEETING THOSE GOALS IDENTIFIED IN THE COMP PLAN. THIS COMP PLAN IS NOT TO CHANGE THE COUNTY; IT IS TO ENHANCE WHAT THEY HAVE. BY HAVING THESE COMMITTEES AND WRITING THIS INFORMATION, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE LIKED THE IDEA OF GETTING THE CITIZENS INVOLVED WITH THESE COMMITTEES BECAUSE HE THINKS THERE IS A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT WOULD LIKE TO SIT ON THAT COMMITTEE. HE REFERRED TO HAVING READ ON SOME ON LINE PUBLISHED NEWSPAPER MR. DERUNTZ HAD BEEN STRUGGLING TRYING TO FIND A QUORUM WITH HIS PLANNING BOARD. HE ASKED MR. DERUNTZ IF THERE WAS ANYTHING THIS BOARD COULD HELP HIM WITH IN GETTING THE PROBLEM FIXED WHERE HE CAN GET A FORUM. HE SAID HE UNDERSTANDS EACH OF THE MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION COMES FROM A DIFFERENT DISTRICT; MAYBE, THERE IS SOMETHING THIS BOARD CAN HELP MR. DERUNTZ WITH THAT WHERE HE CAN FIND A QUORUM OR DO THEY NEED TO REPLACE SOMEONE THAT IS ON THE BOARD NOW. HE OFFERED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING HE COULD DO OR THE BOARD COULD DO TO PLEASE ASK. HE TOLD MR. DERUNTZ HE WAS DOING AN EXCELLENT JOB AND HE IS LOOKING AT THE FUTURE GROWTH OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, WHICH ALL THE PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM SHOULD BE VERY EXCITED ABOUT. IF THEY CAN'T HELP HIM GET A QUORUM TO PUT ON HIS MEETINGS, HE HAS A PROBLEM AND THERE IS A LOT OF TIME WASTED. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THIS BOARD SUPPORT MR. DERUNTZ AND THE FUTURE GROWTH OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AND

FOR OUR CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID RECENTLY THEY HAD A PERSON, DISTRICT I REPRESENTATIVE, WHO WAS EXPERIENCING SOME HEALTH ISSUES AND HE RESIGNED; MR. KENNETH HOOD AGREED TO VOLUNTEER TO FILL IN. MR. HOOD MADE THE FIRST COUPLE OF MEETINGS AND WAS VERY INVOLVED; BUT, THEN HE HAD A CHANGE WITH HIS EMPLOYMENT WITH ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND HE TOLD MR. DERUNTZ HE NEEDED TO RESIGN BECAUSE HE COULDN'T MEET THE OBLIGATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES. THIS IS WHAT THEY NEED; PEOPLE TO BE UPFRONT IF THEY CAN'T MAKE THE MEETINGS TO LET HIM KNOW SO THEY CAN FILL THESE POSITIONS. HE HAS TALKED TO COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND HE IS LOOKING FOR ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL TO FILL THAT POSITION. HE HAS TALKED TO COMMISSIONER BROCK ABOUT A REPRESENTATIVE TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION; HIS DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE HAS NOT BEEN ATTENDING A LOT OF TIMES AND HE PROBABLY SHOULD TALK TO COMMISSIONER HOWELL ABOUT HIS DISTRICT REPRESENTATIVE. COMMISSIONER HOWELL'S REPRESENTATIVE HAS TRIED TO MAKE THE MEETINGS; BUT, BECAUSE OF HIS BUSINESS, HE CAN'T MAKE IT ALL THE TIME. MR. DERUNTZ EXPLAINED THEY HAVE THESE DIFFERENT SITUATIONS AND IF THEY DON'T HAVE A QUORUM THEY CAN'T CONDUCT BUSINESS, THEY ARE WASTING THE TAXPAYERS' DOLLARS. THE DUE PROCESS THAT IS COMING FORWARD THROUGH THE PLANNING COMMISSION IS A RECOMMENDATION THAT COMES TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS THAT IS BEING DELAYED. THEY HAVE TO HAVE PERSONS THAT ARE INTERESTED AND WILLING TO SERVE AND COMMUNICATE IF THEY CAN'T MAKE THE MEETINGS. THEY ARE HAVING TO POLL THESE MEMBERS EVERYDAY TO SEE IF THEY ARE GOING TO MAKE THE MEETINGS; THAT IS VERY IRRESPONSIBLE. ON THE FORM, THEY ALSO HAVE THE PLANNING COMMISSION; SO, PEOPLE INTERESTED IN SERVING ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION CAN SO NOTE IT AND THE BOARD CAN BE MADE AWARE OF IT.

JAMES WHITE ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM BEING VERY MUCH INVOLVED WITH THE COUNTY. THE PROBLEM IS THEY HAVE DISTRICT LINES; IF THAT POSITION IS NOT IN HIS DISTRICT AND THERE IS ALREADY A BOARD MEMBER IN HIS DISTRICT, THEN, HE CAN'T EVEN COME TO THE BOARD AND TELL THEM HE WOULD BE GLAD TO SERVE ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION. THE OTHER THING IS THEY HAVE COUNTY WIDE VOTING; EVERYBODY IN THE COUNTY CAN VOTE ON WHATEVER COMMISSIONERS IN WHATEVER DISTRICT. IT DOESN'T SEEM TO HIM FAIR HE CAN'T APPROACH THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD BECAUSE JOE TAYLOR IS HIS NEIGHBOR; SO, THEY WOULD HAVE TWO OF THEM IN THE SAME DISTRICT ON THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD. THEREFORE,

22-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 163

23-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 164

IT WOULD BE UP TO THE COUNTY COMMISSIONER TO REVAMP THESE LINES. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THEY COULD HAVE AT LARGE MEMBERS. MR. DERUNTZ SAY THEY ALREADY DO HAVE AT LARGE MEMBERS. COM-

MISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT WOULD BE A WAY SOMEBODY ELSE COULD SERVE WITHIN THE SAME DISTRICT.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED IT IS JUST NOT WASHINGTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION THAT HAS A PROBLEM GETTING A QUORUM TO MEET. HE REFERRED TO HIM GETTING A CALL EVERY MONTH TO SEE IF HE IS COMING TO THE WFRPC BECAUSE THEY HAVE TROUBLE GETTING A QUORUM TOO. HE ASKED MR. DERUNTZ HOW MANY PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS WERE ATTENDING REGULARLY AND HOW OFTEN. HE ASKED MR. DERUNTZ IF HE WAS KEEPING A ROSTER BECAUSE IF A PERSON REPRESENTING DISTRICT II IS NOT ATTENDING REGULAR, HE HAS A SOLUTION TO THAT. HE WILL REAPPOINT SOMEBODY ELSE BECAUSE THAT PERSON IS ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION SERVING AT THE PLEASURE OF THE COUNTY COMMISSIONER OF EACH DISTRICT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD COMMISSIONER PATE TO REMEMBER THESE PERSONS SERVING ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION ARE VOLUNTEERS AND DON'T GET A DIME FOR WHAT THEY ARE DOING; THEY MEET AT NIGHT SOMETIMES.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AGREED AND SAID SOMETIMES IT IS MORE THAN ONE NIGHT A WEEK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY COULD GET, HOW MANY MR. DERUNTZ THINKS IS NECESSARY, OF AT LARGE MEMBERS NO MATTER WHAT DISTRICT THEY ARE IN AND THEN IF THEY WILL ALL COME.

MR. DERUNTZ EXPLAINED THEY WOULD HAVE TO AMEND THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE. COMMISSIONER PATE REQUESTED MR. PITTS WRITE THIS DOWN SO THEY CAN POSSIBLY ADDRESS THIS.

MR. PITTS TOLD THE BOARD HE AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND HAD THIS SAME DISCUSSION THIS MORNING; THEY HAVE AN "X" BOARD MEMBER THROUGH THE SCHOOL BOARD, JOE TAYLOR, THAT IS PERFECTLY WILLING TO SERVE AGAIN AND WOULD LOVE TO SERVE AGAIN BUT HE LIVES A BLOCK OUT OF COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S DISTRICT. MR. TAYLOR LIVES IN COMMISSIONER BROCK'S DISTRICT.

24-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 165

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK HAVING TROUBLE WITH HIS REPRESENTATIVE ATTENDING THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND ASKED IF THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS DOABLE. ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO GET INVOLVED WITH VOLUNTEERS SERVING AND NOT SERVING; BUT, HE KNOWS MR. DERUNTZ HAS A LEGITIMATE PROBLEM AND HE WANTS TO HELP GET THAT CORRECTED.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID THEY DON'T HAVE TO RECREATE THINGS; HE NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO TALK TO THE BOARD OR THE CHAIRMAN OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION NEEDS TO TALK TO THE BOARD AND LET THEM KNOW WHEN THEY HAVE A PROBLEM. HE WOULD REALLY LIKE THE COMMISSIONERS TO FILL THESE POSITIONS OR TALK TO THESE PEOPLE THAT AREN'T SHOWING UP ON A REGULAR BASIS BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO COUNT ON THESE PEOPLE EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE VOLUNTEERS. HE REFERRED TO MR. HOOD BEING THE RESPONSIBLE FRAME OF MIND; MR. HOOD WENT TO HIM AND SAID HE HAD A JOB AND HE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO ATTEND THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS ON A REGULAR BASIS. IF A PERSON'S JOB IS SUCH IT GOES INTO THE HOURS THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETS, THEY NEED TO TELL THE PLANNING COMMISSION THEY NEED TO RESIGN, THEY CAN'T MEET THE OBLIGATIONS. THAT IS JUST BEING UPFRONT AND RESPECTING THE CITIZENS OF THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY WOULD LOOK AT THE RULES AND REGULATIONS AND COME BACK WITH A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD. HE REITERATED HE VOLUNTEERED ON THE WFRPC BOARD; IF YOU ARE

APPOINTED TO A BOARD, IT IS IMPORTANT YOU BE THERE.

MR. DERUNTZ ADDRESSED THERE HAVE BEEN MULTIPLE MEETINGS THEY COULDN'T HAVE A MEETING TO CONDUCT BUSINESS BECAUSE THE PEOPLE JUST DIDN'T SHOW UP AND THERE ARE PEOPLE WITH APPLICATIONS THAT ARE EXPECTING RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE BOARD, HAVING ACTION AND THEY CAN'T GET ANY ACTION BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE A QUORUM.

CHAIRMAN PATE REQUESTED MR. DERUNTZ AND MR. PITTS TO GET TOGETHER AND IF THEY NEED ANY GUIDANCE FROM WFRPC, LET HIM KNOW. COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHY THE COUNTY COMMISSION COULDN'T

25-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 166

APPOINT JOE TAYLOR TO DISTRICT I.

MR. PITTS SAID THE CURRENT ORDINANCE SAYS MR. TAYLOR LIVES IN DISTRICT I; HE WOULD PROPOSE THE COMMISSIONERS STILL APPOINT THE MEMBERS BUT THEY BE ABLE TO APPOINT THEM FROM AT LARGE CITIZENS. IT WOULD BE PREFERRED THEY BE FROM THE COMMISSIONERS DISTRICT; BUT, IT NOT BE REQUIRED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE PROBLEM IS MR. TAYLOR IS RIGHT AT THE LINE; FIVE YEARS AGO IT WAS DISTRICT I.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE BOARD COULD SIT HERE AND VOTE TO HAVE MEMBERS APPOINTED AT LARGE, NO MATTER WHAT DISTRICT THEY ARE FROM, PREFERRABLY FROM THE DISTRICT THEY ARE REPRESENTING AND GET THIS TAKEN CARE OF.

MR. PITTS REITERATED THEY WOULD HAVE TO CHANGE THEIR OWN CODE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY COULD CHANGE THEIR OWN CODE.

MR. PITTS SAID THROUGH ADVERTISING AND A PUBLIC HEARING, THE CODE COULD BE CHANGED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THEY COULD CHANGE THE CODE THROUGH A PROCESS AND MR. PITTS HAS DESCRIBED THIS; IT WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE THROUGH PUBLIC HEARINGS, PUBLIC NOTICE AND PROPER ADVERTISING. IT CAN BE CHANGED; BUT, THERE IS A PROCESS TO DO IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED IT COULD BE CHANGED; BUT, THEY WOULD BE VIOLATING THEIR OWN CODE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND HOWELL SAID THEY WANTED TO CHANGE THE CODE CORRECTLY LIKE ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED HOW MANY AT LARGE POSITIONS ARE CURRENTLY ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION. MR. DERUNTZ SAID THERE WAS ONLY ONE. THERE ARE SEVEN MEMBERS ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION; FIVE FROM THE COUNTY COMMISSIONER, ONE FROM THE SCHOOL BOARD AND ONE AT LARGE MEMBER.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE SCHOOL BOARD MEMBER WAS ATTENDING THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS. MR. DERUNTZ SAID SOMETIMES.

26-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 167

CHAIRMAN PATE SUGGESTED MR. DERUNTZ AND MR. PITTS GET THEIR IDEAS TOGETHER ON WHAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE IN THE ORDINANCE. MR. PITTS SAID HE WOULD BRING THE BOARD BACK A RECOMMENDATION ON AN ORDINANCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY COULD BRING THEIR IDEAS TOGETHER RIGHT NOW AND TAKE CARE OF THIS WHILE THEY ARE SITTING HERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID "NO." YOU HAVE TO GET IT TOGETHER, GET IT ADVERTISED AND COME BACK AND VOTE ON IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE THINKS THEY HAVE TWO DIFFERENT THINGS GOING ON HERE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS WANTING THE BOARD TO DECIDE WHAT IT WANTS TO DO WITH RESPECT TO A BIG PICTURE; HE UNDERSTANDS IT CAN'T BE DONE TODAY BUT HE WANTS TO GO AHEAD AND GET A PLAN AND SAYS HERE IS WHAT THE BOARD WANTS PRIVY WISE FOR YOU TWO OR THREE GUYS TO MAKE IT HAPPEN , WHATEVER THEY NEED TO DO, AS FAR AS PUBLIC NOTICE, ETC. TO GET IT DONE PROPERLY. COMMISSIONER PATE UNDERSTANDS THEY HAVE TO TAKE TIME TO GET IT DONE. THE DISPUTE IS DOES THE BOARD WANT TO CONTINUE TO DISCUSS IT AND GET A PLAN TODAY OR DO THEY WANT TO GET BACK WITH US AND TELL THEM WHAT THE PLAN IS AT A LATER DATE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE PROPER WAY IS TO LET THE STAFF LOOK AT IT AND THE BOARD CAN INDIVIDUALLY DROP IN AND TALK TO THEM OR GET THEIR OWN IDEAS IN THERE AND LET THEM HAVE IT READY TO ADVERTISE BY THE JANUARY MEETING.

MR. DERUNTZ TOLD THE BOARD THEY NEEDED TO FILL THE VACANCY IN DISTRICT I, THOSE MEMBERS THAT AREN'T SHOWING UP ON A REGULAR BASIS, THE COMMISSIONERS NEED TO TALK TO THEM TO SEE IF THEY ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO MEET THEIR OBLIGATIONS. WITH THOSE TWO THINGS, THEY DON'T HAVE TO CHANGE THE ORDINANCE. THE THIRD THING IS WORKING WITH THE COUNTY MANAGER IF THEY ARE GOING TO ADD ADDITIONAL AT LARGE MEMBERS, ETC.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO GET A MOTION TO PROCEED WITH GETTING THE THREE COMMITTEES FILLED AND USE THE FORM LETTER AS A

27-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 168

BASIS FOR HAVING PEOPLE FILL IN AN APPLICATION FOR THEIR INTEREST IN SERVING.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED HOW OFTEN WOULD THESE PEOPLE HAVE TO MEET. MR. DERUNTZ SAID THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS DECIDED TO MEET EVERY TWO WEEKS TO GET THIS ACCOMPLISHED; BUT, THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, WATER ADVISORY AND ENERGY CONSERVATION HE IS RUNNING THEM THROUGH THE YEAR. IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT HAVING RECOMMENDATIONS DONE WITHIN A YEARS TIME; IT COULD BE LONGER IT JUST DEPENDS. HE WOULD SUGGEST THEY MEET AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH BECAUSE THAT WAY THEY WOULD KEEP THINGS MOVING. IT WOULD BE UP TO EACH INDIVIDUAL COMMITTEE TO DECIDE ON WHAT A GOOD TIME AND DATE IS TO HOLD THE MEETINGS SO THEY COULD AT LEAST HAVE A QUORUM TO CONDUCT ACTIVITIES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND IF HE WAS NEEDING A REPRESENTATIVE FROM DISTRICT I AND SAID THERE WAS PROBABLY SOMEONE SITTING IN THE AUDIENCE THAT WOULD SERVE IF HE WOULD ASK HIM. HE THINKS TRAVIS HALL WOULD BE A GOOD MEMBER; TRAVIS SAID HE WOULD BE WILLING TO SERVE. MR. DERUNTZ SAID THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETS THE FIRST TUESDAY OF EVERY MONTH AT 5:00 P.M.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WAS GOING TO GET UP WITH HILTON

minutes11162010.txt

KELLY TO SEE IF HE WOULD SERVE ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION; IF HE WON'T TAKE IT, HE WILL BE GLAD FOR TRAVIS HALL TO TAKE IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS GOING TO GET WITH HIS REPRESENTATIVE ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND IF HE IS NOT GOING TO ATTEND, THEY ARE GOING TO GO WITH JOE TAYLOR.

FOR CLARIFICATION PURPOSES, ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHAT MR. DERUNTZ NEEDS FROM THE BOARD TODAY IS THE SUPPORT (1) TO GET THE MEMBERS HE NEEDS AND (2) THE MEMBERS HE HAS, THEY NEED TO PUSH ENCOURAGEMENT FROM THE CURRENT COMMISSIONER FROM THEIR DISTRICT AND (3) HE IS NOT ASKING TO CHANGE OR ALTER THE POLICY; IF THE BOARD WANTS TO DO THAT, THEY ARE PRIVY TO DO THAT AND AT THEIR DIRECTIVE WILL ADD OR TELL US WHAT TO DO WITH RESPECT TO GOING FORWARD WITH AT LARGE

28-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 169

MEMBERS OR OTHERWISE TO CHANGE THE POLICY.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID THAT WAS CORRECT. ALSO, HE NEEDS THE BOARD TO TAKE ACTION TO PROCEED WITH FILLING THESE OTHER COMMITTEES THAT WERE IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

WHEN QUESTIONED ON HOW DISTRICT II AND IV WERE DOING, MR. DERUNTZ SAID THEY WERE DOING GREAT. ON DISTRICT V, MR. DERUNTZ REITERATED THAT REPRESENTATIVE'S BUSINESS IS INTERFERING WITH HIS ATTENDANCE AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REQUESTED HE AND MR. DERUNTZ GET TOGETHER AND DISCUSS THIS PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF PROCEEDING WITH THE FILLING OF THE CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD, CITIZENS WATER ADVISORY BOARD, ENERGY AND CONSERVATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROUP.

HAYWARD BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD WITH A PROBLEM WITH SOME BOAT LANDINGS THE COUNTY JUST RENOVATED; HE HAS SEEN TWO TORN UP MOTORS AND HE WAS BACKING DOWN A RAMP THE OTHER DAY, A LINE GOT CAUGHT ON A BIG ROCK, SNATCHED HIS STEPSON DOWN AND HE HIT HIM WITH HIS TAILGATE BEFORE HE GOT STOPPED. HE TOLD THE BOARD THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THESE BOATRAMP THE COUNTY JUST RENOVATED; THE ROCKS HAVE GOT TO GO. IF THEY DON'T DO SOMETHING, SOMEBODY IS GOING TO BE IN A LAWSUIT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAS HEARD THE SAME THING AND ASKED WHY THE ROCKS WERE THERE.

HAYWARD SAID IT WAS FOR EROSION; BUT, THEY DON'T STOP EROSION. HE DON'T KNOW WHO ENGINEERED THE FEMA. HE WAS TOLD IT WAS THE COUNTY ENGINEER.

CLIFF AGREED THERE WERE DEFINITELY SOME ISSUES WITH SOME OF THE BOAT RAMPS; THEY WENT AROUND AND AROUND WITH FEMA ABOUT THESE BOAT RAMPS. UNFORTUNATELY, WHAT HAS HAPPENED ON SOME OF THESE BOAT RAMPS IS THEY HAVE PUT A BANDAID ON SOMETHING THAT NEEDED A TOURNAQUET. A

29-BCC

LOT OF THE BOATRAMP THAT PROJECT WORKSHEETS WERE WRITTEN UP FROM FEMA REALLY SHOULD HAVE BEEN REPLACED; THE ENTIRE BOATRAMP SHOULD HAVE BEEN PULLED OUT AND COMPLETELY REDONE. UNFORTUNATELY, FEMA DESIGNATES AN INSPECTOR ON EACH ONE OF THESE EMERGENCY DISASTERS AND THEY HAD BIG DIFFERENCES WITH THE FEMA INSPECTORS ON WHAT NEEDED TO BE DONE ON THESE SITES. REALLY DAVID CORBIN WAS INVOLVED, HE WAS INVOLVED IN SOME OF THEM AS WELL; HE REITERATED THEY REALLY PUT A BAND-AID ON SOMETHING THAT NEEDED MORE WORK THAN WHATS BEEN DONE. FOR EXAMPLE SOME OF THE BOATRAMP THAT WERE UNDERMINED BADLY, FEMA GAVE THEM MONEY TO SAWCUT OUT THE PORTION THAT WAS UNDERMINED AND BASICALLY REPAIR THAT SECTION OF BOATRAMP. IN REALITY, THE WHOLE BOATRAMP SHOULD HAVE BEEN COMPLETELY REMOVED AND PUT IN WITH SOMETHING LIKE A PUSHLAB LIKE THEY DID AT VERNON AND A LOT OF OTHER BOAT LOCATIONS. FEMA DID NOT AGREE WITH THAT. BASICALLY THE PROJECT WORKSHEETS THAT FEMA CAME UP WITH HAD SPECIFIC QUANTITIES FOR SPECIFIC ITEMS TO BE DONE AT EACH RAMP AND THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT HAS BEEN DONE. HE SPOKE WITH MR. HAYWARD AND HIS CONCERN ABOUT THE ROCKS BEING BELOW THE WATER LINE IN SOME PLACES AND IT IS PROBABLY A VALID CONCERN. HE HAS AGREED TO GO AROUND TO EACH ONE OF THE BOAT RAMPS WITH HIM, LOOK AT THEM INDIVIDUALLY AND SEE WHAT HE THINKS SHOULD BE DONE TO ADDRESS THAT ISSUE. AS FAR AS WHAT GOT PUT DOWN, WHERE IT GOT PUT DOWN AND THE QUANTITIES, CLIFF REITERATED THOSE WERE ALL DICTATED BY THE PROJECT WORKSHEETS FROM FEMA. HE IS SURE DAVID CAN TELL THE BOARD AS WELL THEY WENT ROUND AND ROUND WITH FEMA TRYING TO GET MORE DONE ON THESE BOAT RAMPS SO THEY COULD FIX THEM RIGHT AND HAVE THEM FIXED FOR A LONG TIME RATHER THAN JUST HAVE THEM JUST PATCHING THEM UP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF COMMISSIONER BROCK WOULDN'T VERY INSTRUMENTAL IN THE BOAT LANDINGS. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE CARES ABOUT THEM AND SEES THEM EVERY DAY; MOST OF THEM ARE IN HIS DISTRICT.

HAYWARD ASKED HOW MANY COMMISSIONERS HAS BEEN AND LOOKED AT

30-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 171

THE BOAT RAMPS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAD HEARD ABOUT IT LAST WEEK AND HE HAS NOT WENT AND LOOKED AT THEM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WAS OVER THERE LOOKING AT THAT ONE WHEN THEY WAS WORKING ON IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THEY HAD PUT ROCK RUBBLE AT THE END OF THE BOAT RAMP WHERE YOU BACK IN. HAYWARD SAID YOU HAVE ROCK 8' IN THE CREEK AT STRICKLAND LANDING, IN THE WATER. THEY ARE BIG ROCKS; 40 TO 50 POUND ROCKS.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE RIP RAP WAS PLACED ALONG THE SIDE OF THE RAMPS; THERE IS SOME OF IT THAT HAS GOTTEN INTO THE WATER. HAYWARD'S ISSUE IS THERE IS FLUCTUATION OF FIVE TO SIX FEET AT A LOT OF THESE LANDINGS AND IF THE WATER IS DOWN FIVE TO SIX FEET AND YOU HAVE A FEW ROCKS IN THE WAY OF THE RAMP ITSELF, IT IS A PROBLEM. THE RIP RAP WAS PUT IN AS EROSION CONTROL ALONG THE EDGES OF THE RAMP PRIMARILY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE ROCK COULD BE REMOVED. CLIFF SAID THE ROCKS IN THE WAY OF THE RAMP CAN BE REMOVED WITH NO PROBLEM. THE BIGGER PROBLEM IS SOME OF THE REPAIRS THAT HAVE BEEN

minutes11162010.txt

MADE TO SOME OF THE RAMPS ARE NOT PERMANENT LONG TERM REPAIRS. BRUNSON LANDING, ETC. REALLY NEEDED A LOT MORE THAN WHAT FEMA GAVE FUNDING WISE TO DO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE DIDN'T THINK BRUNSON GOT ANY FUNDING FROM FEMA; THEY HAD TO TAKE THE FUNDING OUT OF THE FBIP FUNDS. WHEN THIS WAS DONE, DAVID RODE ONE DAY WITH A REPRESENTATIVE FROM FEMA AND IT WAS DONE DURING THE HEATED DISCUSSION THEY WERE HAVING WITH FEMA TRYING TO GET SOMEBODY TO COME DOWN HERE ABOUT FIGHTING THE MOSQUITOES. THE REPRESENTATIVES WROTE THOSE PW'S; ONCE THEY WRITE THEM, THEY HAVE TO BE DONE ACCORDING TO THE PAPERWORK OF THAT PW. WHEN THEY ARE INSPECTED, THEY BETTER BE DONE LIKE IT IS WROTE ON THE PW. THAT IS WHY THEY WERE WROTE. IN HIS OPINION, THEY SHOULD HAVE NEVER BEEN ROCKED; BUT, HE DIDN'T HAVE NO SAY SO OVER PW'S BECAUSE THEY HAD TO BE PUT DOWN IN ORDER TO GET REIMBURSEMENT BACK. EITHER YOU LOSE THE FUNDING OR YOU GET THE REIMBURSEMENT. AFTER-

31-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 172

WARDS, THEN YOU CAN REDESIGN.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF FEMA HAS CHECKED THIS ALL OUT. HE SUGGESTED CLIFF, HAYWARD AND COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE WANTS TO GO OUT AND LOOK AT THE BOAT LANDINGS, IF THERE IS A CORRECTION, COME UP WITH IT AND GIVE THE BOARD A COST. HE DON'T WANT TO SEE ANYBODY'S BOATS TORN UP.

HAYWARD REITERATED THERE HAD ALREADY BEEN TWO BOAT MOTORS TORN UP AND THE ROCK HAS GOT TO GO.

DAVID EXPLAINED THE BAD THING IS THE BOARD PUT \$46,000 WITH THAT CONTRACT TO GET THE WORK DONE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS NOT ARGUING THE POINT; HE WAS TRYING TO FIND A WAY OUT AND HE WANTS TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON BEFORE THEY START ON IT AND NOT MAKE A BAD SITUATION WORSE.

HAYWARD SAID HE WAS GOING WITH MR. CLIFF AS SOON AS THEY GET THROUGH AT THE MEETING AND HE IS GOING TO SHOW IT TO HIM. THEY HAVE A BIG PROBLEM AT BRUNSON LANDING BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO HAVE SOME RAILING ON THAT RAMP; THERE IS NOT A RAILING ON IT AND IT IS 2' HIGH. IF SOMEBODY BACKS OFF THAT RAMP, THEY ARE JUST IN THE CREEK. THEY LEFT THE CONCRETE LAYING IN THE BOTTOM OF THE CREEK. HE HAS ALREADY LOOKED AT THE BOAT RAMP AND HAS GOTTEN PICTURES OF IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK, IN DEFENSE OF THE BOAT LANDINGS, SAID WHEN THE PW IS DONE, IT IS WROTE UP ACCORDING TO THE WATER TABLE OF THE CREEK OR RIVER AT THAT TIME.

HAYWARD SAID WHEN THE BOAT LANDING WAS DONE, THE WATER LEVEL WAS AT 1.2'; WHEN THE RIVER GETS UP TO 8', ALL THE ROCK IS UNDER WATER AND PROBABLY GOING DOWN THE RIVER OR THE CREEK.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THIS MARCH WILL BE TWO YEARS WHEN THAT PW WAS WROTE UP.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID THEY COULD TALK ABOUT THIS ALL DAY LONG; HE TOLD CLIFF, HAYWARD AND COMMISSIONER BROCK TO GO AND LOOK AT THE BOATRAMP AND GIVE A REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHAT THE BOARD DECIDED.

32-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 173

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID CLIFF, HAYWARD AND COMMISSIONER BROCK ARE GOING TO LOOK AT THE BOATRAMPs TO SEE WHAT THEY CAN DO; HE WANTS A REPORT ON EVERYTHING AT THE BOATRAMPs THAT IS WRONG AND HOW MUCH IT WILL COST TO FIX IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE ROCKS THAT ARE IN THE WAY WHEN YOU BACK INTO THE BOAT RAMP NEED TO BE REMOVED NOW.

CLIFF SAID THEY WOULD TAKE A LOOK AT THOSE TODAY AND THE ONES THAT NEED TO BE REMOVED, THEY WILL GET WITH MR. PITTS AND LINE THAT UP AND TAKE CARE OF THAT RIGHT AWAY. AS FAR AS LONG TERM FIXES, THEY CAN PUT TOGETHER SOME RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL FUNDING; BUT, FOR THE TIME BEING, THEY WILL GO TO EACH BOATRAMP INDIVIDUALLY AND DISCUSS IT TO MAKE SURE THEY DON'T HAVE A HAZARDOUS SITUATION.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED DAVID, WHILE THIS IS GOING ON, TO SEE HOW MUCH MONEY IS LEFT IN THE FBIP FUND.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CLIFF FOR AN UPDATE ON LIVE OAK LANDING.

CLIFF REPORTED FL-DEP HAS DEEMED THEIR PERMITS COMPLETE AND HAVE ISSUED THE NOTICE OF INTENT; THEY SHOULD BE GETTING THEIR PERMITS VERY SOON ON LIVE OAK AND ON HIGHTOWER SPRINGS AS WELL.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE STRIPING OF RIVER ROAD HAVING COME UP SEVERAL TIMES. THEY HAD SOME STIMULUS FUNDS THAT HAD SOME THERMO PLASTIC STRIPING ON RIVER ROAD AND DUE TO THE TIME FRAME FOR SPENDING THE STIMULUS MONEY, THEY HAD TO MOVE IT TO OTHER ROAD PROJECTS. THEY HAVE ONE MILE OF TEMPORARY PAINT AND TWO MILES OF NOTHING ON RIVER ROAD NOW. THEY GOT A QUOTE FROM C. W. ROBERTS AND GUETTLER TO PUT THERMO ON ALL 3.1 MILES, WHICH WOULD BE EDGELINE, CENTERLINE, RPM'S AND THERE IS ONE STOP BAR FOR \$44,052. THEY MET WITH FINANCE AND MONEY IS BUDGETED FOR THE STRIPING. THE QUESTION IS DOES THE COUNTY WANT TO STRIPE THE LAST TWO MILES THEMSELVES WITH TEMPORARY PAINT OR WOULD THEY LIKE TO GO AHEAD AND MOVE FORWARD WITH THERMO PLASTIC AND RPM'S ON ALL THREE MILES.

33-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 174

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED WHERE THE MONEY WAS COMING FROM. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW EXPLAINED IT WOULD COME FROM THE FUNDING THE BOARD HAD AGREED TO BORROW UP TO A CERTAIN AMOUNT ON RIVER ROAD AND BONNETT POND; THERE IS A BALANCE LEFT THAT WOULD COVER THE STRIPING COST IF THERE IS NOTHING OUT THERE BOARD FINANCE DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THIS WAS BORROWING MONEY. COMMISSIONER HOWELL UPDATED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ON THEM BORROWING MONEY FROM C.W. ROBERTS TO PAVE RIVER ROAD OR PAY IT BACK WITH FEMA MONEY. COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THERE WAS THAT MUCH MONEY LEFT IN THAT CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAYING THERE WAS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THEY REMEMBERED THE LAST TIME THE COUNTY FORCES STRIPED A ROAD.

minutes11162010.txt

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO GO FORWARD WITH THE THERMO PLASTIC STRIPING ON RIVER ROAD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE FL-DOT REPLACING A BRIDGE FOR THE COUNTY AT GUM CREEK, WHICH IS ABOUT .8 OF A MILE FROM THE END OF PAVEMENT TO THAT BRIDGE, IN 2013 OR 2014. HE ASKED THE COUNTY TO LOOK AT THE POSSIBILITY OF FIXING THAT .8 OF A MILE GAP IN THE MEANTIME AND HAVE IT READY TO PAVE WHEN THE CONTRACTOR GETS THERE. THEY PROBABLY WILL NEED TO ACQUIRE SOME RIGHT-OF-WAY DOWN THROUGH THERE. THIS WOULD TIE THAT TOGETHER AND GIVE THEM ANOTHER MILE WHEN THE FL-DOT GETS THROUGH WITH THEIR BRIDGE JOB BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO PAVE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE BRIDGE AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REQUESTED THE BOARD ALLOW HIM AND MAYBE SCOTT OR CLIFF GET TOGETHER WITH THE LANDOWNERS AND SEE IF THEY CAN'T GET THE RIGHT-OF-WAY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THEY HAD ENOUGH TIME TO USE COUNTY FORCES TO GET THE ROAD READY, AT LEAST CLEAR THE TREES, ETC. LIKE THEY

34-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 175

DID ON RIVER ROAD AND HAVE IT READY TO PAVE WHEN THE CONTRACTOR COMES OUT THERE. THIS IS SOMETHING FOR DISCUSSION AND THE BOARD TO CONSIDER PLANNING TO DO THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THAT IS THE SAME CREWS THAT IS DOING THE FEMA PROJECTS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADVISED HIM IT WAS; HOWEVER, THEY WILL BE THROUGH WITH THE FEMA PROJECTS IN EIGHTEEN MONTHS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE HE IS NOT MISUNDERSTOOD. HE THINKS THE BOARD HAS MADE A GOOD DECISION TO STRIPE THIS ROAD; BUT, HE WANTS TO UNDERSTAND WHERE THAT MONEY IS COMING FROM. HE HEARD SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE MONEY BEING BORROWED AND THERE IS MONEY LEFT OVER. IF IT IS A BUDGETED LINE ITEM FOR THIS NEW BUDGET, HE DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT; BUT, IF IT IS BORROWED MONEY.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW STATED THIS WAS SOMETHING THE BOARD DID EARLIER THIS YEAR; THEY WAS GOING TO BORROW UP TO A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF FUNDING IF NEEDED TO DO THE RIVER ROAD AND BONNETT POND PROJECTS AND REPAY IT BACK WITH FEMA FUNDS. IF THE FEMA FUNDING DIDN'T COME IN BY THE TIME THE CONTRACT WAS COMPLETED, THE BOARD HAD AGREED TO FINANCE THE FUNDING WITH THE CONTRACTOR, C. W. ROBERTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THAT WAS THE SAME FUNDING THEY WERE GOING TO USE TO BUY MOTORGRADERS WITH EARLIER TODAY. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID IT WAS.

COUNTY MANAGER PITTS STATED IT WAS NOT THE SAME MONEY. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID IT WAS FEMA FUNDING; IT WAS COMING FROM THE SAME SOURCE.

COUNTY MANAGER PITTS AGREED IT WAS COMING FROM THE SAME SOURCE; BUT, IT WAS NOT THE SAME MONEY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED ORIGINALLY THERE WAS \$8,000,000; THE COUNTY HAS WORKED FEMA THIS PAST YEAR HOPING THEY WILL HAVE THAT MONEY TO BUILD BONNETT POND AND RIVER ROAD. THE PAYMENT WAS DUE OCTOBER 1ST.

35-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 176

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED HE DIDN'T WANT TO GET INVOLVED WITH THAT FEMA WORK AND DON'T WANT TO SLOW IT DOWN. HE WANTS TO BE STRAIGHT WHEN SAYING HE LIKES THE IDEA OF ROADS BEING STRIPED AND HE LIKES TO SEE IT NICE AS WELL AS ANYBODY; BUT, NOW THIS \$43,000, HE WANTS TO UNDERSTAND WHERE THIS MONEY IS COMING FROM.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW REITERATED IT IS COMING FROM FEMA.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THAT MONEY WAS SET ASIDE. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID IT WOULD BE WHEN THEY GET THE FEMA FUNDING. SHE THOUGHT THEY HAD RECEIVED ENOUGH FUNDING FOR THE RIVER ROAD PROJECT; BUT, THE BOARD AGREED TO BORROW ENOUGH FOR BONNETT POND, RIVER ROAD AND UP TO \$50,000 FOR BAHOMA PROJECT. ALL THEY ARE SPENDING IS FEMA MONEY BECAUSE THAT IS ALL THEY HAVE GOT; BUT, THEY HAVEN'T RECEIVED ALL OF THAT.

MR. PITTS SAID THERE WAS \$700,000 PLUS FROM A GRANT AND \$500,000 PLUS THE COUNTY WAS GOING TO MATCH. THAT \$500,000 PLUS WAS BORROWED, WHICH CAME TO \$1.2 MILLION. OUT OF THAT \$1.2 MILLION NOW WITH THE ROAD BEING PAVED AND ALL THE WORK BEING DONE, THERE IS ENOUGH MONEY LEFT IN THAT APPROPRIATED MONEY TO DO THIS \$44,000 IN STRIPING.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID WE DON'T HAVE THE \$1.4 MILLION YET. THEY HAVE RECEIVED ENOUGH TO DO THE RIVER ROAD PROJECT AND THERE WAS ENOUGH LEFT IN THE OBLIGATED MONIES FOR THIS PROJECT TO DO THE STRIPING.

CLIFF SAID ONE THING THAT MIGHT HELP IS THE FEMA MONEY THAT HAS BEEN COLLECTED IS PAYING FOR THE RIVER ROAD PROJECT INSTEAD OF THE BOARD HAVING TO FINANCE THE PROJECT WITH C. W. ROBERTS. C. W. ROBERTS HAD AGREED TO FINANCE RIVER ROAD; BUT, THE COUNTY HASN'T NEEDED TO DO THAT.

MR. PITTS AGREED THEY HAVE COLLECTED ENOUGH FEMA FUNDS TO PAY FOR RIVER ROAD; THIS MONEY WAS BUDGETED FOR THAT ROAD OF THIS \$44,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THERE WAS ENOUGH MONEY TO COVER THE RIVER ROAD STRIPING. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED THERE WAS.

36-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 177

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON A SITE INSPECTION FROM NFWFMD ON RIVER ROAD; THEY CALLED TO SAY THEY WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH THE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THEY WEREN'T GOING TO HAVE TO GO INTO THE WOODS AND CLEAN ANY OF THAT SEDIMENT OUT.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE CLEANING OUT OF THE SEDIMENT IS FL-DEP; THEY HAVE SUBMITTED A CLEAN UP PLAN FOR THOSE AREAS AND THEY ARE EXPECTING APPROVAL FROM FL-DEP TO DO THAT.

JAMES WHITE, 5178 BOYINGTON CUTOFF, VERNON, FLORIDA, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM BEING IN THE PROCESS OF MAKING SOME TRANSITIONS; THEY HAVE A RESTAURANT AND LOUNGE THERE AND HAVE BEEN THERE SINCE 1995. HOWEVER, THEY ARE VENTURING INTO SOME FAMILY ORIENTED TYPE

minutes11162010.txt

THINGS; THEY ARE LOOKING AT TOUR BOATS, GUIDED TOURS, EXTREME KAYAKING, CANOEING, ETC. THEY ARE APPROVED FOR UP TO 135 RV UNITS; THEY ARE GOING TO GENERATE BED TAX. THEY HAVE FOUR FULL TIME EMPLOYEES AND BETWEEN NOW AND PROBABLY MAY OR JUNE 2011, THEY SHOULD BE UP TO 12 TO 14 EMPLOYEES AND POSSIBLY BY THE END OF 2011, BE UP TO 20 POSITIONS.

MR. WHITE ADDRESSED THE ROAD GOING INTO BOYINGTON CUTOFF STATING COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND BROCK HAVE BEEN MORE THAN HELPFUL OVER THE YEARS. HE EXPLAINED HIS PROPERTY IS IN A WATER SHED AREA. HE HAS SPOKEN WITH BILL CLECKLEY AND THEIR PAVING MONIES HAVE BEEN SPENT IN BAY COUNTY AROUND THE DEER POINT WATER SHED, WHICH ARE MORE IMPORTANT AREAS THAN WHAT HE HAS AT THIS TIME.

MR. WHITE SAID THE COUNTY CAME IN AND PUT 38 LOADS OF SAND CLAY IN TWO DIFFERENT AREAS LAST WEEK WHICH HELPED A LOT. THERE ARE OVER 300 VEHICLES THAT TRAVELS THIS ROAD AND ALSO ON MCCALL ROAD, WHICH IS PART OF BOYINGTON CUTOFF, THERE IS 50 NON-PERMANENT RESIDENTS IN THERE THAT PAY QUITE A BIT OF LAND TAXES. HE ASKED THE COUNTY, WITH HIS GROWTH PATTERN AND WHAT HE IS LOOKING FOR, IF THERE WAS A POSSIBILITY THEY COULD GET SOME MILLED ASPHALT ON BOYINGTON CUTOFF. HE SAID PART OF IT IS MILLED, PART OF IT IS GRAVEL AND PART OF IT IS

37-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 178

CLAY. IT IS ONLY .7 OF A MILE. HE POINTED OUT HE WAS NOT SAYING THE ROAD WAS IN BAD SHAPE EVEN THOUGH IT IS IN PRETTY BAD SHAPE AFTER THIS RAIN SINCE THAT CLAY WENT DOWN ON IT.

MR. WHITE EXPLAINED THE INTENTIONS OF RIVER RUN RESORT IS MAKE IT ALL INCLUSIVE. THEY DO CHARITY EVENTS FOR DIFFERENT FUND RAISERS, BOY SCOUT JAMBOREES, ETC. HE AND HIS WIFE HAVE DONE AN ASSORTMENT OF THINGS AT RIVER RUN RESORT WHICH THEY HAVE DEDICATED THEIR TIME AND MONEY TO THE COUNTY. HE SAID THEY HAVE BEEN HERE SINCE 1993 AND THEY HAVE NEVER ASKED BASICALLY FOR ANYTHING FROM THE COUNTY RATHER THAN BEING APPROVED, WALKING THROUGH THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD AND TAKING THE PROPER STEPS TO GET THIS PIECE OF PROPERTY COMMERCIALIZED AND BECOMING WHAT IT IS. THEY WANT TO GROW WITH THE COUNTY; HE IS VERY INVOLVED WITH THE COUNTY AND WANTS TO KEEP IT ECOFRIENDLY. HE IS LIKE MR. BROCK, WITH THE KNIGHT FAMILY TRUST AND EVERYTHING THAT IS GOING ON IN THE MOODY'S PASTURE, HE IS VERY MUCH INVOLVED WITH THESE THINGS AND THESE FOLKS COMING INTO THIS COUNTY. THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD, WE HAVE HELPED GET SOME DONE AND WE HAVE HELPED GET SOME BLOWN OUT OF THE WATER. HE IS VERY MUCH PART OF THIS COMMUNITY.

HE REITERATED HIS REQUEST FOR SOME MILLED ASPHALT; THEY HAVE A LARGE MOTORCYCLE GROUP, CAR SHOWS, LARGE FISHING GROUPS, ETC. THEY ARE NOT ONLY INVOLVED WITH THE TDC; BUT, ARE LOOKING FOR A LOT OF DIFFERENT THINGS TO BRING IN. THEY HAVE THE RESOURCE; THEY HAVE A LARGE PIECE OF PROPERTY. THEY HAVE 9.75 GENERAL COMMERCIAL ACRES AND ARE VERY WELL ESTABLISHED. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS .7 OF A MILE WITH MILLED ASPHALT ON IT SO THEY CAN GET THESE HALF MILLION DOLLAR MOTORCOACHES IN AND OUT WITHOUT THEM BEING IN A MESS FROM THE ROADS.

HE REFERRED TO HIM KNOWING FEMA IS CONTROLLING THE BOAT RAMP DEVELOPMENT, ROAD DEVELOPMENT, MOTORGRADER PURCHASE, ETC; HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE BOYINGTON CUTOFF, WHICH IS ONE OF THE FEW ROADS THAT HASN'T BEEN MILLED LIKE IT NEEDS TO BE. HE TOLD THE BOARD HE AND HIS WIFE WAS GOING TO SEE FIT TO MAKE RIVER RUN RESORT GROW WITH OR

38-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 179

WITHOUT THE COUNTY'S HELP; WITH OR WITHOUT ANYTHING. IF PUSH COMES TO SHOVE, HE WILL EVENTUALLY GET ENOUGH MONEY AND PAVE THE ROAD HIMSELF BECAUSE HE IS A BUILDER AND DEVELOPER AND HE CAN GET IT DONE.

MR. WHITE REFERRED TO HIM HAVING APPROACHED THE BOARD SOME TIME AGO ABOUT THE NEED FOR THEM TO LOOK INTO PARTICIPATED PAVING, LEASH LAWS IN THE COUNTY, DOG ISSUES, LIVESTOCK ISSUES, ETC. HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY IS STARTING TO GROW AND THEY ARE GETTING DIVERSIFIED; THEY ARE STEPPING UP INTO THE WORLD AND COMING INTO THE 21ST CENTURY. HE SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE COUNTY CONTINUE TO GROW IN A PROSPEROUS WAY AS WELL AS IN AN ECONOMICAL WAY IN A SENSE THEY DON'T OVERRIDE THEIR NATURAL RESOURCES WHICH BAY COUNTY AND THE PANAMA CITY BEACH AREA WOULD LOVE TO MOVE THAT COUNTY LINE RIGHT ON UP TO HOLMES VALLEY ROAD. HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THERE ISN'T A BIG STATE MOVEMENT BEHIND THE DOORS TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN AND DCA IS A PART OF THAT DEAL. HE CAUTIONED THE BOARD NOT TO LET ST. JOE ARVIDA DICTATE WHAT IS GOING ON IN THE SW CORNER OF WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE CAN'T EVEN PUT UP A 50' TOWER ON HIS PROPERTY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY HE PAYS AN ASTRONOMICAL AMOUNT OF TAXES ON WITHOUT NOW GOING BEFORE THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD IN BAY COUNTY AND GOING TO THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY BOARD BECAUSE THAT CIRCLE SPLITS THE RIVER RUN PROPERTY OF THE APPROACH. RIVER RUN RESORT IS RIGHT DEAD IN LINE WITH THE 10,000' RUNWAY. HE SAID A LOT OF FOLKS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS NO IDEA THAT OVER 1200 SQUARE MILES OF WASHINGTON COUNTY'S PROPERTY IS UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PANAMA CITY BEACHES ST. JOE ARVIDA AIRPORT. HE AND COMMISSIONER BROCK HAS TALKED ABOUT THIS; THEY HAVE FOUGHT ABOUT IT. COMMISSIONER BROCK HAS SENT PEOPLE TO MEETINGS DOWN THERE. HE TOLD THE BOARD HE KNOWS THE BAY COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, THE PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE AND KNOWS WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO DO WITH THESE DEEP WATER WELLS. THESE WELLS WERE PERMITTED SOME TIME AGO; HE BROUGHT THIS TO THE COMMISSION SOME FIFTEEN YEARS AGO BAY COUNTY IS GOING TO CORNER THE WATER MARKET TO PANAMA CITY BEACH; WATER MANAGEMENT, ST. JOE ARVIDA WANTS TO DO ONE OF TWO THINGS. THEY WANT TO SELL

39-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 180

33,000,000 GALLONS OF WATER; THAT WATER IS COMING FROM BLUE LAKE WHICH IS THE LARGEST AQUIFER THAT FEEDS ALL THESE SPRINGS THAT IS 180' DEEP, THE LARGEST, DEEPEST NATURAL WATER SUPPLY IN NORTHWEST FLORIDA.

MR. WHITE REFERRED TO HIM HAVING DISCUSSED AT A MEETING A COUPLE OF MEETINGS AGO ABOUT 100 ACRES THAT SECEDED OUT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AND WENT OVER TO THAT WEST BAY SECTOR, WHICH IS NOW BAY COUNTY. HE DOESN'T THINK THAT HAS HAPPENED IN SIXTY YEARS PLUS; THAT OPENS A BIG DOOR WHEN IT COMES TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HE

minutes11162010.txt

ADDRESSED A LOT OF PEOPLE DON'T REALIZE HOW BIG OF A PICTURE THEY HAVE DOWN BELOW THEM COMING TOWARD THEM. THEY HAVE ONE OR TWO CHOICES; THEY CAN START STEPPING UP AND FIGHTING AS INDIVIDUALS AND CITIZENS ABOUT WHAT IS TAKING PLACE OR THEY CAN JUST ROLL OVER. NOW THAT HE IS PERSONALLY AFFECTED, HE HAS TO GO TO THEM TO ASK IF HE CAN PUT UP A 50' RADIO TOWER ON HIS PROPERTY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE REITERATED 1200 SQUARE MILES OF WASHINGTON COUNTY FALLS INTO THE WEST BAY AIRPORT SECTOR AND NOT ONE OF THE COMMISSIONERS CAN CHANGE ANYTHING ABOUT IT WITHOUT GOING TO THE BAY COUNTY COMMISSION AND THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID SOME OF THAT IS CONTROLLED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED ALL THE FLIGHT LINES ARE CONTROLLED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THE WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMISSION DIDN'T SIGN AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH BAY COUNTY AND THEY DON'T PLAN TO; THE COMMISSION NEEDS TO STILL HAVE SOME FLEXIBILITY DOWN THERE AND THEY DO.

MR. WHITE SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY NEEDS REPRESENTATION; THEY NEED SOMEBODY FROM THIS COUNTY SITTING ON THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY BOARD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID, BECAUSE WASHINGTON COUNTY COULDN'T GIVE THEM MONEY, WAS UNABLE TO GET REPRESENTATION ON THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY BOARD.

MR. WHITE STATED IT IS NOT ALWAYS MONEY THAT MOVES A BULL OUT

40-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 181

OF THE PIN. HE THEN READDRESSSED HIS REQUEST FOR MILLED ASPHALT FOR HIS ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHOSE DISTRICT MR. WHITE'S PROPERTY WAS IN. WHEN COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT WAS IN HIS DISTRICT, COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID RUMOR HAS IT BROCK HAS TONS OF ASPHALT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHO SAID THAT; HE DON'T HAVE NONE.

MR. WHITE ADDRESSED HIM NOT BEING IN A HURRY ABOUT THE MILLED ASPHALT AND DOESN'T EXPECT A DECISION TODAY. HE REITERATED HE AND HIS WIFE HAVE EVERY INTENTION OF MAKING THEIR BUSINESS GROW IN A PROSPEROUS, VERY GOOD WAY FOR THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED COULD THEY GET CLIFF TO COME UP WITH THE QUANTITY OF MILLED ASPHALT NEEDED FOR THE ROAD AND COME UP WITH A COST TO DO IT. HE SAID THE COUNTY WOULDN'T BE GETTING ANY MORE MILLED ASPHALT FROM THE STATE.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK THEY HAVE BEEN SHUT DOWN FROM FL-DOT AND HAVE BEEN FOR SEVERAL MONTHS.

MR. WHITE SAID IF THE BOARD DOES GET SOME MILLED ASPHALT, HE WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF THEY COULD HELP HIM OUT. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE STATE HAS TONS OF IT.

MR. WHITE ASKED THE BOARD IF THEY WOULD CONSIDER A PARTICIPATED PAVING WITH THE BOYINGTON ADVENTURES, LLC. AND HIMSELF.

THE BOARD AGREED THEY WOULD CONSIDER A PARTICIPATED PAVING. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE PLANNED ON BRINGING UP PARTICIPATED PAVING IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE.

SHARRON BAHR, SUNNY HILLS, UPDATED THE BOARD ON HER BEING AT THEIR MEETING LAST MONTH ASKING ABOUT THE MSBU SURVEY SHE HAD RECEIVED IN THE MAIL. THE BOARD HAD TOLD HER THEY COULDN'T DISCUSS IT UNTIL THEY RECEIVED THE SURVEY BACK; THE SURVEY WAS SUPPOSE TO BE BACK BY NOVEMBER 8TH TO THE PEOPLE IN VIRGINIA THEY HIRED AND

minutes11162010.txt

PAID \$17,000 TO DO THE SURVEY. UNFORTUNATELY, THEY ARE DEALING WITH A LOT OF PEOPLE UP NORTH AND IN SUNNY HILLS. SHE SAID SHE HAD FOUND OUT A LOT OF PEOPLE DIDN'T GET THAT SURVEY. SHE ASKED IF THIS WAS

41-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 182

A SELECTION THE BOARD DID OR A SELECT AREA THEY DECIDED TO SURVEY INSTEAD ALL OF SUNNY HILLS BECAUSE A LOT OF PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS GOT TWO OR THREE SURVEYS, SOME GOT ONE AND SOME GOT NONE. A LOT OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS, HOME OWNERS AND LAND OWNERS THAT DO NOT LIVE IN SUNNY HILLS, BUT OWN PROPERTY OR OWN A HOUSE THERE, NEVER GOT A SURVEY; PEOPLE IN NEW JERSEY, INDIANNA, NEW YORK. THAT IS ONLY A FEW SHE CONTACTED; NOBODY GOT A SURVEY. SHE ASKED WHY IS IT A SELECT GROUPING SEEMS TO GET THESE SURVEYS BEFORE THE BOARD CAN DO ANYTHING IN SUNNY HILLS.

MS. BAHR UPDATED THE BOARD ON AN INCIDENT THAT HAPPENED IN SUNNY HILLS A FEW WEEKS AGO WHERE THEY HAD SOME VANDALISM THAT MADE A MESS ON ONE OF THEIR MESSAGE BOARDS WHERE THEY PUT UP ALL THEIR PUBLIC NOTICES. THEY CALLED THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND BASICALLY THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WOULDN'T DO ANYTHING BECAUSE THEY COULDN'T PROVE THE GENTLEMAN THAT TAKES CARE OF THE SIGNS, WHO HAPPENS TO BE HER HUSBAND, DIDN'T DO THAT MESSAGE HIMSELF. SHE SAID SHE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE BOARD KNEW ABOUT THE MESSAGE; BUT, IT WAS SLANDEROUS TO A YOUNG LADY IN CHIPLEY HIGH SCHOOL. SHE SAW THE SIGN ON SUNDAY MORNING AND IMMEDIATELY WENT HOME AND GOT HER HUSBAND AND HE WENT DOWN AND TOOK THE SIGN DOWN. THEY HAVE PICTURES; BUT, WHAT REALLY BOTHERED HER WAS THE RESPONSE FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. ONE OF THE REASONS SHE BRINGS THIS UP IS BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE LIGHTING ON ELKCAM BOULEVARD WHERE THE SIGN WAS UP. THAT WAS ONE OF THE THINGS THE PEOPLE REQUESTED; THEY NEED MORE LIGHTING.

MS. BAHR REITERATED THE BOARD HAD TOLD HER THEY DIDN'T DO ANYTHING WITH THE MSBU MONEY BECAUSE THEY WERE WAITING FOR THE SURVEY TO COME BACK; BUT, IF THEY HAD HAD THE LIGHTING OR THE EXTRA LIGHTING OR THE EXTRA SERVICES THEY WERE SUPPOSE TO HAVE IN THE MSBU, MAYBE THE VANDALS WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO IT BECAUSE IT WAS DONE SOMETIME BETWEEN 6:00 P.M. THE NIGHT BEFORE AND 6:30 A.M. SHE ADDRESSED THERE HAVE BEEN OTHER INSTANCES AND REFERRED TO HER UNDERSTANDING THE SIGN AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER ON HIGHWAY 77 WAS MESSED

42-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 183

UP AND THE SIGN AT A CHURCH IN SUNNY HILLS WAS MESSED UP BECAUSE THERE ISN'T ENOUGH LIGHTING AVAILABLE SO PEOPLE WOULD NOTICE THIS WAS GOING ON.

MS. BAHR EXPLAINED THIS WAS VERY UPSETTING. SUNNY HILLS RESIDENTS PAY THE HIGHEST TAXES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND THEY GET THE LEAST AMOUNT OF REPRESENTATION. IT IS NOT THE FIRST TIME SHE HAS

BEEN UP HERE AND IT WON'T BE THE LAST; BUT, SHE IS REALLY GETTING TIRED OF ASKING THE SAME THING OVER AND OVER.

MS. BAHR SAID ROGER HAGAN, WHOM SHE MET THE LAST TIME SHE WAS AT THE BOARD MEETING, IS HEAD OF THE MSBU OR THE COORDINATOR OF THE MSBU, DOESN'T LIVE IN SUNNY HILLS. SHE HAS NEVER SEEN HIM OTHER THAN A COUPLE OF MEETINGS HER HUSBAND WENT TO IN SUNNY HILLS AND SHE HAS NEVER SEEN ANY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS IN SUNNY HILLS. MR. HAGAN IS ALSO MAYOR OF WAUSAU, ON THE FIRE BOARD, COUNTY EOC EMPLOYEE. MS. BAHR STATED SHE FELT HE WORE TOO MANY HATS TO BE A FAIR COORDINATOR FOR THE MSBU FOR SUNNY HILLS. SHE IS NOT THE ONLY ONE WITH THAT OPINION. SHE TOLD THE BOARD THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO BE REPRESENTING WASHINGTON COUNTY; THAT MEANS ALL OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AND NOT JUST VERNON, EBRO, GREENHEAD OR CHIPLEY. IT MEANS SUNNY HILLS TOO AND SHE DOESN'T SEE THEM DOING THAT. SHE SAID SHE WAS VERY DISAPPOINTED THAT SUPPOSEDLY SEVEN INTELLIGENT EDUCATED GENTLEMAN CAN NOT MAKE A REASONABLE DECISION ON HOW TO SPEND THE MSBU MONEY TO MAKE SUNNY HILLS BETTER. SHE CAN'T BELIEVE THEY CAN LOOK THEMSELVES IN THE MIRROR REASONABLY. SHE APOLOGIZED TO COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SINCE HE JUST JOINED THE BOARD. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAD BEEN IN SUNNY HILLS QUITE A BIT THE LAST EIGHT MONTHS WITH MS. BAHR AGREEING. MS. BAHR SAID SHE MEANS PRIOR. SHE ALSO APOLOGIZED TO ATTORNEY GOODMAN BECAUSE HE WAS ALSO NEW.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE COULD ANSWER PART OF HER QUESTIONS ABOUT MAILING. HE ADDRESSED HIM SENDING OUT 3,000 TO 5,000 MAILINGS AND GOT A LOT OF THEM BACK. HE TOLD MS. BAHR HE DIDN'T KNOW WHERE SHE WAS GETTING HER ADDRESSES; BUT, JUST BECAUSE THERE IS AN ADDRESS

43-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 184

DOESN'T MEAN THOSE FOLKS STILL LIVE THERE. MAIL IS NOT NECESSARILY THE MOST RELIABLE THING LIKE IT USE TO BE.

MS. BAHR SAID SHE IS AWARE OF THAT; BUT, SHE CAN'T BELIEVE SO MANY OF THE PEOPLE SHE TALKED TO DIDN'T RECEIVE A SURVEY OR A FEW OF THE PEOPLE GOT FOUR SURVEYS, SOME GOT ONE; BUT, TOO MANY GOT NONE. SHE TOLD THE BOARD THEY PAID THIS COMPANY TO DO THIS SURVEY AND YES SHE KNOWS PEOPLE MOVE AND PEOPLE SALE; BUT, ON THE CURRENT TAX ROLLS WHICH IS PUBLIC RECORD IN WASHINGTON COUNTY, SHE WROTE AND CALLED ON HER OWN AND ASKED PEOPLE DID THEY GET THE SURVEY, DID YOU HEAR FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY. SHE ALSO SENT THEM A COPY OF THE NEWS ARTICLES FROM THE WASHINGTON COUNTY PAPER AND THE NEWS HERALD IN BAY COUNTY THAT SHOWS THIS INFORMATION AND SOMETHING IS GOING ON. A LOT OF PEOPLE DOWN HERE AND UP NORTH HAVE NO IDEA AND IN FACT IT BOTHERS HER THIS MEETING ROOM SHOULD BE FILLED. SHE CAN'T BELIEVE THE PEOPLE IN THIS COUNTY DOESN'T CARE ENOUGH ABOUT THEIR COUNTY TO ATTEND THESE MEETINGS TO SEE WHAT THE COMMISSIONERS DO WEEK AFTER WEEK AFTER MONTH.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T WANT TO BE RUDE; BUT, THEY HAVE OTHER PEOPLE WHO WANT TO ADDRESS THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED TO SPEAK TO A COUPLE OF THINGS MS. BAHR HAD SAID. HE THOUGHT THERE HAD BEEN ABOUT 8,000 SURVEYS SENT OUT BASED ON THE COUNTY'S TAX ROLLS AND THE ADDRESSES THEY HAD; THAT IS THE ABSOLUTE BEST THEY CAN DO. IF THAT PERSON HAS MOVED.

MS. BAHR INTERRUPTED AND SAID A LOT OF THE PEOPLE HAVE NOT MOVED, AT LEAST THE ONES SHE TALKED TO. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE COULDN'T EXPLAIN WHY THEY DIDN'T GET A SURVEY; HE DIDN'T KNOW WHO MS. BAHR TALKED TO.

minutes11162010.txt

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REITERATED THEY HAD SENT OUT 8,000 SURVEYS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF ANYBODY KNEW HOW MANY SURVEYS THEY HAD GOTTEN BACK.

ROGER HAGAN SAID THEY HAD GOTTEN BACK AROUND 700 OR 800 SURVEYS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY ARE GETTING A PRETTY GOOD RETURN HE THINKS.

44-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 185

MS. BAHR QUESTIONED IF COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT 800 WAS A GOOD RETURN ON 8,000 SURVEYS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID BASICALLY HE DOES; LOOK AT SURVEYS AND SEE WHAT YOU NORMALLY GET BACK ON A SURVEY. YOU DON'T GET BACK MUCH; PEOPLE DON'T EVEN HARDLY LOOK AT THEM.

ROGER ADDRESSED THE BOARD ONLY HAD A GUARANTEE OF GETTING 400 SURVEYS BACK.

AS FAR AS SOME PEOPLE GETTING MULTIPLE SURVEYS, COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF THEY HAVE PROPERTY AND IT IS IN SOMEBODY ELSE'S NAME, THEY MIGHT GET TWO OR THREE SURVEYS. HE HAD SOME CALLS FROM PEOPLE WHO SAID THEY RECEIVED THREE SURVEYS AND WHEN HE QUESTIONED THEM IF THEY OWNED MORE THAN ONE PIECE OF PROPERTY, THEY TOLD HIM HIS WIFE AND SISTER OWNED A PIECE OF PROPERTY. THEY ALL CAME TO THE SAME ADDRESS AND THAT IS WHY SOMEBODY MIGHT GET THREE SURVEYS.

AS FAR AS THE VANDALS, COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT COULD HAVE BEEN DONE DURING THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT; NOBODY KNOWS WHEN IT WAS DONE. THEY COULD GO DOWN THERE AND PUT LIGHTS ON EVERY STREET; BUT, IT WOULD TAKE THEM A LONG TIME TO DO THAT AND THEY MAY AT SOME POINT IN TIME.

MS. BAHR EXPLAINED SHE DIDN'T WANT LIGHTS ON EVERY STREET; BUT, SHE WANTS SOME LIGHTS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID UNTIL THEY GET SOME INFORMATION FROM THIS SURVEY AND KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THE COMMUNITY AND PROPERTY OWNERS WANT, HE THINKS THEY WILL BE SPINNING THEIR WHEELS TRYING TO SPEND THE MONEY. THEY HAVEN'T SPENT THE MSBU MONEY; IT IS SITTING IN BOARD FINANCE. THEY ARE SPENDING SOME THINGS ON MAINTENANCE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID, AS FAR AS THE HIGHEST TAXES, SUNNY HILLS DOESN'T PAY THE HIGHEST TAXES IN THE COUNTY; THEY PAY A PRETTY GOOD PERCENTAGE OF TAXES IN THE COUNTY. HOWEVER, THERE ARE OTHER PLACES THAT PAY HIGHER TAXES THAN SUNNY HILLS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE BOARD IS DOING SOME THINGS IN SUNNY HILLS THAT ARE NOT MSBU RELATED; THEY HAVE CREWS IN THERE

45-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 186

WORKING, DOING THINGS ON A DAILY BASIS. HE CAN'T SAY THEY ARE NEGLECTING SUNNY HILLS. HE WOULD DISAGREE WITH MS. BAHR ON THAT IF THAT IS HER OPINION.

minutes11162010.txt

MS. BAHR SAID THAT IS HER OPINION FROM WHAT SHE HAS SEEN. SHE HAS BEEN BEFORE THE BOARD ASKING ABOUT THINGS THAT HAVE HAPPENED AND THINGS THAT WERE GOING ON THAT WEREN'T BEING DONE. SHE KNOWS COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE MONEY IS SITTING THERE WHEN THE BOARD DECIDES TO DO IT; HOPEFULLY, WITH THESE SURVEYS, THEY WILL DO THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHEN THE RESULTS FROM THE SURVEY WOULD BE READY.

ROGER SAID THEY SHOULD HAVE IT BY THEIR DECEMBER MEETING THROUGH THE CONTRACT; THEY ENDED LAST WEEK. HOWEVER, TALLYING THE SURVEYS AND MAKING THEIR PRESENTATION WILL EITHER BE THE DECEMBER OR JANUARY MEETING. SINCE THE BOARD MOVED UP THEIR DECEMBER MEETING, IT MAY BE THE JANUARY MEETING.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR ROGER TO PUSH THE FIRM TO TRY AND GET THEM TO TRY AND HAVE THE RESULTS FROM THE SURVEY BY THE DECEMBER BOARD MEETING.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID IT WAS NOT LIKE THE FIRM WAS HAVING TO COUNT 8,000 VOTES.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A FIVE MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, DALE BURNETT, 4413 LEISURE LAKES DRIVE, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING HE WAS ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AT LEISURE LAKES AND HAS BROUGHT ALONG MS. MCCRARY AND MR. IVIS FROM THE BOARD FROM LEISURE LAKES. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING AN ISSUE WITH DOGS. THEY HAVE HAD THEM IN THE PAST; BUT, THEY HAVE ONE REAL BAD ISSUE RIGHT NOW THAT HAS BEEN GOING ON SINCE PROBABLY FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR AND BASICALLY IT IS A WOMAN THAT HAS FIVE DOGS, WORKS ALL DAY, THE DOGS ARE SEMI-VICIOUS, A COUPLE OF THEM VICIOUS, SHE LOCKS THEM ON A 6' X 6' PORCH IN THE BACK OF HER HOME AND ALLOWS THE OTHER THREE DOGS TO GO LOOSE AND SHE IS OFF ON HER LOGGING TRUCK AT 4:00 A.M. AND IS BACK AT 5:00 P.M. TO 6:00 P.M. SHE IS ON THE

46-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 187

LAKE, HE IS ON THE LAKE; BUT, THE PERSON THAT IS MOST AFFECTED IS MS. PARDI WHO IS ALSO PRESENT TODAY AND IS ON THE LAKE ALSO. BASICALLY, THE BARKING OF THESE DOGS, MS. PARDI CAN'T EVEN GO OUT IN HER YARD; SHE CAN'T PLANT A BUSH IN HER YARD WITHOUT THE DOGS RAISING CANE. SHE CAN'T TALK TO ANYBODY IN HER DRIVEWAY, SHE CAN'T GO DOWN TO THE DOCK ON THE LAKE, SHE CAN'T SLEEP, SHE CAN'T CONCENTRATE ON PROJECTS INSIDE HER HOUSE; IT SOUNDS LIKE A DOG POUND OVER THERE. HE HAS READ THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCE AND MS. PARDI HAS SEVERAL EYE WITNESS ACCOUNTS OF THE DOGS WHICH BASICALLY ALL SAY THE SAME THING THAT THESE DOGS NEED TO BE DEALT WITH. IN READING THE COUNTY ORDINANCE, MR. BURNETT SAID IT SEEMS TO HAVE ALL THE COMPONENTS NECESSARY TO ENFORCE; BUT, FOR SOME REASON, THEY ARE UNABLE TO GET ANYTHING DONE. HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THIS IS BECAUSE THE ORDINANCE DOESN'T HAVE ANY TEETH IN IT AND REFERRED TO DAVID CORBIN HAVING TOLD HIM THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO DO ANYTHING.

MR. BURNETT EXPLAINED THEY LIVE IN A VERY PEACEFUL NEIGHBORHOOD AND YOU CAN'T GO OUT INTO YOUR BACKYARD AND RELAX WITHOUT LISTENING TO THESE DOGS; WHEN THEY BARK, IT REVERBERATES ACCROSS THE WHOLE ENTIRE LAKE. IT IS LIKE THEY ARE ON YOUR BACK DOORSTEP. HE SAID THEY HAVE HAD THE POLICE OUT THERE ABOUT TWENTY TIMES ON THIS ONE INDIVIDUAL. THEY HAVE HAD ANIMAL CONTROL OUT THERE THREE OR FOUR TIMES AND THIS IS JUST IN THIS INDIVIDUAL CASE; THEY HAVE HAD OTHER INCIDENTS BUT THIS HAS BECOME VERY SERIOUS. IT HAS GOTTEN TO THE

minutes11162010.txt

POINT IT IS RUINING THE QUALITY OF THE LIFE ON THE LAKE. HE ASKED THE BOARD, WITH AN ORDINANCE IN PLACE THAT STIPULATES AN ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER CAN ISSUE CITATIONS, FINES, TAKE THEM TO COURT, ETC., WHY NONE OF THESE THINGS ARE GETTING DONE.

MR. BURNETT ADVISED THEY HAD THEIR OWN SET OF COVENANTS WITHIN LEISURE LAKES THAT DEALS WITH DOGS. BASICALLY, THEY HAVE TO BE ON LEASH, VOICE COMMAND OR IN SOME SORT OF CONFINED AREA. THE RESIDENTS HAVE CITED THIS LADY ON THIS INCIDENT ALONG WITH SEVERAL OTHER INCIDENTS, SHE HAS GROWN SOME MARAJUANA INSIDE HER PLACE, ETC. AND

47-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 188

HE FEELS THAT IS WHY SHE NEEDS FIVE DOGS. THE RESIDENTS VIOLATED HER THROUGH THE LEISURE LAKE COVENANTS AND ALSO THESE OTHER CHARGES TO THE TUNE OF \$850 WORTH OF FINES; THEY TOOK HER TO SMALL CLAIMS COURT. SMALL CLAIMS COURT PUT THEM WITH A MEDIATOR; THEY HAD AN AGREEMENT WITH THE MEDIATOR AND IN THE AGREEMENT IT SAID IF THIS WOMAN VIOLATED ANY FURTHER COVENANTS OF LEISURE LAKES OR IF SHE DID NOT CONTROL HER ANIMALS, SHE WOULD GO DIRECTLY BACK TO COURT. SHE VIOLATED THE DAY AFTER AND EVER DAY SINCE; THEY WENT BACK TO THE COURT AND THE COURT SAID THEY COULDN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT BARKING DOGS. HE ADDRESSED IN THE MEDIATED AGREEMENT, THE LADY AGREED TO ABIDE BY THE COVENANTS AND CONTROL HER ANIMALS. SHE HASN'T DONE THIS SINCE THE DATE OF THIS AGREEMENT WHICH HAS PROBABLY BEEN A MONTH AND A HALF AGO. HE THINKS WHAT HE IS ASKING IS FOR THE BOARD TO CONSIDER GIVING THEIR ANIMAL CONTROL PEOPLE THE AUTHORITY TO DO THEIR JOBS. IN THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCE, HE REITERATED IT STATES ANIMAL CONTROL CAN WRITE TICKETS, CITATIONS, FINES UP TO A CERTAIN AMOUNT. AFTER TWENTY CALLS TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, THREE OR FOUR CALLS TO ANIMAL CONTROL, TAKING THE LADY TO COURT, MEDIATING AN AGREEMENT, THEY STILL DON'T HAVE A SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF LEISURE LAKE WAS A GATED COMMUNITY WITH DEED RESTRICTIONS. MR. BURNETT SAID IT WAS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCE SUPERCEDES THE LEISURE LAKES DEED RESTRICTIONS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD HE CAN'T SPEAK TO THIS AS HE HAS NOT LOOKED AT THE COUNTY ORDINANCE; HOWEVER, HE WILL LOOK INTO IT AND HAVE THEM AN ANSWER. HE DID TELL THE BOARD THE COURT IS SOMEWHAT LIMITED TO WHAT THEY CAN AND CAN'T DO. A MEDIATED AGREEMENT IS GREAT, AND HE IS A CERTIFIED MEDIATOR; BUT, UNLESS THE COURT GIVES IT SOME TEETH IF SOMEONE BREACHES AN AGREEMENT, JUST LIKE IF YOU BREACH ANY AGREEMENT YOU HAVE TO GO TO THE COURT FOR RECOURSE, AND THAT IS WHAT HE GUESSED MR. BURNETT AND THE OTHER RESIDENTS HAS ASKED, AND HE GUESSED IT WOULD BE THE COUNTY JUDGE IN THIS CASE,

48-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 189

minutes11162010.txt

WOULD BE LIMITED IN WHAT HE COULD DO. ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED TO CHECK TO SEE WHAT THE BOARD HAS IN THE COUNTY ORDINANCE, THE TEETH IT MAY HAVE AND THE OPTIONS IT MAY GIVE TO GET THE ISSUE RESOLVED.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO HIM SITTING ON THE ANIMAL CONTROL BOARD AND THEY DO HAVE TEETH IN THE ORDINANCE AND THEY DO HAVE PEOPLE COMING BEFORE THE BOARD. THE PROBLEM IS MR. BURNETT IS IN A GATED COMMUNITY AND JUST LIKE SUNNY HILLS, THEY ARE IN DEED RESTRICTIONS AND THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS DOWN THERE THE BOARD CAN'T DO BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT ALLOWED TO ENFORCE THOSE.

MR. BURNETT SAID JUST RECENTLY THEY ENTERED INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO DO TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT IN THEIR COMMUNITY. THE PROBLEM THEY HAD WAS SPEEDING CARS; THE RESIDENTS CAN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT BECAUSE THEY CAN'T SAY SOMEONE IS GOING 45MPH, 55MPH OR 65MPH BUT THE POLICE CAN. BASICALLY THE POLICE COULDN'T DO IT EITHER AND HE DIDN'T KNOW THAT UNTIL JUST RECENTLY. IF A POLICEMAN PULLS SOMEBODY OVER IN THE LEISURE LAKES COMMUNITY, THEY COULD TELL THE POLICEMAN TO TAKE A LEAP; SO THE LEISURE LAKES BOARD ENTERED INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRAFFIC ENFORCEMENT. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYWAY THEY COULD ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH ANIMAL CONTROL FOR ENFORCEMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING, AND COMMISSIONER PATE HAS DEALT WITH THIS BEFORE, IS IF THERE ARE DEED RESTRICTIONS, THEIR RIGHTS AS A HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATIONS TRUMPS THE COUNTY'S RIGHTS FROM THEIR UNIT. OBVIOUSLY THE LEISURE LAKES BOARD, AND HE IS SURE IT IS IN THEIR HOMEOWNERS POLICY OR THE CONSTITUTION OF THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, HAS THE RIGHT TO SUPERCEDE AND GIVE THE COUNTY COMMISSION THE POWER, IF THEY DON'T HAVE IT ALREADY. IT IS LIKE WHAT MR. BURNETT TALKED ABOUT WITH THE SPEEDING, THE LEISURE LAKES BOARD PROBABLY PASSED A RESOLUTION TO GO FORWARD WITH IT AND GAVE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT PERMISSION TO DO IT. IF THE LEISURE LAKES DEED RESTRICTIONS SUPERCEDES THE COUNTY COMMISSION, ATTORNEY GOODMAN

49-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 190

SAID HIS RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO GO THROUGH THEIR BOARD AND GIVE THE COUNTY COMMISSION THE POWER TO ACT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TEETH IN WHATEVER ORDINANCE THEY HAVE.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED MR. BURNETT TO GIVE ATTORNEY GOODMAN THE CHANCE TO RESEARCH THIS; IF THAT IS THE CASE, MR. BURNETT AND THE LEISURE LAKES BOARD CAN TAKE THAT OVER.

MR. BURNETT ASKED WHEN WOULD BE THE TIME TO MEET. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD HAVE A BETTER IDEA ON THE ISSUE BY THE DECEMBER 16TH MEETING.

MS. PARDI ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING SHE IS THE PERSON THAT LIVES NEXT DOOR TO THIS LADY THAT HAS THESE DOGS. SHE EXPLAINED THERE WERE THREE DOGS THAT AREN'T VICIOUS OUT IN THE YARD AND THERE ARE TWO VICIOUS DOGS CONTAINED IN THE BACK YARD. THIS HAS MADE HER LIFE UNBEARABLE. THEY EXPLAINED IT TO HER THE OTHER DAY THE REASON NO ONE COULD AFFECTIVELY TAKE ACTION ON THIS IS BECAUSE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DOESN'T NECESSARILY HAVE THE AUTHORITY NOR DOES ANIMAL CONTROL. BUT, THE LADY HAS A FENCED IN YARD; BASED UPON THE ORDINANCE THAT IS IN PLACE RIGHT NOW, SHE HAS A FENCED YARD AND SHE HAS LOCKS ON EITHER SIDE SO NO ONE IS EFFECTIVELY ABLE TO MAKE CONTACT WITH HER. SHE ADDRESSED HER HAVING THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND ANIMAL CONTROL

minutes11162010.txt

OUT AND NO ONE HAS ACTUALLY SPOKEN TO THE LADY AND MADE CONTACT IN PERSON. THE LADY JUST TOTALLY DISREGARDS EVERYTHING THAT HAS BEEN DONE TO DATE. THIS HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR AN EXTREMELY LONG TIME.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED HE HAD SPOKEN TO THE SHERIFF ABOUT THE DOG ISSUE THIS MORNING AND HE SENT AN EMAIL BACK SAYING THIS LADY HAS A COURT DATE DECEMBER 8TH REFERENCING BARKING DOGS.

MR. BURNETT SAID HE THOUGHT THAT WAS THE COURT DATE HE CHECKED INTO; HE WILL CHECK INTO THIS FURTHER.

MS. PARDI THOUGHT THERE WERE TWO DATES; DECEMBER 8TH AND POSSIBLY DECEMBER 15TH.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REQUESTED MR. BURNETT AND MS. PARDI LET ATTORNEY GOODMAN LOOK AT THE ORDINANCE TO SEE WHAT THE BOARD CAN

50-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 191

DO. HE AGREES SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE DONE. THE FINE MECHANISM IS UNDER DANGEROUS DOGS OR DANGEROUS CATS.

MS. PARDI SAID THIS DOESN'T NECESSARILY ACCOMPLISH ANYTHING BECAUSE THE LADY DISREGARDS THEM AS WELL.

DAVID CORBIN ADDRESSED THE BOARD TO LET THEM KNOW THE COUNTY'S POSITION. THEY DON'T HAVE A LIMIT OF DOGS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY; IN THEIR COMMUNITY, THEY CAN DRAW THAT UP AND WE CAN ABIDE BY IT. IT SUPERCEDES THE COUNTY THERE IN THAT DEED RESTRICTION. HE TOLD THE BOARD TO BEAR IN MIND THEY STARTED OUT WITH TWO PARAGRAPHS AND NOW THEY ARE UP TO TWO PAGES. THE PREVIOUS BOARD WOULDN'T ALLOW ANIMAL CONTROL TO WRITE TICKETS. HE WENT TO THE SHERIFF AND THEY TRIED TO WRITE THE LADY IN QUESTION A TICKET AND COULDN'T GET HER THERE. DAVID SAID THEY COULDN'T GO INSIDE A LOCKED GATE WITHOUT THEY ARE IN PURSUIT. FOR A VICIOUS DOG, THEY HAVE TO PROVE THAT DOG IS VICIOUS. THE DOG HAS TO DO SOMETHING BEFORE HE IS A VICIOUS OR DANGEROUS DOG. HE EXPLAINED THEY GO THROUGH DANGEROUS DOG CASES ALL THE TIME.

DAVID SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE BOARD LOOK AT THIS ORDINANCE. HE AND MR. PITTS HAVE TWO PIECES OF PAPER TO GO BY AND HE THINKS THERE NEEDS TO BE MORE DIRECTION FROM THE BOARD TO EMPOWER ANIMAL CONTROL TO DO THE ORDINANCE. HE REALLY THINKS IF IT IS IN THE ORDINANCE TO ISSUE A TICKET AND WHERE THIS ALL COME IN AT WAS CODE ENFORCEMENT WANTED TO ISSUE TICKETS TOO ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO AND THE BOARD DIDN'T WANT TO DO IT. THAT DOESN'T NEED TO BE IN THAT ORDINANCE; ATTORNEY GOODMAN NEEDS TO PRESENT IT TO THE BOARD TO TAKE THAT OUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHAT HE IS HEARING DAVID SAY IS THE TEETH IS IN THE ORDINANCE; BUT, ANIMAL CONTROL HAS NO EMPOWERMENT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED THE ORDINANCE NEEDS A LITTLE BIT OF WORK.

DAVID EXPLAINED IF ANIMAL CONTROL GOES OUT THERE AND WRITES A TICKET, THERE IS A SHORTFALL ON THAT TOO. THEM SAME PEOPLE THAT GOT A TICKET IS GOING TO BE IN FRONT OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS SAYING WHY DID HE WRITE THEM A TICKET. THE BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE

51-BCC

TO BITE THE BULLET AND EITHER STAND OR TAKE IT OUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED HIM TO DO SOME RE-SEARCH ON WHAT SOME OTHER SIMILAR SITUATED COUNTIES ARE DOING WITH THEIR ORDINANCES COMPARED TO WASHINGTON COUNTY'S ORDINANCE AND REPORT BACK HIS FINDINGS TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE FELT THERE WERE SOME THINGS THAT COULD BE ADDED TO THE ORDINANCE TO GIVE IT MORE TEETH AND GIVE ANIMAL CONTROL THE AUTHORITY TO WRITE A CITATION AND IT STICKS NO MATTER IF IT IS IN A GATED COMMUNITY OR ANYWHERE IN THE COUNTY. IF THERE IS A MALICIOUS DOG AND IT IS BEHIND THE FENCE, LIKE DAVID SAID, THEY HAVE TO PROVE IT IS A MALICIOUS DOG. THESE DOGS ARE AGGRESSIVE; BUT, THE LADY KEEPS THEM ON THE BACKPORCH AND THEY BARK AT 4:30 IN THE MORNING. NOBODY WANTS TO WAKE UP TO A BARKING DOG AT 4:30 A.M.

MR. BURNETT AND MS. PARDI SAID EVERY MORNING THEY ARE AWAKENED AT THAT TIME BY THE BARKING DOGS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE MAIN THING THE BOARD NEEDS TO ESTABLISH IS IF THAT IS A GATED COMMUNITY, WHAT CAN THEY DO. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY NEED TO ESTABLISH HOW DOES IT LIMIT THEIR RIGHTS AND IF IT DOES LIMIT THEIR RIGHTS, WHAT ACTION DOES THE LEISURE LAKES BOARD NEED TO TAKE SO THE COUNTY COMMISSION CAN FULFILL THEIR RIGHTS AND GIVE THE ORDINANCE SOME TEETH. GOODMAN SAID HE IS ALSO HEARING THERE IS TEETH TO THE ORDINANCE; BUT, THERE IS NO BITE TO IT. HE AGREES WITH COMMISSIONER HOWELL ABOUT REWORDING IT AND DOING THINGS; BUT, UNLESS THEY GIVE ANIMAL CONTROL THE BROAD ABILITY TO ENFORCE IT WHY ARE THEY LOOKING AT WHAT THEY HAVE IF THEY CAN'T USE WHAT THEY HAVE ALREADY.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN. HE SAID IT IS AMAZING SINCE HE CAME ON THE BOARD FOUR YEARS AGO AT THE THINGS THAT ARE IN THEIR ORDINANCES THEY DO NOT DO BECAUSE THEY COME BACK AND SAY THEY ARE NOT GOING TO ENFORCE IT, ETC. HE SAID HE HAD SOME IDEAS ON THE DOG ISSUE AT LEISURE LAKES; BUT, BECAUSE HE IS ON THE ANIMAL CONTROL BOARD, HE WILL NOT SPEAK TO IT.

TIFFANY CRAFT, 2366 PINWOOD DRIVE, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING SHE HAD BEEN A RESIDENT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR THIRTEEN YEARS. SHE ALSO WANTED TO TALK ABOUT ANIMAL CONTROL AND THE ORDINANCES THAT ARE IN PLACE. SHE EXPLAINED SHE IS ON THE BACK SIDE OF WHY THEY SHOULD BE ENFORCED RIGHT NOW. WITH THE QUESTION IN THE TEETH, ETC., SHE BROUGHT WITH HER THE MARCH 24, 2005 MINUTES WHERE THE BOARD HAD VOTED TO ACTUALLY ENFORCE THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS TO ACTUALLY BE ABLE TO ISSUE THE CITATIONS. SHE ALSO BROUGHT THE ORDINANCES. SHE SAID ATTORNEY HOLLEY WAS RECOMMENDING ANIMAL CONTROL BE ABLE TO ISSUE CITATIONS, ETC. ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS SAID THE POLICE OFFICER OR THE SHERIFF OFFICER SHOULD BE ABLE TO ISSUE IT. MR. CORBIN AGREED WITH THAT; BUT, THE END RESULT WAS THE BOARD AGREED WITH ATTORNEY HOLLEY'S RECOMMENDATION TO PUT IN THE ORDINANCE ANIMAL CONTROL COULD ISSUE THE CITATIONS.

MS. CRAFT STATED IT AFFECTS HER AND GAVE THE BOARD SOME BACKGROUND. ON AUGUST 30, 2010 HER TWO BLACK LABS WERE IMPOUNDED BY ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER WILLIAM WAGES. ON SEPTEMBER 3RD SHE HAD A

minutes11162010.txt

MEETING WITH DAVID CORBIN TO ASK WHY HER DOGS WERE IMPOUNDED AND WHAT SHE NEEDED TO DO TO GET THEM BACK. MR. CORBIN ADVISED HER, SINCE HER DOGS WERE LOOSE AND HAVE BEEN DEEMED DANGEROUS BY THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD, ANIMAL CONTROL HAD THE AUTHORITY TO IMPOUND HER DOGS AND HOLD THEM UNTIL HER COURT APPEAL WAS COMPLETE. SHE ASKED MR. CORBIN WHICH STATUTE OR ORDINANCE GAVE THEM AUTHORITY TO HOLD HER DOGS UNTIL THE APPEAL PROCESS WAS COMPLETE. MR. JOHN RONEY READ FLORIDA STATUTE 767.13 WHICH DESCRIBES THE CONFISCATION OF DANGEROUS DOGS THAT HAVE ATTACKED A SECOND TIME OR HAVE BEEN ORDERED TO BE DESTROYED. SHE TRIED TO EXPLAIN FLORIDA STATUTE 767.12 WOULD BE MORE APPROPRIATE FOR HER SITUATION SINCE HER DOGS DIDN'T ATTACK OR GO AFTER ANYONE. FLORIDA STATUTES 767 DESCRIBES THE PENALTY, IN HER CASE WOULD BE A NON CRIMINAL INFRACTION WITH A FINE NOT EXCEEDING \$500. MR. CORBIN ADVISED ANIMAL CONTROL IS NOT AUTHORIZED TO IMPOSE A FINE OR ISSUE A CITATION. SHE ASKED MR. CORBIN TO PUT IN WRITING AS TO WHY HER

53-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 194

DOGS WERE IMPOUNDED AND MR. CORBIN REFUSED. SHE ASKED MR. CORBIN IF THERE WAS A COUNTY ORDINANCE IMPOSING A LEASH LAW IN THE SURROUNDING AREAS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR WHICH HE RESPONDED HE WAS UNAWARE OF ANY. MR. CORBIN HAD CALLED THE CITY MANAGER, MR. EMORY PITTS, TO THEIR MEETING AND MR. PITTS WAS UNAWARE OF ANY SUCH ORDINANCE ALSO. SHE ASKED THEM TO PROVIDE HER A COPY OF THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE WHICH THEY DID. ALSO, ON AUGUST 30TH MR. WAGES ADVISED HER THAT HER THIRD LAB WAS UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR BEING A DANGEROUS DOG. WHEN I ASKED HIM WHY, HE STATED THAT HER DOG HAD AGGRESSIVELY CHARGED AT HER NEIGHBOR TWICE. IT WAS LATER DETERMINED IN THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD HEARING THAT MR. WAGES WAS IN CONTROL OF THE DOG BOTH TIMES DURING THE ALLEGED CHARGE. MR. WAGES ALSO ADMITTED HE HAD CALLED HER DOG OVER TO HER NEIGHBOR'S PROPERTY FROM HER PROPERTY AND CONFISCATED ONE OF HER BLACK LABS. MR. WAGES LATER CAME TO HER HOUSE, CONFISCATED HER OTHER BLACK LAB. MR. WAGES DIDN'T CONFISCATE HER CHOCOLATE LAB; HE DIDN'T ISSUE A CITATION AND IMPOUNDED TWO BLACK LABS. HER CHOCOLATE LAB WAS ALSO NOT DEEMED DANGEROUS BY THE BOARD.

MS. CRAFT SAID ON OCTOBER 9TH SHE RECEIVED A LETTER FROM JUDGE PEEL DENYING HER MOTION FOR REHEARING. ON NOVEMBER 1ST SHE WENT TO THE ANIMAL SHELTER TO FIND OUT HOW TO GET HER DOGS BACK. SHE WAS INFORMED SINCE THE DOGS WERE ON LEGAL HOLD, SHE WOULD NEED TO SPEAK TO ANIMAL CONTROL. SHE SPOKE WITH MR. RONEY AND TRIED TO WORK OUT A COMPROMISE FOR THE RELEASE OF HER DOGS. MR. RONEY STATED HE WOULD SPEAK TO HIS SUPERVISOR AND GET BACK TO HER. HE ALSO STATED HER DOGS WERE NOT ELIGIBLE FOR RELEASE UNTIL NOVEMBER 10TH DUE TO THE TIME LIMIT OF FILING AN APPEAL. SHE SPOKE WITH MR. RONEY ON NOVEMBER 4TH AND HE ADVISED ANIMAL CONTROL WAS UNABLE TO REACH A COMPROMISE AND SHE MUST PAY A \$1,200 IMPOUNDMENT FEE TO GET HER DOGS BACK. SHE ASKED FOR A BREAKDOWN OF THE BILL. MR. RONEY STATED THE IMPOUND FEE WAS \$50 EVERY FIVE DAYS. THEY HAD BEEN RE-UPPED TWELVE TIMES SINCE AUGUST 30TH; THEREFORE, IT WAS \$600 PER DOG FOR A TOTAL OF \$1,200. ANIMAL CONTROL IS ENFORCING AN IMPOUND FEE INSTEAD OF A BOARDING FEE. ON

54-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 195

NOVEMBER 9TH SHE INFORMED MR. RONEY SHE DIDN'T HAVE \$1,200 AND ASKED IF A PAYMENT PLAN COULD BE ARRANGED. MR. RONEY ADVISED HE DIDN'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO OFFER THAT OPTION AND SHE SHOULD SPEAK TO MR. PITTS. SHE SPOKE WITH MR. PITTS' SECRETARY SINCE HE WAS UNAVAILABLE AND SHE ADVISED HER THAT MR. PITTS DIDN'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY EITHER AND SHE MUST ADDRESS THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS, WHICH BRINGS HER HERE TODAY. SHE HAS SEVERAL REQUESTS:

1. THIS BOARD REQUIRE ANIMAL CONTROL TO ABIDE BY AND ENFORCE THE ORDINANCES THAT WERE IMPOSED ON MARCH 24, 2005 STATING ANIMAL CONTROL WILL HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO ISSUE CITATIONS IN THE SURROUNDING AREAS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY PURSUANT TO SECTION 1228F.
2. THE ENACTED LEASH LAW BE PUBLICIZED AND ABIDED BY ALL CITIZENS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY PURSUANT TO SECTION 1226 AND SECTION 1230.
3. ANIMAL CONTROL HAS MORE TRAINING IN HANDLING OF DANGEROUS DOG CASES; CURRENTLY ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS DO NOT DETERMINE THE DOGS TO BE DANGEROUS. INSTEAD THEY ISSUE AN INITIAL DETERMINATION AND IF OPPOSED BY THE OWNER, REQUIRE THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD TO DEEM THE DOGS DANGEROUS. THEY ALSO ARE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN SWORN STATEMENTS AND SWORN AFFIDAVITS FROM THE ACCUSERS WHO WISH TO HAVE A DOG DEEMED DANGEROUS PURSUANT TO FS 767.12 AND SECTION 1272-1. IN NEITHER OF HER DANGEROUS DOG CASES DID THE ACCUSOR PROVIDE A SWORN AFFIDAVIT REQUESTING HER DOGS BE DANGEROUS.
4. ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS BECOME NOTARIES IN ORDER TO OBTAIN THE NECESSARY SWORN STATEMENT.
5. ANIMAL CONTROL FOLLOW THE APPROPRIATE FLORIDA STATUTES THAT ALLOW DOG OWNERS TO RETAIN CUSTODY OF THEIR ANIMALS IF THE OWNER CHOOSES TO APPEAL.
6. ONLY ONE IMPOUND FEE PER DOG BE IMPOSED DUE TO ANIMAL CONTROL ONLY IMPOUNDING THEM ONCE AND ANY BOARDING FEES BE WAIVED DUE TO ANIMAL CONTROL NOT FOLLOWING STATE LAWS IN COUNTY ORDINANCES.
7. IF THIS BOARD DEEMS THE IMPOUNDMENT FEE OF \$1,200 IS VALID

55-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 196

THROUGH THE FLORIDA STATUTES OR COUNTY ORDINANCE, SHE IS OFFERED AN AFFORDABLE PAYMENT PLAN AS AT THIS TIME HER FAMILY ONLY HAS ONE INCOME.

MS. CRAFT SAID IN CONCLUSION, SHE IS A LAW ABIDING CITIZEN THAT IS WILLING TO BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR HER ACTIONS. ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS ALSO HAVE LAWS AND ETHICS THEY MUST FOLLOW. WHEN ANIMAL CONTROL DIDN'T ISSUE HER A CITATION OR WRITTEN CAUSE FOR CONFISCATION, THEY DENIED HER LEGAL RIGHT TO CONTEST AND ARE FORCING HER TO INCUR UNREASONABLE EXPENSES. UNTIL SHE DID HER RESEARCH, SHE WAS UNAWARE THERE WAS A LEASH LAW IN HER AREA. ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS AND MR. CORBIN WHEN ASKED DIRECTLY STATES TO THE PUBLIC THERE IS NOT A LEASH LAW IN THE COUNTY AND ANIMALS ARE ALLOWED TO ROAM FREE. SHE WOULD NOT BE IN THIS SITUATION HAD SHE KNOWN THERE WAS A LEASH LAW.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WOULD LIKE A LITTLE MORE DETAILS AND

ASKED WHY WERE THE DOGS CONSIDERED DANGEROUS.

MR. PITTS TOLD THE BOARD HE WAS A LITTLE CONCERNED BECAUSE OF THE THREATS IN THE PAST OF THIS BEING A LEGAL BATTLE AND HE WOULD LIKE TO SAY ALL THEY NEED TO ADDRESS IN THIS IS WHETHER OR NOT MS. CRAFT PAYS THE \$1,200 OR WHETHER OR NOT THEY GIVE HER A PAYMENT PLAN. THE DOGS WERE DETERMINED TO BE DANGEROUS DOGS THROUGH THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD AND MS. CRAFT COULD HAVE RETRIEVED THOSE DOGS AT ANY TIME; INSTEAD, SHE CHOSE TO APPEAL THE CASE. SHE COULD HAVE RETRIEVED THOSE DOGS AND TAKEN THE DOGS UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES TO WHERE SHE MAINTAINED THE DOGS WITH A MUZZLE IF THEY WERE OUTSIDE, KEPT THEM IN A PEN AND THE COUNTY KEPT THE DOGS WHILE SHE APPEALED THE CASE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF MS. CRAFT WAS AWARE THAT SHE COULD KEEP THE DOGS. MR. PITTS SAID MS. CRAFT WAS AWARE OF THAT; SHE WAS TOLD AT THE DANGEROUS DOG HEARING WHAT IT WOULD TAKE FOR HER TO GET HER DOGS BACK.

MS. CRAFT WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT. SHE STATED BEFORE THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD HEARING, SHE KEPT HER DOGS. SHE HAD KEPT HER DOGS SINCE THE MARCH 8TH HEARING UNTIL AUGUST 30TH WITH NO ISSUES. ON

56-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 197

AUGUST 30TH SHE WAS THEN REFUSED TO GET HER DOGS. SHE WENT THERE ON SEPTEMBER 3RD TO GET HER DOGS OUT, PAY THE IMPOUND FEE AND TAKE CARE OF THEM. SINCE SHE WAS IN A COURT APPEAL PROCESS, THEY DETERMINED THEY WERE GOING TO KEEP HER DOGS UNTIL THEY GOT A LETTER FROM THE JUDGE EITHER APPROVING HER OBJECTION TO THE DANGEROUS DOG OR DENYING IT. SHE DIDN'T HAVE A CHOICE FROM AUGUST 30TH UNTIL TODAY TO GET HER DOGS BACK.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THAT CAME ABOUT BECAUSE THE DOGS WERE RELEASED THE FIRST TIME UNDER THE DANGEROUS DOG RULES AND THE DOGS WERE TAKEN AGAIN RUNNING AT LARGE.

MS. CRAFT SAID ACCORDING TO THAT, AND THE STATUTE THEY QUOTED, SHE BELIEVES THE BOARD CAN AGREE WITH THIS, THAT WOULD BE IF HER DOGS HAD ATTACKED AGAIN, HAD BITTEN SOMEONE OR HAD DONE ANYTHING ELSE. THE STATUTE .12 SHE IS REFERRING TO IS IF HER DOGS WERE TO GET OUT, HER RESPONSIBILITIES ARE TO NOTIFY ANIMAL CONTROL HER DOGS WERE OUT AND THEY COULD IMPOSE A FINE UP TO \$500. IT DOES NOT, IN THAT PARTICULAR STATUTE STATE THAT HER DOGS BE CONFINED IN AN ANIMAL SHELTER UNTIL ALL COURT HEARINGS ARE DONE. .13, IF HER DOGS DID AGGRESSIVELY ATTACK, BITE, OR ANYTHING ELSE, HER DOGS WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO STAY IN THE SHELTER UNTIL THE WHOLE PROCESS WAS COMPLETE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THERE WERE TWO THINGS THAT STRIKE HIM; FIRST, THE FACT THERE IS A PARALLEL COURT PROCESS GOING ON REGARDING THIS MATTER LIMITS WHAT HE FEELS THEY SHOULD TALK ABOUT HERE AND HOW MUCH FACT FINDING THEY SHOULD GET INTO. OBVIOUSLY, THERE IS A LOT OF HERESAY AND SHE SAID THIS AND I SAID THAT AND HE RESPECTS THAT AND APPRECIATES WHAT SHE IS SAYING AND HE DOESN'T MIND HER COMING AND PRESENTING IT. BECAUSE IT IS A SPECIAL ITEM BROUGHT BY THE PUBLIC, HE IS NOT PREPARED TODAY TO SIT HERE WITHOUT KNOWING OTHER THAN WHAT HE HAS HEARD TO GIVE ADVICE TO THE BOARD OR TO SITE STATUTES OR THE HISTORY OR CASE LAW PRECEDENT BEHIND THE STATUTES. BUT, THE FACT THAT JUDGE PEEL HAS ENTERED AN ORDER AND THERE IS A SEPARATE LITIGATION GOING ON OR AN INJUNCTIVE PROCESS GOING ON, HE, AT THE VERY BEST,

57-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 198

WOULD STAY UNTIL SOME FURTHER INVESTIGATION CAN GO ON ABOUT WHERE THE COUNTY IS AND MAYBE THE DIRECTION THE BOARD OUGHT TO OR OUGHT NOT TO MOVE IN RESPECT TO MS. CRAFT'S REQUEST.

MS. CRAFT SAID SHE HAS CHOSEN JUST TO LET THE COURT APPEAL DROP. SHE WILL ACCEPT THE DANGEROUS DOG EVEN THOUGH SHE DISAGREES WITH IT. AS FAR AS SHE IS CONCERNED THE COURT PROCESS IS NOW COMPLETE. SHE WANTS HER DOGS BACK. FROM WHAT SHE HAS BEEN TOLD, IF SHE DON'T PROVIDE THEM WITH THE \$1,200, THEN THEY ARE GOING TO GO TO THE COURT AND HAVE THE DOGS PUT TO SLEEP. THAT CAN'T HAPPEN.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED MS. CRAFT IF SHE HAS THAT IN WRITING. MS. CRAFT SAID SHE DOESN'T HAVE THAT IN WRITING AND CAN'T HAVE IT IN WRITING BECAUSE MR. PITTS HAS TOLD ANIMAL CONTROL THEY CAN'T CREATE DOCUMENTS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS GOING TO REFRAIN FROM MAKING ANY MORE COMMENTS OR ASKIG ANY MORE QUESTIONS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED FOR THE BOARD TO STAY WHAT THEY ARE DOING UNTIL SOME FURTHER INVESTIGATION CAN BE DONE BECAUSE HE DOESN'T THINK IT IS PRUDENT WITH WHAT THEY HAVE HEARD JUST HERE TODAY WITHOUT ANYTHING MORE TO DO SOMETHING IN RESPONSE OTHER THAN STAY AND DON'T AFFECTUATE POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE IN ANY DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND BROCK SAID THEY WANTED TO MAKE SURE MS. CRAFT'S DOGS DON'T GET KILLED. ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED THAT IS PART OF THE STAY HE IS TALKING ABOUT.

DAVID TOLD THEM THERE ARE STATE LAWS. MS. CRAFT HAS TO GET A MICROCHIP.

MS. CRAFT SAID SHE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM DOING THAT SINCE SHE HAS SAID THAT. SHE HAD A TIME LINE TO APPEAL, THIS THAT AND THE OTHER AND SHE CHOSE TO APPEAL. NOW THAT SHE IS SAYING SHE WILL GO AHEAD AND ACCEPT THE DANGEROUS DOG, SHE WILL HAVE THEM MICROCHIPPED AND SHE WILL FOLLOW THE LAWS SHE IS IMPOSED TO FOLLOW.

DAVID SAID SHE WAS JUST ASKING FOR A PAYMENT PLAN. ATTORNEY GOODMAN QUESTIONED MS. CRAFT IF SHE WAS ASKING FOR A PAYMENT PLAN.

58-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 199

MS. CRAFT SAID ACTUALLY SHE IS ASKING FIRST OF ALL HER DOGS WERE TAKEN OFF THE PROPERTY ILLEGALLY; THERE IS A LOT OF THINGS SHE IS ASKING FOR. IF THE BOARD FINDS THAT SHE OWES THE \$1,200, WHICH SHE DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW SHE DOES, HOW YOU CAN BE CHARGED AN IMPOUNDMENT FEE EVERY FIVE DAYS WHILE ANIMAL CONTROL HAS THEM, SHE DON'T THINK IT IS FAIR OR JUST. THE COUNTY SHOULD HAVE AN IMPOUNDMENT FEE AND A BOARDING FEE. MR. ANDERSON HAS A CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY THAT SAYS FOR EVER SO MANY DOGS THEY HAVE, THEY HAVE TO PAY \$3,500; ANY OVERAGE, THEY WOULD HAVE TO PAY \$37.50 A MONTH. SHE IS ASKING FOR THE OVERAGE FOR THE IMPOUNDMENT FEE. SHE FEELS LIKE SHE HAS BEEN RAILROADED IN THIS CASE AND ALL SHE WANTS IS SHE WANTS HER DOGS

BACK, SHE WANTS IT FAIR AND WANT TO COME TO HAVE ANIMAL CONTROL TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO WHAT THEY ARE LEGALLY ENTITLED TO DO FROM FLORIDA LAWS AND FROM THE COUNTY ORDINANCES. SHE JUST WANTS IT FAIR. IF SHE HAS TO FOLLOW A LEASH LAW; THEN, EVERYONE SHOULD FOLLOW THE LEASH LAW AND EVERYONE SHOULD BE MADE PUBLIC THERE IS A LEASH LAW.

SEVERAL OF THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS SAID THEY WERE NOT AWARE THERE WAS A LEASH LAW.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED WHERE MS. CRAFT LIVED. MS. CRAFT ADVISED SHE LIVED IN GRASSY POND HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, WHICH IS NOT A GATED COMMUNITY.

MS. CRAFT SHOWED THE BOARD THEIR ORDINANCE AND WHERE THE LEASH LAW WAS. SHE SAID IT HAS RESTRAINTS AND IT ALSO SAYS THIS CHAPTER IS APPLICABLE TO ALL UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AND THAT INCLUDES HER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID GRASSY POND HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION IS A PRIVATE COMMUNITY. MS. CRAFT AGREED IT WAS A PRIVATE COMMUNITY AND THEY HAVE RESTRICTIONS; BUT, THEY DON'T HAVE ANY RESTRICTIONS ON DOGS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS NOT AWARE THE COUNTY HAD A LEASH LAW. MS. CRAFT SAID SHE WANTED TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC THERE IS A

59-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 200

LEASH LAW. SHE SAID THE COUNTY COMMISSION TALKS ABOUT MONEY, ETC.; IF ANIMAL CONTROL WAS ABLE TO ISSUE THESE CITATIONS AND THINGS LIKE THAT, IT WOULD GENERATE MONEY FOR THEM. YES PEOPLE HAVE THE RIGHT TO OBJECT TO THE CITATIONS; BUT, THERE IS GUIDELINES IN THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCES THAT STATE THEY HAVE TO GO TO COURT TO APPEAL THEM. NOT TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS; IT IS COURT. EVERYTHING THAT ANIMAL CONTROL DOES IS LEGALLY BINDING. SHE REITERATED AGAIN SHE WANTS HER DOGS BACK AND WANTS THINGS DONE RIGHT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD MS. CRAFT HE UNDERSTANDS AND THE BOARD IS GOING TO WORK WITH HER. COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD MS. CRAFT HE WANTED HER TO HAVE HER DOGS BACK TOO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS GOING ON THE BOARD NEEDS TO WORK OUT AND THEY WILL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE IS NOT SAYING MS. CRAFT IS RIGHT OR SHE IS WRONG AND IF THE BOARD IS PRIVY TO DO SOMETHING WITH THE PAYMENT PLAN TODAY, THAT IS THE BOARD'S DISCRETION TO DO THAT SOMEWHAT. BUT, HE DON'T WANT TO MAKE A FACT FINDING DETERMINATION BASED ON WHAT HE IS HEARING TODAY IN A PUBLIC COMMENT THAT IS GOING TO BE BINDING ON THE BOARD. IF IT IS A PAYMENT PLAN THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT, THAT IS ONE THING; BUT, AS FAR AS THE FACT FINDING LEGAL DETERMINATION REGARDING STATUTE AND THE INTERPLAY WITH ANIMAL CONTROL, THAT IS NOT SOMETHING HE WOULD ADVISE THEY DO TODAY.

MS. CRAFT AGREED WITH WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN IS SAYING AND RESPECTS THAT 100%. THE ONLY OTHER THING SHE REQUESTS IS IF HE DETERMINES SHE CAN HAVE A PAYMENT PLAN, WHEN THE BOARD REMEETS SHE WOULD LIKE THEM TO RECONSIDER ANY REFUND OF MONIES IF THEY CONSIDER SHE WAS CORRECT IN HER ARGUMENT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WAS NOT SURE THE BOARD COULD DO THAT.

MS. CRAFT EXPLAINED WHAT SHE IS SAYING, IF THE BOARD DETERMINES ANIMAL CONTROL DID WRONG BY IMPOUNDING HER DOGS FOR THIS LENGTH OF

TIME, SHE DOES NOT REALLY OWE THE \$1,200 AND SHE WOULD BE REFUNDED

60-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 201

ANY AMOUNT SHE HAD DONE PAID.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SUGGESTED THE BOARD LET ATTORNEY GOODMAN RESEARCH THIS TO SEE WHAT THEY CAN COME UP WITH TO SETTLE THIS ISSUE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE NO EDUCATION ABOUT THIS; THIS IS THE FIRST TIME HE HAS HEARD ABOUT IT. HE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF IT IS A VICIOUS DOG. DID THE DOG BITE SOMEBODY.

MS. CRAFT SAID HER DOGS NEVER BIT ANYONE; HER DOGS STAY IN HER YARD. SHE TOLD THE BOARD OF AN INCIDENT WHERE A GIRL WAS RIDING A HORSE BY HER HOUSE, HER DOGS WENT UP AND BARKED AT THE HORSE, THE GIRL FELL OFF, THE HORSE RAN OFF AND HER DOGS STAYED WITH THE GIRL AND DID NOT APPROACH HER. THE BOARD FOUND HER DOGS TO NOT BE AGGRESSIVE; BUT, DEEMED DANGEROUS BECAUSE THEY ENDANGERED SOMEONE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE BOARD DIDN'T NEED TO GET INTO THIS; BUT, AGREED THEY WOULD WORK WITH MS. CRAFT ON THIS. HE THANKED MS. CRAFT FOR COMING.

MS. CRAFT ASKED WHEN WOULD SHE FIND OUT SOMETHING. COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD HER BY THE NEXT BOARD MEETING IN DECEMBER; BUT, SOMEBODY WOULD BE IN TOUCH WITH HER IN THE MEAN TIME.

MS. CRAFT ASKED IF SHE WOULDN'T GET HER DOGS BACK UNTIL NEXT MONTH. COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD HER HE DIDN'T KNOW. MS. CRAFT ASKED IF SHE COULD REQUEST A STAY OF THE \$1200 RIGHT NOW BECAUSE EVERY FIVE DAYS, THEY ARE PUTTING A \$50 IMPOUNDMENT FEE ON HER.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE BOARD COULD EVEN AGREE TO PUT A STAY ON THE \$1200. HE REITERATED TO LET THE BOARD WORK THROUGH THIS FOR A COUPLE OF DAYS AND SOMEBODY WOULD BE IN TOUCH WITH HER.

MS. CRAFT PROVIDED HER PHONE NUMBER WHICH WAS 850-571-8401. SHE TOLD ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF HE NEEDED ANY CASE FILE INFORMATION OR ANY CASE FILES FROM OTHER COUNTIES THAT GOES ALONG WITH WHAT SHE IS SAYING SHE WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW REQUESTED MS. CRAFT PROVIDE HER A COPY OF

61-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 202

THE LETTER SHE HAD READ INTO THE MINUTES.

JAMES HAYES, 2737 DALLAS ROAD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING A GENTLEMAN HAD APPROACHED THEM ABOUT DOGS AT LEISURE LAKES EARLIER TODAY; YOU DON'T HAVE THE TEETH TO DO NOTHING. HE GOT NO ANSWER. YOUR SAME ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS GOES ACROSS THE ROAD TO GRASSY POND, WHICH IS A GATED COMMUNITY; THEY ARE CONTRACTED WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND IT IS JUST LIKE LEISURE LAKES. THE BOARD IS DISCUSSING WHAT THEY HAVE ALREADY DONE; BUT, THEY TELL THE

GENTLEMAN YOU CAN'T DO IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE STATED HE HAD BACKED OUT OF THIS ONE RIGHT HERE. MR. HAYES TOLD THE BOARD THEY WERE PLAYING WITH DUAL STANDARDS.

COUNTY ATTORNEY-JEFF GOODMAN'S REPORT:

1. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING MOST OF THE OUTSTANDING COUNTY FILES EITHER FROM MR. PITTS AND/OR MR. HOLLEY. HE HAS A FEW MORE TO GET FROM MR. HOLLEY.

2. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE RAILSPUR PROJECT. HE HAD SPOKEN WITH DANIEL NUNN OUT OF JACKSONVILLE LAST WEEK, WHO REPRESENTS TCC. YESTERDAY, HE HAD GOT PDF A SIGNED COPY WHAT HE WILL CALL THE VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION LETTER SO THEY ARE SETTING FORTH WITH THAT. HE IS GOING TO GET WITH THE COUNTY ENGINEER AND GO INSPECT THE SITE. HE EXPLAINED THERE IS AN ISSUE WITH THE OUTSTANDING TEN ACRES THAT TCC IS ASKING FOR IN LIEU OF THE 2006 OPTION AGREEMENT. HE THINKS HE OUGHT TO TAKE CARE OF THIS IMMEDIATELY BECAUSE HE DON'T WANT THERE TO BE ANY HANGUPS WITH THEM STARTING VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION AND THE COUNTY GETTING THEIR GRANT FROM THE STATE, WHICH IS GOING TO BE CRITICAL IN GETTING THIS THING DONE. HE HAS TALKED WITH TED EVERETT SEVERAL HOURS THIS PAST WEEK ON GETTING A STATUS UPDATE WHERE WE ARE. HE HAS ANOTHER CONFERENCE CALL WITH DANIEL NUNN THIS AFTERNOON TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE SATISFIED AND THE BOARD IS SATISFIED WITH THE CURRENT AGREEMENTS ON THE TABLE AND AM GOING FORWARD WITH THAT.

62-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 203

3. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING SOME LAWSUITS HE NEEDS TO RESPOND TO; THEY WERE PUT ON THE BACK BURNER TOWARD SEPTEMBER AND OCTOBER. HE HAS REQUESTED AND GOTTEN AN EXTENSION TO ALL OUTSTANDING LITIGATION THE BOARD NEEDS TO RESPOND TO AT THIS TIME. HE HAS WORKED WITH AND THROUGH THE ATTORNEYS TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE SATISFIED WITH WHAT THE BOARD IS DOING; THEY WILL TAKE THAT DOWN THE LITIGATION TRACT AND KEEP THE COUNTY COMMISSION ABREAST OF WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THOSE CASES.

COUNTY MANAGER, MR. EMORY PITTS, REPORT:

A. MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON ROGER HAGAN HAVING TALKED WITH RANDALL WEEKLY ABOUT THE COUNTY BEING ABLE TO USE WATER FROM HIS PRIVATE WELL NEXT DOOR TO THE SUNNY HILLS FIRE STATION. MR. WEEKLY HAS AGREED TO ALLOW THE COUNTY TO USE WATER STRICTLY FOR THE BATHROOMS, KITCHEN AND OUTSIDE FAUCETS UNTIL THE COUNTY CAN PUT DOWN A WELL OR ACQUIRE COMMERCIAL WATER FROM AQUA UTILITIES. HE SAID THERE WAS NO TIME LIMIT ON IT AND THERE IS NO CHARGE TO THE COUNTY FOR THE WATER. MR. PITTS REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION FOR THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT WITH MR. WEEKLY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL TO AUTHORIZE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE WATER USAGE AGREEMENT WITH RANDALL WEEKLY FOR THE NEW SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED, BY ENTERING INTO THIS AGREEMENT WITH WEEKLY FOR WATER, IF THEY WOULD GET INTO ANY ISSUES ABOUT PUBLIC BUILDINGS, WATER SUPPLIES, ETC.

MR. PITTS SAID HE DIDN'T BELIEVE THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED A PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE WATER WOULD HAVE TO BE CHLORINATED. MR. PITTS REITERATED HE DIDN'T THINK IT QUALIFIES AS

A PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY. HE TOLD THE BOARD THEY ARE GOING TO PURSUE GETTING WATER FROM AQUA WATER SUPPLY FIRST; IF THAT DOESN'T WORK, THEY WILL PUT A WELL IN.

63-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 204

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY TO SIGN THE WATER USAGE AGREEMENT WITH RANDALL WEEKLY.

B. MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON A NOTICE OF TWO ROAD CLOSURES FROM FL-DOT; THE BRIDGE ON DUNCAN COMMUNITY ROAD OVER FLAT CREEK AND RIVER ROAD OVER GUM CREEK WILL BE LET ON JANUARY 2013. HE REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION FOR HIM TO BE ABLE TO SIGN BOTH AGREEMENTS TO CLOSE THE ROADS DURING THE CONSTRUCTION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE ROAD CLOSURES REQUESTED BY MR. PITTS.

C. MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON AN INVOICE FROM LIFE MANAGEMENT CENTER FOR \$23,412.57 FOR BAKER ACT CHARGES. DUE TO IT BEING ABOVE HIS SIGNING LIMIT, MR. PITTS ASKED FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PAY THE INVOICE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF PAYING THE INVOICE FROM LIFE MANAGEMENT CENTER FOR \$23,412.57.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THEY BAKER ACTED SOMEBODY AND PUT THEM AWAY AND ARE NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR THE BILL. HE ASKED IS THAT NOT SOMETHING THEY WOULD HAVE INSURANCE FOR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AND STRICKLAND TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IT IS FLORIDA STATUTE THE COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE TO PAY THE BILLS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE BOARD PAYS THESE INVOICES ALL THE TIME.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS AWARE THEY HAD BEEN PAYING INVOICES TO LIFE MANAGEMENT CENTER AND HE HAS CRINGED AT A LOT OF THEM. HE QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS INSURANCE THAT COULD BE PURCHASED TO PAY THESE INVOICES.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID SHE DIDN'T KNOW IF THERE WAS INSURANCE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED THEN IF THE BOARD DIDN'T HAVE A CHOICE BUT TO PAY THE INVOICE. HE WAS TOLD IT WAS COURT ORDERED.

64-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 205

D. MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON A CATERPILLAR PAN THAT WAS PURCHASED TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO WHEN THEY WERE DOING ROLLING PINES ROAD. THE PAN HAS NOT SEEN A LOT OF USE BY THE COUNTY SINCE ROLLING PINES WAS FINISHED. THEY HAVE ESTIMATED THE PAN'S VALUE AT BETWEEN \$75,000 AND \$100,000. HE REQUESTED PERMISSION, IF THE BOARD HAS NO INTENTION OF GETTING IN THE ROAD BUILDING BUSINESS AGAIN, TO ADVERTISE THAT PIECE OF EQUIPMENT FOR SALE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE FOR MR. PITTS TO COME

BACK AND ASK THIS QUESTION WHEN THE ROAD GRADERS COME BACK UP. MAYBE THEY CAN DO A PACKAGE DEAL. HE ADDRESSED THE NEED FOR THE COUNTY TO DO A REAL EVALUATION OF THE EQUIPMENT THEY HAVE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY DIDN'T USE THE PAN ON RIVER ROAD. MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THE COUNTY DIDN'T USE THE PAN ON RIVER ROAD; BUT, THE CONTRACTOR DID. THE CONTRACTOR HAS OFFERED TO PURCHASE IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED THE BOARD TO BE PRUDENT BEFORE THEY DECIDE TO SELL THE PAN BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME ROADS THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH THEMSELVES. HE SAID SINGER ROAD IS ONE OF THEM AND THE PAN MAY COME IN HANDY TO HAVE IT THERE. HE WANTS TO EVALUATE THIS MORE.

MR. PITTS ADDRESSED HIS CONCERN THEY ARE ALLOWING C. W. ROBERTS TO USE THE PAN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY NEED TO STOP THE CONTRACTOR FROM USING THE PAN. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHY WAS THE CONTRACTOR USING THE PAN AND WHAT WAS HE USING IT ON.

MR. PITTS SAID THE CONTRACTOR WAS USING THE PAN ON BONNETT POND ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THOUGHT THEY OUGHT TO PARK THE PAN AND QUESTIONED IF THE CONTRACTOR WAS PAYING RENT ON THE PAN. MR. PITTS STATED "NO." THE CONTRACTOR HAD DONE SOME INKIND SWAPPING ON RIVER ROAD FOR SOME WORK THE COUNTY WAS SUPPOSE TO HAVE DONE; THE CONTRACTOR ALSO HAS LET THE COUNTY USE SOME OF THEIR EQUIPMENT. IT

65-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 206

IS NOT WHERE THEY LET THE CONTRACTOR USE THE COUNTY EQUIPMENT; THEY GOT AN EXCHANGE FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THEY OUGHT TO BE CAREFUL ABOUT THAT.

MR. PITTS AGREED HE DIDN'T THINK THE COUNTY OUGHT TO CONTINUE TO ALLOW THE CONTRACTOR TO USE THE PAN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID UNLESS THE CONTRACTOR IS GOING TO RENT THE EQUIPMENT AND BE RESPONSIBLE FOR IT; HE THOUGHT THAT OUGHT TO BE NEGOTIATED AND SEE WHAT IS BEST FOR THE COUNTY. THE COUNTY DON'T WANT THE CONTRACTOR TO GIVE THEM THE EQUIPMENT BACK BROKE EITHER.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHY DIDN'T THEY JUST STOP THIS. THEY HAVE ALREADY GOTTEN PUBLIC WORKS LOOKING AT SURPLUS EQUIPMENT AT ROAD AND BRIDGE AND REQUESTED THEY PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH A LIST.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A PROFESSIONAL EVALUATION ON ALL THE EQUIPMENT. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY FIRST HAD TO DETERMINE WHAT IS SURPLUS AND LOOK AT IT.

MR. PITTS SAID WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING AT NOW IS EQUIPMENT THEY HAVE NOT USED FOR QUITE SOME TIME.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REFERRED TO THERE BEING A BULLDOZER AT PUBLIC WORKS THAT WAS JUST SITTING DOWN THRE THAT HAD RUST ALL OVER IT.

MR. PITTS AGREED THEY HAD THE BULLDOZER, RUBBER TIRED EXCAVATORS AND SEVERAL PIECES THAT ARE EITHER NOT BEING USED OR BEING USED VERY RARELY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED WHEN YOU NEED THAT BULLDOZER, YOU NEED IT; WHEN YOU NEED THAT ROLLER, YOU NEED IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE ROLLER COULD BE USED EVERY DAY IF THEY WOULD JUST DO IT. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT IS NOT THE BOARD'S

JOB; THEY ARE GOING TO FIX THAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE THOUGHT WHEN THE COUNTY HAD A LOT OF MONEY, THEY SPENT A LOT OF IT ON EQUIPMENT TO BUILD ROLLING PINES ROAD, LIKE ANOTHER DOZER, BIG PAN, ETC. AND AFTER THAT ROAD WAS

66-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 207

FINISHED, IT HAS BASICALLY RUSTED AND HAS BEEN JUST SITTING OUT THERE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE KUMATZU AND ONE OF THE BULLDOZERS WAS BOUGHT ABOUT THAT SAME TIME; THEY ARE THE ONLY EQUIPMENT THAT IS BEING USED VERY MUCH.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REITERATED IF THEY HAD SOMEBODY AT PUBLIC WORKS IN CHARGE, THEY WOULD TAKE CARE OF THAT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY WAS GOING TO TALK ABOUT THAT NOW.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHEN THE COUNTY MANAGER GETS THROUGH WITH HIS REPORT.

E. COUNTY MANAGER PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON COMMISSIONER HOWELL HAVING PRESENTED HIM A SET OF DRAWINGS ON THE FOUR LANING OF HIGHWAY 79 FROM THE CYPRESS CREEK BRIDGE TO THE HOLMES COUNTY LINE. HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO ENTER INTO A RIGHT-OF-WAY AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY AGREEING TO TAKE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY FL-DOT PURCHASES AND IMPROVES. HE EXPLAINED THIS GOES BACK IN SEVERAL HUNDRED FEET INTO THE COUNTY'S INTERSECTING ROADS; JOHNSON ROAD, UNION HILL, CLAYTON ROAD, LEAVINS ROAD, DOUGLAS FERRY ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE STATE IS BASICALLY TURNING THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OVER TO THE COUNTY FOR MAINTENANCE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE STATE IS ACTUALLY PURCHASING THE RIGHT-OF-WAY FROM THE PROPERTY OWNERS DOWN THE SIDE ROADS; THEY ARE BUYING FROM THE PROPERTY OWNER, WIDENING THE ROAD, PUTTING IN DITCHES AND PAVING IT BACK THERE ABOUT 250'; ESPECIALLY ON UNION HILL HE THINKS IT WILL GO JUST ABOUT TO THE TOP OF THAT HILL.

MR. PITTS ADDRESSED THE COUNTY WOULD BE ACCEPTING ALL THE RIGHT-OF-WAY THE STATE WOULD BE PURCHASING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED ALL THIS DOES IS ALLOW THE STATE TO BUY THE RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE COUNTY'S NAME AND THEY WANT HAVE TO TRANSFER IT TO THE COUNTY. THE COUNTY WILL AGREE TO MAINTAIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY ONCE THE STATE BUYS IT FOR THEM.

MR. PITTS REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION FOR HE AND THE ATTORNEY TO BE ABLE TO SIGN THE AGREEMENT WITH FL-DOT WITH THE COUNTY AGREEING

67-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 208

TO MAINTAIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY THE STATE PURCHASES IN THE COUNTY'S NAME WHEN THE FOUR LANING OF HIGHWAY 79 FROM CYPRESS CREEK BRIDGE TO THE HOLMES COUNTY LINE IS DONE. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF MR. PITTS' REQUEST.

minutes11162010.txt

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED ABOUT THE COUNTY ROADS ON HIGHWAY 79 COMING OUT OF EBRO WHEN THE STATE DOES THE FOURLANING THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE BOARD DID THESE SOME TIME AGO AND ASKED IF COMMISSIONER BROCK IS TALKING ABOUT WHERE FL-DOT IS CONSTRUCTING NOW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS TALKING ABOUT THE NEXT PHASE OF THE FOUR LANING OF HIGHWAY 79 FROM EBRO UP TO REEDY BRANCH.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T KNOW IF FL-DOT HAS BROUGHT THEM BEFORE THE BOARD YET. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE AGREEMENTS FOR THESE ROADS WOULD BE COMING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL MENTIONED THE COUNTY GETS SETS OF PLANS AT THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE FROM FL-DOT ON THESE PROJECTS ALL THE TIME; THEY ARE ON DISPLAY ALL THE TIME AND HE ENCOURAGED THE BOARD TO LOOK AT THESE PLANS. THE BOARD WILL GET A LETTER EACH TIME THERE IS A PHASE SUBMITTAL ON THESE PROJECTS TELLING THEM THE PLANS FOR THE PROJECT IS IN MR. PITTS' OFFICE AND THEY CAN GO AND REVIEW THE PLANS TO SEE WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE PROJECT. HE ADDRESSED HIM BEING SURPRISED THE STATE IS DOING SO MUCH FOR THE COUNTY ON THOSE SIDE ROADS. HE SAID USUALLY THE STATE ONLY DOES THE TURN OUTS AND THE RADIUSES.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE WAS PRETTY SURE THAT NEXT SECTION HE WAS TALKING ABOUT HAD ALREADY BEEN DONE; THEY HAVE DONE TWO SECTIONS ON HIGHWAY 79 SINCE HE HAS BEEN ON THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REPORTED THAT SECTION FROM STRICKLAND ROAD TO REEDY BRANCH HAS BEEN MOVED UP; IT IS GOING TO GO TO CONSTRUCTION NEXT MARCH OR APRIL. THE PLANS ARE DONE AND IT IS A DONE DEAL; THE BOARD NEEDS TO SEE THOSE PLANS TO MAKE SURE THOSE COUNTY ROADS ARE

68-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 209

IN THERE.

F. MR. PITTS REPORTED ON THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES NOT RECEIVING A RAISE IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS AND ASKED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER DOING A ONE TIME INCENTIVE PAY TO THE EMPLOYEES. IN TALKING WITH THE SHERIFF AND THE OTHER ELECTED OFFICIALS IN THE COUNTY, HE THOUGHT MOST OF THEM WOULD BE ABLE TO GIVE THEIR EMPLOYEES THE INCENTIVE PAY; THERE IS AT LEAST ONE THAT WILL NEED SOME HELP WITH FUNDING TO BE ABLE TO DO IT. HE SAID THEY WERE GOING TO GET BACK IN EXCESS OF \$200,000 FROM MS. CAROL GRIFFIN'S OFFICE AND MS. MCENTYRE'S OFFICE AND REQUESTED HE BE ABLE TO USE \$50,000 OF THAT TO GO TOWARDS A \$300 NET ONE TIME INCENTIVE FOR THE EMPLOYEES. THE COST WILL BE APPROXIMATELY \$325 PER EMPLOYEE. THIS WOULD BE FOR ALL THE EMPLOYEES UNDER THE BOARD TO GET THE INCENTIVE PAY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHAT THE SHERIFF WAS GOING TO GIVE HIS EMPLOYEES. MR. PITTS SAID IT WOULD BE THE SAME \$300 NET ONE TIME INCENTIVE PAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS CORRECT THE SHERIFF WOULD BE PAYING THE INCENTIVE PAY FOR HIS EMPLOYEES.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THE SHERIFF HAD TOLD HIM HE HAD THOUGHT HE WOULD BE ABLE TO TURN BACK \$10,000 TO THE BOARD; BUT, IF HE GIVES HIS EMPLOYEES THE INCENTIVE PAY, HE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO RETURN THAT MONEY. PITTS TOLD THE BOARD THE MONEY IS NOT IN BOARD FINANCE AT THIS TIME; THEY WOULD PROBABLY HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL SOME TIME IN DECEMBER TO ACTUALLY WRITE THE CHECKS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THIS IS AN INCENTIVE TO THE EMPLOYEES

minutes11162010.txt

WHETHER IT IS DONE IN DECEMBER, MARCH OR APRIL, ETC; HE CERTAINLY DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM DOING THAT. COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE \$300 INCENTIVE PAY PER EMPLOYEE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF A \$300 NET INCENTIVE PAY FOR ALL EMPLOYEES UNDER THE COUNTY.

69-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 210

CLERK LINDA COOK REQUESTED APPROVAL OF A BUDGET AMENDMENT SHE HAD PROVIDED THEM FOR UNEMPLOYMENT EXPENSES.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF A BUDGET AMENDMENT REQUESTED BY CLERK COOK FOR UNEMPLOYMENT EXPENSES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO ADDRESS WITH THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL PRAISED DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW FOR THE GREAT JOB OF TAKING CARE OF EVERYTHING EVERYDAY DURING THE VALUE ADJUSTMENT BOARD PROCESS, THE ANNOUNCEMENTS IN THE PAPER, ETC. HE IS AWARE SHE DOES THIS EVERY YEAR AND THAT IS PART OF HER JOB; BUT, HE WANTED TO SAY SHE DONE AN IMPECCABLE JOB. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW THANKED COMMISSIONER HOWELL FOR HIS COMMENTS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION FOR WHAT DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW DOES AND APPRECIATES HER OPINION AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL UPDATED THE BOARD ON A LETTER FROM FRIENDS OF FALLING WATERS REQUESTING THE BOARD ASSIST THEM WITH A LETTER OF SUPPORT ON A GRANT THEY ARE APPLYING FOR TO DO AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY. HE EXPLAINED THIS WOULD BE A GOOD THING FOR THE BOARD TO DO AS THERE IS A LOT OF HISTORY AT THE FALLING WATERS PARK.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO AUTHORIZE MR. PITTS TO WRITE A LETTER FOR THE FRIENDS OF FALLING WATERS IN SUPPORT OF THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY GRANT APPLICATION.

CLERK COOK INFORMED THE BOARD SHE HAD ALSO RECEIVED A LETTER ASKING FOR A LETTER OF SUPPORT AND SHE HAS ALREADY RESPONDED. SHE AGREES WITH COMMISSIONER HOWELL THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY WOULD BE A GOOD THING.

70-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 211

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM, OVER THE PAST EIGHT MONTHS, HAVING HEARD THE WORD OF A PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR BROUGHT UP SEVERAL TIMES, THE AFFORDABILITY, WHETHER ONE WAS NEEDED OR NOT. HE WOULD LIKE FOR IT TO COME IN FRONT OF THIS BOARD FOR A VOTE TODAY IF AT ALL POSSIBLE TO PUT ONE PERSON IN CHARGE OF THE PUBLIC WORKS AND HOLD THAT PERSON ACCOUNTABLE. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE SPECIFICATIONS THE PERSON NEEDS TO HAVE AS FAR AS WHAT THE JOB PAYS, WHAT IT CONSISTS OF, WHAT KIND OF BACKGROUND THEY NEED,

ETC. THE COUNTY IS SPENDING A LOT OF MONEY AT PUBLIC WORKS AND NOBODY SEEMS TO HAVE A PLAN; WHERE THEY ARE AT, WHERE THEY ARE GOING, WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE, WHAT KIND OF SHAPE THE EQUIPMENT IS IN, DO THEY NEED EQUIPMENT, DO THEY NOT NEED EQUIPMENT, WHY SOME OF THESE DIRT ROADS AREN'T GETTING GRADED ONCE A MONTH EVEN AND THEY SHOULD BE DONE WITH THE EQUIPMENT AND STAFF THEY HAVE ONCE A WEEK. HE THINKS ONE PERSON NEEDS TO BE IN CHARGE AT PUBLIC WORKS AND THE COMMISSIONERS NEED TO BACK OUT OF IT; GET A FOCUS DOWN THERE. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY SPENT IN THAT DEPARTMENT AND HE THINKS IT JUST NEEDS SOME GUIDANCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION TO ADVERTISE THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR POSITION AND SEE WHAT KIND OF APPLICANTS THEY HAVE TO COME BACK AND FILL THIS POSITION. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SECONDED THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND PATE QUESTIONED WHERE THE MONEY WAS COMING FROM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE FUNDING WOULD COME OUT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REFERRED TO THERE BEING TWO GUYS AT PUBLIC WORKS, AN EAST SIDE AND WEST SIDE SUPERVISOR; IF THEY CAN'T DO THE JOB, MAYBE THEY MIGHT NEED TO REPLACE THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHO IS THE BOSS AT PUBLIC WORKS. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND INFORMED HIM ROBERT HARCUS AND DALLAS CARTER. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THERE BEING NO ONE PERSON IN

71-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 212

CHARGE AND THATS A PROBLEM WHEN YOU HAVE TWO PEOPLE TRYING TO RUN THAT DIVISION DOWN THERE AND YOU ARE PULLING IN DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID MR. PITTS IS OVER EVERYBODY ELSE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE UNDERSTANDS THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED THAT NO ONE CONSIDER HIS REMARKS ABOUT WHERE THE MONEY IS COMING FROM BE CONSTRUED AS HE IS OPPOSED TO IT. HE TOLD THE BOARD THEY WOULD HEAR THE WORDS "WHERE IS THE MONEY COMING FROM" A LOT. HE ADDRESSED HE HAS SUPPORTED THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR POSITION AND TALKED ABOUT IT FOR AT LEAST TWO YEARS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT WAS TIME TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT TODAY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND EXPLAINED HE WAS WITH THE COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT WHEN THEY HAD A DIRECTOR BEFORE AND THE COMMISSIONERS WOULDN'T LEAVE HIM ALONE. THE DIRECTOR CAME TO A BOARD MEETING AND WENT AGAINST A COMMISSIONER AND WHAT DID THEY DO; THEY FIRED HIM. HE THINKS THE MONEY THEY WOULD SPEND ON THIS POSITION CAN BE PUT SOMEWHERE ELSE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HIS OPINION IS, AND THEY HAVE HEARD HIM SAY IT MANY TIMES, THEY NEED SOMEBODY DOWN THERE AND HE IS STILL OF THAT SAME OPINION TODAY. MR. PITTS HAS ENOUGH ON HIS PLATE TO TRY TO SUPERVISE A PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT; IT DIDN'T WORK WHEN THEIR FORMER ADMINISTRATOR WAS HERE AND MR. PITTS IS DOING THE BEST HE CAN FOR WHATS HAPPENING BUT HE HAS A LOT ON HIS PLATE. HE THINKS THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR WILL BE SOMETHING TO SAVE THEM MONEY IN THE LONG RUN.

COMMISSIONER PATE CONCURRED WITH THE CONCEPT, THE WHOLE THING; HE KNOWS IT WILL WORK, HE HAS SEEN IT WORK, ETC. HOWEVER, IT GOES RIGHT BACK TO THE SAME THING THEY HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT AND HE HAS

minutes11162010.txt

BEEN PREACHING ABOUT FOR FOUR YEARS. THE BIGGEST PROBLEM IS COMMISSIONERS NEED TO BACK OFF AND DO THEIR JOB AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW IN THEIR ORDINANCES AND IT IS NOT TO BE INVOLVED WITH DAY TO DAY WORK. HAVING SAID THAT, IF THEY DO THAT, THE POSITION

72-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 213

WILL WORK AND IT WILL BE EASIER ON EVERYBODY; BUT, IF THEY DON'T THEY WILL BE CREATING ANOTHER BIG MESS AND ANOTHER FIRING. THE PEOPLE THAT IS THE MOST LIKELY TO MAKE THIS WORK OR FAIL IS THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS THEMSELVES.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE IS PREPARED TO KEEP HIS NOSE OUT OF IT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS TOO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID BASICALLY HE DON'T DO ANYTHING. HE DON'T KNOW WHAT ROAD NOBODY IS WORKING ON, WHERE THEY ARE AT OR NOTHING ABOUT ROADS. HE SAID THEY HAVE HAD FOUR PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTORS UNDER HIM AND LIKE COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID, FOR SOME REASON, THEY LEFT. HE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND; THEY HAVE AN EAST SIDE AND WEST SIDE SUPERVISOR AND IF THEY CAN'T DO THE JOB, THEY DON'T NEED TO BE OUT THERE. ALL THE SUPERVISORS' JOB IS TO SEE AFTER THEIR MEN, SEE THAT THEY ARE WORKING, GIVE THEM ROAD DESCRIPTIONS TO WORK ON; THEY HAVE DUMPTRUCKS RUNNING AND EXCAVATORS RUNNING. BUT, TO PUT SOMEBODY IN CHARGE OF THE SUPERVISOR TO SEE THAT HE SEES AFTER THAT, HE QUESTIONED WHAT IS THAT OTHER PERSON GOING TO DO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REITERATED RIGHT NOW THEY HAVE 582 MILES OF REGISTERED DIRT ROADS; EACH ROADGRADER COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WOULD DO TWENTY MILES A DAY BUT HE BACKED IT UP TO TEN MILES A DAY. THEREFORE EVERY FIVE DAYS, EVERY DIRT ROAD SHOULD BE GRADED. HE ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK WHY THAT WAS NOT HAPPENING; IT IS A LACK OF SUPERVISION, A LACK OF DIRECTION, A LACK OF SOMEBODY TAKING OWNERSHIP, A LACK OF NOT KNOWING WHAT THEY HAVE, WHAT THEY ARE DOING AND WHEN THEY ARE DOING IT. IT IS AWFUL; IT IS NOT JUST AWFUL FOR THE TWO COMMISSIONER BROCK JUST MENTIONED BUT IT IS AWFUL FOR THE WHOLE STAFF WHICH MAKES IT AWFUL FOR OUR TAX MONEY. HE THINKS IF THEY GET SOME DIRECTION AND THEY ALL HEAD IN THE SAME DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK INTERRUPTED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND TOLD HIM WHAT HE WAS SAYING MAKES SENSE; BUT, HE HAS NEVER HEARD ANY OF THE COMMISSIONERS SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THEIR DISTRICT AND THEIR ROADS. THE

73-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 214

SUPERVISORS WERE DOING GOOD. HE TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HE JUST TOOK THE SEAT OF THE HEAT FOR DISTRICT FOUR; ROADS AND ALL. HOWEVER, WHAT HE IS TRYING TO SAY IS SOME OF THE BOARD IS WANTING TO PUT A POSITION IN HERE THAT IS GOING TO PAY AROUND \$80,000 OR \$100,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID NOBODY HAS SAID THAT. COMMISSIONER

BROCK ADDRESSED BY THE TIME YOU PAY THE SALARY, THE TRUCK, ETC. IT WILL COST THAT TO OVERSEE WHAT WORK THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERVISORS ARE DOING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WOULD SUPPORT A DIRECTOR IN THIS COUNTY IF THIS COUNTY HAD THE FINANCIAL BACKING TO DO WHAT A DIRECTOR NEEDED TO DO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED "THEY DO."

COMMISSIONER BROCK CONTINUED STATING THE COUNTY DIDN'T HAVE THE MONEY TO PAY A DIRECTOR WHAT THEY NEEDED TO DO; THE EROSION CONTROL, DRAINAGE, THE MAJOR PROBLEMS OUT HERE WITH THE ROADS. THEY HARDLY HAVE ENOUGH FOR ENGINEERING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT DID THE ROADS NEED. ABBOTT SAID THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR WOULD PAY FOR ITSELF TEN TIMES OVER EVERY YEAR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE CAN'T SEE IT; HE HAS NEVER SEEN IT. IT IS JUST A SALARY FOR SOMEBODY ELSE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME THE COUNTY HAD ONE AND ASKED FOR COMMISSIONER BROCK TO TEAM UP WITH HIM AND HE WOULD SHOW HIM HE COULD MAKE IT WORK.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAYING THE ROADS BEING GRADED ONCE A MONTH. HE HAS TWO GUYS; THEY MAKE THEIR ROUNDS ONCE A WEEK. HE TOOK THE TWO GUYS, SPLIT THEM UP; BOTH OF THEM HAVE 52 MILES A PIECE TO DO. UNLESS THEY ARE SICK OR ON VACATION, THEY CAN MAKE A ROUND ONCE A WEEK. BUT, WHEN YOU SPLIT THE GUYS UP, YOU GET MORE WORK OUT OF THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED AND SAID HE WAS TALKING ABOUT THE COUNTY AS A WHOLE AND IT IS NOT JUST ABOUT GRADING ROADS; IT IS THE

74-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 215

WHOLE LEADERSHIP OF IT, SOMEBODY TO RUN PUBLIC WORKS WITHOUT ANY INTERFERENCE FROM COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAYING INTERFERENCE AND SAID IF THEY HAD A PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR AND HE WANTS TO RIDE WITH HIM TO GO LOOK AT A PROBLEM HE HAS IN HIS DISTRICT, HE WOULD DO THAT. WHEN YOU MAKE A STATEMENT LIKE NO INTERFERENCE. HE SAID WHEN THAT EQUIPMENT COMES TO HIS DISTRICT TO WORK, HE HAS ROADS THAT IS IN WORSE SHAPE THAN OTHER ROADS AND THEY NEED WORKING. HE WILL GET WITH THE DIRECTOR TO SEE THAT THIS GOES ON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID SURE AND COMMISSIONER BROCK SHOULD GET WITH THE DIRECTOR. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID ALL THE COMMISSIONERS SHOULD BE ABLE TO GET WITH THE DIRECTOR; THIS IS NOT ANY ANIMOSITY AGAINST ANYBODY, AND HE IS ENTITLED TO HIS OPINION, BUT HE JUST DON'T THINK THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR IS NEEDED IN THIS COUNTY RIGHT NOW.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED HOW MUCH MONEY WERE THEY TALKING ABOUT PAYING THE POSITION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS BASICALLY TALKING ABOUT THE ROADS. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID "JUST IN GENERAL OVERALL."

MR. PITTS SAID WHEN THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR HAD BEEN BROUGHT UP BEFORE THE SALARY THAT WAS AGREED ON WAS \$57,000 A YEAR PLUS BENEFITS; WITH BENEFITS, THEY WOULD BE LOOKING AT CLOSE TO \$70,000 AND A VEHICLE WOULD BE NEEDED.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND BROCK SAID THAT WOULD BE CLOSE TO

A \$100,000.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED WHY THEY DIDN'T TAKE THAT \$100,000, PUT IT TOWARD THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY AND GIVE THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN THE SOUTH END OF THE COUNTY AN AMBULANCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED IF THEY DID THAT, THEY WOULD STILL HAVE THE SAME PROBLEM WITH THE PUBLIC WORKS.

COMMISSIONER PATE AND HOWELL ADVISED HIM THEY COULDN'T PAY FOR

75-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 216

AN AMBULANCE OUT OF PUBLIC WORKS. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT DOESN'T MATTER IF YOU GAVE THEM \$400,000 FROM NOW ON; IF THEY GET AN AMBULANCE DOWN THERE AND THE ROADS AREN'T FIXED OUT THERE AND SOMEBODY IS NOT RUNNING THAT THING DOWN THERE SO IT DOES LIKE THAT, THEY AREN'T GOING TO GET THERE VERY FAST. HE AGREED IT WAS NEEDED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY WERE GOING TO COME BACK TO WHAT HAS BEEN TALKED IN THIS BUILDING TODAY, FEMA. IN GENERAL, EAST OR WEST SIDE, PUBLIC WORKS IS GOING TO BE WORKING FEMA. IF THEY HAVE A DIRECTOR OVER THE TWO SUPERVISORS TO WORK FEMA, HAVE AT IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK HOW LONG HE HAD BEEN KNOWING ABOUT THEY WERE GOING TO BE DOING FEMA WORK.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID FEMA WORK WAS GOING TO GO FOR ABOUT EIGHTEEN MONTHS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHEN THAT EIGHTEEN MONTHS STARTED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID JUST SHORTLY. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID ABOUT TWENTY MONTHS AGO. COMMISSIONER BROCK DISAGREED SAYING THEY HAD GOTTEN AN EXTENSION.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THEY JUST FINISHED THEIR FIRST EIGHTEEN MONTHS OF FEMA AND THEY JUST GOT AN EXTENSION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY HAVE BEEN WORKING ON FEMA FOR TWENTY MONTHS AND ASKED WHAT PROJECTS HAVE THEY COMPLETED AND WHAT PROJECTS DO THEY YET HAVE TO COMPLETE AND HOW LONG IS IT GOING TO TAKE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THEY COMPLETED WHATEVER THE GOVERNMENT WOULD LET THEM COMPLETE; THEY GIVE US AN 18% TO 20% PW'S. THEY CLEARED THEM AND PUT THEM ON HOLD FOR SEVERAL MONTHS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MR. PITTS IF HE REMEMBERED HOW MANY PROJECTS DEBBIE RILEY HAD SAID THEY HAD COMPLETED AT THE LAST MEETING. MR. PITTS SAID HE DIDN'T REMEMBER; BUT, HE THOUGHT IT WAS 100 PROJECTS HAD BEEN COMPLETED AND MAYBE 175 LEFT TO COMPLETE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY HAD ABOUT 500 MORE PROJECTS TO GO; THEY HAVE TWICE AS MUCH TO GO AS THEY HAVE COMPLETED. HE

76-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 217

THOUGHT THERE WAS ABOUT 237 THAT HAVE TOTALLY BEEN COMPLETED.
THE MOTION CARRIED TO ADVERTISE FOR A PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR,

LOOK AT THE SPECIFICATIONS REQUIRED FOR THE JOB AND HIRE THE POSITION. COMMISSIONER BROCK AND STRICKLAND OPPOSED.

MR. PITTS ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION IF HE WAS TO ADVERTISE FOR THE POSITION AND BUY THEM A VEHICLE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL, PATE AND ABBOTT FELT LIKE THEY ALREADY HAD A VEHICLE THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR COULD USE. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED WHAT THE DIRECTOR WAS GOING TO DRIVE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY HAD A LOT OF VEHICLES IN THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHO WOULD HIRE THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR. HIS UNDERSTANDING, ACCORDING TO THE FLORIDA STATUTE, IS ANY DIRECTOR WILL HAVE THE INPUT OF THE BOARD. THE BOARD AGREED THE POSITION WOULD HAVE TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD TO BE APPROVED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED THEY WOULD PICK A NORMAL INTERVIEW COMMITTEE, INTERVIEW FOR THE POSITION, THE COMMITTEE WILL PICK SOMEBODY AND RECOMMEND THEM TO THE BOARD.

MR. PITTS SAID HE HAD GIVEN THE BOARD A DRAFT OF THE JOB DESCRIPTION FOR THIS POSITION WHEN IT WAS BROUGHT UP IN THE PAST. HE REQUESTED THE BOARD LOOK AT IT IN THE NEXT TWO TO THREE DAYS AND GET BACK WITH HIM BEFORE IT IS ADVERTISED IN CASE THERE IS ANY CHANGES IN IT, ANYTHING THEY DON'T LIKE.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO PROVIDE MR. PITTS ANY CHANGES THEY MAY WANT MADE TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR JOB DESCRIPTION BY FRIDAY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND STATED HE WAS GLAD THEY HAD A LAWYER. HE TOLD ATTORNEY GOODMAN HE APPRECIATED HIM BEING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY; HE HAS BEEN ON THE BOARD FOR SIX YEARS AND ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAS SAID MORE TODAY THAN HAS BEEN SAID BY THEIR PRIOR LAWYER IN THOSE SIX YEARS. HE SAID HE WAS NOT SAYING ANYTHING BAD ABOUT THE OTHER LAWYER; HE WAS A FINE MAN.

77-BCC
11-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 218

MR. GOODMAN SAID HE LOOKED FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION FOR THE CONFIDENCE THE BOARD GAVE HIM. HE IS LOOKING FORWARD TO WORKING WITH THEM. THE BOARD WILL BE WORKING TO MAKE SURE THEY GET SOME THINGS CHANGED; HE CAN'T DO IT BY HIMSELF. NO COMMISSIONER COULDN'T DO IT BY HIMSELF. THEY NEED THE STAFF TO COOPERATE WITH THEM AND EVERYBODY COMMIT TO PULLING TOGETHER THIS NEXT YEAR AND REALLY SHOW THE PEOPLE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY THEY DO CARE ABOUT THEM AND ARE SERIOUS ABOUT MAKING THE CHANGES THEY WANT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HE WOULD HAVE SOME GOOD DAYS AND BAD DAYS; SOME THINGS WILL GO HIS WAY AND SOME WON'T. BUT, WHEN THEY LEAVE FROM SITTING AS A BOARD, THEY NEED TO BE FRIENDS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY WOULDN'T ALWAYS AGREE. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WHEN THEY GO OUT THE DOOR OF THE BOARD ROOM, IT STAYS IN THE BOARD ROOM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST: _____

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN