

MARCH 28, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS PATE, STRICKLAND, ABBOTT, HOWELL AND BROCK PRESENT. ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN, COUNTY MANAGER ROGER HAGAN AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

MR. HAGAN PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

PRESENTATION-TERRY REBUCK RETIREMENT-MR. HAGAN ADVISED THE PRESENTATION WOULD TAKE PLACE AT THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING.

ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES-FEBRUARY 22, 2011 AND FEBRUARY 24, 2011-THE MINUTES WILL BE APPROVED AT THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

A. APPROVAL OF VOUCHERS FOR FEBRUARY 2011 TOTALING \$1,493,552.87

B. ENDORSEMENT OF A PROCLAMATION IN HONOR OF TOBACCO FREE FLORIDA WEEK, MARCH 28-APRIL 2, 2011-THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SWAT STUDENTS MADE A PRESENTATION ON SWEET TOBACCO AND URGED THE BOARD TO ADOPT THE PROCLAMATION.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO REVIEW THE PROCLAMATION FOR LEGALITY PRIOR TO THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING.

C. RESOLUTION SUPPORTING GEORGE CLAYTON OWENS APPOINTMENT TO THE NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT-COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIM NOT BEING AWARE THERE WAS AN OPENING ON NFWWMD BOARD. HE WOULD LIKE FOR PEOPLE THAT USE THE PROPERTY, WHETHER IT IS HUNTERS, FISHERMAN, ETC., TO BE REPRESENTED; HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOMEBODY GO ON THE NFWWMD BOARD, FORM A COMMITTEE AND LOOK AT THE POSSIBILITY OF CHANGING SOME OF THOSE RULES. HE REFERRED TO THINGS LIKE OPENING THE GATES, HANDICAP PEOPLE CAN'T GET IN BECAUSE THE GATES ARE LOCKED, ETC. NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. HE POINTED OUT HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH MR. OWENS; BUT, HE WAS UNAWARE THERE WAS A VACANCY UNTIL HE

GOT HIS PACKAGE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED MR. OWENS WAS A FINE CANDIDATE AND HE WOULD CERTAINLY SUPPORT HIM; HE WOULD SUPPORT ANYBODY FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY THAT IS WILLING TO SERVE ON THE NFWFMD AND AS A BOARD, HE THOUGHT THAT IS WHAT THEY SHOULD DO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT TWO THIRDS OF THE NFWFMD PROPERTY IS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND HE THOUGHT THE COUNTY COMMISSION SHOULD HAVE TWO REPRESENTATIVES ON THE NFWFMD BOARD.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMISSION HASN'T HAD A REPRESENTATIVE ON THE NFWFMD BOARD SINCE HULAN CARTER.

JOHN GILBERT, ORANGE HILL SOIL CONSERVATION, SAID WHAT THEY WERE ASKING WAS FOR THE BOARD TO DRAFT A LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION TO THE GOVERNOR ON THE APPOINTMENT OF CLAYTON OWENS TO THE NFWFMD. WASHINGTON COUNTY CURRENTLY DOESN'T HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE ON NFWFMD BOARD; NEITHER DOES HOLMES OR JACKSON COUNTY. HE FEELS LIKE IT IS IMPORTANT TO HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE ON THE NFWFMD WITH ALL THE ISSUES THEY HAVE AND WITH THE EXPECTED GROWTH IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE FEELS MR. OWENS WOULD BE A GOOD CANDIDATE; HE IS AN ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST AND HE IS FAMILIAR WITH THE WORK AT WATER MANAGEMENT. HE THOUGHT THE COUNTY COMMISSION SHOULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SUPPORT THE APPOINTMENT OF A CANDIDATE FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY TO THE NFWFMD BOARD.

THE BOARD INFORMED JOHN IT WOULD BE THURSDAY BEFORE THEY COULD TAKE ACTION ON THE REQUEST TO SUPPORT CLAYTON OWENS APPOINTMENT TO THE NFWFMD BOARD.

D. LMS ORDINANCE

E. TDC RESIGNATION/APPOINTMENT-MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON MR. GEORGE VANN SUBMITTING HIS RESIGNATION AND THEY WOULD NEED TO APPROVE OF THE RESIGNATION AT THEIR MEETING ON THURSDAY. TDC WILL MEET TONIGHT AND WILL MAKE A RECOMMENDATION ON A REPLACEMENT TO THE TDC. NOT KNOWING WHO THAT IS, HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THE BOARD WILL BE ABLE TO CONFIRM; BUT, THEY WILL PRESENT THE NAME ON THURSDAY. THEY WILL NEED TO ACCEPT MR. VANN'S RESIGNATION EITHER WAY SO THE CONFIRM-

ATION FOR THE NEW PERSON COULD BE MADE.

AGENDA ITEMS:

A. ATTORNEY GOODMAN-CART/VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS: ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON FEBRUARY 17TH, A SENIOR OFFICIAL WITH THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FIRE ASSOCIATION CONTACTED AN EMPLOYEE IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT OFFICE REQUESTING A ROSTER, FROM WHAT HE HAS BEEN TOLD, OF THE VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS. THE PURPOSE OF REQUESTING THE ROSTER, FROM WHAT HE HAS BEEN TOLD, WAS TO FORM A CHILD ABDUCTION RESPONSE TEAM, WHICH IS CALLED CART. HE THOUGHT THAT HAD BEEN IN THE WORKS FOR A PRETTY LENGTHY PERIOD. THAT DAY THE EMPLOYEE AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT OFFICE GAVE TO THAT SENIOR OFFICIAL WITH THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FIRE ASSOCIATION A LIST OF NAMES AND A ROSTER OF THE VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS THAT WERE REQUESTED. ON THAT LIST WAS CERTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT THOSE VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS, SUCH AS ADDRESSES, PHONE NUMBERS AND OTHER KEY PERSONNEL ISSUES THEY WOULD HAVE IN THEIR PERSONNEL FILE HERE AT THE COUNTY LEVEL. THAT NIGHT, FROM WHAT HE HAS BEEN TOLD, THERE WAS A MEETING OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FIRE ASSOCIATION; DURING THAT MEETING THE ROSTERS WERE DISCUSSED AND SINCE THEY HAD THE ROSTERS AND THERE WERE GOING TO BE CERTAIN BACKGROUND CHECKS CONDUCTED ON THE POTENTIAL MEMBERS OF THIS CART TEAM, EITHER THAT EVENING OR THE NEXT MORNING THERE WAS SOME COMMENTS MADE TO SOME SENIOR EMPLOYEES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY BEING UNCOMFORTABLE WITH SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT HAD BEEN RELEASED IN THESE ROSTERS. THEREAFTER, ON FEBRUARY 18TH, WASHINGTON COUNTY REQUESTED FROM THE SENIOR OFFICIAL OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FIRE ASSOCIATION THE COMPLETE ROSTERS BACK AND THEY WERE DELIVERED EARLY THE NEXT WEEK FROM WHAT HE HAS BEEN TOLD. DUE TO THE CONCERN GENERALLY BY SOME OF THE PEOPLE THAT WERE AFFECTED, MR. HAGAN BROUGHT HIM INTO THE MATTER THEREAFTER AND HE DID SOME RESEARCH, INVESTIGATION, TALKED TO SOME PEOPLE THAT WERE INVOLVED REGARDING THE SITUATION AND WANTED TO TALK TO THE BOARD TODAY ABOUT WHAT STEPS HE HAD TAKEN SINCE HE FOUND OUT ABOUT IT TO TRY TO CREATE

SOMEWHAT OF A CALM WITH RESPECT TO THE SENSITIVITY ON THE ISSUE OF WHAT WAS RELEASED. THE THINGS HE HAS DONE AFTER DOING THE INVESTIGATION AND TALKING WITH MR. HAGAN, FIRST OF ALL HE SENT OUT A LETTER LAST WEEK TO EVERYBODY AFFECTED, EVERY VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTER, SAYING HERE IS WHAT HAPPENED AND LET US KNOW IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. MR. GOODMAN SAID HE HAD BEEN CONTACTED BY SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO RECEIVED THE LETTERS AND HAVE ANSWERED THEIR QUESTIONS. HE EXPLAINED THEY NOTIFIED THE PEOPLE THAT WERE INVOLVED FROM HIS OFFICE. THE SECOND THING THEY HAVE DONE IS NOTIFIED THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL AND TOLD THEM OF THE SITUATION. THEY ALSO SENT A LETTER TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE DISCUSSING WHAT HAPPENED AND TELLING THEM IF THERE IS ANYTHING ELSE THAT COULD BE DONE ON OUR END ON WASHINGTON COUNTY TO LET THEM KNOW. NOT ONLY HAVE THEY NOTIFIED THE PEOPLE AFFECTED, THEY HAVE ALSO NOTIFIED THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AT THE STATE LEVEL PUTTING THEM ON NOTICE OF WHAT HAS HAPPENED. THE THIRD THING THEY HAVE DONE SINCE HE HAS BEEN NOTIFIED OF IT, THEY HAVE ORGANIZED AND CREATED SOME FUTURE CLE'S WITH RESPECT TO PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYONE IN THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS ARE AWARE OF WHAT IS PROPER IN GIVING OUT PUBLIC RECORDS AND CONTINUING TO EDUCATE PEOPLE WHO DEAL WITH THOSE PUBLIC RECORDS. THOSE ARE THE STEPS THAT HAVE BEEN TAKEN SINCE THE INCIDENT HAPPENED. HE THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR THE BOARD TO KNOW ABOUT THIS TODAY; HE THINKS THERE IS SOME PEOPLE ON THE AGENDA TO DISCUSS THIS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN AFFECTED BUT FELT THESE WERE THE APPROPRIATE STEPS TO TAKE TO TRY TO SOLVE THE SITUATION AND BE PROACTIVE IN ADDRESSING THE SITUATION NOT ONLY WITH THE PEOPLE THAT WERE AFFECTED BUT ALSO AT THE STATE LEVEL AND TO MAKE SURE THE EMPLOYEES HERE ARE UP TO SPEED WITH RESPECT TO PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST AND MAKING SURE THEY FEEL COMFORTABLE PROCESSING THOSE REQUEST. HE WANTED TO BRING THIS ISSUE TO THE BOARD TODAY. THOSE LETTERS TO THE PEOPLE AFFECTED HAVE BEEN SENT OUT AND A LETTER TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND CONTACT BY PHONE HAS BEEN TO THE ATTORNEY

GENERAL'S OFFICE. HE OFFERED TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD WITH RESPECT TO ANYTHING ELSE THE BOARD MAY HAVE A QUESTION TO.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED HOW MANY LETTERS WERE SENT OUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED HE DIDN'T HAVE AN EXACT NUMBER; EVERYBODY THAT WAS ON THE ROSTER THAT WAS SENT OUT. THE LETTERS WERE SENT OUT WEDNESDAY, THURSDAY OR FRIDAY OF LAST WEEK; THERE WERE SO MANY THEY DRAFTED THEM AS RAPID AS THEY COULD. HE KNOWS IT WAS OVER 30 OR 40 AND IT MAY HAVE BEEN CLOSER TO 100.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY HAD BASICALLY GIVEN OUT ROSTERS WITH ALL THE INFORMATION ON EVERY FIREFIGHTER AND QUESTIONED WHO WERE THE ROSTERS GIVEN TO.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE ROSTERS WERE GIVEN TO SAM RUDD; THAT IS THE GENTLEMAN THAT REQUESTED THE ROSTERS. HE HAS SPOKEN WITH MR. RUDD ABOUT THE SITUATION AND HAVE GOTTEN HIS VERSION OF WHAT HAPPENED AND HOW HE RETURNED THE COMPLETE ROSTERS TO WASHINGTON COUNTY. MR. RUDD EVEN TOLD HIM THE ROSTERS THEMSELVES DID NOT LEAVE HIS POSSESSION AND WAS NOT SHARED WITH ANY THIRD PARTY. THERE IS NO WAY OBVIOUSLY FOR HIM TO VERIFY THAT; BUT, HE CAN TELL THE BOARD MR. RUDD HAS BEEN SPOKEN WITH AND HAS ASSURED HIM THE ROSTERS WERE NOT RELEASED TO A THIRD PARTY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND QUESTIONED WHO STARTED THE CART PROGRAM.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE IS NOT FAMILIAR WITH WHO STARTED THE CART PROGRAM; HE KNOWS IT WAS STARTED ELSEWHERE IN OTHER COUNTIES AND CAME TO WASHINGTON COUNTY TO CREATE THE CART TEAM HERE TO, IN THESE EMERGENCY SITUATIONS, HAVE A TEAM PREPARED TO GO OUT AND HELP.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE WAS NOT SURE THE TEAM WAS EVEN BEGUN YET; THEY DID HAVE SOME TRAINING SATURDAY WAS A WEEK AGO. THE CART BEGAN AT THE BABY SHANNON INCIDENT A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO; IT HAS BEEN AN ONGOING DISCUSSION. IT IS ACTUALLY A SHERIFF DEPARTMENT PROGRAM; YOU DON'T HAVE TO BE A VOLUNTEER FIREMEN TO BE A PART OF THE CART TEAM BUT WHERE IT EXISTS IN OTHER PLACES, VOLUNTEER FIREMEN BEING GEARED TOWARD COMMUNITY HELP, COMMUNITY RESPONSE, ETC. DO MAKE UP

A LARGE PORTION OF THE MEMBERSHIP.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED WHEN HE HEARD ABOUT THE ROSTER INCIDENT, HE WAS FLABBERGASTED. HE SERVED ON THE STATEWIDE ADVOCACY BOARD FOR NEARLY FOUR YEARS AND WHEN IT COMES TO US, THERE IS SO MUCH STUFF BACKED OUT OF THERE IT IS HARD TO FOLLOW US AND ALL. THE ACTION OF CERTAIN PERSONNEL AND THEIR FILES, ETC., THERE IS A STANDARD PROCEDURE SOMEWHERE THAT IF THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE SOMETHING IN PLACE FOR IT, HE SUGGESTS THEY GET IT AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY HAS A PUBLIC REQUEST POLICY IN PLACE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THERE IS PUBLIC RECORDS LAWS; GENERALLY SPEAKING THERE IS A CONDUIT AT THE COUNTY LEVEL BY WHICH PUBLIC RECORDS ARE PROCESSED. THERE IS A LOT OF MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT WHAT YOU MUST AND DON'T HAVE TO DO TO GET PUBLIC RECORDS IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA. BASICALLY OUR SUNSHINE LAWS ARE VERY BROAD AND VERY OPEN. YOU CAN ASK FOR A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST ANONYMOUSLY; YOU DON'T HAVE TO GIVE YOUR NAME. THERE IS A MISCONCEPTION THAT YOU HAVE TO GIVE A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST IN WRITING; YOU DON'T HAVE TO DO THAT. THERE IS A LOT OF LIBERTY WITH RESPECT TO PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST SOME OF WHICH WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TRAINING OUR EMPLOYEES WITH RESPECT TO HOW TO HANDLE THOSE REQUESTS. HE THINKS THEY NEED MORE TRAINING IN HOW THEY PROCESS AND FUNNEL THOSE REQUESTS AT THE COUNTY LEVEL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD COULD ADOPT A POLICY OR PUT SOMETHING IN PLACE WHERE ALL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST COME IN TO THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR OR THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OR SOMEONE ELSE.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED THEY TRIED TO DO THAT WHEN MR. HERBERT WAS ADMINISTRATOR AND ACTUALLY HAD SOME THINGS IN PLACE WHERE SOMEBODY WOULD COME IN AND FILL OUT SOMETHING AND WAS BASICALLY TOLD BY THE COUNTY ATTORNEY AT THAT TIME THE PUBLIC RECORDS LAWS WERE COVERED BY STATE LAWS AND THEY CAN'T REQUIRE ANYONE TO MAKE A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST ANY PARTICULAR WAY. THEY CAN WALK TO THE WINDOW AND ASK

FOR IT, THEY CAN DO IT BY LETTER, THEY CAN DO IT ANONYMOUSLY, THEY CAN DO IT OVER THE TELEPHONE. WHAT THEY HAVE TO PROVIDE IS A DOCUMENT THAT EXISTS; THEY DON'T HAVE TO CREATE AN ANSWER TO A QUESTION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE BOARD CAN HAVE A CONDUIT AT THE COUNTY LEVEL BY WHICH PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS ARE FACILITATED AND IF IT IS SOMETHING THAT IS EASILY ACCESSIBLE AND AVAILABLE, YOU HAVE TO PRODUCE IT WITHIN WHAT IS TO BE CONSIDERED A REASONABLE TIME FRAME. THERE IS NO STATUTE THAT SAYS YOU HAVE TO PRODUCE IT IN THREE HOURS, THREE DAYS OR TWELVE DAYS; IT DEPENDS ON THE SIZE AND THE VOLUME OF THE REQUEST AND WHO AND WHAT IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO FULFILL THAT REQUEST. THERE IS NO STANDARD PROCEDURE BY STATUTE; BUT, IT HAS TO BE FULFILLED IN A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME. THE COUNTY HAS THE ABILITY TO CHARGE TO DO THE WORK AND IF IT IS WHAT THE COURT CONSIDERS SOMEWHAT VOLUMINOUS, THEY CAN EVEN CHARGE FOR TIME FOR RESOURCES TO GET IT DONE. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST IN ANOTHER COUNTY WHERE THERE WAS QUITE A BILL THAT WENT ALONG WITH IT; BUT, THEY HAD A DUTY TO PRODUCE IT AND THEY NEED TO HAVE A CONDUIT AND A SYSTEM IN WHICH THEY PROCESS THE REQUESTS AT THE COUNTY LEVEL. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE RECOMMENDATION HE WOULD MAKE IS TO GO AHEAD AND CONTINUE TO GET EDUCATION, REVIEW AND UPDATE WHAT THE COUNTY'S POLICY IS WITH RESPECT TO PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS BECAUSE THE BOARD DOES HAVE SOMEWHAT CONTROL ON MAKING SURE THE RIGHT HAND KNOWS WHAT THE LEFT HAND IS DOING IN GIVING OUT INFORMATION AT THE COUNTY LEVEL. THAT IS REALLY WHAT THE CONCERN IS; MAKING SURE THE PEOPLE WHO ARE GIVING OUT THE INFORMATION ARE EDUCATED AND AWARE OF HOW IT NEEDS TO BE GIVEN OUT AND WHAT FORM IT NEEDS TO BE GIVEN OUT IN.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE IS NOT MUCH THEY CAN DO ABOUT SOMEBODY ASKING FOR PUBLIC RECORDS; IT IS THE WAY THEY DELIVER IT AND IF THEY FOLLOW THE LAW AND THERE ARE CERTAIN THINGS THAT HAVE TO BE REDACTED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THE SUNSHINE LAW IS REALLY A PRO PEOPLE LAW. PEOPLE ARE ALLOWED TO ASK FOR A PRETTY WIDE VARIETY AND A BROAD RANGE OF INFORMATION; THE COUNTY HAS SOME CONTROL OVER WHAT IS REASONABLE IN GETTING THE INFORMATION OUT. A PERSON CAN'T ASK THE COUNTY FOR SOMETHING THAT IS VOLUMINOUS AND HARD AND ASK FOR IT IN AN HOUR; BUT, THEY CAN ASK FOR A VOLUMINOUS AMOUNT OF RECORDS. THE COUNTY ALSO HAS THE RIGHT TO HAVE THE PERSON PAY FOR IT UPFRONT BEFORE THE COUNTY DOES THE WORK TO GET THEM. THERE IS SOME THINGS THEY CONTROL AT THE COUNTY LEVEL; BUT, WITH RESPECT TO THE PRODUCTION OF THE DOCUMENTS OR THE RECORDS THEMSELVES, IT IS A PRETTY BROAD LAW IN FLORIDA.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID ALSO GETTING IN PLACE SCHEDULING THE AVERAGE PERSON THAT MIGHT COLLECT THIS INFORMATION HAS GOT A FULL DAY OF SCHEDULING AN THEY WOULD NEED TO GET IT SCHEDULED INTO THEIR ROUTINE; SO IT MAY TAKE REALISTICALLY A WEEK TO TWO WEEKS TO GET THIS INFORMATION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REFERRED TO HOLMES COUNTY HAVING A PRETTY BROAD PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST RECENTLY; IT TOOK THEM ABOUT TWO WEEKS TO GET READY JUST BECAUSE OF THE VOLUME OF WHAT WAS THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEREFORE THE COUNTY POLICY COULD HAVE ALL THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST COME THROUGH THE COUNTY MANAGER.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REITERATED THE COUNTY HAS THE RIGHT TO FUNNEL THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST IN THE WAY THEY SEE FIT SO THE RIGHT HAND KNOWS WHAT THE LEFT HAND IS DOING. THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE THE RIGHT TO REFUSE TO GIVE PUBLIC RECORDS; THAT IS WHAT THEY CAN'T DO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT IS UNDERSTANDABLE; BUT, THEY NEED SOME TYPE OF TRACKING SYSTEM WHERE SOMEBODY IS KEEPING UP WITH THAT PUBLIC RECORD REQUEST AND MAKES SURE IT GETS DONE AND GETS COMPLETED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHAT THAT REALLY DOES IS SOMEWHAT FOR THE SAKE OF THE EMPLOYEES IN THE COUNTY, IF THEY ARE UNCOMFORTABLE WITH A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST AND THEY DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE LAW IS WITH RESPECT FOR REQUESTS, THEY HAVE SOMEBODY THAT IS UP TO DATE AND

UP TO SPEED ON WHAT IT IS AND THEY CAN USE THAT PERSON AS A RESOURCE AND POINT TO THEM INSTEAD OF HAVING TO DEAL WITH THAT ISSUE THEMSELVES.

MR. HAGAN SAID WHEN THEY DID TRY TO DO THIS AND HAD THEY STILL BEEN USING THE SYSTEM THEY TRIED TO PUT IN PLACE WHEN MR. HERBERT WAS ADMINISTRATOR, IT WENT THROUGH THE HR OFFICE SO THAT REDACTION AND PROTECTION OF THE EMPLOYEES COULD HAVE TAKEN PLACE. IF THAT SYSTEM WOULD STILL HAVE BEEN IN PLACE WHEN THIS HAPPENED, HE IS NOT SURE THAT IT WOULD HAVE PREVENTED OR NOT SURE IT WOULD PREVENT SOME OTHER ACCIDENTAL OR NONTHINKING EVENT FROM HAPPENING. HAD THAT BEEN IN PLACE AND THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST HAD GONE THROUGH THAT, REDACTION COULD HAVE TAKEN PLACE. MR. HAGAN SAID THEY AGREE; THEY URGE A POLICY.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THE COUNTY COULD HAVE ALL THE POLICIES THEY WANT TO, IF THEY DON'T TRAIN THE PEOPLE WHAT THEY CAN REDACT AND WHAT THEY CAN'T; IF THEY ARE NOT DOING THAT, THEY MAY REDACT SOMETHING THEY SHOULDN'T BE DOING.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THEM HAVING TRAINING SET UP BY THE COUNTY'S LIABILITY INSURANCE CARRIERS TO INITIATE THIS VERY THING IN APRIL.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE HAS FOUR OR FIVE DIFFERENT ISSUES HERE. HE ASKED WHY DIDN'T THE CHIEF OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS COME TO ROGER AND SAY "WE ARE TRYING TO GET THIS TEAM UP; DO YOU WANT TO BE PART OF THIS TEAM" INSTEAD OF JUST GIVING EVERYBODY'S INFORMATION OUT THERE.

MR. HAGAN WAS NOT SURE IF THEY KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION; THE FIRECHIEFS ACT AUTONOMOUSLY AMONG THEMSELVES AWAY FROM OUR PURVIEW. MR. RUDD HAD BEEN THE CONTACT WITH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE CART THROUGH ALL THE DISCUSSIONS IS HIS UNDERSTANDING. THERE ARE SOME OTHER CHIEFS HERE THAT MAY ADDRESS THAT; BUT, THAT WAS HIS UNDERSTANDING MR. RUDD WAS THE POINT MAN FOR THE ASSOCIATION.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID IT WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER IF

MR. PALMER WOULD HAVE DONE THAT. MR. HAGAN SAID THEY AGREE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE GOT TWO LETTERS; ONE FOR HIMSELF AND ONE FOR HIS SON.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE IS NOT SURE; BUT, IT IS HIS UNDERSTANDING MANY OR MOST OF THE DEPARTMENTS DID GO AHEAD AND REQUEST THE SAME INFORMATION AND THE BACKGROUND CHECKS PERHAPS ARE ALREADY BEING MADE. CART IS SOMETHING DIFFERENT FROM THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AGREED CART IS DIFFERENT FROM THE BOARD; IF THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT WANTED TO DO THIS, THEY SHOULD HAVE SENT SOMEBODY FROM THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO MR. HAGAN'S OFFICE AND TELL HIM WHAT THEY WERE DOING.

MR. HAGAN AGREED THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT SHOULD HAVE AND IF THEY HAD DONE SO, THEY PROBABLY WOULD HAVE BEEN VIOLATED ON THE SAME THING THEY HAVE BY GIVING IT TO ANYBODY ELSE. IT IS THE PERSONAL INFORMATION THAT IS THE ISSUE; IT IS NOT THE NAMES PERHAPS BUT THE PERSONAL INFORMATION IS THE ISSUE AND WHERE THE ERROR WAS MADE, NOT REDACTING THE PERSONAL INFORMATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF THEY WOULD HAVE HANDED OUT THE FORMS WHERE ALL THE PERSONAL INFORMATION WAS BLACKED OUT, ZEROED OUT, ETC., EVERYTHING WOULD HAVE BEEN FINE HE THINKS. THERE AGAIN THAT MAY BE A LEGAL ISSUE AS WELL.

MR. HAGAN SAID EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DON'T WANT THIS TO HAPPEN ANYMORE THAN FIREMEN, BOARD OR ANYBODY ELSE BECAUSE THEY ARE PART OF THAT PROTECTED CLASS. THAT PROTECTION WAS CREATED TO KEEP THEM FROM BECOMING TARGETS; THAT PROTECTION WAS CREATED AFTER 9-11, ETC. THE MISTAKE OF THE HEAD AND NOT THE HEART IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS LIGHT; BUT, IT HAS BEEN ADDRESSED WITH THE EMPLOYEE AND TRAINING IS SET UP. ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAS BEEN VERY INVOLVED IN MAKING SURE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL KNOWS WHAT HAS BEEN DONE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THE TRAINING AND THE TRACKING SYSTEM WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD PREVENT ANY OF THAT FROM HAPPENING AGAIN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID, AS A COUNTY GOVERNMENT AGENCY, IN HIS OPINION ALL PUBLIC SERVICE REQUESTS NEEDS TO COME TO THE TOP, WHICH WOULD BE THE COUNTY MANAGER OR THE CHAIRMAN PERHAPS, HOWEVER THIS BOARD FEELS, AND THAT PERSON CAN DELEGATE THAT REQUEST TO WHEREVER ITS NEEDED THAT INFORMATION IS FROM.

MR. HAGAN SAID IF THEY CAN DO THAT, THEY AGREE WITH MR. ABBOTT. THAT IS THE REASON MR. HERBERT STARTED IT TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO; THEY AGREED THERE OUGHT TO BE ONE POINT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHEN DID ALL THE REQUESTS GOING THROUGH THE HR PERSON CHANGE BECAUSE THAT IS THE ONE WHO HAS ALL THE INFORMATION.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE WAS NOT SURE; THE ONES THAT WAS MADE TO HIM OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS, THEY KIND OF GOT AWAY; THEY HAVEN'T HAD ONE IN SOME TIME DIRECTED PERSONALLY TO HIM.

SAL ZURICA ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING TWO MONTHS AGAIN WHEN MS. CAMILLE THARP WAS HERE, HE REQUESTED INFORMATION. HE WAS TOLD HE COULDN'T HAVE IT BECAUSE OF PRIVATE INFORMATION WAS ON THAT LIST AND IT WAS SUPPOSE TO HAVE BEEN BLACKED OUT. FOR YEARS HE HAS BEEN COMING UP HERE ASKING FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION AND HAD TO FILL OUT A FORM, WHICH HE HAS ALWAYS DONE. LAST MARCH, MR. PITTS IMPLEMENTED A POLICY EVERYTHING THAT IS PART OF THE COUNTY RULES IS PUBLIC INFORMATION. ALL THIS TALK ABOUT THIS AND THAT, GENTLEMEN EVERYBODY KNOWS YOU DON'T GIVE OUT PRIVATE INFORMATION. HE SAID HE DIDN'T CARE WHO YOU ARE, WHAT POSITION YOU ARE IN, THE ONLY PEOPLE THAT ARE ALLOWED THAT IS THE GOVERNMENT AND FDLE; NOT A FIREMAN AND NOT A CITIZEN. THEY DO NOT GET IT. HE IS MAD ABOUT THIS. FIRST OF ALL, RIGHT BEFORE THIS HAPPENED, ONE OF HIS CREDIT CARDS GOT HIT FOR A \$1,000; SOMEBODY GOT HIS NUMBERS AND HE DON'T NEED THAT MAN, REFERRING TO MR. HAGAN, LETTING HIS INFORMATION OUT THERE OR THIS COUNTY LETTING HIS INFORMATION OUT THERE. THE BOARD IS WRONG BY WHITEWASHING THIS THING OUT. IF THEY READ THE FLORIDA STATUTES, THAT MAN SHOULD BE FIRED.

NAN THOMPSON ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING SHE HAS BEEN ASKING FOR COUNTY RECORDS AND PUBLIC RECORDS FOR YEARS NOW. ORIGINALLY, SHE WENT TO THE KEEPER OF THE RECORDS AS PER STATUTE PAYING FOR THINGS AS SHE HAD TO. IF SHE WENT TO PUBLIC WORKS, SHE WENT TO PUBLIC WORKS, REQUESTED THEM AND THEY WOULD CALL WHEN THE RECORDS WERE READY WITH A BILL. MORE RECENTLY WHEN MR. HERBERT HAD IT, SHE HAD TO GO THROUGH HIM FIRST, THEN IT WOULD GO TO PUBLIC WORKS, COME BACK TO MR. HERBERT, AGAIN A BILL AND SHE WOULD PAY FOR IT. WHEN MR. PITTS TOOK OVER, SHE WOULD HAVE TO AGAIN REQUEST IN WRITING AND GO THROUGH HEATHER TO GET TO PUBLIC WORKS OR PUBLIC RECORDS, ETC, AND EVERYTHING WAS BLACKED OUT. SO WHY DOES ONE AGENCY VERSUS ANOTHER HAVE A DIFFERENT POLICY. SHE SAID SHE DIDN'T KNOW HOW MANY TIMES SHE HAS REQUESTED RECORDS AND SHE HAS REQUESTED FROM DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS AND THEY ALWAYS FOLLOWED THE RULES. SHE THINKS NO MATTER WHO IT IS, LIKE SAL SAID FDLE, YES; BUT, THERE NEEDS TO BE SOMETHING PUT IN PLACE BECAUSE SHE KNOWS SHE HAS JUMPED THROUGH HOOPS. WHEN MR. HERBERT WAS HERE, SHE WAS TOLD SHE WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK TO PUBLIC WORKS; SHE CAN'T TELL THE BOARD HOW MANY MILES SHE HAS PUT ON HER CAR JUST TRYING TO GET PUBLIC RECORDS BECAUSE THINGS WOULD CHANGE ADMINISTRATIVELY. ONE RULE BY STATUTE, IT DOES SAY YOU GO TO THE KEEPER OF THE RECORDS AND IT HAS TO BE IN WRITING; NOT VERBALLY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD MS. THOMPSON SHE WAS WRONG ABOUT IT HAVING TO BE IN WRITING. MS. THOMPSON SAID SHE HAD ALWAYS HAD TO PUT HER REQUEST IN WRITING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID SHE MAY HAVE; BUT, HE CAN CITE COURT CASE AFTER COURT CASE SAYING YOU DON'T HAVE TO. IT IS A BROAD LAW; IT IS A PRO CITIZEN LAW. YOU DON'T HAVE TO DO IT IN WRITING; THE COUNTY HAS THE RIGHT TO REQUEST IT.

MS. THOMPSON EXPLAINED ORIGINALLY SHE DIDN'T HAVE TO; SHE COULD CALL AND SAY SHE NEEDED "X." ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID MS. THOMPSON COULD REFUSE AND COULD DO IT ANONYMOUSLY; SHE DON'T HAVE TO GIVE HER NAME.

MS. THOMPSON SAID SHE COULD SAY BY EXPERIENCE FROM HERE, WHEN

SHE DID REQUEST SOMEBODY'S RECORDS, UNLESS SHE GAVE HER NAME, FORGET IT. SHE HAD TO PAY FOR IT SO THEY WERE GOING TO FIND OUT ANYWAY; SHE WAS NOT HIDING ANYTHING. SHE REITERATED SHE WENT TO PUBLIC WORKS IF SHE NEEDED IT; SHE WENT TO THIS DEPARTMENT OR THAT DEPARTMENT. THEN EVERYTHING FILTERED THROUGH MR. HERBERT AND THEN AGAIN IT WAS FILTERED THROUGH SOMEBODY ELSE. THE COUNTY NEEDS ONE GAME PLAN AND ONE GAME PLAN ONLY FOR EVERYBODY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE AGREES WITH MS. THOMPSON IN A SENSE THEY NEED ONE GAME PLAN; HE THINKS THEY NEED TO BE CONSISTENT IN THAT. THE COUNTY DOES HAVE A RIGHT TO HAVE A CUSTODIAN AND A FLOW SYSTEM WHERE IT GOES THROUGH A POINT TO THE CITIZEN. THE IMPORTANT THING IS MAKING SURE YOU GET WHAT YOU ASK FOR AND ALSO MAKING SURE THERE IS A PRIVACY AREA WITH RESPECT TO A FIREFIGHTER, A JUDGE, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT AND THAT INFORMATION IS PROTECTED AS WELL.

MS. THOMPSON SAID, FROM WHAT SHE UNDERSTANDS, SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT WAS LET OUT ON THIS DOES INCLUDE EMS; SO, THAT BECOMES A FELONY. THEY ARE LOOKING AT SOME MAJOR PROBLEMS HERE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE STATUTE SPEAKS FOR ITSELF IF IT IS IN VIOLATION OF THE STATUTE.

MR. HAGAN SAID THEY WOULD WELCOME MR. GOODMAN'S INPUT IN HELPING DEVELOP A POLICY; NO ONE CAN ASK TO PUT ANYTHING IN WRITING WITH HIS ADMINISTRATION. HE DOES KNOW THAT HAS HAPPENED AND HE DOES KNOW THAT WAS IN VIOLATION. THEY HAVEN'T REQUIRED THAT. HE THINKS ALL THE NEWER EMPLOYEES, DEPARTMENT HEADS WOULD WELCOME INPUT AND CREATION OF POLICY. HE THINKS IT WOULD HELP PREVENT THIS IN THE FUTURE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASSURED EVERYONE THE BOARD IS GOING TO GET TO THE BOTTOM OF THIS; THEY WILL HAVE A POLICY AND EVERYBODY THAT IS HANDLING THAT WILL KNOW WHAT TO REDACT AND WHAT NOT TO AND WILL AGREE TO DO IT.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A FIVE MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, SKYLA CARTER ADDRESSED THE BOARD AND

READ A STATEMENT INTO THE RECORD REQUESTING THEY KEEP ALL QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS UNTIL THE END OF HER STATEMENT:

WITH GREAT POWER COMES GREAT RESPONSIBILITY. JUST QUOTE ME AS THOSE WHO WE ENTRUST POWER WITH SHOULD UNDERSTAND THEY NOT ONLY HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE BOARD BUT TO THE PEOPLE OF THIS COUNTY. THERE SHOULDN'T BE A LOYALTY TO EITHER THE COUNTY OR THE PEOPLE; IT SHOULD BE ONE IN THE SAME. ON FEBRUARY 28, 2011 I BECAME AWARE MY PERSONAL INFORMATION HAD BEEN GIVEN OUT TO SAM RUDD FOR A CART TEAM THAT SHE HADN'T EVEN HEARD OF. I IMMEDIATELY CALLED MR. HAGAN WHERE HE CONFIRMED THE ALLEGATION. WHEN ASKED HOW SAM WAS ABLE TO OBTAIN THIS, MR. HAGAN SAID BY A VERBAL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. HE THEN TOLD ME THIS INFORMATION HAD BEEN GIVEN OUT BEFORE AND THAT IT WAS NOTHING UNUSUAL; HE WOULD HAVE GIVEN IT TO ANY CHIEF THAT WOULD HAVE ASKED FOR IT. HE THEN ASSURED ME MR. RUDD WAS ONLY IN POSSESSION OF THIS INFORMATION FOR ONE DAY THAT IT WAS RETURNED THE 18TH. LATER ON I FOUND A DISCREPANCY IN THAT STATEMENT. I RECENTLY WENT TO THE ANNEX AND MADE A VERBAL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST OF MY OWN FOR THE EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE AFFECTING THIS ISSUE. THE NIFTY THING ABOUT EMAILS IS THEY COME WITH A DATE AND TIME STAMP. YOU CAN'T ARGUE WITH THAT. RIGHT HERE, I AM HOLDING IN MY HAND THE CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN MR. HAGAN AND MS. WELCH WHICH WAS DATED FOR THE 22ND OF FEBRUARY AT 10:00 A.M. WHICH CONFIRMS THAT MR. RUDD WAS STILL IN POSSESSION OF THE ROSTERS. THIS PROVES IF NOTHING ELSE THAT MR. HAGAN BLATANTLY LIED TO ME ON THE 28TH. THE FACT THAT THE VOLUNTEERS INFORMATION WAS RELEASED IS A MISDEMEANOR; BUT, YOU ALSO HAVE TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT MANY OF THE VOLUNTEERS ARE ALSO PAID FIREFIGHTERS, EMT'S, PARAMEDICS OR EVEN COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. THIS IS WHERE IT BECAME A FELONY. ALSO IN MY PHONE CONVERSATION WITH MR. HAGAN, HE STATED HE WAS AWARE HE HAD TECHNICALLY VIOLATED STATUTE 119. I DID RECEIVE A LETTER OF APOLOGY; BUT, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, IT CAME FROM THE WRONG MAN. THE MAN RESPONSIBLE FOR GIVING OUT THIS INFORMATION SHOULD HAVE BEEN THE ONE APOLOGIZING. THE MAN YOU HAVE ENTRUSTED WITH SO MUCH

POWER HAS BROKEN THE TRUST, INTEGRITY AND CREDIBILITY OF NOT ONLY EOC; BUT, THE COUNTY AS WELL. I HAVE THIS ONE QUESTION FOR YOU FIVE MEN. WHERE DOES YOUR LOYALTY LIE; WITH ONE MAN WHO IS MAKING A MOCKERY OR DOES IT LIE WITH THE PEOPLE OF THIS COUNTY WHO ELECTED YOU TO MAKE THE RIGHT DECISION. THANK YOU.

FIRST COMMUNICATIONS-FCC CHANGES-BRYAN WALEY WITH MOTOROLLA, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA ADDRESSED THE BOARD AND HAD DEBBIE SMITH WITH FIRST COMMUNICATIONS PROVIDE THEM WITH HANDOUTS. HE EXPLAINED HIS MAIN PURPOSE IS TO EDUCATE THE BOARD ON AN ISSUE FROM THE FCC AND HOW IT AFFECTS WASHINGTON COUNTY; SOME THINGS THE COUNTY MIGHT WANT TO DO TO START PREPARING FOR IT.

RANDY TRUETTE, EMS DIRECTOR, UPDATED THE BOARD ON MR. WALEY BEING PRESENT TODAY TO MAKE THEM AWARE OF SOME THINGS THAT ARE GOING TO BE CHANGING OVER THE NEXT TWO YEARS; THEY HAVE SOME DEADLINES THEY HAVE TO MEET BY JANUARY 2013 ON SOME RADIO ISSUES. HE IS CHAIRMAN OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE AND THEY HAVE DONE EVERYTHING THEY CAN AS A COMMITTEE TO PREPARE FOR THESE CHANGES. THE MONEY HAS CHANGED; THEY ARE NOT GETTING THE MONEY THEY USE TO HAVE THEY COULD SPEND OUT OF THAT COMMITTEE. THERE ARE AREAS WITHIN THE COUNTY THEY COULDN'T SPEND THAT MONEY ON SUCH AS ROAD DEPARTMENT. THEY THOUGHT IT WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO EDUCATE THE BOARD ON THAT BECAUSE THEY DO HAVE LESS THAN TWO YEARS TO MAKE THESE CHANGES OR THEY ARE GOING TO LOSE FREQUENCIES, LICENSES AND THERE ARE SOME PENALTIES INVOLVED WHICH WOULD AFFECT ROAD AND BRIDGE, FIRE DEPARTMENTS. THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT HAS ALREADY MADE SOME CHANGES AND MOVED IN THE DIRECTION; HE THINKS THE CITY OF CHIPLEY HAS MADE SOME CHANGES TO MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION. IT IS GOING TO BE A BUDGET ISSUE AS IT IS NOT GOING TO BE CHEAP TO FIX THIS. IT IS SOMETHING HE AND THE COMMITTEE THOUGHT THE BOARD SHOULD BE AWARE OF SO IT DIDN'T JUST SHOW UP ONE DAY AND HERE IT IS; WE ARE GOING TO LOSE THIS IF WE DON'T MAKE THESE CHANGES. THIS GIVES US SOME TIME TO MOVE FORWARD AND HAVE A PLAN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT RANDY HAD SAID ROAD AND BRIDGE WOULDN'T IN THEIR PLAN AND ASKED WHO IS IN CHARGE OF ROAD AND BRIDGE'S BUDGET FOR COMMUNICATIONS. RANDY THOUGHT IT WAS A LINE ITEM IN ROAD AND BRIDGE'S BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THE REASON HE IS ADDRESSING THIS IS BECAUSE THOSE OLD RADIOS ROAD AND BRIDGE HAVE ARE ANTIQUES AND THEY NEED BRINGING UP TO TODAY'S WORLD.

RANDY SAID THERE ARE A LOT OF ISSUES HOPEFULLY MR. WALEY IS GOING TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS; BUT, ROAD AND BRIDGE IS GOING TO BE AFFECTED PRETTY STRONGLY BY THE NEW FCC ISSUES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE REASON HE MENTIONED THIS IS BECAUSE RANDY MENTIONED LICENSES ARE INVOLVED; THEY HAVE TO BRING THOSE LICENSES UP.

MR. HAGAN TOLD RANDY HE THOUGHT MR. BROCK WAS CONFUSING WHAT RANDY WAS TALKING ABOUT THE COMMITTEE NOT BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE; THE MONEY CAN'T BE SPENT FROM THE COMMUNICATIONS FUND FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE. THE COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE CAN WORK WITH ROAD AND BRIDGE; BUT, WHAT RANDY WAS ADDRESSING IS THE FUNDS.

RANDY AGREED THERE IS GOING TO BE A GOOD PORTION OF STUFF THE COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE COULD HELP WITH; BUT, AS A COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE. AT SOME POINT, THE FUNDS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO COME FROM SOMEWHERE TO MAKE THESE CHANGES. HE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK THOSE RADIOS AT ROAD AND BRIDGE ARE VERY OLD; THE RADIOS IN THE FIRETRUCKS ARE VERY OLD AND THERE IS GOING TO BE A COST. HE THINKS ANY RADIO THAT IS A 1997 OR NEWER STILL COULD BE USED. HE KNOWS THERE IS A TON OF RADIOS OUT THERE THAT IS A LOT OLDER THAN THAT AND ALL OF THOSE RADIOS ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BE REPLACED OVER THE NEXT TWO YEARS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED WASHINGTON COUNTY BEING ONLY THREE OR FOUR TOWERS AWAY FROM HAVING BROADBAND. HE ASKED MR. WALEY EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BROADBAND AND NARROWBAND AND WHY SHOULD THE BOARD SPEAK WITH THIS, ETC., OR HOW IS IT GOING TO MESH.

HOW IS IT GOING TO NETWORK.

MR. HAGAN TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK THE COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE WAS APPOINTED BY THE BOARD IN A RESOLUTION; THEY ARE TO ADMINISTER A POOL OF MONEY GENERATED BY A \$12.50 MOVING VIOLATION TICKET. IT CAN ONLY BE SPENT ON EMERGENCY SERVICES. WHEN IT WAS SAID THE PROBLEM DOESN'T CONCERN PUBLIC WORKS OR THE COMMITTEE DOESN'T, THE COMMITTEE CAN BUY RADIOS FOR FIRE, AMBULANCE, LAW ENFORCEMENT; BUT, THEY CAN'T FOR PUBLIC WORKS, PUBLIC SERVICES, ETC. IT IS GENERATED OFF LAW ENFORCEMENT TICKETS AND HAS TO BE USED FOR EMERGENCY SERVICES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED FOR YEARS THEY USED MONIES FROM RADIO COMMUNICATIONS TO FUND ALL COMMUNICATIONS IN THE COUNTY; ROAD AND BRIDGE WAS FUNDED FROM IT.

MR. HAGAN AGREED THIS WAS DONE ONE TIME. HE REFERRED TO SHERIFF PEEL HAVING MET WITH THEM AND THEY HAD A LARGE AND LONG DISCUSSION ONE NIGHT ON THE STATUTE AND THE ATTORNEY ADVISED THEM THEY COULDN'T FUND ALL THE COMMUNICATIONS IN THE COUNTY. THEY DID FOR THE FIRST AND PERHAPS THE SECOND YEAR THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS FUNDING WAS AVAILABLE, BUY SOME RADIOS. THEY THOUGHT IT WAS TO BE USED FOR EMERGENCY PURPOSES. THEY HAD MISINTERPRETED THE STATUTES; IT IS NOT FOR EMERGENCY PURPOSES. IT IS FOR EMERGENCY SERVICES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT AMOUNT OF MONEY WAS IN THIS POOL OF TICKETS FOR THESE PURPOSES. RANDY ADVISED THERE WAS ABOUT \$54,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT WERE THEY PROPOSING THE COST TO BE FOR THE NARROWBANDING. RANDY EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T KNOW THE NUMBER OF RADIOS AT ROAD AND BRIDGE. MR. ABBOTT INTERRUPTED AND SAID HE WAS ASKING FOR THE MONEY AMOUNT. RANDY ADVISED HE DIDN'T KNOW THAT.

MR. WALEY EXPLAINED IN BROADBAND VERSUS NARROWBANDING, BROADBAND GENERALLY IS SET ASIDE FOR TRANSPORTING DATA FROM THE COMPUTER OR INTERNET. THERE WILL COME A DAY WHEN YOU WILL SEE RADIO TRACKING, LIKE LAW ENFORCEMENT USES, BEING HANDLED OVER A BROADBAND SYSTEM.

THAT DAY IS NOT HERE; IT IS NOT NEXT WEEK, NEXT YEAR OR NEXT TWO YEARS. BUT, IT IS COMING. IT IS GOING TO BE A MORE EXPENSIVE SOLUTION THAN WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT TODAY. WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT TODAY IS HOW DO YOU TAKE YOUR EXISTING RADIO SYSTEM AND MAKE THEM COMPLY FOR THIS FCC MANDATE THAT WAS HANDED DOWN BY FCC; NOT BY MOTOROLLA. IT HAS BEEN BREWING FOR TEN YEARS OR SO; SO, IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT JUST SNEAKED UP ON US.

BRYAN SHOWED THE BOARD A RADIO SPECTRUM CHART OF THE FCC FOR THE UNITED STATES; THIS WAS TO LET THEM SEE ALL THE DIFFERENT SPECTRUMS THAT HAVE BEEN SET ASIDE FOR COMMUNICATIONS SUCH AS SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS, LIVE FAX COMMUNICATIONS IN THE HOME, CORDLESS PHONES, ETC. SOMEWHERE IN THE CHARTS HE PROVIDED THE FCC HAS SET ASIDE SPECTRUMS FOR CITY, COUNTY AND STATE GOVERNMENTS TO UTILIZE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS. THE NEXT PAGE IS SHOWING THE WAY A RADIO CHANNEL WORKS IN IN THE REAL WORLD AND IT IS SHOWING EACH CHANNEL IS WHAT THEY CALL 25KHZ. WHAT THE FCC IS DOING IS TAKING THAT CHANNEL AND CUTTING IT IN HALF. THE REASON THEY ARE DOING THAT IS SO THEY CAN GENERATE ADDITIONAL CHANNELS AVAILABLE TO ADDITIONAL USERS. THE OTHER INFORMATION PROVIDED BASICALLY SHOWS THEY ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO CREATE A NEW CHANNEL BETWEEN THE TWO. ON JANUARY 1, 2013, ALL THE RADIO SYSTEMS THAT ARE IN THE SPECTRUM THE COUNTY OPERATES IN HAS TO BE NARROWBANDED.

BRYAN EXPLAINED SOME OF THE DRAWBACKS OF NARROWBANDING IS THE COUNTY MAY EXPERIENCE A LITTLE BIT LESS COVERAGE OR AUDIO NOT QUITE AS LOUD. THERE IS TECHNOLOGY AVAILABLE TO HELP THE COUNTY OVERCOME THAT. HE ADDRESSED THE THINGS THE COUNTY SHOULD START DOING NOW TO PREPARE FOR NARROWBAND; INVENTORY THE RADIOS THEY HAVE NOW, WHO MADE THEM, THE MODEL NUMBERS AND SERIAL NUMBERS IF POSSIBLE. THE MANUFACTURER OF THE RADIO CAN TELL THE COUNTY IF THE RADIO CAN BE NARROWBANDED; IT IS LIKELY IT COULD BE NARROWBANDED BY SIMPLY PLUGGING IT UP TO THE COMPUTER AND REPROGRAMMING IT. IT COULD BE THAT IT HAS TO BE REPLACED SO THAT IS WHEN THE BOARD NEEDS TO START

BUDGETING; BUT, THEY NEED TO KNOW THE INVENTORY FIRST. THEY NEED TO IDENTIFY THE RADIOS THAT NEED TO BE REPLACED, ESTABLISH A MIGRATION PLAN, HOW THEY ARE GOING TO ACTUALLY DO IT AND GO AHEAD AND DO THE CUT OVER.

BRYAN STATED EVERY COUNTY IN AMERICA IS FACED WITH THIS FCC MANDATE; NOT JUST WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF FCC WAS GOING TO SEND THE COUNTY ANY MONEY TO ASSIST WITH THE NARROWBANDING. BRYAN SAID HE HAD NOT HEARD OF ANY FUNDING YET.

DEBBIE SMITH ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE COUNTY'S LICENSE HAS TO BE NARROWBAND FOR THE RADIOS; THEY ARE RUNNING FROM 60 TO 90 DAYS ON GETTING THAT DONE. THE SHERIFF, AMBULANCE SERVICE, CHIPLEY POLICE DEPARTMENT AS WELL AS THE LGR HAVE ALREADY DONE THIS. THEY ARE STILL LOOKING AT ROAD AND BRIDGE, THE CITY HAS CITY FIRE, EBRO AND VERNON HAVE TO HAVE THEIRS MODIFIED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF THERE WAS SOMEBODY FROM THE BOARD THAT IS AWARE OF WHAT WE ARE DOING; ARE WE ASKING THE RIGHT QUESTIONS. HE DIDN'T KNOW THEY REALLY HAD A BOARD ESTABLISHED TO UPDATE THE COMMISSION ON THIS. HE ASKED IF THEY HAD ANY UPDATES, ANY IDEA OF WHAT KIND OF EQUIPMENT. IN ORDER FOR THE COMMISSIONERS TO START PLANNING FOR THIS, THEY HAVE TO KNOW WHAT THEY ARE PLANNING FOR.

RANDY SAID AS FAR AS INVENTORY, THE COMMITTEE IS SET UP TO GOVERN THAT MONEY TO MAKE SURE IT IS USED IN THE RIGHT WAY. BACK A FEW YEARS AGO PRIOR TO THEM INCREASING THE SPEED VIOLATIONS, ETC., THERE WAS A PRETTY GOOD BIT OF MONEY THEY WERE ABLE TO BUY RADIOS FOR FIRE DEPARTMENTS, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. SOMETHING HAS HAPPENED OVER THE LAST FEW YEARS; THE MONEY IS LESS AND THEY ARE NOT COLLECTING AS MUCH AS THEY WERE. THEY HAVE MONTHLY MAINTENANCE THAT COMES OUT OF THAT MONEY. THEY QUIT BUYING RADIOS OUT OF THE RADIO COMMUNICATION FUND BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THE FUTURE IS GOING TO BRING AND THE MONEY GETS DEPLETED PRETTY QUICK. IF THEY HAVE A MAJOR BREAKDOWN, ETC., THEY HAVE MONEY TO FIX IT; BUT, AS FAR AS BUYING RADIOS AND

STUFF, THE COMMITTEE HAD TO PUT A STOP TO THAT BECAUSE THE MONIES IS JUST NOT THERE FOR IT. HE BELIEVES THEY HAVE INVENTORY ON MOST OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND HE KNOWS THEY HAVE INVENTORY ON THE EMS.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED HE THINKS THEY HAVE INVENTORY ON THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS BECAUSE PREVIOUS BOARDS WOULD BUY THE EQUIPMENT AND GIVE IT TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS; THE COUNTY MAY NOT HAVE SOME OF THE INVENTORY. HE SAID MR. AYCOCK AND MS. WELCH STARTED AN INVENTORY ABOUT TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO AND THERE SHOULD BE A PHYSICAL INVENTORY OF ANYTHING BOUGHT AFTER THAT. THE REST OF IT PROBABLY BECAME OWNERSHIP OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, SHERIFF DEPARTMENT OR WHEREVER IT WAS BOUGHT, IT WENT ON THAT INVENTORY. HE ADDRESSED THERE WAS A MAJOR EXPENSE THAT CAME OUT OF THE COMMUNICATIONS FUND THAT RANDY MIGHT WANT TO MENTION.

RANDY REPORTED ON THE EXPENSE FOR MOVING OF A TOWER FROM ONE LOCATION TO ANOTHER AND IMPROVED IT WHICH DEPLETED THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS MONEY DOWN QUITE A BIT. THAT WAS BEFORE HE GOT INVOLVED WITH THE COMMITTEE. WITH ALL THAT BEING SAID, THE MONIES HAS DEPLETED DOWN AND IT IS NOT COMING IN LIKE IT WAS; THEY HAVE COME TO A STANDSTILL AS FAR AS SPENDING MONEY OTHER THAN MAINTENANCE AND EMERGENCIES. IF THEY WANT AN INVENTORY, HE DON'T MIND GETTING WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS, TRYING TO GET AN INVENTORY, PRESENT IT BACK TO THE BOARD AND TRY AND GET A COST.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED HE WOULD LIKE TO GET AN INVENTORY OF EVERYTHING THE COUNTY HAS; THAT IS COMING UNDER GASB. IN HIS OPINION, GOING INTO THE BUDGET KNOWING AS LITTLE AS WE DO, WE NEED TO KNOW. HE WAS AWARE OF SOME OF THOSE THINGS THEY DO OUT THERE; BUT, HE WASN'T AWARE THEY WERE DEPLETING THE MONEY AND THERE BEING LESS MONEY COMING IN. HE DON'T KNOW WHY HE HADN'T STUMBLED ON TO IT; EVERYTHING ELSE IS ACTING THAT AWAY WITH MORE THINGS TO DO AND LESS MONEY COMING IN.

MR. HAGAN ASKED IF THE BOARD GETS A COPY OF THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE MINUTES. ALL OF THE BOARD WITH THE EXCEPTION OF

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY DID RECEIVE COPIES OF THOSE MINUTES. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAD NEVER SEEN ANY MINUTES ON THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF IT COST ANYTHING TO UPDATE THOSE LICENSES. DEBBIE ADVISED IT DID AND AGREED TO GET THE BOARD QUOTES ON THE COST.

RANDY THOUGHT A ROUND ABOUT FIGURE WOULD BE \$1100. DEBBIE SAID IF IT IS JUST TO MODIFY, IT COULD BE AS LOW AS \$200 AND IF THEY GET ANYTHING ADDITIONAL LIKE THEY DID WITH THE TOWER, IT COULD RUN UP TO \$600.

RANDY REITERATED EMS HAS ALREADY HAD THEIR RADIOS UPDATED AND THEY ARE IN THE PROCESS OF ACQUIRING ANOTHER FREQUENCY. IT IS A 60 TO 90 DAY PROCESS TO GET THIS DONE. THE MAIN FOCUS ON THIS IS, AS A COMMITTEE, THEY HAVE BEEN CONCENTRATING ON THIS AND THEY DIDN'T WANT THE BOARD TO GET BLIND SIGHTED BY NOT KNOWING ABOUT THE FCC REQUIREMENT UNTIL THE LAST MINUTE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SUGGESTED THE COMMITTEE START WORKING ON THE COST OF COMPLYING WITH THE FCC REQUIREMENT AND LET THE BOARD KNOW WHERE THEY ARE AT. HE ASKED THEY FIND THE MONEY IF THEY CAN.

RANDY REITERATED AS FAR AS GETTING AN INVENTORY, HE WOULD GET THE INVENTORY NEEDED AND GET A BUDGETARY PRICE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAD TWO BUDGETING CYCLES TO COMPLY WITH THE FCC REQUIREMENTS.

RANDY EXPLAINED HE WOULD GET WITH EACH OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS OVER THE NEXT FEW WEEKS AND SEE WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE AND HE WILL GET WITH MR. BARFIELD AT PUBLIC WORKS AND SEE WHAT RADIOS ARE NOT ABLE TO NARROWBAND BECAUSE THAT IS THE ONES THAT IT IS GOING TO AFFECT. HE FEELS QUITE COMFORTABLE PUBLIC WORKS HAS QUITE A FEW RADIOS THAT AREN'T ABLE TO BE NARROWBANDED. EMS HAS QUITE A FEW OF RADIOS THAT CAN BE NARROWBANDED SO MAYBE THEY CAN TRANSFER SOME OF THOSE; BUT IT IS GOING TO BE A SMALL AMOUNT AND WON'T HELP ALOT. HE AGREED TO TRY TO GET THE INFORMATION TO THE BOARD BY THEIR APRIL WORKSHOP OR

MEETING.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD RANDY TO PRESENT THE INFORMATION ANYTIME WITHIN THE NEXT TWO MONTHS BECAUSE RIGHT NOW THEY DON'T HAVE AN IDEA AT ALL WHAT KIND OF MONEY IS COMING DOWN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHY ALL OF A SUDDEN THE REVENUES FROM THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS HAS BEEN REDUCED. RANDY SAID THE STORY HE GETS IS WITH SPEEDING VIOLATIONS INCREASED, HE GUESSED LAW ENFORCEMENT TICKET WRITING DECREASED. HE THINKS IT IS A STATEWIDE THING; IT HAS DECREASED EVERYWHERE. THE LESS TICKETS THEY WRITE, THE LESS MONEY GOES TO RADIO COMMUNICATIONS. WHAT HAPPENED WHEN THEY REALIZED THEY HAD LESS MONEY COMING IN AND IT IS NOT BUILDING LIKE IT SHOULD, AS A COMMITTEE THEY FROZE ALL SPENDING EXCEPT FOR ROUTINE MAINTENANCE TO KEEP THEIR STUFF UP AND RUNNING LIKE THEY SHOULD. AS A DEPARTMENT, THEY HAVE QUIT GETTING MAINTENANCE TAKEN OUT OF THAT BUDGET; THEY HAVE TAKEN ON THAT THEMSELVES AS A DEPARTMENT. IT WAS TO TRY AND KEEP MONIES IN THE FUND FOR EMERGENCIES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE UNDERSTOOD THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT HAS ALREADY BEEN UPDATED AND QUESTIONED WHERE THAT MONEY CAME FROM.

RANDY SAID HE ASSUMED IT CAME FROM THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET; IT DIDN'T COME OUT OF THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS FUND. IN HIS OPINION, THERE IS NOT ENOUGH MONEY IN THE FUND TO UPDATE ANYBODY'S ANYTHING. WITH ONE MAJOR BREAKDOWN, IT COULD TAKE \$20,000 OUT OF THE RADIO COMMUNICATIONS FUND. THEY ARE TRYING TO LEAVE A BUFFER IN THERE; THEY ARE AVERAGING COLLECTING \$2,500 A MONTH GOING INTO THE FUND AND ARE SPENDING ABOUT A \$1,000 A MONTH ON MAINTENANCE WHICH IS A DECREASED AMOUNT FROM WHAT IT WAS SEVERAL MONTHS AGO.

MR. HAGAN SAID THAT MAINTENANCE IS UNDER CONTRACT, ETC. THE OTHER MAINTENANCE, IN AN EFFORT TO PROTECT THE COUNTY'S BUDGET IN THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS AND MAKE FIRE DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET PURCHASES GO FURTHER. MAINTENANCE WAS COVERED OUT OF THIS EMERGENCY FUND BECAUSE THERE WAS PLENTY OF MONEY. THAT NOW IS BACK IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT'S

BUDGET AND THEY ARE PICKING UP THEIR PERSONAL RADIO MAINTENANCE WITHIN THE COUNTY'S BUDGET SO THE FIRE, EMS, EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND THOSE THAT IS USING THAT FUND NOW ACTUALLY HAS THAT TRANSFERRED BACK TO THEIR DEPARTMENTAL BUDGETS. THEY SAVED THE COUNTY'S BUDGET AS LONG AS THEY COULD; BUT, THAT MONEY IS ABOUT DEPLETED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF EMS WAS NARROWBANDDED YET. RANDY ADVISED THEY WEREN'T; THEIR LICENSES IS NARROWBANDDED. ISSUES WITH NARROWBANDING IS YOU LOSE QUALITY; THAT IS A NEGATIVE TO IT. IT IS PROBABLY A CHEAPER FIX IN THE LONG RUN BUT THERE IS SOME NEGATIVES TO IT. THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT WENT DIGITAL WITH THEIR RADIO SYSTEM; THEY DIDN'T LOSE ANYTHING. THEY GAINED AS FAR AS COMMUNICATIONS GO. AS FAR AS THE AMBULANCE SERVICE GOES, HE DOESN'T FEEL THEY CAN AFFORD TO LOSE ANYTHING; QUALITY, SERVICE, ETC. BY NARROWBANDING. THEY ARE HOPEFULLY LOOKING AT GOING TOWARDS DIGITAL; THAT SEEMS TO BE THE BEST ANSWER. THE CITY OF CHIPLEY AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAS ALREADY GONE DIGITAL; HE UNDERSTANDS THE HOLMES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAS GONE DIGITAL ALSO. HE THINKS THAT IS THE DIRECTION MOST PEOPLE ARE GOING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE THINKS THE SHERIFF IS PRETTY SMART; THE BOARD CONTROLS HIS BUDGET AND HE HAS ALREADY DONE IT. THAT IS GOOD PLANNING.

RANDY STRESSED IT WAS THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND ROAD AND BRIDGE HE WAS TRULY CONCERNED WITH BECAUSE OF THE NUMBERS.

CAMILLE THARP, GOVERNMENT SERVICE GROUP, PROVIDED A PRESENTATION ON THE SUNNY HILLS MSBU ISSUES. WHAT SHE IS GOING TO TALK ABOUT TODAY IS:

- A. SUNNY HILLS MSBU ISSUES
- B. WORKSHOP OBJECTIVES
- C. SUNNY HILLS MSBU HISTORY
- D. COUNTY/SUNNY HILLS STATISTICS
- E. MSBU INFORMATION
- F. FUNDING/GOVERNMENT ALTERNATIVES

CAMILLE ADDRESSED HER TRYING TO COME UP WITH SOME OF THE LARGER ISSUES SHE HAS BEEN HEARING ABOUT THE SUNNY HILLS MSBU:

- A. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ROLE
- B. ADVISORY COMMITTEE ROLE
- C. BUDGET DETAIL
- D. LIST OF PROJECTS
- E. MSBU REVENUE FOR FIRE SERVICES IN SUNNY HILLS
- F. USING FUNDS/EQUIPMENT OUTSIDE OF MSBU

THE WORKSHOP OBJECTIVES AND THINGS SHE IS WANTING TO GET ACCOMPLISHED TODAY AND ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS:

- A. WHAT IS AN MSBU? MSTU?
- B. WHAT STATUTE GOVERNS AN MSBU?
- C. WHO IS IN CHARGE OF THE MSBU?
- D. WHAT CAN MSBU FUNDS BE USED FOR?
- E. WHO DECIDES WHAT IS FUNDED?
- F. CAN MSBU FUNDS BE USED OUTSIDE OF THE MSBU?
- G. CAN THE MSBU BE DISSOLVED?

AS FAR AS THE HISTORY, SHE WAS FIRST CONTACTED IN THE SUMMER OF 1998 BY PROPERTY OWNERS WHO REQUESTED SHE COME AND TALK TO THEM ABOUT THE REQUESTED OPTIONS TO FUND NEEDED IMPROVEMENTS. SHE DID A REQUEST TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AT THE REQUEST OF THOSE PROPERTY OWNERS ON JULY 24, 1998 AND ANOTHER PRESENTATION WAS DONE TO THE SUNNY HILLS PROPERTY OWNERS ON DECEMBER 14, 1998. THAT PRESENTATION TO THE PROPERTY OWNERS AT THAT TIME WASN'T WELL RECEIVED; MOST OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS THERE WERE SAYING "WE LIKE IT THE WAY IT IS." THEN, THEY HAD THIS OTHER FACTION THAT KIND OF BROUGHT US IN AND SAID "PLEASE TELL US WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE." EVENTUALLY THE SUNNY HILLS MSBU WAS CREATED BY ORDINANCE 2001-4 ON SEPTEMBER 5, 2001; THAT ORDINANCE CREATED THE MSBU, ESTABLISHED THE PURPOSE OF THE MSBU, ESTABLISHED THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AS THE GOVERNING BOARD, ESTABLISHED AN ELEVEN (11) MEMBER ADVISORY COMMITTEE WITH AN ELECTED MSBU COORDINATOR WITH A FOUR YEAR TERM.

THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE HAD OVERSIGHT OF THE FUNDING. THEY DIDN'T ADOPT THE FUNDING AND THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE FINAL APPROVAL OF THE FUNDING; BUT, THEY DID PROVIDE GUIDANCE ON FUNDING. THE ORDINANCE ORIGINALLY ESTABLISHED THE ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY AND THEN IT IMPOSED THE ASSESSMENT.

ORDINANCE 2007-2 WAS ADOPTED ON FEBRUARY 22, 2007; IT AMENDED THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE AND AMENDED THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE DOWN TO SEVEN MEMBERS AND IT PROVIDED FOR THE MSBU COORDINATOR TO CONTRACTURAL SERVICES WITH COMPENSATION. ALMOST LIKE YOU WERE GOING TO CONTRACT OUT WITH A COORDINATOR, MUCH LIKE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS DID.

ORDINANCE 2009-2 WAS ADOPTED JUNE 16, 2009; IT DELETED A SECTION RELATED TO THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE BUT IT DID MAINTAIN THE MSBU COORDINATOR FUNCTION. WHERE THEY SIT RIGHT NOW IS THEY HAVE AN ORIGINAL ORDINANCE AND THE ORDINANCE THAT SITS RIGHT NOW; THERE IS NO ADVISORY COMMITTEE BUT THERE IS AN MSBU COORDINATOR.

CAMILLE WENT OVER THE STATISTICS FOR THE MSBU. SHE THANKED RENAE IN THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S OFFICE WHO PROVIDED THIS UPDATED INFORMATION FROM THE TAX ROLL. IN THE FIRST COLUMN WAS THE NUMBER OF PARCELS IN SUNNY HILLS, IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS AND IN THE CITIES IN THE COUNTY. BY PARCEL COUNT, SUNNY HILLS REPRESENTED 53% OF THE PARCELS WHICH IS A LARGE AREA. THE NEXT COLUMN IS THE TAXABLE VALUE THAT PEOPLE PAY TAXES ON; ON THE TAXABLE VALUE BASIS, SUNNY HILLS REPRESENTS 22.8% OF THE TAXABLE VALUE IN THE COUNTY INCLUDING ALL THE CITIES. THE REST OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREA REPRESENTS 57% OF THE TAXABLE VALUE IN THE COUNTY. THE REST OF THE UNINCORPORATED AREA IS TWICE AS MUCH TAXABLE VALUE AS WHAT THERE IS IN SUNNY HILLS. IF YOU TAKE THE TAXABLE VALUE IN SUNNY HILLS AND DIVIDE IT BY THE NUMBER OF PARCELS IN SUNNY HILLS, THE AVERAGE TAXABLE VALUE IS \$8,750. SO, IF EVERYONE IS DIVIDED EQUALLY, EACH PROPERTY WOULD BE WORTH \$8,750 IN TAXABLE VALUE.

CAMILLE WENT OVER THE SUNNY HILLS PARCEL COMPOSITION; AGAIN, THIS IS COMING FROM THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S RECENT INFORMATION. THEY ARE

SHOWING 580 IMPROVED FAMILY RESIDENTIAL PARCELS; 849 COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES AND THE REST OF THE PROPERTIES IS 94% OF THE PROPERTIES ARE VACANT, UNIMPROVED RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES. SO IMPROVED PROPERTIES ARE 580 SINGLE FAMILY AND OF THE 849 COMMERCIAL, NOT ALL OF THOSE ARE IMPROVED COMMERCIAL; SOME ARE VACANT COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES.

CAMILLE WENT OVER MSTU'S. AN MSTU IS A MUNICIPAL SERVICE TAXING UNIT AND IS AUTHORIZED BY CHAPTER 125. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN MSBU AND AN MSTU IS YOU USE AD VALOREM TAXES IN AN MSTU; YOU ARE USING TAXES TO FUND THE SERVICES AND FACILITIES AND NOT SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS. SUNNY HILLS IS NOT AN MSTU; IT IS AN MSBU RIGHTFULLY NAMED. MSTU'S ARE AVAILABLE TO COUNTIES TO FUND MUNICIPAL SERVICES AND NOT CAPITAL FACILITIES. IT IS AN ADDITIONAL 10 MILLS AVAILABLE FOR MUNICIPAL SERVICES. IN AREAS LIKE THIS WHERE YOU NEED ADDITIONAL MUNICIPAL SERVICES, COUNTIES HAVE ANOTHER 10 MILLS THEY CAN USE IN TAXES FOR THOSE SERVICES. MSTU'S ARE USUALLY THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS; HOWEVER, IT CAN INCLUDE CITIES BUT THE CITIES WOULD HAVE TO CONSENT TO BE INCLUDED WITHIN AN MSTU AND THE 10 MILLS OF MILLAGE WOULD COUNT AGAINST THEIR MILLAGE. IF A CITY WAS IN AN MSTU AND THEIR MILLAGE RATE WAS AT 8 MILLS AND THE COUNTY CAME IN AND CREATED AN MSTU THAT INCLUDED THAT CITY AND WANTED TO IMPOSE 3 MILLS, THEY WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO. THEY COULD ONLY IMPOSE 2 MILLS BECAUSE IT HAS TO BE UNIFORM ACROSS THE WHOLE MSTU; SO, THE COUNTY WOULD BE RESTRICTED BY THE THEIR RESTRICTION OF 10 MILLS. MSTU'S MUST BE CREATED BY JANUARY 1 OR JULY 1. WITH MSTU'S, THE TAXES CAN'T BE PLEDGED FOR DEBT UNLESS THERE IS A REFERENDUM; SO, YOU COULD NOT GO BORROW MONEY AND USE MSTU MONEY TO REPAY THAT DEBT. ALL THE EXEMPTIONS THAT APPLY TO TAXES LIKE HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION, SAVE OUR HOMES, AND THE NEW THINGS ABOUT MILLAGE INCREASES AND HOW YOU INCREASE YOUR MILLAGE WOULD ALSO APPLY WITHIN AN MSTU. THESE DAYS THE RESTRICTIONS WITHIN AN MSTU ARE PRETTY SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE ALL OF THE SAME RESTRICTIONS APPLY. AN MSTU CAN BE DISSOLVED AT ANY TIME WITH AN ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE JUST LIKE IT CAN BE CREATED AT ANY TIME BY ORDINANCE. TO RAISE THE \$485,000 THEY

RAISE EACH YEAR RIGHT NOW IN ASSESSMENTS THROUGH AN MSTU TAX WISE, THEY WOULD HAVE TO IMPOSE 2.3 MILLS OF TAXES IN THE SUNNY HILLS AREA TO RAISE THAT \$485,000 WORTH OF GROSS REVENUES. ON THE AVERAGE TAXABLE VALUE OF \$8,750, THAT WOULD MEAN THE AVERAGE LANDOWNER THERE WOULD PAY APPROXIMATELY \$20 IN TAXES; HOWEVER, IF YOU HAD A HOUSE THERE AND YOUR HOUSE TAXABLE VALUE WAS \$50,000, THEY WOULD BE PAYING \$115.00 IN AN MSTU AT 2.3 MILLS.

CAMILLE WENT OVER AN MSBU. AN MSBU IS AUTHORIZED BY CHAPTER 125; HOWEVER, THE MAIN DIFFERENCE IS IT USES SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS TO FUND SERVICES OR FACILITIES. IT IS AVAILABLE TO COUNTIES TO FUND MUNICIPAL SERVICES. IT CAN INCLUDE CITIES OR THE UNINCORPORATED AREA; IT MUST BE CREATED BY JANUARY 1 OR JULY 1. IT IS CREATED BY THE BOARD; THE BOARD IS THE GOVERNING BOARD. THE ASSESSMENTS CAN BE USED AS A PLEDGE FOR REVENUE WITHOUT A REFERENDUM. CHAPTER 125 ENUMERATES THE SERVICES THAT ARE ELIGIBLE FOR AN MSTU OR AN MSBU. FIRE PROTECTION, BEACH EROSION, WATER, ALTERNATIVE WATER SUPPLIES, STREETS, SIDEWALKS, STREET LIGHTING, GARBAGE/TRASH COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL, WASTE/SEWAGE COLLECTION AND DISPOSAL, DRAINAGE TRANSPORTATION AND OTHER ESSENTIAL FACILITIES AND MUNICIPAL SERVICES. IT IS PRETTY BROAD. BOTH THE MSTU AND MSBU CAN BE USED TO FUND THAT; HOWEVER, THE MSBU IS GOING TO BE FURTHER RESTRICTED BY THE FACT THEY ARE GOING TO USE SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS AND SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS HAVE CERTAIN RESTRICTIONS ABOUT WHAT THEY CAN BE USED FOR. THE MSBU ALSO CAN BE DISSOLVED AT ANY TIME BY ORDINANCE.

CAMILLE ADDRESSED SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS. SHE REPORTED AN ASSESSMENT IS NOT A TAX. THE SIMILARITIES OF AN ASSESSMENT AND TAX ARE THEY BOTH GENERATE REVENUE TO PAY FOR SERVICES AND FACILITIES AND BOTH ARE MANDATORY AND CAN BE COLLECTED ON THE TAX BILL. THE DIFFERENCES ARE THE ASSESSMENTS MUST BENEFIT THE PROPERTY; TAXES NEED NOT. THE BEST EXAMPLE OF THAT IS PEOPLE WHO PAY SCHOOL TAXES AND THEY NO LONGER HAVE KIDS IN SCHOOL, IT DOESN'T MATTER; TAXES ARE FOR THE GENERAL GOOD. A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT IS FOR THE SMALLER AREA, THE

SMALLER GROUP OF PEOPLE OR PROPERTIES THAT BENEFIT. THE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS COME FROM THE HOME RULE POWERS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT; TAXES MUST BE PROVIDED BY GENERAL LAW. THE LEGISLATURE GIVES COUNTIES THE ABILITIES TO IMPOSE TAXES; THE SPECIAL ASSESSMENT COMES FROM HOME RULE POWERS. LOCAL GOVERNMENTS MAY DEVELOP THE RATE OF ASSESSMENTS AND THE MANNER OF APPORTIONING COSTS; TAXES MUST BE PRESCRIBED BY THE LEGISLATURE.

CAMILLE ADDRESSED THE SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS CASE LAW CRITERIA:

1. THE SERVICES FACILITIES PROVIDE A SPECIAL BENEFIT TO PROPERTY.

2. HOW YOU CHARGE PEOPLE IS FAIR AND REASONABLE.

ON THE SPECIAL BENEFIT TO PROPERTY, IT CAN BE A POTENTIAL INCREASE IN THE VALUE OF THE PROPERTY. IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE AN IMMEDIATE INCREASE; IT CAN BE A POTENTIAL INCREASE. THE ADDED USE AND ENJOYMENT OF PROPERTY IS A SPECIAL BENEFIT. FOR EXAMPLE, PAVING A ROAD SO SOMEONE CAN GET TO THEIR PROPERTY IS A SPECIAL BENEFIT. IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE DIRECT; YOU DO NOT NEED TO PAVE THE ROAD IN FRONT OF SOMEONE'S HOUSE TO MAKE THEM PAY. IF YOU ARE PAVING A ROAD, THE MAIN DRAG IN SUNNY HILLS; IF YOU ONLY PAVE THAT ROAD YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO CHARGE EVERYONE IN THE SUBDIVISION FOR THAT COST EVEN THOUGH THEIR PROPERTY DOESN'T DIRECTLY ABUT THAT ROAD BECAUSE THEY ARE USING THAT ROAD TO GET TO THEIR PROPERTY. IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE DIRECT AND IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE IMMEDIATE; SO, WHAT THEY ARE SAYING IS IT HAS TO BE REALIZED WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME. THEY ARE SAYING ANYWHERE FROM 5 TO 10 YEARS IS A REASONABLE TIME PERIOD FOR YOU TO RECEIVE ANY BENEFIT TO YOUR PROPERTY. IT NEED NOT BE DETERMINED IN RELATION TO THE EXISTING USE OF THE PROPERTY; THAT IS WHAT ALLOWS YOU TO CHARGE UNIMPROVED PROPERTY TODAY EVEN THOUGH SOMEONE MAY NOT BE USING IT RIGHT NOW FOR LIVING THERE OR FOR A BUSINESS, YOU CAN CHARGE THEM TODAY BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO IMPROVE THAT PROPERTY BECAUSE OF THE SPECIAL ASSESSMENT.

AS FOR AS FAIR AND REASONABLE, THE MANNER OF APPORTIONMENT IS

IMMATERIAL AND MAY VARY PROVIDED THAT AMOUNT OF THE ASSESSMENT DOES NOT EXCEED THE PROPORTIONAL BENEFITS IT RECEIVES. THIS MEANS NO ONE CAN PAY MORE THAN A SIMILAR PROPERTY FOR WHATEVER THEY ARE PAYING FOR. THE BEST EXAMPLE OF THAT IS, AND THIS GOES TO USING MONEY OUTSIDE THE MSBU, THERE HAS BEEN A RECENT COURT CASE OUT OF THE CITY OF BOYNTON BEACH DOWN IN SOUTH FLORIDA AND IT HAS TO DO WITH FIRE AND EMS SERVICES. WHAT THE PEOPLE WHO SUED BOYNTON BEACH SAID, YOU CAN'T USE THE FIRETRUCK TO PROVIDE EMS SERVICES FOR OUR PROPERTIES BECAUSE YOU PAID FOR THAT FIRETRUCK WITH THE FIRE ASSESSMENTS. WHAT THE COURT JUST RECENTLY RULED WAS "YES, THEY CAN;" BECAUSE THE FIRETRUCK DIDN'T GET PAID 100% OUT OF THE FIRE FUNDS. SOME PART OF IT GOT PAID OUT OF THE FIRE FUNDS AND THE AMOUNT OF ASSESSMENTS THE PROPERTY OWNERS ARE PAYING DOES NOT EQUAL THE FULL AMOUNT OF THAT FIRETRUCK. SO THOSE PROPERTY OWNERS AREN'T PAYING FOR THE EMS SERVICES PART OF THE FIRETRUCK THROUGH THEIR FIRE ASSESSMENTS. IN THE SAME WAY, THE FACT THAT YOU MAY BE USING EQUIPMENT OUTSIDE THE MSBU IS BECAUSE SOME PART OF THAT EQUIPMENT, EITHER THROUGH THE MAINTENANCE, GAS, OR OTHER THINGS, IS BEING FUNDED OUTSIDE THE MSBU FUNDS. FAIR AND REASONABLE APPORTIONMENT MEANS YOU ONLY PAY THE SAME AS EVERYONE ELSE IS LIKE. THE AMOUNT YOU PAY NEEDS TO BEAR A REASONABLE RELATIONSHIP TO THE SERVICES PROVIDED AND YOU HAVE THE LEGISLATIVE DETERMINATION TO CHARGE PEOPLE WHAT YOU THINK IS FAIR.

CAMILLE WENT OVER A SMALL CHART ON OTHER COUNTIES WITH SIMILAR MSBU'S; THIS IS NOT THE NUMBER OF MSBU'S OUT THERE. FIVE COUNTIES USE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT MSBU'S; AN MSBU TO PROVIDE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES. TWENTY FIVE COUNTIES USE AN MSBU FOR STREET LIGHTING; POLK COUNTY HAS 365 STREET LIGHTING DISTRICTS IN THEIR COUNTY. TWENTY EIGHT COUNTIES USE MSBU'S FOR ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AND TWENTY SEVEN COUNTIES USE MSBU'S FOR FIRE CONTROL.

CAMILLE WENT OVER THE SUNNY HILLS MSBU PROFORMA BUDGET; PROFORMA MEANS FORM OF, JUST AN IDEA OF A BUDGET. SHE TOOK THE 2010 BUDGET AND THE REVENUES ASSUMED THAT WOULD COME IN AT 95% AND THEY HAVE

A LARGE CASH CARRY FORWARD IN 2010/2011 BUDGET WHICH IS PROBABLY GOING TO BE GONE OR IS BEING EXPENDED THIS YEAR. FROM THAT, SHE PUT THEIR EXPENDITURES IN THREE LINE ITEMS; OPERATING SUPPLIES, DEBT SERVICE AND CONTINGENCY. SHE THANKED JOHNNIE ODOM, DEPUTY CLERK, FOR PROVIDING HER THE INFORMATION ON THE BUDGET AND THE INFORMATION ON ALL THE DEBT SERVICE MSBU OWES. THEY ARE PAYING OFF ALL THE CAPITAL CITY LOANS THIS YEAR AND THIS YEAR BECAUSE THEY WANTED TO TAKE CARE OF SOME OF THAT CASH CARRY FORWARD THEY HAD, THEY PAID AN EXTRA PAYMENT ON THE BANK OF AMERICA LOAN. SHE WOULD SAY THE 2010/2011 YEAR WAS KIND OF AN AVERAGE YEAR BECAUSE THEY DID SOME THINGS THAT WEREN'T PROBABLY AS NORMAL AS THEY WOULD BE DOING OTHER YEARS. SO WHAT SHE DID WAS SHE CREATED A PROFORMA YEAR; THE PROFORMA REVENUES SHE IS ASSUMING A 5% INCREASE IN THE ASSESSMENT RATE; THEY MAY NOT DO THAT. SHE IS ASSUMING THEIR CARRY FORWARD BECAUSE OF WHAT THEY ARE SPENDING IS GOING TO BE EQUAL TO WHAT THEY NEED FOR CONTINGENCY WHICH IS ABOUT 10% OF THEIR BUDGET. IN A TYPICAL YEAR, THE MSBU OPERATING COST ARE ABOUT 60% TO 65% BECAUSE SHE WENT BACK AND LOOKED AT A COUPLE OF YEARS OF BUDGET. THEIR DEBT SERVICE, BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO PAY OFF ALL THOSE OTHER LOANS, THEY HAVE ONE DEBT SERVICE PAYMENT TO BANK OF AMERICA FOR \$150,000. THAT REPRESENTS 28% OF THEIR BUDGET, ALMOST 30% OF THEIR BUDGET. THEIR CONTINGENCY IS RUNNING AROUND 10%. OF THEIR OPERATING COST OF \$335,000, ABOUT \$40,000 IS GOING FOR LIGHTING, \$150,000 IS GOING FOR MOWING AND \$145,000 FOR ADDITIONAL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE. SHE TOLD THE BOARD WHAT THEY HAVE IN THEIR MSBU BUDGET IS WHAT CONFORMS TO THE STATUTES AS FAR AS WHAT A COUNTY IS REQUIRED TO DO. FLORIDA STATUTES 129.01 2b SAYS COUNTIES MUST CONFORM TO UNIFORM CLASSIFICATION OF ACCOUNTS. THEY HAVE TO HAVE FUND GROUPS; REVENUES BY FUNDS AND SOURCE AND THEY HAVE TO HAVE THEIR EXPENDITURES BY FUND, ORGANIZATIONAL UNIT FUNCTION, ACTIVITY AND OBJECT. SO THEY HAVE LITTLE OPPORTUNITY TO DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT WITH THEIR BUDGET BECAUSE THEY WANT THIS UNIFORM CLASSIFICATION OF LINE ITEMS SO WHEN THEY ARE COMING IN

DOING THEIR AUDITING AND YOUR OUTSIDE AUDITORS AND IT GOES TO THE AUDITOR GENERAL, THEY WANT TO SEE THE EXPENDITURES ARE IN THE RIGHT LINE ITEMS BY THE RIGHT CODES. SORRY TO SAY THERE IS NOT A WHOLE LOT OF ABILITY TO PROVIDE MORE DISCREET INFORMATION THAN WHAT YOU ALREADY HAVE IN THEIR BUDGETS.

CAMILLE ADDRESSED \$155,000 IS GOING TO DEBT SERVICE AND THAT DEBT SERVICE ISN'T PAID OFF UNTIL ANOTHER TEN YEARS SHE THOUGHT. THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE AT LEAST 10% CONTINGENCY. THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE ABOUT \$200,000 SET ASIDE EVERY YEAR THAT HAS TO GO TO WHERE IT HAS TO GO. WHAT IF THEY WANTED TO DO MORE STREET LIGHTING OR MOWING, WHAT WOULD THEY BE ABLE TO DO. BASED ON THE 2010/11 BUDGET, STREET LIGHTS OPERATING COST, THEY HAVE ABOUT \$40,000 WORTH OF OPERATING COST AND IT COVERS ABOUT 160 LIGHTS. OPERATING COST WISE, IT IS COSTING ABOUT \$250 A YEAR FOR EACH LIGHT YOU HAVE ON THERE. SHE EXPLAINED THESE ARE JUST ORDERS OF MAGNITUDE AND ASKED THEY NOT GET HER LIKE PRECISE; SHE IS JUST TRYING TO GIVE THEM AN ORDER OF MAGNITUDE. WHAT THAT MEANS, IF THEY WANT TO ADD ANOTHER TEN LIGHTS, THAT WILL BE ANOTHER \$2,500 A YEAR IN OPERATING COSTS; IF THEY WANTED TO ADD ANOTHER ONE HUNDRED LIGHTS, THAT WOULD BE ANOTHER \$25,000 IN OPERATING COST. THEY WOULD HAVE TO BUY THE LIGHTS AND HER EXPERIENCE IS IT WOULD COST ABOUT \$125 TO BUY THE LIGHT, A ONE TIME COST. FOR ONE LIGHT \$125, FOR TEN LIGHTS-\$1,250 AND ONE HUNDRED LIGHTS, \$12,500. SO, THEIR ADDITIONAL COST FOR STREET LIGHTING FOR ONE HUNDRED LIGHTS THE FIRST YEAR, BECAUSE THEY HAVE A CAPITAL COST AND THE OPERATING COST, WOULD BE \$37,500 AND AFTER THE FIRST YEAR, THEIR ONGOING COST WOULD BE ABOUT ANOTHER \$25,000. SO, THEY COULD SEE HOW FAST THE REST OF THE MSBU MONEY COULD GET EATEN UP BY STREET LIGHTS.

CAMILLE WENT OVER MOWING EXPENSES. IF THEY ARE SPENDING \$150,000 AND THE LEVEL OF SERVICES IS FOUR TIMES A YEAR; THIS IS COSTING \$37,500 FOR EACH MOWING. FOR FOUR MOWINGS IT WOULD COST \$150,000; IF THEY WANT TO ADD TWO MORE MOWINGS, IT WOULD COST \$225,000

OR AN ADDITIONAL \$75,000. AGAIN, BY ADDING LIGHTS AND MOWINGS, THEY COULD EAT UP \$100,000 PRETTY QUICKLY.

CAMILLE ADDRESSED THE ROAD PAVING SCENARIO. FROM WHAT SHE UNDERSTANDS, THEY NEED ABOUT 140 MILES OF RESURFACING TO HAPPEN WITHIN SUNNY HILLS. WALTON COUNTY'S PRICE FOR RESURFACING INHOUSE FROM TWO YEARS AGO WAS USED; SHE DIDN'T INCREASE IT FOR INFLATION, GAS, ETC. SHE JUST SAID TWO YEARS AGO SHE DID A PROJECT IN WALTON COUNTY AND IT WAS COSTING THEM \$540,000 A MILE TO RESURFACE INHOUSE FOR TWO LANE SUBDIVISION COST. WHAT THAT WOULD MEAN IS APPROXIMATELY \$75,000,000. AT 5% INTEREST, AND IN TALKING WITH OTHER PEOPLE LAST WEEK IN ANOTHER MEETING THEY SAY 5% IS THE BEST RATE YOU COULD POSSIBLY GET FOR A MUNICIPAL BOND AND IT IS PROBABLY CLOSER TO 10% IF YOU CAN GET IT, FOR A TWENTY YEAR TERM, THEIR ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE PAYMENT WOULD BE \$6,000,000. THEY WOULD HAVE TO RAISE ABOUT \$6.5 MILLION TO COVER THEIR COLLECTION COST AND OTHER THINGS SO ANNUAL PAYMENT PER LOT, IF THEY INCLUDED ONLY THE 15,000 LOTS WOULD BE \$450 A YEAR FOR TWENTY YEARS. ANNUAL PAYMENT PER LOT IF THEY INCLUDED ALL 24,000 LOTS, \$275 PER LOT FOR TWENTY YEARS. HER STORY IN THE BUDGET PART IS YES IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND YES IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE A LIST OF PROJECTS; BUT, THEY CAN SEE HOW FAST STREET LIGHTING, MOWING AND RESURFACING COULD EAT UP WHAT THEY ARE ALREADY COLLECTING. TO DO A RESURFACING PROJECT AND DO IT PAY AS YOU GO WOULD MEAN YOU WOULD DO A MILE AND ONLY CHARGE THE PROPERTY OWNERS WITHIN THAT MILE UNLESS IT WAS A MAIN DRAG WHERE EVERYONE BENEFITTED. THEY WOULD EITHER HAVE TO DO THE WHOLE THING OR THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO MAJOR ROADS AND TRY TO FIGURE OUT WAYS TO SPREAD THE COST AMONG MORE OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS. THE RESURFACING IS A REAL BIG ISSUE AND A HUGE COST.

CAMILLE ADVISED THAT WAS HER PREPARATION ON THE GENERAL MSBU INFORMATION AND SHE WAS GOING TO GO INTO SOME ALTERNATIVES UNLESS THE BOARD WANTED TO ASK HER SOME QUESTIONS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED ON THE PAVING FIGURES CAMILLE USED,

ONE OF THE PROBLEMS DOWN THERE NOW IS THEY WENT IN DOWN THERE, BEFORE HE WAS COMMISSIONER, AND PAVED 43 MILES AT \$2 MILLION AND IT WAS ALL DONE AT THE SAME TIME. THAT WAS GOOD AND IT IS GOOD NOW; BUT, IT IS ALL GOING TO START FAILING AT THE SAME TIME AND THEY ARE GOING TO BE RIGHT BACK IN ONE VICIOUS CYCLE. IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE IN THREE TO FIVE MILE SEGMENTS SPREAD OUT OVER THE YEARS. WHATEVER IS DONE DOWN THERE FROM HERE ON OUT, DO NOT LUMP A BUNCH TOGETHER AND GET IN A HURRY BECAUSE YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE PROBLEMS DOWN THE ROAD AND WON'T BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN THEM.

CAMILLE SAID THEY WOULDN'T NEED TO DO THE WHOLE 140 MILES IF IN FACT THERE AREN'T PROPERTY OWNERS; YOU HAVE TO GET THE MOST BANG FOR YOUR BUCK.

CAMILLE WENT OVER FUNDING/GOVERNANCE ALTERNATIVES. SHE WAS GOING TO TRY TO GIVE THE BOARD AN OVERVIEW OF ALTERNATIVES; SHE ASKED THEY NOT ASK HER TO GET INTO THE NITTY GRITTY BECAUSE SHE IS NOT AN EXPERT ON THIS. SHE CAN GIVE THE BOARD SOME GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THEM AND IF THE BOARD IS INTERESTED IN ANY OF THEM, SHE WOULD SUGGEST THEY GET SOMEONE WHO IS AN EXPERT, LIKE IN SPECIAL DISTRICTS, AND SEE WHO NEEDS TO COME IN AND SPEAK TO THEM. SHE IS A SPECIAL ASSESSMENT EXPERT; BUT, NOT IN THESE KIND OF THINGS.

CAMILLE BEGAN SAYING THEY RUN THE GAMBIT FROM NOT DOING ANYTHING AT ALL FROM NO SEPARATE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE OR FUNDING SOURCE ALL THE WAY UP TO INCORPORATING INTO A CITY AND EVERYTHING IN BETWEEN. SHE ADDRESSED THEY COULD HAVE CREATED A HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION; CHAPTER 720, FLORIDA STATUTES LAYS OUT PAGES AND PAGES OF WHAT A HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION IS. IT IS A FLORIDA CORPORATION OF THE COMMUNITY OR SUBDIVISION AND THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OPERATION OF THE COMMUNITY OR SUBDIVISION IN WHICH THE VOTING MEMBERSHIP WOULD BE MADE UP OF PARCEL OWNERS OR THEIR AGENTS. THE MEMBERSHIP WOULD HAVE TO BE MANDATORY AND IT WOULD BE A MANDATORY CONDITION OF PARCEL OWNERSHIP AND THEY WOULD BE AUTHORIZED TO IMPOSE ASSESSMENTS THAT, IF UNPAID, MAY BECOME A LIEN ON THE PARCEL.

FIRST OF ALL, SHE THINKS THAT VOTE IS LEFT BECAUSE SHE DON'T THINK THEY CAN MAKE PEOPLE GO BACK NOW AND RETROACTIVELY MAKE THEM BE PART OF THE MANDATORY HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, SO IT WOULD BE VOLUNTARY. SECOND OF ALL, THE LIEN ON THE PARCEL WOULD NOT HAVE ANY STANDING AS LIEN ON A PARCEL DOES NOW THAT IS IMPOSED IN THE ASSESSMENT BY THE COUNTY. SO YOU WOULD HAVE PEOPLE THAT WOULD PAY OR NOT PAY. THEY WOULDN'T HAVE THAT FUNDING ABILITY TO BE ABLE TO GO BORROW TAX EXEMPT MONEY, ALL THOSE ISSUES. THAT IS WHY YOU DON'T SEE A WHOLE LOT OF HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATIONS BEING VERY ACTIVE THESE DAYS.

CAMILLE ADDRESSED SPECIAL DISTRICTS. A SPECIAL DISTRICT IS AN OPTION; IT IS A UNIT OF LOCAL SPECIAL-PURPOSE GOVERNMENT. IT OPERATES WITHIN LIMITED BOUNDARIES AND IT IS CREATED BY GENERAL LAW, SPECIAL ACT, LOCAL ORDINANCE OR BY RULE OF THE GOVERNOR AND CABINET. THERE IS DIFFERENT KINDS OF SPECIAL DISTRICTS. THE WAY THEY DESCRIBE THEM IS A DEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT HAS AT LEAST ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CHARACTERISTICS:

1. ITS GOVERNING BODY MEMBERS ARE IDENTICAL TO THE GOVERNING BODY OF A SINGLE COUNTY. IF THE BOARD WERE THE GOVERNING BODY OF A SPECIAL DISTRICT, IT WOULD AUTOMATICALLY BECOME DEPENDENT.

2. ITS GOVERNING BODY IS APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNING BOARD OF A SINGLE COUNTY. IF THE BOARD APPOINTED THE GOVERNING MEMBERS, IT WOULD ALSO BE A DEPENDENT DISTRICT.

3. DURING UNEXPIRED TERMS, ITS GOVERNING BOARD MEMBERS ARE SUBJECT TO REMOVAL AT WILL BY THE GOVERNING BOARD OF A SINGLE COUNTY.

4. ITS BUDGET REQUIRES APPROVAL THROUGH AN AFFIRMATIVE VOTE OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF A SINGLE COUNTY. EVEN THOUGH THE SPECIAL DISTRICT IS OUT THERE, THE BOARD WOULD STILL HAVE THE ALTERNATE AUTHORITY TO APPROVE THE BUDGET OR ITS BUDGET CAN BE VETOED. THAT IS WHAT MAKES IT A DEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT.

AN INDEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT DOES NOT HAVE ANY OF THE DEPENDENT CHARACTERISTICS. AN INDEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT WOULD BE ONE WHERE THE BOARD WOULD HAVE NO CONTROL OVER; THEY ARE NOT

ON THE BOARD OR GOING TO APPROVE THEIR BUDGET.

CAMILLE WENT OVER THE OPTIONS FOR SPECIAL DISTRICTS. A DEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT CAN BE CREATED BY ORDINANCE. AN INDEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT COMPLETELY OUT OF THE BOARD'S CONTROL, WHICH CAN BE DONE BY A SPECIAL ACT OF LEGISLATURE WHERE THE HOMEOWNERS OR THE BOARD COULD GO TO THE LEGISLATURE AND ASK FOR A SPECIAL ACT. GENERALLY ONLY THE LEGISLATURE CAN CREATE THE INDEPENDENT DISTRICTS; LEGISLATURES USUALLY AREN'T CREATING THE DEPENDENT DISTRICTS. THEY COULD ALSO HAVE AN INDEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT THE BOARD CREATES BY ORDINANCE. SHE IS NOT REALLY SURE HOW THAT WORKS THROUGH THE GOVERNING BODY ON THAT. ALL THE SPECIAL DISTRICT OPTIONS, THE POSITIVE WITH THE INDEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT, IT WOULD TAKE THE BOARD OUT OF THE PICTURE AND LET THE HOMEOWNERS TAKE CONTROL OF THEIR DESTINY. THE ELECTIONS COULD BE A LANDOWNER ELECTION, ONE ACRE PER VOTE, IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE REGISTERED VOTERS; BUT, AGAIN, THERE IS A LOT OF ISSUES WITH THE DEPENDENT SPECIAL DISTRICT. ANY TIME THEY WANT A CHANGE, IF THEY THINK IT IS HARD TO CHANGE NOW, THEY WOULD HAVE TO WAIT EVERY YEAR FOR THE LEGISLATURE TO CONVENE. THEY WOULD HAVE TO HOPE THEIR CHANGE WOULD GET THROUGH THE LEGISLATURE. IT WOULDN'T BE AN AUTOMATIC CHANGE; IT WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THE VOTE OF THE ENTIRE LEGISLATURE, NOT JUST THEIR ELECTED PERSON FROM THIS AREA.

CAMILLE EXPLAINED ANOTHER VARIATION OF SPECIAL DISTRICT IS A COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT; THESE ARE THE GLORIFIED HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATIONS THAT THIS LAW WAS CREATED FOR DEVELOPERS TO COME IN, PUT THEIR INFRASTRUCTURE IN, GET A GOVERNMENT GOING THAT THEY RAN FOR A VERY LONG TIME UNTIL THEY HAD ENOUGH PROPERTY OWNERS COME IN WHO WERE ELECTORS AND THEN ALLOW THE GOVERNING BOARD TO CHANGE HANDS TO THE ELECTORS OF THE AREA. AGAIN SHE THINKS IT IS TOO LATE ON THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT BECAUSE THAT WOULD HAVE TO HAVE BEEN CREATED BEFORE ALL THIS HAPPENED.

FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES, CAMILLE ADDRESSED OTHER COUNTIES WITH SPECIAL DISTRICTS, THERE ARE 576 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICTS.

THERE ARE 67 FIRE CONTROL AND RESCUE SPECIAL DISTRICTS, 50 NEIGHBORHOOD ENHANCEMENT SPECIAL DISTRICTS AND 25 NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENT SPECIAL DISTRICTS. THEY, TO HER, WERE SIMILAR TO WHAT THE BOARD DID.

CAMILLE EXPLAINED INCORPORATION STATING THERE ARE SEVERAL THINGS YOU HAVE TO DO TO INCORPORATE. YOU HAVE TO HAVE A COMPACT, CONTIGUOUS AREA, THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE AT LEAST 1500 PERSONS IN THE AREA WITH A MINIMUM OF 1.5 PERSONS PER ACRE. SHE THINKS THAT MIGHT BE WHERE SUNNY HILLS WOULD GET CAUGHT UP WITH ANY KIND OF INCORPORATION ABILITY BECAUSE THE DENSITY OF THE POPULATION IS NOT THERE. IT HAS ALL THE OTHER REQUIREMENTS. A FEASIBILITY STUDY WOULD BE REQUIRED AND THAT IS EXTENSIVE DATA COLLECTION.

CAMILLE ADVISED THE PRESENTATION WAS COMPLETE AND ASKED DID THEY MEET THE WORKSHOP OBJECTIVES:

1. SHE TOLD THE BOARD WHAT AN MSBU AND AN MSTU IS.
2. SHE TOLD THE BOARD ABOUT THE STATUTE THAT GOVERNS THE MSBU.
3. SHE TOLD THE BOARD WHO IS IN CHARGE OF THE MSBU.
4. SHE TOLD THE BOARD WHAT THE MSBU FUNDS CAN BE USED FOR.
5. SHE TOLD THE BOARD WHO DECIDES WHAT IS FUNDED.
6. SHE TOLD THE BOARD MSBU FUNDS COULD BE USED OUTSIDE THE MSBU.
7. SHE TOLD THE BOARD THE MSBU CAN BE DISSOLVED.

SHE THOUGHT SHE HAD ANSWERED THE QUESTIONS.

EARL STEWART, SUNNY HILLS MSBU DISTRICT, REFERRED TO CAMILLE TALKING ABOUT A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME IN DOING PROJECTS. LIKE IF YOU DO A ROAD, YOU WOULD DO A LITTLE BIT OF ROAD. HE ASKED WHAT WOULD BE A REASONABLE TIME. SHE HAD MENTIONED FIVE TO TEN YEARS. HE SAID THEIR ROADS, 160 MILES, IS 40 YEARS OLD. THAT 44 MILES THAT WAS REPAVED IS 7 YEARS OLD AND IT WAS A 20 YEAR LOAN. SO IF THEY WAIT UNTIL THE LOAN IS PAID FOR TO PAVE SOME MORE ROADS, NOW THEY HAVE ALL THE ROADS. HE ASKED WHAT IS A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME FOR A PROJECT.

CAMILLE EXPLAINED A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME IS THE AMOUNT OF

TIME BETWEEN WHEN THE MONIES ARE EXPENDED AND WHEN THE IMPROVEMENTS ARE DONE. THEY GOT THE 40 MILES OF ROAD REPAVED; JUST BECAUSE YOU ARE REPAYING IT OVER 20 YEARS, THEY GOT THE BENEFIT OF IT. SO IF THEY WENT OUT AND BORROWED MONEY TODAY, THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE THE ROAD REPAVED WITHIN FIVE TO TEN YEARS, PROBABLY SEVEN YEARS. AGAIN, YOU COULD TAKE 20 YEARS TO REPAY THAT.

MR. STEWART QUESTIONED IF THEY COULD PUT ROADS ON HOLD FOR ANOTHER 20 YEARS AND NOT DO NOTHING AND STILL COLLECT THEIR MONEY.

CAMILLE STATED "YES;" AS LONG AS THEY ARE REPAYING THE DEBT ON THE ROADS THEY DID PAVE.

MR. STEWART REFERRED TO CAMILLE HAVING BROUGHT UP A CASE LAW ABOUT OTHER FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND OTHER STUFF. SHE SAID BECAUSE THE COUNTY MIGHT HAVE PUT SOMETHING IN IT, THEY COULD USE THAT. HE ASKED WHAT HAPPENS IF THE MSBU PAID 100% OF ALL THE EQUIPMENT AND TRUCKS AND THE COUNTY PUT NOTHING IN IT. CAN THE COUNTY USE IT OTHER PLACES.

CAMILLE STATED "YES." JUST LIKE THE EXAMPLE SHE TOLD HIM. MR. STEWART SAID CAMILLE TOLD HIM; BUT, SHE SAID IF THE COUNTY PUT A \$1.00 IN THERE. BUT, THE COUNTY HADN'T PUT NO MONEY.

CAMILLE ADVISED THE COUNTY OWNS THE VEHICLES. THIS DAY AND AGE YOU CAN'T JUST PARK THE VEHICLES THERE AND NOT USE IT ANY PLACE ELSE. THAT IS WASTEFUL.

MR. STEWART SAID IF THE COMMUNITY PAID FOR IT AND THE OTHER PEOPLE DIDN'T.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED CAMILLE TALKING ABOUT A LEGAL OPINION AND ASKED IF SHE WAS AN ATTORNEY. CAMILLE SAID SHE WAS NOT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD CAMILLE SHE COULD ANSWER MR. STEWART'S QUESTIONS AND MR. STEWART MAY NOT AGREE WITH HER ANSWERS; BUT, HE THINKS THAT NEEDS TO BE IT. THEY COULD DISAGREE ABOUT IT ALL DAY; BUT, THAT IS FOR A GUY IN A BLACK ROBE TO DECIDE. HE ASKED MR. STEWART TO LET CAMILLE ANSWER HIS QUESTIONS AND IF HE DON'T AGREE WITH IT, HE DON'T AGREE WITH IT.

CAMILLE SAID FROM HER EXPERIENCE IN THE CASE LAW SHE HAS READ OR WHEN SHE HAS BEEN AN EXPERT WITNESS IN CASES, THERE IS A GRAY AREA IN THAT THERE ARE STILL COSTS, INSURANCE, MAINTENANCE, ETC. THE COUNTY IS PAYING FOR THOSE VEHICLES THAT MAKES IT NOT 100% FUNDED BY MSBU.

MR. STEWART SAID IT WAS PAID BY CONTRACT MONEY. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT WAS ENOUGH; CAMILLE HAD SAID WHAT SHE NEEDED TO SAY.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, ALLAN GRAY, WFRPC, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THEIR AGENDA SAYING HE WAS GOING TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT A FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR THE WASTE/WATER IMPACT ON THE ECONFINA; BUT, HE IS GOING TO BE DISCUSSING THE SPRINGS, THE WATER SUPPLY FOR THE NEIGHBORS AND WASHINGTON COUNTY.

ALLAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON AN INITIATIVE WFRPC THINKS IS A TRUE OPPORTUNITY. WFRPC THINKS THERE IS POTENTIAL FOR SOME OUTSIDE HELP WITH SOMETHING THEY THINK IS A PRETTY REASONABLE THREAT TO WASHINGTON COUNTY, WHICH IS THE 40,000 UNITS THEY HAVE SURROUNDING NOT ONLY THE ECONFINA, BUT THE SPRINGS THAT ARE HERE IN THE COUNTY THAT ARE ALL RIGHT NOW SCHEDULED AND COMPLETELY ALLOWED TO GO WITH A SEPTIC TANK ON THEIR LOT. THE ONES HE WAS TALKING ABOUT SPECIFICALLY IN THE SUNNY HILLS AREA; THEY ARE ROUGHLY QUARTER ACRE LOTS THAT ARE ALLOWED A WELL TAP AS WELL AS A SEPTIC FIELD. THIS SOUNDS LIKE A RESCUE FOR SOME KIND OF DISASTER IF THERE WERE EVER A LESS THAN PROFESSIONAL GROUP COME IN AND DRILL THAT WELL AND MAYBE THE SEPTIC DIDN'T WORK THAT DAY, WEEK OR MONTH, ETC; THERE WOULD BE A PROBLEM THERE. WFRPC THINKS THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO MITIGATE SOME OF THAT ISSUE AND ONLY IN SPECIFIC AREAS THERE MIGHT BE A GOOD OPPORTUNITY TO MITIGATE THAT. THERE IS A SECTION OF SUNNY HILLS ON THE EASTERN EDGE OF SUNNY HILLS, A DEVELOPMENT IN GENERAL, AND IT IS ALL CONTIGUOUS LOTS AND ALL ARE UNDER THREE DIFFERENT OWNERSHIPS. THERE ARE 6,000 LOTS; BUT, HE THOUGHT ONLY THREE OWNERS. WFRPC THINKS THIS AREA, SPRING RIDGE, MIGHT BE A GOOD OPPORTUNITY FOR DISCOVERING, IMPLEMENTING AND AT ONE POINT, ON THE GROUND PERMANENTLY IN THERE

IMPLEMENTING A DIFFERENT PLAN VERSUS THE SEPTIC TANK. WFRPC JUST WANTED TO DISCUSS THIS WITH THE COUNTY BEFORE THE DISCUSSION WAS REACHED WITH ANYONE ELSE BEING SUNNY HILLS, DELTONA, THE FOLKS IN THE SPRING RIDGE AREA. THE REASON FOR THIS IS WFRPC WANTED TO KEEP THE COUNTY COMMISSION ABREAST OF ANYTHING THEY THINK IS INTERESTING. HE KNOWS IT WAS A VALUE TO THE COMMISSION AND THE PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY WHEN WFRPC WAS DOING VISIONING; A LOT OF PEOPLE MENTIONED WATER AS A SERIOUS RESOURCE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. WFRPC WOULD LIKE TO ALSO TALK TO NEIGHBORING COUNTIES, SOME STATE AGENCIES AND PEOPLE WHO DEAL WITH SURFACE WATER AND SPRINGS. WFRPC THINKS THE OPPORTUNITY IS THERE AND WOULD LIKE TO TALK ABOUT FIRST THE FEASIBILITY OF IMPLEMENTING IS ONE THING WAY DOWN THE ROAD; HE THINKS THE PLANNING AND COMING UP WITH A GOOD PLAN ISN'T SOMETHING THEY COULD FEASIBLY DO HERE IN SHORT TERM AND WFRPC WOULD LIKE TO START THAT CONVERSATION. SO WASHINGTON COUNTY IS THE FIRST PERSONS THEY HAVE TALKED TO.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF WFRPC HAD APPROACHED MIKE DERUNTZ, THE SENIOR COUNTY PLANNER, ABOUT THIS ISSUE. ALLAN ADVISED HE HAD TALKED WITH MR. DERUNTZ.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. GRAY IF HE WAS PROPOSING SOMETHING. MR. GRAY ADVISED HE WASN'T.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE HAD BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT THAT EVER SINCE TWO OR THREE YEARS AGO WHEN SOMEBODY COME UP HERE AND SHOWED THE BOARD ABOUT THE SEPTIC TANKS IN THE SPRING RIDGE AREA.

MR. GRAY SAID SOME OF THIS IS IN OUR BACK YARD AND HE THINKS THERE IS SOMETHING THEY CAN DO ABOUT IT; ESSENTIALLY THIS IS AN AREA WHERE THERE ARE A LOT OF LOTS AND A FEW HOUSES. IF THEY CAN MAKE THIS AN EXAMPLE OF HOW THE REST OF THE COUNTY COULD ACT AND PATTERN BASED ON THE FACT IT IS ADDING VALUE, IS INCREASING THE RESILIENCY OF OUR SYSTEM BASED ON ITS NOT GOING TO IMPACT OUR SPRINGS AS MUCH, HE THINKS WASHINGTON COUNTY CAN BASICALLY BE A LEADER FOR THE OTHER PORTIONS OF SUNNY HILLS POSSIBLY, CERTAINLY FOR THE AREAS SURROUNDING US

THAT ARE PART OF THAT PURPLE CRESCENT. HE IS THINKING THEY HAVE A PARTNER WITH THIS WHICH WOULD BE OTHER FOLKS FROM THE STATE, OTHER COUNTIES AND CERTAINLY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. HE THINKS THE IMPLEMENTATION IS ONE THING; BUT, RIGHT NOW THE PLANNING OF THE IDEA IS WHAT WFRPC IS LOOKING AT. MR. TOWN HAS TOLD HIM THERE ARE ALREADY FOLKS INTERESTED ALONG THE SAME EXACT LINE AND HE THINKS BRINGING IN WFRPC AS A PARTNER FOR PLANNING GRANT OPPORTUNITIES IS CERTAINLY ADVANTAGEOUS FOR THAT. WFRPC GOES AFTER MILLIONS OF DOLLARS WORTH OF GRANTS AND THEY ARE SUCCESSFUL IN BRINGING QUITE A BIT OF MONEY TO THE PANHANDLE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT MR. GRAY'S PRESENTATION WAS A GOOD THING; THE COUNTY DOESN'T NEED 24,000 SEPTIC TANKS DOWN THERE; HE WOULD THINK BAY COUNTY WOULD BE VERY INTERESTED IN THAT PROPOSITION AS WELL. THEY DON'T SEEM TO BE BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TEN 24" WELLS TO GET THEIR WATER FROM.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED MR. GRAY IF HE WAS GOING TO DO A STUDY. MR. GRAY REITERATED HE WOULD LIKE TO START A CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE FOR ALL OF US TO GET INVOLVED HERE, THE FOLKS FROM BAY COUNTY, ANYONE INTERESTED IN SURFACE WATER AND SPRING PROTECTION. HE WISHES HE COULD HAVE THE FL-DCA AS A PARTNER; BUT, HE DOESN'T KNOW HOW MUCH LONGER THEY ARE GOING BE WITH US. THEY HAVE SHOWN INTEREST IN THIS AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE COUNTY TO DO SOMETHING TO PREVENT THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS FROM PUTTING SEPTIC TANKS ON EACH ONE OF THOSE LOTS. MR. GRAY THINKS THIS COULD BE A CHANCE FOR PEOPLE TO PATTERN AFTER SOME LEADERSHIP. THE WFRPC GOAL IS THEY WANT MORE PEOPLE TO FOLLOW IT AND THIS IS JUST THE FIRST NEST FOR THIS KIND OF IDEA BEING USED. IF THEY LOOK AT WHAT IS GOING ON WITH RHYTHM DRI, THAT IS KIND OF WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE HAPPEN HERE. THEY ARE USING NEW TECHNIQUES. BACK WHEN SUNNY HILLS WAS DESIGNED, IT WAS DIFFERENT TECHNIQUES, DIFFERENT TECHNOLOGY AND DIFFERENT TOOLS WERE UNAVAILABLE TO THEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MR. GRAY IF WFRPC WANTED SOMEONE FROM THE BOARD INVOLVED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL POINTED OUT MIKE DERUNTZ HAS A WATER COMMITTEE THAT COULD BE INVOLVED.

MR. HAGAN THOUGHT THE BOARD OUGHT TO KNOW SPECIFICALLY WHAT MR. GRAY IS ASKING; IT IS ONE THING TO SAY WFRPC IS WANTING TO START A CONVERSATION AND HE HAS TODAY. HE THOUGHT THE BOARD NEEDED TO KNOW IF THIS IS GOING TO BE A BUDGET CONSIDERATION.

MR. GRAY EXPLAINED IF ANYTHING BECOMES OF THIS, THEY WOULD HAVE TO BRING IT TO THE BOARD AND ASK TO BE ON THE AGENDA. RIGHT NOW, TO START THE CONVERSATION HE REALLY WANTED THE BOARD TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE CONVERSATIONS THAT ARE TAKING PLACE.

MR. HAGAN ASKED MR. GRAY IF HE WAS GOING TO GO AWAY AND SAY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY SAID I COULD COME AND TALK TO SOMEBODY ELSE. IF MR. GRAY IS GOING TO REPRESENT HIMSELF AS REPRESENTING THE BOARD, THE BOARD NEEDS TO BE AWARE AND IT NEEDS TO BE APPROVED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID MR. GRAY IS NOT REPRESENTING THE BOARD. MR. HAGAN ASKED WHAT IS MR. GRAY ASKING THE BOARD FOR; WHAT DOES IT TAKE TO START A CONVERSATION AND WHO IS HE GOING TO START A CONVERSATION WITH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID MR. GRAY HAS STARTED THE CONVERSATION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. GRAY WHO INFORMED HIM TO COME AND ADDRESS THE BOARD. MR. GRAY STATED MIKE DERUNTZ ASKED HIM TO COME.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED MR. GRAY WAS ONE OF THE STAFF MEMBERS OVER AT WFRPC. MR. GRAY EXPLAINED WFRPC CURRENTLY HAS A TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY AND ARE NOW WORKING WITH THE COUNTY TO BASICALLY BRING TWO DOCUMENTS IN THE PROPER MESH; THE LDC AND THE COMP PLAN WHICH THEY DID A FEW MONTHS AGO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID REALISTICALLY WHAT MR. GRAY IS ASKING OR INFORMING IS HE FEELS LIKE FROM THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, THEY HAVE SOME KIND OF SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM IN SOUTHEAST WASHING-

TON COUNTY.

MR. GRAY EXPLAINED RIGHT NOW HE IS THE DRI COORDINATOR FOR RHYTHM DRI THAT IS GOING ON RIGHT NOW THIS MONTH; HE IS WRAPPING IT UP AND THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT HANDLING A SELF CONTAINED SYSTEM AND THAT IS SOMETHING THE COUNTY MIGHT WANT TO IMPLEMENT IN THIS PLAN WHICH HASN'T BEEN DONE YET. HE THINKS THAT IS A PATTERN; THEY ARE LOOKING AT NEWER TECHNIQUES OF PLANNING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED WHAT HE IS HEARING MR. GRAY SAY IS "HEY BOARD, THIS IS WHAT WE ANTICIPATE COMING BACK TO YOU WITH MORE OF THIS CONCEPT OR IDEA. WE WANT TO TELL YOU THIS CONCEPT OR IDEA IS FLOATING AROUND; BUT, WE DON'T NEED ANY AFFIRMATIVE ACTION ON YOUR PART RIGHT NOW." HE ASKED MR. GRAY IF THAT IS WHAT HE IS SAYING.

MR. GRAY STATED "YES SIR." COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT. COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED MR. GRAY IS GOING TO START A DIALOGUE AND IT APPEARS TO HIM IT IS GOING TO BE A PRIVATE/PUBLIC PARTNERSHIP ALONG WITH RHYTHM.

MR. TOWN EXPLAINED WHAT MR. GRAY WAS TALKING ABOUT IS NOTHING NEW. THEY HAVE BEEN ENGAGED WITH DISCUSSIONS WITH BAY COUNTY PLANNING, JACKSON COUNTY, WASHINGTON COUNTY GOING ON FOR THREE YEARS. THEY HAVE HAD MEETINGS WITH JEFF MILLER, BRAD DRAKE, THE FELLOW THAT WAS IN BEFORE BRAD. THE CONCEPT IS TO CREATE EVENTUALLY, WAY DOWN THE ROAD, SOME SORT OF SPECIAL SEWER/WATER DISTRICT THAT WILL ENCOMPASS AN ARC FROM THE S/E WASHINGTON COUNTY THROUGH SUNNY HILLS OVER AND INCLUDING COMPASS LAKE BECAUSE THERE IS ANOTHER 6,000 VESTED SEPTIC TANKS OVER THERE. THIS HAS BEEN IN THE TALKING STAGE FOR THREE YEARS. SOME OF THE FOLKS LIKE SPRING RIDGE WOULD NOT BE ADVERSE TO THAT BECAUSE THEY HAVE GOT MARKETING FACTORS COMING AT THEM AS RHYTHM GETS UP AND OPERATING, THEY NOW HAVE A SEPTIC TANK COMMUNITY VERSUS A SEWER/WATER COMMUNITY. THEY ARE LOCKED IN TO THE EXISTING PLAT; THERE MIGHT BE SOME REMARKETING ADVANTAGES IF THEY COULD REPLAT THEIR 6,000 LOTS, COMBINE TWO LOTS IN ONE AND HAVE

CENTRAL SEWER AND WATER. WHAT MR. GRAY IS PROPOSING IS LETS FORMALIZE ALL THIS CONVERSATION THAT HAS BEEN GOING ON OUT THERE AND GET IT UNDER AN UMBRELLA AND GO GET SOME MONEY TO MAKE A FORMAL STUDY AND BEGIN THE PROCESS. IT MAY TAKE FIVE TO TEN YEARS TO EVEN GET AN ANSWER TO SAY THIS IS WHAT WE NEED TO DO. WE HAVE SPENT THREE YEARS TALKING ABOUT IT AND HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE MR. GRAY GET STARTED ON IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED FOR RIGHT NOW NOTHING BUT AN AFFIRMATIVE OF THE BOARD OTHER THAN WE ARE OPEN TO THIS DIALOGUE GOING FORWARD. MR. GRAY IS NOT ASKING THEM TO DO ANYTHING.

MR. GRAY SAID NOTHING AT ALL; WFRPC THOUGHT IT WOULD BE GOOD FOR THE BOARD TO BE INFORMED. CHAIRMAN PATE ADVISED MR. GRAY THAT MR. DERUNTZ WOULD BE IN CONTACT WITH WFRPC.

TOM FITZPATRICK ADDRESSED THE BOARD. COMMISSIONER HOWELL UPDATED THE BOARD ON TOM BEING A RESIDENT OF HIS DISTRICT AND HE HAS BEEN TRYING TO WORK ON SOMETHING WITH HIM AND THEY ARE STILL WORKING ON IT; IT IS THE PETTIS ROAD ISSUE. TOM'S POND BASICALLY GETS FILLED UP WITH CLAY EVERYTIME IT RAINS AND IT DIDN'T USE TO DO THAT. THE COUNTY WAS INVOLVED IN MAKING THE CHANGE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF HE AND DALLAS HADN'T MET WITH TOM ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO ABOUT THIS POND. TOM ADVISED THEY DID.

TOM PROVIDED THE BOARD A WRITTEN SUMMARY OF WHAT HAS BEEN GOING ON. HE EXPLAINED THE PROBLEM STARTED A LITTLE OVER A YEAR AGO. THERE IS A GUY ON PETTIS ROAD THAT WAS UPGRADING HIS PROPERTY, FENCING HIS PROPERTY, CLEARING IT OFF; THIS GUY'S PROPERTY HAPPENS TO BE ON THE DOWNHILL SIDE OF PETTIS ROAD. THE WAY THE WATER RUN OFF THE ROAD THERE CAUSED STORMWATER FROM THUNDERSTORMS AND EVERYTHING THAT USE TO SHEET OVER THIS GUY'S PROPERTY; HE STOPPED THAT BY HAVING SOMEBODY COME IN THERE AND PUT BUBBLEGUM DIRT AND BUILT A BERM UP THERE SO WATER WILL JUST RUN DOWN THE EDGE OF THE ROAD, WHICH IS FINE FOR HIS PROPERTY. HOWEVER, MR. FITZPATRICK SAID WHAT THIS DID WAS IT CAUSED ALL THE WATER TO CONCENTRATE IN ONE SPOT AND WHERE IT RAN OFF, IT RAN RIGHT OFF INTO THE SPRING THAT FED INTO HIS POND. IT WENT 300

TO 400 YARDS INTO THE WOODS AFTER IT LEFT THE ROAD BEFORE IT ENTERED HIS POND WHICH IN TURN GOES INTO REEDY CREEK. HE HAS TWO SPRINGS GOING INTO HIS POND WHICH IS ONE OF THE TRIBUTARIES FOR REEDY CREEK. EVERYTIME IT RAINS HEAVY, HIS POND TURNS TO MUSH AND IT TAKES ANYWHERE FROM THREE WEEKS TO A MONTH OF DRY WEATHER BEFORE IT CLEARS UP. MR. FITZPATRICK SAID HE CALLED THE COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT; DALLAS CAME DOWN AND MR. JOEL PATE CAME DOWN AND LOOKED AT IT. THEY WENT OVER TO PETTIS ROAD AND SEEN WHERE EVERYTHING WAS ORIGINATING FROM. THE COUNTY BROUGHT UP A TRUCKLOAD OF BUSTED UP CEMENT AND BRIDGES AND MADE A CIRCLE AROUND IT; THE PROBLEM WITH THAT WAS IT WASN'T BIG ENOUGH AND AS SOON AS IT RAINED, THE WATER WOULD RUN THROUGH THE CONCRETE AND WASH THE SAND OUT AND THAT JUST PUT MORE SAND INTO THE POND. THIS HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR OVER A YEAR NOW AND IT HAS WASHED OUT ANOTHER POND THAT WAS IN THE WOODS ON SOMEBODY ELSE'S PROPERTY AND IT IS WASHING A GULLY DOWN THROUGH THE WOODS AND IT IS BASICALLY FILLING HIS POND IN. HE ADDRESSED HIM AND MR. HOWELL HAS BEEN COMMUNICATING BACK AND FORTH SINCE AUGUST AND HAVE HAD THE ENGINEER DRAW UP A COUPLE OF PLANS AND JAMES GUY, WHO IS THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY, IS DISAPPROVING OF THIS PLAN. MR. FITZPATRICK SAID HE WANTED THE PROBLEM FIXED AND IT SEEMS LIKE WHATEVER PLAN IS BEING DRAWN UP, MR. GUY IS DISAPPROVING OF THEM. MR. FITZPATRICK POINTED OUT THIS IS A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD. ITS APPROPRIATE HE IS COMING UP BEHIND THIS OTHER GUY, ALLAN GRAY, WHO TALKED ABOUT FRESH WATER SPRINGS. ALL OF THIS STORM WATER IS GETTING DUMPED RIGHT INTO A FRESH WATER STREAM AND THE WAY IT IS COMING OFF OF PETTIS ROAD, IT IS ALL ENDING UP IN HIS POND.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID WHAT TOM IS SAYING IS RIGHT; THE COUNTY HELPED CREATE THIS MESS BY GOING DOWN THERE AND PUTTING MILLED ASPHALT ON PETTIS ROAD AND CHANNELING ALL THE WATER DOWN TO ONE POINT. NOW ALL THAT WATER IS LIKE A SHEET GOING ACROSS THAT FIFTEEN ACRES GOING DOWN THAT ONE PATH AND HITS THAT OTHER LITTLE POND AND IT HAS BLOWN OUT THAT DAMN IN THAT OTHER LITTLE POND ALREADY AND

GOES RIGHT ON THROUGH INTO MR. FITZPATRICK'S POND.

MR. FITZPATRICK EXPLAINED WHERE THAT OTHER LITTLE POND WAS THERE IS CHUNKS OF MILLED ASPHALT IN THERE AND ITS WASHED DOWN FROM WHERE THE COUNTY PUT IT IN ON PETTIS ROAD TO START WITH.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED JAMES GUY WHEN HE CLEARED THAT UP BUILT A LITTLE BERM ON THE SOUTH SIDE TOWARDS MR. FITZPATRICK TO BLOCK THE WATER OUT THERE AND GUY DON'T WANT TO CUT THE BERM DOWN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID MR. GUY DIDN'T WANT THE WATER SHEETING ACROSS HIS PROPERTY; SO, HE CHANNELED IT DOWN TO THE END. TO MAKE A LONG STORY SHORT, CLIFF, THE COUNTY ENGINEER, HAS DEVISED A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT PLANS AND IS IN THE PROCESS OF DEVISING A THIRD PLAN. BOTH TIMES, THEY WERE TO HAVE A RETENTION POND TO HOLD THE WATER AND POP IT OFF; THEY ARE GOING TO GET PROPERTY FROM ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS, WHICH MR. GUY IS INVOLVED WITH AS WELL; IT IS A LIFE ESTATE OR SOMETHING. BUT, MR. GUY DOESN'T WANT TO GIVE US THAT PROPERTY FOR THE POND; HOWEVER, HE HAS AGREED TO GIVE US AN AREA BEHIND HIS BARN TO WHERE THEY CAN BUILD A POND AND MAYBE HOLD SOME OF THAT WATER FOR A LITTLE WHILE. HE TOLD TOM HE HAD REITERATED TO HIM THE OTHER DAY, EVEN PUTTING IN THIS POND WILL HELP SOME; BUT, IT IS NOT GOING TO GET EVERYTHING TOTALLY OUT OF HIS POND BECAUSE THE WATER IS STILL GOING TO POP OFF OUT OF THE POND AND GO PROBABLY 400 YARDS FROM HIS POND. THERE IS STILL SOME SEDIMENT GOING TO COME OVER THAT 400 YARDS. HOWELL SAID THE COUNTY WILL PRETTY MUCH TRY AND STOP WHAT IS COMING OFF THE COUNTY ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE REITERATED BEFORE MR. GUY CLEARED UP, BUILT THE BERM UP AND THE COUNTY WENT DOWN AND HE IS ASSUMING PUT THE MILLED ASPHALT DOWN, MR. FITZPATRICK WASN'T HAVING THIS KIND OF PROBLEM. IT GOT SPREAD OUT OVER THE TREES, ETC.

MR. FITZPATRICK AGREED SAYING IT ALWAYS HAD A CHANCE TO PERCOLATE INTO THE SOIL BECAUSE IT IS ALL SAND UNTIL YOU GET DOWN 3' OR 4' AND THEN YOU HIT CLAY. HE SAID HE USE TO LIVE AT THE END OF PETTIS ROAD AND THERE WAS NEVER ANY PROBLEM WHATSOEVER. ALL OF A SUDDEN MR. GUY

DECIDED TO UPGRADE HIS PROPERTY AND HE IS PAYING THE PRICE FOR IT. HE HAS BEEN DEALING WITH MR. HOWELL SINCE LAST AUGUST ON THIS AND THESE PLANS HAVE BEEN BEING DRAWN UP AND MR. GUY KEEPS REFUSING THEM. HE ASKED HOW CAN ONE GUY TURN AROUND AND TELL THE COUNTY WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO AND NOT GOING TO DO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE HAD ALREADY EXPLAINED TO MR. FITZPATRICK THE COUNTY CAN'T PUT A POND IN ON PROPERTY THEY DON'T OWN WITHOUT THE OWNER'S PERMISSION. HE DIDN'T GIVE THE COUNTY PERMISSION TO MOVE THAT POND. THE COUNTY DOESN'T EVEN OWN THE PROPERTY THEY GRADE DOWN THERE; THEY PROBABLY CAN CLAIM IT BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN GRADING IT SO LONG. THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE A DEED TO THE PROPERTY THE ROAD IS ON; BUT, THEY CLAIM IT AND THEY MAINTAIN IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID MR. FITZPATRICK HAS BEEN REAL PATIENT THROUGH THIS. HE ADDRESSED IF HIS POND WAS GETTING FILLED UP WITH CLAY EVERYTIME IT RAINED, HE WOULD BE UPSET TOO. HOWELL EXPLAINED MR. FITZPATRICK IS HERE TODAY BECAUSE OF HIS SITUATION; HE IS WORRIED THE COUNTY ISN'T GOING TO DO THIS PROJECT AFTER HE LEAVES OFFICE.

MR. FITZPATRICK AGREED HE SEEN IN THE PAPER WHERE COMMISSIONER HOWELL WAS RESIGNING. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE ASKED FITZPATRICK TO COME SPEAK TODAY AND GET ASSURANCES FROM THE BOARD HIS PROJECT WAS GOING TO GET SOME ATTENTION AND GET DONE EVEN AFTER HE IS GONE.

MR. FITZPATRICK SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO GET IT DONE THE BEST WAY POSSIBLE WHERE HE CAN GET SOME BENEFIT OUT OF IT. RIGHT NOW HE IS ON THE END OF THE SEWER LINE AND HE DOESN'T LIKE THAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CLIFF HOW FAR THE COUNTY CAN GO LEGALLY AND EVERYBODY IS IN AGREEMENT WITH BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE SOME RIGHT-OF-WAYS OR EASEMENTS SIGNED BY SOMEBODY TO GET TO WHERE WE ARE GOING; TO PUT EQUIPMENT ON IS WHAT HE IS SAYING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT MR. GUY IS IN AGREEMENT TO DO THAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF CLIFF SUPPOSEDLY HAS A PLAN FOR THAT.

CLIFF ADDRESSED HE THOUGHT SOUTHEASTERN SURVEYORS IS SUBMITTING A PROPOSAL TO MR. HAGAN TO DO THE ADDITIONAL SURVEYING; ONCE THAT

PROPOSAL GETS APPROVED, THEN HE WILL DESIGN SOMETHING TO FIT IN THAT AREA MR. GUY HAS TOLD MR. HOWELL THEY CAN USE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE IS ASSUMING THAT IS THE ROUTE THEY HAVE TO GO TO.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING DESIGNED THIS PROJECT TWICE. THE FIRST TIME HE HAD A SWALE SYSTEM THAT WENT TO A POND THAT POPPED OFF ACROSS THE STREET WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN A GREAT WAY TO DO IT. THE SECOND DESIGN WAS JUST TO HAVE A POND WITH SOME INLETS IN THE ROADWAY, WHICH IS NOWHERE NEAR AS AFFECTIVE; BUT, IT WOULD STILL HAVE BEEN BETTER THAN WHAT THEY HAVE. THIS IS THE THIRD TRY. MR. GUY HAS BEEN VERY CLEAR SINCE HE MET WITH HIM THAT HE IS NOT SIGNING OFF ON ANYTHING UNLESS AN ENGINEER PREPARES A SET OF PLANS FOR HIM TO REVIEW AND APPROVE. CLIFF SAID THEY HAVE DONE THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED THE COUNTY CAN'T DO ANYTHING THERE WITHIN THE COUNTY'S RIGHT-OF-WAY TO HELP MR. FITZPATRICK'S SITUATION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK IT SOUNDED LIKE, FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT, THE COUNTY IS GOING TO HAVE TO GET SOME SORT OF PERMISSION TO GET ON SOMEBODY ELSE'S PRIVATE PROPERTY TO GET THIS SOLVED. FROM WHAT HE IS HEARING, THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO IT ON ANYTHING THEY ARE SUBJECT TO RIGHT NOW OR HAVE THE RIGHT TO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED HIS LAST MEETING WITH MR. GUY HE AGREED TO LET THE COUNTY PUT A POND BEHIND HIS BARN AT THE END OF THE ROAD.

MR. FITZPATRICK SAID MR. GUY HASN'T AGREED TO WHAT SIZE AND IF THAT SIZE IS GOING TO BE BIG ENOUGH.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID CLIFF WOULD HAVE TO DECIDE WHAT SIZE THE POND IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE. CLIFF SAID THAT POND ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE ROAD WAS 60' X 135'; SO, IT IS GOING TO TAKE A SIMILAR SIZE POND ON THIS SIDE. THE ONLY THING THEY DON'T REALLY KNOW IS WHERE MR. GUY'S PROPERTY LINE IS AND THAT IS ONE OF THE THINGS THE SURVEYORS IS SUPPOSE TO HAVE INCLUDED IN THEIR PROPOSAL TO MR.

HAGAN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED SOMETHING THAT FURTHER COMPLICATES THIS IS THERE IS GOING TO BE ANOTHER PROPERTY OWNER INVOLVED AND THE COUNTY WILL HAVE TO GET AN EASEMENT FROM HIM AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED WHO OWNED THE PROPERTY WHERE THE GATE IS AT. MR. FITZPATRICK SAID HE THOUGHT A RELATIVE OF T. D. PETTIS'S OWNED IT AND WHERE THE WATER RUNS, DOUG HALL OWNS IT. WHERE COMMISSIONER HOWELL WAS STANDING ON THE DAMN THAT HAD WASHED OUT, THAT IS DOUG HALL'S PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF THE COUNTY CAN GET THE RIGHT-OF-WAY, THEY WON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH CORRECTING THE MATTER.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REITERATED MR. FITZPATRICK IS HERE TODAY TO GET ASSURANCE FROM THE BOARD THIS IS GOING TO GET DONE EVEN THOUGH HE IS NOT GOING TO BE AROUND.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD MR. FITZPATRICK IF MR. GUY HAS AGREED TO TO GIVE THE COUNTY THE RIGHT-OF-WAY, AS QUICK AS THEY GET THAT SQUARED AWAY, THAT WILL BE DESIGNED.

MR. HAGAN SAID THE BOARD HAD ASKED HIM TO WAIT ON THE SURVEY UNTIL THEY MET; HE IS GUESSING HE IS NOW AUTHORIZED TO AUTHORIZE THE SURVEY. MR. PATE SAID AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED, MR. BARFIELD AND MR. HAGAN IS HANDLING THAT MONEY.

COUNTY ENGINEER REPORT:

1. CLIFF CLARIFIED WHAT MS. THARP HAD SAID ABOUT THE MSBU AND THE MONEY SPENT AT SUNNY HILLS. THE \$540,000 A MILE SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS AN EXTREME EXAGGERATION OF THE ACTUAL COST. THEY BUILD NEW ROADS FOR ABOUT \$325,000 TO \$330,000 A MILE. RECONSTRUCTION COST IS ABOUT \$120,000 TO \$130,000 A MILE FOR RESURFACING. TO GIVE THE BOARD AN IDEA, THEY PAID \$39 A TON FOR THE ASPHALT THAT WAS PUT DOWN IN SUNNY HILLS SEVEN YEARS AGO. THAT SAME ASPHALT COST \$80 A TON NOW; SO AT \$39 A TON, THEY WERE RESURFACING FOR \$40,000 A MILE AND IT IS THREE TIMES THAT NOW. THEY GOT PRETTY GOOD BANG FOR THEIR BUCKS WHEN THAT DID HAPPEN. EVEN THOUGH THEY TOOK OUT A LOAN, ETC; THE VALUE

THEY GOT FOR WHAT WAS ACTUALLY ACCOMPLISHED IS REAL GOOD. ABOUT \$130,000 A MILE IS A GOOD NUMBER TO LOOK AT FOR STRAIGHT RESURFACING.

2. EQUESTRIAN CENTER CONTRACT-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM BRINGING THE 814 CONTRACT ON THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER BACK BEFORE THEM ON THURSDAY FOR AUTHORIZATION. IT WAS GIVEN A CONTINGENT AWARD ALMOST A YEAR AGO. THEY HAVE GONE BACK TO THE SAME CONTRACTOR, HAD HIM LOOK AT IT, VERIFY HIS NUMBERS, ETC.; THURSDAY HE WILL BE PRESENTING THIS TO THE BOARD AGAIN SO DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW'S PAPER TRAIL IS VERY CLEAN AND CLEAR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF LAST MONTH THE BOARD HADN'T AGREED TO REBID THE WHOLE THING AND NOW WE ARE GOING BACK TO THE LOW BIDDER.

CLIFF ADDRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS NOT TO REBID THE WHOLE THING; HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THEY WERE GOING TO REBID THE RAIL SPUR AND THE EARTHWORK PROJECT. HE HAD NO UNDERSTANDING THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER WAS GOING TO BE REBID. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID THAT IS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT APOLOGIZED AS HE HAD MISUNDERSTOOD; HE THOUGHT THEY COULD GET IT DONE A LOT CHEAPER. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW STATED THAT WAS NOT THIS PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY MIGHT COULD GET IT DONE A LOT CHEAPER; HE DON'T KNOW. CLIFF SAID THE PRICES TURNED IN WHEN THIS PROJECT WAS ORIGINALLY BID WERE EXTREMELY CHEAP AND HE WOULD BE VERY SURPRISED IF THE COUNTY WAS ABLE TO GET IT ANY CHEAPER AS FAR AS THE CONTRACTOR GOES. WE ARE IN A POSITION NOW WE THINK WE CAN AWARD IT TO THIS CONTRACTOR TO DO IT FOR THE AMOUNT FEMA HAS AWARDED FOR THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER PROJECTS. THE BID WAS RECEIVED EIGHT OR NINE MONTHS AGO AND THE CONTRACTOR HAS AGREED TO DO THE PROJECT FOR THE SAME PRICE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THE CONTRACTOR WAS GOING TO DO THE SAME WORK FOR THE SAME PRICE. CLIFF EXPLAINED NOT THE SAME WORK; THE POND HAS FILLED IN 5' DEEPER IN SAND THAN WHEN 814 SAND

BID IT A YEAR AGO. THERE IS A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MORE WORK TO DO NOW THAN WHEN THEY BID IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REFERRED TO THE CONTRACTOR BEING ABLE TO USE THE SAND ELSEWHERE ON THE PLACE HE DIGS OUT OF THE POND. CLIFF AGREED THE SAND WOULD BE USED ELSEWHERE; BUT, THERE IS A LOT MORE WORK TO BE DONE NOW THAN WHEN 814 SAND BID IT EIGHT MONTHS AGO.

CLIFF SAID HE WOULD BE BRINGING A NOTICE OF AWARD AND AGREEMENT ON THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER PROJECT ON THURSDAY. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THIS COULD BE PUT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO PUT THIS ITEM ON THURSDAY'S CONSENT AGENDA.

3. BAHOMA ROAD PROJECT-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD THEY WERE ABLE TO GET A VERBAL APPROVAL FROM MR. KNAPP AT THE END OF BAHOMA ROAD; THIS IS THE LAST EASEMENT THEY NEEDED FOR THE DRAINAGE STRUCTURE TO GO IN. THEY ARE PREPARING A LEGAL DESCRIPTION RIGHT NOW; AS SOON AS THEY HAVE IT READY, THEY WILL SEND IT TO ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO PUT THE EASEMENT LANGUAGE IN AND HE IS GOING TO SIGN OFF ON THAT.

4. PROJECT PIPE-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD, AT THEIR DIRECTION, THEY HAD READVERTISED THE EARTHWORK CONTRACT ON THE RAILSPUR AND ALSO THE TRACK CONSTRUCTION. THERE IS A COUPLE OF LITTLE UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES HE WANTS TO MAKE THE BOARD AWARE OF. ONE IS HE, ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND TED EVERETT HAD MADE A TRIP TO COLUMBUS, GEORGIA TO VISIT WITH MR. FOLEY. MR. FOLEY WAS VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE TIME FRAME ON THE PROJECT AND ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING THE COUNTY COULD DO TO TRY AND SPEED UP THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION ON THE PROJECT. CLIFF SAID THEY PUT OUT AN ADDENDUM THAT WOULD ALLOW THE CONTRACTOR TO FILL OUT THE NUMBER OF DAYS IT WOULD TAKE THEM TO COMPLETE THE CONSTRUCTION. IN OTHER WORDS, WHEN THEY PUT IT OUT INITIALLY, THEY HAD 150 CONTRACT DAYS AND EVERYBODY WAS BIDDING ON THE SAME 150 CONTRACT DAYS. THEY PUT OUT AN ADDENDUM TO ADD A PLACE FOR THE CONTRACTORS TO TELL US HOW MANY DAYS THEY COULD MAKE IT TO SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION. ON THE BID TABULATION PROVIDED, CLIFF SAID ANDERSON COLUMBIA BID

\$673,301 AND 105 DAYS. GAC CONTRACTORS BID \$701,225 FOR 140 DAYS. C. W. ROBERTS BID \$833,100 FOR 150 DAYS. THERE WAS ONE OTHER ALTERNATE IN THE BID PACKAGE WHICH WAS TO USE THE COUNTY'S FILL MATERIAL FROM HERO PIT INSTEAD OF THE CONTRACTOR HAULING THEIR OWN FILL TO THE PROJECT. ANDERSON COLUMBIA WAS ALREADY PLANNING ON USING THEIR OWN FILL; SO, THEIR NUMBER DOESN'T INCLUDE USING THE COUNTY PIT. GAC GAVE A DISCOUNT OF \$33,709 FOR USING THE COUNTY'S PIT AND C. W. ROBERT'S HAD NO DISCOUNT FOR USING THE COUNTY'S PIT. SO BASICALLY THE WAY IT ALL WORKS OUT IS IF THE BOARD ELECTED TO AWARD ALTERNATE 1 TO GAC CONTRACTORS, THEY WOULD TAKE ALL THE FILL FROM THE COUNTY'S PIT AND WOULD TAKE 35 DAYS LONGER THAN ANDERSON COLUMBIA; BUT, THERE WOULD BE A SAVINGS OF ABOUT \$5,000. IN HIS OPINION, CLIFF SAID THE VALUE OF THAT 40 DAYS IS MUCH GREATER THAN THE \$5,000. HIS INTENT IS TO RECOMMEND AWARDED THE CONTRACT TO ANDERSON COLUMBIA ON THURSDAY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THIS WAS UNDER BUDGET. CLIFF ADDRESSED BUDGET IS A VERY IMPORTANT TOPIC OF DISCUSSION ON THIS PROJECT. INITIALLY THEY WERE GOING TO TAKE BIDS ON THE TRACK CONSTRUCTION AND EARTHWORK AT THE SAME TIME; BUT, THE BIG ISSUE WITH ALL THE TRACK CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTORS IS WHAT DAY DO WE START WORK AND HOW LONG DO THEY HAVE TO HOLD THEIR BID BECAUSE RIGHT NOW THEY ARE HAVING A HARD TIME GETTING QUOTES FOR STUFF LIKE CREOSOTE COATED RAILROAD TIES OR THE STEEL FOR THE TRACKS, ETC. CLIFF SAID WHAT HE DID WAS WAIT UNTIL THE BOARD HAD THESE BIDS BEFORE HE WAS IN A POSITION TO TELL THE CONTRACTORS HOW LONG IT WOULD BE BEFORE THEY COULD GET STARTED. NOW HE KNOWS THE NUMBER OF DAYS HE THINKS THEY ARE GOING TO END UP AWARDED. IN A PERFECT WORLD, THE BOARD WOULD HAVE THEIR \$2.7 MILLION SITTING IN A COOKIE JAR, AWARD THIS EARTHWORK CONTRACT AND THEN IN 105 DAYS BE READY TO START THE RAIL CONSTRUCTION GUYS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THIS WAS THE EARTHWORK FOR THE ENTIRE SIDETRACK EXCEPT FOR WHAT CSX IS BUILDING. CLIFF ADVISED THAT

IS CORRECT; IT ALSO INCLUDES A DOUBLE 6' X 6' BOX CULVERT, ALL THE DRAINAGE, SHAPING. THE EARTHWORK CONTRACT HANDLES EVERYTHING TO THE SUB BALAST, WHICH IS THE ROCK UNDER THE BALAST. THE SITUATION THEY HAVE NOW IS, AND HE TOLD THE BOARD HE WAS TAKING BIDS ON THURSDAY AT 3:00 P.M. ON THE TRACK CONSTRUCTION AND WILL HAVE THEM BEFORE THE COMMISSION MEETING TWO HOURS LATER, IF THEY WERE ABLE TO AWARD THE TRACK CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT, THOSE GUYS COULD GO AHEAD AND ORDER ALL THEIR MATERIALS. THEY COULD ORDER THE CREOSOTE TIES, STEEL, AND PLACE ALL THEIR ORDERS THAT COULD GO SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER IF THE BOARD MAKES THEM WAIT. HE NEEDS DIRECTION FROM THE BOARD IF THEY WANT HIM TO SET IT UP SO THE TRACK CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR HAS TO WAIT UNTIL THIS 105 DAYS IS UP BEFORE THEY GET A NOTICE OF AWARD AND SECURE THE MATERIALS OR WOULD THEY PREFER HE SET IT UP SO ONCE THEIR CONTRACT IS AWARDED, THEY CAN BUY THEIR MATERIALS SO THE BOARD WON'T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT THEM BIDDING HUGE NUMBERS TO PROTECT THEMSELVES.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHAT THE STARTING DATE WAS FOR ANDERSON COLUMBIA IF THEY ARE AWARDED THIS. CLIFF SAID THEY WOULD AWARD IT AT THURSDAY'S COMMISSION MEETING AND HE CAN HAVE THE NOTICE OF AWARD AT THE COMMISSION MEETING; BUT, TYPICALLY THEY ARE LOOKING AT 20 DAYS BEFORE THE CONTRACTOR WOULD BE ON THE GROUND RUNNING.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED CLIFF IF HE COULD WORK OUT SOMETHING THAT WILL MAKE THIS THING, ONCE ANDERSON COLUMBIA MOVES OUT, THE OTHER CONTRACTOR WILL HAVE 20 TO 30 DAYS TO BE OUT THERE LAYING THE TRACK AND ALL. CLIFF SAID SO IN OTHER WORDS TO MAKE SURE THERE WOULD BE NO GAP AT ALL BETWEEN THE TIME THE EARTHWORK IS DONE AND THE TRACK GOT STARTED. CLIFF SAID HE THOUGHT THERE WAS SOMETHING HE COULD DO TO HELP MAKE SURE THAT HAPPENS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF IN A 105 DAYS, THEY HAVE ALREADY EXECUTED THE CONTRACT AND ARE READY TO START.

CLIFF SAID THAT IS WHAT HE WOULD LIKE TO DO; HE WOULD LIKE TO GO AHEAD AND GIVE THEM A NOTICE OF AWARD AND REALLY A NOTICE TO PROCEED THAT HOLDS THEM TO UNTIL THEY ARE WITHIN TWO WEEKS OF

COMPLETION OF THE EARTHWORK; AND AT THAT TIME, ISSUE A NOTICE TO PROCEED IS THE WAY HE WOULD LIKE TO HANDLE IT.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THE OTHER ISSUE THAT WAS A LITTLE STICKY WITH THIS WHOLE THING IS AT WHAT POINT IS FL-DCA GOING TO GIVE US MONEY TO START WITH THIS EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION AND IS THIS SOMETHING THE COUNTY WOULD CONSIDER FUNDING UNTIL FL-DCA RELEASES THEIR CHECKBOOK.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT THIS IS WHAT THE COUNTY BORROWED THE \$700,000 FOR. CLIFF EXPLAINED THAT WAS FOR THE SIDETRACK AGREEMENTS WITH CSX; THAT IS COMPLETELY UNRELATED. THE ISSUE HE DOESN'T WANT TO GET THE COUNTY INTO IS WHERE THEY HAVE AWARDED ANDERSON COLUMBIA THE CONTRACT FOR THE EARTHWORK AND TURN IN THEIR FIRST MONTHLY PAY REQUEST AND HAVE NO MONEY TO PAY THEM OR THE TRACK CONTRACTOR HAS BEEN AWARDED HIS CONTRACT, HE ORDERS A HALF MILLION DOLLARS WORTH OF RAILROAD TIES AND THEY HAVE NO WAY TO PAY THEM EITHER. THE DOLLARS IS A BIG, BIG DEAL. HE TALKED WITH TED ABOUT THIS BRIEFLY AND FEELS THE HOLDUP RIGHT NOW IS FL-DCA IS WAITING ON VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION. FOLEY PIPE, THE VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION LOOKS LIKE IT WILL INCLUDE THE PIT AND IT LOOKS LIKE IF FOLEY WAS UNDER CONSTRUCTION OF THEIR PIT, FL-DCA WOULD RELEASE ALL THE COUNTY'S FUNDS IS HIS UNDERSTANDING RIGHT NOW.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE CORRESPONDENCE THEY HAVE HAD WITH OTTED IS THAT ONCE PHASE I IS SUBSTANTIALLY UNDERWAY WITH THE DIGGING OF THE PIT, THERE WILL BE A PARTIAL RELEASE OF FUNDS. HE THOUGHT THAT NUMBER WAS \$1.3 MILLION AND THEN UPON WHAT IS STATUTORILLY CALLED VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION, THE REMAINING FUNDS WILL BE RELEASED. THE IDEA BEING IN A GENERAL CASE, THEY DON'T RELEASE THESE FUNDS UNTIL VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION; BUT, BY NATURE OF THE PROJECT, WE HAVE GOT TO DIG DOWN BEFORE WE START VERTICAL CONSTRUCTION. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THROUGH A PROCESS OF CONFERENCE CALLS, THEY CONVINCED FL-DCA OF THAT AND ARE IN THE PROCESS OF CREATING AN AGREEMENT WITH OTTED. THAT IS PART OF THE REASON THEY WENT TO GEORGIA LAST WEEK TO MAKE SURE EVERYBODY WAS ON BOARD; BUT,

OTTED SEEMS TO BE ON BOARD WITH THAT PROCESS. HE THINKS THE QUESTION CLIFF IS ASKING IS THERE IS GOING TO BE SOME SORT OF GAP BETWEEN THE EARTHWORK/RAIL AND WHEN THAT FIRST BATCH OF MONEY IS GOING TO BE COMING DOWN THE PIPE FROM OTTED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF OTTED IS GOING TO RELEASE \$1.4 MILLION, THIS BID IS \$673,000 AND THEY HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THE RAIL IS GOING TO BE; THE COUNTY IS ON THE HOOK FOR \$700,000 WITH THE BANK ALREADY FOR THE CSX PORTION OF IT. THAT IS \$1.4 MILLION RIGHT THERE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED HE THOUGHT THIS WAS AN IMPORTANT ENOUGH CONVERSATION IF TED CAN BE HERE THURSDAY, HIS INPUT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE BOARD TO MAKE THE BEST DECISION FOR THE BOARD. HE DON'T WANT THE COUNTY TO GET TOO FAR OVER OUR FEES WITHOUT TED TALKING ABOUT IT EITHER. HE THINKS IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE COUNTY TO TABLE SOME OF THE DISCUSSION UNTIL THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING IF THAT IS OKAY WITH CLIFF.

CLIFF SAID THE ONE THING THAT KIND OF STRUCK HIM WHEN THEY BID OUT THESE PROJECTS THE FIRST TIME WAS THE RAIL CONTRACTORS LOOKED AT HIM LIKE HE WAS CRAZY WHEN HE TOLD THEM THEY WOULD HAVE TO HOLD THEIR BIDS FOR 185 DAYS. THAT IS WHAT THEY WERE MADE TO DO THE FIRST TIME. THE CONTRACTORS ALL TOLD HIM THERE WAS NO PROBLEM; THEY WOULD HOLD THEIR BIDS FOR 185 DAYS BUT JUST KNOW THEY ARE PUTTING A BUNCH OF MONIES IN THE BID TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE THEMSELVES COVERED. AND OF COURSE WHEN THE COUNTY WENT THROUGH THIS PROCESS AGAIN, THE FIRST QUESTION WAS HOW MANY DAYS ARE WE HOLDING OUR PRICE THIS TIME. IF YOU GIVE US AN AWARD SO WE CAN GO AHEAD AND BUY OUR MATERIAL, OUR PRICE WILL BE SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER. CLIFF EXPLAINED HE WOULD LIKE TO FIND A WAY THE COUNTY COULD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THAT; BUT, REALLY IT DEPENDS ON FINANCING TO MAKE THAT HAPPEN.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THEY HAVE A DATE WHEN FOLEY IS GOING TO START THAT PIT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED THEY WERE SUPPOSE TO BE GETTING THAT DATE THIS WEEK; HE WAS ON A CONFERENCE CALL WITH DANIEL

NUNN ON FRIDAY AND HE REASSURED HIM THERE WERE TWO ITEMS THEY WERE GETTING FOR US FOR OTTED. ONE IS SOMETHING FROM AN ENGINEER TALKING ABOUT THE PROCESS THEY HAVE TO BUILDIT AND THE SECOND THING IS A TIMELINE.

MR. TODD BARFIELD ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING ONE THING THEY COULD PROBABLY LOOK AT DOING IS IN OUR CONTRACT MAKE THE PEOPLE WHO WOULD BE BUILDING THE SPUR AND THE ACTUAL RAIL ITSELF FINANCE THAT MATERIAL AND STUFF BY THE PROJECT SO THAT WE DID HAVE THE FUNDS. WE HAVE THE FUNDS HERE TO PAY THEM RATHER THAN US HAVING TO FOOT THE BILL FOR THE MATERIALS UPFRONT. HE ASKED IF THAT WAS DOABLE. THAT WOULD PROBABLY INCREASE THE PRICE OF THE CONTRACT A LITTLE BIT; BUT, IT WOULD AFFORD US THE TIME TO GET THE FUNDING IN.

CLIFF SAID THE MAIN ISSUE ALL THE CONTRACTORS HAD FOR INSTANCE WAS THEY ALL AGREED THE MOST THE RAILROAD TIE SUPPLIER WOULD HOLD HIS PRICE WAS 30 DAYS; APPARENTLY HE SUPPLIES THESE TIES TO EVERYBODY THAT WAS HERE. THEIR CONCERN IS IF THE SUPPLIER FOR ONE OF THE LARGEST COST ITEMS ON THE JOB WILL ONLY HOLD IT FOR 30 DAYS AND THEY NEED IT FOR 130 DAYS, THEN HOW DO THEY GUESS WHAT THE SUPPLIER'S PRICE IS GOING TO BE AT THE END OF THAT TIMEFRAME. THAT IS KIND OF A TOUGH SITUATION.

MR. HAGAN TOLD THE BOARD HE THOUGHT WHAT CLIFF WAS ASKING IS WE CAN MAKE THE AWARD, THEY GO AND BUY, THE CONTRACTOR IS GOING TO SUBMIT A BILL TO THE COUNTY AND THEY WANT THE COUNTY TO PAY IT. DO WE HAVE MONEY ON HAND TO PAY THAT. MR. HAGAN SAID ON ANY GIVEN DAY THE ANSWER TO THAT MIGHT BE YES AND ON ANY OTHER GIVEN DAY IF THEY JUST MADE PAYROLL, ETC., THE ANSWER MIGHT BE NO. THE COUNTY WILL THEN BE HOLDING SOMEBODY'S INVOICE AND THEY WILL WANT TO BE GETTING PAID BECAUSE THEY HAVE ALREADY EXPENDED THE MONEY.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED WITH THAT. HIS TAKE ON LAST WEEK, FL-DCA IS NOT GOING TO BE THERE EXCEPT JUST A SMALL GROUP; SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE, THE BOARD NEEDS TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON THERE AND WHEN THEY CAN GET THE FUNDS FROM OTTED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THE NEED TO HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH THE GOVERNOR; HE HAS BEEN SAYING THAT FOR OVER TWO MONTHS NOW AND IT HASN'T HAPPENED.

CLIFF SAID THE ONLY OTHER WAY THE BOARD COULD AVOID THIS SITUATION IS IF THEY HELD OFF ON TAKING BIDS ON THE TRACK CONSTRUCTION UNTIL THEY ARE ALMOST DONE WITH THE EARTHWORK; THEN, THEY HAVE THE PROBLEM, WHAT IF THAT COMES IN SIGNIFICANTLY OVER BUDGET FOR THE GRANT. NOW THEY HAVE ALL THE EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTED AND THEY DON'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO BUILD THE TRACKS, THEN WHAT DO THEY DO. THAT IS WHY THEY KIND OF WANTED TO HAVE THE EARTHWORK AND TRACK BIDS AT THE SAME TIME SO THEY CAN CHECK THE BUDGET TO MAKE SURE THIS GRANT IS GOING TO PAY FOR EVERYTHING. HOWEVER, IF THEY WAITED UNTIL THE EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION WAS ALMOST DONE, THEY WOULD PROBABLY GET A BETTER PRICE ON IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE BOARD COULD CERTAINLY TAKE THE BIDS; THEY DON'T HAVE TO AWARD THEM. CLIFF SAID THE BOARD NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO TELL THE CONTRACTOR HOW LONG THEY ARE GOING TO BE HOLDING THEIR BID.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THEM HAVING 105 DAYS FROM THIS BID AND THEY START SHORTLY AFTER THAT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT WHAT CLIFF WAS SAYING IS WE CAN DO THAT; BUT, THE CONTRACTORS ARE GOING TO BUFFER THEIR PRICE UP BECAUSE OF THE UNCERTAINTIES IN THE MARKET. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY ARE GOING TO BUFFER THEIR PRICES REGARDLESS. AS FAR AS PAYING THE CONTRACTOR, YOU ARE ONLY GOING TO PAY THEM ON DRAWS; YOU ARE GOING TO PAY THEM SO MUCH FOR SO MUCH PERCENT COMPLETION. THEY ARE NOT GOING TO PAY THE CONTRACTOR WHEN HE ORDERS 5000 CROSS TIES.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT IS FINE IF THE BOARD ANTICIPATES THEY ARE GOING TO DO THAT ANYWAY AND THE BOARD IS WILLING TO RUN THAT RISK. ALL CLIFF IS ASKING FOR IS THIS IS WHAT I AM DEALING WITH AND IF THE BOARD IS WILLING TO DEAL WITH THAT RISK, THAT IS FINE AND WE WILL GO AHEAD AND DO IT THAT WAY AND ASSUME THEY ARE GOING TO DO IT ANYWAY.

CLIFF AGREED TO MAKE A SAFE CONSUMPTION OF HOW LONG IT IS GOING TO BE BETWEEN THURSDAY'S MEETING AND WHEN THAT CONTRACTOR STARTS; HE WILL ASSUME THE CONTRACTOR WILL FINISH IN THAT 105 DAYS AND THEN HE WILL HAVE THAT DATE LINED UP FOR THE ESTIMATED DATE FOR THEIR NOTICE TO PROCEED SO THEY CAN ORDER THEIR MATERIALS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED CLIFF TO HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH HIM AFTER THIS MEETING TO SEE IF THEY CAN'T GET THE MONIES MOVING.

CLIFF SAID HE THOUGHT THEY WERE HEADING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION; HE JUST WANTED THE BOARD TO KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPRESSED HE WOULD BE SCARED TO DEATH TO GET OUT AND STRETCH OUT ANY FURTHER ON THIS PROJECT; THEY ARE ALREADY \$700,000 GRAND ON THIS PROJECT AND THAT IS A LOT OF MONEY.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE BIGGEST THING IS TO MAKE SURE THIS BOARD IS FULLY INFORMED ON EXACTLY WHAT THE SITUATION IS AND THAT IS WHAT HE IS TRYING TO DO.

5. CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE NEED TO PICK OUT TWO SCOP ROADS FOR FL-DOT; THE ROAD NAMES FOR SCOP ARE DUE BY MAY 1ST.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID LUCAS LAKE ROAD; COMMISSIONER PATE SAID CARR ROAD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND ABBOTT FELT THEY SHOULD GO BY THE MATRIX.

CLIFF ADVISED BUCKHORN WAS AT THE TOP OF THE LIST ON THE MATRIX; COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID LETS DO IT. IN THE PAST, THE BOARD HAS APPLIED FOR WILDERNESS ROAD, LUCAS LAKE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WOULDN'T THEY GOING TO GO BY THE MATRIX.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE RHYTHM PROJECT AND ASKED IF IT WOULDN'T COMING THROUGH BUCKHORN.

CLIFF SAID RHYTHM IS CURRENTLY PROPOSING NO IMPROVEMENTS TO BUCKHORN AT THEIR 1ST PHASE, WHICH IS 1880 UNITS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THOUGHT THEY OUGHT TO CHANGE THAT AND HAVE RHYTHM PUT UP THE \$200,000 FOR THE MATCH. THEY NEED TO GET WITH RHYTHM DRI AND SEE IF WE CAN GET THEM TO APPROVE THAT.

CLIFF SAID IF THAT ROAD QUALIFIED FOR A CDBG, THE COUNTY'S MATCH WOULD BE \$200,000. IF RHYTHM DRI COMMITTED TO ALL THE MATCH MONEY, THE COUNTY COULD POTENTIALLY GET ALL OF BUCKHORN PAVED AT NO COST. HE ADDRESSED 3.1 MILES OF BUCKHORN WOULD GET THEM TO THE BOUNDARY OF THE RHYTHM DRI PROPERTY LINE AND THE PAVING ON QUAIL HOLLOW TO THE EAST. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY WOULD STILL END UP WITH A DIRT ROAD FROM QUAIL HOLLOW BACK UP TO WASHINGTON BOULEVARD. CLIFF AGREED; BUT, ADDRESSED IT BEING 90' WIDE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED THE BOARD TO BEAR IN MIND, WHEN THEY ARE PAVING ROADS, THEY HAVE ROADS THAT NEED TO BE RESURFACED AND THE SCOP MONEY CAN BE USED FOR THAT AS WELL. HE ADDRESSED WILDERNESS ROAD, ST. MARYS ROAD SAYING THERE ARE A LOT OF ROADS THAT NEED TO BE RESURFACED. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED SHAKEY JOE ROAD AT HINSON CROSSROADS IS IN BAD SHAPE.

CLIFF EXPLAINED HE THOUGHT WHAT COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WAS MENTIONING WOULD HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH SCOP.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL UNDERSTOOD THAT; BUT, HE WAS JUST MOVING THE CONVERSATION ALONG THEY COULD USE THE SCOP MONEY TO RESURFACE ROADS AND THEY HAVE ROADS THAT NEED TO BE RESURFACED. THEY CAN STILL GRADE THE DIRT ROADS; BUT, THE POTHOLES AND THE PAVEMENT THAT IS FALLING APART LIKE ON SHEFFIELD ROAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED WILDERNESS ROAD BEING A LONG ROAD. CLIFF AGREED WILDERNESS ROAD IS ONE THAT IS REAL NARROW THAT NEEDS RESURFACING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THEY HAVE MET WITH THE PEOPLE ON LUCAS LAKE ROAD AND TO A CERTAIN POINT HAVE LIED TO THEM. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS THE ONLY ONE THAT HASN'T WENT AND MET WITH THESE PEOPLE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED LUCAS LAKE ROAD BEING THREE MILES IN ONE WAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY HAS A ROAD MATRIX FOR RESURFACING. CLIFF SAID THEY DO; BUT, IT IS NOT AS PHENOMINAL AS THEIR DIRT ROAD MATRIX.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE BOARD IS ALL RUNNING OFF THE SAME SHEET OF PAPER AND NOT WHAT OUR PARTICULAR DISTRICTS ARE LOOKING FOR. IF THE COUNTY HAS A REPAVING MATRIX, THE BOARD WOULD LOVE TO SEE IT. WE CAN TALK ABOUT OUR DISTRICTS ALL DAY LONG AND OUR PREFERENCES; BUT, HE WANTS TO SEE AN INTELLIGENT ROAD LIST.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED IN ALL FAIRNESS TO COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, THAT MATRIX IS JUST LIKE THE PAVING; IT DOESN'T BREAK IT DOWN TO THE PAVING FUNDING. CLIFF AGREED IT IS UNRELATED TO FUNDING.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT LUCAS LAKE ROAD SHOULD BE ON THE FLORIDA FOREVER FUNDING. CLIFF STATED THERE WAS NO FUNDING IN THE FLORIDA FOREVER PROGRAM RIGHT NOW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REITERATED HE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE ALL WORKING OFF THE SAME SHEET AND NOT NO PARTICULAR DISTRICT. HE WANTS THE WORST ROADS FIXED IN THE WHOLE COUNTY.

CLIFF REITERATED THE BOARD HAD UNTIL MAY 1ST TO TURN IN TWO SCOP ROADS; THEY DON'T HAVE TO RANK THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HOPED THIS BOARD WAS IN AGREEMENT THERE IS A ROAD PAVING MATRIX SYSTEM AND A ROAD REPAVING SYSTEM; HE HOPES THIS BOARD IS IN AGREEMENT TO FOLLOW THIS MATRIX.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THE MATRIX IS OUT OF DATE; THINGS NEED TO BE ADJUSTED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE UNDERSTANDS AND IS GOOD WITH THAT; BUT, HE WANTS THE ENTIRE BOARD TO WORK OFF THE SAME SHEET. HE ASKED WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO TO UPDATE THE MATRIX LIST.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THEY OUGHT TO BE DOING TRAFFIC COUNTS ON ROADS, SEE WHAT ROADS ARE BEING USED THE MOST AND WHICH ONES AREN'T. JUST BECAUSE A ROAD IS DIRT DOESN'T MEAN IT NEEDS TO BE PAVED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE CAN'T UNDERSTAND WHEN THAT MATRIX WAS MADE, WHOEVER MADE IT, THEY HAD PIKE POND ROAD ON IT; BUT, GILBERTS MILL WASN'T ON IT. HE REFERRED TO GILBERTS MILL BEING THE MAIN

FEEDER FOR ALL THE SIDE ROADS COMING INTO IT FROM ALFORD TO ORANGE HILL.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED GILBERT MILLS WAS ON THE MATRIX; IT WAS JUST WAY DOWN ON THE LIST.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER HOWELL WAS PROBABLY RIGHT AS FOR AS WORST ROADS; BUT, THERE ARE FIVE OR SIX PEOPLE LIVING ON THAT ROAD WHERE THERE IS OVER 100 LIVING ON THIS ROAD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL FELT THE MATRIX IS FINE AS A GUIDE; BUT, HE DIDN'T THINK THE BOARD OUGHT TO HAVE TO ADHERE TO IT EXACTLY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED THE BOARD TO GET SOMETHING THEY COULD ADHERE TO EXACTLY SO THE COMMUNITY UNDERSTANDS WHAT WE ARE DOING. EVERYBODY HAS THEIR OWN PET PEEVE ROAD AND HE WANTS TO GO OFF A LIST THE ENTIRE BOARD IS FOLLOWING.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THERE IS ONE THING THAT WOULD BE REAL EASY TO DO TO UPDATE THAT LIST SIGNIFICANTLY; HAVE THE DESIGNEES FROM THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, SCHOOL BOARD, POST OFFICE AND PUBLIC WORKS RESCORE ALL THE ROADS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REITERATED HE WOULD LIKE FOR TRAFFIC COUNTS TO BE PART OF THE SCORING ON THE MATRIX LIST WITH CLIFF AGREEING.

MR. HAGAN REPORTED THEY HAVE THE MATRIX COMING UP AT THEIR WEDNESDAY PUBLIC WORKS MEETING. HE WANTED PUBLIC WORKS TO LOOK AT WHAT THEY HAVE ON THE LIST, WHAT THEY HAVE THAT IS REPAVED, RESURFACED THAT COULD COME OFF THE LIST BEFORE THEY GET THESE OTHER PEOPLE INVOLVED. LETS LOOK AT THE PEOPLE THAT ARE ON THE ROADS, DOING THE ROADS AND HAVE COST ESTIMATES AND COST HISTORY ON THE ROADS. HE WOULD LIKE FOR PUBLIC WORKS TO LOOK AT THE MATRIX INHOUSE FIRST.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THAT IS JUST ONE DETERMINING FACTOR OUT OF ABOUT FIVE OR SIX. MR. HAGAN UNDERSTOOD THIS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED MUD HILL ROAD, WHICH GOES UP TO PUBLIC WORKS, IS BEING TORN ALL TO PIECES BY COUNTY EQUIPMENT AND THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THAT ROAD EVENTUALLY. HE

THINKS THAT IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN PAVING LUCAS OR ANY DIRT ROAD AT THIS POINT IN TIME.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE COUNTY MAINTAINS THAT ROAD EVERY WEEK. COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED; BUT, IF YOU LOOK AT MUD HILL ROAD IT HAS CRACKS ALL IN IT. COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE EDGES ARE BREAKING OFF TOO.

MR. BARFIELD TOLD THE BOARD PUBLIC WORKS INPUT ON THE MATRIX STUDY AND PRIORITIZING THE ROADS IS GOING TO BE BROKEN DOWN BY MAINTENANCE COST AND TRAFFIC COUNT. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A FEW ROADS ON HIGHWAY 20 IN THE SOUTHEAST PART OF THE COUNTY, MAINTENANCE WISE, ARE HIGH MAINTENANCE COST TO PUBLIC WORKS. THEY HAVE TO TRAVEL A LONG WAY TO WORK ON THEM. WHATEVER IT WILL TAKE TO IMPROVE THOSE ROADS AND MINIMIZE PUBLIC WORK'S TRIPS DOWN THERE WILL BE COST EFFECTIVE FOR THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD MR. BARFIELD WHEN LOOKING AT THE ROADS, WHAT FUNDS OF MONEY THEY MAY FIT ON.

MR. BARFIELD EXPLAINED ONE THING THAT DID CHANGE PERIODICALLY IS THE CONDITION OF THE ROAD; THIS NEEDS TO BE EVALUATED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THE MATRIX LIST NEEDS TO BE RE-EVALUATED AND THAT IS SOMETHING CLIFF COULD DO FOR THE BOARD.

CLIFF EXPLAINED ONE OF THE BIG FACTORS, HE HAS IT BROKEN OUT BY COST PER MILE FOR EACH ROAD, THEY AVERAGED 2006, 2007 AND 2008 COST PER MILE ON EACH ONE OF THE ROADS. NOW WE ARE AT 2011 AND IT MIGHT BE A GOOD TIME TO RE-EVALUATE THE COST PER MILE SEGMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN QUESTIONED WHEN CLIFF NEEDED TO KNOW THE ROADS FOR THE SCOP FUNDING. CLIFF ADVISED MAY 1ST.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL POINTED OUT THEY HAD ANOTHER MEETING IN APRIL. CLIFF EXPLAINED THAT WOULD NOT BE ADEQUATE TIME TO GET TRAFFIC COUNTS ON ALL THESE ROADS; BUT, AS FAR AS WORKING ON THE OTHER STUFF, THEY CAN GET HEADED IN THAT DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY WERE SPENDING CLOSE TO \$100,000 A YEAR ON MAINTENANCE ON LUCAS ROAD.

CLIFF QUESTIONED IF THEY WANTED TO RELY ON FL-DOT ON THE TRAFFIC COUNTS ON THE ROADS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THIS WOULDN'T SOMETHING CLIFF COULD DO.

CLIFF SAID PREBLE-RISH DOES HAVE A LOT OF TRAFFIC COUNTERS; BUT, IT IS STILL GOING TO TAKE A LOT OF TIME.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF THEY NEED TO CHECK WITH FL-DOT TO SEE IF THEY CAN DO THE TRAFFIC COUNTS ON THE ROADS, HE CAN ASK THEM OR ROGER CAN ASK THEM. HE ALSO ADDRESSED THE COUNTY COULD BUY SOME TRAFFIC COUNTERS AND DO THE TRAFFIC COUNT THEMSELVES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF COMPLAINTS AT CARYVILLE ABOUT THE RAILROAD CROSSING. THOSE RAILROAD TIES AND ROCK HAVE BEEN LAYING THERE FOR ABOUT TWO YEARS FOR SOME REASON.

CLIFF AGREED TO CONTACT AUBREY BROWN WITH CSX TO SEE IF HE CAN DO ANYTHING ABOUT THIS; HE KNOWS EXACTLY WHAT COMMISSIONER BROCK IS TALKING ABOUT.

MR. BARFIELD SAID HE HAD A CONTACT PERSON FOR CSX RAILROAD; THE GUY HAD TOLD HIM THAT THE FUNDING FOR CSX HAD BEEN CUT. THEY HAD SO MANY SCHEDULED TO BE REPLACED. HE AGREED TO MAKE CONTACT WITH THE GUY AGAIN AND LET THE BOARD KNOW WHAT HE SAYS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL UNDERSTOOD THE CARYVILLE CROSSING IS AN EASEMENT CROSSING. THE COUNTY HAS AN EASEMENT FOR THE ROAD TO GO ACROSS THE RAILROAD. THE RAILROAD WILL FIX IT WHEN THEY GET GOOD AND READY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WHEN THE COUNTY RESURFACED THE ROAD, THEY WERE GOING TO TRY AND BETTER THE RAILROAD CROSSING, LEVEL IT, ETC.; BUT, CSX WOULDN'T LET THEM DO IT.

MR. BARFIELD SAID IF THE COUNTY OFFERED THE FUNDING, CSX WOULD PROBABLY JUMP RIGHT ON IT. COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED CSX HAS BOUGHT THE MATERIALS, ETC; THE MATERIALS HAS BEEN THERE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID ABOUT SIX MONTHS AGO, THEY ASKED FOR A LETTER TO BE SENT TO CSX ON THE ISSUE AT WRIGHTS CREEK ROAD.

WHEN QUESTIONED WHO WAS BEING DEALT WITH AT CSX. MR. BARFIELD

ADVISED MIKE KELLUM WAS THE ROAD MASTER.

MR. HAGAN ASKED CLIFF TO ADDRESS WITH THE BOARD THE CONTRACT ON BAHOMA ROAD. CLIFF EXPLAINED WHEN THE CONTRACT WAS BROUGHT UP ON BAHOMA ROAD WITH C. W. ROBERTS, THE PRICE HE BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD WAS \$327,000 AND IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN \$332,000. IT WAS COMPLETELY HIS MISTAKE AS HE DIDN'T HAVE HIS ORIGINAL COPY WITH HIM. THE BOARD AWARDED IT FOR \$327,000 AND IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN AWARDED FOR \$332,000, WHICH WAS THE PRICE SUBMITTED WITH THEIR BID.

MR. HAGAN AGREED TO PUT THIS ITEM ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHERE THE \$5,000 WAS GOING TO COME FROM. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW AND MR. HAGAN ADVISED THE MONEY WAS COMING FROM COMMISSIONER PATE'S DISTRICT PAVING MONEY.

NAN THOMPSON, AS A RESIDENT OF BUCKHORN BOULEVARD, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS THEY HAVE BEEN TOLD IT WAS GOING TO BE PAVED. SHE COULDN'T TELL THE BOARD HOW MUCH HAS BEEN SPENT ON REPAIRS. SHE SAID MR. BARFIELD HAS BEEN OUT THERE AND SHE THINKS HE UNDERSTANDS WHAT IS GOING ON. WHEN MR. HOLMAN WAS IN CHARGE, HE DID SOME REPAIRS; THE HOLES ARE STILL THERE. SHE REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER BROCK HAVING GONE OUT THERE AND HE HAS SEEN WHAT IT IS LIKE. SHE SAID YOU COULD PUT THE WHOLE FRONT END OF AN F150 INTO A HOLE. THEY HAVE TRAFFIC THAT COMES FROM HIGHWAY 231 OFF OF COUNTY LINE ROAD AND ACROSS BUCKHORN. SHE HAS A 4 X 4 TRUCK THAT IS FOUR YEARS OLD SHE CAN GIVE THE COUNTY THE REPAIR BILLS ON; HER HUSBAND ONLY HAS THREE MILES OF DIRT ROAD BEFORE GETTING TO PAVEMENT. BUCKHORN HAS BEEN ON THE TOP OF THE LIST EVER SINCE THE MATRIX HAS BEEN IN PLACE AND SHE HAS SIT HERE AND WATCHED AS OTHER ROADS HAVE BEEN DONE. SHE REALLY WOULD LIKE FOR BUCKHORN TO BE CONSIDERED.

COUNTY ATTORNEY'S REPORT:

A. THE BOARD HAS ALREADY TALKED ABOUT THE CART ISSUE WITH VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS.

B. AT THE LAST MEETING, THE BOARD ADOPTED TO ACCEPT SOME LOTS IN SUNNY HILLS FROM A COUPLE IN CALIFORNIA; THOSE DEEDS ARE PREPARED TO GO OUT. HIS SECRETARY HAD TALKED WITH THE COUPLE TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE COMFORTABLE WITH HOW THEY NEED TO EXECUTE THE DEEDS BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO THEM FROM OUT THERE. THAT IS ON SCHEDULE TO BE COMPLETED AND SHOULD BE COMPLETED BY THE FIRST WEEK IN APRIL; IF NOT COMPLETED THIS WEEK.

C. KINGS DRUG STORE-ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD AT THEIR LAST MEETING THERE WAS SOME TALK ABOUT WHAT KINGS DRUG STORE WAS TRYING TO DO AND HOW IT INVOLVED THE COUNTY. HE HAS BEEN IN CONTACT WITH THE CONTRACTOR FOR KINGS DRUG STORE; IN THE LAST THREE OR FOUR DAYS, THE CONTRACTOR HAS TOLD HIM THE DRUG STORE HAS DECIDED TO GO IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION WITH RESPECT TO WHAT THEIR PLAN IS. IT IS STILL GOING TO INVOLVE THE COUNTY; HE HAS A MEETING TODAY AT 1:30 P.M. WITH THEM AND BELIEVES MR. HAGAN IS GOING TO BE IN ATTENDANCE AS WELL. THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO HAVE SOME NEW DRAWN OUT PLANS; UNTIL THEY SEE THOSE AND SEE WHAT THE FINAL PLAN IS, THEY ARE GOING TO STAND DOWN UNTIL HE IS COMFORTABLE WITH WHAT HE WANTS TO DO AND THEN THE APPROPRIATE DOCUMENTS WILL BE DRAFTED FROM THERE.

D. PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURES-ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON DISCUSSION AT THEIR FEBRUARY MEETING AT SOME POINT ABOUT PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURES FOR THE BOARD. THEY DIDN'T GET INTO TAKING ANY AFFIRMATIVE STEPS AT THAT MEETING. HE HAS TALKED TO THE COUNTY MANAGER SINCE THEN AND WOULD LIKE TO GET GUIDANCE FROM THE BOARD. HE HAS CONTACTED SOME OTHER COUNTIES AND IT SEEMS LIKE A LOT OF COUNTIES RIGHT NOW ARE GOING AWAY FROM ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER AND GOING MORE TOWARD STURGESS'S RULES OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURES. IT MAY BE A GOOD TIME WITH RESPECT TO UPDATING WHAT THE BOARD'S RULE

OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE ARE. HE WANTED TO GET PERMISSION FROM THE BOARD, IF THEY WOULD LIKE HIM TO, TO DEVELOP AN UPDATED PROCEDURE FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE HAS WALTON COUNTY'S, BAY COUNTY'S AND ANOTHER COUNTY'S AS WELL THAT IS AVAILABLE FOR THE PUBLIC; THEY PUT IT ON THEIR WEBSITE. IT BASICALLY JUST LAYS OUT THE RULES OF ORDER AND SOMETIMES IT CAN GET SOMEWHAT UNCLEAR AS TO WHO CAN SPEAK, WHEN THEY CAN SPEAK. FOR EXAMPLE, THE LADY THAT JUST CAME AND GAVE HER COMMENT AFTER CLIFF. HE QUESTIONED IF THIS IS SOMETHING THE BOARD WANTS TO HAPPEN AT THAT TIME OR DOES THE PUBLIC COMMENTS NEED TO BE IN A DIFFERENT FORM OR FASHION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE MAIN REASON MS. THOMPSON CAME THEN WAS HE WOULDN'T RECOGNIZE MS. THOMPSON UNTIL CLIFF GOT THROUGH.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT IS FINE; SOME COUNTIES DECIDE THERE IS A TIME PERIOD FOR THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK AND THAT IS WHEN THEY SPEAK AND THE REST OF THE TIME IT IS THE BOARD SPEAKING. THERE IS NOT A QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION. IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE HIM TO, HE DIDN'T WANT TO DO THIS WITHOUT TALKING TO THE BOARD BECAUSE THE BOARD MADE THE HEAT OF THE MOMENT LAST MONTH, THE BOARD MAY BE COMFORTABLE WITH WHAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE IN PLACE. HE ASKED IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE FOR HIM TO LOOK AT STURGESS'S RULES AND COMPARE THEM WITH ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER AND AS WELL DRAFT A MOCK PROCEDURE FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE COUNTY MANAGER TO REVIEW. HE HAD ONE INITIAL DRAFT HE HAS DONE ALREADY; BUT, HE WANTED TO GET THE BOARD'S FEELINGS OR APPROVAL BEFORE HE DID ANYTHING FURTHER. HE DON'T WANT TO DO THE WORK AND THE BOARD NOT WANT THIS UPDATED PROCEDURE REMINDER OR PROCEDURE ADOPTION. HE WANTED TO GET THE BOARD'S FEELINGS ON HIM CONTINUING TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE AND POSSIBLY MAKE A PRESENTATION TO THEM AT THEIR APRIL MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE CITY OF CHIPLEY HAS JUST RECENTLY GONE THROUGH THIS WITH ATTORNEY'S AND A LOT OF INVOLVEMENT; HIS UNDERSTANDING IN HIS READING, THEY HAVEN'T ADOPTED ANY RULES OF ORDER. IT GIVES THE BOARD A LITTLE BIT OF FLEXIBILITY FOR DIFFERENT

SITUATIONS. ALSO, IT IS HARD FOR THIS BOARD SITTING HERE TO ADOPT A RULE OF ORDER FOR ANOTHER BOARD; WITH MR. HOWELL GOING AWAY, THERE WOULD BE ANOTHER PERSON SO THAT MAKES A DIFFERENT BOARD. HIS UNDERSTANDING IS EACH TIME YOU HAVE A NEW BOARD, YOU HAVE TO ADOPT A NEW RULE OF ORDER IF YOU ARE GOING TO ADOPT ONE. THAT WAS ANOTHER REASON CHIPLEY DIDN'T MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE COULDN'T TELL COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THE LAST TIME THIS BOARD HAS ADOPTED A RULES OF ORDER. HE BELIEVES THE ANSWER IS NEVER. THE BOARD CAN GIVE THEMSELVES SOME FLEXIBILITY TO MODIFY OR AMEND IN THEIR RULES OF ORDER. HIS PERSONAL OPINION IS OBVIOUSLY THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME SORT OF ORDER OF BUSINESS IN STARTING WITH THE CHAIR AND A SUCCESSIVE ROUTE THAT EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS WHEN WE WALK IN THIS ROOM, THERE IS SOMETHING THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED OR FEELS IS IMPORTANT ENOUGH THEY SHOULD FOLLOW FROM A PROCEDURE ASPECT. THE ALTERNATIVE IS TO KIND OF PLAY IT LOOSEY GOOSEY AND RELY ON ROBERTS RULES WHEN THEY WANT TO AND NOT WHEN THEY DON'T. THAT IS SOMETHING THE BOARD CAN DISCUSS AND FIGURE. IF THE BOARD WANTS HIM TO DO THIS, HE WILL; IF THEY DON'T, HE WON'T. HE HAS TALKED ABOUT IT WITH THE COUNTY MANAGER; IF IT IS SOMETHING THE BOARD DOESN'T FEEL IS IMPORTANT ENOUGH RIGHT NOW, HE WILL GO TO THE NEXT PROJECT. BUT, HE WANTED TO BRING IT TO THE BOARD FOR DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE BOARD DOESN'T GO BY THE ONE THEY GOT GOOD ENOUGH RIGHT NOW; THEY ARE ALL TALKING AT THE SAME TIME.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REITERATED HE DIDN'T THINK THE BOARD HAS EVER ADOPTED A PROCEDURE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID WE DON'T HAVE ONE; HE TRIED TO GET THE BOARD TO ADOPT ONE THEY HAD GOTTEN FROM WALTON COUNTY A YEAR AND A HALF AGO.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THEY HAVE BEEN OPERATING UNDER THE ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER FOR YEARS UP UNTIL RECENTLY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED THERE HAS NEVER BEEN AN ADOPTED ORDER

OF RULES.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T CARE WHAT THE BOARD OPERATES UNDER. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WE DO PRETTY GOOD THOUGH, DON'T WE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THEY OUGHT TO BE AS RELAXED AS IT CAN BE; BUT, AT TIMES, IT GETS TOO MUCH AND THEY ALL START TALKING AT THE SAME TIME. HE THOUGHT THEY NEEDED TO HAVE SOME TYPE OF PROCEDURE TO GO BY SO EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS HOW THIS IS GOING TO BE BROUGHT UP, HOW IT IS GOING TO BE DISCUSSED AND THE CHAIRMAN CONTROLS THE MEETING. THAT COULD BE A MODIFIED VERSION OF ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER OR STURGESS'S PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURES OR WHICHEVER ONE THEY WANTED TO USE.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED THEY NEEDED SOMETHING AND EVERYBODY GO BY IT. THEY NEED TO BE RECOGNIZED BEFORE THEY SPEAK, ETC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WOULD LIKE TO SEE AND READ THE OTHER RULES OF ORDER. ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED TO PROVIDE THE BOARD WITH OTHER RULES OF ORDER HE HAS FROM OTHER COUNTIES AND HE WILL ALSO PROVIDE THEM A COPY OF STURGESS RULES. THEN UNDERSTANDING THE CONSIDERATIONS THE BOARD HAS AND THE CONCERNS HE HAS HAD HERE TODAY, MAYBE DRAFT SOMETHING AND BRING IT TO THE BOARD THAT WILL FULFILL WHAT THE BOARD'S GOALS ARE BUT ALSO GIVE SOME SORT OF ORDER THE BOARD AND THE PUBLIC WILL UNDERSTAND HOW WE DO BUSINESS AT THE MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WOULD LIKE ANYTHING THAT WOULD MAKE HIS JOB FOR THE NEXT SIX MONTHS EASIER BECAUSE THE BOARD LOOKS LIKE A BUNCH OF KIDS MOST OF THE TIME WITH EVERYBODY TALKING AT THE SAME, ETC. WITH NO RECOGNITION MOST OF THE TIME.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE ONLY PROBLEM HE HAS IS IF YOU HAVE GOT SOME CITIZENS UP HERE GETTING ON TO SOMEBODY, AND JUST GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF MR. HOWELL, AND THEY ARE AGAINST MR. HOWELL, THEY CAN SET THERE TWENTY MINUTES GIVING HIM THE BOOT. THEN, WHEN SOMEBODY ELSE COMES UP, THEY HAVE THREE MINUTES. HE ASKED THAT EVERYBODY BE TREATED THE SAME. WE DON'T DO THAT. HE DON'T THINK ANYBODY SHOULD COME UP HERE AND COMPLAIN ABOUT THE COMMISSIONERS. HE THINKS THEY

CAN GO IN ANOTHER ROOM AND TALK ABOUT IT. IN THE PAST WHEN HE HAS BEEN HERE, THEY HAVE PEOPLE UP HERE COMPLAINING AND THEY GET THIRTY MINUTES AND THEY HAVE SOME THAT COME UP, AND THEY ONLY HAVE TWO OR THREE MINUTES. MR. TOWN HAS BEEN HERE AT EVERY BOARD MEETING AND HE IS PRETTY SURE MR. TOWN AND MR. HART KNOWS WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID SOME OF IT IS HIS FAULT; HE NEEDS TO DO A BETTER JOB WORKING WITH THE BOARD AND MAKING SURE TIME LIMITS ARE OBSERVED, ETC. HE DON'T WANT TO DO THE WORK ON THE RULES OR ORDER AND THE BOARD LOOKS AT HIM WHEN HE BRINGS IT UP AND ASKS WHAT HAS HE BEEN WORKING ON AS THEY DON'T WANT TO DO ANY OF THIS. IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE FOR HIM TO PRESENT THEM THE MATERIAL AND LET HIM START WORKING ON SOMETHING THEY MAY BE COMFORTABLE WITH, HE WILL BE GLAD TO DO IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THEY COULD SCHEDULE A WORKSHOP AND TALK ABOUT THE RULES OF ORDER. HAVE ATTORNEY GOODMAN PUT SOME THINGS TOGETHER AND PRESENT THEM AT A WORKSHOP, SEE WHAT THEY LIKE AND DON'T LIKE AND COME OUT WITH SOME TYPE OF PROCEDURE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO MR. ABBOTT HAVING SAID IF WE DO THIS NOW, THE RULES OF ORDER WOULD HAVE TO BE CHANGED WHEN THERE IS A NEW BOARD. HE ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER HOWELL RESIGNING APRIL 30TH.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE MAY BE WRONG AND HE WILL CHECK ON THIS; BUT, HE DOESN'T THINK IT HAS TO BE ADOPTED BY EVERY BOARD. HE THINKS IT IS IN PLACE UNTIL IT IS UNADOPTED; BUT, HE WILL CONFIRM THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE IS GOING TO BE HERE UNTIL APRIL 30TH AND HE IS GOING TO VOTE UNTIL APRIL 30TH AND VOTE LIKE HE WANTS TO VOTE UNTIL APRIL 30TH AND THEN HE WILL BE GONE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID EVERY YEAR THE BOARD REORGANIZES AND THEY CAN CHANGE ANYTHING THEY WANT TO AT THAT POINT IN TIME.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN FELT PRECEDENCE IS IMPORTANT TOO; HE THOUGHT THE PRECEDENCE OF DOING IT, SETTING IT AND FOLLOWING IT WOULD BE A GOOD EXAMPLE FOR FUTURE BOARDS ANYWAY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED TO WORK WITH MR. HAGAN TO GET THE PROCEDURAL RULES WORKED UP.

MR. HAGAN, IN LOOKING AT SOME OF THE ITEMS THE BOARD HAS ADDRESSED TODAY ON THE AGENDAED ITEMS OR ANYTHING, IS THERE ANY OF THOSE THAT COULD BE PUT UNDER THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING OR DELETED ALTOGETHER. HE DIDN'T FEEL LIKE THEY NEEDED TO HEAR THE PRESENTATIONS AGAIN; NOTHING WAS ASKED FOR A VOTE THERE SO THEY WILL BE DELETED. IF THERE IS ANYTHING THE BOARD NEEDS FOR RANDY OR HIM TO DO ON FIRST COMMUNICATIONS, OTHER THAN GATHER THE INFORMATION THEY ASKED FOR, THIS DOESN'T NEED TO BE READDRESSSED ON THURSDAY. ON THE GSG, AT SOME POINT IN TIME, THE BOARD HE SUPPOSED NEEDS TO GIVE A SENSE OF DIRECTION. HE STILL BELIEVES THERE NEEDS TO BE AN MSBU ADVISORY BOARD; HE PROBABLY BELIEVES THERE NEEDS TO BE A COORDINATOR, IF ONLY PART TIME, THAT IS DEDICATED MORE TO THAT POSITION THAN IT CURRENTLY IS. IF THIS IS NOT THE BOARD'S DESIRE, THEY WILL CONTINUE ON THAT. MR. GRAY SIMPLY MADE THE BOARD AWARE OF SOME THINGS HE WAS GOING TO ENTER INTO. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY OF THESE THINGS THE BOARD NEEDS TO DISCUSS ON THURSDAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T THINK SO. IN GOING BACK TO THE MSBU COORDINATOR FROM THE COMMUNITY. MR. HAGAN INTERRUPTED AND SAID NOT FROM THE COMMUNITY NECESSARILY; HE IS TALKING ABOUT SOMEBODY WHOSE PRIMARY ROLE THAT WOULD BE. HE WOULD LIKE FOR IT TO BE A POSITION THAT COULD BE PAID PARTLY BY MSBU AND PARTLY BY COUNTY FUNDS. HE THINKS IF MR. BARFIELD IS TRYING TO TAKE CARE OF COUNTY CREWS AND HE IS TRYING TO TAKE CARE OF A BUDGET, ABSENT FROM SOMEBODY GIVING THEM INPUT WITHOUT A LIST OF PROJECTS THE BOARD WANTS TO DO OR WITHOUT A WORKSHOP ON THIS OR SOME SENSE OF DIRECTION, ALL THEY ARE TRYING TO DO IS MAINTAIN WHAT IS THERE. HE DIDN'T INTERPRET FROM THE SURVEY THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE WANTS THE MSBU TO GO AWAY; HE INTERPRETED IT TO SAY THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE WANTS IT TO STAY IN PLACE BUT JUST WANTS TO GET SOMETHING FOR THEIR DOLLAR. TO THE BOARD, THIS MAY BE A WORKSHOP ITEM FOR SOMETIME IN THE FUTURE; HE

IS TRYING TO ASCERTAIN IF THE BOARD NEEDS ANYTHING FOR THURSDAY'S MEETING OR DISCUSS IT AGAIN AT THAT TIME. CAMILLE IS THE COUNTY'S CONSULTANT AND SHE CAME HERE THIS MORNING TO MAKE SURE WE PUT TOGETHER ALL THE THINGS THEY WORKED ON SINCE THE FIRST OF THE YEAR; THE SURVEY, THE HISTORY AND GIVE US A FUTURE. IF THAT IS ALL THE BOARD NEEDS, HE WOULD LIKE TO TAKE IT OFF THE AGENDA FOR THURSDAY'S MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE BOARD TO SET UP A WORKSHOP. HE GUESSES THERE WAS A MISUNDERSTANDING ABOUT IT. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A PLAN OF ACTION AND IT CARRIED OUT. TO SAY THEY NEED SOMEBODY SPECIFIC AND FUNDED BY OR FOR MSBU, HE DOESN'T THINK THAT IS NECESSARY PERSONALLY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY NEED SOMEBODY TO MAN THAT \$600,000 BUDGET AND SOMEBODY TO COORDINATE THE PROJECTS WHEN THEY DECIDE WHAT PROJECTS THEY ARE GOING TO DO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT FELT WHATEVER DEPARTMENT MSBU IS COMING UNDER NEEDS TO BE MANAGING THAT BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THERE IS NO MANAGEMENT; IT COULD BE A WATER LINE, A POWER LINE AND ASKED WOULD THIS NEED TO FALL UNDER UNDER PUBLIC WORKS. HE DOESN'T FEEL THEY SHOULD PUT THIS ON MR. BARFIELD TO DO WITH THE OTHER DUTIES HE HAS TO DO. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE BOARD TAKE SOME EMPLOYEE THEY HAVE AND UTILIZE THEM DIFFERENTLY AS A COORDINATOR. IF HE HAPPENED TO LIVE IN THE MSBU UNIT, THAT IS WONDERFUL; BUT, THEY WOULDN'T HAVE TO. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE MSBU MOVE ON, TAKE THE BUDGET, FIGURE OUT WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO WITH THE BUDGET, CONTRACT FOR THE PROJECTS AND GET THEM DONE.

MR. HAGAN REPORTED THE BOARD ACTUALLY HAS AN ORDINANCE THAT NEEDS TO BE ABOLISHED OR REVISED; THEY HAVE AN ORDINANCE THEY ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH BECAUSE THEY VOTED TO DO AWAY WITH SOME THINGS INSIDE THE ORDINANCE. HE DON'T REALLY KNOW IF HE THINKS THE BOARD COULD DO THAT; BUT, THAT IS ATTORNEY GOODMAN'S DECISION. HE THINKS THERE IS SOME THINGS THERE; THE BOARD HAS BEEN RELUCTANT FOR THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS WHILE THEY WERE WAITING FOR THE SURVEY TO COME TO PAST,

NOW THEY HAVE THE SURVEY AND HAVE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW IT. THE WORKSHOP MAY BE EXACTLY WHAT THEY NEED TO DO; BUT, HE IS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHETHER THIS NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED ON THURSDAY EVENING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT A WORKSHOP WOULD BE APPROPRIATE ON THE MSBU AND HOW THEY WANT TO MANAGE IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE WANTED TO MAKE SURE WHATEVER THE BOARD DOES DOWN THERE WHENEVER THEY SET UP, IF THEY HAVE A COMMITTEE, IT IS UNDERSTOOD THAT IS AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE. IF YOU HAVE A COORDINATOR, HE IS NOT THE MAYOR OF SUNNY HILLS. IN GOING BACK TO THAT ORDINANCE, THE BOARD AMENDED IT THE LAST TIME THEY DID IT.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE WAS GUESSING THAT EVERYTHING THAT HAS HAPPENED SUBJECT TO THE FIRST ORDINANCE WAS AN AMENDMENT. IT WAS ADOPTED IN 2001, AMENDED IN 2007 AND AGAIN IN 2009.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHY IS THE BOARD NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE MSBU ORDINANCE; HE IS TOTALLY FLABERGASTED WE ARE NOT FOLLOWING OUR OWN COMPLIANCE RULES FOR MSBU.

MR. HAGAN SAID THEY ARE NOT DOING IT BECAUSE THE BOARD CHOSE NOT TO DO. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT ARE THEY NOT DOING.

MR. HAGAN ADVISED THEY DID AWAY WITH THE MSBU ADVISORY COMMITTEE. THE BOARD DIDN'T AMEND THE ORDINANCE; THEY VOTED TO DO WHAT THEY DID BUT NEVER DID ANYTHING ELSE.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THIS IS SOME OF THESE AREAS WHERE THEY JUMP INTO SOMETHING WITHOUT LOOKING AT IT. HE DON'T THINK THEY AMENDED THE ORDINANCE. THEY VOTED ON HAVING AN ADVISORY BOARD FOR THREE MONTHS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF THEY DIDN'T AMEND THE ORDINANCE, HE DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY DID.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED HE THOUGHT THE COUNTY COMMISSION WAS THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR MSBU.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE COUNTY COMMISSION HAS NEVER BEEN THE MSBU ADVISORY COMMITTEE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE MISUNDERSTOOD AS HE THOUGHT THE WHOLE TIME THE COUNTY COMMISSION WAS THE THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL EXPLAINED THE BOARD HAS DONE THE MSBU; BUT, THEY ARE NOT THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE. THERE WAS AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE WITHIN THE COMMUNITY DOWN THERE AND TO MR. HAGAN'S CREDIT, HE TRIED HIS BEST TO GET THIS BOARD TO HAVE AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE. HOWELL SAID HE TRIED TO GET THEM TO KEEP AN ADVISORY GROUP DOWN THERE ALSO TO GET SOME INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY.

MR. HAGAN THOUGHT IT GOT TO BE SO CONTROVERSIAL BETWEEN THOSE PEOPLE THAT SERVED ON THE BOARD THAT WAS FOR THE MSBU AND THOSE THAT WERE AGAINST IT TRYING TO FIND A NEUTRAL BODY WAS DIFFICULT. IT MAY BE JUST AS DIFFICULT NOW.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED AT A MEETING IN VERNON, HE PRESENTED SEVEN OR EIGHT PEOPLE FOR THE MSBU ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND NOBODY LIKED ANY OF THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE MSBU BYLAWS REQUIRES AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF AT LEAST SEVEN MEMBERS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND BROCK ADVISED HIM IT DIDN'T; BROCK SAID THE BOARD CAN BE THE COMMITTEE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE WAS ELEVEN MEMBERS AND IT WAS CUT DOWN TO SEVEN.

MR. HAGAN SAID THE BOARD MAY BE EXACTLY WHO THEY WANT TO DO IT; BUT, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO MEET SEPARATELY AND THEY ARE NOT GOING TO BE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS WHEN THEY MEET THEN. THEY WILL BE THE ADVISORY BOARD FOR THE MSBU.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL REQUESTED THE BOARD ASK ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO LOOK AT THE ORDINANCE AND SEE WHERE WE ARE ON IT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN APOLOGIZED SAYING HE DIDN'T KNOW THIS ISSUE WAS AS PRESSING AS IT IS.

COMMISSIONER PATE STATED IF THIS BOARD SETS AS THE MSBU ADVISORY COMMITTEE, HE WILL NOT SET ON IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED HE DIDN'T THINK THIS BOARD OUGHT TO BE AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE; HE IS NOT SURE THEY NEED AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE AS THEY CAN TAKE INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY AND NOT HAVE AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE. HE EXPLAINED MR. HAGAN HAS HAD MEETINGS DOWN

THERE AND TOOK INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY AND THEY CAN GET A CONSENSUS. THEY CAN HAVE A STINKING ELECTION IF THEY WANT TO ON PROJECTS.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE COMMUNITY MEETING WENT VERY WELL. THEY NEED TO HAVE MORE MEETINGS AND THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME WAY THEY CAN COMMUNICATE. THAT COME BACK OUT OF THE SURVEY; THAT HAS COME BACK OUT OF WHAT CAMILLE HAS SAID. THE MEETING WASN'T AS WELL ATTENDED AS HE WOULD HAVE LIKED IT TO BE; BUT, THOSE THERE WERE COURTEOUS. THERE IS A VIDEO OF THE MEETING THEY HAVE MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS EXCEPT MR. ABBOTT UNLESS MR. HOLMAN PASSED HIS ALONG TO MR. ABBOTT. HE ASKED THE BOARD IF THEY NEEDED ANYTHING ON THE MSBU ON THURSDAY'S AGENDA.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO NOT HAVE THE MSBU ON THURSDAY'S AGENDA; BUT, LET ATTORNEY GOODMAN REVIEW THE ORDINANCE AND THEN SCHEDULE A WORKSHOP. MR. HAGAN SAID HE WOULD TRY AND SCHEDULE A WORKSHOP; AN HOUR FOR MSBU AND AN HOUR FOR ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER, ETC.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASK IF MR. FINCH, WHEN HE WAS ON THE BOARD, DO AWAY WITH THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE. COMMISSIONER PATE AND HOWELL BOTH SAID THERE WAS STILL AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE WHEN THEY CAME ON THE BOARD. THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE THAT MET ABOUT THREE MONTHS DURING THE BUDGET PROCESS IS THE LAST HE REMEMBERS AND THAT WAS TO GIVE THE BOARD ADVICE ON HOW TO SPEND THE MSBU MONEY, ETC. AS TO THEIR NEEDS AND WHAT THEY THOUGHT NEEDED TO BE DONE.

JIM TOWN ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING THE AMENDMENT IN 2007 CHANGED THE ADVISORY GROUP STRUCTURE AND CREATED A WAY TO REPLENISH IT, ETC. THEN THE TROUBLES CAME ALONG. THE 2009 EDITION WAS BASICALLY A REWRITE OF THE ENTIRE MSBU ORDINANCE. IN 2007, THEY ONLY AMENDED SECTION 6 THAT HAD TO DO WITH THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE; BUT, IN 2009, THEY REWROTE THE ENTIRE ORDINANCE AND DIDN'T CHANGE THE ASSESSMENTS OR THAT PROCESS. BUT, THINGS ARE NOT IN THE SAME PLACE ANYMORE IN THE NEW ORDINANCE BECAUSE SECTION 6 HAS TOTALLY DISAPPEARED. THE STRUCTURE OF THE ORDINANCE IS DIFFERENT THAN IT

WAS IN 2007. THAT WAS ADOPTED AND IT DID DO AWAY WITH ANY ADVISORY FUNCTION WHATSOEVER.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THAT WAS THE REASON WHEN HE FOUND OUT THEY HADN'T BEEN MEETING, HE THOUGHT THEY WERE SUPPOSE TO BE MEETING RIGHT ON BUT JUST DURING THE BUDGET PROCESS.

MR. HAGAN ADVISED THE LAST THING HE RECALLED THAT BOARD MET, THEY MET IN THIS ROOM PROBABLY A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO ABOUT THIS TIME AND VOTED TO ASK THE BOARD AND THE BOARD VOTED TO DISSOLVE THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

MR. TOWN AGREED AND SAID THE ATTORNEY WAS ASKED TO REWRITE THE ORDINANCE, WHICH HE DID AND THE ORDINANCE WAS ADOPTED. THE COMMUNITY HAS HAD NO INPUT WITH THE BUDGET PROCESS FOR THE MSBU OR THE CAPITAL INVESTMENT ELEMENTS SINCE 2007.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT WAS WHAT THE SURVEY WAS ABOUT; GETTING INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY AND HE THOUGHT THE COUNTY COMMISSION WAS THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

A. MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD HAVING ASKED LAST MONTH ABOUT REWORKING THE CALENDAR FOR THE REST OF THE YEAR AND THEY WANTED TO PUT MORE DAYS THAN TWO BETWEEN THE WORKSHOP AND THE MEETING IN CASE THERE WERE THINGS THAT CAME UP, THEY WOULD HAVE TIME TO REPORT BACK. HE PRESENTED TO THE DIVISION CHIEFS AND DIDN'T GET ANY NEGATIVE RESPONSE AND PRESENTED TO THE BOARD THIS MORNING A MEMO THAT CHANGES THEIR WORKSHOP AND MEETINGS. HE TOOK INTO CONSIDERATION ATTORNEY GOODMAN'S CALENDAR. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER THEIR SEPTEMBER MEETING AS IT RUNS IN CONFLICT WITH A FAC MEETING SOME OF THE COMMISSIONERS WOULD BE ATTENDING; HE RECOMMENDED MOVING THE SEPTEMBER MEETING TO SEPTEMBER 26TH RATHER THAN THE 22ND. WHEN LOOKING AT THE NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER MEETINGS, THE NOVEMBER MEETING IS ON WEDNESDAY BEFORE THANKSGIVING. IF THEY ARE NOT REAL CAREFUL BY THE END OF THE YEAR THEY WILL END UP HAVING MEETINGS TEN DAYS, TWO WEEKS OR THREE WEEKS APART. THEY MAY AS WELL NOT EVEN HAVE A MEETING; BUT, IF THEY DO HAVE THEM, THEY NEED TO HAVE A SPACE OF TIME IN THERE

FOR SOME THINGS THAT HAPPEN THEY ACT ON. THEY DON'T HAVE TO ACT ON THE CALENDAR TODAY OTHER THAN IF THEY THINK THE APRIL MEETING PLEASES THEM, THEY COULD APPROVE IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT COULDN'T SEE HAVING THE NOVEMBER BOARD MEETING ON THE WEDNESDAY BEFORE THANKSGIVING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AND HOWELL ADDRESSED THEY COULD CHANGE THE CALENDAR DATES FOR THE WORKSHOPS AND MEETING AS NEEDED.

MR. HAGAN ASKED IF THE BOARD WAS THINKING ON THURSDAY WHAT HE HAS PRESENTED OR WILL THEY PRESENT SOMETHING BACK TO HIM ON THE CALENDAR.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE 18TH AND 28TH OF APRIL IS FINE WITH HIM. THE BOARD WAS IN CONSENSUS WITH THOSE DATES.

B. MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON A LETTER FROM DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISING IT WAS TIME TO APPOINT THE VAB MEMBERS. LAST YEAR MR. HOWELL AND STRICKLAND SERVED. THE BOARD WILL NEED TO APPOINT TWO COMMISSIONERS AND A PERSON FROM THE COUNTY. HE THOUGHT EARLIER THEY HAD ASKED MR. TOWN TO SERVE; HE HAS SERVED AT LEAST ONCE OR MAYBE TWICE. MR. TOWN ADVISED HE HAD SERVED THREE TIMES.

MR. HAGAN SAID THIS IS SOMETHING THE BOARD CAN BE THINKING ABOUT AND VOTE ON THURSDAY. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED MR. TOWN IF HE WOULD SERVE ON THE VAB AGAIN WITH MR. TOWN AGREEING TO SERVE ONE MORE YEAR.

CHAIRMAN PATE APPOINTED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO SERVE AS BOARD MEMBERS ON THE VAB.

MR. HAGAN AGREED TO PLACE THESE APPOINTMENTS UNDER THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THURSDAY'S MEETING.

C. MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM, COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND PATE BEING AT THE LEGISLATIVE DAY ON WEDNESDAY WITH THE FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES. LATER ON, THEY SPOKE TO SOME SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVES; THEY TOLD THEM CURRENTLY THOSE THINGS IN THE BUDGET THAT RELATES TO SMALL COUNTIES THINK WILL BE HELD HARMLESS INCLUDING THE TRANSPORTATION MONIES, FISCALLY CONSTRAINED COUNTIES AND THE SMALL COUNTY KICKER. THIS COULD CHANGE BECAUSE THEY HAVE NOT YET HAD THE REVENUE CONFERENCE YET. AT THE SAME TIME THE BUDGET COMMITTEE FOR THE COUNTY HAS BEEN MEETING AND BEEN IN REVIEW OF THIS YEAR'S BUDGET. AT THE LAST MEETING, MR. ABBOTT ASKED FOR US TO SEE IF WE COULD FIND WAYS TO SAVE 15% IN THIS YEAR'S BUDGET; HE PUT OUT A MEMO TO THIS AFFECT. THEY ACTUALLY MET WITH THE DEPARTMENT HEADS AND FOLLOWED WITH A MEMO AFTER THAT. THEY ARE BEGINNING TO GET RESPONSES FROM THOSE MEMOS BACK IN. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO REMEMBER EVERY BUDGET IS NOT FUNDED FROM GENERAL FUND; THERE ARE GOING TO BE SOME BUDGETS IF THEY SAVE 15%, THEY WILL SEND IT BACK TO THE STATE ANYWAY. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF JERRY BROCK'S 911 BUDGET BEING FUNDED TOTALLY BY STATE FUNDS; THERE ARE NO LOCAL MONIES THAT GOES INTO IT. THE 15% RULE WAS A GOAL FOR SOMETHING MR. ABBOTT AND THEN THE BOARD AGREED OR CONFIRMED THAT. IT WAS A GOAL; SOME DEPARTMENTS MAY HAVE MORE THAN 15% THEY CAN SAVE AND SOME MAY NOT HAVE THAT MUCH. THEY HAVE ASKED THAT NO ELEMENT OF THEIR BUDGET BE SPARED; EVERYTHING FROM PURCHASES TO PERSONNEL. IF THEY COLLECT THAT, THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WILL BE PROVIDED THAT INFORMATION.

MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ABOUT A PROGRAM ON HEALTH INSURANCE THAT MAY BE COMING DOWN; BUT, HE DIDN'T FEEL HE NEEDED TO DISCUSS THIS YET. RIGHT NOW, HE WILL JUST TELL THE BOARD THERE IS A PROGRAM BEING LOOKED AT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID ON THE 15%, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE DEPARTMENT'S RESPONSES AND THEIR IDEAS ON THE 15% SAVINGS.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE WOULD PUT THE RESPONSES ON THE 15% SAVINGS IN EACH OF THE COMMISSIONER'S BOXES.

D. MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON A LETTER FROM THE TOWN OF WAUSAU REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN ORDER TO CLOSE OUT THEIR GRANT FOR THE GEORGE ROGERS PARK. MR. ABBOTT HAS GONE AND LOOKED AT SOME OF THE STUFF AND HE HAS ASKED HIM NOT TO COMMIT THROUGH SCHEDULING OF EQUIPMENT AND PEOPLE UNTIL THEY LOOK AT THINGS THE CONTRACTOR NEEDS TO COME BACK AND CORRECT. THE TOWN OF WAUSAU IS ALSO ASKING FOR SOME SOD AND SOME ASSISTANCE IN LAYING SOME SOD.

DAVID CORBIN WILL TELL THE BOARD THERE IS SOME COST IN THE HYDROSEEDING.

MARGARET RILEY, WAUSAU TOWN CLERK, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ADVISING ON THE PART WITH THE CONTRACTOR, THE PART THE TOWN OF WAUSAU IS ASKING THE COUNTY FOR ASSISTANCE WITH IS NOT IN THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT FOR SIKES CONSTRUCTION. THERE MAY BE A SMALL AREA ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE BALLFIELD SIKES COULD COME BACK AND LOOK AT THAT IS CAUSING SOME DRAINAGE PROBLEMS. BUT, WHAT THEY ARE ASKING FOR, THE SOD, HYDRO-SEEDING, ETC. WAS NOT ORIGINALLY A PART OF THE SIKES CONTRACT BECAUSE THEY WERE RUNNING OUT OF FUNDS. THEY HAD A BAD SITE TO BEGIN WITH AND IT HAS NOT BEEN A GOOD SITE SINCE THEN; THEY ARE STILL DEALING WITH WATER MANAGEMENT. THE TOWN OF WAUSAU REALIZES THERE IS A COST IN HYDROSEEDING FOR THE SEED AND FERTILIZE AND THEY ARE GOING TO DISCUSS THIS WITH DAVID. WHAT THEY ARE ASKING THE COUNTY TO DO IS THE EROSION CONTROL ON THE BALLFIELD WHICH WAS NOT AN ORIGINAL PART OF THE SIKES CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHAT WAS INVOLVED WITH THAT.

MR. HAGAN THOUGHT WORKSHOPS IS TO DETERMINE WHAT THEY NEED TO LOOK AT LATER OR NOT. HE FEELS QUITE COMFORTABLE IN WORKING WITH DAVID CORBIN ON THIS AND LETTING THIS HAPPEN AS THEY DO WITH OTHER CITIES, ETC. IT IS BECAUSE IT IS WITH THE TOWN OF WAUSAU. IT IS NOT ANYTHING THE BOARD WOULDN'T DO FOR SOMEBODY ELSE AND IT IS PROBABLY NOT SOMETHING THEY WOULDN'T DO JUST BY SCHEDULING IN THE FUTURE. HE IS QUITE COMFORTABLE WITH TAKING IT OFF THE AGENDA AND HANDLING IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SUGGESTED MR. HAGAN LET THE STAFF DOWN FROM HIM HANDLE IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE ONLY QUESTION HE WOULD HAVE IS WHAT EXPENSES THE COUNTY IS INCURRING TO HELP WITH THIS PROJECT OTHER THAN LABOR.

MS. RILEY SAID THE ONLY EXPENSE WOULD BE THE EQUIPMENT USAGE AND SOD; IT WOULD BE LESS THAN A HALF DAY'S WORK OF EQUIPMENT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE TOWN OF WAUSAU WAS GOING TO PAY FOR THE SEED AND FERTILIZE. MS. RILEY ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

MR. BARFIELD AGREED WITH THE HALF DAY'S WORK OF EQUIPMENT IF THEY DON'T DO THE BALLFIELD. MS. RILEY SAID SHE DIDN'T THINK THERE WOULD BE ANY GRADING ON THE BALLFIELDS; IT IS JUST IN THE SWALES.

E. MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON A REQUEST TO SUBMIT A LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR OPPORTUNITY FLORIDA. MR. EVERETT IS SUBMITTING A SEPARATE LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THE OPPORTUNITY FLORIDA. THEY ARE ASKING FOR THE BOARD TO APPROVE THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN THE LETTER OF SUPPORT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF THIS WAS FOR THE GRANT. MR. HAGAN ADVISED IT WAS FOR OPPORTUNITY FLORIDA TO CONTINUE TO GET THEIR GRANT FUNDING.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED HE THOUGHT SOMETIMES THESE WERE MATTERS OF ROUTINE. THERE IS A LOT OF TIMES THE BOARD NEEDS A LETTER SENT FOR ENDORSEMENT, SUPPORT FOR SOMETHING GOING ON. HE ASKED IF ATTORNEY GOODMAN MIGHT COULD ADVISE HOW MANY THINGS THEY NEED TO BRING BACK TO THE BOARD. THESE ARE THINGS THEY OUGHT TO FEEL QUITE COMFORTABLE WITH THE CHAIRMAN SIGNING; THEY ARE GENERIC. IT SEEMS TO HIM LIKE IT BOGS DOWN THE AGENDA IF THEY HAVE TO BRING EVERYTHING THAT IS NOT A CONTRACT OR NEEDS DEBATE. IF IN THE FUTURE, MAYBE THEY COULD JUST SIGN THESE DOCUMENTS THEMSELVES AND IF IT CALLS FOR THE CHAIRMAN'S SIGNATURE, MAYBE GET HIM TO SIGN THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE ONLY QUESTION HE WOULD HAVE IS KIND OF LIKE THE WATER MANAGEMENT ISSUE; PART OF THIS BOARD DIDN'T

KNOW THERE WAS A VACANCY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY ON THE NFWFMD BOARD. PERHAPS IF THE BOARD WAS INVOLVED, THEY COULD HAVE GOTTEN TWO SLOTS AND PERHAPS NOT.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED THE WAY THAT HAPPENS IS THEY HAVE TO GET THAT ON THEIR OWN; IT IS NOT A BOARD EFFORT. COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED ALL THAT INFORMATION IS ON LINE; IT IS A GOVERNOR'S APPOINTMENT AT GOV.COM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID NFWFMD DOESN'T ADVERTISE THIS; YOU JUST HAVE TO HAPPEN UP ON IT. NORMALLY, NFWFMD APPROACHES SOMEBODY AHEAD OF TIME.

MR. HAGAN AGREED TO, IN THE FUTURE SHOULD THAT HAPPEN, PUT NOTICE IN THE COMMISSIONER'S BOXES OR GIVE THEM A CALL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IF THE BOARD IS COMFORTABLE IN THE WAY THEY FIND OUT ABOUT IT, HE DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM SIGNING THE LETTER OF SUPPORT AS LONG AS WE ARE NOT BINDING OURSELVES TO ANYTHING OR TAKING ANY OFFICIAL ACTION.

MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM EARLIER HAVING APPROVED A CONTRACT AND NOW THEY HAVE TO DO A LETTER OF AWARD FOR \$49,748; IT IS STATE AND FEDERAL HOMELAND SECURITY MONIES TO HELP FUND THE PROGRAM, EXERCISES, PLANNING AND TRAINING FOR THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. HE IS TO PUT THIS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THURSDAY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM NOT HAVING AN INMATE CREW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF HIS DISTRICT, DISTRICT 4, HAD TWO INMATE CREWS; BUT, NO STATE CREW. DAVID SAID THAT WAS CORRECT. EVERY OTHER DISTRICT HAS A STATE AND COUNTY CREW.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE ONLY HAD ONE CREW. DAVID SAID HE ACTUALLY HAD TWO; BUT, THEY PULLED ONE TO HELP ROAD AND BRIDGE.

MR. ABBOTT SAID THAT INMATE CREW DOES HEADWALLS AND STUFF. MR. HAGAN SAID HE THOUGHT THEY WERE ALSO PROVIDING WHAT CLEANING THE EOC GETS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THOUGHT FOUR OR FIVE MONTHS AGO THE BOARD VOTED TO HIRE DISTRICT I AN INMATE CREW SUPERVISOR AND THEY NEVER DID. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THEY NEVER DID HIRE ONE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THE STATE PULLED TWO OF THE CREWS; ONE OF THEM WAS COMMISSIONER HOWELL'S CREW AND ONE WAS COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S CREW. FOR SOME REASON, THEY PUT COMMISSIONER HOWELL'S INMATE CREW SUPERVISOR BACK; BUT, NOT COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK HAS ONLY BEEN WITHOUT TWO CREWS FOR ABOUT THREE DAYS AND THEY HAVEN'T HAD TIME TO ADVERTISE. IF THIS BOARD INTENDS ON REPLACING THAT PERSON, ALL THEY HAVE TO DO IS GO THROUGH THE ADVERTISING PROCESS AND HIRE ONE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THERE WAS ENOUGH PIPE WORK FOR THE ONE INMATE CREW TO STAY BUSY ALL THE TIME.

MR. BARFIELD EXPLAINED THIS SUPERVISOR DID ALL THE HEADWALLS ON RIVER ROAD; THEY ARE LAYING PIPE ON BONNETT POND RIGHT NOW AND HE HAS LAID A LOT OF HEADWALLS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THEY HIRED C. W. ROBERTS TO DO THE HEADWALLS. MR. BARFIELD EXPLAINED C. W. ROBERTS IS DOING CROSSDRAINS AND PUBLIC WORKS IS DOING ALL THE DRIVEWAYS. THE INMATE SUPERVISOR WORKS ON BRIDGES TOO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED HIS IDEA ABOUT ALL THE WORK CREWS IS THEY OUGHT TO WORK IN PUBLIC WORKS SOMEWHERE AND THEY OUGHT TO BE DISPERSED AROUND THE COUNTY WHEN THEY NEED TO BE; THEY OUGHT NOT TO WORK IN DISTRICT 4, 5 AND 3.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THEY HAD TO HAVE A SPECIAL CREW TO POUR THE MITERED END SECTIONS. MR. BARFIELD SAID THEY HAVE PEOPLE SCATTERED RUNNING EQUIPMENT; THIS IS JUST THE WAY ITS BEEN. JUST ABOUT EVERYBODY AT PUBLIC WORKS IS RUNNING EQUIPMENT. THEY CAN STOP AND DO THE MITERED END SECTIONS; BUT, IT WILL SLOW THEM DOWN FROM WHAT THEY ARE DOING.

COMMISSIONER PATE WANTED THE RECORD TO SHOW HE IS NOT GRUMBLING; HE HAS BEEN GOING BY THIS FOR FIVE YEARS HAVING ABOUT 1.5 CREWS; THE

OTHER DAY BOTH OF HIS CREWS WAS WORKING ON THIS BUILDING. IT IS PART OF THE JOB.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS ASKING FOR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIM ASKING IF THEY ARE GOING TO REPLACE HIS INMATE CREW. HE HAS A MOTRIM RUNNING IN EBRO RIGHT NOW AND SOME OF THE ROADS DOWN THERE HAS LIMBS LAYING IN THEM NOW.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD DAVID TO SEND HIS CREW TO EBRO TO ASSIST COMMISSIONER BROCK. MR. HAGAN SAID IT WOULD JUST BE A MATTER OF ADVERTISING AND GETTING SOMEBODY HIRED. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT IS ALL HE WANTS TO KNOW.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO DO ABOUT COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S OTHER INMATE SUPERVISOR. COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED STRICKLAND'S MONEY HAS BEEN BUDGETED FOR THE CREW AND HAS JUST BEEN SETTING THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WENT FOR MONTHS WITHOUT AN INMATE CREW; HE HAD ONE MAN TAKING CARE OF THIS AND OUT THERE.

MR. HAGAN AGREED TO TAKE CARE OF ADVERTISING FOR MR. BROCK'S INMATE CREW SUPERVISOR AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE NEEDED SOME HELP, TELL HIM AND HE COULD BORROW SOME OF HIS.

MR. HAGAN SAID IF MR. BARFIELD AND MR. CORBIN WERE LEFT TO ASSIGN THE CREWS AND SCHEDULE THEM, ETC; IT WOULD WORK SO MUCH BETTER. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THOUGHT ONE PERSON OUGHT TO BE IN CHARGE OF THEM. COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THEY DID AND ASKED IF DAVID DIDN'T ASSIGN THE CREWS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID DAVID DID ASSIGN THE CREWS.

DAVID ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING WASHINGTON COUNTY IS 962 MILES; IT OUGHT TO BE WHERE THE INMATE CREWS DON'T BELONG TO A COMMISSIONER. WITH ALL DUE RESPECT TO THE BOARD, HE OUGHT TO GET THE JOBS FROM MR. BARFIELD AND HE OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO COMPLETE THE JOB WITHIN A TIMELY MANNER NO MATTER WHAT DISTRICT CREW HE USES. THERE OUGHT NOT

BE NO CREWS PER SAY; IT OUGHT TO BE WHERE HE CAN HANDLE EVERYTHING IN ALL DISTRICTS WHERE IT TOOK ALL FIVE OF THE CREWS OR ONE OF THE CREWS. BUT, AS LONG AS THEY HAVE TWO CREWS PER DISTRICT, EVERYBODY WANTS THEIR CREWS. THAT IS WHAT YOU RUN INTO.

DAVID REITERATED WHAT COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD SAID ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED TO ONE OF COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S INMATE CREWS. D.O.C. TOOK ONE OF THEIR STATE FUNDED POSITIONS AND THE COUNTY GOT ONE BACK; COMMISSIONER HOWELL GOT TO KEEP HIS AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LOST HIS. THE COUNTY MAY NOT GET TO KEEP ALL THEIR STATE CREWS THIS YEAR; FIVE PRISONS WERE SHUT DOWN THIS YEAR.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY DIDN'T LOSE ANY PRISONS IN NORTHWEST FLORIDA. DAVID SAID THE CLOSEST PRISON THEY LOST WAS THE TALLAHASSEE WORKCAMP; BUT, IT IS COMING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED DAVID IF HE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE WITH HIM HANDLING ALL THE INMATE CREWS NO MATTER WHAT DISTRICT THEY ARE IN.

DAVID EXPLAINED IF HE HAD THE FREEDOM OF PULLING MR. STRICKLAND'S MAN AND PUTTING THEM IN ANOTHER DISTRICT TO FINISH A ROAD, HE OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO HAVE THAT CONTROL. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED.

DAVID SAID IF NOT, HE WOULD HAVE TO CONTINUE DOING AS HE HAS BEEN. IT IS NOT RIGHT FOR COMMISSIONER BROCK AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO HAVE ONE INMATE CREW AND THE REST OF THE COMMISSIONERS HAVE TWO INMATE CREWS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE STATE CREWS THIS YEAR, THEY ARE LIABLE TO BE HERE OR THEY ARE LIABLE NOT; SOMETIMES IT MAY BE A WEEK OR WEEK AND A HALF BEFORE YOU GET A STATE CREW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF THE BOARD PUT ALL THE CREWS IN ONE POT AND DAVID CONTROLS THEM ALL, DO THEY NEED TO HIRE ANOTHER CREW. THEN, COMMISSIONER BROCK'S PROBLEM BEHIND THE CHIPPER WOULD BE ELIMINATED. HE ASKED COULD THEY TRY THIS FOR A MONTH. IF THEY COULD SAVE THAT MONEY, HE IS FOR IT.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR DAVID TO HANDLE THE INMATE CREWS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE COMMISSIONERS DIDN'T NEED TO CALL DAVID AND TELL HIM THEY WANT SOMETHING DONE TODAY WHEN THEY HAVE A WHOLE COUNTY TO WORRY ABOUT.

MR. HAGAN THOUGHT COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND JUST SAID IT VERY GOOD AND CERTAINLY FOR ALL THE BOARD, HE HOPES THEY ALWAYS SPEAK WITH GREAT RESPECT TO THEM BECAUSE THEY DO. MANY THINGS LIKE THIS WORKS WHEN THE BOARD IS IN HERE TOGETHEER; BUT, TOMORROW OR THE NEXT DAY IT WILL BE "WHERE IS MY CREW AND I HAVE SOMETHING ON THIS ROAD THIS WEEK." IT DOESN'T WORK. ONE OF THE THINGS HE HAS TRIED TO DO IN THESE FEW MONTHS IS GET WITH DIFFERENT PEOPLE IN THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS AND ASK THEM WHAT THEIR WORK LOAD AND WORK SCHEDULE AND WHAT IS COMING TO COMPLETION. HE ADDRESSED DAVID SUBMITTED SOMETHING ON HIS OWN; BUT, HE CAN'T ASK DAVID WHAT HIS SCHEDULE IS BECAUSE HE WAKES UP EVERY MORNING AND IT IS DIFFERENT. IT WILL WORK WITH DAVID HANDLING ALL THE CREWS IF THE PEERS WILL LET IT WORK; BUT, MR. STRICKLAND JUST ADDRESSED IT VERY WELL. PERHAPS IT IS ALL IN THE PAST NOW; BUT, SOMETIMES THERE HAS BEEN SOMEBODY ALMOST POUNDING ON THE DESK WANTING SOMETHING DONE TODAY OR TOMORROW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING IN DEFENSE OF COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S DISTRICT; SOMETIMES IT IS PROBABLY THE WORST LOOKING DISTRICT THEY ARE. HIS ROADS IS TRASH FROM ONE END TO THE OTHER. COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIM SENDING CREWS TO HELP COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND BECAUSE THE ROADS LOOKED BAD; BUT, THE NIGHTMARES IS FIXING TO START WITH THE HEADWALLS, DRIVEWAYS, GRASS, MOWERS, STOP SIGNS. HE REFERRED TO THE GRASS SEASON STARTING AND ADDRESSED IT TAKING TWO WEEKS TO CUT BETWEEN THE GUARD RAILS ON HIGHWAY 279. WHEN YOU CUT YOUR SERVICE IN HALF, A LOT OF IT IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE LET GO BECAUSE THERE IS FRICTION THEN BECAUSE EVERYBODY WANTS THEIR ROAD CUT. THE PRESSURE IS ON DAVID THEN.

MR. HAGAN SAID WHAT HE IS HEARING MR. ABBOTT SAY IS THE COMMISSIONERS WANT DO THAT ANYMORE; THEY WANT CALL AND ASK WHEN ARE THEY GOING TO GET SOMETHING DONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID A COMMISSIONER MAY CALL AND ASK; BUT, THEY AREN'T GOING TO DICTATE ON WHEN IT WILL BE DONE. MR. HAGAN SAID HE UNDERSTANDS.

MR. HAGAN, FOR CLARIFICATION, ASKED IF THE BOARD WOULD BRING THIS UP AT THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING OR IS THIS SOMETHING THEY NEED TO DECIDE BETWEEN NOW AND THURSDAY SO THEY HAVE A SENSE OF DIRECTION ON WHETHER OR NOT TO ADVERTISE FOR THE INMATE CREW SUPERVISOR POSITION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD THE BOARD THEY NEEDED TO LOOK AT THE COST EXPENSE OF GOING AND COMING, THE FUEL; THIS HAS TO BE LOOKED AT TOO. FROM HIS DISTRICT LINE TO HARD LABOR TO EAST RIVER, IT IS PROBABLY 35 MILES ONE WAY. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD BROCK THAT DAVID WAS LOOKING AT THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK WHEN THEY GO OVER THERE, THEY WILL WORK ALL DAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WOULD HOPE WHEN DAVID SENDS ONE OUT, THEY WILL BE IN THE SAME AREA AND IT MAY BE FOR THE FIRST TWO OR THREE DAYS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL HOPED NOBODY WOULD BE DRIVING FROM EBRO TO CHIPLEY TO DO SOME WORK IN THE AFTERNOON.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT WAS ALL GOING TO BE TURN YOUR WORK ORDERS IN AND GIVE THEM PLENTY OF TIME TO DO IT. THE ONLY THING THAT BREAKS THAT RULE IS IF THEY HAVE AN EMERGENCY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHO WAS GOING TO TURN IN WORK ORDERS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE WAS; IF HE HAS SOMETHING TO BE DONE, CALL PUBLIC WORKS AND LET THEM FILL OUT A WORK ORDER, THEY WILL SEE WHAT IT IS AND CHANNEL IT TO THE RIGHT PERSON.

MR. BARFIELD ADDRESSED DAVID DOES HIS OWN WORK ORDERS; BUT, IF ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS CALLS PUBLIC WORKS, THEY WILL FORWARD IT TO DAVID. ROGER SAID IF THEY CALL THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE, THEY WILL FORWARD IT TO DAVID.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID SOMETHING DOESN'T SOUND RIGHT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THERE IS NOT A PROBLEM WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK CALLING DAVID AND ASKING HIM WHEN HE IS GOING TO BE DONE; THE PROBLEM IS STOPPING HIM HERE AND MOVING HIM HERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM GETTING A PHONE CALL PERIODICALLY AND MOST OF THE TIME IT IS THE SAME INDIVIDUAL. HE WILL CALL DAVID AND TELL HIM THE INDIVIDUAL HAS CALLED HIM AND HE ASK DAVID WHEN HE THINKS HE WILL BE BACK IN THAT AREA. HE IS NOT ASKING DAVID TO STOP WHAT HE IS DOING AND RUN AND FIX THE PROBLEM. DAVID WILL TELL HIM HE WILL BE THERE WITHIN THE NEXT WEEK AND A HALF. ABBOTT CAN THEN GO BACK TO THE INDIVIDUAL AND TELL THEM THE WORK WILL BE DONE IN THE NEXT WEEK AND A HALF TO TWO WEEKS. THAT IS HIS ACTIVITY. HE IS NOT SAYING STOP HERE AND GO HERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO HIM AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND HAVING BIG DISTRICTS AREA WIDE FOR TRAVELLING. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE BAD THING ABOUT IT IS HIS VOTING DISTRICT IS DIFFERENT THAN HIS MAINTENANCE DISTRICT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID ALL OF THEIR VOTING DISTRICTS IS DIFFERENT FROM THEIR MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED HOW BAD THE PAPER IS ON HIGHWAY 280 BETWEEN 277 AND 79.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WAS LIKE MR. ABBOTT; HE WOULD LIKE TO DO SOME KIND OF EDUCATION PROGRAM TO TRY TO KEEP PEOPLE FROM THROWING STUFF OUT OF THEIR CAR.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID A LOT OF THAT IS GARBAGE; THEY WOULD LOAD THEIR GARBAGE UP AND INSTEAD OF CLOSING IT, IT WOULD BLOW OUT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID FOR THE MONEY, THIS IS PROBABLY THE BEST EMPLOYEE THE COUNTY COULD PUT IN SERVICE OUT HERE. THEY GET MORE FOR THAT EMPLOYEE. IF CORRECTIONAL SHUT DOWN TOMORROW AND THERE WAS NO MORE INMATES, WHAT WOULD THE COUNTY DO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY WOULD TAKE TWO OF THOSE SUPERVISORS

86-BCC
03-28-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 247

AND WORK THEM TOGETHER AND WOULD BE GETTING EIGHT TO TEN HOURS A DAY
WORK OUT OF THEM RATHER THAN FIVE OR SIX.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL, BEFORE THE CHAIRMAN CALLED FOR ADJOURNMENT,
ADDRESSED THE LETTER HE HAD SENT OUT LAST WEEK. HE WISHED HE COULD
HAVE COME AND TALKED TO EACH OF THE BOARD MEMBERS INDIVIDUALLY AND
TELL THEM THE EXACT SITUATION. HIS OFFICE HAS CHANGED A LITTLE BIT;
HIS JOB RESPONSIBILITIES HAS CHANGED AND HE IS JUST NOT ABLE
TO PERFORM THIS JOB THE WAY HE WOULD LIKE TO DO IT ANYMORE. IT WAS
BEST FOR HIM TO STEP ASIDE AND LET SOMEBODY ELSE PERFORM THIS JOB.
THAT IS WHY HE HAS RESIGNED. IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE COMPANY
BEING SOLD; THE COMPANY BEING SOLD WAS JUST COINCIDENTAL. THE SALE
OF THE COMPANY HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR THE LAST NINE TO TEN MONTHS. HE
STRUGGLED WITH THE SITUATION A LONG TIME AND HE HATES IT HAD TO COME
TO HIM HAVING TO RESIGN. HE HAS ENJOYED HIS TENURE ON THE COMMISSION
AND APPRECIATED ALL OF THE BOARD'S COOPERATION AS WELL AS THE
EMPLOYEES.

CHAIRMAN PATE ADJOURNED THE MEETING.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN