

JANUARY 24, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS BROCK, ABBOTT, HOWELL, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER ROGER HAGAN, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

ROGER HAGAN PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER. COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

ADOPT THE PREVIOUS MINUTES FOR NOVEMBER 16, DECEMBER 9 AND OCTOBER 7, 2010-THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO REVIEW AND ADOPT THE MINUTES AT THEIR MEETING ON THURSDAY. COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD THE BOARD IF THEY HAVEN'T READ THE MINUTES, READ THEM AND GET THE CORRECTIONS TO THEM.

PUBLIC HEARING-SPECIAL EXCEPTION: MIKE DERUNTZ UPDATED THE BOARD ON A REQUEST FOR A SPECIAL EXCPETION X, WHICH IS A TELECOMMUNICATION TOWER. BASED ON THE COUNTY'S LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THIS REQUEST HAS TO GO BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS WITH PUBLIC HEARINGS. THEY HAVE HELD THE NEIGHBORHOOD INFORMATION MEETING; THE FIRST ONE SINCE THE AMENDMENT IN THE LDC. THEY HAVE HELD A PUBLIC HEARING WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION IS RECOMMENDING APPROVAL. THE APPLICANT WILL BE PRESENT AT THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING. THE SITE WHERE THIS IS SUPPOSE TO BE LOCATED IS AN EXISTING TOWER; THEY ARE GOING TO TAKE THAT TOWER DOWN AND PUT A NEW TOWER UP. TO MEET CURRENT BUILDING CODE STANDARDS, THEY WILL ADD A COUPLE OF MICROWAVE ANTENNAS ONTO THE TOWER. IT WILL BE A GREAT ADDITION TO THE INFRASTRUCTURE IN THAT AREA.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THIS BEING THE REPLACEMENT OF AN EXISTING TOWER AND UPGRADES. MR. DERUNTZ SAID THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHERE THE SITE IS LOCATED.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID THE LOCATION WAS ON OSWALD GILBERT DRIVE OFF OF HIGHWAY 277 CLOSE TO I-10. IT IS ADJACENT TO CHIPLEY'S SPRAYFIELD.

COMMISSIONER PATE REQUESTED A RESOLUTION TO NAME A PORTION OF HIGHWAY 90 AFTER A NATIVE SON OF CHIPLEY, MR. BEN WATTS BE ADDED TO THE CONSENT AGENDA ON THURSDAY. IT HAS SUPPORT OF FL-DOT. HE THOUGHT MR. WATTS HAD SERVED ABOUT EIGHT YEARS AS SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION IN TALLAHASSEE.

MS. POTTER ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING THEIR MAIN PURPOSE FOR REQUESTING SUPPORT OF THIS RESOLUTION IS BECAUSE MR. WATTS IS A WASHINGTON COUNTY NATIVE AND HE HAS THE DISTINCTION OF SERVING TWO TERMS AS SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION UNDER TWO DIFFERENT GOVERNORS, REPUBLICAN AND DEMOCRAT. THEY ARE EXCITED ABOUT THIS RESOLUTION AND HOPES THE BOARD WILL PASS IT.

CONSENT AGENDA:

- A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK TO PAY THE VOUCHERS
- B. TDC SMALL CLAIMS REQUEST
- C. TENTATIVE D.O.T. RIGHTS-OF-WAY MAPS
- D. D.O.T. RIGHTS-OF-WAY MAPS
- E. HEALTHY FAMILIES NORTH FLORIDA
- F. PROCLAMATION OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS AWARENESS WEEK

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED DISCUSSION ON TDC SMALL CLAIMS REQUEST. COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED HE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO DISCUSS THIS.

MS. MARY RICHMOND, CHAIRMAN OF THE TDC, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THIS MATTER. SHE SAID IN NOVEMBER, THE TDC AGREED TO FUND A RODEO AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER TO THE LLC AEROL PRODUCTIONS, MR. WILLIAMS AND MR. SHIELDS. THE TDC GRANTED THEM \$3,000 TO PROMOTE AND ADVERTISE THIS EVENT TO BE HELD THE 13TH OF NOVEMBER AND IT WAS SUPPOSE TO BE IN HONOR OF VETERANS DAY. ON THE 12TH OF NOVEMBER, TDC GOT NOTICE AEROL PRODUCTIONS CANCELLED THE RODEO. SHE THOUGHT IT WAS SOME KIND OF PERSONAL ISSUE WITHIN THEIR SYSTEM. AS A RESULT OF THE CANCELLA-

TION OF THE RODEO, TDC REQUESTED A REFUND OF THEIR FUNDS. TDC INVITED THEM TO THEIR NEXT MEETING IN NOVEMBER TO DISCUSS THE ISSUE. MR. WILLIAMS HAD TO SUDDENLY GO OUT OF TOWN AND MS. SHIELDS, THE OTHER PARTNER IN THE LLC DID NOT WANT TO APPEAR AT THE TDC MEETING WITHOUT HIM. SO, THEY DID NOT COME. AT THAT MEETING IN A WORKSHOP, TDC DECIDED TO GIVE THEM A THIRTY (30) DAY LETTER IN ORDER TO GET THIS MATTER RESOLVED AND THEY COULD PAY THE BILL. TDC GOT NO RESPONSE FROM THAT CERTIFIED LETTER. TDC CONSULTED MR. GOODMAN, HE TOLD THEM HOW TO PROCEED, HE WROTE A DEMAND LETTER TO THEM AND THEY HAVE HAD NO RESULTS FROM THAT. AS A RESULT OF THAT, THEY ARE HAVING A TDC MEETING THIS AFTERNOON AT THEIR REGULAR MEETING. BECAUSE THEY HAVE HAD NO RESPONSE, THE TDC IS ASKING THE COUNTY COMMISSION TO GO AHEAD AND FILE A LAWSUIT ON THEIR BEHALF AND OF COURSE TDC WILL PAY FOR IT IN ORDER TO RECOVER THESE MONIES. SHE SAID TDC EVEN OFFERED TO ALLOW THEM, IN THE DEMAND LETTER, THAT THEY COULD MAKE PAYMENTS. MR. WILLIAMS AND MS. SHIELDS HAS NOT RESPONDED TO ANY OF TDC'S EFFORTS. MR. WILLIAMS DID, BEFORE HE LEFT TOWN IN NOVEMBER, LEAVE A LETTER IN THE TDC'S ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT BOX, STATING AND ADMITTING HE KNEW AND THEY KNEW THEY WERE GOING TO HAVE TO REFUND THESE MONIES AND THAT THEY WOULD TAKE CARE OF IT. BUT, THEY HAVE MADE NO EFFORT. THIS IS A GREAT CONCERN FOR HER. THE TDC IS GROWING AS THEY PROMOTE TOURISM INTO WASHINGTON COUNTY. THEY ARE DEALING WITH MORE PEOPLE AND SHE DOESN'T WANT PEOPLE TO GET IT INTO THEIR HEADS THEY CAN JUST COME AND GET FUNDS FROM TDC AND NOT DO WHAT THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO DO AND NO RESULTS AND THAT THEY ARE NOT GOING TO TAKE ACTION. SHE EXPLAINED IT IS FOR FUTURE AS WELL RECOVERING MONIES TDC IS OWED. SHE OFFERED TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

MR. HAGAN INFORMED THE BOARD THE REASON THEY ARE ASKING THE BOARD TO FILE THE LAWSUIT IS BECAUSE TDC IS AN AGENCY OF THE COUNTY AND IT HAS TO BE PURSUED BY THE COUNTY.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED CLIFF IS SUPPOSE TO ADDRESS ITEM C (TENTATIVE) D.O.T. RIGHTS OF WAY MAPS IN HIS REPORT; IT MAY BE LEFT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA OR TAKEN OFF. THEY HAVE SOME SETS OF MAPS BUT THEY HAVEN'T BEEN VERIFIED ON THE GROUND; THAT IS BEING DONE. IF IT HASN'T BEEN DONE BY THURSDAY, IT WILL BE TAKEN OFF; BUT, IF IT HAS BEEN DONE, IT WILL KEEP THEM FROM HAVING TO WAIT ANOTHER MONTH.

AGENDA ITEMS:

PRESENTATION BY CARLA LENDERMAN, ISSUES AND ANSWERS, WAS PRESENT TO REPORT ON THE SURVEY FINDINGS THEY CONDUCTED LATE LAST YEAR. SHE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF DATA AND SHE MIGHT BE SKIMMING THROUGH SOME OF THE CHARTS.

SHE ADDRESSED SHE WOULD BE GOING OVER THE BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH, THE METHODOLOGY INCLUDING WHAT WAS SENT OUT TO ALL THE PROPERTY OWNERS, BIG HIGHLIGHT KEY FINDINGS AND THEN THEY WILL DIG INTO DETAIL FINDINGS, JUST A COUPLE OF DEMOGRAPHIC SLIDES AND THEN THE CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

IN JANUARY 2010, THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS COMMISSIONED ISSUES AND ANSWERS TO CONDUCT A STUDY AMONG THE PROPERTY OWNERS IN THE SUNNY HILLS AND OAK HILLS SUBDIVISIONS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. THIS RESEARCH REALLY CAME OUT OF A NEED TO UNDERSTAND THE WHOLE MSBU, THE PERCEPTIONS OF MSBU BECAUSE APPARENTLY THERE WAS A LOT OF NEGATIVE FEEDBACK THAT CAME OUT ABOUT IT AND MISCONCEPTIONS. ISSUES AND ANSWERS JUST WANTED TO GAUGE ALL THE PROPERTY OWNERS AWARENESS LEVELS. THEY WANTED TO COLLECT INFORMATION FROM PROPERTY OWNERS TO DEVELOP AN ACCURATE DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE MSBU IS VIEWED, ASSESS SATISFACTION LEVELS OF PROPERTY OWNERS WITH THE PAST, PRESENT AND PROPOSED FUTURE MSBU ENDEAVORS AND TO IDENTIFY THOSE ENDEAVORS THE PROPERTY OWNERS DEEM AS MOST IMPORTANT. SHE ADDRESSED THEY WOULD SEE THROUGHOUT THIS PRESENTATION ISSUES AND ANSWERS LOOKED AT DATA IN TOTAL, LOOKED AT DATA OF CURRENT RESIDENTS VERSUS NON RESIDENTS OR OWNERS THAT DON'T CURRENTLY LIVE HERE AND CUT IT UP A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT WAYS. ISSUES AND ANSWERS TRIED TO GET THE BIGGEST

PICTURE THEY CAN.

SHE PROVIDED THE SURVEY RESULTS:

A. IN TOTAL, THEY CONDUCTED 713 SURVEYS BY DIRECT MAIL AND BY INTERNET. THEY SENT THE DIRECT MAIL PIECE OUT TO ALL PROPERTY OWNERS THEY HAD A LISTING FOR. SHE THOUGHT THEY HAD STARTED OUT WITH 24,000 ITEMS IN THE DATA BASE AND THEY HAD TO DEDUCT BECAUSE THEY WERE QUITE A FEW DUPLICATES. WHEN IT WAS ALL SAID AND DONE, THEY ENDED UP OUT OF THE 24,000 MAILING OUT 7,873 TO THOSE FOLKS LIVING IN THE U.S. AS WELL AS 416 TO THOSE WHO LIVED OUTSIDE THE U.S. FOR NOTING, ISSUES AND ANSWERS DIDN'T RECEIVE ANY SURVEY FROM OUTSIDE THE U.S. RETURNED. SHE ADDRESSED EACH QUESTIONNAIRE HAD A UNIQUE IDENTIFIER ON IT SO THAT IF PEOPLE DID DECIDE TO GO ON THE INTERNET TO FILL OUT THE SURVEY, THEY COULD ONLY DO IT ONCE. ONE PERSON CAN'T FILL IT OUT MULTIPLE TIMES. JUST A FEW PEOPLE DECIDED TO FILL OUT THE SURVEY ON THE INTERNET; ONLY 33 RETURNED THEM ON THE INTERNET. EVERYBODY ELSE RETURNED A MAILED SURVEY.

MS. LENDERMAN SAID THEY INCLUDED BOTH WHAT THEY CALLED QUANTITY TYPES OF QUESTIONS AS WELL AS QUALITY; WHAT SHE MEANS BY QUANTITY IS YOU HAVE CHOICES FOR ANSWERS WHEREAS THE OPEN END IS WHY YOU DRINK THAT TYPE OF COFFEE. THAT KIND OF QUESTION WHERE THE RESPONDENT HAS TO FILL OUT VERBATIM EITHER ON THE PAPER SURVEY OR ON THE INTERNET SURVEY. SHE APOLOGIZED FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO SEE THE SURVEY THAT WELL ON THE SCREEN.

SHE SHOWED THE INFORMATION SHEET THAT WAS SENT OUT TO EACH OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS ABOUT THE MSBU. SHE THEN GOT INTO THE KEY FINDINGS. SHE SAID ISSUES AND ANSWERS FAR EXCEEDED WHAT THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO ACHIEVE. SHE AND MR. HAGAN HAD TALKED MANY TIMES AND SHE WAS A BIT CONCERNED WITH RESPONSE RATES AS YOU NEVER KNOW WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO BE. THEIR GOAL HAD BEEN EITHER 200 OR 400 COMPLETED SURVEYS. THEY RECEIVED 713 COMPLETED SURVEYS AND WERE REAL PLEASED ABOUT THAT. WITH THE BETTER RESPONSE RATE, IT LOWERS THE MARGIN OF ERROR AND OVERALL IS EXCELLENT FOR THE

DATA. AGAIN, SHE TOLD THEM THEY WOULD HEAR HER SAY THROUGHOUT THE PRESENTATION, REGARDLESS IF THEY WERE ON THE INTERNET COMPLETED OR PAPER SURVEYS, THERE WERE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS PEOPLE DECLINED NOT TO ANSWER. SOME OF THE KEY QUESTIONS WERE LEFT BLANK AND ISSUES AND ANSWERS CAN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT THAT BECAUSE IT WAS A MAILED SURVEY.

MS. LENDERMAN REPORTED OVERALL THE MAJORITY OF RESPONDENTS REALLY LACKED KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE MSBU AND THEY WILL SEE THOSE WHO ARE AWARE OF THE MSBU EITHER ARE PASSIONATELY FOR IT OR PASSIONATELY NOT SO MUCH FOR IT, VERY POLARIZED. SHE SAID THEY WILL ALSO SEE THE MAJORITY APPRECIATES WHAT THE MSBU HAS BEEN DOING; BUT, NOT ALWAYS WALKING AWAY WITH TANGIBLE PERCEPTIONS OF ACCOMPLISHMENTS. AGAIN IT FEEDS RIGHT BACK IN TO THAT LACK OF AWARENESS. PROPERTY OWNERS WHO ACTUALLY LIVE HERE, CURRENT RESIDENTS, TEND TO HAVE MORE POLARIZED VIEWS, EITHER REALLY POSITIVE OR REALLY NEGATIVE AS FAR AS THE MSBU. THAT IS NOT GOING TO BE COMPLETELY SURPRISING BECAUSE THEY ARE HERE LIVING THE LIFE IN THE SUBDIVISIONS WHERE AS THE PROPERTY OWNERS WHO DON'T LIVE HERE JUST DON'T HAVE THAT EXPOSURE WITH IT. THOSE REPORTING THE MOST FAMILIARITY WITH THE MSBU TEND TO FAVOR THE CESSATION OF THE ORGANIZATION RATHER THAN THE REORGANIZATION ALTHOUGH THEY WILL SEE LATER IN THE PRESENTATION REORGANIZATION IS CERTAINLY NOT OUT OF THE QUESTION.

SHE ADDRESSED DISSATISFACTION SEEMS TO STEM FROM LACK OF INFORMATION. THEY JUST DON'T KNOW. EVEN ON A NUMBER OF THESE QUESTIONS, THEY WILL SEE THOSE WHO CHOSE TO ANSWER EVEN SAID THEY DIDN'T KNOW ENOUGH, THEY COULDN'T EVEN ANSWER THE QUESTION. ON A QUICK OVERALL BASIS, PROPERTY OWNERS PLACED THE GREATEST IMPORTANCE ON:

1. BUILDING AND EXPANDING THE FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES IN SUNNY HILLS AND OAK HILLS.
2. THE ENHANCEMENT OF GENERAL BEAUTIFICATION AND MAINTENANCE EFFORTS

3. EXPANSION OF STREET LIGHTS

4. RESURFACING, MAINTENANCE AND BETTER STORM WATER DRAINAGE SYSTEMS.

SHE ADDRESSED CURRENT RESIDENTS AGAIN WHO ACTUALLY GET TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE BENEFITS FROM THE MSBU EXPRESS THE EXPANSION OF THE FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES IS ACTUALLY WHAT THEY FEEL STRONGEST ABOUT. BEAUTIFICATION IS ALSO IMPORTANT; ALTHOUGH, IF THEY LOOK AT THE DATA, IT LOOKS LIKE THE REMOVAL OF ENTRANCE LIGHTING ON THE STATE ROAD AND SHENENDOAH BOULEVARD AND ELKCAM BOULEVARD, IT IS STILL THE LEAST IMPORTANT TO CURRENT RESIDENTS. SHE SAID SHE WAS NOT SAYING IT WAS NOT IMPORTANT; BUT, IF YOU ARE RANKING THEM, IT IS DEFINITELY THE LEAST IMPORTANT.

SHE WENT INTO THE DETAILED FINDINGS. SHE ADDRESSED THE PROPERTY OWNERS HERE TEND TO HAVE OWNED PROPERTY HERE A SIGNIFICANT PERIOD OF TIME. ALMOST 3/4 HAVE OWNED PROPERTY FOR TEN OR MORE YEARS; 2/3 HAVE OWNED FOR FIFTEEN OR MORE YEARS. SO, THERE IS LONGEVITY HERE IN RESIDENTS. AMONG THOSE WHO HAVE OWNED FOR LESS THAN FIFTEEN YEARS, ALMOST HALF OF THEM HAVE OWNED PROPERTY FOR FIVE TO NINE YEARS. SO AGAIN NOT AS LONG. SHE MENTIONED THIS EARLIER; NEARLY ALL THE PROPERTY OWNERS, 86% DO NOT LIVE HERE. AGAIN, WHEN YOU ARE LOOKING AT THE DATA AND THE CURRENT RESIDENTS, WHILE OBVIOUSLY THE OPINIONS OF THE CURRENT RESIDENTS ARE VERY IMPORTANT, THEY ALSO REPRESENT A VERY SMALL BASE SIZE IN COMPARISON TO THE NON-RESIDENTS AND THAT HAS TO BE KEPT IN MIND WHEN LOOKING AT THE DATA. RESIDENTS WHO CURRENTLY LIVE HERE ARE STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANTLY MORE LIKELY TO OWN PROPERTY IN THE AREA 5 TO 15 YEARS RATHER THAN ONLY 1 TO 4 YEARS.

SHE ADDRESSED, OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS WHO CURRENTLY LIVE HERE, THERE WAS A QUESTION "IF YOU DON'T LIVE HERE NOW, DO YOU HAVE PLANS TO RESIDE HERE." ONLY A FIFTH OF WHAT SHE CALLS THE ABSENTEE PROPERTY OWNERS REPORT THAT THEY PLAN TO LIVE HERE IN THE FUTURE. PROPERTY OWNERS UNDER THE AGE OF 55 REPORT HIGHER LIKELY TO RESIDE IN COMMUNITIES IN THE FUTURE AS COMPARISON TO OLDER RESPONDENTS.

AGAIN, PROBABLY NOT SURPRISING. OVER THREE FOURTHS OF THE RESPONDENTS ARE NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE MSBU WITH OVER ONE HALF SAYING THEY ARE NOT AT ALL FAMILIAR. SHE SAID 22% ARE VERY FAMILIAR OR SIMILAR FAMILIAR. THAT IS A HURDLE; IT IS DIFFICULT WHEN YOU ARE NOT AWARE OF SOMETHING THAT IS OBVIOUSLY AN IMPORTANT ENTITY. RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE OWNED PROPERTY HERE FOR FIVE TO TEN YEARS ARE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE LIKELY TO BE FAMILIAR WITH THE MSBU OVER THOSE WHO OWN THE PROPERTY ONE TO FOUR YEARS. THE NEWER PROPERTY OWNERS, AGAIN IT MAKES SENSE, ARE NOT AS LIKELY TO BE AWARE OF MSBU THAN THE LONGER TERM RESIDENTS. NON-RESIDENTS WHO PLAN TO RESIDE HERE SOMETIME IN THE FUTURE ARE CONSIDERABLY MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE MSBU; OBVIOUSLY, THAT SEGMENT HAS MORE INTEREST IN WHAT IS GOING ON. ON AN OVERALL BASIS, WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO PROPERTY OWNERS, AS SHE MENTIONED BEFORE, THE EXPANSION OF THE FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES ARE AT THE TOP OF THE PILE WITH OVER HALF SAYING THEY GAVE IT A FOUR TO FIVE ON A ONE TO FIVE SCALE WITH FIVE BEING VERY IMPORTANT AND ONE BEING NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT. ENHANCE MAINTENANCE, GENERAL BEAUTIFICATION COMES IN SECOND, THEN THEY HAVE THE STREET LIGHTING, ROAD SURFACING, STORM WATER DRAINAGE AND THE REMOVAL OF THE LIGHTING MENTIONED EARLIER. AGAIN ONLY 15% RATED THAT AS IMPORTANT; SO, THEY CAN SEE OTHER ISSUES TAKE PRIORITY. LOOKING AT CURRENT RESIDENTS VERSUS NON-RESIDENTS, CURRENT RESIDENTS, THEY HAVE SOME STATISTICALLY DIFFERENCES; BUT, AGAIN, YOU HAVE TO WATCH THE BASE SIZES; THEY GET TO BE VERY SMALL. THAT IS WHEN YOU START LOOKING AT THE DATA MORE OF A DIRECTIONAL POINT OF VIEW THAN PROJECTION. THE BIGGEST FINDING FOR THE NON-RESIDENTS IS THEY THINK THE STORM WATER DRAINAGE ENHANCEMENT IS SIGNIFICANTLY MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE WAY THE CURRENT RESIDENTS FEEL.

SHE WENT OVER THE DATA ON THE SATISFACTION OF THE MSBU; ALMOST ONE FIFTH, 20%, OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS SAID, THEY COULDN'T SAY; THEY WEREN'T FAMILIAR TO EVEN ANSWER THE QUESTION. 39% ACTUALLY DECLINED TO ANSWER. ALMOST 60% OF THE RESPONDENTS DIDN'T EVEN ANSWER THE QUESTION. AGAIN, WHILE THEY SEE WHAT PEOPLE ARE SAYING AS FAR

AS SATISFACTION, THEY HAVE TO REMEMBER 60% OF THEIR BASE DIDN'T EVEN ANSWER THE QUESTION. BUT, FOR THOSE WHO DID, FIRE PREVENTION AND SAFETY SERVICES, ROAD MAINTENANCE, STREET LIGHTING, GENERAL BEAUTIFICATION EFFORTS AND BASIC BENEFITS YOU GET AS A RESULT OF MSBU, THEY ARE SATISFIED. LOOKING AT CURRENT VERSUS NON-CURRENT RESIDENTS AND THE IMPORTANCE THROUGH THE SATISFACTION OF THE MSBU, THE CURRENT RESIDENTS, SHE REMINDED THEM TO LOOK AT THE BASE SIZES, ARE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE SATISFIED THAN THE NON-RESIDENTS WITH THESE ENDEAVORS. NOT SURPRISING, PROPERTY OWNERS AWARE OF THE MSBU REPORT HIGHER LEVELS OF SATISFACTION BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY THEY ARE MORE INVOLVED. THE PEOPLE WHO ARE MOST FAMILIAR WITH THE MSBU ARE ALSO THE MOST PASSIONATE AND NOT ALWAYS IN A POSITIVE WAY. THEY TEND TO FEEL IT IS A CORRUPT, FUTILE ORGANIZATION THAN THOSE WHO ARE UNFAMILIAR. THERE ARE VERY POLARIZED POINTS OF VIEW.

WHEN ASKED WHAT THEY ARE MOST PLEASED WITH AS FAR AS THE MSBU, MS. LENDERMAN SAID SHE FEELLED COMPELLED TO POINT OUT THAT ALMOST HALF THE RESPONDENTS DIDN'T EVEN ANSWER; THEY ACTUALLY DECLINED TO ANSWER. 15% SAID THEY JUST DIDN'T KNOW ENOUGH. THOSE TWO TOTALS TOGETHER THAT IS A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT. OF THOSE WHO DID RESPOND, 10% SAID FUNDS ARE NOT USED PROPERLY, DO NOT FOLLOW THROUGH WITH COMMITMENTS, 6%, AGAIN THEY ARE GETTING INTO SMALL BASE SIZES, SAY LACK OF INFORMATION, POOR PUBLIC RELATIONS EFFORTS. 5% EACH SAY INCREASED DEBT SERVICE, HIGH TAXES, LOW LAND VALUE; 5% ALSO SAY NO REPRESENTATION, NO SAY IN DECISIONS AND 5% SAY LACK OF GENERAL BEAUTIFICATION EFFORTS. SHE REITERATED ALMOST TWO THIRDS OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS DECLINED TO ANSWER THE QUESTION WHAT THEY ARE LEAST PLEASED WITH OR JUST DON'T KNOW ENOUGH TO ANSWER THE QUESTION, WHICH AGAIN SHE THINKS IS SIGNIFICANT. THIS LACK OF AWARENESS IS A BIG HURDLE.

IMPROPER USAGE OF FUNDS CITED BY 10% WHAT THEY ARE LEAST PLEASED WITH AND THE LACK OF PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE MSBU IS ANOTHER HURDLE. HOW CURRENT RESIDENTS FEEL AND WHAT THEY ARE LEAST SATISFIED WITH VERSUS THE NON-RESIDENTS; AGAIN THE BASE SIZE IS REALLY SMALL.

BUT, 36% OF THE CURRENT RESIDENTS FEEL THE FUNDS ARE NOT PROPERLY USED WHEREAS ONLY 6% OF THE NON RESIDENTS FEEL THAT WAY. 17% OF THE CURRENT RESIDENTS SAY THEY JUST DON'T FEEL THEY HAVE ANY REPRESENTATION WITH THIS AND THEY HAVE NO SAY IN DECISIONS. 17% ALSO SAY LACK OF ADEQUATE FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES BECAUSE THIS IS IMPORTANT TO CURRENT RESIDENTS. 16% SAY MSBU FUNDS PAY FOR SERVICES THAT THE COUNTY SHOULD PAY FOR. 14% LACK OF GENERAL BEAUTIFICATION EFFORTS AND 12% OF THE CURRENT RESIDENTS SAY POOR ROAD CONDITIONS AND 10% SAY TOO POLITICAL. AGAIN ALMOST 80% STATES THEY DON'T KNOW OR HAVE NO OPINION REGARDING IF THE MSBU HAS BEEN HONESTLY AND FAIRLY ADMINISTERED IN THE PAST SIX TO NINE MONTHS. IF YOU LOOK AT CURRENT RESIDENTS VERSUS NON-RESIDENTS, 15% SAY YES IT HAS BEEN FAIRLY ADMINISTERED; CURRENT RESIDENTS SAY NO. 60% VERSUS 70% OF YOUR NON RESIDENTS AND DON'T KNOW; 25% OF CURRENT RESIDENTS SAY THEY JUST DON'T KNOW WHEREAS 87% OF THE NON-RESIDENTS DON'T KNOW.

MS. LENDERMAN SAID THEY HAD ASKED THE QUESTION, WHEN THE CURRENT DEBT IS PAID OFF, HOW DO YOU WANT TO PROCEED; DO THEY WANT THE MSBU REORGANIZED, DO THEY WANT THE MSBU TO CEASE, HOW DO THEY FEEL. OVER ONE HALF OF THE RESPONDENTS REPORT THEY DON'T KNOW ENOUGH TO EVEN ANSWER THIS QUESTION; THERE IS NOT ENOUGH KNOWLEDGE BASE. A TOTAL OF A THIRD ARE IN FAVOR OF REORGANIZATION OF THE MSBU WHILE 12% SAY THE ORGANIZATION SHOULD STOP. THOSE FAMILIAR WITH THE MSBU ARE SIGNIFICANTLY MORE LIKELY THAN THOSE NOT FAMILIAR WITH IT TO SAY THE ORGANIZATION SHOULD CEASE, AGAIN THIS IS A SMALL BASE SIZE. NON RESIDENTS WHO AIM TO RESIDE IN THE AREA IN THE FUTURE TEND TO BE IN FAVOR MORE OF REORGANIZATION RATHER THAN CESSATION. AGAIN, THEY HAVE THIS POLARIZATION OF CURRENT RESIDENTS GOING ON BECAUSE CURRENT RESIDENTS EITHER TEND TO BE REALLY PASSIONATE SAY REORGANIZE OR REALLY PASSIONATE TO SAY IT SHOULD CEASE.

MS. LENDERMAN SAID THEY ALSO ASKED THE QUESTION ARE THERE ANY ISSUES THEY FEEL THE MSBU IS NOT ADDRESSING THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE ADDRESSED. AGAIN, FAIRLY SMALL BASE SIZES BECAUSE ALMOST TWO THIRDS

62% DIDN'T ANSWER THE QUESTION; 14% SAID THEY DIDN'T KNOW ENOUGH TO ANSWER THE QUESTION. OF THOSE WHO DID ANSWER THE QUESTION, AGAIN SMALL BASE SIZES, PROVIDE PROPERTY OWNERS WITH MORE INFORMATION, 3% SAID CANCEL THE MSBU AND 3% SAID BETTER ROAD MAINTENANCE, 3% SAID IMPROVE THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND AMBULANCE SERVICES AND 3% SAID KEEP THE COST DOWN AND REDUCE THE DEBT CONTROL PROPERTY TAX. THE REST ARE EITHER 2% OR LESS. BETWEEN CURRENT RESIDENTS AND NON RESIDENTS, THE CURRENT RESIDENTS ARE CLEARLY MORE INTERESTED IN IMPROVING THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND AMBULANCE SERVICES VERSUS THE NON RESIDENTS IT MAKES SENSE; THEY LIVE HERE AND THE NON RESIDENTS DON'T. 13% SAY CANCEL THE MSBU WHEREAS ONLY 2% OF NON RESIDENTS SAY THAT. 11% SAY BETTER ROAD MAINTENANCE; ONLY 2% OF THE NON RESIDENTS FELT THAT WAY. 10% SAID ALLOW PROPERTY OWNERS TO VOTE ON ISSUES; ONLY 1% OF THE NON RESIDENTS FEEL THAT WAY.

MS. LENDERMAN WENT OVER THE BASIC AGE BREAKS FOR THOSE WHO ANSWERED THE SURVEY; THE OVERALL MEAN AGE OF RESPONDENTS IS 64 YEARS OLD. THE MEAN AGE OF CURRENT RESIDENTS IS 64 AND THE MEAN AGE OF NON RESIDENTS IS 64. 61% OF THE RESPONDENTS WERE MALE AND 33% WERE FEMALE AND ONLY 6% REFUSED TO ANSWER THE QUESTION. OF THE CURRENT RESIDENTS AGAIN, THEY HAD 52% MALE AND 62% OF NON RESIDENTS WERE MALE. THE BALANCE BEING FEMALE; NOT A BIG DIFFERENCE.

MS. LENDERMAN WENT OVER ISSUES AND ANSWERS CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS. SHE FELT STRONGLY WHEN SHE WAS POURING THROUGH THIS DATA THAT WHAT KEPT HITTING HER WERE A COUPLE OF THINGS OVER AND OVER AGAIN:

1. THE CONFLICTING SENTIMENTS HEARD ESPECIALLY AMONG CURRENT RESIDENTS TO HER INDICATES A GREAT NEED FOR MORE INFORMATION TO BE GIVEN TO RESIDENTS AND WHAT RESIDENTS FEEL SHOULD BE MORE ACCURATE INFORMATION. PR GOES A LONG WAY.

2. MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT WHAT MSBU DOES AND DOESN'T DO; IF YOU ARE PREVALENT, PROPERTY OWNERS WOULD BENEFIT FROM MORE INFORMATION BEING GIVEN TO THEM.

THOUGHTS ISSUES AND ANSWERS THREW OUT FOR EVERYBODY:

1. MSBU COULD DEVELOP A NEWSLETTER; DO A QUESTION AND ANSWER SHEET. DO THIS ON A QUARTERLY BASIS OR ON A BIENNIAL BASIS. IT COULD CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROGRESS OF CURRENT MSBU PROJECTS AS WELL AS POSSIBILITIES FOR THE FUTURE. EVEN INCLUDE PHOTOGRAPHS TO SHOW PROGRESS WOULD GO A LONG WAY. MS. LENDERMAN SAID AGAIN THIS IS JUST INFORMATION, INFORMATION, INFORMATION SHARED.

2. THESE NEWSLETTERS COULD ALSO BE LEFT AT PUBLIC LOCATIONS SO PEOPLE CAN PICK THEM UP AGAIN IF THEY DIDN'T REMEMBER GETTING THEM IN THE MAIL OR WHATEVER. CONVENIENCE STORES, COUNTY FIRE STATION OR ON THE COUNTY'S WEB SITE ARE EXAMPLES OF POSSIBLE LOCATIONS.

3. NEW PROPERTY OWNERS SHOULD BE GIVEN THE QUESTION AND ANSWER DOCUMENT; A MOST RECENT NEWSLETTER WHEN THE REAL ESTATE TRANSACTION IS COMPLETED. THAT WAY THEY HAVE INFORMATION RIGHT FROM THE START OF THEIR OWNERSHIP OF THAT PROPERTY.

4. CURRENT RESIDENTS ARE CLOSE TO THE ACTION; SO, THEY ARE ALSO MOST LIKELY TO HOLD STRONG OPINIONS, POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE, ABOUT THE MSBU. A GREATER EFFORT SHOULD BE AIMED AT INVOLVING THE CURRENT RESIDENTS.

5. PROPERTY OWNERS DO FEEL SINCE MONEY FOR THE MSBU IS PRIMARILY FROM ASSESSMENTS, THEY ARE THOSE THAT FEEL THEY SHOULD HAVE A VOICE IN WHAT GOES ON. THE MSBU WOULD BENEFIT FROM REASSURING PROPERTY OWNERS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OPERATES WITHIN A REPRESENTATIVE OF DEMOCRACY AND MEMBERS HAVE BEEN ELECTED TO MAKE DECISIONS ON BEHALF OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS. PROPERTY OWNERS MAY HAVE A MORE POSITIVE OPINION IF THEY WERE PROVIDED AN OUTLET TO EXPRESS THEIR FEELINGS. THEY JUST WANT TO FEEL MORE INVOLVED.

6. ALTHOUGH SOME DISSATISFACTION WAS STATED WITH THE MSBU, MANY ARE IN FAVOR OF REORGANIZATION, NOT NECESSARILY CESSATION. SO, THAT IS A POSITIVE AS WELL. IT MIGHT BE ADVANTAGEOUS TO CREATE AN IMAGE OF MSBU SINCE THERE ARE OBVIOUSLY SOME PERCEPTIONS OF CORRUPTION AND DISHONESTY. WHETHER IT IS THE TRUTH OR NOT, PERCEPTIONS ARE OUT

THERE. THE UNIT IS OPEN TO SUGGESTIONS AND THERE AGAIN, INCLUDING THE CURRENT RESIDENTS.

7. THE MSBU COULD ALSO SHARE WITH RESIDENTS HOW OTHER SIMILAR ORGANIZATIONS IN OTHER CITIES, STATES OPERATES VERSES THE MSBU JUST AGAIN TO SHOW THE PROPERTY OWNERS THIS IS REALLY WHAT IS HAPPENING ALL AROUND THE COUNTRY; IT IS NOT JUST US.

8. THE MSBU MIGHT COULD BENEFIT FROM CONDUCTING FURTHER ANALYSIS OF THE SUBDIVISIONS IN COMPARISON TO OTHER REGIONS OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF THE COUNTY; ANALYZING REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES COMPARED TO THE REST OF THE COUNTY MIGHT PROVIDE INSIGHT INTO HOW THE MSBU IS ULTIMATELY HELPING THESE SUBDIVISIONS. IF MSBU WERE NOT THERE AS A SOURCE OF REVENUE FOR THESE ENDEAVORS, THEIR RESIDENTS MAY BE LACKING THEN. IF THE COUNTY CHOOSES TO DO FURTHER ANALYSIS, IT NEEDS TO BE PREPARED FOR THE POSSIBILITY OF SOME NEGATIVE IMPACTS. IT COULD BE POSITIVE OR IT COULD BE NEGATIVE SO THEY HAVE TO KEEP AN OPEN MIND.

MS. LENDERMAN SAID HER LAST REMARK ON THE NON RESPONSE RATE, SHE DOESN'T ALWAYS VIEW THAT AS A NEGATIVE. PEOPLE WHO HAVE VERY STRONG OPINIONS ARE GOING TO EXPRESS THIS OPINION WHETHER IT IS POSITIVE OR NEGATIVE. SHE TENDS TO THINK THE LACK OF RESPONSE IS MORE OF NOT ENOUGH INFORMATION; BUT, THEY ARE NOT FEELING REALLY POSITIVE OR REALLY NEGATIVE THEY NEED TO EXPRESS THEMSELVES. SHE WOULD TAKE THAT MORE OF A POSITIVE THAN A NEGATIVE. SHE THEN ASKED IF THE BOARD HAD ANY QUESTIONS.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED WHEN WOULD THEY GET A HARD COPY OF THE MSBU SURVEY PRESENTATION. MR. HAGAN STATED THEY HAD A COPY FOR ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS AS WELL AS A VIDEO; IN TALKING WITH MS. LENDERMAN, THEY FELT DOING IT AFTERWARDS WOULD KEEP THEM FROM THUMBING THROUGH AND BEING DISTRACTED DURING HER PRESENTATION.

SHARRON BAHR, SUNNY HILLS, QUESTIONED WHEN THEY GOT THE SURVEY, IT CAME OUT DURING ELECTION TIME. TO BE HONEST WHEN SHE SAW THE QUESTIONS ISSUES AND ANSWERS, SHE THOUGHT IT WAS ABOUT THE ELECTION.

THE RESIDENTS WERE SO INUNDATED WITH MAIL ABOUT THE ELECTION, ETC., SHE FEELS LIKE A LOT OF PEOPLE PROBABLY THROUGH THEM AWAY AS SHE ALMOST DID. SHE HAS LEARNED OVER THE YEARS YOU NEED TO OPEN EVERY PIECE OF MAIL YOU GET WHETHER IT IS JUNK OR NOT BECAUSE YOU NEVER KNOW WHAT IS IN THERE.

MS. BAHR ADDRESSED HER FINDING A LOT OF QUESTIONS IN THE SURVEY WERE REDUNDANT; THAT WAS JUST HER OPINION BUT EVERYONE SHE TALKED TO IN THE AREA FELT THE SAME WAY. SOME OF THE QUESTIONS WERE MISLEADING AND CONFUSING BECAUSE THEY WERE THE SAME QUESTION; BUT, WORDED DIFFERENTLY. A LOT OF PEOPLE, SHE THOUGHT, HAD A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

MS. BAHR SAID SHE FOUND THAT OUT OF 24,000, ONLY 7,000 OR 8,000 SURVEYS WERE SENT OUT. MS. LENDERMAN SAID THAT WAS CORRECT.

MS. BAHR SAID AFTERWARDS, SHE AND HER HUSBAND WROTE THE LAND-OWNERS, WHICH THEY WENT ON WASHINGTON COUNTY'S SITE AND PICKED UP NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF PEOPLE WHO CURRENTLY OWN PROPERTY BUT DO NOT LIVE IN SUNNY HILLS, AND ASKED THEM HOW DID THEY FEEL ABOUT THE SURVEY AND IF THEY HAD PLANS ON MOVING DOWN TO SUNNY HILLS AND MAKING IT THEIR FUTURE HOME LIKE SHE AND HER HUSBAND DID WHEN THEY RETIRED ABOUT FOUR YEARS AGO. SHE COULDN'T BELIEVE OUT OF THE OVER 200 LETTERS THEY SENT OUT, OVER A 100 OF THEM CAME BACK AND SAID THEY NEVER EVEN GOT THE SURVEY. SHE JUST WONDERED WHY SUCH A NONIMAL AMOUNT OF SURVEYS WERE SENT OUT.

MS. LENDERMAN ADVISED THAT IS ALL ISSUES AND ANSWERS WOUND UP WITH AFTER THEY TOOK OUT THE DUPLICATES.

MS. BAHR SAID SHE KNOWS A LOT OF PEOPLE OWN SEVERAL PIECES OF PROPERTY AND THERE ARE CORPORATIONS THAT OWN A LOTS OF PROPERTY; THE COUNTY OWNS A LOT OF THE PROPERTY DUE TO TAXES NOT BEING PAID AND THEY HAVE CONFISCATED IT, SOME OF IT WAS DONATED TO THE COUNTY BY OWNERS WHO DIED, ETC. BUT, TO HER SHE FOUND THERE WAS A LOT OF NON RESPONSE. AGAIN LIKE MS. LENDERMAN SAID, THERE WERE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTIONS.

MS. BAHR SAID THE MSBU, TO HER KNOWLEDGE, HAS NOT DONE ANYTHING

SINCE SHE AND HER HUSBAND HAS MOVED DOWN HERE; THERE HAS BEEN NO BEAUTIFICATION, NO EXTRA LIGHTING, DEFINITELY NO EMS AND FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES. WHICH, ONE OF THE REASONS THE RESIDENTS ARE UPSET ABOUT THAT IS BECAUSE THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF FIRES AND DEATHS IN SUNNY HILLS JUST IN THE LAST FIVE OR SIX MONTHS. THE FIRE DEPARTMENT THEY HAD, THEIR CONTRACT WAS BROKEN; SHE SAID SHE WASN'T GOING TO GET INTO IT BECAUSE OF A LEGAL THING. BUT, IT WAS BROKEN BEFORE THERE WAS A NEW FIREHOUSE AND EMS SERVICE IN PLACE WHICH PUT THE RESIDENTS OF SUNNY HILLS IN MORE JEOPARDY AND IT HAS BEEN PROVEN OVER THE LAST FOUR TO FIVE MONTHS. SHE JUST DON'T THINK THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE ANSWERED ISSUES AND ANSWERS SURVEY BECAUSE THEY THOUGHT IT WAS JUNK MAIL AND SHE THINKS A BETTER SURVEY COULD HAVE BEEN PUT OUT. SHE DON'T KNOW WHO MADE THE SURVEY UP; BUT, SHE DON'T THINK THE RIGHT QUESTIONS WERE ASKED.

SAL ZURICA, SUNNY HILLS, SAID OUT OF 7,000 SURVEYS, HOW MANY CAME IN AFTER NOVEMBER 8TH. MS. LENDERMAN SAID SHE WOULD SAY A HANDFUL AT MOST; BUT, THEY WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE DATA.

MR. ZURICA ASKED IF THE SURVEYS WERE SIGNED AND DATED AS THEY CAME IN. MS. LENDERMAN ADVISED ISSUES AND ANSWERS CLERICAL STAFF DOES STAMP THEM. MR. ZURICA ASKED FOR A COPY OF THE ACTUAL SURVEYS THAT WERE SENT IN, WHAT CAME IN BEFORE NOVEMBER 8TH AND WHAT CAME IN AFTER NOVEMBER 8TH. HE REFERRED TO MS. LENDERMAN SAYING OUT OF THE 7,000 SURVEYS SENT OUT, THEY RECEIVED 700 AND THEY DIDN'T RECEIVE ANY FROM OVERSEAS. IN REALITY, THEY TECHNICALLY GOT 10%. MS. LENDERMAN SAID THEY GOT 9%.

MR. ZURICA SAID HE RAN A SURVEY FOR ONE YEAR SENDING OUT LETTERS TO PROPERTY OWNERS, OUT OF OVER 1,000, HE GOT 200 RESPONSES TO DO AWAY WITH THE MSBU. HE GOT 4 THAT WANTED IT AND HE DID GET ANSWERS FROM OVERSEAS AND HE WILL BRING THEM IN AT THE BOARD MEETING WITH THEIR ENVELOPES, SIGNED BY THESE PEOPLE. HE ASKED WHAT WAS THE FINAL OUTCOME OF ISSUES AND ANSWERS SURVEY; IS IT TO DO AWAY WITH THE MSBU OR IS THIS MS. LENDERMAN'S OPINION.

MS. LENDERMAN EXPLAINED THE DATA SHOWS A BIG SPLIT IN THE VIEWS. SHE SAID SHE COULD GO BACK TO THE SLIDE.

MR. ZURICA SAID HE JUST WANTED TO KNOW WHAT PERCENTAGE SAID YES AND WHAT PERCENTAGE SAID THEY WANTED TO DO AWAY WITH IT BECAUSE MS. LENDERMAN IS GIVING HER OPINION, THE PERCENTAGE OF PEOPLE THAT DON'T LIVE HERE AND MOST OF THAT IS OWNED BY DELTONA THEMSELVES AND A LOT IS OWNED BY THE COUNTY. THE COUNTY DON'T REALLY HAVE A SAY SO IN THIS AND DELTONA, HE IS SURE, DIDN'T ANSWER THIS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID ALL THE PROPERTY OWNERS GOT A SURVEY.

MR. HAGAN READ THE PAGE WITH THE PERCENTAGES MR. ZURICA WAS ASKING FOR; 12% WANTS TO CEASE, 33% WANTS TO REORGANIZE AND THE REMAINDER HAD NO OPINION.

MR. ZURICA SAID HE WOULD STILL LIKE TO GET A COPY OF ALL THE ORIGINALS, DATED, ETC. IF THAT IS POSSIBLE.

ROGER SAID THAT IS A CORPORATE'S PRODUCT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED TO CHECK ON WHETHER THE ORIGINAL SURVEYS CAN BE PROVIDED TO MR. ZURICA.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WOULD THINK THE DATA WOULD BELONG TO THE COUNTY. HE THOUGHT ALL THE SURVEYS MS. LENDERMAN RECEIVED, EVERYTHING INVOLVED WITH THE SURVEY WOULD BECOME PART OF THE COUNTY; THEY PAID FOR IT.

MS. LENDERMAN AGREED; BUT, SHE CAN'T PROVIDE THE INFORMATION TO MR. ZURICA BECAUSE OF THE CODE OF ETHICS FOR THE MARKETING RESEARCH ASSOCIATION EVER DISCLOSE RESPONDENT'S PERSONAL INFORMATION WITH THEIR SURVEY DATA. SHE IS BOUND BY A CODE OF ETHICS NEVER TO DO THAT; IT IS JUST STRICTLY DATA. BUT, IT DOES BELONG TO THE COUNTY.

MR. ZURICA SAID THEY COULD BLACKEN OUT THE HOMEOWNERS NAME AND ADDRESS BECAUSE THEY HAVE THAT CODE ON THE BOTTOM. THIS WAY THE PERSONAL INFORMATION IS NOT GOING OUT TO THE PUBLIC.

WES FISHER SAID HE DOESN'T PERSONALLY HAVE AN ISSUE WITH THE FINDINGS EITHER WAY; BUT, HE SAW ONE THING ON THE DATA THAT WAS

RATHER MISLEADING. WHEN MS. LENDERMAN SHOWED THE PIE CHART OF LOCALS VERSUS NON LOCALS, MS. LENDERMAN JUST SAID HERSELF AND THE PIE CHART SHOWED THAT 66% WERE NON RESIDENTIAL. HE ASKED IF THAT WAS CORRECT.

MS. LENDERMAN SAID IT WAS 86% NON RESIDENTIAL.

MR. FISHER SAID YET ONLY 2% HAD AN OPINION OF MSBU; THE REST DID NOT KNOW OR HAD NO OPINION.

MS. LENDERMAN QUESTIONED ABOUT REORGANIZATION VERSUS CESSATION.

MR. FISHER SAID ABOUT THE SURVEY ITSELF. MS. LENDERMAN SAID SHE WAS NOT SURE SHE WAS UNDERSTANDING MR. FISHER'S QUESTION.

MR. FISHER REITERATED THERE WAS SOMETHING SHE HAD PUT UP SHOWING THE DEMOGRAPHICS OF RESIDENTS THAT ANSWERED THE SURVEY VERSUS THE NON RESIDENTS THAT ANSWERED THE SURVEY AND THERE IS A HUGE DISPARITY OF NON RESIDENTIAL PERCENTAGE OF THE SURVEYS HANDED OUT VERSUS WHAT WAS RETURNED. LIKE MS. LENDERMAN SAYING THEY HAD 0% OVERSEAS RETURNS, ETC. LOOKING AT THAT PERCENTAGE OF WHAT SHE SAW THAT WERE NON RESIDENTIAL THAT DIDN'T RETURN THE SURVEY, THERE WAS MISLEADING INFORMATION RIGHT THERE. THIS IS NON BIASED. HE DOESN'T ACTUALLY LIVE IN SUNNY HILLS. BUT, THE MISLEADING INFORMATION IS THAT ACTUAL PERCENTAGE IS THE LANDOWNERS THEMSELVES. THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE AN OPINION ABOUT THIS BECAUSE THEY ARE TRYING TO SELL IT; THEY WANT TO SELL IT SO WHY WOULD THEY WANT TO HELP OR DEFUNCT SOMETHING THAT IS GOING TO IMPROVE THE COMMUNITY WITHOUT THEIR COST INVOLVED. THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE TO INVEST IN EXTRA LIGHTING, EXTRA SERVICES TO SELL THEIR REAL ESTATE. THAT IS REALLY MISLEADING WHEN YOU PUT IT ON A PIE CHART. THIS SURVEY SHOULD HAVE FOCUSED ONLY ON THE RESIDENTS THAT PAY AND SIT THERE AND SEE THEIR MONEY GO TO WORK. WHEN YOU CAN SIT THERE AND PUT ON A PIE CHART THAT 60% ARE NON RESIDENTIAL, YET ONLY 2% RESPONDED, IF THEY ACTUALLY PAY. HE THEN GAVE AN EXAMPLE IF YOU GOT A BILL FOR \$42 A YEAR AND YOU KNEW NOTHING ABOUT IT, WOULD YOU JUST LAUGH AND PAY IT. HE DOESN'T THINK THERE IS ANYBODY LOGICALLY THAT WOULD DO THAT; SO, THE INFORMATION MS. LENDERMAN JUST RETURNED TO THIS PLACE IS VERY QUESTIONABLE. ALSO, THERE HAS TO BE

A WAY THESE SURVEYS CAN BE AT THE VERY LEAST SCANNED AND ZIP DRIVED THROUGH AN EMAIL ADDRESS. NOBODY WANTS TO KNOW THE INDIVIDUAL THAT HAD THE OPINION; BUT, AS MS. LENDERMAN SAID, OPINIONS ARE SKEWED 180 DEGREES FROM EACH OTHER. THAT IS BECAUSE THIS COMMUNITY IS BASICALLY AT CIVIL WAR WITH EACH OTHER, FOR LACK OF A BETTER TERM. ONE SIDE IS ABSOLUTELY FOR IT AND THE OTHER IS NOT. YOU HAVE ORGANIZATIONS WITHIN THE RANKS THAT DO THE SAME THING; KNOW THAT ONE IS PUSHING ONE SIDE AND ONE IS PUSHING THE OTHER. BUT, WHEN YOU MISLEAD THE INFORMATION, MR. FISHER THEN SAID HE DOES WHAT MS. LENDERMAN DOES FOR A LIVING AS WELL, THAT KIND OF INFORMATION RIGHT THERE, IF THESE GUYS ARE PAYING MONEY TO REPRESENT, WITH INFORMATION LIKE THAT, THAT IS A HUGE PORTION OF THAT SURVEY THAT JUST GOT OVERLOOKED. THAT LEAVES A BIG WHOLE IN WHAT THE DETERMINING FACTOR WAS. IF HE IS NOT WRONG, DIDN'T THE BOARD SPEND \$15,000 FOR THIS SURVEY. MS. LENDERMAN SAID THEY PAID \$17,000.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD MR. FISHER THEY WERE HERE TO DISCUSS THE PRESENTATION; NOT WHAT WENT WRONG OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

MR. FISHER SAID HIS POINT IS THE CONCLUSION THAT SHOULD BE MADE OFF THIS IS GOING TO BE HARD TO DETERMINE BASED ON THE LACK OF INFORMATION THE BOARD JUST RECEIVED. HE COULDN'T HAVE SOLD THAT TO ANYBODY.

COMMISSIONER PATE THANKED MR. FISHER FOR HIS OPINION.

MIKE DERUNTZ ADDRESSED THERE WERE OVER 24,000 INDIVIDUAL PARCELS OUT THERE THAT IS OWNED BY SOMEBODY. IN THE METHODOLOGY, MS. LENDERMAN WANTED TO WEIGH INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY OWNERS AS EQUAL SO SHE REDUCED THE NUMBER OF SAMPLING DOWN TO NOT WANTING TO HAVE ANY DUPLICATION OF OWNERSHIP. FOR EXAMPLE IT IS ONE PROPERTY COMPARED TO OWNING SEVERAL LOTS, THEY HAVE AN EQUAL VALUE IN SAY. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS ABOUT 7,000 PLUS SURVEYS THAT WENT OUT.

MS. LENDERMAN ADVISED HIM THERE WERE 8,249 SURVEYS THAT WENT OUT WHEN YOU INCLUDE THE INTERNATIONAL ONES. MR. DERUNTZ ASKED WHAT THE 2800 NUMBER WAS; WAS THAT JUST THE ONES THAT WERE MAILED.

MS. LENDERMAN REITERATED THEY SENT OUT OVER 8,000. HE ASKED AGAIN WHAT THE 2800 NUMBER WAS AS HE THOUGHT THIS WAS REFERENCED EARLIER IN THE PRESENTATION. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS A REDUCTION IN THE SURVEY WHERE SHE DONE A SAMPLING OR WAS IT A 100% SAMPLE OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS.

MS. LENDERMAN EXPLAINED ONCE ISSUES AND ANSWERS DID THE DEDUPING, EVERYONE WAS SENT THE SURVEY. MR. DERUNTZ SAID SO THAT WOULD BE A 100% WITH MS. LENDERMAN AGREEING.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID IN HIS REVIEW OF THIS PRESENTATION, THE ONLY CONCLUSION YOU COULD HAVE IS THERE IS MORE INFORMATION THAT IS NEEDED. WHEN YOU HAVE 60% OF THE SURVEY, EVEN WITH 9% WHICH IS A GOOD PERCENTAGE, NOT RESPONDING OR ANSWERING, THAT IS A BIG ELEMENT HERE. THAT IS NOT WHAT YOU WANT TO SEE; BUT, IT DOES TELL YOU SOMETHING THAT MORE INFORMATION NEEDS TO GO OUT SO THEY COULD HAVE REALLY A BETTER OPINION OF ALL THE PROPERTY OWNERS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID PROPERTY OWNERS MEAN ALL PROPERTY OWNERS; WORLD WIDE, HERE. THAT IS ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THEY HAVE HAD; THEY HAVE THIS SIDE OVER HERE FIGHTING OVER HERE AND THEY TEND TO IGNORE THOSE PEOPLE OUT THERE WHO PAY GOOD MONEY FOR THEIRS, PAY THE FEE ASSESSMENTS JUST LIKE THEY DO. THAT IS THE HISTORY. FROM WHAT HE KNOWS GOES ON DOWN THERE, THIS INFORMATION IS NOT A BIG SURPRISE TO HIM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED OUT OF THE 8,000 SURVEYS THAT WENT OUT, THERE WERE 713 THAT COME BACK. OUT OF THAT 713 SURVEYS THAT CAME BACK, HOW MANY WERE SUNNY HILLS RESIDENTS ACTUALLY LIVING THERE.

MS. LENDERMAN SAID SHE DIDN'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT RIGHT OFF THE TOP OF HER HEAD; BUT, SHE WOULD BE HAPPY TO GET THAT NUMBER AND RELAY IT TO MR. HAGAN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED EVERYBODY WOULD GET COPIES OF THIS SURVEY; THEY CAN HASH THROUGH IT, LOOK AT IT. HOWEVER, HE WASN'T SURE IF THURSDAY WAS THE BEST TIME TO DO IT. MAYBE NEXT MONTH AS THIS WOULD GIVE THEM TIME TO LOOK AT THE SURVEY AND EVERYBODY UNDERSTAND

EXACTLY WHAT THEY HAVE DONE AND GIVES THEM TIME TO WORK WITH CARLA A LITTLE BIT TO GET SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM THE DATA.

MS. LENDERMAN AGREED AND IF THEY WOULD LIKE FOR HER TO SLICE AND DICE THE DATA INFORMATION, SHE WOULD BE HAPPY TO DO SO.

MR. HAGAN INFORMED THE BOARD CAMILLE THARP, GSG, WAS PRESENT. SHE IS THE COUNTY'S CONSULTANT ON THE MSBU; SHE HAS BEEN A SHADOW THROUGH THIS WHOLE THING; THE CORPORATION, ISSUES AND ANSWERS DID THE SURVEY. CAMILLE HAS HELPED HIM IN REVIEWING AND EXCHANGES, ETC. AND SHE HAS ALSO WORKED WITH MS. LENDERMAN AND HER STAFF. THE BOARD MAY WANT TO SEE IF CAMILLE HAS ANY COMMENTS SHE WOULD LIKE TO OFFER ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE DOING. CAMILLE HAD NO COMMENTS.

MR. HAGAN RECOMMENDED, AS THE BOARD GETS THEIR COPIES OF THE MSBU PRESENTATION ON THE SURVEYS, THEY DIRECT THE QUESTIONS THROUGH THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE AND LET THEM SEND THEM TO MS. LENDERMAN; IT WOULD BE BETTER MECHANICS THAN WORKING WITH FIVE OR SIX PEOPLE.

KAREN SCHOEN, SUNNY HILLS, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING SHE THOUGHT THE PROBLEM WITH THE SURVEY, AND SHE DON'T HAVE THE SURVEY IN FRONT OF HER, BUT THE QUESTIONS WERE MISLEADING, NOT QUITE UNDERSTANDABLE, NOT GRAMMATICALLY CORRECT, HARD TO UNDERSTAND AND REDUNDANT WHICH MADE IT VERY DIFFICULT TO ANSWER. SHE FELT IF THE BOARD WAS GOING TO DO ANYTHING, THEY SHOULD REVISIT THE SURVEY AND THE QUESTIONS.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, THE MEETING WAS CALLED BACK TO ORDER. ANDY ANDREASON, AG AGENT ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON TWO ISSUES OF CONCERN WITH THE AG RENTALS:

1. THEY HAVE HAD SOME RENTERS THAT HAVE USED THE AG CENTER THAT HAVE LESS THAN DESIRABLE CONCERNS ABOUT THE COUNTY'S FACILITIES AND OUR PROPERTIES. THOSE HAVE BEEN FROM OUT OF COUNTY. THEY ARE LOOKING AT WAYS TO ADDRESS THE RENTAL CONTRACTS AND ALSO HAVE LOOKED AT SOME GUIDANCE THERE FROM THE BOARD AND ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN AS WAYS TO AMEND THEIR CURRENT CONTRACT TO MAYBE DEAL WITH THAT ISSUE AND PROVIDE

LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT WITH THE TEETH THEY NEED TO HELP ENFORCE AND HEAD OFF SOME PROBLEMS. THE BIGGEST PROBLEM THEY HAVE HAD WAS WITH SOME INDIVIDUALS THAT HAVE RENTED THE AG CENTER UNDER THE PRETENSE OF HAVING SOME KIND OF FAMILY REUNION OR BIRTHDAY PARTY AND BASICALLY TURNED IT INTO A PARADE WHERE THEY COME IN ABOUT 10:00 ON WHATEVER NIGHT IT IS THEY HAD IT RENTED FOR, THEY ADVERTISE IT ON FACE BOOK TO THE LOCAL KIDS AND PEOPLE THERE IS GOING TO BE THIS RAVE THERE WHERE THEY COME IN. THEY RENT IT UNDER THE PRETENSE IT IS NON PROFIT RENTAL SO THEY GET IT AT A CHEAPER RATE AND THEN THEY GO IN AND HAVE A GATE CHARGE OR COVER CHARGE AND THEN THEY HAVE THINGS GOING ON IN THERE THAT ARE NOT PERMITTED BY THE COUNTY'S RULES OR GUIDELINES. THE COUNTY DOESN'T ALLOW TOBACCO, ALCOHOL OR DRUGS ON THE PREMISES AND THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT IS THERE DURING THIS SITUATION. THEY HAVE HAD SITUATIONS WHERE AFTER ONE OF THOSE, THE CURTAINS AND THE CARPET IN THE AG CENTER AND THE SOUND BOARD THAT ABSORBS NOISE WREAK FROM SMOKE FOR DAYS. THEY HAVE TO RUN THE AIR CONDITIONERS THREE TO FIVE DAYS JUST TO GET IT WHERE YOU CAN STAND TO BE IN THERE WITH THE FEBREZE AND WHATEVER ELSE YOU CAN GET ON IT TO CLEAN IT UP BEFORE THE NEXT RENTERS TO NOT HAVE THAT KIND OF ADVERSE SITUATION. THEY ARE SMART ENOUGH SO EVERYTIME IT IS RENTED, IT IS UNDER A DIFFERENT NAME; BUT, IT IS THE SAME PEOPLE. THEY HAVE BEEN BOOTED OUT OF JACKSON COUNTY SO THEY HAVE MOVED TO WASHINGTON COUNTY TO WHEREVER THEY CAN GET A PLACE. THEY COME WITH A FRONT, THEY MAY DRESS LIKE AN OFFICIAL WORKER, LOOK LIKE A REPUTABLE PERSON AND HE IS PROBABLY GETTING PAID BY THIS GROUP A COMMISSION TO GO RENT IT IN THEIR NAME AND THEN IT IS BASICALLY A MONEY MAKING OPERATION, IN WHICH THEY HAVE PEOPLE FROM JACKSONVILLE AND OTHER AREAS COME IN TO EXPLOIT THE LOCALS. HE TALKED WITH KEVIN CREWS AT LENGTH ABOUT IT AND HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT IT. THEY HAD A SITUATION BACK IN THE FALL THE FIRST TIME THIS HAPPENED, THERE WERE SEVERAL REPORTS OF FIGHTS IN THE PARKING LOT, GUNS DISCHARGED IN THE PARKING LOT, 2:00 OR 3:00 IN THE MORNING TYPE THING AND SO THEY HAVE HAD A VERY GOOD WHOLESOME RELATIONSHIP WITH EVERY-

BODY IN THE COUNTY. HE DOESN'T THINK HE KNOWS OF ANY RESIDENT THAT HAS NOT REALLY EMBRACED THE AG CENTER AND TRIED TO TAKE CARE OF IT; TREAT IT AS IF IT WAS THEIR OWN PROPERTY AND IT IS THEIR OWN PERSONAL PROPERTY OR PUBLIC PROPERTY. IT IS USED AS THE COUNTY'S CIVIC CENTER; IT IS THE LARGEST MEETING ROOM THEY HAVE IN THE COUNTY. THEY ARE TRYING TO ADDRESS RULES. THEY ARE KIND OF CONCERNED THEY DON'T WANT TO IMPOSE SOMETHING THAT IS GOING TO BE A HARDSHIP ON THE COUNTY RESIDENTS; BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, THEY NEED SOME TYPE OF CONTROL OVER IT SO THEY CAN KEEP THINGS LIKE THIS FROM HAPPENING. ONE OF THE THINGS HE TALKED WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN ABOUT WAS THE CONTRACT LENGTH; THE CONTRACT LENGTH WAS FROM ABOUT 8:00 A.M. UNTIL MIDNIGHT. HE SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO BE UP HERE AT MIDNIGHT TO MAKE SURE THE DOORS ARE CLOSED AND EVERYBODY IS RUN OFF. THESE RAVES DON'T START UNTIL ABOUT 10:00 P.M. AND THEY GO TO ABOUT 2:00 A.M. TO 3:00 A.M. THE PLACE IS USUALLY LITTERED WITH LIQUOR BOTTLES AND WHATEVER ELSE WHICH IS A LOT OF THINGS YOU WOULDN'T WANT TO SEE. BUT, THE ISSUE IS IF THEY CAN LOWER THE CURFEW. THEY GOT TO THINKING ABOUT IT; MOST OF THE CONTRACTS, IF YOU HAVE A WEDDING RECEPTION, A REUNION OR A SITUATION WHERE YOU HAVE, THERE IS NOT A GRADUATION HE KNOWS. THE ONLY EVENT THAT MIGHT BE AN OVERNIGHTER PAST 10:00 P.M. WOULD PROBABLY BE PROJECT GRADUATION, WHERE THEY GET A SPECIAL VARIANCE FROM THE BOARD AS TRUSTEES OF THE AG CENTER, OR A CHURCH GROUP THAT MIGHT HAVE A LOCK IN OVERNIGHT, ETC. THESE COULD BE HANDLED ON AN INDIVIDUAL BASIS. THEY WERE LOOKING AT TRYING TO ADJUST THE ENDING TIME OF THAT CONTRACT, REDUCING THAT TO A MORE REASONABLE TIME OF DAY SO THAT MOST EVERYBODY THAT IS GOING TO HAVE IT, EVEN IF IT IS A WEDDING RECEPTION, AN EVENING WEDDING WOULD WIND DOWN BY AROUND 11:00 P.M. HE WANTED TO LOOK AT THAT.

2. THERE WERE CONCERNS ABOUT DEPOSITS. CURRENTLY THE PROCESS THEY HAVE IS THEY ACCEPT THE DAMAGE DEPOSIT IN ADVANCE FOR THE RENTAL; THAT CHECK IS HELD UNTIL AFTER THE EVENT IS OVER AND IF EVERYTHING IS IN ORDER, IT HAS NEVER BEEN CASHED. IT HAS JUST BEEN

23-BCC
01-24-2011

BOOK 86 PAGE 388

HELD. WITH THESE RAVES, THEY PAY CASH ONLY SO HE DOESN'T THINK THAT IS GOING TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM. THERE WAS A SUGGESTION THE DEPOSIT WAS TOO LOW. THEY HAVE HAD ISSUES WITH REPUTABLE GROUPS THAT HAVE HAD ISSUES IN THE PAST; CHURCH GROUPS THAT MAY HAVE HAD KIDS UNDER TEN AS WELL THEY SHOULD. THEY HAD SOUND BOARD DAMAGE, DOOR DAMAGE DONE BY A GROUP HAVING A TRADE SHOW. THEY WERE GOOD REPUTABLE PEOPLE BUT ACCIDENTS HAPPEN SOMETIMES. YOU CAN'T REPLACE A DOOR FOR LESS THAN \$200.

MR. ANDREASON REPORTED THEY HAD RAN INTO AN ISSUE WITH THE LAST RAVE ON THE HOUSELIGHTS. THERE IS A CYLINDER AROUND THEM THAT FOCUS THOSE LIGHTS; THEY HAD THREE OF THEM KNOCKED OUT AND ONE OF THEM TOTALLY GONE. HE GOT ROBERT TO REPAIR TWO OF THEM, PAINT THEM AND GET THEM BACK IN THERE BECAUSE THEY WERE VERY DIFFICULT TO REPLACE. BUT, THEY COULDN'T HAVE PAID FOR THIS WITH A \$200 DEPOSIT TO GET THAT FIXED. SINCE THE DEPOSIT IS NEVER CASHED, OR HAS NOT BEEN, THE BOARD MAY WANT TO CHANGE POLICY ON THAT. HE DOESN'T THINK THAT WOULD REALLY BE A BIG HARDSHIP; BUT, IT WOULD BE A LITTLE MORE INCENTIVE FOR PEOPLE TO TAKE A LITTLE MORE CARE OF THE FACILITY IF THAT WAS RAISED. HE HAD PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH A PROPOSED, AS WELL AS THE CURRENT CONTRACT. HE REQUESTED ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESS THE ISSUE THEY HAVE HAD WITH THE RAVES. THERE IS ONE SUGGESTION THE RATES ON THE RENTALS FOR THE EAST WING CONFERENCE ROOM AND THE WEST WING CONFERENCE ROOM BE THE SAME; ONE ROOM IS A LITTLE SMALLER THAN THE OTHER BUT IT IS NICER FURNISHINGS, CARPET. SO HE DOESN'T FEEL ANYBODY WOULD HAVE AN ISSUE ONE WAY OR ANOTHER ON THIS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE ISSUE IS OBVIOUSLY HOW DO YOU KEEP THE PEOPLE WHO WANT TO USE IT BE ALLOWED TO KEEP USING IT WHILE TRYING TO DISSUADE THE PEOPLE WHO THEY DON'T WANT USING IT FOR OBVIOUS REASONS. HE THINKS THE TIME IT IS OPENED IS SOMETHING HE AND ANDY DISCUSSED. THEY ALSO DISCUSSED THE POSSIBILITY OF MAYBE HAVING, IF IT IS GOING TO BE OPEN AFTER A CERTAIN TIME PERIOD, SOME SORT OF PRIVATE INDEPENDENT SECURITY BE THERE AND IF A PERSON IS

GOING TO RENT IT AFTER 8:00 OR 9:00 P.M., THAT IS THEIR COST. BUT, HE DOESN'T THINK THE RAVES ARE GOING TO HAPPEN AT 3:00 P.M.; THEY USUALLY HAPPEN AT 2:00 A.M. WHAT THEY HAD TALKED ABOUT, AND IT NEEDS FURTHER DISCUSSION FROM A LIABILITY STANDPOINT, IS POSSIBLY WORKING IN CONJUNCTION WITH SOME SECURITY AND MAKING SURE THERE IS A PRESENCE THERE AFTER A CERTAIN TIME PERIOD WHERE THEY KNOW THERE IS AT LEAST A POSSIBILITY IF SOMETHING IS GOING ON, THEY ARE GOING TO GET CAUGHT WITH IT. IN WORKING IN CONJUNCTION WITH THEIR COLLEAGUES AT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IN MAKING SURE THEY ARE AWARE OF WHAT IS GOING ON, THE SECURITY. BUT, THE TIME IT IS OPEN PLUS A POSSIBLE SECURITY ELEMENT ARE TWO WAYS HE AND ANDY HAD TALKED ABOUT DISSUADING THE PEOPLE WHO WE WANT TO DISSUADE.

AS A FOLLOW UP TO THAT, ANDY SAID HE CHECKED WITH KEVIN CREWS, HE HASN'T HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO TALK WITH SHERIFF HADDOCK ABOUT IT. WHAT HAPPENED THE FIRST TIME THEY HAD THIS ISSUE, HE HAD KEVIN COME OVER AND LOOK AT THE SITUATION BECAUSE HE IS RIGHT ACROSS THE STREET. KEVIN PROCEEDED TO TELL HIM ALL THE OTHER ISSUES THAT THEY HAD AND THEN THAT PERSON HAD TO TRY TO RENT IT AGAIN FOR LIKE SIXTY DAYS OUT. KEVIN DID SAY HE HAD OFF DUTY PEOPLE THAT WOULD BE WILLING TO SERVE IN THAT CAPACITY IF HE HAD ENOUGH LEAD TIME AND THEY WOULD CHARGE WHATEVER THE RATE WAS. THAT WOULD BE AN ADDED EXPENSE TO PEOPLE RENTING THE FACILITY. HE SAID MAYBE IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE THE SECURITY, IT WOULD KICK IN AFTER 8:00 P.M. OR 9:00 P.M., SOME TIME LIKE THAT SO THEY COULD HAVE A LIMITED EXPENSE THERE. THE PROBLEM IS IT IS NOT HAPPENING DURING REASONABLE HOURS REASONABLE PEOPLE USE IT; IT IS THESE LATE NIGHT EVENTS. HE HAD ASKED KEVIN CREWS IF HE COULD GO BY AND CHECK ON THESE AND IF THEY ARE DOING SOMETHING WRONG, RUN THEM OUT. KEVIN EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT WAS A CIVIL ISSUE VIOLATING THE COUNTY'S CONTRACT AND WHAT WOULD HAPPEN. THEY CAME TO THE DOOR; BUT, THERE IS SOMEBODY OUTSIDE WITH A CELL PHONE TEXTING WHO IS INSIDE THE LAW IS HERE AND HIDE EVERYTHING. SO BY THE TIME THE POLICE GET THERE, STUFF IS THROWN AND THEY CAN'T HIDE ENOUGH

TOBACCO ON THE FLOOR THAT YOU COULD SWEEP UP A FIVE GALLON BUCKET FULL. THAT MAY BE AN EXAGGERATION, MAYBE TWO GALLONS; BUT, THE REST OF IT IS AN ISSUE THERE THAT CAN BE STOWED. HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT THE IMPACTS IT MAY HAVE ON THEIR INMATE CREWS, WHO THEY DEPEND ON TO CLEAN THE FACILITY. HE DON'T WANT TO RUN THE RISK OF LOSING THAT HELP THEY CURRENTLY HAVE. THAT WOULD GET REAL EXPENSIVE IF THEY HAD TO DO THAT. THOSE ARE BASIC CONCERNS AND HE ASKED THE BOARD TO SCRUTINIZE THOSE CONTRACTS AND GIVE THEIR OPINIONS AND MAYBE ATTORNEY GOODMAN CAN GIVE THEM SOME LANGUAGE THEY CAN INSERT IN A CONTRACT ABOUT THE SECURITY AND THEN THEY CAN PROCEED ON AS THEY DIRECT THEM TO.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE NATIONAL GUARD BEING BACK IN TOWN NOW; HE ASKED ANDY TO CHECK WITH THEM. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF YOU RENT THE NATIONAL GUARD ARMORY, THEY DON'T HAVE A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL; ONE OF THEIR PEOPLE WHO IS OFF DUTY WORKS THERE AND YOU PAY THEM AND HE TELLS THE RENTORS WHEN THEY ARE GOING TO SHUT DOWN. THERE IS A CERTAIN LENGTH OF TIME THAT IS GETTING OUT THE DOOR AND IF THE GUARD CAN DO IT, THE COUNTY CAN DO IT AND SHOULD DO IT. HE HAPPENED TO GO TO THE AG CENTER SEVERAL DAYS LATER AFTER ONE OF THE RAVE EVENTS TOOK PLACE AND IT WAS LIKE THEY WERE SMOKING IN A ROOM NEXT TO YOU; THAT IS HOW BAD IT WAS. THE FLOORS WERE STICKY. WE DON'T NEED TO PUT UP WITH THAT AND IT IS SAD WE HAVE TO TRY TO CLAMP DOWN ON SOMETHING THE WAY WE PROBABLY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO FOR THE PEOPLE WHO TAKE CARE OF IT; 85% OF THE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO DO THE RIGHT THING. IT IS THEM 15% TO 20% THAT IS GOING TO MESS IT UP. BUT, WE HAVE TO PROTECT OUR FACILITY. HE ASKED ANDY AND CLIFF TO TALK ABOUT THE CONDITION AT THE AG CENTER.

ANDY SAID BEFORE THEY DO THAT, ONE OF THE ISSUES COMMISSIONER PATE MENTIONED AS FAR AS HAVING THEIR OWN SECURITY AT THE AG CENTER, WHERE IT BE FROM AN OFF DUTY PERSON THAT IS HIRED, A DEPUTY, POLICEMAN OR WHATEVER, THAT NEEDS TO BE FACTORED IN. PEOPLE RENTING THE FACILITY NEED TO PAY INTO THE CONTRACT TO COVER THAT COST IF THEY

INTEND TO USE IT BEYOND A CERTAIN TIME. THE ONLY THING IS, THAT PERSON WANTING THAT RAVE IS NOT GOING TO ADMIT THEY ARE GOING TO DO THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T THINK IT WAS HOW LONG THEY WERE GOING TO STAY AT THE FACILITY; IF THEY RENT THAT FACILITY AFTER DARK OR IN THE AFTERNOON AND THEY ARE GOING TO BE THERE TONIGHT, YOU HAVE TO PAY THAT COST.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID ANOTHER THING, IF ANDY WILL KEEP A FEW OF THOSE DEPOSITS, IT WILL PROBABLY STOP. ANDY STATED THEY DO KEEP THE DEPOSITS; THEY HAVE \$200 DEPOSITS. BUT, THE PEOPLE PUTTING ON THE RAVES DON'T BLINK AT \$200; THEY MAKE \$200 IN TEN MINUTES AT THE DOOR. IT IS NOT THE PERSON THAT IS GOING TO BLINK AT THE DEPOSIT; IT IS THE REAL LEGITIMATE FAMILY REUNION THAT IS GOING TO GET HURT THERE. THE RAVE IS MAKING ENOUGH MONEY ON WHO IS WALKING IN THE DOOR, IF IT WAS \$500, IF IT WAS \$1,000, THEY COULD STILL MAKE MONEY ON IT. THEY WOULD MOAN ABOUT IT WHEN IT GOT VERY HIGH; THAT IS NOT REALLY THE ISSUE YET.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO ANDY STATING MOST OF THE EVENTS ARE OVER BY 10:00 AT THE AG CENTER; SOMETIMES, THERE MAY BE ONE THAT IS OVER BY 11:00 P.M. HE SUGGESTED PUTTING RESTRICTIONS THAT EVERYBODY IS OUT BY 11:00 P.M. HE REFERRED TO THE CHANGES IN THE COST IN THE CURRENT CONTRACT VERSUS THE PROPOSED CONTRACT AND THERE IS A \$500 FEE UNDER THE PROPOSED. THE LOCAL PEOPLE, 85% TO 90%, TAKE CARE OF THE FACILITY. IS \$500 WHAT EVERYBODY PAYS.

ANDY SAID WHAT THEY HAVE DONE IN THE PAST IS SOMEBODY WROTE A CHECK, THE AG CENTER HELD THE CHECK UNLESS SOME DAMAGE WAS DONE AND THEN IT WAS DEPOSITED. THE CHECK WAS NEVER CASHED UPFRONT SO THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO PUT UP \$500 CASH DOLLARS; THE CHECK WAS NEVER CASHED UNLESS THERE WAS DAMAGE DONE. IT IS JUST A PIECE OF PAPER INSURING IF THERE ARE DAMAGES, THE RENTER IS GOING TO ACCEPT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF PAYING FOR THE DAMAGES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE AUCTION WAS ONE THING THAT CAME TO

HIS MIND; THEY HAVE A CURRENT FEE NOW HE THOUGHT OF \$200 PLUS.

ANDY SAID IT IS CURRENTLY \$200 AND IT WAS PROPOSED TO BE \$250; HE THOUGHT JACKSON COUNTY HAD A FEE OF \$500.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS WONDERING IF THAT \$500 FIGURE APPLIED TO THE AUCTION. ANDY ADVISED IT DIDN'T; THEY HAVE NOT DONE THAT TO THE BARN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO CORRECT HIM IF HE IS WRONG; THE COUNTY COLLECTS A SECURITY DEPOSIT OF \$200 AND IF SOMEBODY GOES IN THERE AND DAMAGES THAT SOUND BOARD, THAT IS MUCH MORE EXPENSIVE THAN \$200. HE ASKED COULDN'T THEY STILL EXPECT THE RENTER TO PAY FOR THE DAMAGE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID "YES;" THAT WAS HIS NEXT QUESTION. HE ASKED WHAT KIND OF IDENTIFICATION ARE THEY GETTING ABOUT THE RENTERS; ARE THEY GETTING A COPY OF THEIR DRIVERS LICENSE, ETC. BECAUSE IF THEY HAVE TO PUSH IT TO SMALL CLAIMS COURT, ETC., THEY NEED TO HAVE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS THEY CAN ON THE RENTER. HOPEFULLY, IF THE RENTER KNOWS WE HAVE A COPY OF THEIR DRIVERS LICENSE, ETC. THAT MAY GIVE THEM SOME HESITANCY TO PUT THEIR NAME ON SOMETHING THAT MAY COST THEM LATER ON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED ANDY HOW MANY DEPOSITS HE HAD KEPT WITHIN THE LAST TWELVE MONTHS. ANDY SAID THREE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID OUT OF THE THREE, HOW MANY OF THEM WAS THE RAVE HE WAS DISCUSSING. ANDY SAID ALL OF THEM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THESE THREE ACTIVITIES WERE PAST MIDNIGHT. ANDY SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW; HE WASN'T UP HERE THAT LATE CHECKING ON THEM. HIS SECRETARY SAID HER DAUGHTER SAID SHE HAD SEEN ON FACEBOOK THE RAVE WAS GOING TO START AT 10:00 P.M. HE SAID IT GOES TO 2:00 A.M. OR 3:00 A.M. IN THE MORNING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT SHOULD BE ENDING AT 10:00 P.M. ANDY AGREED.

JAY FELSBURG, NEWS REPORTER, REFERRED TO ANDY SAYING THESE PEOPLE WERE RUN OUT OF JACKSON COUNTY AND ASKED IF ANYBODY HAD

CHECKED WITH JACKSON COUNTY ABOUT HOW THEY RUN THEM OUT.

ANDY SAID THEY BASICALLY DENIED THE INDIVIDUALS THAT HAD BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH IT AND HAD RENTED IT. BUT, WHAT THEY DO IS GET A LOCAL THAT MAKES MONEY ON THE DEAL, THEY GET A PERCENTAGE OF IT OR AN UPFRONT FEE, TO RENT THE FACILITY IN THEIR NAME AND THESE PEOPLE COME OUT OF JACKSONVILLE AND THEY PUT ON THEIR ENTERTAINMENT, WHATEVER THEY HAVE GOT, AND IF THEY HAVE A PROBLEM, THEY SAY YOU CAN'T RENT IT ANYMORE. THEY WILL THEN GET ANOTHER SOMEBODY WITH A DIFFERENT NAME TO COME IN THERE AND RENT IT AND AFTER ABOUT SO MANY YOU BEGIN TO DEVELOP, FOR LACK OF A BETTER TERM AS THE SECURITY PEOPLE AT THE AIRPORT SAY IN ISRAEL THEY TEND TO CATEGORIZE YOU AND GET YOU ASSOCIATED WITH A GROUP AND DON'T ALLOW THOSE PEOPLE EVEN REMOTELY SIMILAR RELATED TO IT COME BACK. THEY DENIED THEM THE ACCESS SO THEY ARE LOOKING FOR OTHER PLACES TO COME.

JAY SAID IF JACKSON COUNTY MANAGED TO DO THAT, ARE WE GOING TO.

ANDY SAID THEY ARE TRYING; THEY HAVE ALREADY DONE THAT ONCE WITH THE FIRST GROUP AFTER THE FIRST TIME, THE BOARD SAID THEY COULD DENY THAT INDIVIDUAL FROM COMING BACK. THEY DID THAT. THE GROUP GOT A DIFFERENT PERSON THAT LOOKED LIKE A STATE WORKER; THEY HAD THEIR LITTLE NAME TAG, LOOKED LIKE A REAL REPUTABLE KIND OF PERSON, CLEANED UP, NICE FEMALE JUST TO MAKE SURE YOU COULD KIND OF. HE THEN SAID MELISSA COULDN'T LOOK AT SOMEBODY WHEN THEY COME IN AND SAY THAT PERSON IS GOING TO DO THIS; IF THEY SAY THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE A BIRTHDAY PARTY FOR THEIR GRANDMOTHER, ETC. THAT IS ALL YOU KNOW AT THAT POINT IN TIME. HE REITERATED THEY NEED SOMEHOW TO HAVE SOME KIND OF TEETH FOR LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT, WHETHER IT BE THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT OR THE CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT. IF THEY ARE NOT SHUT DOWN BY WHATEVER THE CONTRACT SAYS IS THEIR CLOSING DATE AND TIME, THEY HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO GO IN AND CLOSE THEM DOWN AND RUN THEM OFF AND SEE THE DOORS LOCKED. THE SECURITY PERSON THAT IS HIRED BY THE COUNTY OR THEM CAN LOCK IT UP. RIGHT NOW WHEN THEY HAVE A RENTER, THEY ISSUE THEM A KEY TO THE BACKDOOR SO THEY COME IN; IF YOU ISSUE

THESE PEOPLE A KEY, THEY CAN COME IN 24/7 BECAUSE THERE ISN'T ANYBODY THERE TO STOP THAT. THAT IS AN ISSUE THEY NEED TO DEAL WITH.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE PROPOSED CONTRACT WAS WHAT ANDY WAS RECOMMENDING. ANDY ADVISED IT WAS JUST A PROPOSAL FOR THE BOARD TO LOOK AT, OFFERING SOME SUGGESTIONS. HE SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO CERTAINLY INCLUDE SOMETHING IN REGARD TO WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAD SAID THAT DOCUMENT DOESN'T ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF SECURITY AND HE THINKS THAT MIGHT BE THE KEY SOLUTION. HE WOULD LIKE TO GET A LITTLE BETTER LANGUAGE ON HOW THEY NEED TO INSERT SETTING A CURFEW LIMIT AND DEALING WITH THE SECURITY IN THE CONTRACT.

ANDY SAID ON ONE SIDE OF THE INFORMATION THE BOARD WAS PROVIDED THEY HAVE A PROPOSED CONTRACT AND ON THE BACK SIDE THEY HAVE THE RULES AND THAT IS WHAT THEY HAVE GONE BY ALL THESE YEARS. HE WOULD LIKE TO INCORPORATE THOSE RULES INTO THE CONTRACT AND HAVE SOMEONE INITIAL THEY HAVE READ IT AND AGREE TO IT WHEN THEY SIGN THE CONTRACT.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR MR. HAGAN, ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND ANDY ANDREASON GET TOGETHER, WORK OUT A PROPOSAL AND BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD.

KATHY FOSTER, NEWS REPORTER, ASKED IF THE CURRENT CONTRACT GIVES THE HOURS THE FACILITY IS RENTED FOR. ANDY SAID IT STATES FROM 8:00 TO MIDNIGHT. KATHY SAID AFTER THAT, THE PEOPLE CAN JUST BE RUN OFF OR THEY CAN RENT IT FOR TWO DAYS.

ANDY SAID IT HAD BEEN EXPLAINED TO HIM BY KEVIN CREWS, WHO HAD TALKED TO THE STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND THEY SAID UNLESS THE PEOPLE COMMITTED A CRIMINAL OFFENSE, IT WAS A CIVIL ACTION. YOU CAN SUE SOMEBODY OVER IT, TAKE THEM TO COURT; BUT, HE DOESN'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO ARREST THEM UNLESS THEY VIOLATE A CRIMINAL ACT.

MS. FOSTER QUESTIONED DIDN'T THE PEOPLE DISCHARGE A FIREARM IN THE CITY LIMITS. ANDY SAID THE PEOPLE WERE DOING THAT AND MR. CREWS TOOK CARE OF THAT; BUT, AS FAR AS STOPPING IT, THEY DON'T ALWAYS HAVE TO DISCHARGE A FIREARM THERE AT ONE OF THESE THINGS. THAT HAS HAPPENED.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED #3 ON THE CURRENT CONTRACT READS ONE DAY'S LEASE FOR THE FACILITY SHALL BE FROM 8 A.M. UNTIL 12 MID-NIGHT. THE KEY FOR THE FACILITY MAY BE PICKED UP AT 2 P.M. ON THE WORKING DAY PRIOR TO THE LEASE DATE. THE PROPOSED CONTRACT STATES ONE DAY'S LEASE OF THE FACILITY SHALL BE FROM 8:00 A.M. UNTIL 11:00 P.M. AND THE REST OF IT IS THE SAME AS THE CURRENT ONE. THE BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE TO PLACE SOME TYPE OF SECURITY AT THE AG CENTER TO MAKE THIS WORK. HE SAID WHEN YOU LEAVE THE ARMORY YOU HAVE DONE EVERYTHING YOU ARE SUPPOSE TO DO AND YOU HAVE BEHAVED YOURSELF AND THE COUNTY SHOULD DO THE SAME THING. IT IS TAXPAYERS MONEY WHETHER IT IS NATIONAL GUARD OR WASHINGTON COUNTY OR WHAT.

WES FISHER SAID THE BOARD WAS RIGHT ON COURSE WITH THIS. THE MAN IS TELLING THEM CORRECT ON THIS. THE REASON THE COUNTY IS GETTING A LITTLE BIT MORE OF THIS IS THE PANHANDLE SHRINE CLUB USE TO HANDLE THE SAME THING; THEY TOOK A \$200 DEPOSIT AND WALKED AWAY WITH A \$10,000 DAMAGE CLAIM, HOLES IN THE WALL, TOILET RIPPED OFF, ETC. TWO POINTS; DO NOT TAKE CASH AND GET IDENTIFICATION. IF SOMEBODY IS NOT A LICENSED ENTITY, SAY IT IS A CORPORATION, BUSINESS OR COMMITTEE THAT HAS A REPUTABLE PAST, IF THEY ARE NOT THERE, SAY AN INDIVIDUAL COMES IN AND SAYS THEY WANT TO THROW AN EVENT, DO A BACK-GROUND CHECK. THEY ARE FREE, EASY AND GOOD. GET THE INFORMATION FIRST. THEY TOOK EVERYTHING AT THE SHRINE CLUB AND THAT IS WHY THEY DON'T DO IT ANYMORE.

ANDY THEN ADDRESSED THE STRUCTURE OF THE AG CENTER STATING THEY ARE EXPERIENCING AN ISSUE WITH SETTLING OF THE STAGE PART OF THE AUDITORIUM. HE GOT FL-DOT TO DO SEVERAL BORINGS ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE STAGE. ESSENTIALLY WHEN THE NEW ADDITION WAS ADDED TO THE AG CENTER, WHEN THE AUDITORIUM WAS CHANGED FROM A DIRT ARENA TO A NICE MEETING FACILITY, THE STAGE WAS ADDED ON TO THAT TO ACCENTUATE THE QUALITY OF THE MEETING FACILITY. WHEN THEY DID THAT, ALL THAT GROUND IS PRETTY SPONGY, BOGGY, HIGH SHRINK SWALE; BASICALLY IT WAS A SWAMP AT ONE TIME AND ALTHOUGH THE FRONT PART OF THE OLD STRUCTURE

WAS ON FIRM GROUND, THE NEW ADDITION WAS OVER SOME PRETTY POOR SOIL FOR A FOUNDATION. THE BORINGS SHOW THEY HAVE 12' OF UNPACKED LOOSE FILL ON TOP OF 20' OF HIGH SHRINK SWALE MATERIAL; WHEN YOU ADD THAT TO THE WEIGHT OF THREE LEVELS OF CONCRETE OF THE STAGE FLOOR AND ALL THE STEEL AND STRUCTURE MATERIAL AROUND IT, THEY ARE BEGINNING TO EXPERIENCE SETTLING FROM THE DROUGHTS AND THE RAINS AND THE DRAINAGE SITUATION THEY HAVE HAD. THE NEW ADDITION WAS WELDED TO THE OLD PART OF THE BUILDING AND THAT HAS KEPT IT FROM BEING A LOT MORE AGGRESSIVE THAN WHAT THEY ARE SEEING; BUT, THEY HAVE SOME SEPARATION ON THE WEST WALL WEST OF THE STAGE OUTSIDE WALL AND EXPERIENCING SOME ISSUES WITH THE FLOOR A LITTLE BIT. IT HAS BEEN ABOUT EIGHT TO TEN MONTHS THEY FIRST NOTICED IT; CLIFF CAME AT THAT TIME AND PUT SOME GAUGES UP AND MEASURED THE CRACKS AND THEY HAVE SEEN THEM MOVE FROM ZERO TO TWO MILIMETERS IN SOME PLACES AND AT THE LEVELS THEY ARE IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE A LOT; BUT, IT IS LIKE SURVEYING PROPERTY. IF YOU ARE NOT DEAD ON AND YOU GET OUT THERE A WAYS IT IS PRETTY BIG; THE HIGHER UP YOU GO INTO THE CEILING, THE LARGER THE CRACKS. IT IS AN ISSUE THEY NEED TO DEAL WITH AND A CONCERN THEY HAVE. HE TURNED THIS OVER TO CLIFF TO ADDRESS WITH THE BOARD.

CLIFF REITERATED ABOUTED TEN OR ELEVEN MONTHS AGO, THEY SET ABOUT SEVEN OR EIGHT CRACK GAUGES IN PLACES WHERE THEY HAD PRETTY BAD CRACKING. CRACK GAUGES ARE EXTREMELY HELPFUL BECAUSE THEY CORRELATE SOME OF THE MOVEMENTS; FOR EXAMPLE, HEAVY RAINFALL OR NO RAINFALL. BUT, BASICALLY IN THAT ELEVEN MONTHS, SOME ARE TWO MILIMETERS, SOME ARE THREE MILIMETERS AND HE THOUGHT ONE WAS A LITTLE MORE THAN THAT. THE PROBLEM HE HAS WITH THE BUILDING IS ANDY HAS PROVIDED HIM A SET OF PLANS, HE REVEIWD THE SET OF PLANS AND ESSENTIALLY THE ADDITION SHOWS HORIZONTAL BOND BEAMS, WHICH IS BASICALLY HORIZONTAL STEEL GOING THROUGH THE BLOCKS. IF YOU GO AND LOOK AT IT, YOU SHOULD BE ABLE TO STICK YOUR HAND THROUGH THE BLOCKS IF THERE IS HORIZONTAL BOND BEAMS OR HORIZONTAL STEEL. HIS CONCERN IS IT WASN'T BUILT ACCORDING TO THE PLANS AND THERE MAY BE SOME

BIGGER ISSUES THAN JUST THE SETTLEMENT. HE EXPRESSED THAT TO ANDY AND DAVID AND SUGGESTED TO THEM THEY GET QUOTES FROM A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER THAT DOES NOTHING BUT STRUCTURAL DESIGN, ETC. SO THEY CAN GET AN EVALUATION THAT WILL TELL THEM, YES THE BUILDING IS SAFE OR NO THE BUILDING IS NOT SAFE OR YES THE BUILDING IS SAFE RIGHT NOW AND AT WHAT POINT WOULD IT NOT BE SAFE. THEY MET ABOUT THREE WEEKS AGO AT THE AG CENTER AND HE TALKED TO A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OUT OF TALLAHASSEE AND ANOTHER ONE OUT OF PANAMA CITY. THEY WANTED TO JUST SEND THEIR PRICE LIST FOR THEIR HOURLY RATES; HE TOLD THEM THE COUNTY NEEDED AN ACTUAL PROPOSAL TO GIVE THIS EVALUATION. HE HOPES TO GET THAT INFORMATION THIS WEEK FROM BOTH OF THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS. RATHER THAN HAVING AN OPEN CHECKBOOK FOR THEM, HE IS TRYING TO SET IT UP SO THE PROPOSAL WITH AN ESTIMATED HOURLY NOT TO EXCEED SO THE BOARD CAN HAVE AN ACTUAL PRICE TO APPROVE FOR DOING THE EVALUATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF CLIFF WAS TELLING THE BOARD THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS DIDN'T WANT TO GET IN THEIR CAR AND COME OVER HERE AND LOOK AT THE CRACKING ISSUES. CLIFF SAID WHAT HE WAS TELLING THE BOARD IS BOTH OF THEM INDICATED THEY WANTED TO COME AND LOOK AT THE BUILDING BEFORE THEY GAVE A PRICE. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT IS A GOOD THING AND QUESTIONED WHY AREN'T THEY ALREADY HERE.

CLIFF REITERATED BOTH STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS WOULD HAVE A PRICE TO HIM THIS WEEK. A BIG PART OF THE PROJECT IS NOT THE EVALUATION; THE BIG PART OF THE PROJECT IS THE RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW TO CORRECT THE SITUATION THEY HAVE. THAT IS NOT GOING TO BE A \$1,000 BILL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT MAY NOT NEED A CORRECTION IF IT IS SAFE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WORSE CASE SCENARIO IF THEY HAVE TO START TEARING IT DOWN AND MOVING IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED THE BUILDING HAS ISSUES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED REALISTICALLY THEY DON'T KNOW IF THE BUILDING IS SAFE OR UNSAFE; IF THEY KNEW IT WAS UNSAFE, THEY WOULDN'T EVEN HAVE THE PLACE MANNED. THEY REALLY DON'T KNOW IF THEY

ARE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE CORRECTIONS AT THIS POINT OTHER THAN MAYBE PERHAPS PATCHING.

CLIFF AGREED AND SAID THE BIG PROBLEM WAS THE 12' OF LOOSE FILL THE BUILDING IS SITTING ON. HALF THE BUILDING IS SITTING ON 12' OF LOOSE FILL. THEY HAVE SHORT TERM CONSOLIDATION AND LONG TERM CONSOLIDATION; THE SHORT TERM CONSOLIDATION HAPPENS OVER A 15 TO 30 DAY PERIOD AFTER IT IS BUILT AND THE LONG TERM CONSOLIDATION IS FOREVER AND EVER. REALLY, IT IS THE LONG TERM CONSOLIDATION THAT NEEDS TO BE EVALUATED BASED ON THE GEOTECH THEY HAVE FOR THOSE SOILS. IF THE LONG TERM CONSOLIDATION EXCEEDS THE STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF THE BUILDING, THEY NEED TO DO SOMETHING TO EITHER PUT IN JACKS THAT WOULD GO DOWN TO GOOD SOILS AND HOLD THE BUILDING UP OR SOME OTHER MEANS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHAT CONCERNS HIM IS THE CRACKS WHERE IT USE TO BE THE BACK BEFORE THEY ADDED ONTO IT AND OUT THERE WHERE THE OLD RAILING WAS; THOSE ARE GETTING BIGGER AND HE IS HAVING A LITTLE BIT OF PROBLEM WITH THAT BECAUSE MAYBE IT IS THAT DIRT BREAKING IT OFF NEXT TO WHERE THE RAILING USE TO BE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THEY KNOW WHO DESIGNED THE BUILDING WHEN IT WAS RENOVATED AND ARE THEY STILL IN BUSINESS. CLIFF SAID THEY DO KNOW AND THEY HAVE THE SET OF PLANS.

ANDY SAID IT WAS SOMEBODY OUT OF JACKSONVILLE; OLE ELLIS TOLD HIM BUT HE CAN'T REMEMBER THE NAME. ROGER SAID HE THOUGHT THE DESIGNER WAS BHITE AND HALL.

CLIFF ADDRESSED IT WAS A PRETTY OLD SET OF PLANS THEY HAVE.

JAY FELSBURG QUESTIONED WHEN THE WORK WAS DONE ON THE AG CENTER.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WOULD GUESS IT WAS DONE IN THE MID 1980'S. JAY QUESTIONED IF THESE PROBLEMS WERE JUST NOW COMING TO THE SURFACE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WITHIN THE LAST ELEVEN MONTHS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THEY COULD EXPEDITE THIS MATTER AND GET THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEER OVER HERE RIGHT AWAY AND GET SOME IDEA OF WHAT THE ISSUE IS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY DEFINITELY NEED TO GET SOME IDEA OF

WHAT IS GOING ON BECAUSE IF THEY HAVE TO REPLACE IT, THEY WILL HAVE TO LOOK FOR SOME FUNDING SOMEWHERE OR THEY MAY JUST HAVE TO SHUT DOWN THE BACK PART AND USE WHAT THEY HAVE IN THE FRONT IF THAT IS FEASIBLE.

CLIFF SAID IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE THE BUILDING IS GOING TO FIX ITSELF ANY TIME SOON SO THEY HAVE TO GET A GOOD EVALUATION AND ONE OF THE THINGS HE ASKED FOR IN THE NARRATIVE HE SENT TO THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS WAS IS IT SAFE OR HOW LONG WILL IT BE SAFE AND IF IT IS NOT SAFE, WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO FIX IT. HE WILL TRY TO HAVE THESE NUMBERS BY THURSDAY'S COMMISSION MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY WAS INSURED LIKE FOR THAT RAVE DAMAGE OR STUFF LIKE THAT; MAYBE THEY OUGHT TO JUST THROW A BIG RAVE AND TEAR THE BUILDING DOWN.

CLIFF BEGAN WITH HIS REPORT:

A. RIVER ROAD-THERMO PLASTIC STRIPING IS COMPLETE; THEY ARE LACKING ONE FENCE ON ONE STRETCH OF PROPERTY THEY ARE GOING TO WORK ON TODAY TO TRY TO GET FINISHED UP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHEN HE THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO GET THE FENCE FINISHED. CLIFF SAID HE THOUGHT IT WAS A MATTER OF DAVID SCHEDULING A CREW TO DO THE WORK.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY ORIGINALLY AGREED TO PUT THE FENCE UP AND IS IT IN WRITING. CLIFF SAID THEY DID ORIGINALLY AGREE TO PUT THE FENCE UP; THE PERSON'S ORIGINAL FENCE SHOWS UP ON THE SURVEY AND WHEN THEY HAD THE MEETING AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER ON THE PROJECT, WHERE THEY HAD EXISTING FENCES WAS GETTING REPLACED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID WHEN THE COUNTY IS GETTING THE RIGHTS OF WAYS RIGHTS OF AGREEMENT OR WHATEVER THEY ARE GETTING TO GET RIGHT OF WAY FROM PEOPLE, EVERYTHING THEY ARE COMMITTING TO DO NEEDS TO BE IN THAT AGREEMENT AT THE TIME IT IS AGREED ON. THAT WAY THERE IS NO QUESTION ABOUT WHAT WAS AGREED ON AND WHAT WAS NOT. THAT IS NOT BEING DONE; BUT, THAT IS WHAT THEY NEED TO DO.

CLIFF SAID HE THOUGHT THE FENCING WAS THREE STRANDS OF BARB WIRE ABOUT 900' ALTOGETHER. DAVID SAID HE WAS TOLD IT WAS WEB WIRE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHAT THE SURVEY SAID; WAS IT BARB WIRE OR WEB WIRE. CLIFF SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE SURVEY SHOWS WEB WIRE OR BARB WIRE; HE KNOWS HE MET WITH THE LANDOWNER AND HIS INSPECTOR MET WITH THE LANDOWNER ON SITE AND WENT OVER HOW THE FENCE WOULD TIE IN AND WHERE IT WOULD BEGIN AND END.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID EVERYBODY THAT HAD A FENCE THERE THEY HAD TO TEAR DOWN, THEY WERE TO GET THE FENCE REPLACED FOR THE PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT WAS PAYING FOR THE FENCE; IS THIS PART OF THE GRANT. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE COUNTY IS PAYING FOR THE FENCE FOR THE RIGHT-OF-WAY. IN MOST CASES, IT IS CHEAPER TO REPLACE THE FENCES THAN IT IS TO BUY THE RIGHT-OF-WAY.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THEY HAVE DONE THAT ON A LOT OF PROJECTS; IF SOMEBODY HAD SOMETHING SPECIFIC TO THEIR PROPERTY THAT NEEDED TO BE DONE BEFORE THEY WOULD SIGN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AGREEMENT, THEY HAVE WRITTEN THOSE INTO THE AGREEMENTS IF THERE WAS SOMETHING SPECIFIC. HE REFERRED TO THEM DOING THIS ON ROLLING PINES.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REITERATED IF THEY ARE PUTTING UP A FENCE FOR SOMEBODY, IT NEEDS TO BE IN THAT AGREEMENT SAYING WE ARE GOING TO REPLACE THE FENCE INKIND OR WE ARE GOING TO PUT YOU UP A CERTAIN TYPE OF FENCE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A BIG HASSLE ON JOINER ROAD WHEN HE FIRST COME ABOARD ABOUT THE LANDOWNER HAVING A WIRE FENCE AND WANTED A WHITE PANELLED FENCE AND THERE WAS NOTHING THERE. THE COUNTY COMMISSIONER WHO WAS THERE WHEN JOINER ROAD PROJECT BEGAN WAS ALREADY GONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE REASON HE ASKED HOW LONG WAS IT GOING TO TAKE TO DO THE FENCE, THIS IS ALL IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO FINISH THE RIVER ROAD PROJECT. HE ASKED HOW LONG HAS THE PROJECT BEEN GOING ON.

CLIFF SAID PROBABLY RIVER ROAD HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR THREE YEARS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS JUST TRYING TO PUT AN END TO THIS

PROJECT AND ASKED AN EXPECTED END DATE FOR THE FENCE TO BE PUT UP.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE PROBLEM IS THEY ARE USING THE INMATE CREWS. DAVID EXPLAINED THEY WOULDN'T BE USING THE INMATE CREWS THERE BECAUSE THE COUNTY DON'T HAVE NO RIGHT-OF-WAY SO THAT MEANS HE HAS TO TAKE HIS MEN, PULL THEM OFF AND DO IT THEMSELVES. HE WOULD BE GLAD TO DO IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO GET IN THE WAY OF ANY PROJECTS THAT ARE GOING ON; BUT, FOR HIS OWN KNOWLEDGE AND OWN NOTATION, HE WOULD JUST LIKE TO KBOW HOW LONG THEY THINK IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO GET THE FENCING DONE. DAVID SAID APPROXIMATELY TWO WEEKS WEATHER PERMITTING.

CLIFF SAID ONE OF THE THINGS THAT GETS TRICKY WITH THE FENCES IS IF THEY SET THE FENCE RIGHT ON THE RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, THEN DAVID CAN'T USE INMATES. IF THEY COULD MOVE IT 6" OVER ONTO THE COUNTY'S RIGHT-OF-WAY, THEN THEY CAN USE INMATES. THIS IS A CASE WHERE IF THEY MOVED IT 6", IT WOULDN'T BE A BIG ISSUE; IT IS A MATTER OF HOW DAVID WANTS TO HANDLE IT.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THERE WAS ONE OTHER OUTSTANDING ISSUE ON RIVER ROAD HE HAS BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD SEVERAL TIMES. DURING CONSTRUCTION, THEY HAD SOME EROSION IN TWO WETLAND AREAS WHERE FL-DEP MADE A SITE VISIT AND TOLD THEM THEY NEEDED TO CLEAN IT UP. THE COUNTY SUBMITTED A CLEAN UP PLAN TO FL-DEP PROBABLY SIX MONTHS AGO; FL-DEP HAS NEVER AUTHORIZED THE COUNTY'S CLEAN UP PLAN BECAUSE THEY PROPOSED TO USE A BOBCAT INSTEAD OF DOING IT BY HAND. UNTIL FL-DEP SAYS THE COUNTY CAN GO AHEAD AND DO IT, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO DO ANYTHING WITH THAT. HE HAS TALKED TO FL-DEP SEVERAL TIMES; THEY HAD A SIMILAR ONE ON GILBERTS MILL ROAD WHERE FL-DEP'S FINAL ANSWER WAS DON'T TOUCH IT. IT WAS REJUVENATING ITSELF; THE COUNTY WOULD DO MORE DAMAGE THAN GOOD BY TAKING EQUIPMENT IN THERE. HE IS KIND OF HOPING FL-DEP WILL DO THE SAME THING ON RIVER ROAD; BUT, UNTIL THEY DO THAT, HE CAN'T GIVE THE BOARD A FINAL ANSWER. HE HAS TALKED TO FL-DEP SEVERAL TIMES TO LET THEM KNOW WE NEED SOME SORT OF ANSWER IN WRITING FROM THEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF THIS WOULD HOLD UP THE CLOSE OUT OF THE GRANT. CLIFF SAID "NO."

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED THAT IS A LOOSE END ON THE RIVER ROAD PROJECT THAT NEEDS TO BE CLEARED UP AND SOMEBODY NEEDS TO BE ASSIGNED THE TASK OF DOING THAT. IT NEEDS TO GO AWAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED; BUT, UNTIL FL-DEP TELLS THEM WHAT TO DO. WE ARE AT THE MERCY OF A STATE AGENCY THAT IS FIGHTING FOR THEIR LIFE OVER THERE FOR ONE THING.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR CLIFF TO PUSH FL-DEP FOR AN ANSWER ON THE COUNTY'S PROPOSED CLEAN UP PLAN ON RIVER ROAD TO USE THE BOBCAT FOR THE CLEANING UP.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED A HEADWALL BELOW JOHN D. HERRINGTON'S WHERE THE WATER COMES BOTH WAYS; THEY NEED A LITTLE DRAINAGE FOR THE WATER THAT IS WASHING OUT ON THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AROUND THE HEADWALL.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHY IS IT DOING THAT. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WATER IS COMING DOWN IT BOTH WAYS; A LITTLE SIMPLE SPILLWAY WILL SOLVE THE PROBLEM. CLIFF AGREED TO CHECK THIS OUT; HE WASN'T AWARE OF IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED ALL THE HEADWALLS ON RIVER ROAD ARE TOO TALL AND ASKED WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO ABOUT IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS TRYING TO GET THE RIVER ROAD PROJECT FINISHED. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT IS WHAT HE WAS GETTING AT; THEY HAVE ISSUES ON PROJECTS THAT DON'T EVER GET FIXED. NOW, THEY WILL GO AWAY AND LEAVE THOSE HEADWALLS 1' TALL AND IF SOME CAR COMES ALONG AND HITS ONE OF THEM, THEY WILL BE WHINING AND COMPLAINING ASKING THE BOARD WHY IS IT STICKING UP IN THE AIR LIKE THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF IT WAS ENGINEERED TO BE THAT 1' HIGH. CLIFF SAID IT WAS NOT; THEY WERE POURED BY AN INMATE SUPERVISOR CREW. HIS INSPECTOR MET WITH THE INMATE SUPERVISOR AND DISCUSSED THE HEIGHT OF THE WALLS AND EXPLAINED THEY WERE A FOOT TOO TALL AND OVER AND OVER AGAIN THE WALLS CONTINUALLY WERE POURED A FOOT

TOO TALL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF THAT IS THE COUNTY CREW, HOW CAN WE HOLD THE ENGINEER RESPONSIBLE FOR SOMETHING THEY DID. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WAS NOT ASKING TO HOLD THE ENGINEER RESPONSIBLE; HE IS SAYING IT NEEDS TO BE FIXED.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THAT IS WHY THE BOARD HAS TO GET TO THE POINT THEY STAY OUT OF BUILDING THE ROADS. THEY HAVE GOT TO COME UP WITH SOME WAY TO COME UP WITH SOME MATCHING FUNDS AND BID IT OUT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED IT IS A COMMUNICATIONS ISSUE; CLIFF'S INSPECTOR TOLD THE INMATE SUPERVISOR THE HEADWALLS WERE TOO HIGH AND HE WENT IN AND POURED THEM THAT HIGH ANYWAY.

CLIFF SAID HIS INSPECTOR HAS ALSO MET WITH THE INMATE SUPERVISOR SINCE AND HAS ASKED HIM ABOUT CUTTING THE 1' OFF WITH CONCRETE SAWS; THAT HAS NOT BEEN FOLLOWED THROUGH WITH.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL POINTED OUT THIS CAME UP MONTHS AGO AND NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE; HE IS NOT BLAMING CLIFF FOR IT BUT HERE IS ANOTHER ISSUE ON A PROJECT THAT HASN'T BEEN FIXED AND THEY HAVE PEOPLE THAT CAN FIX THAT AND FOR SOME REASON THEY ARE NOT DOING IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED ON THE RIVER ROAD PROJECT, IN TWO WEEKS THEY WILL HAVE THE 900' OF FENCE UP, THEY HAVE A WATER SWALE AT THE CROSDRAINS AND WHAT IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO CORRECT THAT AND THE HEADWALLS ARE A FOOT TOO TALL. HE ASKED WHO NEEDS TO GET THE BOARD AN ANSWER ON WHEN THIS IS ALL GOING TO BE COMPLETED SO THEY CAN SCRATCH RIVER ROAD OFF THEIR LIST THAT HAS BEEN ON THERE FOR THREE YEARS.

CLIFF SAID AS FAR AS THE HEADWALLS, HE CAN MEET WITH THE INMATE SUPERVISOR HIMSELF. UNFORTUNATELY, HE DON'T SCHEDULE THOSE GUYS EITHER. DAVID SAID WHITAKER CAN DO THAT; THEY HAVE BEEN BUSY WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. HE SAID HE WASN'T GOING TO COMMIT TO TWO WEEKS ON GETTING THAT DONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. HAGAN TO READDRESS RIVER ROAD AT

THEIR FEBRUARY COUNTY COMMISSION MEETING. MR. HAGAN SAID HE HOPES THEY CAN BRING THE BOARD AN ANSWER THESE ISSUES ON RIVER ROAD HAVE BEEN COMPLETED AND SIGNED OFF ON BEFORE THAT MEETING.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID ANYBODY THAT WORKS AT THE ROAD DEPARTMENT CAN CUT THOSE HEADWALLS OFF.

B. GUM CREEK-CLIFF REPORTED THE 95361 RIGHT-OF-WAY MAPS ON GUM CREEK AT RIVER ROAD AND DUNCAN COMMUNITY FOR THE TWO NEW BRIDGES, NOBLES AND ASSOCIATES PREPARED THE 95361 MAPS. THEY ARE SET UP RIGHT NOW FOR THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO SIGN OFF ON THESE MAPS TO SAY WE HAVE PERSONALLY INSPECTED THEM AND VERIFIED THE RIGHT-OF-WAY THAT IS SHOWN ON THERE IS THE COUNTY'S ACTUAL RIGHT-OF-WAY AND THEY HAVE MAINTAINED THAT RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR AT LEAST FOUR YEARS. THE MAPS SENT TO SIGN OFF ON DIDN'T HAVE THE EDGES OF THE DIRT ROAD OR THE EDGES OF RECYCLED ASPHALT ON THEM. HE GOT ALLAN NOBLES TO EMAIL HIM THE MAPS THAT SHOWED THAT AND HE WILL BE BACK OUT THERE BEFORE THURSDAY'S COMMISSION MEETING TO VERIFY THE RIGHT-OF-WAY IS CORRECT SO MR. HAGAN CAN SIGN OFF ON THAT BY THEIR COMMISSION MEETING ON THURSDAY. HE THINKS IT HAS TO BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW HAS TO GET IT RECORDED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF IT WOULD REQUIRE A RESOLUTION. MR. HAGAN THOUGHT HE HAD RESOLUTIONS IN THE PACKAGE, MAPS AND A COVER LETTER.

C. BAHOMA ROAD-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON A PROPOSAL FOR \$265,000 FROM C. W. ROBERTS TO CONSTRUCT THE ROAD. AT THE TIME THE BOARD GOT THE PROPOSAL, THEY HAD EXCLUDED THE DRAINAGE FROM THEIR CONTRACT. THERE IS FOUR 48" CULVERTS, TWO RUNS OF 24" AND TWO RUNS OF 18" CULVERTS ON THE PROJECTS. THEY HAD BUDGETED \$40,000 FOR THE CONCRETE AND THE PIPES ON THE PROJECT; THEY ASKED C. W. ROBERTS TO GIVE THEM A PRICE TO HANDLE IT ALL. TO HANDLE IT ALL, C. W. ROBERTS PRICE CAME TO \$327,000 AND SOD WAS ALSO ADDED TO THEIR ORIGINAL CONTRACT WHICH CAME TO APPROXIMATELY \$3,000 BEYOND WHAT WAS BUDGETED

FOR THE PROJECT RIGHT NOW. HE MET WITH THE CHAIRMAN AND THE CHAIRMAN SAID HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO COVER THE \$3,000 DIFFERENCE. IF FOR SOME REASON THE CONTRACT GETS TO BE TIGHT OR THEY GET IN A BIND, THEY CAN TAKE THE \$17,000 OF SOD OUT AND HAVE DAVID AND HIS GUYS SOD THE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF C. W. ROBERTS AGREED TO DO ALL THIS FOR \$327,000. CLIFF SAID THAT WAS CORRECT. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID TO LET THEM DO IT.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THEY HAD MET WITH DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW EARLIER THIS WEEK AND SHE INDICATED THAT NEEDED TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD ON THURSDAY FOR APPROVAL.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE BOARD WOULD NEED TO AUTHORIZE HIM TO SIGN THE AMENDED CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY HAD THE MONEY. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADDRESSED CLIFF HAVING SAID IT WAS \$327,000 AND COMMISSIONER PATE HAD AGREED TO PAY THE \$3,000 DIFFERENCE OUT OF HIS FUNDS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED HOW MUCH THE GRANT WAS FOR. CLIFF SAID IT WAS \$260,000 HE THOUGHT. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE BOARD HAD SET ASIDE UP TO \$150,000 OUT OF FEMA MONEY TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT LIKE THEY DID ON COMMISSIONER BROCK'S AND SRICKLAND'S PROJECTS.

D. FIRETOWER ROAD-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON HAVING COME BEFORE THEM PREVIOUSLY ON TRYING TO GET FEMA TO MAKE A MODIFICATION ON THE PW ON FIRETOWER ROAD TO COVER THE BOX CULVERT WHERE IT CROSSED THE ROAD. HE EMAILED THE GENTLEMAN A COUPLE OF TIMES AND MS. DEBBIE TOLD HIM HE WAS OUT WITH FAMILY ISSUES. CLIFF AGREED TO TRY AND FIND A NEW CONTACT TO WORK ON THE MODIFICATION TO THE PW. THERE IS A COUPLE OF WASHOUTS THERE THAT NEED TO GET CLEANED UP SO FL-DEP CAN CLOSE OUT THE CONSENT ORDER. HE THOUGHT DAVID WAS GOING TO TAKE CARE OF THAT FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED DAVID IF THEY WERE DOING THAT THIS WEEK. DAVID ADDRESSED HIM HAVING EMPLOYEES OUT SICK; BUT, HE WILL

TRY.

E. PETTIS ROAD-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON PETTIS ROAD AT THE REQUEST OF MR. HAGAN. THEY WILL BE TRYING TO FIX SOME DRAINAGE ISSUES AT THE END OF PETTIS ROAD. HE IS WORKING ON GETTING SOME QUOTES FROM DCI FOR SOME INLETS AND CULVERTS ON PETTIS ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY HAD ANY EASEMENTS ON THAT. CLIFF ADVISED HE HAD NOT DONE ANY RIGHT-OF-WAY MAPPING ON IT; SO, HE REALLY DOESN'T KNOW WHERE THE COUNTY'S RIGHT-OF-WAY IS ON THE ROAD. THEY ARE LOOKING AT PUTTING IN ONE POND, POSSIBLY TWO PONDS. THEY ARE TRYING TO PROVIDE SOME TREATMENT BEFORE THE WATER DISCHARGES TO THE GENTLEMAN'S POND THAT IS DOWNSTREAM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL INFORMED THE BOARD MR. PETTIS AGREED TO ALLOW THE COUNTY TO PUT A POND ON HIS PROPERTY; THEY WILL GET AN EASEMENT FOR THAT POND. CLIFF AGREED STATING THEY WOULD GET A RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENT FOR THE POND ITSELF; HE THOUGHT COMMISSIONER PATE WAS ASKING ABOUT THE ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED WHAT HE WAS ASKING WAS ACTUALLY ONCE IT GETS OVER THAT ROAD TO THE NORTH THERE. CLIFF ADVISED THERE IS NO DRAINAGE EASEMENT TO THE NORTH HE IS AWARE OF; IT ENDS UP IN MR. FILPATRICK'S POND AND EVENTUALLY CROSSES FIRETOWER ROAD WHERE THEY JUST PUT IN A NEW BOX CULVERT. THEY WAS TRYING TO COME UP WITH THE MOST COST EFFECTIVE PLAN THEY COULD TO HANDLE THIS SITUATION FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHEN YOU ARE GOING INTO BUCKHORN CREEK WHERE THEY WENT IN AND INSTALLED THAT BOX WHERE IT CROSSES, WHAT IS THE ANSWER TO CORRECT THE PROBLEM OF THE CULVERT BEING UNDER SAND, MUD, ETC. CLIFF SAID IT WAS ON QUAIL HOLLOW.

CLIFF AGREED IT WAS COMPLETELY FILLED UP RIGHT NOW; THE ANSWER FOR CORRECTING IT IS TO TAKE IT OUT, REBUILD IT WITH A BOX THAT IS NOT SO DEEP AND SOD THAT WHOLE AREA TO TRY AND GET IT STABILIZED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF SOD WAS GOING TO GROW IN THAT

SAND. CLIFF THOUGHT IT WOULD GROW IF IT WERE FERTILIZED. THAT IS THE SAME SAND THEY HAD ON QUAIL HOLLOW AND THEY GOT SOD TO GROW THERE; YOU HAVE TO FERTILIZE IT AND WORK ON IT SOME TO GET IT GOING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THEN ADDRESSED ANOTHER LOCATION JUST UP TOWARD QUAIL HOLLOW A LITTLE FURTHER THERE IS A TERRIBLE WASH AND SOMEBODY HAS THROWN A BIG TARP DOWN IN THERE. IS THERE A PLAN FOR THAT.

CLIFF ADVISED HE HADN'T SEEN THE TARP OR WHERE COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS TALKING. HE WILL HAVE TO GO TAKE A LOOK AT IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO FIND A SOLUTION BECAUSE THAT IS A PROBLEM THAT JUST KEEPS GROWING AND GROWING. CLIFF SAID THEY THOUGHT AT ONE TIME THEY HAD THAT WHOLE STRETCH OF ROAD DOWN THERE UNDER CONTROL BECAUSE SPRING RIDGE PURCHASED UNITS 12, 13 AND 15 IN SUNNY HILLS; SPRING RIDGE HAD AGREED TO PAVE THAT WHOLE SECTION OF ROAD THERE WHEN THEY DEVELOPED UNIT 13. THEY WERE ACTUALLY A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO THINKING THAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN FAIRLY QUICK. BUT, SPRING RIDGE PUT THEIR PLANS ON HOLD. HE THINKS SOME OF THE DRAINAGE ISSUES DOWN THERE WILL BE ADDRESSED WHEN SPRING RIDGE DECIDES TO MOVE FORWARD; BUT, BECAUSE THEY PUT THEIR PROJECT ON HOLD, THE COUNTY IS KIND OF IN LIMBO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE COUNTY NEEDS TO GO AHEAD AND ADDRESS THOSE TWO AREAS ON QUAIL HOLLOW. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE AREAS COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS TALKING ABOUT, IF THEY ARE NOT ADDRESSED, THEY ARE GOING TO LOSE THE ROAD THERE. CLIFF AGREED TO CHECK OUT THESE AREAS TODAY AND COME UP WITH A GAME PLAN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED CLIFF IF THE CONTRACTOR HAD STARTED BACK ON BONNETT POND TODAY. CLIFF SAID THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO START BACK ON BONNETT POND MONDAY MORNING.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF THEY GET THE AMENDED CONTRACT ON BAHOMA ROAD SQUARED AWAY ON THURSDAY AND THE CONTRACT SENT BACK TO THE CONTRACTOR, HOW LONG IS IT GOING TO TAKE FOR THEM TO SIGN IT AND GET READY TO START ON BAHOMA ROAD. CLIFF SAID THE ONLY THING

THE CONTRACTOR HAS TO DO IS GIVE THE COUNTY A PERFORMANCE BOND AND THAT USUALLY TAKES ABOUT TEN DAYS; IF THEY ARE NOT STARTED IN ABOUT TWO WEEKS, THERE IS A PROBLEM SOMEWHERE.

CLIFF INFORMED ATTORNEY GOODMAN, THE LADY HE PREPARED AN EASEMENT FOR ON THE PALM TREES WANTS SOME ADDITIONAL SIGNATURE SPACES ON THE EASEMENT; SHE WANTS THE CHAIRMAN, ENGINEER AND THE ATTORNEY TO SIGN THE EASEMENT.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED THE REVISED CONTRACT ON BAHOMA ROAD COULD BE ADDED TO THE CONSENT AGENDA.

MIKE DERUNTZ ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION THEY HAD DISCUSSED PREVIOUSLY; AT THE BOARD MEETING, THEY WILL EITHER APPROVE IT OR THEY DON'T.

ON ITEM D, A VARIANCE FOR AN EXCEPTION TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE FOR PROPERTIES IN THE AG/SILVICULTURE; THE OWNER WANTED TO SUBDIVIDE THE PROPERTIES AND IT DOESN'T MEET THE MINIMUM LOT AREA REQUIREMENT. THE WCPC IS RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE VARIANCE. THE LDC VARIANCES ARE APPROVED OR DENIED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

MR. HAGAN ASKED, IF THE BOARD HAS NO QUESTIONS ON ITEM C AND D, COULD THEY BE PLACED UNDER THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS TO SAVE MR. DERUNTZ FROM STAYING AROUND ALL DAY AT THE BOARD MEETING; HE NEEDS TO BE HERE FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING BUT HE CAN THEN BE RELEASED TO DO SOMETHING ELSE. THE BOARD WAS IN AGREEMENT FOR ITEMS C AND D TO BE PLACED UNDER THE CONSENT AGENDA.

UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE-DAVID CORBIN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING GOTTEN WITH MR. HAGAN; LAST MONTH THE BOARD MOVED SOME MONEY TO GET THE LIGHTING INSTALLED AT THE SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY CENTER. THEY HAD AN ESTIMATE MR. PITTS GAVE THE BOARD FROM GULF COAST FOR \$2700 FOR INSTALLATION AND \$80 A MONTH. THE INSTALLATION CHARGE IS STILL \$2700; BUT, THE MONTHLY COST IS \$130 BECAUSE GULF POWER CAN NO LONGER GET THE LIGHTS THEY QUOTED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT FELT A \$130 A MONTH WAS A LOT OF MONEY FOR THE LIGHTING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THE NEED FOR LIGHTING AT THE FACILITY. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REPORTED HE AND DAVID TALKING SOME LAST WEEK ABOUT THE MOTION DETECTOR TYPE LIGHTS ON THE DOORS; IF THEY DO THAT, THAT WILL LIGHT THE AREA AROUND THE DOORS. BUT, THAT PARKING LOT IS STILL DARK AND IT HAS TO BE LIT. THE BOARD MAY LOOK INTO THE POSSIBILITY OF BUYING THEIR OWN LIGHTS; BUT, THEY ARE STILL GOING TO HAVE TO PAY ELECTRICITY EVERY MONTH. DAVID EXPLAINED GULF POWER CHARGES YOU PER FOOT, THE POLE, ETC; THEY HAVE COST FOR EVERYTHING. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE COUNTY COULD PUT UP THEIR OWN POLES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE \$130 BEING A LOT OF RECOUPING MONEY MONTH AFTER MONTH AFTER MONTH. DAVID SAID IT WAS ABOUT \$600 A YEAR BASED ON THE FIGURE THEY QUOTED HIM. WHEN ASKED IF THAT WAS PER LIGHT, DAVID SAID THAT WAS FOR TWO POLES AND TWO LIGHTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF HE WAS HEARING DAVID SAY THEY WEREN'T NO SUCH THING AS THE \$8 A MONTH LIGHTS NO MORE. DAVID SAID THAT WOULDN'T GIVE YOU ENOUGH DIRECTIONAL LIGHT OVER THAT SPACE IN THE PARKING LOT. YOU COULD PUT THEM UP AT THE DOORS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY COULD PUT THE NIGHT LIGHTS UP LIKE COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS TALKING ABOUT; BUT, THEY ARE NOT \$8 ANYMORE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO THE NIGHT LIGHT HE HAS AT HIS HOME PLACE LIGHTS UP HIS WORLD AT HIS HOUSE. HE ASKED IF THAT IS WHAT IS BEING TALKED ABOUT.

DAVID SAID NO SIR; THESE LIGHTS ARE TWO 1000 WATT PRESSURE SODIUM DIRECTIONAL LIGHTS LIKE YOU HAVE AT THE COUNTY ANNEX PARKING LOT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THAT BEING A LOT OF LIGHT. DAVID SAID GULF POWER HAS AN ENGINEER WHO COMES AND ADVISES YOU WHAT IT WOULD TAKE TO LIGHT UP AN AREA AND THEY SAID THE 1000 WATT LIGHTS WERE

WHAT WAS NEEDED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE TWO LIGHTS AREN'T GOING TO LIGHT UP THE ENTIRE PARKING LOT. DAVID SAID THAT WAS CORRECT; THEY WILL GET THE DOORS AND THE ENTRANCE COMING INTO THE PARKING LOT. A DIRECTIONAL LIGHT GIVES OFF A VAPOR AND COVERS SO MANY FEET; A 1000 WATT DIRECTIONAL LIGHT EXTENDS PAST 125' AND ABOUT 90' EACH SIDE. HE AGREES WITH COMMISSIONER HOWELL, HE THINKS THE 1000 WATT LIGHTING WILL LIGHT UP THE PARKING AREA WHERE YOU COULD JUST ABOUT PICK UP A DIME IN THE PARKING LOT IN MOST PLACES. HE SAID HE WAS JUST TRYING TO GET DIRECTION FROM THE BOARD BECAUSE THEY APPROVED ONE THING AND IT COME IN HIGHER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THEY COULD JUST GET BY WITH ONE LIGHT TO SERVE THE PURPOSE THEY ARE TRYING TO SERVE AND REDUCE THE \$130 A MONTH BY HALF. HE REITERATED \$130 A MONTH IS A LOT OF MONEY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY EITHER RELY ON GULF POWER'S ENGINEER TO DO THIS FOR US OR HIRE SOMEBODY TO DO IT.

KATHY FOSTER QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD HAD LOOKED AT SOLAR LIGHTING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED IT WOULD BE A LOT MORE EXPENSE THAT THE \$2700; BUT, THEY WOULD RETRIEVE SOMETHING LONG TERM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY HAVE THE SOLAR LIGHTING AT THE BAY COUNTY/WASHINGTON COUNTY LINE ON HIGHWAY 77. DAVID SAID THEY HAD ONE AT PATE POND TOO.

SAL ZURICA ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING CHECKED ON SOLAR LIGHTING A FEW YEARS AGO AND FOR A LIGHT AND A POLE, IT WAS \$2700. IN THE LONG RUN OVER FIVE TO SIX YEARS, IT MAYBE WILL PAY FOR ITSELF. BUT, RIGHT NOW THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT \$130 A MONTH FOR LIGHTS; SO FAR THAT MAN'S BILL THAT GOT HURT AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER IS \$3600 AND HE HAS TO KEEP GOING BACK. HE THINKS \$130 IS A LOT CHEAPER AS A SAFETY FACTOR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED THEY NEEDED THE LIGHTING. DAVID SAID

HE WOULD BE GLAD TO GO BACK AND MEET WITH GULF POWER TO SEE IF ONE LIGHT WILL DO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED DAVID IF HE WAS GOING TO TRY AND PUT THOSE MOTION LIGHTS ON THE DOORS. DAVID SAID HIM AND COMMISSIONER HOWELL HAD LOOKED AT A COUPLE OF THINGS AND THEY DIDN'T EVER IRON CORE WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO GET. ROBERT DID SAY HE COULD DO IT; BUT, HE WOULD HAVE TO COME THROUGH THE WALL.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID LETS PUT THE MOTION LIGHTS ON THE DOORS IN THE MEAN TIME; ROBERT CAN DO THAT TOMORROW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED THEY DO DEFINITELY NEED TO GET SOME LIGHTING OUT THERE RIGHT AWAY; HE WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE QUESTION IF ONE WOULD BE SUITABLE OR DO THEY NEED THE TWO. IF THEY NEED THE TWO, THEN DO IT. HE DON'T KNOW WHERE IT IS COMING FROM; THAT IS A LOT OF MONEY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF ANYBODY HAD CONSIDERED FLOOD LIGHTS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADVISED THAT IS WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THEY ARE GOING TO PUT MOTION LIGHTS ON THE BUILDING TOO; BUT, THEY NEED SOMETHING THAT LIGHTS THE PARKING LOT. WHEN PEOPLE PARK IN THE PARKING LOT, THEY HAVE TO PARK AT THE OTHER END OF THE PARKING LOT AND WALK AND IT IS DARK.

DAVID ADDRESSED THE LIBRARY STAYS THERE UNTIL 6:00 P.M. AND THAT IS ONE OF HIS DIVISIONS HE HAS TO OVERSEE; HE GETS THAT COMPLAINT EVERY MONTH, THEY HAVE TO WALK OUT TO A DARK CAR.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE MOTION LIGHTS HE AND DAVID LOOKED AT THE OTHER DAY WITH THE FLOOD LIGHTS ON THEM, ETC., HE THOUGHT THEY ENDED UP BEING ABOUT \$80 EACH AND ROBERT CAN INSTALL THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY WOULD END UP COSTING \$1560 A YEAR FOR ELECTRICITY FOR TWO LIGHTS.

COMMISSIONER PATE REQUESTED DAVID GET WITH GULF POWER AND IF IT WOULD WORK WHAT ONE POLE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE PARKING LOT AT THE COMMUNITY BUILDING WITH FOUR HEADS ON IT WOULD COST.

DAVID SAID HE COULD GET A SMALLER WATTAGE LIGHT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REITERATED THE 1000 WATT LIGHTS ARE HUGE AND TOLD THEM THEY COULD GO SEE ONE BEHIND THE FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH ON THE PLAYGROUND. HE THINKS 1000 WATTS IS TOO MUCH.

MR. HAGAN ASKED IF THE BOARD WAS TRYING TO LIGHT THE PARKING LOT OR THE SIDEWALK AND THE BUILDING AREA. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE PARKING LOT AND THE SIDEWALK.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THEY WERE LOOKING AT A COMBINATION OF THESE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE IS PROBABLY ONE OUT THERE THAT WILL LIGHT ABOUT EVERYTHING AT THE COMMUNITY BUILDING; THEY NEED TO LIGHT THE AREA AS ECONOMICALLY AS THEY CAN AND IT OPERATE AS ECONOMICAL AS IT CAN. ANY IDEAS THEY HAVE, LETS LOOK AT IT TO SEE IF IT WOULD WORK.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID LETS SPEND THE \$500 TO PUT THE MOTION LIGHTS UP ON THE DOORS TO START WITH. THOSE ARE 350 WATT LIGHTS.

THE BOARD REQUESTED DAVID HAVE THE INFORMATION ON THE LIGHTING OPTIONS BACK TO THEM BY THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING.

NAN THOMPSON SAID ONE OF THE THINGS SHE WANTED TO ADDRESS WAS THE DRAINAGE ISSUE ON QUAIL HOLLOW WHICH THEY HAVE DONE. HER COMMENT WOULD BE; IT SEEMS TO BE WORKING AND YES THE DIRT IS FALLING IN. IT IS KEEPING WATER OFF THE ROAD. BEFORE THEY START TEARING UP \$10,000 WORTH OF WORK, CAN THEY TRY ADDING GRASS, ETC. AROUND IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THIS HAS BEEN BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION AND HE AND CLIFF HAVE TALKED ABOUT IT; THEY USED A BOX THAT WAS LEFTOVER AND IT WAS INSTALLED BY SOMEONE WITH A LACK OF TRUE UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT IT WAS SUPPOSE TO DO. IT IS TOO LOW AND WHAT HAPPENS IS THE SAND HAS NO PLACE TO GO BUT TO FALL INTO THAT DRAINING AND IT FILLS UP SO MUCH NO WATER CAN POSSIBLY PASS THROUGH IT.

NAN ASKED EVEN IF THEY PUT VEGETATION AROUND IT, ROCK, ETC. SHE HATES TO SEE THE ROADS TORN APART AGAIN FOR FOUR DAYS; ESPECIALLY, WHEN IT RAINS BECAUSE THEIR ALTERNATIVE ROUTE ISN'T MUCH BETTER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED STATING HE WOULD JUST LIKE TO SEE THE DRAINAGE FIXED FOR THE PEOPLE.

NAN REFERRED TO SOMEONE TALKING ABOUT THE TARP DOWN THE ROAD. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED IT WASN'T SO MUCH THE TARP; BUT, WHAT HE HAD AN ISSUE WITH WAS WHAT WAS HAPPENING AT THE TARP.

NAN SAID THAT IS BECAUSE THAT IS WHERE THE ORIGINAL HOLE WAS; UNDERNEATH THE TARP SHE IS SURE THEY WILL FIND THINGS SUCH AS TOILETS, ETC. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID SOMEBODY HAS HAULED LOGS AND STUMPS, ETC.

NAN ASKED WHEN THE COUNTY DOES THE BRUSH MOWING, ARE THEY SUPPOSE TO COME BACK AND CLEAN UP AFTER THEMSELVES. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID "YES." NAN ASKED HOW SOON AFTERWARDS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW; BUT, HE WILL FIND OUT. HE UNDERSTANDS THEY HAVE JUST GOTTEN THIS THING GOING AND HAVE A PRETTY GOOD PACE ON THEIR DISTRICT. HE IS NOT UP TO DATE ON ALL OF IT; BUT, HE WILL FIND OUT.

NAN EXPLAINED THE ONLY REASON SHE IS ASKING IS THEY DID COME THROUGH AND MOW DOWN THE SIDE; BUT, THEY LEFT IT IN THE ROAD. THERE WERE LITERALLY LOGS IN THE ROAD AND WHEN THE GRADERS CAME THROUGH, THEY GRADED AROUND THEM.

NAN ADDRESSED EVERYBODY IS CLAIMING MONEY, MONEY, MONEY; YOU NEED LIGHTS FOR SUNNY HILLS, YOU NEED THIS AND YOU NEED THAT. IT GOES RIGHT BACK TO THE GAS MONEY. IT WOULD SAVE MONEY. SHE ASKED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER IT. SHE ADDRESSED HER KNOWING NOBODY WANTS TO HEAR IT; BUT, THAT IS A WAY TO SAVE SOME MONEY.

COUNTY MANAGER REPORT:MR. HAGAN RECOMMENDED THE BOARD PLACE

1. MR. HAGAN RECOMMENDED THE BOARD PLACE MOTION SENSOR LIGHTS ON SUNNY HILLS COMMUNITY BUILDING UNTIL THEY GET INTO THE NEW BUDGET YEAR; THIS WOULD LIGHT UP THE SIDEWALK AND IT WOULD BE A QUICK FIX. IF THE BOARD WOULD BE INTERESTED IN DAVID PURSUING THIS, HE WILL PASS THIS ALONG.

2. RIGHTS OF WAY AND EASEMENTS-MR. HAGAN SAID HE HAD TALKED WITH ROBERT AND DALLAS WITHIN THE LAST WEEK. THE COUNTY HAS SOME EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS OF ENTRY, ETC. OVER THE YEARS WHERE THE WORK

MAY HAVE BEEN DONE, THEY MAY HAVE ACTUALLY HAD SOMETHING SAYING THEY COULD BE THERE; THEY MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE THAT, HE DOESN'T KNOW. HE THINKS THOSE EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS OF ENTRY AND DIFFERENT THINGS LIKE THAT AREN'T SUFFICIENT UNLESS THEY HAVE BEEN RECORDED. THEY DON'T BECOME DOCUMENTS, OFFICIAL RECORDS, EASEMENTS ON THE GROUND OR PERMISSION FOR THE COUNTY TO GO ON THE PROPERTY UNTIL THEY HAVE BEEN RECORDED. UNTIL SOMETHING GETS INTO THE OFFICIAL RECORDS, HE DON'T THINK THE COUNTY'S RIGHTS OF ENTRY AND THEIR EASEMENTS THEY USE OCCASIONALLY TO GO AND DO SOMETHING, EVEN CORRECT PROBLEMS ARE TOTALLY VALID. MAYBE ATTORNEY GOODMAN WILL SPEAK TO THAT AND IF HE AGREES WITH HIS LAY OPINION, HE WONDERS IF THERE IS A GENERIC STANDARD FORM HE COULD CREATE FOR THE COUNTY SAYING THEY COULD GO ON A PIECE OF PROPERTY TO CORRECT A PROBLEM.

MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON SOMETIME AGO THE BOARD AT THAT TIME VOTED TO TAPE THEIR MEETINGS, TO VIDEO THEIR MEETINGS. THE FORMER CHAIRMAN ASKED MR. HERBERT, THE FORMER COUNTY MANAGER, TO DISCONTINUE THAT AND THE VIDEOS STOPPED. THE CAMERA HASN'T BEEN REMOVED; BUT, THE VIDEOING HAS STOPPED. HE THOUGHT MR. GAINNEY HAD TOLD HIM MR. PITTS HAD ASKED HIM TO BEGIN VIDEOING THE MEETING AGAIN. WHAT HE IS ASKING FOR TODAY IS FOR CLARIFICATION OR TO CONFIRM THE BOARD'S DESIRE. HE IS NOT SURE THE FORMER COMMISSIONER THAT ASKED THE COUNTY MANAGER TO STOP VIDEOING, AND IT HAPPENS LIKE THAT WITHOUT THE BOARD'S CONSENT IF IT IS SOMETHING THAT WAS VOTED ON, HE THINKS THE BOARD WOULD HAVE TO VOTE TO UNDO IT. IF THE BOARD WANTS TO CONTINUE VIDEOING THE MEETING OR WANTS MR. GAINNEY TO RESUME DOING THAT, HE WOULD LIKE FOR THEM TO CLARIFY THAT IN THURSDAY'S MEETING.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS ALL FOR IT. HE DIDN'T LIKE IT WHEN IT WAS TAKEN OUT. THEY NEED A RECORD OF WHAT GOES ON HERE AND HE IS NOT SAYING DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW'S IS THAT; BUT, IT IS ALSO A BACKUP TO WHAT SHE MAY MISS ON SOME OF HERS. IF HER PIECE OF EQUIPMENT MALFUNCTIONS, SHE CAN ALWAYS GO TO THAT. HE WOULD LOVE TO SEE IT

HAPPEN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID A LOT OF COUNTIES STREAM THE VIDEO ON THEIR WEB SITE; PEOPLE CAN CALL IT UP ON THEIR COMPUTERS AND WATCH IT FROM HOME RATHER THAN HAVING TO GET UP AND COME TO THE MEETINGS.

MR. HAGAN SAID ONE OF THE THINGS THE BOARD NEEDS TO BEAR IN MIND IS IF THEY BEGIN TO VIDEO, THAT BECOMES PROPERTY OF THE CLERK'S OFFICE BECAUSE THAT IS AN OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT; THAT IS AN OFFICIAL RECORD. WE WOULDN'T HAVE THEM AT THE COUNTY ANNEX TO GIVE OUT TO THE PUBLIC OR MAKE THEM; IT BECOMES A RECORD OF THE CLERK'S OFFICE. HE IS FAMILIAR STREAMING AS A RECIPIENT; BUT, HE DOESN'T KNOW HOW TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN IF THIS IS SOMETHING WE ARE LOOKING AT ADDING ON TO OUR COMPUTER DEPARTMENT AGAIN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE THOUGHT IT WAS CAPABLE OF STREAMING THE MEETINGS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE THOUGHT THEY HAD TO PORT IT SOMEWHERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING IS THEY CAN GO AHEAD AND START DOING THAT.

MR. HAGAN SAID THEY MAY CAN; HE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT IS INVOLVED. HE HAS ASKED MR. GAINNEY TO PUT EXTRA EFFORT ON GASB AND IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO BE DOING SOMETHING ELSE THAT IS GOING TO IMPACT THE COMPUTER DEPARTMENT, THEY NEED TO KEEP IN MIND THEY ARE ASKING HIM TO DO AN ADDITIONAL THING. HE DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM DOING ADDITIONAL; BUT, THERE HAS TO BE SO MANY HOURS ON THE FACE OF THE CLOCK.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REQUESTED MR. HAGAN CHECK INTO THE COST OF VIDEOING THE MEETINGS AND STREAMING IT AND FIND OUT WHAT IS INVOLVED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF MS. COOK COULD DESIGNATE SOMEONE ELSE TO BE THE PROPRIETOR OF THAT. MR. HAGAN SAID HE DIDN'T THINK WHO DOES IT MATTERS; THE END PRODUCT WOULD BECOME AN OFFICIAL RECORD IF THEY RECORD. HE ASKED DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW IF SOMEONE WANTS TO COME HEAR ONE OF HER TAPES, SHE HAS TO SET THEM UP AND LET THEM LISTEN TO THE TAPE.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID THAT WAS CORRECT OR MAKE THEM A COPY OF THE TAPE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS CERTAINLY IN FAVOR OF IT AND HE IS PROBABLY ABOUT AS VOLATILE AS ANYBODY UP HERE; BUT, WHEN THAT THING WAS ON, HE MANAGED TO STAY OUT OF TROUBLE AND HE THINKS HE CAN MANAGE TO STAY OUT OF TROUBLE IF IT IS UP THERE AGAIN. HAVING SAID THAT, ONE OF THE PROBLEMS ON THE BOARD UP HERE IS PEOPLE COME IN HERE, THEY GET IT, THEY GO OUT THERE AND SPREAD IT AROUND ALL OVER AND THAT AFTERNOON YOU WILL HEAR ABOUT THE BOARD MEETING AND THE COUNTY COMMISSION IS WONDERING WHICH BOARD MEETING DID THEY ATTEND. IF IT IS STREAMED OUT THERE, THE PUBLIC CAN SEE IT AND HE THINKS IT WOULD ACTUALLY HELP OUT THEIR PR OUT THERE.

ROGER EXPLAINED THE EQUIPMENT IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM WAS USED TO RECORD THE MEETINGS; THEY WERE THEN MADE AVAILABLE TO PAEC AND THEY PUT IT OVER THEIR CABLE TELEVISION STATION FOR PEOPLE TO WATCH AFTER HOURS. HE SAID THE COUNTY ACTUALLY HAS THE CAPABILITY TO BROADCAST DIRECTLY FROM HERE LIVE. THE PROBLEM BEFORE WAS FINDING A TIME SLOT AND MAKING IT WORK WHEN THEY MET AND THINGS LIKE THAT SO THE VIDEO TAPING AND PLAYING THEM LATER BECAME A BETTER OPTION. THE BOARD HAS SOME OPTIONS THERE IF THEY WANT THEM; HE PREFERS NOT TO HAVE A SINGLE COMMISSIONER COME AND ASK HIM TO DO SOMETHING THE BOARD VOTED TO DO OR NOT TO DO.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NONE OF THE BOARD INDIVIDUALLY HAS THE AUTHORITY TO STOP ANYTHING THAT WAS VOTED ON BY THE BOARD; IF IT IS VOTED ON BY THIS BOARD IT HAS TO COME BACK BEFORE THIS BOARD TO DO AWAY WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WAS IN FAVOR OF VIDEOING AND STREAMING AS WELL; BUT, THE IDEA IS THE COST. IS IT WORKABLE.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE WOULD HAVE THAT INFORMATION TO THE BOARD BY THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN'S REPORT:

1. THE BOARD APPROVED AT A SPECIAL MEETING LAST WEEK THE LOAN WITH CAPITAL CITY. HE RECEIVED THE PAPERWORK TODAY FOR THE LOAN FROM CAPITAL CITY; HE WILL GET WITH THE CHAIRMAN TO GET THOSE DOCUMENTS SIGNED AND THE FUNDS WILL BE RELEASED HE ASSUMES TOMORROW. HE WILL HAVE THE LOAN EXECUTED TODAY.

2. ATTORNEY GOODMAN REQUESTED THE BOARD ALLOW HIM A TIME, AND HE WOULD PROPOSE IT WOULD BE SOMEHOW IN CONJUNCTION WITH THEIR TIME SLOT IN FEBRUARY TO HAVE AN EXECUTIVE SESSION WITH THE BOARD SO THEY CAN MEET AND DISCUSS SOME LITIGATION ISSUES HE THINKS THE BOARD NEEDS TO BE AWARE OF AND CONSIDER. HE ASKED FOR THE COUNTY MANAGER TO ATTEND AS WELL.

3. WITHOUT GETTING INTO THE VALIDITY OF THE DEED THAT IS NOT RECORDED, HE HAS A LITTLE BIT OF A DIFFERENT TAKE ON THAT. FROM ANOTHER STANDPOINT, THE COUNTY NEEDS TO RECORD THE DEEDS. HE DON'T THINK IT WILL BE ANY ISSUE AT ALL TO COME UP WITH A FORM SO THE COUNTY IS A LITTLE BIT BETTER PROTECTED FROM A NOTICE STANDPOINT AND MAKING SURE THEIR RIGHTS ARE AFFECTUATED WITH RESPECT TO ALL EASEMENTS, INGRESS AND EGRESS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID ONCE THOSE EASEMENTS GET PASSED FROM PROPERTY OWNER TO PROPERTY OWNER SOMETIMES THEY GET LOST.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE IS SURE THE MECHANICS OF THIS ATTORNEY GOODMAN CAN WORK OUT; BUT, HE ASKED DID THE BOARD WANT THEM BROUGHT BACK UNDER THE CONSENT ORDER SO THEY WILL KNOW WHERE THEY ARE GETTING EASEMENTS FROM OR DO THEY WANT HIM TO GET EASEMENTS WHERE THEY NEED TO WORK AND GO AHEAD AND GET THEM RECORDED SO THEY CAN DO THE WORK.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF THEY HAVE SOME EXISTING ONES OUT HERE, GET THEM RECORDED. ONE QUESTION HE HAS FOR THE ATTORNEY IS IF THE RIGHT OF ENTRY IS JUST FOR ONE TIME OR IS IT FOR PERPETUITY. HE ASKED WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IN A RIGHT OF ENTRY AND AN EASEMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THE RIGHT OF ENTRY CAN BE LONGER THAN

ONE ENTRY; GENERALLY AN EASEMENT IS FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME. BUT, HE HAS SEEN RIGHT OF ENTRY TO BE MORE BROAD IN SCOPE THAN A ONE ENTRY. GENERALLY THE EASEMENTS ARE EASIER TO PASS AND THEY INCLUDE MORE PARTICIPANTS THAT COULD USE THE EASEMENT, ETC. HE IS A FAN, IF HE CAN, OF USING EASEMENTS WHEN THEY CAN TO GIVE THEM A LITTLE BIT BROADER SCOPE OF WHAT THEY CAN AND CAN'T DO IF THE PROPERTY OWNER WILL ALLOW THEM TO USE THAT LANGUAGE IN THERE.

MR. HAGAN SAID THAT WILL LET THE INMATES GO IN SOME PLACES THEY AREN'T GOING NOW.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE ALWAYS THOUGHT IF IT WAS NOT FILED, WHEN IT SOLD, IT IS NOT ON THAT NEW TITLE SEARCH.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD COMMISSIONER PATE HE WAS RIGHT IN A SENSE FROM A NOTICE STANDPOINT THERE IS A NOTICE REQUIREMENT TO A NEW PROPERTY OWNER; WHETHER THE DEED IS VALID IN A LIABILITY STANDPOINT FROM THE OLD PROPERTY OWNER TO THE BUYER AND SELLER, THAT IS KIND OF A DIFFERENT ISSUE. THERE HAS TO BE A NOTICE THERE AND THAT IS PART OF THE NOTICE, THE RECORDING.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO SEVERAL YEARS AGO HE GAVE THE COUNTY AN EASEMENT DOWN AN OLD TWISTED DITCH; A FEW YEARS LATER HE CAME BACK AND THE COUNTY SAID THEY COULDN'T CLEAN THE DITCH OUT BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T HAVE AN EASEMENT. HE TOLD THEM THEY DID HAVE AN EASEMENT AND HE FINALLY GOT THEM BACK OUT THERE. THE NEXT EASEMENT HE GAVE THEM GAVE SPECIFICS; 20' ON EACH SIDE OF THE CENTER LINE FROM THE END OF THE CULVERT UNTIL IT DRAINS. THE COUNTY WENT OUT THERE AND PUT IT IN WHERE THEY NEEDED TO. BUT, HE DOUBTS IF IT IS FILED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF A LANDOWNER HAS A DITCH AND THEY ISSUE AN EASEMENT FOR THAT DITCH TO THE COUNTY, THE COUNTY CAN GO IN THERE AND CLEAN A DITCH OUT FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED IF THAT WATER IS COMING OFF THE COUNTY ROAD. COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD COMMISSIONER PATE HE PUT AN "IF" IN THERE THEN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID YOU CAN'T JUST GO IN THERE AND CLEAN OUT A DITCH THAT IS DRAINING ON SOMEBODY'S PROPERTY.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED IT HAS TO SERVE A PUBLIC PURPOSE; IT HAS TO BE SOMETHING THE COUNTY WANTS, NOT SOMETHING THE LANDOWNER WANTS TO GIVE THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF HE THOUGHT THEY NEEDED A SEPARATE DAY TO DO THE EXECUTIVE SESSION OR COULD THEY DO IT THE DAY OF THE WORKSHOP ON FEBRUARY 22ND.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD TO GIVE HIM THE DAY; HE THOUGHT IT WOULDN'T TAKE MORE THAN AN HOUR TO HOUR AND A HALF TO GO OVER THE LITIGATION. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO HOLD THE EXECUTIVE SESSION ON FEBRUARY 22ND BEGINNING AT 9:00 A.M. AND HAVE THE WORKSHOP FOLLOWING THE EXECUTIVE SESSION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT UPDATED THE BOARD ON BEING CONTACTED BY DR. CRAVEN ON SLOW STRIPS AT THE WEST END OF BRICKYARD ROAD; THEY HAVE THEM ON THE EAST END. DR. CRAVEN HAS GOTTEN HIS FENCE TOTALLED OUT AGAIN. WHAT IS HAPPENING IS PEOPLE IS NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE ROAD, THEY CROSS THERE AT LANES AND CRASH INTO DR. CRAVEN'S FENCE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED CLIFF HOW MUCH RUMBLE STRIPS COST. CLIFF ADVISED THE COST WAS ABOUT \$3,000 PER SET WHICH IS ABOUT FOUR GROUPS OF RUMBLE STRIPS.

COMMISSIONER PATE REQUESTED CLIFF GET WITH GUETTLER AND GUETTLER TO SEE WHAT THE COST WOULD BE FOR RUMBLE STRIPS AT THE WEST END OF BRICKYARD ROAD AND LET THEM SEE IT AT THEIR NEXT MEETING AT PUBLIC WORKS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAD RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM MR. JOHN FOSTER IN REFERENCE TO WRITING A LETTER OR SEEING IF THEY COULD GET IN ON THE GOOD PART OF WASTE MANAGEMENT. ABBOTT SAID, THE WAY HE UNDERSTANDS IT, IN DESTIN THEY HAVE GOTTEN A REDUCTION IN FEES FOR SENIOR CITIZENS. HE QUESTIONED IF THAT WAS SOMETHING THEY COULD WRITE A LETTER OR GET IN ON OR LOOK INTO.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR MR. HAGAN TO LOOK INTO GETTING A REDUCED WASTE MANAGEMENT FEE FOR SENIOR CITIZENS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING A LOT OF SEMI TRAFFIC THAT COMES OUT OF KFC WITH MUD ON THEIR TIRES; THE MUD DRIES AND STARTS FALLING OFF AND PEOPLE TRYING TO PULL OUT OF THE KFC ROAD THERE, THERE IS A LOT OF SAND AND IT IS A REAL HAZARD. HE ASKED WHOSE RESPONSIBILITY WOULD THIS BE.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT PROBABLY THE PROPERTY OWNER'S RESPONSIBILITY. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED IT WOULD BE NICE IF THE PROPERTY OWNER WOULD GRAVEL THE PARKING LOT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED MR. HAGAN HAVE THE SEMI TRAFFIC ISSUE CHECKED INTO AT KFC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THIS WOULD BE SOMETHING THE COUNTY COULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR. MR. HAGAN SAID IF IT IS THE ROAD THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT, THE COUNTY WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR IT; BUT, IF THEY ARE TRYING TO KEEP THE SEMI TRAFFIC FROM COMING OFF THE PRIVATE PROPERTY, IT WOULD BE THEIRS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IDEALLY THEY WOULD LIKE FOR THE DIRT TO QUIT COMING OUT OF THE KFC PARKING LOT OFF THE SEMIS; BUT, REALISTICALLY, HE DOESN'T KNOW.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED IF KFC WERE TO GRAVEL 25' TO 30' OF THAT DRIVEWAY TO THE EDGE OF THE PAVEMENT BACK ON TO THEIR PROPERTY, PROBABLY ALL THAT DIRT OFF THE SEMIS WOULD FALL OFF ON THAT GRAVEL AS THEY LEAVE AND NOT ON THE COUNTY ROAD.

MR. HAGAN SAID THEY WOULD NEED TO CHECK WITH THE PROPERTY OWNER AS HE IS NOT SURE THAT IS KFC'S; KFC'S PARKING LOT IS PAVED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED IT IS THE DIRT ROAD BEHIND THERE THAT IS ALLOWING IT TO HAPPEN. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WITH THE TRACTOR SUPPLY PLACE COMING IN, IT IS JUST ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC TRYING TO GET OUT OF THAT ROAD.

56-BCC
01-24-2011

BOOK 86 PAGE 421

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT DO THEY DO IF THEY HAVE A CODE ENFORCEMENT ISSUE. COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD HIM TO TALK TO MR. DERUNTZ; IT IS UNDER GROWTH MANAGEMENT.

MR. HAGAN SAID CODE ENFORCEMENT WAS UNDER THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHEN WOULD THE BOARD LOOK AT UPDATING THEIR OPERATIONAL POLICY. COMMISSIONER PATE STATED HE HOPED TO START THIS IN THE NEXT WEEK OR TWO OR MAYBE NEXT MONTH. HE AND ROGER DISCUSSED IT; BUT, THEY HAVEN'T SET ANY TIME.

MR. HAGAN UPDATED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ON REVEIWS OF THE OPERATIONAL POLICY HAVE STARTED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED IF ANYTHING IS ADDED, SUBTRACTED, TAKEN AWAY, ANYTHING, ANY CHANGES BE BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD.

MR. HAGAN SAID ANY POLICY CHANGE HAS TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

NAN THOMPSON ADDRESSED THE BOARD ASKING IF THE KFC PARKING LOT ISSUE WASN'T THE CITY OF CHIPLEY'S PROBLEM. COMMISSIONER PATE ADVISED HER THE SEMI'S WERE COMING ONTO A COUNTY ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE UPDATED THE BOARD ON INTERVIEWS FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR HAVE BEEN HELD AND WHEN THIS PERSON COMES ON, HE HAS A JOB. ONE OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS HE WAS GOING TO MAKE IN THE OPERATIONAL MANUAL, PUBLIC WORKS PROBABLY NEEDS TO HAVE A MANUAL OF ITS OWN AND REFERRED TO IN THE OPERATIONAL MANUAL. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO BACK OFF AND LET THOSE PEOPLE OUT THERE, ONCE THE DIRECTOR GETS IN THERE AND SEES WHAT IS GOING ON, ETC. GET THEM TO WRITE THAT PORTION OF THE POLICY FOR THE BOARD TO APPROVE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED THE STATUS OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR. MR. HAGAN REPORTED THEY HAD INTERVIEWS, BACKGROUND CHECKS ARE BEING DONE NOW AND THE BOARD SHOULD HAVE A RECOMMENDATION BY THURSDAY.

MR. ED PELLETIER QUESTIONED WHEN THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE ANOTHER AMNESTY DAY; HE DIDN'T THINK THE COUNTY HAD ONE THIS PAST YEAR AND THERE IS A LOT OF STUFF BEING THROWN OUT. HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY USE TO HAVE TWO A YEAR.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THE COUNTY HAD AT LEAST ONE AMNESTY DAY THIS PAST YEAR.

DAVID SAID THEY HAD BEEN HAVING TWO AMNESTY DAYS; BUT, DUE TO THE CUTS IN THE GRANT FUNDING, THEY HAD TO DO AWAY WITH ONE OF THEM. HE SAID THERE IS AN AMNESTY DAY ALREADY SCHEDULED AND HE WOULD GET THEM THE DATE.

DEBBIE RILEY ADDRESSED THE BOARD TO GIVE AN UPDATE ON FEMA FOR DECEMBER 14 THROUGH JANUARY 14:

1. IN DECEMBER, THEY HAD 24 OF THE 71 PW'S COMPLETED; NOW THEY HAVE 29.

2. OF THE 471 ROADS DAMAGED, 412 OF THOSE WERE FUNDED. THEY HAVE COMPLETED 246 ROADS.

3. THEY NOW HAVE 971 LOADS OF FILL TO BE HAULED, 82 LOADS OF MILLED ASPHALT, 2,711 LOADS OF AGGREGATE.

4. BASED ON THEIR ASSUMPTIONS LAST MONTH, THEY SHOULD FINISH THE PW'S IN 61.5 DAYS; THIS IS DESPITE THE HOLIDAYS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REQUESTED MS. DEBBIE COME EVERY MONTH AND REPORT TO THE BOARD IF SHE WOULD ON THE FEMA PROJECTS.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM MEETING WITH DEBBIE, DALLAS AND ROBERT. THEY CAME UP WITH A LIST OF ALL THE PROJECTS THEY WOULD LIKE TO CONTRACT OUT. THERE ARE ABOUT 16 PROJECTS; MOST OF THEM ARE FOR LOW WATER CROSSINGS THAT ARE APPROVED HAZARD MITIGATION AND THE OTHERS ARE RIP RAP THEY HAVE SPREAD OUT THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY AS MITIGATION.

CLIFF SAID HE WOULD BE BRINGING THE BOARD A PROPOSAL ON THURSDAY FOR PREBLE-RISH TO HANDLE PUTTING THESE PROJECTS OUT FOR BID, AWARDED IT AND OVERSEEING THE CONSTRUCTION, WHICH IS ALL ELIGIBLE COST FOR FEMA SO IT WON'T COST THE COUNTY ANYTHING. BUT, IT IS

THE STUFF THAT IS GOING TO HOLD PUBLIC WORKS UP FROM COMPLETING THE PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED CLIFF IF IT WOULD MAKE THE COUNTY A LITTLE BIT OF MONEY. CLIFF SAID THEY CAN'T MAKE ANYTHING WHEN THE WORK IS CONTRACTED OUT; THE COST IS THE COST. THE GOOD NEWS IS IF IT COMES OVER WHAT FEMA HAS ALLOWED FOR THAT PARTICULAR ITEM, THEY WILL STILL COVER IT. IT IS DIFFICULT TO MAKE MONEY ON IT; BUT, YOU CAN'T LOSE ANY MONEY. HE REITERATED IT WOULD ALLOW DEBBIE TO CLOSE OUT THESE PROJECTS THEY HAVE DONE ONCE THEY ARE COMPLETED. THEY WILL TRY TO GET IT DONE AS COST EFFECTIVE AS POSSIBLE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CLIFF IF THEY HAD HEARD ANYTHING ON THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER PW'S. CLIFF SAID THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER IS STILL NOT OBLIGATED; THEY DO HAVE A CONTRACTOR, 814 SAND, THAT WOULD DO IT FOR THE AMOUNT FEMA HAS ALLOCATED. BUT, HE HAS BEEN PUT ON HOLD FOR ABOUT SIX MONTHS NOW.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE POND WAS PART OF THE FEMA PW. CLIFF ADVISED THE POND AND THE ROAD WAS PART OF THE FEMA PW.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REFERRED TO AN ISSUE THAT CAME UP TODAY ABOUT A SURVEY THAT HAPPENED AND GETTING THE PUBLIC ACCESS TO THOSE. HE SAID HE WOULD WORK WITH THE LADY THAT WAS HERE TODAY AND MR. HAGAN IN MAKING SURE THE INFORMATION THAT NEEDS TO BE REDACTED IS REDACTED AND HOPEFULLY THAT WILL BE DONE BY THURSDAY. FOR A FEE TO HAVE PRINTED COPIES TO THE PUBLIC; BUT, IT WILL BE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN