

1-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 312

DECEMBER 16, 2010

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS BROCK, ABBOTT, HOWELL, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. COUNTY MANAGER EMORY PITTS, ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

LT. MIKE QUALLS PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

KENNETH THOMAS MADE A PUBLIC APOLOGY TO THE RESIDENTS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR CULTIVATING MARIJUANA IN HIS HOME.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE MINUTES FOR THE AUGUST 10, OCTOBER 21 AND OCTOBER 27, 2010 MEETINGS.

CONSENT AGENDA-CHAIRMAN PATE QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING THE BOARD WANTED TO PULL FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR PUBLIC DISCUSSION.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE CONSENT AGENDA:

A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR NOVEMBER 2010 TOTALLING \$2,249,670.92.

B. GOODWILL INDUSTRIES-BIG BEND WILL SUBMIT AN APPLICATION TO HUD FOR FUNDS TO BUILD 15 APARTMENTS IN CHIPLEY TO PROVIDE HOMES FOR PEOPLE WITH PHYSICAL DISABILITIES WHO HAVE VERY LOW INCOMES. REQUESTING AUTHORIZATION FOR CHAIRMAN TO SIGN SUPPORT LETTER.

2-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 313

C. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR PAYMENT OF \$5,700 TO SOUTHERN HILL KENNELS FOR NEW K-9 DOG FOR SHERIFF'S OFFICE; FUNDING TO BE TAKEN FROM LE III ACCOUNT.

D. AGREEMENT WITH DR. SAMUEL E. WARD FOR MEDICAL DIRECTOR OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AMBULANCE SERVICES.

E. APPROVE PROCLAMATION FOR RELAY FOR LIFE; THE AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY'S RELAY FOR LIFE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY IS A COMMUNITY EVENT THAT ALLOWS AN OPPORTUNITY TO NETWORK WITH BUSINESS, ASSOCIATES, FAMILY AND FRIENDS, WITH THE SANE GOAL OF MAKING A DIFFERENCE IN THE BATTLE AGAINST CANCER.

F. APPOINTMENT OF MATTHEW ORWAT AS HORTICULTURE AGENT FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY

G. APPOINTMENT OF HILTON KELLY TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION BOARD FOR DISTRICT I

H. PROCLAMATION PROCLAIMING JANUARY 31ST THROUGH FEBRUARY 4TH,

2011 AS SEVERE WEATHER AWARENESS WEEK.

I. PUBLIC OFFICIALS BOND FOR NEWLY ELECTED COMMISSIONER TODD ABBOTT AND RE-ELECTED COMMISSIONER JOEL PATE.

J. RESOLUTION FOR CHANGE OF SCOPE IN SCOP GRANT CONTRACT WITH FL-DOT FOR MILLING AND RESURFACING OF MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD TO WIDEN ROAD FROM 18' TO 20'. ORIGINALLY IT WAS TO BE WIDENED FROM 18' TO 22'; BUT, DUE TO CURRENT ASPHALT PRICES, GRANT FUNDS WILL FALL SHORT IF ROAD IS WIDENED TO 22'.

3-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 314

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THERE WERE PEOPLE PRESENT FROM THE CANCER SOCIETY ON THE PROCLAMATION THE BOARD APPROVED UNDER THE CONSENT AGENDA. HE REQUESTED THEY HAVE THEIR PICTURE MADE WITH THE CHAIRMAN. COMMISSIONER PATE AND HOWELL HAD THEIR PICTURE MADE WITH CONNIE WHEELER AND HEATHER SHELBY, AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY AND DENISE DAVIS, HEATHER FINCH AND KIM NIX, WASHINGTON COUNTY RELAY FOR LIFE TEAM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED ADDING ITEM C TO THE AGENDA ITEMS AND LIST IT AS PERSONNEL.

AGENDA ITEMS:

A. CHANGES TO PROCUREMENT/AUTHORIZATION FOR DIRECTORS TO SIGN PURCHASE ORDERS UP TO \$2,000. THE ONLY CHANGE TO THE PROCUREMENT POLICY IS IT WILL ALLOW THE EOC DIRECTOR TO SIGN UP TO \$2,000 OR ANY DIRECTOR THEY MAY HAVE IN THE FUTURE TO SIGN UP TO \$2,000.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO APPROVE THE AUTHORIZATION FOR DIRECTORS TO SIGN PURCHASE ORDERS UP TO \$2,000.

TED EVERETT UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR PREVIOUS ACTION TO PURSUE A LOAN THROUGH CAPITAL CITY BANK FOR \$700,000. THEY HAVE SUPPLIED THE BANK WITH NUMEROUS DOCUMENTS, ETC. AND THE BANK CONTINUES TO ASK FOR MORE DOCUMENTATION. TED ADDRESSED THEM BEING AT THE LEVEL NOW WHERE THAT TIMELINE IS CRITICAL. HE THOUGHT THEY OUGHT TO LOOK AT START PURSUING A DIFFERENT FINANCIAL INSTITUTION FOR THE LOAN. HE PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH INFORMATION ON THE BIDS THAT WERE PREVIOUSLY RECEIVED ON THE \$700,000 LOAN:

1. ONE SOUTH BANK 3.2%
2. REGIONS BANK 4 %
3. PEOPLE SOUTH 3.25%
4. BANK OF BONIFAY 3.25% IF COUNTY MOVED THEIR ENTIRE BANKING OVER TO THE BANK OF BONIFAY.

TED RECOMMENDED THE COUNTY PURSUE THE \$700,000 LOAN WITH ONE

4-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 315

SOUTH; ONE SOUTH HAS SAID IF THE COUNTY WILL SEND THEM A LETTER STATING THE LOAN IS BACKED ON THE FULL FAITH OF THE COUNTY AS WELL

AS A TAX FREE LOAN, THEY WILL HAVE THE CHECK IN HAND WITHIN THREE DAYS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND PATE AGREED IT APPEARED CAPITAL CITY KEPT DRAGGING THINGS OUT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO GO FROM CAPITAL CITY BANK AND PURSUE THE \$700,000 LOAN FROM ONE SOUTH BANK.

TED ASKED IF MR. PITTS COULD GET HIM THAT LETTER TODAY TO ONE SOUTH STATING THE LOAN IS A FULL FAITH LOAN AND A TAX FREE LOAN, HE WOULD GET IT TO ONE SOUTH TODAY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY PRIVATE PROPERTY INVOLVED WITH PROJECT PIPE. TED ADVISED THERE WAS NO PRIVATE PROPERTY INVOLVED AT ALL. CSX IS USING SOME OF THEIR RIGHT-OF-WAY TO BUILD THE FIRST PART OF THE RAIL SPUR AND THEN THE REST IS THE COUNTY'S 100' EASEMENT THEY HAVE TO CONTINUE WITH THAT.

DEBBIE RILEY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE FEMA WORK:

1. THERE ARE 71 PROJECT WORKSHEETS THAT ARE ROAD RELATED; OF THOSE 24 ARE COMPLETE, 10 ARE OVER 75% COMPLETE AND THERE ARE 37 OTHERS THAT ARE AT VARIOUS STAGES OF COMPLETION OVER 25% COMPLETE.
2. THERE ARE 471 ROADS IDENTIFIED AS BEING DAMAGED; 412 OF THOSE THE FUNDING HAS BEEN OBLIGATED, 230 OF THOSE THE WORK IS COMPLETED OR 56%.
3. OF THE WORK THAT REMAINS, THERE ARE 1423 LOADS OF FILL, 89 LOADS OF MILLED ASPHALT, 3,134 LOADS OF AGGREGATE.
4. THERE ARE 14 DUMPTRUCKS; ON THE AVERAGE THEY HAUL 6 LOADS OF FILL A DAY. IF THEY ARE HAULING MILLED ASPHALT OR AGGREGATE, IT IS ABOUT 4 LOADS A DAY. THEREFORE, IT IS 84 LOADS OF FILL ON THE AVERAGE AND 56 LOADS OF AGGREGATE OR MILLED ASPHALT.
5. IF ALL THE TRUCKS ARE OPERATIONAL EVERY DAY, THE ROCK

5-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 316

VENDORS ARE OPERATIONAL AND HAVE THE MATERIALS, ALL THE ROADWORK ROCK AND FILL CAN BE COMPLETED IN 74 DAYS. BASING IT ON THE 5 DAYS AND BEING ABLE TO KEEP THEIR FOCUS ON THE FEMA WORK, THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO BE THROUGH BY THE END OF MAY.

6. THERE ARE PROJECTS THAT GOT HUNG UP THROUGH THE FEMA SYSTEM; OF THOSE PROJECTS, THERE ARE 425 LOADS OF FILL, 28 LOADS OF MILLED ASPHALT AND 547 LOADS OF AGGREGATE. ON THE SAME ASSUMPTION, IT WOULD TAKE 16 DAYS TO COMPLETE THOSE.

7. HAZARD MITIGATION PROPOSAL THAT WAS SUBMITTED AS PART OF THE PROJECT WORKSHEETS STILL HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED OR FUNDED; THAT IS NOT UNUSUAL AS THEY ARE USUALLY THE LAST THING. THEY ARE APPROVED SEPARATELY FROM THE PROJECT WORKSHEETS. THAT TYPE OF WORK RANGES FROM JUST PUTTING CULVERTS IN WHERE THERE WASN'T ONE TO DOING LOW WATER CROSSINGS. THAT CAN BE ADDRESSED WHENEVER THE MONEY IS OBLIGATED; IT MAY NOT BE OBLIGATED AS IT IS STRICTLY BASED ON COST EFFECTIVENESS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHEN THEY MIGHT HEAR FROM THE HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECTS. MS. RILEY EXPLAINED THESE PROJECTS GO THROUGH REVIEW THAT DOESN'T FALL UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE STATE ONCE IT GETS TO THAT LEVEL AND THERE ARE OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES THAT FEMA HAS NO CONTROL OVER AND CAN'T TELL THEM THE COUNTY NEEDS THEM TO HURRY UP.

8. AS FAR AS REIMBURSEMENTS, AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, THE REIMBURSEMENTS HAVE BEEN \$1,185,000; AS OF DECEMBER 9TH, THEY HAD AN ADDITIONAL

\$1,388,000 AND IN THE PA SYSTEM, THERE IS ANOTHER \$204,000. THERE IS ABOUT \$400,000 AT VARIOUS STAGES READY TO BE SCANNED AND UPLOADED. THEY ARE WAITING FOR THE PAY PERIOD TO END SO THE PAYROLL RUNS, ETC.

9. WORK IS PROGRESSING AT A STEADY RATE; THEY ARE MONITORING IT EVERY DAY FOR THE LAST SEVERAL WEEKS SINCE PUBLIC WORKS HAS BEEN ALLOWED TO FOCUS THEIR EFFORTS ON FEMA. IT IS GOING VERY WELL.

COMMISSIONER PATE COMMENDED THE PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES AND THANKED THE BOARD FOR COMMITTING TO ALLOW PUBLIC WORKS TO GET THE FEMA WORK DONE.

6-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 317

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAD DISCUSSED PREVIOUSLY ABOUT CONTRACTING SOME OF THE PW'S.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED SOME OF THE LARGER PROJECTS ARE 4 OR 5 OF THE SMALLER PROJECTS GROUPED TOGETHER. COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF PUBLIC WORKS WAS CONCENTRATING ON GETTING THESE PROJECTS DONE EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY INVOLVE 4 OR 5 ROADS.

MS. RILEY ADVISED PUBLIC WORKS WAS CONCENTRATING ON GETTING THESE PROJECTS COMPLETED.

MIKE DERUNTZ, WASHINGTON COUNTY PLANNER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING GIVEN HIM THE AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED WITH REQUESTING TO GET VOLUNTEERS TO SERVE ON VARIOUS COMMITTEES IDENTIFIED IN THEIR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. THOSE THREE COMMITTEES ARE:

1. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROUP
2. CITIZENS AND WATER ADVISORY COMMITTEE
3. ENERGY CONSERVATION COMMITTEE

HE REQUESTED A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO SERVE ON EACH OF THESE COMMITTEES. THAT WAY THEY COULD BE A LIASON BETWEEN THE COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. THEY WILL ALSO HAVE A MEMBER OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION SERVING ON EACH OF THESE COMMITTEES. HE WOULD LIKE TO GET THESE COMMITTEES STARTED THE FIRST OF THE YEAR. HE WOULD APPRECIATE THE BOARD APPOINTING SOMEBODY OR SOMEBODY STEPPING FORWARD AND TAKING THAT RESPONSIBILITY.

MR. DERUNTZ, IN THE BOARD'S LIST OF COMMITTEES, WAS TALKING WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK ABOUT THE TRIP ASSIGNMENT HE HAD. COMMISSIONER BROCK DIDN'T FEEL HE WAS GOING TO BE ABLE TO ATTEND THESE MEETINGS BECAUSE OF HIS HEALTH. IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT FOR THE BOARD TO HAVE SOMEBODY AT THESE MEETINGS FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY TO BE REPRESENTED. HE REQUESTED SOMEBODY ELSE TAKE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE TRIP ASSIGNMENT.

MR. DERUNTZ ADDRESSED USUALLY THERE BEING A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS REPRESENTED ON THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

7-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 318

COUNCIL AND HE DOESN'T THINK THERE HAS BEEN A REPRESENTATIVE IN A COUPLE OF YEARS.

THE FOLLOWING BOARD MEMBERS AGREED TO SERVE ON THESE COMMITTEES:

1. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE-COMMISSIONER HOWELL
2. TRIP-COMMISSIONER HOWELL
3. ENERGY CONSERVATION COMMITTEE-COMMISSIONER ABBOTT
4. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GROUP-COMMISSIONER HOWELL
5. CITIZENS WATER ADVISORY COMMITTEE-COMMISSIONER BROCK

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THE MEETING AT PANAMA CITY BEACH ON THE WELLS WAS ABOUT POSSIBLY THE CITY PUTTING IN WELLS.

MR. DERUNTZ EXPLAINED THE CITY OF PANAMA CITY BEACH WAS AMENDING THEIR COMP PLAN; THEIR WATER SUPPLY PLAN SPECIFICALLY. THEY WERE ADOPTING BAY COUNTY'S PLAN WHICH IDENTIFY THE USE OF THE PERMITS THAT WERE APPLIED FOR WHICH WERE THE TEN WELLS WASHINGTON COUNTY IS TAKING ISSUE WITH APPROVING THOSE WELLS. AS GOOD NEIGHBORS, THE COUNTY WANTED TO ADVISE PANAMA CITY BEACH THEY ARE CONTESTING THE APPROVAL OF THOSE PERMITS AND THERE COULD BE CONSEQUENCES THAT COULD BE FORWARDED DOWN TO THEM IF THERE EVENTUALLY IS A LAWSUIT, PENALTIES, ETC. HE WANTED TO LET PANAMA CITY BEACH KNOW IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS CUT AND DRY; WASHINGTON COUNTY IS OBJECTING TO WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED ON THOSE TEN WELLS BECAUSE OF THE IMPACT IT WILL HAVE ON WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED PANAMA CITY BEACH IS BUYING THEIR WATER FROM BAY COUNTY.

COUNTY MANAGER PITTS REPORT:

1. A QUOTE FROM GULF POWER TO PUT LIGHTS AT THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING; THEY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY IN THE BUDGET TO PAY FOR THE LIGHTS. THE QUOTE IS \$2700 FOR INSTALLATION AND A REOCCURRING FEE OF \$80 A MONTH TO RUN THE LIGHTS. MR. PITTS ASKED FOR A TOTAL OF \$4,000 TO BE PUT INTO THE PROPER LINE ITEMS IN THE BUDGET FOR THE

8-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 319

SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING AND IT BE TAKEN FROM CONTINGENCY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS ANY OTHER LINE ITEM THIS MONEY COULD COME FROM OTHER THAN CONTINGENCY.

MR. PITTS ADVISED THERE WASN'T. HE EXPLAINED THERE WAS \$2,000 CURRENTLY FOR MAINTENANCE FOR THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING. HE WAS A LITTLE AFRAID TO BE USING UP THE \$2,000 THIS EARLY IN THE YEAR.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THE LIGHTING IS SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN. THEY RAN OUT OF MONEY LAST YEAR FOR THE BUILDING; THEY DIDN'T PUT LIGHTS UP LIKE THEY SHOULD HAVE, A MAN FELL AND HURT HIMSELF. COMMISSIONER HOWELL UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING TALKED WITH MR. PITTS ABOUT PUTTING UP MOTION SENSOR LIGHTS AT EACH DOOR TO GUIDE PEOPLE AS THEY APPROACH THE FACILITY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION TO APPROVE THE \$4,000 TO MOVE FORWARD WITH PUTTING LIGHTING IN AT THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF GULF POWER WOULDN'T INSTALL THE LIGHTS FREE OF CHARGE AND THEN CHARGE SO MUCH PER MONTH FOR THE CURRENT.

MR. PITTS AGREED GULF POWER USE TO DO THIS; BUT, THEY HAVE CHANGED THEIR POLICY AND CHARGE FOR ANY POLE THEY HAVE TO INSTALL AND WIRING THEY HAVE TO RUN TO THE POLE. HE REITERATED THE \$2700

IS AN INSTALLATION CHARGE AND THERE IS A CHARGE OF \$80 PER MONTH JUST FOR THE LIGHTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS NOT FOR TAKING MONEY OUT OF CONTINGENCY; THEY HAVE TO TAKE ANOTHER LINE ITEM TO TAKE THE LIGHTING OUT OF. HE ADDRESSED HIM NOT HAVING A PROBLEM USING THE \$2,000 MAINTENANCE BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED THEY WOULD JUST END UP LATER ON OVERRUNNING THE MAINTENANCE BUDGET IF THEY HAVE A MAINTENANCE ITEM. IF THE AIR CONDITIONING GOES BAD, ETC., THEY WILL END UP HAVING TO COME BACK AND TAKE IT FROM CONTINGENCY TO PAY FOR THAT.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THE LIGHTS OVER THE DOORS WOULD STILL

9-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 320

COST MONEY, APPROXIMATELY \$400 TO \$500, WHICH WOULD HAVE TO COME OUT OF MAINTENANCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT POINTED OUT IT IS A BRAND NEW BUILDING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SECONDED THE MOTION FOR PURPOSE OF DISCUSSION. HE REALLY FELT THEY NEEDED THE LIGHTS FOR THE PARKING LOT AT THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING AND HE DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE INVESTIGATION AND COST FIGURES THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO THEM; BUT, HE WOULD LIKE TO FIND ANOTHER LINE ITEM RATHER THAN CONTINGENCY BECAUSE THERE IS NOTHING IN CONTINGENCY THERE TO SPEAK OF.

MR. PITTS SAID THERE WAS NO LINE ITEM THERE EITHER; THE LINE ITEMS THERE ARE FOR ELECTRIC BILLS, ETC. THAT ARE GOING TO BE REOCCURRING OTHER THAN THE \$2,000 MAINTENANCE LINE ITEM. THAT IS THE ONLY THING THERE IS ANY ROOM FOR AT ALL IN THAT BUILDING UNLESS YOU TAKE IT FROM ANOTHER DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING IN ROBERT'S BUDGET, BUILDING MAINTENANCE, THEY COULD PULL FROM. MR. PITTS SAID THEY COULD TAKE IT FROM THE MAINTENANCE BUDGET; ROBERT IS OVER ON MOST OF HIS BUILDING MAINTENANCE EVERY YEAR. IT IS HARD TO PREDICT HOW MANY AIR CONDITIONERS ARE GOING TO BREAK. IT WOULD BE A FALSE FEELING OF SECURITY IN HIS OPINION BECAUSE IT IS GOING TO COME OUT OF CONTINGENCY SOONER OR LATER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED WHAT WAS AVAILABLE IN THE CONTINGENCY LINE ITEM. HE ASKED IF THEY HAD \$200,000 YET FROM THE STATE; HE KNOWS \$50,000 WENT FOR BONUSES.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THE EMPLOYEES INCENTIVE PAY CAME FROM THE MONIES TURNED BACK FROM THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY HAD ALLOCATED THAT MONEY. MR. PITTS SAID NO SIR; THERE IS ALSO MONEY ALLOCATED FOR THE NEW EOC BUILDING THEY ARE NOT GOING TO USE. THERE IS MONEY THERE THAT HAS NOT BEEN ALLOCATED. COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE EOC PROJECT IS NOT FINISHED YET.

10-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 321

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT IS SOMETHING THEY NEED TO DISCUSS TODAY IS HOW TO ALLOCATE THAT MONEY; IT HAS BEEN DRAGGING ON FOR MONTHS AND MONTHS. THERE ARE THINGS THAT NEED TO BE DONE AT THE NEW EOC THAT HAVEN'T BEEN DONE; THEY NEED TO MOVE FORWARD WITH IT AND GET IT DONE.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW INFORMED COMMISSIONER BROCK THERE WAS \$20,834 IN CONTINGENCY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD ENTERTAIN THE IDEA OF USING THE \$2,000 MAINTENANCE BUDGET FOR THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING; IT IS JUST ABOUT A BRAND NEW BUILDING. THEN TAKE THE BALANCE OUT OF THE MONEY THAT WAS TURNED BACK IN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHERE IS THAT MONEY; IN AFFECT, THAT IS CONTINGENCY. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT IS IN GENERAL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHERE WAS THE MONEY THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS TURNED BACK IN. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED IT WAS IN THE GENERAL FUND.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE FOR THAT TO GO BACK AND BE PUT INTO CONTINGENCY. COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED TO PUT IT SOMEWHERE BESIDES GENERAL FUND.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW EXPLAINED CONTINGENCY IS IN THE GENERAL FUND. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID AT LEAST THERE WOULD BE A LINE ITEM FOR THE MONEY.

MR. PITTS ADDRESSED THE CONTINGENCY IS DONE AT BUDGET TIME AND IT DOESN'T CHANGE DURING THE YEAR. THE MONEY THAT IS THERE GOES INTO GENERAL FUND; IT IS NOT SHOWN UNDER CONTINGENCY.

MR. PITTS REITERATED HE JUST ASKED THE BOARD ALLOW HIM TO USE THE MONEY FROM SOMEWHERE RATHER THAN USING THE MAINTENANCE MONEY SO HE DOESN'T END UP HAVING TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD AND ASK THEM TO ADJUST THE BUDGET FOR THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING WHEN THAT AIR CONDITIONING UNIT GOES OUT AND HE DOESN'T HAVE ANY MONEY TO FIX IT WITH.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY PROBABLY NEED TO GET TOGETHER AND LOOK

11-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 322

AT WHAT IS OUT THERE ON THESE OTHER LINE ITEMS. HE IS IN HIS FIFTH YEAR AND EVERYTIME THEY GET SOME MONEY, THEY START AND IT DOESN'T STAY THERE; THAT IS FOR EMERGENCIES. HE IS NOT OPPOSED TO THIS PROJECT BECAUSE THEY NEED THE LIGHTING DOWN THERE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL FELT THIS WAS AN EMERGENCY AND IT NEEDS TO GET DONE. MR. PITTS AGREED IT WAS AN EMERGENCY AND IF THEY DON'T FIX THE LIGHTING, THEY ARE GOING TO GET SUED OVER IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SUGGESTED LETTING MR. PITTS FIGURE OUT WHERE THE MONEY IS COMING FROM FOR THE LIGHTING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THIS WAS ALL THE MONEY THEY WERE GOING TO NEED FOR THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY CENTER. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE HAD SEVERAL THINGS HE WOULD LIKE TO ADD TO THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THIS IS THE SAME ISSUE WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER; IT JUST KEPT COMING EVERY MONTH. EVERY MONTH, MORE AND MORE AND MORE.

MR. PITTS ADDRESSED THIS IS THE COMMUNITY CENTER BUILDING FOR THE LIGHTING. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WE ARE STILL WORKING ON IT.

minutes12162010.txt

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THEY NEVER PUT ANY LIGHTING IN IT. MR. ABBOTT TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK THE PARKING LOT AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER BUILDING WAS DARK, DARK, DARK. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAD NO PROBLEM; FIX IT.

DAVID CORBIN ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THEM PUTTING THE LIBRARY AT THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING AND THEY WORK UNTIL 6:00 P.M. THEY ARE WALKING OUT TO THEIR CAR AND ARE COMPLAINING ABOUT WALKING IN THE DARK PARKING LOT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WANTED TO USE THE MAINTENANCE MONEY FOR THAT BUILDING TO PAY FOR THE LIGHTING. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED ABBOTT TO EXPLAIN WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO WHEN THEY NEED MONEY TO FIX THE BUILDING DOWN THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY WOULD ADDRESS THAT WHEN THAT COMES; IT IS A BRAND NEW BUILDING. HE IS NOT GOING TO SAY SOMETHING

12-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 323

IS NOT GOING TO GO WRONG.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL FELT MR. PITTS SHOULDN'T HAVE TO COME TO THE BOARD TO FIX AN AIRCONDITIONER, ETC. IN THAT BUILDING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED AND QUESTIONED HOW MUCH WAS MR. PITTS GOING TO NEED IN THE BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF MR. PITTS HAD MONEY TO DO THAT WITH; HE HAS \$2,000 IN THE MAINTENANCE BUDGET AND THAT MAY BE ENOUGH TO DO FOR A YEAR. BUT, FOR HIM TO HAVE TO COME BACK TO THE BOARD TWO MONTHS FROM NOW ASKING TO FIX AN AIR CONDITIONER OR DO SOMETHING ELSE DOWN THERE, THEN WHY DO WE NEED HIM. WHY DON'T WE JUST RUN EVERYTHING.

MR. PITTS SAID IF THEY HAD ANY VANDALISM ON THE BUILDING, ETC. IT WOULD HAVE TO COME OUT OF THAT MAINTENANCE BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER BROCK CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY TO APPROVE OF \$4,000 OUT OF CONTINGENCY TO PUT LIGHTING IN AT THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING.

2. CRA-CITY OF CHIPLEY-MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR HAVING BUDGETED \$85,000 FOR 2010-2011. THE ACTUAL AMOUNT NEEDED IS \$87,910.65. HE REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION TO PAY THE ADDITIONAL \$2,910.65 TO THE CRA.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED HOW MANY BOARD MEMBERS WERE FAMILIAR WITH HOW THE CRA WORKS. HE EXPLAINED THIS MONEY IS RAISED WITHIN THE CRA CIRCLE FROM IMPROVEMENTS TO PROPERTY. CRA GETS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF IT; THE CITY AND COUNTY GETS A CERTAIN AMOUNT. THE BOARD IS NOT ACTUALLY PAYING A BILL FROM CRA; THEY ARE JUST GIVING THEM THEIR CHECK.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED IT IS AN INCREASE IN TAXES FROM THE TIME THE CRA WAS COLLECTED; IT IS AN INCREASE IN TAXABLE VALUE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE BOARD IS MEETING THEIR OBLIGATIONS. MR. PITTS TOLD HIM IT WAS A LEGAL OBLIGATION THEY HAVE TO DO; HE HAS TO HAVE THE BOARD'S AUTHORIZATION FOR BOARD FINANCE TO WRITE A LARGER CHECK THAN THEY HAD ORIGINALLY BUDGETED. THEY ESTIMATED IT

13-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 324

AT BUDGET TIME AND MISSED IT BY \$2910.65.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO PAY THE INCREASE OF \$2910.65 TO THE CRA. MR. PITTS IS TO FIND WHERE THE INCREASE IN FUNDING WILL COME FROM.

3. MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR HAVING A COPY OF THE CALENDAR FOR THE YEAR FOR THE BOARD MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS PRIOR TO THE MEETINGS THAT MS. ZOLA HAD PREPARED. THE BOARD EXPRESSED WHAT A GOOD JOB MS. ZOLA DID ON PREPARING THE CALENDAR.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SUGGESTED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TAKE A LOOK AT THE CALENDAR TO MAKE SURE IT MEETS HIS TRAINING SCHEDULE HE IS INVOLVED IN; IF IT DOESN'T, GET WITH MR. PITTS. MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THEY ARE ALL DAY MEETINGS UNTIL MARCH.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT.

MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON HAVING RECEIVED A REQUEST FROM THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERVISORS. ACCORDING TO THE HOLIDAY SCHEDULE NEXT WEEK, PUBLIC WORKS IS SCHEDULED TO WORK MONDAY AND TUESDAY AND WOULD BE OFF THE REST OF THE WEEK. THE SUPERVISORS HAVE REQUESTED PUBLIC WORKS BE SHUT DOWN FOR THE WEEK; ALLOW EMPLOYEES THAT HAVE LEAVE TIME, TAKE THAT LEAVE TIME. IF THERE ARE SOME EMPLOYEES THAT DON'T HAVE LEAVE TIME TO TAKE, ALLOW THEM TO WORK UNDER MR. CORBIN FOR THOSE TWO DAYS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL TO APPROVE OF THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERVISORS' REQUEST TO SHUT DOWN PUBLIC WORKS NEXT WEEK, ALLOW EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE LEAVE TIME TO TAKE THAT LEAVE TIME AND IF THERE ARE EMPLOYEES WHO DON'T HAVE LEAVE TIME, ALLOW THEM TO WORK WITH DAVID CORBIN FOR THOSE TWO DAYS. THE MOTION CARRIED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. CORBIN WHAT HE WOULD HAVE THESE EMPLOYEES DOING; IS THERE A JOB THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE.

DAVID SAID THEY CAN PUT THE EMPLOYEES ON A ROAD LIKE THEY DO THE REST OF THE EMPLOYEES.

14-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 325

ROGER HAGAN SAID, IF IT IS APPROPRIATE, HE CAN USE TWO OR THREE PEOPLE AND TWO OR THREE PIECES OF EQUIPMENT TO FINISH THE LANDSCAPING AT THE NEW EOC. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MR. HAGAN HAS SOME MONEY IN THE NEW EOC BUDGET TO DO SOME OF THAT LANDSCAPING TOO; THEY COULD KILL TWO BIRDS WITH ONE STONE.

MR. PITTS INFORMED THE BOARD HE HAD PROVIDED THEM A COPY OF THE LETTER SENT TO THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN REFERENCE TO AQUA UTILITIES.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD WAS GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE FIRE STATION. WE NEED TO HAVE THAT DISCUSSION.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED HE WAS NOT PREPARED TO DISCUSS IT; RESHA HAS NOT BEEN ABLE TO COMPILE ALL THE BILLS YET TO KNOW WHERE WE STAND AND WHAT IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO FINISH IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE BOTTOM LINE IS THEY DON'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO FINISH THE SUNNY HILLS FIRE STATION WITH WHAT HAS BEEN ALLOCATED TO IT. MR. PITTS SAID THEY DON'T; HE DIDN'T WANT TO

GET INTO A SITUATION LIKE COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS TALKING ABOUT WITH SUNNY HILLS WHERE THEY HAD TO COME BACK THREE OR FOUR TIMES TO ASK FOR MORE MONEY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY JUST KEEP DRAGGING THIS THING OUT AND THEY AREN'T GOING TO MEET AGAIN FOR ANOTHER MONTH. TODAY WOULD BE A GOOD DAY TO GET SOME MONEY TO DO SOMETHING WITH THAT.

MR. PITTS SAID THEY ARE GETTING CLOSE TO SPENDING THE \$10,000 THE BOARD APPROVED FROM THE FL-DOT REIMBURSEMENT FUNDS; THEY HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO DO THE OUTSIDE FROM THE BUDGET THEY PULLED FROM FIRE DEPARTMENT IMPACT FEES THE BOARD APPROVED AND HE WOULD ESTIMATE THEY ARE PROBABLY SOMEWHERE BETWEEN \$8,000 TO \$10,000 THEY COULD SPEND ON THE INTERIOR OF THE BUILDING FOR FLOORULLERING, TRIMMING OUT THE INSIDE OF THE OFFICE SECTION OF IT, KITCHEN COUNTERS, SINK, FIXTURES IN THE BATHROOM AND A CEILING WITH INSULLATION IN THE FIRE TRUCK BAYS TO PROTECT THE TRUCKS FROM FREEZING. HE WOULD LIKE TO

15-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 326

GIVE THE BOARD A GOOD ESTIMATE; BUT, HE IS NOT PREPARED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO NOT SEE THAT PROJECT SIT FOR THIRTY DAYS, THEN WAIT UNTIL NEXT MONTH'S DECISION ON HOW MUCH MONEY IS NEEDED, ETC. THEY NEED TO FINISH THE PROJECT. EVERYBODY TALKS ABOUT WE GOT A LITTLE BIT OF MONEY AND ARE TRYING TO SPEND IT; BUT, IT IS THINGS THAT NEED TO BE DONE.

MR. PITTS SAID TO FEEL SAFE TO KNOW WE ARE GOING TO HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO FINISH THE BUILDING, HE WOULD ASK FOR ANOTHER \$10,000.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE HAD JUST AS SOON MR. PITTS COME BACK IN A MONTH FROM NOW AND SAY I NEED \$3,000 MORE AS TO WAIT ANOTHER MONTH AND NOT DO ANYTHING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED HOW MUCH MONEY IS IN THE BUDGET IN THE FIRE PROTECTION THAT HAS BEEN BUILT UP THROUGH THE YEARS. HE THOUGHT THEY TOOK A PERCENT OF THEIR BUDGET EVERY YEAR AND PUT IT ASIDE FOR USE OF EMERGENCY, TRANSMISSION, ETC. THEY HAVE A FUND IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND QUESTIONED WHAT IT COULD BE USED FOR. HE GUESSED IT COULD BE USED FOR WHATEVER THIS BOARD DECIDES.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED, BY BOARD ACTION, THEY HAVE APPOINTED A PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE AND THEY HAVE RECOMMENDATION OVERSIGHT OF THAT MONEY. THERE IS ABOUT FOUR THINGS THE MONIES CAN BE SPENT FOR AND THEY HAVE TO MEET CERTAIN CRITERIA. IT IS NOT A CONTINGENCY FUND; IT IS FOR EMERGENCIES. THE BOARD HAS A RESOLUTION THAT APPOINTS A COMMITTEE, NAMES THE CHAIRMAN, PUTS PEOPLE ON IT AND THEY SPEND THE MONEY BY COMMITTEE BY REQUEST FROM THE INDIVIDUAL FIRE DEPARTMENTS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THESE MONIES COULDN'T BE USED TO FINISH OUT THE NEW FIRE DEPARTMENT IN SUNNY HILLS. MR. HAGAN DIDN'T KNOW IF HE THINKS THIS WOULD MEET THE CRITERIA EXCEPT BY THE STRETCH OF IMAGINATION IT COULD BE CALLED AN ENHANCEMENT; AN ENHANCEMENT PROJECT IS SUPPOSE TO BENEFIT ALL ELEVEN DEPARTMENTS AND THIS DOESN'T NECESSARILY IN ANYTHING EXCEPT IT IS A PART OF THE ELEVEN AND ANYTIME ONE OF THEM BENEFITS THEY ALL BENEFIT. THAT IS REALLY A

16-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 327

STRETCH OF THE IMAGINATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REFERRED TO IN THE PAST THESE FUNDS HAVE BEEN USED TO REPAIR TRUCKS. MR. HAGAN SAID HE WAS NOT PREPARED TO ADDRESS THIS AND HE THINKS IT IS UNFAIR UNTIL THEY MEET WITH THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE TO ADDRESS IT; BUT, IN THE LAST FEW YEARS THEY HAVE NOT HAD TO USE THAT MONEY AS FREQUENTLY BECAUSE WHEN THE BOARD INCREASED THEIR FUNDING A FEW YEARS AGO, MANY OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS ARE ABLE TO TAKE CARE OF THEIR OWN REPAIRS. HE SEES THE FUTURE OF THAT FUND COULD BUILD UP. IT MAY BE THE TIME TO LOOK AT ADDRESSING THAT FUND, THAT COMMITTEE AND SEE HOW THE BOARD WANTS TO CONTINUE TO SPEND THAT MONEY. HE THINKS WHILE THINGS ARE KIND OF AT A SLOW BOIL, THEY MAY WANT TO INVOLVE FIRECHIEFS BECAUSE THEY FEEL LIKE THE BOARD HAS GIVEN THEM THAT MONEY; THAT IS THEIR MONEY, THAT IS OUR MONEY. IT NEVER WAS; BUT, THAT FEELING WAS THERE AND HE THINKS IF THE BOARD DOESN'T INCLUDE THE FIRECHIEFS IN ANY CHANGES, IT WILL CAUSE CONTENTION BETWEEN THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND THE COMMISSIONERS AGAIN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS HAVE A \$100,000. MR. HAGAN THOUGHT IT WAS PROBABLY \$70,000 TO \$75,000.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THIS MONEY WAS IN THE BANK. MR. HAGAN RESPONDED IT IS IN THE BUDGET AND HE SUPPOSES IT IS IN THE BANK IN THAT HE GUESSED ALL THEIR MONEY WAS IN THE BANK.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIM LOOKING AT THIS OVERALL; HERE WE HAVE A LITTLE FIRE DEPARTMENT THAT NEEDS HELP. IF ONE FIRETRUCK GETS A MOTOR OVER HERE, THAT IS ENHANCING THE OTHER TEN FIRE DEPARTMENTS THE WAY MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED IT AND THIS IS PART OF THE FAMILY.

MR. HAGAN SAID IF THE BOARD WANTS TO TAKE A RECESS OR HANDLE SOMETHING ELSE, HE WILL GET A COPY OF THAT RESOLUTION FAXED OVER AND COME BACK AND ADDRESS IT WITH THE BOARD ON WHAT IT TAKES TO SPEND THAT MONEY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WHATEVER; IT WAS JUST INFORMATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED HE DIDN'T REALLY CARE WHERE THE MONEY COMES FROM; BUT, THEY NEED TO FINISH THIS PROJECT. HE THOUGHT THEY

17-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 328

NEEDED TO AUTHORIZE MR. PITTS TO SPEND ANOTHER \$10,000 OR SOME FIGURE; WHEN HE GETS TO THAT FIGURE, HE STOPS AND THEN NEXT MONTH, IF HE NEEDS MORE MONEY, THEY AUTHORIZE HIM SOME MORE UNTIL THEY GET THROUGH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HOW MUCH FUNDS THEY ARE EXPECTED TO GET THAT HAS NOT BEEN BUDGETED; HE IS REFERRING TO THE MONIES THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS HAS TURNED BACK IN.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID SHE COULDN'T GIVE THEM A DEFINITE ANSWER; BUT, THE BOARD HAS SPENT \$50,000 OF THAT FOR EMPLOYEE INCENTIVE PAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT NUMBER THAT STARTED OUT AT. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW REFERRED TO SOME OF THE COMMISSIONERS HAVING SAID \$200,000; HOWEVER, SHE DON'T WANT TO QUOTE A FIGURE. SHE CAN'T LOOK AT THE BUDGET PRINTOUT AND TELL BECAUSE IT IS BACKED OUT OF THIS YEAR. SHE CAN FIND OUT AT BREAK; BUT, SHE DOESN'T KNOW AT THIS

MOMENT. SHE WOULD SAY ROUGHLY \$200,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY WOULD HAVE APPROXIMATELY \$150,000 OF THAT MONEY THAT HAS NOT BEEN ALLOCATED. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW AGREED. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY COULD APPROVE \$8,000.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS FOR DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW TO FIND OUT HOW MUCH MONEY WAS BEING REIMBURSED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW TOLD THE BOARD THE TAX COLLECTOR HASN'T TURNED HER MONEY IN YET AND MS. JOHNNIE COULDN'T FIND THE FIGURE; BUT, THEY ARE CONFIDENT TO SAY THE BOARD HAS \$200,000 NOW AFTER THE INCENTIVE PAY. SHE REFERRED TO THE BOARD SAYING THIS IS EXTRA MONEY; AS A FINANCE PERSON, YOU DON'T HAVE EXTRA MONEY UNTIL THE END OF THE YEAR. YOU HAVE REVENUES YOU BUDGET YOU ARE GOING TO GET; THEY HAVE BEEN KNOWN TO BE CUT BY THE STATE. THE BOARD CAN DO WHAT THEY WANT TO; BUT, SHE IS TELLING THEM TO PROTECT HERSELF. IF THEY DON'T GET THE REVENUES THEY HAVE BUDGETED TO GET, THIS MONEY FROM THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS MIGHT COME IN HANDY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID, WITH THAT BEING SAID, ARE WE EXPECTING

18-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 329

ANY MORE MONEY FROM ADJUSTED TAX FROM THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S OFFICE. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW STATED "NO."

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE BOARD MOVE FORWARD WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND IF \$10,000 WANT COMPLETE THE PROJECT, THEN MAYBE NEXT MONTH, THEY MAY HAVE TO GIVE HIM \$5,000 MORE. HE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK, AT SOME POINT THEY HAVE TO CUT IT OFF AND SAY THIS IS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW AGREED IF THEY HAD A PLAN, THEY WOULD KNOW UPFRONT WHAT THE COST WOULD BE. COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED IT WAS HARD TO HAVE A PLAN WHEN YOU ARE BUILDING INHOUSE; YOU GO FROM WEEK TO WEEK.

MR. PITTS STATED HE FELT VERY CONFIDENT IN TELLING THE BOARD HE WANT BE BACK NEXT MONTH ASKING FOR ANOTHER \$5,000 IF THEY APPROVE \$10,000 TODAY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION TO APPROVE UP TO \$10,000 TO COMPLETE THE NEW SUNNY HILLS FIRE DEPARTMENT. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED THE MOTION AND ASKED TO PUT IN THE MOTION TO LET DAVID WORK ON IT SO THEY CAN GO AHEAD AND GET IT DONE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE THOUGHT THAT WAS WHAT THEY WERE DOING ANYWAY. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID DAVID HAS DONE A LOT OF WORK IN THE SHORT TIME HE HAS BEEN THERE AND IF HE CAN KEEP THE PACE UP LIKE HE IS DOING, HOPEFULLY, THEY CAN BE MOVED IN BEFORE NEXT YEAR THIZS TIME. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAD NO PROBLEM WITH THAT.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, REPORT:

1. NRCS PROJECTS/FIRETOWER AND GILBERTS MILL; HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR HAVING TO PROVIDE 25% INKIND SERVICES ON THESE PROJECTS. THE TOTAL GRANT AMOUNT WAS \$372,000, WHICH MEANS THE COUNTY'S TOTAL INKIND WAS A SUBSTANTIAL EFFORT. THEY WERE ABLE TO MEET THE 25% REQUIREMENTS. HE HANDED THEM A BREAKDOWN OF THE GRANT MONEY, WHERE THE INDIVIDUAL MONIES WERE SPENT AND WHAT PERCENT OF INKIND THEY ENDED UP WITH, WHICH WAS PROVIDED BY MS. DEBBIE AT PUBLIC

19-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 330

WORKS. STACY WEBB WILL BE SUBMITTING ALL THIS INFORMATION TO SANDY MEANS OF NRCS TO CLOSE THESE PROJECTS OUT. IT APPEARS THEY ARE GOING TO BE OKAY WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ONE ISSUE THAT HAS COME UP. NRCS PAYS FOR EVERYTHING FROM THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT OUT AND WILL NOT PAY FOR ANYTHING FROM THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT OR THE EDGE OF THE DIRT ROAD IN. THE WAY ALL THE CONTRACTS WERE SET UP IS THEY HAD FEMA MONEY SET UP TO PAY FOR INSIDE THE ROAD ISSUES AND THE NRCS MONIES SET UP TO HANDLE ANYTHING ELSE ON FIRETOWER ROAD. THERE WERE TWO PW'S ISSUED FOR FIRETOWER ROAD; ONE WAS FROM THE FIRST GROUP OF INSPECTORS FOR ABOUT \$160,000; THE ONE THAT ACTUALLY GOT PUT INTO THE SYSTEM WAS FOR ABOUT \$38,000. THE \$38,000 IN FEMA MONEY IS ENOUGH TO COVER WHAT THEY HAVE DONE OUT THERE; THE PROBLEM IS THEY NEED TO REALLOCATE SOME MONEY FOR RECYCLED ASPHALT TO PAY FOR A PORTION OF THE BOX CULVERT. HE HAS TURNED IN A REQUEST TO NRCS TO DO SO AND HE THINKS THEY WILL HAVE AN ON SITE MEETING TO TRY TO REALLOCATE THE FUNDS FROM THE PW. THE BOX CULVERT THAT IS WITHIN THE ROADWAY, NRCS WON'T PAY FOR IT. FEMA DOESN'T HAVE IT IN THE PW THAT IS ON THE SYSTEM RIGHT NOW; BUT, THE BOARD HAS MORE THAN ENOUGH MONEY ON THE PW FOR THAT SPECIFIC ROAD. SO, HE IS TRYING TO GET THEM TO REALLOCATE SOME RECYCLED ASPHALT TO COVER THE COST OF THAT BOX CULVERT. HE THINKS THE BOX CULVERT ITSELF IS ABOUT \$22,000. THEY ENDED UP WITH \$2,927 LEFT IN THE NRCS FUNDS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS ANY MONEY IN THE NRCS GRANT TO ADMINISTER THE GRANT. CLIFF SAID NRCS ALLOWS UP TO 8%.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD GOT THE WHOLE 8%.

CLIFF ADVISED THEY DID. WHEN COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT IT WAS ALLOCATED TO, CLIFF REPORTED IT WAS ALLOCATED TO THE ENGINEERING, DESIGN, SURVEYING, CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AND THE CONSTRUCTION INSPECTIONS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHO OVERSEEN THE CONTRACT. CLIFF STATED HE DID.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS NO MONEY ALLOCATED FOR THE GRANTS DEPARTMENT OUT OF THE NRCS GRANTS AND WAS THAT

20-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 331

CUSTOMARY. CLIFF ADVISED THERE WAS NO MONEY ALLOCATED FOR THE GRANTS DEPARTMENT OUT OF THE NRCS GRANTS; ON CDBG GRANTS, THERE USUALLY IS A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE CONTRACT THAT IS SET UP SPECIFICALLY FOR CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION THAT USUALLY DOES GO TO THE GRANTS DEPARTMENT TO HELP COVER COST.

2. FINAL TWO CHANGE ORDERS TO CLOSE OUT THE PROJECTS;

A. CHANGE ORDER #2 IS FOR ADDITIONAL 100 SQUARE YARDS OF ALABAMA CLASS II RIP RAP FOR A TOTAL OF \$11,823.

B. CHANGE ORDER #3 IS TO DEDUCT SOME OF THE RECYCLED ASPHALT THAT WAS IN THE CONTRACT TOTALLING \$6,953.30. BASICALLY YOU ARE ADDING APPROXIMATELY \$4,000 TO THE OVERALL CONTRACT; BUT, THE TWO CHANGE

ORDERS ARE WRITTEN SEPARATELY AND BECAUSE THEY ARE WRITTEN SEPARATELY, THE \$11,823 IS OVER MR. PITTS' AUTHORIZATION SO IT REQUIRES BOARD ACTION.

CLIFF REQUESTED BOARD ACTION TO SPEND THE REST OF THE MONEY ON RIP RAP, WHICH HAS REALLY ALREADY BEEN COMPLETED; THEY HAD A NOVEMBER 25TH DEADLINE AND WASN'T IN A POSITION TO GET IT BEFORE THE BOARD BEFORE THE DEADLINE. NRCS HAS INSPECTED BOTH JOBS; SANDY MEANS WAS VERY SATISFIED WITH BOTH PROJECTS. SHE ASKED IF THERE WAS A WAY THEY COULD GET MORE RECYCLED ASPHALT ON FIRETOWER, SHE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT HAPPEN; BUT, SHE HAS NO JURISDICTION ON THE ROADWAY.

CLIFF EXPLAINED HE HAD THAT ONE ISSUE WITH FEMA TO TRY TO GET IT SQUARED AWAY; IF HE CAN DO THAT, THEY WILL BE SET. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF NOT, THEY STILL NEED TO PUT MILLED ASPHALT ON THE ROAD.

CLIFF SAID THEY ACTUALLY PUT THREE LOADS OR SIX LOADS AT THE CULVERT CROSSING AND ANOTHER HALF LOAD OR LOAD AT A PLACE WHERE THE ROAD HAD BROKEN THROUGH. REALLY FROM HIGHWAY 77, ALL THE WAY DOWN THE HILL TO WHERE THE BOX CULVERT IS, THAT WHOLE STRETCH COULD USE SOME RECYCLED ASPHALT AND THAT IS WHAT WAS IN THE FEMA PW. HE THOUGHT .37 OR .38 MILES WAS WHAT WAS IN THE PW.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF FEMA APPROVED THAT. CLIFF REPORTED THAT HAS BEEN APPROVED AND OBLIGATED; WHAT HE IS TRYING TO

21-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 332

DO NOW IS GET THEM TO DEOBLIGATE SOME OF THE RECYCLED ASPHALT TO COVER THE CULVERT CROSSING WHICH WAS APPROVED ON THE FIRST PW FEMA ISSUED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TO APPROVE THE TWO CHANGE ORDERS ON THE NRCS PROJECTS CLIFF REQUESTED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THERE WAS A DSR ON THAT ROAD FOR MILLED ASPHALT BECAUSE IT HAD MILLED ASPHALT ON IT AND THERE IS A POSSIBILITY SOME MILLED ASPHALT GOES BACK ON IT.

CLIFF STATED THERE IS A PW WRITTEN FOR MILLED ASPHALT ON THAT ROAD RIGHT NOW; IT IS ABOUT \$32,000 OR \$33,000 FEMA ALLOCATED FOR RECYCLED ASPHALT AND THEY ALLOCATED ANOTHER \$5,000 FOR HAZARD MITIGATION WITH RIP RAP. THE RIP RAP HAS ALREADY BEEN COVERED BY NRCS. WE ARE HOPING TO REALLOCATE SOME OF THAT MONEY FOR THE BOX CULVERT. HE SAID THE ARGUMENT HE HAS IS THE ORIGINAL PW PROVIDED BY THE FIRST GROUP OF FEMA INSPECTORS WAS \$161,000 FOR THE PROJECT AND IT CHANGED TO \$38,000. THAT IS A PRETTY HUGE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ONE SET OF INSPECTORS AND ANOTHER SET OF INSPECTORS. OF COURSE THE ONE THAT GOT PUT INTO THE SYSTEM WAS THE \$38,000 PW.

CLIFF REPORTED PROBABLY BY TOMORROW AFTERNOON THEY SHOULD BE COMPLETED WITH THE ADDITIONAL WORK ON SHELL LANDING ROAD AND BETHEL ROAD FOR THE CONCRETE DITCHES TO SPEND THE REST OF THEIR GRANT MONEY ON THE SCRAP PROJECTS. THEY WILL BE CLOSING OUT BETHEL, SHELL LANDING AND BONNETT POND ROAD BEFORE THE END OF THE YEAR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THEY COULD GO AHEAD AND FINISH THE FEMA PROJECT TO CORRECT THE EROSION PROBLEM AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THERE WERE TWO SEPARATE PW'S; ONE IS FOR DANIELS LAKE ROAD AND ONE IS FOR THE POND AND PARKING LOT AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER. THE TOTAL PW BETWEEN THE TWO IS ABOUT \$96,000. THEY PUT IT OUT FOR BID, HAD A CONTRACTOR COME IN WITH A PRICE RIGHT AROUND

22-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 333

\$96,000, THE PROJECT WAS PUT ON HOLD BECAUSE THE PROJECT HADN'T BEEN OBLIGATED. AS FAR AS HE KNOWS, THE PROJECTS STILL HAVEN'T BEEN OBLIGATED FOR THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER. HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE BOARD WAS WANTING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE CONTRACT ONCE THE FUNDS WERE OBLIGATED. IF THE BOARD WANTS TO DO ANYTHING DIFFERENT SUCH AS DO THE WORK INHOUSE OR HOWEVER THEY WANT TO HANDLE IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK INTERRUPTED AND SAID THAT WAS HIS QUESTION; WHENEVER THE FUNDING CAME BACK TO THE COUNTY, THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER FUNDING WOULDN'T IN THAT.

CLIFF REITERATED HIS UNDERSTANDING FROM DEBBIE RILEY, PUBLIC WORKS, IS THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER FUNDING WAS NOT OBLIGATED; THE BOARD HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR THE \$96,000. UNLESS THE BOARD HAD THE MONEY SITTING IN A POT AND WANTED TO FRONT THE MONEY UNTIL THOSE FUNDS WERE OBLIGATED, THEY WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO PAY THE CONTRACTOR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WHAT HE WAS SAYING THIS NEW ONE, THIS \$5,000,000 THAT WAS APPROVED, THAT PW WASN'T IN THE \$5,000,000; IT WAS LEFT OUT.

CLIFF REITERATED HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE PW HAS NOT BEEN OBLIGATED ACCORDING TO DEBBIE. THE PROBLEM IS THEY HAVE EROSION ISSUES DOWN THERE, NFWMD HAS SENT THEM THREE WARNING LETTERS, THEY HAVE HAD TO FIX UP THE EROSION THREE TIMES DOWN THERE TO TRY TO KEEP IT FROM DISCHARGING TO SOME WETLANDS THAT ARE JUST WEST OF THE COUNTY'S SITE. HIS CONCERN IS IF THEY KEEP GETTING SOME HEAVY RAINS, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE MORE PROBLEMS WITH NFWMD AND THEIR INSPECTORS IF THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO GET SOMETHING GOING FAIRLY SOON.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED CLIFF, IN HIS OPINION, WHAT WOULD IT TAKE TO GET THAT OPERATING TO GET NFWMD OFF THEIR BACK IRREGARDLESS OF WHAT FEMA IS DOING. THAT IS MORE DANGER RIGHT THERE THAN ABOUT THE MONEY. IT WON'T AFFECT FEMA IF THE COUNTY GOES IN THERE AND CLEARS UP SOME OF THAT STUFF THEY ARE LOOKING AT.

CLIFF ADDRESSED IT BEING A SIGNIFICANT EARTHWORK PROJECT; THEY WOULD HAVE TO MOVE A LOT OF DIRT DOWN THERE TO GET IT ALL STRAIGHTENED

23-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 334

OUT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT WAS NOT HALF AS BIG AS IT USE TO BE. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO; RESHAPE THE POND, RESOD IT, ETC. CLIFF SAID THEY WOULD HAVE TO RESHAPE THE POND, REBUILD SOME SWALES. THE SPREADER BERM THAT WAS PART OF THE FEMA MITIGATION THAT WOULD SPREAD THE WATER OUT INSTEAD OF ALLOWING IT TO COME INTO THE POND IN A CONCENTRATED AREA; THERE IS SOME SWALE CONSTRUCTION, LOTS OF DIRT TO MOVE AND LOTS OF SODDING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE DIRT HAS TO BE MOVED OR

DO THEY HAVE TO HAUL DIRT THERE; HAS IT GOT TO BE MOVED FROM ONE PLACE TO THE OTHER.

CLIFF EXPLAINED IT WOULD BE MOVED FROM ONE PLACE TO THE OTHER IN SOME AREAS WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO NEED SOME SAND AND CLAY AROUND THE EDGES OF THE POND TO TRY TO STABILIZE IT A LITTLE BIT. BUT, BASICALLY IT IS MOVING DIRT ON SITE FROM ONE AREA TO THE OTHER IS THE MAJORITY OF THE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THERE WAS SUCH A THING AS CONTACTING FEMA ON THIS EMERGENCY SITUATION AND MAYBE GET THIS THING SET UP.

CLIFF ADDRESSED HIM BEING IN COMMUNICATION WITH THE PACK LEADER AND HE HAS TALKED WITH THEM SEVERAL TIMES; HE CAN CERTAINLY ASK THEM AND HE CAN GET DEBBIE RILEY AT PUBLIC WORKS TO START YANKING ON THEM TOO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID JUST LET FEMA KNOW IT IS THE CRISIS IT IS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID TO TELL FEMA THEY HAVE A CONTRACTOR AND IF IT IS APPROVED, THEY CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH IT.

CLIFF SAID THE PROBLEM IS EVERYTIME THEY GO OUT THERE AND FIX SOMETHING ELSE, THE COUNTY IS SPENDING MONEY THEY ARE NOT GOING TO GET BACK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED CLIFF TO SEE IF THEY CAN GET THE MONEY FOR THAT JOB FUNDED AND GET STARTED ON IT. THIS WAS THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS ALSO.

24-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 335

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE DANIELS LAKE ROAD HADN'T BEEN APPROVED EITHER. CLIFF ITERATED IT HAD BEEN APPROVED; BUT, IT HASN'T BEEN OBLIGATED. THEY WILL GET THE MONEY EVENTUALLY.

CLIFF SAID THE OTHER DIFFICULT PART IS THE PACK LEADER IS THE STATE SIDE AND THE STATE DOESN'T REALLY HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF LEVERAGE IN GETTING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT TO OBLIGATE FUNDS; SO, THERE IS A BIG BREAK IN COMMUNICATION OR CONTINUITY, ETC. BETWEEN THE STATE AND FEDERAL ON MAKING THAT HAPPEN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED MS. WALTERS WAS A BIG HELP TO THEM AND SHE MIGHT BE AGAIN. CLIFF AGREED.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE LAST TIME HE WENT DOWN DANIELS LAKE ROAD, IT IS GETTING NARROWER AND NARROWER. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THEY WERE LOSING THE SHOULDERS ON THE ROAD; NOW, THEY ARE LOSING MILLED ASPHALT BECAUSE IT IS JUST SITTING THERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD THE BOARD THE ROCKS WERE GONE, THE THREE BOAT LANDINGS HAVE BEEN FIXED AND THEY ARE 100% BETTER IN HIS OPINION; THEY LOOK GOOD. DAVID DID A GOOD JOB; ROAD AND BRIDGE. DAVID DID THE RIP RAP AND PUT THE SANDBAGS IN. THE BOAT RAMPS LOOK GOOD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION TO TERMINATE THE CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY MANAGER AS IT IS RIGHT NOW AND PLACE HIM BACK IN THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED THE MOTION FOR DISCUSSION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HIS QUESTION IS "WHY."

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THERE ARE SEVERAL REASONS AND HE DON'T WANT THIS TO GET PERSONAL. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE HIM BACK IN THE BUILDING OFFICIAL. HE THINKS HE IS AN EXCELLENT BUILDING OFFICIAL INSPECTOR. HE WILL GO SO FAR AS SAYING WHEN MR. PITTS CAME TO WASHINGTON COUNTY, HIS HOUSE WAS ONE OF THE VERY FIRST ONES HE GAVE A CO

TO. HE HAS THE UTMOST RESPECT FOR HIM IN THE WAY HE WAS TREATED. HE JUST DON'T BELIEVE IT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CITIZENS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR HIM TO MANAGE THE COUNTY.

25-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 336

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WHAT WAS HIS PROPOSAL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID TO PUT HIM BACK IN THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT AND GET AN INTERIM UNTIL THEY CAN BID THIS PROCESS OUT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE THOUGHT MR. PITTS HAD DONE A GOOD JOB SINCE HE HAS BEEN AN INTERIM AND A GOOD JOB AS BEING OUR ADMINISTRATOR. GRANTED HE DIDN'T COME WITH ALL THE CREDENTIALS THAT PROBABLY WE WANT; BUT, AT THE TIME IT SEEMED TO BE A GOOD THING TO DO AND IT SOLVED SEVERAL PROBLEMS. AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED, HE IS DOING A GOOD JOB AND HE WON'T SUPPORT ANYTHING TO GET RID OF HIM.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID PERSONALLY, HE THINKS IT IS A SLAP IN MR. PITTS FACE AND ANYBODY ELSE THAT HAS BEEN HIRED RECENTLY FACE. HE IS DOING A GOOD JOB. HE HAS SAVED US A BUNCH OF MONEY AND NOW WE ARE GOING TO START CLEANING HOUSE. THAT IS WHAT SOME OF US RUN AGAINST. BUT, HE HAS A CONTRACT. HOW ARE YOU GOING TO AVOID THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID MR. PITTS' CONTRACT HAS PROVISIONS IN IT. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE KNOWS EXACTLY WHAT IT HAS IN IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS ALL HE WANTS TO DO IS EXERCISE THE CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE NEXT THING YOU KNOW WE WILL BE HIRING AND FIRING EVERY MONTH. HE IS JUST APPALLED AT IT.

HEATHER FINCH, HR, SAID SHE COULDN'T SIT BACK THERE AND NOT SPEAK. SHE DOESN'T WANT TO SEE SOMETHING HAPPEN AND HER NOT SPEAK HER MIND. I HOPE THIS IS OKAY. SHE HAS BEEN VERY PRIVILEGED TO WORK CLOSELY WITH MR. PITTS SINCE FEBRUARY AND LIKE THEY SAID, HE HAS BEEN NOTHING BUT SUPPORTIVE TO THE MANAGEMENT STAFF HERE AND TO THE EMPLOYEES. WE DETAILED OUT A MEMO TO THE COMMISSION AND EVEN TO THE PRESS, HE HAS PROBABLY SAVED UPWARD \$100,000 AT THE LEAST AT THIS POINT. IT WOULD BE A TREMENDOUS LOSS SHE THOUGHT TO US, AS EMPLOYEES TO LOSE HIM TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT. SHE KNOWS HE DID A GOOD JOB DOWN THERE; SHE WORKED SIDE BY SIDE WITH HIM DOWN THERE. BUT, BEING COUNTY MANAGER, HE HAS BEEN VERY INSTRUMENTAL IN HELPING HER DEPART-

26-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 337

MENT SUCCEED AS WELL AS OTHERS SEEING THEY HAVE THE THINGS THEY NEED. SHE REALLY FEELS LIKE THE OTHER DIRECTORS IN THE ROOM HERE WOULD ECHO HER SENTIMENTS BECAUSE THEY HAVE HAD THIS CONVERSATION BEFORE. THEY HAVE SAT AS DIRECTORS AND THEY WERE EVEN GOING TO WRITE SOMETHING TO ALL OF THE BOARD LETTING THEM KNOW HOW MUCH HE HAS SUPPORTED THEM AND HOW MUCH THEY APPRECIATE THAT. HE MAY NOT HAVE EVERY SINGLE THING

THAT EVERYBODY WANTS; WE ALL HAVE DIFFERENT THINGS WE WANT. BUT, HE IS DOING THE JOB AND HE IS DOING IT WELL. FOR THE PEOPLE WHO ARE HERE EVERY SINGLE DAY AND SEE THAT JOB, SHE HOPES THE BOARD WILL RECONSIDER THAT MOTION.

COMMISSIONER PATE STATED THIS SENDS A MESSAGE IF YOU DO A GOOD JOB AND ARE TEEING PEOPLE OFF OUT THERE WHO ARE NOT DOING WHAT THEY WANT TO, YOU MAY AS WELL FORGET IT, PACK UP AND GO TO THE HOUSE BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO SEND YOU TO THE HOUSE. HE THINKS IT IS REALLY ASSENINE TO EVEN BE BROUGHT UP HERE TODAY. HE IS SORRY IF YOU DON'T LIKE THAT; BUT, WE ARE GOING TO CALL FOR A VOTE THEN WE WILL HAVE TO DEAL WITH WHAT COMES OUT OF IT. HE ASKED THE BOARD IF THEY WERE READY TO VOTE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID "LET ME PUT MY TWO CENTS IN." COMMISSIONER PATE STATED THAT WOULD BE INTERESTING. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND THEN SAID QUESTION; LETS VOTE.

ON A ROLL CALL VOTE, THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND PATE VOTING NO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THIS IS MR. PITTS' CONTRACT; ALL THE BOARD IS DOING IS EXERCISING HIS CONTRACT. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NO; ALL WE ARE DOING IS HEAD HUNTING. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT DISAGREED.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HE CLAIMS TO BE A GOOD BUSINESSMAN; BUT, HE HAS DOUBTS ABOUT IT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED COMMISSIONER PATE IF HE WANTED TO COMPARE CHECKBOOKS. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WASN'T GOING TO ARGUE WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT UP HERE; BUT, AS LONG AS HE IS CHAIRMAN, HE IS BASICALLY IN CONTROL OF THIS MEETING. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID YES YOU ARE.

27-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 338

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED WHEN DO THEY MAKE THIS EFFECTIVE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID EFFECTIVELY IMMEDIATELY; TOMORROW.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHO IS GOING TO BE THE INTERIM. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE THOUGHT THIS IS SOMETHING WE NEED TO DETERMINE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NO; YOU FIRED HIM. DETERMINE WHO YOU ARE GOING TO PUT IN THERE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE SOME TIME TO RESEARCH THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NO SIR; WE SHOULD HAVE DONE THAT BEFORE YOU DONE THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID YOU JUST FIRED THE ADMINISTRATOR. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID YOU FIRED THE ADMINISTRATOR. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE THOUGHT ALL THEY HAD DONE WAS TO EXERCISE THE CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NO; WHAT WE HAVE DONE IS FIRED HIM. THERE IS NO EXERCISING IN THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID AS THE ADMINISTRATOR AND PUT HIM BACK IN THE BUILDING OFFICIAL AND THAT VOTE HAS BEEN DONE. HE ASKED COMMISSIONER PATE IF HE HAD ANOTHER QUESTION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED HOW ARE THEY GOING TO FUND THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT TO PAY THE SALARY. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WITH MR. PITTS BEING IN THERE, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO NEED A BUILDING INSPECTOR SO THAT IS AN EXPENSE THAT GOES OFF.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY ARE STILL GOING TO BE WAY SHORT OF MONEY. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID NOT BAD SHORT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WE ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY MONEY TO HIRE IN HIS PLACE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, IN THE MEAN TIME IN THE

INTERIM HERE UNTIL THEY DECIDE WHAT ELSE THEY ARE GOING TO DO, THEY PUT MR. HAGAN IN CHARGE TO RUN THE COUNTY. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED THE MOTION FOR DISCUSSION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WITH THIS BEING THE HOLIDAY SEASON, DO WE NEED THE INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER. COMMISSIONER PATE AND HOWELL SAID YES SIR.

28-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 339

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF WE DIDN'T NEED ONE IN THE HOLIDAY SEASON, WHY HAVE ONE AT ALL. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE UNDERSTANDS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THE ADMINISTRATOR TAKES VACATION, AS IT STANDS NOW IN THIS COUNTY, WHO IS ACTING ADMINISTRATOR. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID ONE OF THE DIVISION CHIEFS. THE ADMINISTRATOR USUALLY APPOINTS ONE OF THE DIVISION CHIEFS TO STAND IN WHILE HE IS GONE; IT COULD BE DAVID, ROGER DALE, ANY OF THE DIVISION CHIEFS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED WOULDN'T RANDY ONE OF THEM. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NO SIR; THAT WAS NEVER VOTED ON.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, IN THE INTERIM HERE, UNTIL THEY DECIDE WHAT ELSE THEY ARE GOING TO DO, TO PUT MR. HAGAN IN CHARGE TO RUN THE COUNTY.

COUNTY ATTORNEY REPORT-ATTORNEY GOODMAN:

A. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD WITH THE TCC PIPE PROJECT, THERE WAS AN ISSUE ABOUT TCC PROCURING SOME MORE USABLE LAND AND THERE WAS A TEN ACRE SPACE THAT BEFORE HE BECAME COUNTY ATTORNEY, THE BOARD HAD HAD DISCUSSION ON GIVING TCC THAT PROPERTY FOR USABLE SPACE IN CONJUNCTION IT IS KIND OF IN ONE OF THE CORNERS ON THE LAND. HE AND CLIFF HAD GONE AND RODE IT. TCC'S LEGAL STAFF HAS LOOKED AT THE ISSUE, HE HAS LOOKED AT THE ISSUE AND THEY HAVE HAD A THIRD INDEPENDENT PARTY LOOK AT THE ISSUE AND THERE IS A CLOUDY TITLE. THERE IS A GAP IN TITLES. WITH PERMISSION OF THE BOARD, HE NEEDS TO DO A QUIT TITLE ACTION SO THEY CAN CLEAR TITLE TO GIVE THEM WHAT THEY NEED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS AGREEMENT THEY HAVE WITH THEM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO BEGIN QUIT TITLE ACTION ON THE ADDITIONAL TEN ACRES OF USABLE LAND THE BOARD HAD AGREED TO GIVE TCC.

29-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 340

B. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD AT THEIR LAST MEETING IN NOVEMBER, MS. CRAFT CAME IN ABOUT HER ANIMALS. HE HAS HAD SEVERAL DISCUSSIONS WITH DAVID CORBIN AND HIS STAFF REGARDING THE INCIDENT. HE HAD ASSURED THE BOARD HE WOULD RESEARCH IT AND GIVE THEM HIS DEBRIEFING ON WHERE WE ARE AT. IN APRIL OF LAST YEAR THERE WAS AN INCIDENT INVOLVING THOSE TWO DOGS. THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD DEEMED

THEM TO BE DANGEROUS. MRS. CRAFT AND HER HUSBAND APPEALED THAT DESIGNATION TO THE LOCAL CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE. HONORABLE JUDGE COLBY PEEL AFFIRMED TWICE THE COUNTY'S DESIGNATION, THAT DOG WAS DEEMED DANGEROUS. DURING THAT PROCESS OF APPEALS, THERE WAS ANOTHER INCIDENT AND CALL WHERE OUR STAFF HAD TO GO TO THE PROPERTY AND AT THAT TIME THE TWO DOGS VIOLATED THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCE. AT THAT TIME WHILE THE APPELLATE PROCESS WAS GOING ON, THE STAFF DETAINED THE DOGS AND PUT THE DOGS UP. MS. CRAFT CAME TO THE BOARD THE LAST TIME AND IT WAS A LONE COMPLAINT; BUT, HE THINKS IT WAS TWO FOLD. SOME OF THE COMPLAINT WAS WHETHER THE COUNTY ACTED IMPROPERLY IN DETAINING THE DOGS AND THE SECOND COMPLAINT WAS THE AMOUNT OF FEES THAT WAS CURRENTLY BEING ASSESSED TO HER. WITH RESPECT TO THE ACTIONS OF THE COUNTY'S ANIMAL CONTROL UNIT, HE FELT LIKE AFTER TALKING WITH THEM, THEY HAD THE RIGHT PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCE TO DETAIN THE DOGS WHEN THEY WENT OUT IN AUGUST. WITH RESPECT TO THE FEES THAT ARE OUTSTANDING, AT THE TIME MS. CRAFT WAS HERE LAST TIME, HE BELIEVES THEY WERE \$1200; THEY ARE PROBABLY CLOSER TO \$1500 TODAY. THE QUESTION HE NEEDS FROM THE BOARD IS A RECOMMENDATION OR A GUIDANCE FROM THE BOARD IF THEY WANT TO HOLD FAST TO THAT NUMBER AND IF SO THEY NEED TO GIVE MS. CRAFT SOME NOTICE IT NEEDS TO BE PAID OR THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO SOMETHING ELSE WITH THE DOGS. THE QUESTION, HE CAN'T MAKE THE DECISION ON WHAT THAT NUMBER IS TO SETTLE AND ALLOW MS. CRAFT TO PICK UP THE DOGS. IF MS. CRAFT DOES PICK THEM UP AND PAY WHATEVER THE BOARD REQUIRES HER TO PAY, SHE ALSO NEEDS TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH TO THE DANGEROUS DOG STATUTE WHICH MEANS SHE HAS TO SHOW RABIE SHOTS, SHOW THEY ARE IN A FENCED

30-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 341

IN AREA OR THERE IS A FENCED IN AREA DESIGNATED FOR THEM AND THERE IS SOME SORT OF MICROCHIP OR TRANSMITTER OR SOME SORT OF IDENTIFYING DEVICE ON THIS DOG AND THAT SHOULD BE PART OF WHATEVER THEY REQUIRE HER TO DO PURSUANT TO STATUTE. BUT, AS FAR AS WHAT THE BOARD WANTS TO RELEASE THOSE DOGS TO MS. CRAFT FOR, THAT IS A DECISION THEY WILL HAVE TO MAKE. BUT, HE THOUGHT THE BOARDING COST LAST TIME WAS \$1200 AND THEY ARE GOING IN FIVE DAY INCREMENTS AND WE ARE ABOUT A MONTH LATER AND ARE CLOSE TO ABOUT \$1500. WHATEVER THE BOARD WANTS TO DO, THAT IS THEIR DECISION; BUT, AS FOR AS THE APPROPRIATENESS OF OUR DETAINING THE DOGS, HE BELIEVES ANIMAL CONTROL HAD THE RIGHT TO DETAIN THE DOGS BY BEING IN VIOLATION OF THE COUNTY'S DOG ORDINANCE.

MS. TIFFANY CRAFT ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING ONE OF HER MAJOR COMPLAINTS ABOUT ANIMAL CONTROL TAKING HER DOGS WAS THEY ACTUALLY CALLED HER DOGS OFF HER PROPERTY WHEN THEY DETAINED HER DOGS. SHE SAID SHE DIDN'T KNOW IF MR. CORBIN HAD TOLD ATTORNEY GOODMAN THAT OR NOT; BUT, THAT WAS ESTABLISHED IN THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD HEARING. SHE SAID THE OTHER THING IS, WHATEVER THE BOARD WORKS OUT IS FINE; SHE JUST WANTS HER DOGS BACK SO IF THERE IS SOMETHING THEY CAN DO ABOUT THAT. THE THIRD QUESTION SHE HAS IS DID THIS BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ACTUALLY CREATE A DANGEROUS DOG BOARD BECAUSE THERE IS NOTHING IN THE ORDINANCES AND SHE DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW THE BOARD IS IN EXISTENCE.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD MS. CRAFT SHE HAD BEEN BEFORE THE BOARD AND THIS IS ABOUT THE THIRD OR FOURTH TIME HE HAS HEARD THIS, DIFFERENT THINGS AND ALL, AND SHE KEEPS BRINGING UP AND CHALLENGING THAT. HE THINKS THE JUDGE PROBABLY RULED ON THAT PRETTY MUCH WHEN HE

minutes12162010.txt

TURNED HER DOWN. THE BOARD IS HERE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO REDUCE HER FEE, ETC. THE ATTORNEY HAS JUST MADE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS.

MS. CRAFT SAID THAT WAS CORRECT AND AGREED WITH THAT; BUT, FOR THE FUTURE AND FOR OTHER PEOPLE THAT ARE INVOLVED, SHE IS SURE SHE IS NOT THE ONLY PERSON IN THIS COUNTY THAT HAS HAD A DANGEROUS DOG

31-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 342

OR HAS HAD A BOARD DEEM THEM DANGEROUS. WHAT SHE IS SAYING IS THAT THE COUNTY SHOULD HAVE ACTUALLY APPOINTED A BOARD. SHE IS ASKING IF THEY HAVE APPOINTED A BOARD. MR. PATE IS ON THIS DANGEROUS DOG BOARD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT WAS CORRECT, AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW. MS. CRAFT AGREED; BUT, IT ALSO SAYS THE COUNTY IS SUPPOSE TO HAVE IT IN THEIR STUFF AND SHE IS ASKING HAVE THEY DONE THAT. THAT IS ALL SHE IS ASKING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED TO RESPOND TO THAT. HE SAID FIRST OF ALL THERE WAS AN ISSUE BROUGHT UP BEFORE HONORABLE JUDGE PEEL, THE CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE RIGHT DOWN THE ROAD AND THE QUESTION WAS DID THE COUNTY HAVE THE AUTHORITY AND DID THEY ACT PROPERLY IN DEEMING THE DOGS DANGEROUS DOGS. THE JUDGE RULED ONCE THE COUNTY HAD THE AUTHORITY AND DID ACT PROPERLY. THE CLAIMANT APPEALED. THE JUDGE DENIED THAT ORDER SO HE LOOKED AT THE ISSUE TWICE AND CLAIMED THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD ACTED APPROPRIATELY. HE ADDRESSED THE FLORIDA STATUTES GIVES A LOT OF LEADWAY IN THE ABILITY OF A LOCAL COMMUNITY TO DICTATE HOW THE BOARD IS STRUCTURED, WHO IS ON THE BOARD AND THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD ACTED PURSUANT TO THE GUIDELINES OF NOT ONLY FLORIDA STATUTES BUT THE COUNTY'S OWN LOCAL ORDINANCE. WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPRIETY OF THE ACTIONS OF THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES AT THE SITE IN PICKING UP THE DOG, HE HAS INTERVIEWED SEVERAL PEOPLE. OBVIOUSLY THERE IS GOING TO BE A DISPUTE AS TO FACT AS TO WHAT HAPPENED. SOME MATTERS ARE ISSUES OF LAW AND SOME MATTERS ARE ISSUES OF FACT AND THEY CAN SIT HERE ALL DAY AND BANGER BACK AND FORTH ABOUT WHY WHAT HAPPENED HAPPENED. HE CAN TELL THE BOARD FROM HIS POINT OF VIEW AND THE PEOPLE HE HAS INTERVIEWED AND TALKED TO ABOUT THE SITUATION, HE THOUGHT THE EMPLOYEES ACTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCE. THAT IS AS FOR AS HE CAN GO FROM A LEGAL PROSPECTIVE. WHAT THE BOARD DOES IN RESPECT TO THE FINES AND HOW THEY WANT TO ADMINISTER THE CURRENT BOARDING FEE IS UP TO THEM. HE DID SAY, HE BELIEVES, AND ASKED MR. CORBIN IF HE WAS

32-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 343

WRONG TO PLEASE TELL HIM, HE THOUGHT THE FEE IS \$50 EVERY FIVE DAYS; BUT HE THINKS BETWEEN \$38 AND \$39 OF THAT IS CHARGED TO THE COUNTY BY THE KENNEL FOR THEIR CHARGES FOR VET SERVICES, FOOD, WATER, ETC. IT

IS NOT LIKE THEY DROPPED THE DOGS OFF AND THE VET DOES THIS OUT OF THE GOODNESS OF THEIR HEART. HE MAY BE WRONG ON THAT; BUT, THAT IS THE WAY IT WAS EXPLAINED TO HIM. SO THERE IS A FEE CHARGED TO THE COUNTY ON A DAILY AND TIME PERIOD BASIS FOR THE CARE OF THE DOGS AND THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED TO DO SO AS LONG AS THEY ARE IN THEIR CARE AND CUSTODY.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THE ONLY THING THEY ARE HERE TO DECIDE TODAY IS WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO REDUCE THE FEES OR HOLD MS. CRAFT TO THE FEES CHARGED BECAUSE A LOT OF THESE ACTIONS AND A LOT OF THIS COST IS BECAUSE MS. CRAFT APPEALED AND KEPT DRAGGING IT OUT.

MS. CRAFT STATED SHE APPEALED, AND THE ONLY THING SHE APPEALED, WAS THE FACT THE BOARD SAID HER DOGS WERE NOT AGGRESSIVE BUT DANGEROUS BY STATUTE. SHE APPEALED THE AGGRESSIVENESS OF THE DOGS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED TO MS. CRAFT SHE HAD THE RIGHT TO APPEAL THE AUTHORITY AND ACTIONS OF THE BOARD; SHE HAD THAT APPEAL AND JUDGE PEEL RULED TWICE IN THE COUNTY'S FAVOR. SHE HAS HAD THE ABILITY TO APPEAL THAT AND THERE WAS A TIME PERIOD SHE HAD TO APPEAL THAT IN AND SHE APPEALED; SHE LENGTHENED THE APPEAL AS LONG AS SHE COULD BUT THE JUDGE HAS RULED TWICE AND GAVE A FINAL ORDER ACCORDING TO THE MATTER.

MS. CRAFT SAID SHE ACCEPTS THAT. THE TWO ISSUES, ONE IS THE CHARGE AND THE OTHER IS IF THE DANGEROUS DOG BOARD IS ACTUALLY LEGITIMATE AND SHE NEEDED THE COUNTY COMMISSION TO AT LEAST THINK ABOUT THAT JUST FOR A SECOND. SHE IS NOT HERE TO ARGUE OR SAY OH MY DOGS AIN'T DANGEROUS OR TO GO AGAINST ANYTHING THE BOARD HAS ORIGINALLY TOLD HER. SHE WAS JUST ASKING A QUESTION.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD MS. CRAFT SHE HAS ASKED THE QUESTION AND THE BOARD STILL HAS THE QUESTION HERE ABOUT WHAT TO DO WITH

33-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 344

THAT AND THEY NEED TO MOVE ON BECAUSE THEY ARE JUST REHASHING THE SAME THING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO THE LAST TIME THEY HAD TALKED ABOUT THIS, HE THOUGHT THE DISCUSSION HE HEARD SOMEBODY NEEDS TO MAKE A DECISION SO THE LADY CAN GET HER DOGS BACK.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT WAS WHAT HE WAS TRYING TO GET TO NOW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID MS. CRAFT IS WANTING TO KNOW WHAT SHE HAS TO DO TO GET HER DOGS BACK AND WE WANT TO TELL HER WHAT THE FEE IS GOING TO BE. THAT IS WHAT SHE IS ASKING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED, WHERE THE BOARD LEFT IT LAST TIME, THEY INSTRUCTED HIM TO LOOK INTO THE MATTER AND HE SAID BY THIS TIME HE WOULD HAVE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD. AS FAR AS THE LEGAL ASPECT OF IT, HE THOUGHT, AND HE DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT IT UNTIL THE LAST TIME SO IT TOOK HIM SOME TIME TO GET WHERE HE IS TODAY, AS FAR AS THE LAW GOES, HE THINKS THE COUNTY ACTED APPROPRIATELY ACCORDING TO THE ORDINANCE. TO GET HER DOGS BACK, THE FEE IS UP TO THE BOARD. THE ONLY THING STATUTORILY MS. CRAFT IS REQUIRED TO DO BY STATUTE IS TO MAKE SURE SHE HAS AN UPDATED RABIES VACCINE, A PLACE TO PUT THE DOGS THAT IS ENCLOSED AND A MICROCHIP, TATTOO, ETC. FOR IDENTIFYING PURPOSES. THAT IS THE ONLY THING LEGALLY HE CAN TELL THE BOARD WHAT TO DO. WHAT THE BOARD WANTS TO DO WITH THEIR FEE IS UP TO THE DISCRETION OF THE BOARD.

minutes12162010.txt

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED MS. CRAFT IF SHE HAD A PLACE TO KEEP THE ANIMALS INSIDE A FENCE. MS. CRAFT SAID THEY WERE INSIDE HER HOUSE RIGHT NOW; BUT, THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF PUTTING UP A FENCE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED MS. CRAFT IF SHE WAS GOING TO HAVE THESE DOGS TATTOOED OR MICROCHIPPED. MS. CRAFT SAID SHE WOULD HAVE BOTH HER DOGS MICROCHIPPED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHO COULD DO THAT. MS. CRAFT SAID ANIMAL CONTROL IN BAY COUNTY COULD MICROCHIP THE DOGS; THEY CHARGE

34-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 345

ABOUT \$15. SHE HAS ALREADY CHECKED INTO IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYBODY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY THAT DOES THE MICROCHIPPING. MS. CRAFT SAID SHE COULD PROVIDE THE BOARD A CERTIFICATE THAT SHOWS IT HAS BEEN DONE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE COUNTY HAS THE ABILITY TO DO IT; HE KNOWS THERE IS A FEE TO IT BUT HE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT IT IS. HE KNOWS IT IS NOT ASTRONOMICAL. THEY CAN MAKE THE DECISION AS PART OF RELEASING THE DOGS THEY MAKE SURE THEY ARE CHIPPED OR TATTOOED SO THERE IS AN IDENTIFICATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL DIDN'T FEEL THE DOGS SHOULD BE RELEASED UNTIL THEY ARE.

DAVID ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING FOR MS. CRAFT TO GET HER DOGS BACK AFTER WHAT HAS BEEN DONE THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER HAS TO HAVE A COPY OF WHAT THE ATTORNEY HAS JUST ADVISED THE BOARD. HE MADE THE RECOMMENDATION TO THE ATTORNEY THE OTHER DAY. HE WANTS TO SEE MS. CRAFT GET HER DOGS BACK TOO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED DAVID IF ANIMAL CONTROL DOES THE MICROCHIPPING AND TATTOOING OF THE DOGS. DAVID ADVISED THEY DIDN'T; THE LOCAL VETERINARIAN DOES IT.

MS. CRAFT SAID SHE CAN DO IT AND THEY WILL PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION; SHE HAD ANOTHER DOG MICROCHIPPED BEFORE.

DAVID SAID SOME PLACES ARE CHEAPER THAN OTHERS; ANIMAL CONTROL WILL HAVE TO HAVE A COPY OF THE DOCUMENTATION SAYING THE DOGS HAVE BEEN MICROCHIPPED OR TATTOOED, THEY HAVE TO GO INSPECT WHERE MS. CRAFT IS GOING TO KEEP THE DOGS, WHICH JOHN RONEY HAS ALREADY DONE THAT AND SHE HAS TO HAVE A SIGN UP BEWARING THE PUBLIC THE DOGS ARE DEEMED DANGEROUS THEN SHE CAN HAVE HER DOGS BACK AS LONG AS THE FEES IS SETTLED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT DAVID'S RECOMMENDATION WAS ON THE FEES. DAVID ADDRESSED THEM NEVER HAVING A PAYMENT PLAN; BUT, HE TOLD ATTORNEY GOODMAN THE OTHER DAY AFTER A LENGTHY DISCUSSION, HE KNOWS HOW THE ECONOMY IS WITH EVERYBODY AND HE WOULD RECOMMEND

35-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 346

A \$100 A MONTH UNTIL THE BILL WAS PAID.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF WE KNOW THE COST THE COUNTY HAS INCURRED FOR THE BOARDING OF THESE ANIMALS.

DAVID SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION WITH HIM; BUT, HE COULD GET THAT FROM MR. RONEY IN JUST A FEW MINUTES. HE ADDRESSED FROM THE FIRST CASE AND FROM THE APPEALS, THE FEES HAVE KEPT GROWING OUT OF ANIMAL CONTROL'S HANDS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE FOR MS. CRAFT TO HAVE HER DOGS BACK; HE IS NOT IN FAVOR OF WAIVING FEES BECAUSE SHE HAD PLENTY OF OPPORTUNITY AND BEFORE RELEASING THE DOGS, THEY OUGHT TO BE TATTOOED. IF THE KEEPERS OF THE ANIMALS ARE CERTIFIED TO DO THAT, HE THINKS IT IS A VETERINARIAN THAT ACTUALLY BOARDS THE COUNTY'S ANIMALS, HE WOULD LIKE FOR THEM TO EITHER TATTOO OR MICROCHIP THEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO DO IF MS. CRAFT DECIDED NOT TO PAY HER BILL ON TIME. HE IS NOT SAYING SHE WON'T; BUT, THEY HAVE TO LOOK AT ALL THIS STUFF.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF A \$100 A MONTH WAS STEEP FOR MS. CRAFT. MS. CRAFT STATED IT WAS EXTREMELY STEEP.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THOUGHT \$100 A MONTH WAS A MIGHTY BIG FEE. MS. CRAFT SAID SHE WOULD PAY A \$100 RIGHT NOW; BUT, SHE WOULD HAVE TO WORK OUT SOMETHING ELSE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK IT WAS A STEEP FEE; BUT, THERE IS A CHARGE TO THE COUNTY FOR HOLDING THE DOGS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHATEVER IT IS COSTING THE COUNTY TO HOUSE THE ANIMALS, HE WOULD LIKE MS. CRAFT TO PAY THAT AMOUNT BECAUSE IT IS COSTING THE COUNTY TAXPAYERS.

MS. CRAFT SAID SHE KNOWS THE \$38 OR \$39 THE COUNTY GETS CHARGED IS FOR A MONTH; NOT EVERY FIVE DAYS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK RECOMMENDED LETTING MS. CRAFT PAY \$50 A MONTH TOWARD SETTLING THE FEES OWED; HE THINKS A \$100 A MONTH IS STEEP.

DAVID ADDRESSED THEY HAD NEVER DONE A PAYMENT PLAN.

36-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 347

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF THE \$50 A MONTH WAS A RECOMMENDATION OR A MOTION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION FOR MS. CRAFT TO PAY \$50 A MONTH ON THE FEES OWED, SHE BE RESPONSIBLE ONLY FOR WHAT THE COUNTY IS CHARGED TO HOUSE THE ANIMALS AND THE DOGS BE CHIPPED OR TATTOOED BEFORE THEY ARE RELEASED AND THIS BE PART OF THE PAYMENT PLAN TOO.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE BOARD HAS TWO CHOICES; THEY NEED TO EITHER SET WHATEVER THE FEE IS GOING TO BE AND SAY THAT IS GOING TO BE IT OR WAIT BECAUSE HE DOESN'T WANT MS. CRAFT TO DUPLICATE FEES. THAT IS KIND OF WHAT WE ARE DOING IF WE SAY, THE KENNEL FEES ARE OUTRAGEOUS AND IF WE PASS THIS RIGHT NOW THEN MS. CRAFT IS ON THE HOOK FOR THAT PENALTY FEE AND THAT MAY BE WHAT THE BOARD WANTS BUT HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE STEP AND TOES WITH WHAT THEY WANT TO DO. \$50 A MONTH IS \$50 A MONTH; BUT, \$50 A MONTH PLUS WHATEVER THOSE BOARDING FEES ARE COULD BE A LOT BIGGER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAD NO IDEA WHAT THOSE FIGURES ARE.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK'S MOTION WAS FOR MS. CRAFT TO PAY \$50 A MONTH AND ALL SHE WILL BE REQUIRED TO PAY IS THE KENNEL FEES. COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED.

MS. CRAFT SAID HER QUESTION FOR THAT IS THE KENNEL FEES THE

minutes12162010.txt

COUNTY PAYS TO THE VETERINARIAN TO ACTUALLY BOARD THEM IS WHAT HE IS SAYING. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS SAYING WHAT THE COUNTY HAS TO PAY TO THE VETERINARIAN TO HOUSE THE ANIMALS; HE THOUGHT IT WAS SAID THAT WOULD RUN ABOUT \$38 OR \$39 PER ANIMAL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED HE WAS NOT AN EXPERT ON THIS; MR. CORBIN MAY BE ABLE TO GIVE THEM MORE GUIDANCE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED HE LOOKED AT THE LEGAL ASPECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE REQUESTED THEY GET A HANDLE ON THIS THING; IT IS ABOUT AS CLEAR AS MUD WHAT IS GOING ON. WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO DO THIS, WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO DO THAT AND HOW MUCH MONEY IS INVOLVED, ETC.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE FEES WERE \$1200 LAST MONTH AND

37-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 348

ASKED WHAT IT WOULD BE NOW. ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND DAVID AGREED IT WOULD BE CLOSE TO \$1500.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THIS INCLUDED THE KENNEL FEES. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT FEE DOES INCLUDE THE KENNEL FEE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID COMMISSIONER BROCK'S MOTION WAS FOR MS. CRAFT TO PAY \$50 PER MONTH ON THE KENNEL FEES. HE ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE WAS SAYING FOR THE BOARD NOT TO COLLECT THE REST OF THE FEES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAD HEARD NOTHING ABOUT THE COUNTY'S FEE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID ALL OF THAT WAS JUST NOT A KENNEL FEE; THEY CHARGED THE COUNTY \$38 A MONTH FOR THE KENNEL FEE. HE ASKED IF THAT WAS RIGHT.

DAVID EXPLAINED IT RUNS FOR FIVE DAYS. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE KNOWS THAT; BUT, WHEN THE VETERINARIAN CHARGES THE COUNTY, THEY CHARGE THEM \$38 A MONTH IN KENNEL FEES. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID THAT FEE WAS INCLUDED IN THE \$50 A MONTH MS. CRAFT WAS TO PAY; IT IS NOT SEPARATE, A \$50 A MONTH FEE AND A \$38 A MONTH FEE.

MS. CRAFT SAID THE WAY MR. RONEY EXPLAINED IT TO HER IS SHE IS PAYING AN IMPOUND FEE OF \$50 PER DOG EVERY FIVE DAYS. THAT IS LIKE IF THEY WENT AND PICKED UP MY DOGS EVERY FIVE DAYS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID SINCE AUGUST, THAT WOULD BE A LOT MORE MONEY THAN \$1500.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT IS DICTA; THE WAY IT HAS BEEN EXPLAINED TO HIM IS THAT QUOTE UNQUOTE, YOU CAN CALL IT AN IMPOUND FEE, A JAIL FEE, A SMOKING DOG FEE, ETC. INSIDE THAT FEE IS THE COUNTY'S FEES OR THE FEES THE COUNTY GETS CHARGED FROM THE VET, THE POUND. HOWEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT, JUST KNOW A BIG CHUNK OF THAT FEE IS WHAT THE COUNTY PAYS THE VET AND POUND TO KEEP THOSE DOGS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED DAVID HOW MUCH OF THAT \$50 FEE DOES THE COUNTY PAY THE KENNEL OR VETERINARIAN OUT THERE. DAVID SAID IF YOU KEEP IT FIVE DAYS, YOU KEEP WHAT IS LEFT AFTER THE \$38.65 PER ANIMAL FEE THE VETERINARIAN CHARGES. ACTUALLY, HE SAID IT IS LIKE ATTORNEY

38-BCC

GOODMAN SAID, THE \$50 IMPOUND FEE IS THE COUNTY'S POLICY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AMENDED COMMISSIONER BROCK'S MOTION TO TAKE WHATEVER THE FEE IS, \$1500, \$1200, WHATEVER THE FEE IS, SET UP A PAYMENT PLAN AT \$50 A MONTH AND MS. CRAFT GETS HER DOGS BACK AND THE DOGS GET TATTOOED OR MICROCHIPPED BEFORE THEY ARE RELEASED.

DAVID ASKED IF THE BOARD COULD AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO DRAW UP AN AGREEMENT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED A REVISION BE MADE TO THE MOTION AND SAID HE WAS VERY SYMPATHETIC ABOUT THIS WHOLE SITUATION; BUT, HE REALLY HAS A PROBLEM WITH THIS BOARD FORGIVING ANY DEBT THIS COUNTY HAS SET A STANDARD OF BECAUSE THERE IS A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE PAID THE FULL AMOUNT OF DEBT. IF THEY ARE GOING TO SET UP A PAYMENT PLAN FOR MS. CRAFT, SHE NEEDS TO PAY THE FULL AMOUNT OF WHAT THE ACTUAL CHARGES ARE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID THAT WAS WHAT COMMISSIONER HOWELL'S MOTION WAS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED COMMISSIONER HOWELL'S MOTION. HE ASKED IF THIS WAS SETTING A PRECEDENCE FOR EVERYBODY ELSE THAT COMES IN HERE EVERY MONTH FOR A DOG.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID EVERYTHING THE BOARD HAS DONE TODAY SET SOME KIND OF PREFERENCE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND "NO;" THIS IS A SPECIAL CASE. WHEN A SITUATION GETS TO THIS POINT, IT BECOMES A SPECIAL CASE AND IT NEEDS TO COME BEFORE THIS BOARD. HE REITERATED THIS SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN ALLOWED TO LAST THIS LONG.

MS. CRAFT AGREED AND SAID SHE FEELS LIKE THE 30 DAYS SHE HAS JUST HAD TO WAIT WAS FOR THE ATTORNEY TO DO HIS LEGAL RESEARCH AND NOW SHE HAS TO PAY BECAUSE THE ATTORNEY HAD TO DO HIS LEGAL RESEARCH.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID "YES MAAM;" BUT, SHE HAS CAUSED EVERYTHING FROM THE DAY THE RULING WAS MADE HERE BY APPEALING IT, GETTING A RULING AND APPEALING AND APPEALING AND DRAGGING IT OUT.

MS. CRAFT SAID IF SHE DON'T ACCEPT IT, THAT IS HER CONSTITUTIONAL

RIGHT TO BE ABLE TO DO THAT, SO SHE IS BEING PUNISHED.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD MS. CRAFT HE WAS NOT ARGUING THAT; WHEN YOU DO SOMETHING, EVERYONE OF US IN THIS ROOM RIGHT HERE HAS TO TAKE RESPONSIBIITY FOR THEIR ACTIONS, WHATEVER THEY ARE. WHETHER IT IS SPANKING A CHILD, DRAGGING SOMETHING OUT AND PAYING A BILL. HE HAS NEVER IN HIS LIFE DONE WHAT SHE DID, DRAGGING SOMETHING OUT THEN ASK SOMEBODY TO FORGIVE IT.

MS. CRAFT STATED SHE WAS NOT ASKING FOR FORGIVENESS. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID SHE HAD BEEN COMPLAINING ABOUT THIS LAST 30 DAYS; THAT WAS UP TO MS. CRAFT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED IF THE BOARD, BY DOING WHAT WAS IN THE MOTION, ARE THEY DOING ANYTHING AGAINST THE ATTORNEY OR THE JUDGE IN ANY WAY AS HE DON'T WANT TO DO ANYTHING TO GET THEM IN TROUBLE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED ALL THEY ARE DOING IS FINANCING MS. CRAFT'S EXPENSE FOR IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN RESPONDED TO MS. CRAFT REFERRING TO HER 30 DAYS

minutes12162010.txt

WAIT. HE SAID WHEN MS. CRAFT CAME IN LAST TIME OBVIOUSLY HE THOUGHT IT WAS AN UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE ITEM. THE WAY HE REMEMBERS IT, MS. CRAFT CONSISTENTLY HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET HER DOGS; SHE COULD HAVE LEFT THAT DAY, PAID WHATEVER THAT FEE WAS AS LONG AS SHE WAS IN COMPLIANCE WITH STATUTE AND GOTTEN HER DOGS. WHAT SHE DID IS SHE CAME IN AND ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION ON WHAT THE COUNTY DID WAS RIGHT. THE SECOND THING MS. CRAFT DID IS DISPUTE THE FEE. NOBODY TOLD HER SHE COULDN'T GET HER DOGS. WHAT THEY DID TELL HER WAS IF SHE WANTED A LEGAL OPINION ON WHAT HAD HAPPENED FROM THEN UNTIL NOW AND THE APPROPRIATENESS OF THE COUNTY'S EMPLOYEES IT WOULD TAKE SOME TIME TO DO THAT. THAT IS WHAT THEY DID; THEY DID EVERYTHING THEY TOLD MS. CRAFT THEY WERE GOING TO DO. BECAUSE IT TOOK 30 DAYS FOR THE NEXT HEARING DIDN'T MEAN SHE COULDN'T GET HER DOGS; THAT IS NOT WHAT WAS SAID AT THE LAST MEETING. HE WAS HERE FOR THAT AND IT WAS NOT SAID.

40-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 351

MS. CRAFT SAID ON SEPTEMBER 3RD WHEN SHE WENT IN TO GET HER DOGS FROM MR. CORBIN AND MR. PITTS AND ASKED WAS THAT THE DAY ATTORNEY GOODMAN WAS TALKING ABOUT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID NO MAAM. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID HE WAS REFERRING TO THE LAST BOARD MEETING.

MS. CRAFT SAID THAT IS WHAT SHE WANTED CLARIFICATION ON. SHE SAID SHE DOES NOT HAVE \$1200 AND WAS WAITING FOR THE BOARD TO COME UP TO SEE IF SHE COULD HAVE A PAYMENT PLAN. BUT, ATTORNEY GOODMAN ALSO HAD TO DO RESEARCH.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW READ THE MOTION BACK. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MS. CRAFT ASKED HOW DOES SHE GET HER DOGS MICROCHIPPED. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADVISED HER THE VET WOULD TAKE CARE OF IT AND CALL HER WHEN THEY ARE READY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED HE WOULD DRAFT AN AGREEMENT FOR MS. CRAFT TO SIGN.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING ELSE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED HE DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING ELSE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE GUESSED THEY COULD FORGET THE COUNTY MANAGER. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED THEY HAD TAKEN CARE OF THAT EARLIER.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NO THEY DIDN'T; THEY DIDN'T DO THE WORKSHOP DATES AND TIMES. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE DATES AND TIMES WERE ON THE CALENDAR.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IF HE WANTED TO RUN THE MEETING OR WAS HE GOING TO RUN IT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID NO SIR; THE WORKSHOP DATES AND TIMES ARE ON THE CALENDAR MR. PITTS HANDED THEM EARLIER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO AN ISSUE BROUGHT UP AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING ABOUT RANDY TRUETTE AT EMS AMBULANCE. HE SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE RANDY PUT IN AS A DIRECTOR THE SAME AS DAVID AND

41-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 352

ROGER DALE. THE POSITION WAS ALREADY THERE AND RANDY WENT INTO THAT POSITION AS DIRECTOR SO HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE HIM ASSUME IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO WORKSHOP ANY OF THAT STUFF; THEY WERE GOING TO TALK ABOUT RECLASSIFYING PEOPLE, RECLASSIFYING DEPARTMENTS, COMBINING DEPARTMENTS, ETC. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT AND HE THOUGHT THERE WOULD BE A TIME FOR THEM TO SIT DOWN AND WORKSHOP THOSE THINGS AND MAKE SURE THEY HAVE EVERYTHING LIKE THEY WANT IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED RANDY WAS MOVED INTO THE POSITION THAT WAS LEFT AND IT WAS A DIRECTOR'S POSITION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T EVER THINK IT WAS VOTED ON BY THIS BOARD TO BE A DIRECTOR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT IS WHAT HE IS ASKING FOR; RANDY TO BE IN A DIRECTOR'S POSITION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS LIKE COMMISSIONER HOWELL; WE DON'T NEED TO MAKE THOSE DECISIONS WITHOUT GOING THROUGH THIS. THAT IS ONE ENTITY OVER THERE AND ALL THE REST OF THESE DIRECTORS HAVE GOT MULTIPLE ENTITIES UNDER THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE ONLY QUESTION HE HAS; HE DOESN'T GET HUNG UP WITH WHAT A PERSON'S TITLE IS. HE KNOWS RANDY IS RUNNING THE AMBULANCE SERVICE. HE THINKS WHAT HIS TITLE IS ACTUALLY CALLED IS NOT GOING TO GIVE HIM ANYMORE OR TAKE AWAY ANY LESS RESPONSIBILITY OR PAY OR RETIREMENT POSITION, ETC. SO HE DON'T WANT TO GET HUNG UP IN A TECHNICALITY HERE. HE THINKS THE BOARD ALL RECOGNIZES WHAT RANDY DOES. HIS CONCERN IS IF A DIRECTOR'S POSITION IN THIS COUNTY IS THEY ARE OVER MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS, THAT IS NOT THE CASE WITH RANDY. IF THAT IS THE RULE AND HE THINKS THAT IS THE WAY HE UNDERSTOOD THE DIRECTOR, AND HE HAS A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THE WAY THE PYRAMID WORKS HERE IN THE COUNTY; BUT, HIS UNDERSTANDING THE POLICY IS IF THEY ARE NOT OVER MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS, THEY ARE NOT REFERRED TO AS THE NAME OF A DIRECTOR. RANDY HAS THE PAY SLOT, HE UNDERSTANDS, AND THE RETIREMENT AND ALL THE BENEFITS A DIRECTOR HAS; BUT, HE JUST

42-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 353

HASN'T BEEN GIVEN THE NAME.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE ONLY REASON RANDALL TRUETTE WAS IN THAT POSITION AT THE TIME WAS BECAUSE OF HIS TENURE WITH THE COUNTY AND HIS STATURE WITH THE COUNTY. THAT WAS THE ONLY REASON HE WAS IN THAT POSITION OR TREATED IN THAT RESPECT. HE FELT LIKE THAT WAS THE RESPECT RANDALL WAS AFFORDED FOR HIS THIRTY PLUS YEARS HE HAD BEEN WITH THE COUNTY.

RANDALL TRUETTE SAID HE THOUGHT WHAT THEY WAS LOOKING AT WAS TWO DIFFERENT THINGS; THEY HAVE THE DIVISION CHIEFS WHO REPORT DIRECTLY TO THE COUNTY MANAGER. THEY ARE DIRECTORS THROUGHOUT THIS COUNTY. RANDY BY BEING IN THE POSITION HE IS, HE IS THE EMS DIRECTOR. WHATEVER THE BOARD DESCRIBES IS WHAT IT TAKES TO BE A DIVISION CHIEF, THEY SAY IT IS GOING TO TAKE MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS TO BE A DIVISION CHIEF, SO BE IT. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BEING A DIRECTOR

minutes12162010.txt

SOMEWHERE ELSE, NOT THE EMS, IS RETIREMENT AND PAY GRADE. RANDY IS AT THAT PAY GRADE; HIS RETIREMENT IS HIGH RISK 3%. A DIVISION CHIEF'S RETIREMENT IS AT 2%. IT IS NOT GOING TO MAKE A BIT OF DIFFERENCE WHETHER THEY CALL RANDY A DIVISION CHIEF OR A DIRECTOR BECAUSE IT IS GOING TO STAY THE SAME. IF IT IS AN ARGUMENT, THE ONLY THING THE BOARD CAN GET OUT OF HIM BY BEING A DIVISION CHIEF, WHICH THEY MADE HIM A DIVISION CHIEF BY THAT POSITION, NOT BY HIS NAME, IT WOULDN'T RANDALL TRUETTE, IT WAS EMS DIRECTOR. THE BOARD DID THAT WHEN EMS CAME FROM THE HOSPITAL TO THE COUNTY; BY NATURE, THAT POSITION CARRIES DIVISION CHIEF AND IT IS ON THE COUNTY'S ORGANIZATION CHART.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT WAS NOT WITH RANDALL DISPUTING THAT. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT WASN'T VOTED ON BY THIS BOARD.

RANDALL STATED HE DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THIS BOARD; BUT, IT WAS VOTED ON BY THE BOARD THAT WAS THERE AT THE TIME. HE THINKS A LOT OF PEOPLE OUT HERE ARE CONFUSED ABOUT WHAT A DIRECTOR IS AND WHAT A DIVISION CHIEF IS. WHAT THE BOARD CAN GET OUT OF RANDY AS A DIVISION CHIEF IS MULTIPLE THINGS NOT JUST PERTAINING TO HIS JOB;

43-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 354

THAT IS WHAT A DIVISION CHIEF IS FOR TO HELP MAKE DECISIONS ON COUNTYWIDE STUFF. IF THE BOARD HAS TRUST IN RANDY TO DO THAT JOB, AND THEY WANT HIM THERE AND KEEP HIM THERE AND KEEP IT GOING LIKE IT IS, THEN, CALL HIM A DIVISION CHIEF. HE IS ALREADY A DIRECTOR. THAT IS ALL THEY HAVE TO DO; IT IS NOT A BIG ISSUE OF WHAT THEY ARE DOING IN THAT POSITION. RANDALL SAID WHETHER HIS JOB BEING 36 YEARS WITH THE COUNTY MADE A DIFFERENCE, HE DON'T SEE HOW THAT COULD HAVE MADE A DIFFERENCE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. BUT, WHAT CAN I GIVE THE WHOLE COUNTY. RANDALL SAID HE WASN'T STANDING UP FOR RANDY; CALL HIM A DIRECTOR OR A DIVISION CHIEF BUT IT IS NOT GOING TO MAKE NO DIFFERENCE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID RANDY WAS HEAD OF EMS. RANDALL SAID THAT IS EXACTLY IT; IF THE BOARD WANTS TO MAKE A DEFINITION OF DIVISION CHIEF IS MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT WAS THE WAY IT WAS SET UP. RANDALL DIDN'T FEEL LIKE IT WAS A BIG ARGUMENT.

HEATHER FINCH, HR, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING RANDALL IS CORRECT. SHE WAS PART OF THE COMMITTEE WITH MR. SAPP AND MR. HERBERT AND MR. TOWN WHEN THAT CHART WAS CREATED. THE DIVISION CHIEF DESIGNATION CLEARLY HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH PEOPLE UNDERNEATH IT; IT HAS TO DO WITH THE ROLE THEY PLAY. BECAUSE RANDY WOULD AND RANDALL WAS AN ASSET, RANDY WILL BE AN ASSET TO THE DIVISION CHIEF GROUP BECAUSE WHEN THEY DO GET TOGETHER THEY DO MAKE DECISIONS. THEY ARE THE ONES THAT REWRITE THE POLICY AND RANDY HAS A STAFF AS BIG AS PUBLIC WORKS. THE DIVISION CHIEFS ARE THOSE GREEN BOXES ON THAT CHART. IT WON'T AFFECT RANDY'S RETIREMENT OR PAY; HIS TITLE IS NOT GOING TO CHANGE BECAUSE HIS TITLE IS HIS TITLE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHAT HEATHER'S RECOMMENDATION WAS. HEATHER RECOMMENDED THE BOARD VOTE TO APPROVE RANDY AS A DIVISION CHIEF. JUST AS RANDALL SAID, THE ONLY THING THAT DOES IS DESIGNATE HIM AS SUCH AND HE ATTENDS THE MEETINGS THEY HAVE ONCE A MONTH TO HELP GIVE THE BOARD POLICIES THEY RECOMMEND FOR THE COUNTY. RANDY

44-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 355

OVERSEES 42 EMPLOYEES SO IT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO HAVE HIS INPUT IN THESE MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION TO PUT RANDY TRUETTE IN THE DIVISION CHIEF POSITION; HIS PAY IS THE SAME, EVERYTHING IS THE SAME.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SECONDED THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF EVERYTHING IS THE SAME, WHY DO THEY WANT TO CALL HIM A DIVISION CHIEF. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID JUST BECAUSE SOME OF THEM WANTS IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE TITLE DON'T MATTER. EMS OUGHT TO BE UNDER THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR ANYWAY ALONG WITH FIRE. THE REASON THEY DID THIS WITH RANDALL IS BECAUSE OF RANDALL'S STATURE; HE WAS CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD AT THE TIME AND HE KNOWS EXACTLY WHY THEY DID IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE CALLED FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND PATE OPPOSED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED HIS DISAPPOINTMENT WITH THE ACTIONS OF THIS BOARD TODAY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND WISHED EVERYBODY A MERRY CHRISTMAS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE BOARD HAD INSTRUCTED MR. PITTS EARLIER TO DRAFT A LETTER TO ONE SOUTH WITH RESPECT TO THE TCC AGREEMENT. HE ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED HIM TO WORK ON THIS TODAY AND AGREED TO WORK IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE INTERIM.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED ROGER TO WORK WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN ON THE LETTER TO ONE SOUTH.

ROGER ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING NOBODY HERE WAS PROBABLY MORE SURPRISED THAN HE WAS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD ROGER HE SHOULD HAVE STOOD UP WHEN HE NOMINATED HIM, BIG GUY. HOWELL TOLD ROGER IF HE DIDN'T WANT TO DO IT, THE BOARD WOULD GET SOMEBODY ELSE.

ROGER ADDRESSED IT BRIEFLY. HE SAID THIRTEEN YEARS AGO A SIMILAR ACTION TOOK PLACE AND HE WAS MOVED FROM THAT POSITION SOME PLACE ELSE. A FEW MONTHS BEFORE THAT A SIMILAR ACTION TOOK PLACE

45-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 356

WHEN A DEPARTMENT HEAD STOOD AT THE PODIUM AND MADE RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE BOARD VOTED ON IT, ETC. BEFORE THE MEETING WAS OVER WITH, IT HAPPENED AGAIN. HE WOULD LIKE TO DO A COUPLE OF THINGS; THEY NEED TO HAVE SOME KIND OF WORKSHOP, HE WOULD LIKE THE DEFINITION OF INTERIM. AS AN EMPLOYEE OF THE COUNTY THAT HAS BEEN LOYAL TO THEM, HE WOULD DO WHATEVER THEY ASK HIM AND HE ALWAYS HAS. TO ECHO WHAT HEATHER SAID AWHILE AGO, MR. PITTS HAS DONE THE COUNTY A MARVELOUS JOB IN THIS YEAR AND HAS BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE OF THE STAFF. MR. PITTS PROBABLY TOLD HIM NO A LOT MORE TIMES THAN MR. HERBERT DID THE WHOLE TENURE HE WORKED HERE. BUT, HE ALSO SUPPORTED HIM WHEN HE THOUGHT HE WAS DOING THE RIGHT THING, ETC. BUT, THE BIBLE SAYS,

HE THINKS THERE IS A MORAL PLACE THE COMMISSIONERS OUGHT TO HAVE TO STAND AS WELL AS BELIEVE IN WHAT THE BIBLE SAYS "WE ARE TO SHUN THE VERY APPEARANCE OF EVIL." HE WOULD LIKE FOR THEM TO DO ONE MORE ROLL CALL BECAUSE HE IS GOING TO HAVE TO GO BACK AND TALK TO MR. PITTS AND OTHERS. HE ASKED EACH OF THE COMMISSIONERS INDIVIDUALLY IF THEY HAD DISCUSSED THE ACTION THEY HAD TAKEN EARLIER WITH MR. PITTS AND REPLACED HIM WITH ROGER. EACH ONE OF THEM SAID "NO."

ROGER SAID THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO HIM THE PUBLIC KNOWS THAT AND WE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING BUT OUR NAME AND OUR INTEGRITY. HE WOULD NEVER DO ANYTHING THAT WOULD UNDERMINE HIS SUPERIOR. IF THE BOARD WANTS HIM TO BE THE INTERIM, HE NEEDS TO MEET WITH WHOMEVER HE NEEDS TO MEET WITH AND KNOW WHAT, BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE MSBU SURVEY COMING BACK, FIRE ISSUES COMING UP, A LOT OF THINGS HE IS DOING HANDS ON. AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE PERSON YOU DON'T DO SO MANY HANDS ON THINGS; YOU HAVE OVERSIGHT AND DIRECTION. THIS IS GOING TO AFFECT HIS STAFF, ETC.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY APPOINTED ROGER INTERIM COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AND WITH THAT AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED, ALL RESPONSIBILITY FALLS ON HIM.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THIS IS A STEP BACKWARD AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED.

ROGER SAID HE WILL NEED TO BE HOUSED A COUPLE OF DAYS AT THE EOC;

46-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 357

HE DON'T NEED TO BE AT THE COUNTY ANNEX EVERY DAY. HE WILL WORK THAT OUT WITH ZOLA AND THE OTHER PEOPLE. ALSO IN JANUARY, THEY HAVE A CONFLICT. HE WILL BE OUT OF THE COUNTY ON THE DESIGNATED BOARD MEETING; BUT, HE WILL LEAVE EVERYTHING WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN, CHAIRMAN PATE, ZOLA OR MS. FINCH BEFORE HE GOES. HE HAS BEEN ASKED TO SERVE AS THE FIRST CHAPLAIN FOR THE STATEWIDE ASSOCIATION OF FLORIDA EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS ASSOCIATION; THIS WILL TAKE PLACE THE WEEK OF THE NEXT BOARD MEETING. HE DOES NEED TO BE OUT AT THAT TIME; BUT, HE WON'T LEAVE THE BOARD IN A BOARD MEETING WITHOUT KNOWING WHAT TO DO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD ROGER IF THAT IS A PRIORITY HE THINKS HE NEEDS TO DO FINE; BUT, IF IT NOT SOMETHING THAT IS A PRIORITY, THEN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED TODAY IS CHRISTMAS FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE; THEY ARE HAVING A DINNER TODAY. AS A GENERAL POLICY, THEY USUALLY GIVE THEM THE REST OF THE DAY OFF AFTER THEY GET THROUGH EATING.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THIS IS A POLICY; BUT, IT HAS BEEN A CUSTOM. ALL THEY HAVE DONE TODAY IS WENT BACK ABOUT TWENTY YEARS AGO SO WHATEVER HAS BEEN GOING ON IS ALRIGHT WITH HIM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS JUST ASKING FOR SOMEBODY TO NOTIFY ROAD AND BRIDGE AFTER LUNCH IF IT IS OKAY WITH THE BOARD. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY FIRED THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AWHILE AGO; SO, THAT GOES THROUGH HIM, NOT THROUGH THE BOARD. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND STRICKLAND DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM.

NAN THOMPSON ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING SHE HAD JUST HAD A CALL. SHE WAS NOT AT THE MEETING; SHE HAS BEEN SICK FOR A LONG TIME. WHAT SHE HAS JUST HEARD IS THE MOST DISGUSTING THING SHE HAS EVER HEARD FROM THIS BOARD. SHE IS SO DISGUSTED WITH WHAT THEY HAVE DONE. SHE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW A REASON WHY. WHAT HAS MR. PITTS DONE, WHAT HAS

HE NOT DONE. THE MAN HAS PROVEN HIMSELF IN THE LAST YEAR. WHY

47-BCC
12-16-2010

BOOK 86 PAGE 358

SUDDENLY. NO ANSWER, JUST BECAUSE, DON'T LIKE HIM, DIDN'T LIKE HIS SHIRT.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD HER SHE WOULD HAVE TO ASK ONE OF THE THREE THAT VOTED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE MADE THE MOTION AND HE DOESN'T MIND SHARING THAT INFORMATION WITH HER. IN MR. PITTS' CONTRACT, THERE IS A CLAUSE IN THERE YOU CAN PUT HIM BACK IN THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT. IT IS HIS OPINION, AND AS THE VOTE COME OUT THE OPINION OF TWO OTHER BOARD MEMBERS HERE, THAT MR. EMORY PITTS WOULD SERVE THIS COUNTY A LOTS BETTER BEING IN THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT.

MS. THOMPSON SAID SHE DID KNOW BEFORE HAND, BEFORE MR. ABBOTT WAS EVEN ELECTED HE WAS NOT PLEASED WITH MR. PITTS' POSITION AND BEING PLACED IN THAT POSITION. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS NOT TRUE. MS. THOMPSON SAID SHE SAT BEHIND HIM.

MS. THOMPSON SAID SHE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW IF THIS WAS JUST A QUICKY THING THAT JUST CAME UP TODAY, HOW WE HAD RUMOR OF IT BEFOREHAND. THEY KNEW SOMETHING WAS GOING TO HAPPEN WHICH MEANS THERE WAS CONVERSATION BEFOREHAND. THIS IS GOING TO BE TURNED OVER TO THE FBI.

CHAIRMAN PATE ADJOURNED THE MEETING.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN