

APRIL 13, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, HOWELL, PATE, BROCK AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

MR. ROGER HAGAN PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED PRAYER. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT LED IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

CHAIRMAN PATE ADDRESSED MSBU BEING A CHARGED ISSUE. HE REQUESTED EVERYONE KEEP THIS MEETING CIVIL AND CONTROLLED.

ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN, COUNTY ATTORNEY, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON WHAT HE PERCEIVED HIS ROLE BEING HERE TODAY AND SOME THINGS HE THINKS THEY NEED TO ADDRESS AND DISCUSS. HE SAID AT THE MARCH 2011 REGULAR BOARD MEETING OUT IN SUNNY HILLS, THE BOARD REQUESTED HE GO BACK AND REVIEW THE TOTALITY AND HISTORY OF THE ORDINANCES REGARDING THE MSBU. THE SPECIFIC QUESTION THAT EVENING FOR HIM, AND HE DID NOT KNOW THE ANSWER TO IT AT THE TIME BECAUSE HE HAD NOT REVIEWED IN TOTALITY AT THAT POINT IN TIME, WAS THE ISSUE OF THE NECESSITY OR NEED PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCE OR ORDINANCES A COMMITTEE OR SUB-COMMITTEE, A GROUP OF RESIDENTS FROM THE SUNNY HILLS OR THE AFFECTED AREA OF THE MSBU. THAT IS THE AREA HE SPECIFICALLY LOOKED AT TO ADDRESS THE BOARD WITH TODAY. AFTER HE ADDRESSES THE BOARD WITH THAT ISSUE, HE ANTICIPATES THERE WILL BE A PRETTY THOROUGH DISCUSSION ABOUT A POLICY AND POSSIBLE RESTRUCTURING OF HOW THE MSBU WORKS AND OPERATES. HE WILL LEAVE THE POLICY DECISION IN THAT DISCUSSION TO THE BOARD. HE WILL CERTAINLY BE WILLING TO GIVE THE BOARD HIS INPUT ON WHAT HE SEES THE POTENTIAL DOWNFALLS AS; BUT, HE IS NOT UP HERE TO TALK ABOUT WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE OR SHOULDN'T BE AND WHO SHOULD BE ON ANY SUCH COMMITTEE REGARDING THE MSBU. HE DOES BELIEVE THEY HAVE MS. THARP IN THE AUDIENCE TODAY WHO IS WELL ADVERSED ON MSBU'S AND MSTU'S THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF FLORIDA. SHE SHOULD BE AN ASSET TO US ALONG

WITH MR. HAGAN, WHO HAS BEEN A BENEFIT. HE SAID HE HAD ALSO TALKED TO SOME MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY WHO HAS BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE PROCESS AND HE HAS HEARD THEIR CONCERNS. HE WANTED TO GET A HISTORY OF HOW THEY GOT FROM POINT A TO POINT Z STANDING HERE TODAY. HE FEELS LIKE HE IS BETTER VERSED NOW TO AT LEAST PARTICIPATE AND GIVE SOME INPUT WITH RESPECT TO WHAT IS GOING ON.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN BEGAN BY TALKING BRIEFLY ABOUT THE 2001 ORDINANCE WHICH REALLY SET FORTH THE DIAGRAM UNDER WHICH WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS WORKED AND REALLY CONTINUED TO WORK FOR THE PAST TEN YEARS APPROXIMATELY. APPARENTLY BACK IN THE LATE 1990'S, AROUND 2000, THERE WAS A DISCUSSION IN THE AREA THAT IS AFFECTED TO POSSIBLY DO SOME THINGS OR GET SOME REVENUE SOURCES TO IMPROVE THAT AREA OF OUR COUNTY. THEY BROUGHT A PETITION BEFORE THE BOARD AND CONCERNS BEFORE THE BOARD AND HE GUESSED THE BOARD AT THAT TIME ADOPTED WHAT IS THE FRAMEWORK OF THE CURRENT MSBU IN ORDINANCE 2001-4. REALLY WHAT THE BOARD DID THERE WAS TO SET FORTH A PLAN OF WHAT THE MSBU WOULD BE IN A GENERALIZED SENSE IN THE COUNTY; WHAT IT WOULD BE USED FOR. IN SECTION 6 OF THE ORDINANCE, IT TALKS IN DETAIL ABOUT HAVING A COMMITTEE WITH INPUT. HE BELIEVES AT THE TIME THERE WAS A FEELING ON THE BOARD THE PEOPLE WHO WERE AFFECTED OR BEING ASSESSED MONEY PURSUANT TO THE MSBU ORDINANCE SHOULD HAVE INPUT WITH WHERE AND HOW THAT MONEY WAS SPENT. THE BOARD KEPT CONTROL OF THE OVERALL STRUCTURE AND THE POLICY AND PROCEDURE; BUT, GAVE THE ABILITY TO HAVE INPUT FROM THE CITIZENS IN THE AFFECTED AREA. THERE WAS ALSO A SEPARATE POSITION CREATED, KIND OF A MANAGER OF THE AREA, PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE 2001. FROM WHAT HE UNDERSTANDS, FROM 2001 TO 2006-2007, THERE WAS, HE DIDN'T WANT TO USE THE WORD DISFUNCTION, THE BOARD HAD ISSUES WITH HOW THE COMMITTEE WAS FUNCTIONING, THE STABILITY OF THE COMMITTEE AND THERE WAS SOME CONCERN, FROM WHAT HE GATHERED, SOME OF THE INTERLINKS BETWEEN THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION IN SUNNY HILLS AND THE MSBU COMMITTEE. HE BELIEVES IN 2006-2007, SOME OF THE PRESENT BOARD WAS ON THE BOARD AND WILL REMEMBER THIS,

THERE WAS A FEELING THE COMMITTEE PURSUANT TO SECTION 6 SHOULD BE RESTRUCTURED AND AT THAT TIME THE BOARD RESTRUCTURED HOW THAT COMMITTEE WOULD BE FORMED, HOW MANY PEOPLE WOULD BE ON THAT COMMITTEE, HOW IT WOULD BE GOVERNED AND SET THAT IN TO MOTION IN ORDINANCE 2007-2.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THE UNIQUE THING ABOUT ORDINANCE 2007-2 WAS THAT THIS BOARD IN THE ORDINANCE DID NOT ADDRESS ANYTHING FROM THE 2001 ORDINANCE REGARDING THE MSBU'S FRAMEWORK OR SCALE. ALL IT REALLY ADDRESSED IN 2007 WAS SECTION 6 AND IT DIDN'T ELIMINATE THE MSBU COMMITTEE; IT JUST RESTRUCTURED IT AND AFFECTUATED IT IN A DIFFERENT WAY HE GUESSED THE BOARD AT THAT TIME FELT WOULD RELIEVE SOME OF THE STRESS AND INFIGHTING ON THAT COMMITTEE. FROM WHAT HE CAN GATHER, THAT DIDN'T WORK OUT VERY WELL EITHER. THE INFIGHTING IN THE COMMITTEE CONTINUED. HE THINKS THE COMMITTEE PROBABLY HAD TROUBLE KEEPING MEMBERS OR RETAINING MEMBERS BECAUSE OF THE FRUSTRATION LEVEL SOME OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS HAD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED IN EARLY 2009, THE BOARD PASSED ORDINANCE 2009-2. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO REMEMBER ORDINANCE 2007-2 ONLY ADDRESSED SECTION 6, WHICH WAS THE MAKEUP OF THE COMMITTEE. FROM WHAT HE CAN GATHER IN 2009, THE BOARD WAS SOMEWHAT DISGUSTED WITH THE WHOLE PROCESS AND THE INFIGHTING; SO, THE BOARD WENT IN A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT DIRECTION. SO WHAT THEY DID IN 2009-2, THEY BASICALLY TOOK OUT SECTION 6, WHICH WAS THIS CITIZEN COMMITTEE SO TO SPEAK THAT WAS GIVING INPUT TO THE BOARD AND THE BOARD SAID NOT ONLY ARE WE GOING TO DEAL WITH THE ISSUES INHOUSE AS A BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND NOT HAVE THIS COMMITTEE OF CITIZENS; BUT, WE ARE ALSO GOING TO PICK ESSENTIALLY WHO THE MSBU COORDINATOR IS GOING TO BE. HE BELIEVES MR. HAGAN HAS DONE THAT NOW FOR A FEW YEARS. REALLY WHAT HAPPENED IN 2009, THE ISSUE THE BOARD WANTED HIM TO ADDRESS WAS DO WE HAVE A COMMITTEE. IS THERE A NEED FOR A COMMITTEE UNDER OUR MOST RECENT ORDINANCE. THE SIMPLE ANSWER TO THAT IS NO. THE BOARD FELT LIKE IN 2009, THEY WERE GOING TO TAKE BACK, NOT NECESSARILY TAKE IT BACK BECAUSE THEY NEVER HAD IT ALL TO BEGIN WITH; BUT, THEY WERE

GOING TO HANDLE BUDGETARY, PROCEDURAL AND EVERY OTHER MATTER REGARDING THE MSBU AT THE BOARD LEVEL AND NOT NECESSARILY HAVE INPUT AS FAR AS A COMMITTEE GOES FROM THE CITIZENS THAT ARE AFFECTED BY THIS MSBU.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED HE WAS NOT ADVISING THE BOARD AT ALL WITH RESPECT TO POLICY AND WHETHER THAT IS A GOOD DECISION OR A BAD DECISION; THAT WAS THE DECISION THE BOARD MADE IN 2009. HE ADDRESSED FLORIDA STATUTE 125.01Q AND R PRETTY MUCH SET FORTH HOW A BOARD BY LOCAL RULE CAN ESTABLISH AN MSBU; IT GIVES THE BOARD GREAT AUTHORITY IN KIND OF CONTROLLING THAT PROCESS. HE FEELS LIKE FROM A STATUTORY STANDPOINT, THERE DOES NOT HAVE TO BE ANY SPECIFIC COMMITTEE. FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT, THAT MAY BE A DIFFERENT ANSWER AND THAT WILL BE UP TO THE BOARD TO DECIDE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE QUESTION THE BOARD ASKED HIM WITH RESPECT TO THE NEED, PURSUANT TO THEIR ORDINANCE, OF A COMMITTEE; THE ANSWER IS "NO." BUT, HE WANTS TO GET INTO SOMETHING A LITTLE BIT DEEPER THAN THAT. THAT IS WHAT THE 2001 ORDINANCE SAID, THE 2007 SAID AND WHAT THE 2009 ORDINANCE DID OR DIDN'T DO. HE EXPLAINED BASICALLY IN 2009 WHEN THEY REWROTE THE ORDINANCE, THEY KEPT MOST OF THE LANGUAGE OF THE 2001 ORDINANCE; THEY CHANGED IT HERE AND THERE, KIND OF PICKED AND CHOSED. BUT, THEY LEFT SOME THINGS THEY PROBABLY SHOULDN'T HAVE LEFT IN THERE BECAUSE THEY ARE CONFUSING, AMBIGUOUS AND THE 2001 ORDINANCE WAS OKAY AT BEST. IT REALLY WASN'T REALLY WRITTEN VERY WELL. THE 2009 ORDINANCE AND THE WAY THEY LEFT THE 2001 ORDINANCE IN PLACE CERTAINLY HE THINKS NEEDS TO BE READDRSSED, REWRITTEN AND CLARIFIED ON SOME NOT ONLY DEFINITION MATTERS BUT ON SOME PROCEDURAL MATTERS AS WELL BECAUSE IT LEFT IN PLACE SOME THINGS IN THE 2001 ORDINANCE THAT DID NOT NEED TO BE LEFT IN PLACE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HIS RECOMMENDATION, AND HE HAS TALKED WITH MR. HAGAN ABOUT THIS AND CERTAINLY WOULD LIKE TO GET THE BOARD'S APPROVAL, WOULD BE STEMMING FROM THIS DISCUSSION WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TODAY MAYBE ON POLICY ISSUES AND WHAT WE WANT TO DO POTENTIALLY WITH THE MSBU IS AT THAT POINT, ONCE WE MAKE SOME DECISIONS THERE, ALLOW

HIM TO REDRAFT AN ORDINANCE WHICH AFFECTIVELY REPEALS ALL OTHER ORDINANCES AND SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSES THE NEW ORDINANCE AS THE ORDINANCE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY. GOODMAN SAID HE IS SOMEWHAT CONCERNED ABOUT THE LACK OF LANGUAGE IN THE 2009 ORDINANCE WHICH DISCUSSES HOW IT SUPERCEDES AND AFFECTUATES OTHER ORDINANCES AND HOW THEY INTERPLAY. HE THINKS IT WOULD BE A GOOD THING FOR THE BOARD TO ALLOW HIM TO LOOK AT THE ORDINANCE AS A WHOLE AFTER GETTING INPUT FROM WHAT THEY WANT TO DO FROM A POLICY PROSPECTIVE AND GO FROM THERE. HE WILL ASK MR. HAGAN TO SPEAK ON HIS THOUGHTS. HE KNOWS THERE ARE SOME OTHER PEOPLE THAT HAVE HAD SOME HISTORY THAT WANTS TO SPEAK ON THEIR THOUGHTS. IRRESPECTIVE OF WHAT THE BOARD DOES FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT, GOODMAN THOUGHT IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA TO MAYBE REDRAFT AND RECREATE THE MSBU ORDINANCE. HE DOESN'T THINK THE ONE THEY HAVE RIGHT NOW IS NECESSARILY NOT AFFECTIVE; HE DOES THINK, AT LEAST THE 2001 VERSION, SUFFICES FOR WHAT WAS GOING ON AT THE TIME. BUT, HE THINKS THEY CAN PRODUCE A MUCH BETTER DOCUMENT THAT IS CLEAR AND GIVES EVERYBODY A LITTLE BIT MORE FLEXIBILITY AND DETAIL GOING FORWARD. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD HE COULD ANSWER BEFORE THEY MAY HEAR FROM OTHER SPEAKERS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WAS ALSO PREPARED TO DISCUSS TODAY IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE, AT THE END OF THE MSBU DISCUSSION, MR. ABBOTT'S DRINKING WATER ISSUE AT THE PARKS. HE IS ALSO PREPARED TO ADDRESS THE CURRENT POLICY AND MAYBE SOME THINGS THE BOARD CAN DO WITH RESPECT TO THEIR PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST AND HOW THAT PROCESS GOES.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED, IN ATTORNEY GOODMAN'S OPINION, IF THE 2009 ORDINANCE DOESN'T ELIMINATE THE 2001 ORDINANCE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE THOUGHT IT COULD BE CLEARER IN THE MANNER IN WHICH IT DOES SUPERCEDE IT. HE THINKS A RE-REVISION TALKING ABOUT HOW THEY INTERPLAY; HE THINKS IT DEALS WITH IT BETTER IN THE TITLE SOMEWHAT THAN THE WAY IT ACTUALLY PLAYS OUT IN THE ORDINANCE. HE THINKS THE ANSWER TO COMMISSIONER HOWELL'S QUESTION IS "YES." THE 2009 SUPERCEDES 2001; HE WISHED THEY WOULD HAVE SOME STRONGER LANGUAGE TALKING

ABOUT THE INTERPLAY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TENDED TO AGREE WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN; BUT, HE JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THE 2009 ORDINANCE DOES SUPERCEDE THE 2001 ORDINANCE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE BELIEVED THAT WAS THE INTENT OF THE 2009 ORDINANCE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT WHETHER THEY WANT TO ACTUALLY CONTINUE THE MSBU. HE THINKS THAT NEEDS TO BE PUT ON THE TABLE AND DISCUSSED. IN HIS OPINION, HE THINKS THE MSBU IS A GOOD THING FOR THE COMMUNITY AND HE THINKS PERSONALLY IT SHOULD BE CONTINUED. THERE AGAIN, THE BOARD HAS TO DECIDE IF THAT IS WHAT THEY WANT TO DO AND IF THAT IS WHAT THEY WANT TO DO, THEN THAT IS WHERE THEY NEED TO GO WITH IT WITH THEIR DISCUSSION. IF THAT IS NOT WHAT THE BOARD WANTS TO DO, THEIR DISCUSSION NEEDS TO GO IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN BELIEVED IF THE BOARD WANTS TO DISBAN THE MSBU, THERE IS A PROCESS BY WHICH THE BOARD CAN DO THAT. HE THINKS THAT THE BIG ISSUE THEY MAY WANT TO GET SOME EXPERTISE IN IS HOW THE DEBT FOR SOME OF THE PROJECTS TIES IN. THAT IS THE 2000 POUND ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM THEY ARE JUST STARING AT BECAUSE WITHOUT THAT DEBT, THE WINDING DOWN OF THE MSBU IS A MUCH EASIER AND MORE EFFICIENT PROCESS. HE BELIEVES IT CAN BE DONE. IF THE BOARD MAKES THE DETERMINATION, LETS GET SOME EXPERTISE; JEFF, MAYBE SOME FINANCIAL PEOPLE OF HOW WE CAN GET RID OF THE DEBT, ELIMINATE IT OR RESTRUCTURE THE DEBT IN THE DISSOLVEMENT OF THE MSBU. THAT IS GOING TO BE THE FIRST QUESTION HE THINKS. WITHOUT THAT DEBT, HE IS SITTING HERE LOOKING AT THE BOARD SAYING "GUYS, IF WE WANT TO DISBAN THE MSBU, I THINK IT IS A VERY REALISTIC POSSIBILITY." IT IS NOT GOING TO HAPPEN OVERNIGHT; BUT, HE THINKS THEY CAN IMPLEMENT A PLAN TO MAKE IT SMOOTH AND MAKE IT HAPPEN. THAT DEBT, FROM WHAT HE READ AND FROM WHAT HE GATHERS, IS REALLY THE OBSTACLE THE BOARD, IF THEY WANT TO THINK ABOUT DISBANNING THE MSBU, NEEDS TO SET OUT A GROUP OF PEOPLE TO FIGURE OUT A SOLUTION TO THAT. THAT IS GOING TO BE THE BIG

ELEPHANT FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED COULDN'T THEY KEEP THE MSBU UNTIL THE DEBT IS PAID AND THEN DO AWAY WITH IT. HE HAS BEEN HERE AND WHAT HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE, SINCE MR. HOWELL BROUGHT IT UP, IS DO AWAY WITH THE MSBU; PAY THE DEBT OFF AND FOUR OR FIVE YEARS DOWN THE ROAD HAVE ALL IT TAKES TO DO THE DEBT AND DO AWAY WITH IT. SOMEWHERE DOWN THE LINE, IF THEY WANT TO START ANOTHER ONE, THAT IS FINE. BUT, THEY JUST NEED TO PAY THE DEBT OFF AND TREAT SUNNY HILLS JUST LIKE THEY DO ANY OTHER PART OF THE COUNTY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID SURE. HE THINKS IT IS PROBABLY GOOD TO GET MS. THARP'S EXPERTISE IN THIS AS WELL SINCE SHE IS HERE TODAY AND DEALS WITH THESE ISSUES ON A MUCH BROADER SCALE THAN WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE THINKS THE ANSWER TO COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S QUESTION IS "YES." BUT, IF THEY GET RID OF THAT DEBT, IT WILL BE MUCH EASIER TO DISSOLVE THE MSBU IF THAT IS THE BOARD'S POLICY DECISION. THAT IS THE BIG HURDLE THEY HAVE TO JUMP TO GET RID OF THIS THING IF THAT IS THE DIRECTION THEY ARE GOING TO HEAD.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID SINCE WE ARE THERE NOW, THAT IS WHAT HE WANTS TO SEE; EVERYBODY BE UPFRONT AND PUT ALL THE CARDS ON THE TABLE, THAT IS WHAT HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE DONE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THIS IS A DECISION THE BOARD HAS TO MAKE. THAT DECISION, EVERYTHING ELSE THEY TALK ABOUT HINGES ON THAT. EITHER THEY DO IT OR THEY ARE NOT GOING TO DO IT. THEY HAVE GOTTEN A SURVEY THEY HAVE SENT OUT, HOWEVER GOOD OR BAD THAT IS. EVERYTHING ATTORNEY GOODMAN TALKED ABOUT IS DOABLE; IT IS JUST A MATTER OF WORKING OUT A WAY TO DO IT. IF THEY KEEP IT, IT NEEDS TO BE STRUCTURED ORDERLY AND AFFECTIVELY. IT HAS NOT BEEN DONE THAT WAY FOR THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS. THIS COUNTY HAS GOT TO MAKE A DECISION TO DO THAT IF THEY ARE GOING TO KEEP THE MSBU.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WANTED TO MAKE SURE HE WAS UNDERSTANDING THIS AND ASKED THE BOARD TO LOOK AT PAGE 35 ON THE MSBU PROPERTY OWNERS OPINION SURVEY. HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE ALL THE BOARD

HAS THE SAME UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THIS SAYS. THERE HAS BEEN A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY SPENT ON THIS SURVEY AS GOOD OR BAD AS IT MAY BE. HE REFERRED TO PAGE 35 LISTED CESSATION VERSUS REORGANIZATION CONTINUED; ON THE BOTTOM, THE BAR GRAPH, MAYBE THEY COULD GET MS. THARP TO GO OVER THIS WITH THEM.

DUE TO MS. THARP NOT DOING THE SURVEY, SHE COULDN'T GO OVER THE SURVEY WITH THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED MR. ABBOTT WHERE HE WAS GOING WITH THIS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE SURVEY LISTED CURRENT RESIDENTS EXPRESSED SIGNIFICANTLY MORE SUPPORT FOR BOTH THE CESSATION AND REORGANIZATION OF THE MSBU THAN THEIR NON RESIDENT COUNTERPARTS. ON THE BAR GRAPH, OVERALL 59% OF NON RESIDENTS STATE THEY DO NOT KNOW OR HAVE NO OPINION ON WHETHER THE MSBU SHOULD CEASE OR REORGANIZE WHILE ONLY 23% OF THE CURRENT RESIDENTS REPORT THEY HAVE NO OPINION. THE NEXT PARAGRAPH STATES "IN TOTAL, 23% OF CURRENT RESIDENTS WOULD LIKE FOR THE MSBU TO BE TERMINATED. SO 77% OF THE CURRENT RESIDENTS WANT IT TO REMAIN OR DIDN'T RESPOND.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BAR GRAPH AGAIN STATING CESSATION, TO GET RID OF IT, 23% WANT THE MSBU GONE, 11% OF NON-RESIDENTS WANT IT GONE. ON THE CENTER BAR GRAPH, REORGANIZATION, 54% OF THE RESIDENTS THAT CURRENTLY LIVE THERE ARE ASKING FOR IT TO REMAIN; 30% OF THE NON RESIDENTS ARE ASKING FOR THE MSBU TO REMAIN. SO AT 54% OF THE PEOPLE THAT ARE LIVING IN THE MSBU AREA, ACCORDING TO THIS BAR GRAPH IS ASKING FOR THE MSBU TO REMAIN AND FOR IT TO BE REORGANIZED. ON THE LAST BAR GRAPH, THE PEOPLE THAT DOESN'T HAVE AN OPINION, 23% OF THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE THERE DOESN'T HAVE AN OPINION AND 59% OF THE PEOPLE WHO DO NOT LIVE THERE DON'T HAVE AN OPINION. IF THEY TAKE THE 23% THAT WANTS TO GET RID OF IT, THE 54% THAT WANTS IT TO STAY, THE 23% THAT DON'T KNOW, 54% IS HIGHER THAN THE 46%.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED WHAT ABOUT THE 59%. COMMISSIONER

ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THE 59% THAT DIDN'T HAVE AN OPINION THAT DIDN'T LIVE THERE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THE SURVEY BASICALLY SAID THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE DOWN THERE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT REORGANIZED.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID REORGANIZATION DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THERE HAS TO BE AN MSBU; THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THEM THROUGH A DIFFERENT VEHICLE BASICALLY TO OPERATE THE WAY THEY WANT TO, HANDLE THE MONEY, COLLECT THE MONEY, DO WHATEVER THEY WANT TO. THE PROBLEM WITH MSBU EVER SINCE HE HAS BEEN ON THIS BOARD IS IT HAS NEVER, REGARDLESS OF WHO RAN IT, BEEN HANDLED THE WAY IT OUGHT TO HAVE BEEN. WHEN THEY HAD THE COMMITTEE, IT WAS ALL THE TIME FUSSING AND FIGHTING. ONE WANTS TO SPEND IT OVER HERE, ONE WANTS TO SPEND IT THAT WAY AND ONE WANTS TO SPEND IT ANOTHER WAY. THAT IS BASICALLY THE REASON IT STARTED ALL THIS STUFF TRYING TO STRAIGHTEN IT OUT AND GET IT COHESION DOWN THERE. HE STATED HE DIDN'T THINK THERE IS A TOTAL SOLUTION TO THE MSBU OTHER THAN POSSIBLY DOING AWAY WITH IT AND PAYING OFF THE DEBT OR PAYING OFF THE DEBT AND LET THEM GO TO AN MSTU WHERE THEY TAX THEMSELVES AND SPEND IT THE WAY THEY WANT TO; HAVE THEIR OWN LITTLE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT DIDN'T WORK BEFORE AND ITS NOT GOING TO WORK NOW. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT WAS MSBU WITH HOWELL SAYING IT DIDN'T MATTER.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED MSBU SENDING THINGS IN HERE AND SPENDING IT ON THINGS THAT WAS NOT IN THAT ORIGINAL 2001 THING THERE. BUT, THAT TAKES THE BOARD OUT OF THE EQUATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID, THERE AGAIN, THE COUNTY DIDN'T HAVE ANY OVERSIGHT EITHER. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT IS NOT THE COUNTY'S BLAME OR ALL SUNNY HILLS BLAME; IT IS A COLLECTIVE BLAME FOR EVERYBODY INVOLVED IN THIS THING AND IT HAS BEEN SINCE THE LAST 4.5 YEARS HE KNOWS ABOUT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL DISAGREED; HE THINKS IT IS THE COUNTY'S

PROBLEM. THE COUNTY CREATED IT AND PICKED THE PEOPLE TO RUN IT; THEY DIDN'T RUN IT VERY WELL. THE BOARD DIDN'T LIKE THE WAY THEY DID IT SO THEY CHANGED IT; NOW, THE BOARD HAS IT AND THEY HAVEN'T RUN IT VERY WELL. IT IS THE BOARD'S RESPONSIBILITY TO DO IT AND WE HAVEN'T DONE A VERY GOOD JOB WITH IT. HE CAN'T AGREE IT IS EVERYBODY'S RESPONSIBILITY. A LOT OF PEOPLE HAVE BEEN INVOLVED; BUT, ULTIMATELY THE COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE AND WE HAVEN'T DONE IT VERY WELL. UNTIL WE PICK SOMEBODY OR MAKE A DECISION WHAT TO DO WITH IT, REORGANIZE IT AND MANAGE IT BETTER, IT IS NOT GOING TO BE ANY BETTER. MOST OF THE PEOPLE DOWN THERE DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT AN MSBU IS. THE SURVEY PLAINLY TOLD THEM THAT. MOST OF THE PEOPLE THE SURVEY WAS SENT TO DIDN'T KNOW WHAT AN MSBU WAS; BUT, YET THEY ARE PAYING A \$30 A YEAR ASSESSMENT. PERSONALLY, HE THINKS IT IS THE BEST DEAL ANYBODY CAN GET FOR \$30; THERE AGAIN, IT NEEDS TO BE MANAGED WELL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT FIRST OF ALL, THE BOARD IS ON A PATH THAT IT HAS GOT TO MAKE A DETERMINATION AFTER GETTING INPUT FROM NOT ONLY SO MUCH CONCERNED PEOPLE THAT ARE AFFECTED BY THE MSBU; BUT, OUR PEOPLE AS WELL SUCH AS MR. HAGAN AND THE PEOPLE THAT WORK IT ON A REGULAR BASIS TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE ISSUES ARE AND THEN MAKE A DECISION IF THEY WANT TO DISSOLVE THE MSBU OR DISBAN IT. DO THEY WANT TO GIVE A TASK OF HOW TO DO THAT TO HIM OR TO A GROUP OF PEOPLE TO BRING BACK TO THE BOARD TO DISCUSS THE TIMEFRAME AND HOW TO GET RID OF THE DEBT. IF THAT IS NOT THE CASE, HE THINKS THE BOARD SEEMS TO BE IN SOMEWHAT OF AGREEMENT IT NEEDS TO BE REORGANIZED OR RUN BETTER. HE THINKS THEY ARE EITHER AT THE "WE ARE GOING TO GET ON A PATH OF DISBANNING IT AND LET THE PEOPLE THAT ARE AFFECTED BY IT REORGANIZE IF THEY SO CHOOSE IN THE METHODS PROVIDED BY FLORIDA STATUTES OR THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT THE CURRENT ISSUES ARE AND HOW THEY ARE GOING TO RESTRUCTURE EITHER COMMITTEES, MANAGEMENT, ETC." HE THINKS IF THEY GO THAT PATH, THEY WOULD NEED TO GET SOME INPUT FROM MR. HAGAN AND SOME OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE CONCERNS

OF WHAT COULD OR SHOULD BE DONE BETTER FROM THAT STANDPOINT. HE THOUGHT THE BOARD WAS AT THAT FORK IN THE ROAD WHERE THEY ARE EITHER ON "LETS GET A PLAN TO DISBAN; A TIMETABLE AND HAVE A PLAN TO DO IT AND SET FORTH PEOPLE AND FIGURE OUT HOW WE ARE GOING TO DEAL WITH THE DEBT OR THEY ARE AT HOW ARE WE GOING TO REORGANIZE AND WHAT ARE THE PROBLEMS WE WANT TO ADDRESS IN THEIR REORGANIZATION." THAT IS WHERE HE SEES THE BOARD AT RIGHT NOW. HE URGED THE BOARD TO GET AS MUCH INPUT AS THEY CAN ON THOSE ISSUES BEFORE MAKING A DECISION ON THE MSBU.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN; DISBAN AND PAY OFF THE DEBT OR REORGANIZE. THE MAIN THING IS THE DEBT IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE PAID OFF ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. HE HAS MADE HIS FEELINGS FROM THE WORD GET GO WHEN THINGS STARTED GOING SOUR. IT DIDN'T ACTUALLY MATTER TO HIM WHETHER IT IS AN MSTU, MSBU, OR WHATEVER IT IS DOWN THERE. GET THAT DEBT PAID AND WHATEVER MAKES THE MOST PEOPLE DOWN THERE HAPPY SUITS HIM FINE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT, IF IT WAS THE DECISION OF THE BOARD ON AN ONGOING BASIS THEY WANT TO PLAN TO DISSOLVE, THE NEXT STEP WOULD BE TO SET ANOTHER WORKSHOP IN THIRTY TO SIXTY DAYS, GET A TEAM TOGETHER TO GET A PLAN TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD ON WHAT IT WILL TAKE TO DISBAN WITH THE DEBT BEING THE PRIMARY CONCERN. HE THINKS SOMEBODY HAS GOT TO LAY FORTH A PRETTY DETAILED PLAN OF DEBT CONTROL, DEBT REDUCTION AND THEN THE WIND DOWN PROCESS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT AT THE BOARD MEETING ON APRIL 28TH, THE BOARD NEEDS TO MAKE THE DECISION WHETHER TO KEEP THE MSBU OR NOT. HAVE A VOTE, DECIDE IF THEY WANT TO KEEP THE MSBU OR NOT AND IF THAT IS THE CASE, THEN MOVE FORWARD LIKE MR. GOODMAN IS SAYING AND REORGANIZE OR WHATEVER. IF THEY ARE NOT GOING TO KEEP IT, THEN GO FORWARD WITH SOME TYPE OF DISBANMENT. THE BOARD HAS TO MAKE THE DECISION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM HAVING A BOOK THAT WAS LOANED

TO HIM BY A PERSONAL FRIEND AND IT HAS MINUTES OF A LOT OF THE BOARD MEETINGS FROM THE MSBU BACK FROM THE VERY BEGINNING. IN READING THROUGH THIS, AND HE ADDRESSED HIM KNOWING THERE IS A COUPLE OF BOARD MEMBERS THAT IS ON THE BOARD NOW WHEN THIS MSBU STARTED AND THERE IS SOME OF US THAT WASN'T, AND BY JUST READING THEIR MINUTES, THERE WAS NO ORDER IN THEIR BOARD MEETING. HE ASKED IF THAT IS WHY THE COUNTY HAD TAKEN THE MSBU DECISION MAKING OVER. HE ASKED WHY IS IT THE BOARD'S DECISION ON HOW THE MSBU MONEY IS BEING SPENT AND NOT THE COMMUNITIES.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID FIRST OF ALL THERE IS NOBODY ON THE BOARD NOW THAT WAS HERE WHEN THE MSBU FIRST STARTED BACK IN 2001. HE AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND WERE THE ONLY ONES ON THE BOARD WHEN SOME OF THOSE CHANGES PUT THEM ON BOARD SINCE 2006. THERE WAS A LOT OF FRICTION ON THAT BOARD, THERE WAS MONEY BEING SPENT IN AREAS IT SHOULDN'T BE SPENT AND THAT PARTICULAR BOARD WAS BASICALLY OPERATING LIKE A COUNCIL AND THEY HAD A PERSON UP HERE THAT SIGNED EVERYTHING THAT COME BEFORE THEM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE MSBU WASN'T BEING RUN VERY WELL; IT WAS CONSTANT FIGHTING AND YOU COULDN'T GO TO ONE OF THEIR BOARD MEETINGS WITHOUT AN ARGUMENT BREAKING OUT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT WAS VERY OBVIOUS IN THE BOOK HE HAD; HE AGREED THE BOARD NEEDED TO EITHER DECIDE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE MSBU OR NOT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE PEOPLE HE TALKED TO IN THE SUNNY HILLS RESIDENCES ALL HAVE DIFFERENT OPINIONS. HE DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH WEIGHT THIS BOARD WANTS TO PUT INTO THIS SURVEY; BE IT GOOD OR BAD THERE WAS A LOT OF MONEY SPENT ON THIS SURVEY AND THE SURVEY SAID THAT 54% OF THE RESIDENTS THAT LIVED THERE IN SUNNY HILLS WANTS THE MSBU TO CONTINUE AND REORGANIZE. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO MAKE SURE HE IS READING PAGE 35 RIGHT.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED THAT IS WHAT THE SURVEY SAID; 54% FOR REORGANIZATION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED THAT WAS THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE

THAT LIVE IN SUNNY HILLS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDED "THAT RESPONDED TO THE SURVEY."

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE SURVEY SHOWED 59% THAT DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO DO WITH THE MSBU. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS PEOPLE THAT ARE NOT THERE; PEOPLE THAT DON'T LIVE THERE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THOSE PEOPLE STILL PAY MONEY ON IT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER HOWELL THEY NEED TO VOTE WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO KEEP IT OR DISBAN IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REGARDING WHAT THE SURVEY SAYS; THE SURVEY SPEAKS FOR ITSELF AND WHAT THE QUESTIONS WERE AND WHAT THE ANSWERS WERE. HE KNOWS THERE IS GOING TO BE A LOT OF HEATED CONTENTION ON HOW THE QUESTIONS WERE ASKED, HOW THEY WERE STRUCTURED, ETC; BUT, HE DOES THINK IT IS A PIECE OF THE PIE THE BOARD HAS TO CONSIDER OR THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE DONE IT THE FIRST PLACE. BUT, HE THINKS IT NEEDS TO BE CONSIDERED; BUT, HE ALSO THINKS CONSIDERATION NEEDS TO BE FROM THIS BOARD'S PROSPECTIVE, IF THEY ASSUME SLIGHTLY OVER HALF OF THE PEOPLE OUT THERE WANT IT REORGANIZED AND CONTINUED, THEY STILL ARE LEFT WITH "DOES THE BOARD WANT TO CONTINUE TO HANDLE THE MSBU ISSUE IN THIS COUNTY. IS IT WORTH CONTINUING TO HANDLE IF THEY DON'T HAVE TO HANDLE IT." THAT IS A POLICY DECISION. THE SECOND DECISION THAT ARISES THERE "IS THE REORGANIZATION THEY ARE ASKING FEASIBLE, WITH THE REORGANIZATION THE BOARD IS GOING TO PUT INTO PLACE, IS THAT THE REORGANIZATION THAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT IN THEIR ANSWER THAT IS GOING TO MAKE THEM APPEASED." HE DIDN'T THINK THEY CAN ANSWER THAT QUESTION; HE THINKS THAT IS A SUB ISSUE OF THE 54% OR 58% IN THE WAY THEY ANSWERED. BUT, HE THINKS THE BOARD HAS TO CONSIDER WHETHER WITH THOSE NUMBERS, WITH THIS ISSUE AND THE COMPLEXITY AND THE HEAT AROUND IT, THERE IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE THIS FRICTION. THAT HAS GOT TO BE A DECISION THIS BOARD MAKES AS TO WHETHER THAT FRICTION IS WORTH HANDLING AND RESPONDING TO AS A BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OR IS IT NOT WITH THE PEOPLE THAT LIKE OR DON'T LIKE IT ACCORDING TO THE SURVEY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED MR. HAGAN COME TO THE PODIUM AND GIVE THE BOARD SOME GENERAL IDEAS OF WHAT THE MSBU IS PAYING FOR. HE REFERRED TO THERE BEING A LOT OF STREET LIGHTS IN SUNNY HILLS AND ASKED IF THEY WERE ALL BEING PAID FOR BY THE MSBU.

MR. HAGAN REPORTED THE LIGHTS ON THE BOULEVARD ARE; HE THINKS THERE IS SOME PERSONAL LIGHTS IN THERE. MOST OF WHAT IS IN THERE IS BEING PAID FOR BY THE MSBU; ALL THE BOULEVARD LIGHTS AND SOME OF THE CORNER LIGHTS AND LIGHTS OUT AT THE INTERSECTION OF SHENENDOAH AND HIGHWAY 77, THE ONES ON HIGHWAY 77.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR CLARIFICATION, SAID SO THE LIGHTS DOWN SUNNY HILLS BOULEVARD IS BEING PAID FOR BY THE MSBU. MR. HAGAN STATED THAT IS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THEY DISSOLVE THE MSBU, THEN THE STREET LIGHTS WILL GO AWAY. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT WOULD BE HIS OPINION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE EXTRA MOWINGS AND SAID ACCORDING TO THE BOOK HE HAS, THE COUNTY IS PROVIDING TWO MOWINGS A YEAR DOWN THE BOULEVARD AND IS SUPPOSE TO BE PROVIDING TWO MOWINGS A YEAR IN THE COUNTY AREAS. THERE IS ADDITIONAL MONIES BEING SPENT FROM THE MSBU TO MOW THE BOULEVARD, SHOULDERS OF THE ROAD, ETC.

MR. HAGAN SAID IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS, YES SIR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WHEN THE MSBU GOES AWAY, THE ADDITIONAL MOWING IS GOING TO GO AWAY. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT WAS HIS OPINION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING ELSE THE MSBU IS SUBSIDIZING THAT IS GOING TO BE A MAJOR FACTOR FOR THE RESIDENTS THERE.

MR. HAGAN DIDN'T THINK THERE CURRENTLY IS; HE THINKS THAT IS PART OF THE REASON WE ARE HERE TODAY IS THE LAST TWO TO THREE YEARS THERE FAILED TO BE SOME PROJECTS IDENTIFIED OR THE EXTENSION OF SOME OF THINGS THAT HAD BEGAN PREVIOUSLY INCLUDING SOME OF THE THINGS LIKE ADDITIONAL FIRE PLUGS ON THE WATER SYSTEMS. THERE IS JUST A MIRROR OF THINGS THAT COULD HAPPEN UNDER THE GENERAL HEADING THEY HAVE IN

THE ORDINANCE OF SECURITY, LIGHTING, IMPROVEMENTS, ETC. THE LAST COUPLE OF DAYS THEY HAVE TALKED WITH CAMILLE; THEY DON'T SOMETIMES REALIZE WHAT AN ASSET THEY HAVE IN GSG IN HELPING THE COUNTY GUIDE THE MSBU IN THE LAST TEN YEARS. HE THINKS IF THE BOARD CONTINUES TO KEEP THE MSBU, THERE DEFINITELY NEEDS TO BE PROJECTS. ONE OF THE THINGS HE THINKS HE HEARS AMONG OR BENEATH THE SHOUTS, AS THE CASE MAY BE, IS THEY NEED SOME PROJECTS AND THEY NEED TO KNOW WHERE THEIR MONEY IS BEING SPENT. HE THINKS THAT ACCOUNTABILITY IS A WONDERFUL THING AND THEY NEED TO HAVE SOME ACCOUNTABILITY. HE ADDRESSED THE MONEY BEING ACCOUNTED FOR; THERE IS NO MISSING MONIES, NO MISSPENT MONEY. THEY HAVE WITHSTOOD AN AUDIT EVERY YEAR AND THE MONIES ARE THERE; BUT, PROJECTS NEED TO BE IDENTIFIED AND THOSE PROJECTS NEEDS TO BE CONCENTRATED ON.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS, THEY HAVE TRIED THEIR BEST TO LET THE COMMUNITY KNOW HOW THE MONIES ARE BEING SPENT; THEY HAVE PUBLISHED THE BUDGET IN THE NEWSLETTER DOWN THERE AND THEY HAVE PUBLISHED A MONTHLY EXPENDITURE REPORT IN THE NEWSLETTER. THEY ARE TRYING TO BE AS TRANSPARENT AS THEY POSSIBLY CAN. THERE HAS ALSO BEEN MONEY SPENT ON FIRE EQUIPMENT AND THAT KIND OF THING AS WELL.

SOMEONE IN THE AUDIENCE DISPUTED THAT FIRE EQUIPMENT HAD BEEN BOUGHT IN SUNNY HILLS WITH MSBU MONEY. COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND PATE DISAGREED. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT WAS SUPPLEMENTED BY MSBU WHEN THEY CLOSED IT DOWN DOWN THERE; THE COUNTY WAS SUPPLEMENTING IT WITH THEIR DRAW THERE AND MSBU WAS PUTTING SOME IN THERE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID ALL THE MONEY WAS COMINGLED AND IT WAS SPENT HOWEVER THEY WANTED IT TO.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ASKED THE CHAIRMAN IF THEY COULD HAVE SOME ORDER. EVEN THOUGH IT IS A WORKSHOP, THEY NEED TO COME TO THE PODIUM SO THEY CAN GET IT ON RECORD. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE BOARD NEEDS TO HAVE THEIR DISCUSSION AND GIVE THE PEOPLE A TIME LATER TO TALK.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED GLEN, AT THE END THERE, WOULDN'T THEY

GETTING SOME MSBU MONEY FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT THROUGH THE MSBU AS WELL AS THE DRAW FROM THE COUNTY. GLENN ADVISED MSBU BUDGETED FUNDS FOR FIRE SERVICE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED IT BEING IN THE MINUTES WHERE THEY APPROVED SEVERAL EXPENDITURES TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE COMMUNITY DOESN'T KNOW ALL THE FACTS AND PEOPLE ARE SPEAKING WHEN THEY DON'T HAVE ALL THE FACTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO HIM HAVING MINUTES THAT GO WAY BACK AND THERE ARE SEVERAL LOCATIONS IN THESE MINUTES WHERE THE MSBU APPROVED GIVING MONEY TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IN SUNNY HILLS.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE WAS NOT SURE IF THIS WAS TO BE QUESTION AND ANSWER OR DO THEY WANT HIM TO ADDRESS WHAT HE THOUGHT THEY WERE COMING UP HERE TO DO OR IF THEY DON'T WANT TO HEAR FROM HIM. HE SAID HE WAS ONLY GOING TO ADDRESS FROM THE COORDINATOR'S POINT OF VIEW AND IF THEY DON'T WANT TO HEAR THAT OR IF THEY WANT TO HEAR IT LATER, JUST TELL HIM HOW THEY WANT IT TO GO.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT MIGHT BE INTERESTING FOR THE NEW COMMISSIONERS FOR MR. HAGAN TO GIVE HIS TAKE ON IT FROM WHAT HE KNOWS FROM THERE.

MR. HAGAN WAS GOING TO BEGIN WITH THE HISTORY OF THE MSBU; HE THINKS MR. GOODMAN COVERED THAT VERY WELL. HE DIDN'T THINK NONE OF THE CURRENT BOARD WAS ON THE BOARD WHEN THE FIRST GROUND WORK BEGAN TO BE LAID IN THE LATE 90'S AND EARLY 2000'S FOR THE MSBU. THE CONCEPT OR THE VEHICLE OF THE MSBU IS AVAILABLE IN OTHER AREAS OF THE COUNTY. RIGHT NOW, THIS IS THE ONLY MSBU THEY HAVE. THEY ACTUALLY HAVE AN MSBU THAT HAS BEEN ON THE BOOKS FOR OVER TWENTY YEARS AS A WAY OF FUNDING THEIR FIRE AND AMBULANCE AND THEY NEVER IMPLEMENTED IT; BUT, IT HAS BEEN ADOPTED PROBABLY TWENTY YEARS AGO AND IT IS ON THE BOOKS. IT IS A WONDERFUL TOOL IF MANAGED CORRECTLY. ALL OF US SPEAK FROM EITHER A PROSPECTIVE OR LIMITED KNOWLEDGE INCLUDING HIMSELF, INCLUDING MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. SOMETIMES HE THINKS MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HAS PROBABLY SPOKEN FROM THE LAST HALF

DOZEN PHONE CALLS THEY GOT OR A VISIT THEY MADE TO LOOK AT A SPECIFIC THING OR IN RESPONSE TO PEOPLE THAT HAS COME TO THIS PODIUM AND SPOKEN AT SOME OF OUR MEETINGS. BECAUSE WE SPEAK FROM A PARTICULAR PROSPECTIVE OR FROM A LIMITED KNOWLEDGE DOESN'T MAKE ANY OF THEM TO BE LIARS OR DECEIVERS; WE SPEAK FROM A POSITION OR A KNOWLEDGE OR AN UNDERSTANDING THAT OTHERS MAY NOT BE PRIVY TO. HE SAID HE WAS GOING TO SPEAK FROM A GENERIC PLATFORM FROM SOMEONE WHO HAS BEEN FREQUENTLY INVOLVED UP TO A POINT. HE WASN'T INVOLVED VERY MUCH UNTIL THE YEAR 2008-2009. IN 2008, THE BOARD AT THAT TIME REORGANIZED ITS STAFF AND NAMED DIVISION CHEIFS AND SAID DIVISION CHIEFS NEEDED TO BE PRESENT AT THEIR WORKSHOPS AND THEIR COMMISSION MEETINGS AS RESOURCES. AS HE SAT THERE IN 2008 AND HEARD EVERY MONTH THEY MET, IT WAS EITHER FIRE DEPARTMENTS OR MSBU AND IN 2009, THE BOARD ASKED HIM TO BECOME MSBU COORDINATOR AND GAVE US ABSOLUTELY NO GUIDANCE; NOT A JOB DESCRIPTION, NOT WHAT THEY WANTED TO ACCOMPLISH OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. PRIOR TO THAT, MR. CORBIN AND MR. HERBERT HAD JOINTLY SHARED IN THE ROLE OF COORDINATOR AFTER MR. ZANETIC HAD GOT RELIEVED FROM THAT POSITION. IF HE WAS GIVEN ANY INSTRUCTION, MR. GOODMAN GAVE IT TO HIM AND THEY KNOW HE IS FAIRLY NEW. HE HAD ABSOLUTELY NO CONVERSE, HE WAS BROUGHT IN BOXES OF STUFF THAT WAS UNORGANIZED AND WAS GIVEN TO US. HAVING BEEN WITH THE COUNTY LONG ENOUGH, HE KNEW WHAT AN MSBU WAS AND HE KIND OF KNEW HOW TO ORGANIZE AND SOME THINGS LIKE THAT. HE HAS GATHERED AND PUT TOGETHER AND STILL NOT AN ORGANIZED MANNER; BUT, TRIED TO PUT TOGETHER SOME THINGS. ABOUT THE SAME TIME THE BOARD ASKED HIM TO BECOME THE COORDINATOR, THEY BEGAN TO TAKE A BIGGER ROLE IN RECOGNIZING THEIR RESPONSIBILITY AS A BOARD TO MANAGE AND BE RESPONSIBLE OF THINGS LIKE THAT. WHEN THAT HAPPENED, THERE WERE SOME MEETINGS. HE THINKS PROBABLY THERE WAS ONE OR MAYBE TWO MEETINGS OF THE THEN ADVISORY BOARD BEFORE IT WAS ASKED TO GO AWAY OR BEFORE IT WENT AWAY. WHEN HE WAS ASKED TO DO THIS, THEY HAD NOTHING EXCEPT PICK IT UP AND TRY TO DO WITH IT. HE PROBABLY RECEIVED IN THE LAST TWO YEARS PERHAPS TWO DOZEN CALLS;

NOT MANY CALLS AT ALL AS THE MSBU COORDINATOR. WHEN HE RETURNED THOSE CALLS AND ON A FEW OCCASIONS HE WENT INTO THE COMMUNITY, WENT INTO THE SUBDIVISION AND MET WITH PEOPLE. IT WAS SOMETHING THEY COULD USUALLY RESPOND TOO; WHETHER IT WAS A BROKEN SIDEWALK OR JUST A QUESTION OR SOME UNDERSTANDING. HE HELD A PUBLIC HEARING IN THE EARLY PART OF LAST YEAR; IT IS ON VIDEO AND EACH OF THE BOARD MEMBERS, MAYBE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF MR. ABBOTT, WAS PROVIDED A COPY OF THAT VIDEO. HE THOUGHT THE MEETING WENT VERY WELL; THERE WAS HASHING ON THE OPPOSING SIDES AND ALL LIKE THAT. WE DECIDED IN THE FALL OF 2009 AND EARLY 2010 THAT ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WOULD HELP THEM GET A BETTER HANDLE ON THE MSBU GET THE PULSE OF THE PEOPLE NOW. THUS THE SURVEY. AGAIN, WHETHER THE SURVEY IS GOOD, GOOD SURVEY OR BAD SURVEY, EVERY QUESTION ON THAT SURVEY WAS BROUGHT TO THIS BOARD AND THEY APPROVED IT. THE PEOPLE ONLY MAILED, GATHERED, CORROLATED, MANIPULATED, PUT TOGETHER AND BROUGHT THE BOARD THE RESULTS OF THE SURVEY. THE BOARD GENERATED THE SURVEY; IF IT IS NOT A GOOD SURVEY, IF THE QUESTIONS ASKED WERE NOT THE RIGHT ONES, WE ARE THE ONES THAT DID THAT. AS HE RECALLED THE PRESENTATION ON THE SCREEN THAT DAY, BOILED DOWN SOMEPLACE IN HERE ARE FIGURES THAT MAKES HIM BELIEVE IT WAS BETTER THAN TWO TO ONE THE NUMBER OF RESPONSES THAT WANTED TO KEEP THE MSBU. HE HAS WATCHED THIS FOR THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS AND THEY PROBABLY HAVE HAD TWENTY FIVE PEOPLE, PRO AND CON, ONE TIME OR ANOTHER OR MULTIPLE TIMES ADDRESS THIS BOARD. THERE IS AT LEAST FIVE HUNDRED VOICES, IN HIS OPINION, THAT IS IN SUNNY HILLS THAT THEY HAVEN'T HEARD FROM. HE THINKS THE CONTROVERSY HAS TO DO WITH WHETHER A HANDFUL OF PEOPLE THAT LIKE IT CAN PERSUADE OR IF A HANDFUL OF PEOPLE THAT DON'T LIKE IT CAN PERSUADE. BUT, THE CONTROVERSY HAS OVERSHADOWED AND HAS GOT ITS OWN LIFE ABOVE WHAT THE MSBU CAN DO.

MR. HAGAN SAID FOR THE FUTURE OF MSBU, HE THINKS THE COMMITTEE, WHICH MR. GOODMAN SAYS WILL BE A POLICY ISSUE, IS GOOD. HE HAS ASKED FOR ONE SINCE THE FIRST DAY. THAT WAY THEY DO HEAR FROM THE PEOPLE

LIVING IN THE COMMUNITY IN SOME REASONABLE FASHION. IT IS HIS OPINION, IF HE WAS ASKED TO APPOINT THAT COMMITTEE TO HELP HIM AS THE COORDINATOR, HE WOULDN'T APPOINT ANYONE THAT HAS PREVIOUSLY SERVED AND PROBABLY WOULDN'T APPOINT ANYONE THAT HAS PREVIOUSLY ADDRESSED THIS BOARD FROM THIS PLATFORM BECAUSE OF THE PRECONCEIVED IDEAS. HE DOES THINK THERE IS SOME PEOPLE OUT THERE THAT EITHER DON'T KNOW OR DOES KNOW AND DON'T SPEAK. SOME WILL TALK TO HIM PRIVATELY SAYING THEY DON'T WANT TO GET INVOLVED. THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION THIS, THE SOMEBODY PERSONALITY OR CALL A NAME BAD AND I DON'T WANT TO CALL NAMES. BUT, THEY ARE INTERESTED; BUT, THEY ARE A SILENT MAJORITY. HE THINKS THEY NEED A COMMITTEE AND THERE IS WAYS TO SELECT THAT COMMITTEE AND PUT PERIMETERS ON WHAT THEIR. HE THINKS THEY PROBABLY NEED, AT ONE TIME THE MSBU HAD SEVERAL HIRED PEOPLE; NOW, THEY HAVE TWO INMATE SUPERVISORS. HE THINKS THEY PROBABLY NEED TO HAVE A COORDINATOR WHO IS NOT ONLY VERSED IN ON THE GROUND PRODUCTIVITY, BEING ABLE TO SET IN MOTION A PLAN, CREATE A PLAN, PUT IN MOTION A PLAN AND TAKE DIRECTION AND ALSO BE ABLE TO MONITOR A BUDGET. OR MAYBE THEY NEED A COUPLE OF PART TIME PEOPLE; MAYBE A CLERICAL AND ONE MORE IN THE COMMUNITY GETTING THINGS DONE AND BEING SEEN. HE THINKS THE BOARD OUGHT TO ESTABLISH AN OFFICE IN THE MCKINNA CENTER SO THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS CAN GO TO THE MSBU OFFICE AND SAY THEY WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT OR LIKE FOR YOU TO CHECK ON OR LIKE FOR YOU TO THINK ABOUT. BE AVAILABLE TO THE PEOPLE THEY SERVE HE THINKS IS IMPORTANT.

MR. HAGAN REPORTED THE BOARD HAS A CONTINUOUS CONTRACT WITH GSG AND IT IS ALMOST TIME TO RENEW THIS. HE THINKS THE BOARD WOULD BE VERY REMISS IF THEY DIDN'T CONTINUE WITH THE CONTRACT; THEY ARE A RESOURCE TO MR. GOODMAN, THE COORDINATOR AND IF THEY WANT TO STRUCTURE AN ORDINANCE. HE PROBABLY DON'T LOOK ON JUST ORDINANCES AS FAVORABLY AS MR. GOODMAN DOES. HE THINKS IT IS NOT A GOOD ORDINANCE AT ALL. HE THINKS GSG WOULD BE A GREAT RESOURCE IN REWRITING THE MSBU ORDINANCE.

MR. HAGAN STATED THE BOARD DIDN'T START THE MSBU; THEY RESPONDED TO THE REQUEST OF THE COMMUNITY OF PEOPLE THAT SAID THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A LITTLE MORE THAN WHAT THEY ARE GETTING AND THE BOARD IS OUR REPRESENTATIVE TO HELP US GET IT. ONCE IT WAS STARTED, THE BOARD IS RESPONSIBLE FOR IT. HE PERSONALLY THINKS IT IS A GOOD THING; HE DOESN'T LIVE IN SUNNY HILLS BUT IF HE DID HE WOULD BE ONE OF THOSE THAT VOTED THEY OUGHT TO CONTINUE IT. PART OF THAT REASON IS THEY LIVE IN AN EXTREMELY NICE COMMUNITY. HE DON'T THINK, AND SAID TO MR. STRICKLAND THIS IS JUST AN ILLUSTRATION AND NOT TO HAVE A PERSONAL DISAGREEMENT WITH HIM; BUT, WHEN THE BOARD GOES BACK GIVING SUNNY HILLS WHAT EVERYBODY ELSE GETS, HE THINKS ABOUT THE FIRST OR SECOND TIME THEM BIG TRACTORS WITH THE BIG MOWERS GOES DOWN THERE DOWN THE BOULEVARD AND LEAVES THEM TRACTOR PRINTS ALL OVER THE PLACE AND THINGS LIKE THAT AND THAT IS ALL THEY GET AND GRASS GETS WAY HIGH, HE DON'T THINK THE COMMUNITY THEY LIVE IN IS SOMETHING THEY ARE GOING TO BE HAPPY WITH.

CREDIT AND BLAME THERE IS PLENTY TO GO AROUND. THE HORSE IS OUT OF THE LOT AND IT DON'T MATTER WHO LET THE GATE OUT NOW; WHETHER IT WAS SOME FORMER COMMISSIONER WHO DOESN'T SERVE ANYMORE OR WHETHER IT WAS SOME FORMER COORDINATOR WHO DOESN'T SERVE ANYMORE OR WHETHER IT WAS SOME FORMER BOARD OF ADVISORS THAT DOESN'T SERVE ANYMORE. THE SURVEY SAYS THE MSBU NEEDS TO GO ON AND NEEDS TO BE REORGANIZED. AS A STAFF PERSON FOR THE BOARD THAT HAS NO PERSONAL STAKE WHETHER THERE IS AN MSBU IN SUNNY HILLS OR NOT, HE THINKS IT IS A GOOD THING THAT OUGHT TO CONTINUE. BUT, HE DOES THINK THE BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE TO RESPOND TO THEIR CALLS AND DESIRES AND MAKE THAT DETERMINATION. IF THEY MAKE THAT DETERMINATION, THEN HE THINKS SOME IDEAS OF HOW IT COULD BE BETTER ORGANIZED IS IN PLACE. HE IS NOT SURE IF CAMILLE WANTS TO ADD TO THAT. SHE IS HERE THIS MORNING AT THE BOARD'S INVITATION AS A RESOURCE. SHE HAS MADE SEVERAL PRESENTATIONS AND PROBABLY ACROSS THE STATE ONE OF THE PREMIER VOICES ON THESE KINDS OF THINGS.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A FIVE MINUTE BREAK AND SAID WHEN THEY COME BACK IN SESSION HIS PLAN IS TO HEAR FROM VERNON ANDERSON, THE LAST CHAIRMAN OF THE MSBU COMMITTEE. THEY WOULD THEN LET THE AUDIENCE SPEAK.

PURSUANT TO A BREAK, MR. ANDERSON ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM TALKING WITH MR. HAGAN A COUPLE OF MONTHS AGO ABOUT AN INCREASE IN THEIR MSBU ASSESSMENT; IT IS GOING UP 5%. MR. HAGAN TOLD HIM IT WAS IN THE ORDINANCE TO GO UP 5% EACH YEAR. MR. ANDERSON REQUESTED, WHATEVER THEY DO ABOUT THEIR REORGANIZATION, THEY STOP THAT. THERE IS AN ASSESSMENT OF \$30 PER LOT; A PERSON WITH TEN LOTS WOULD HAVE TO PAY \$300. NOBODY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY WANTS TO SEE JUST A LOCKED IN INCREASE. HE ASKED THE BOARD WHATEVER THEY DO ABOUT THE MSBU REORGANIZATION OR WHATEVER, PLEASE STOP THE MSBU ASSESSMENT INCREASES. THEY DON'T NEED THE MONEY.

MR. ANDERSON SAID, AS FAR AS REORGANIZING THE MSBU, HE SERVED ON IT FOR TWO YEARS AND HE LIVED IN THAT COMMUNITY FOR EIGHT YEARS. THE COMMUNITY IS SO POLARIZED THEY WILL NEVER GET AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE DOWN THERE TO SUIT EVERYBODY; IT IS JUST NOT GOING TO HAPPEN BECAUSE THEY TRIED IT. IT WAS ABUSED BY THE FIRST GROUP WHICH WAS THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION. JUST LIKE MR. PATE SAID EARLIER, THEY WERE SENDING BILLS UP HERE AND THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR WASN'T EVEN LOOKING AT THEM AND JUST PAYING THEM. WHEN THEY APPOINTED HIM AND SIX OTHER FINE PEOPLE TO SERVE ON THAT ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THEY HANDED THEM A BUDGET. THE YEAR BEFORE THEY WENT IN AS THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, THE MSBU HAD GOTTEN \$160,000 FROM THE COUNTY PLUS THEIR MSBU ASSESSMENT MONEY. THEY SPENT ALL OF THE \$160,000; ALL THE MSBU FUNDS PLUS THERE WAS ANOTHER \$100,000 BUILDING FUND IN THERE THAT WAS SPENT AND WHEN IT WAS HANDED TO THE COMMITTEE, THERE WAS ANOTHER \$30,000 DEFICIT THEY HAD TO MAKE UP. THEY DIDN'T LAY OFF ANYBODY; THEY ONLY RECOMMENDED TO THE COUNTY THEY LAY OFF A WORK CREW AND THE COUNTY COMMISSION AT THE TIME WANTED MSBU TO PAY BACK THAT \$100,000 IN THREE INSTALLMENTS. THE FIRST YEAR THEY HAD TO BUDGET \$30,000 PLUS.

HE HAD TO TRY TO CONTROL THOSE MEETINGS; IT WAS HARD TO DO. IF THE BOARD REORGANIZES THE MSBU, HE DON'T THINK THEY WILL FIND A COMMITTEE DOWN THERE. MR. HAGAN SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A COMMITTEE THAT NOBODY HAD EVER SERVED ON IT OR NOBODY HAD EVER SPOKE BEFORE THE BOARD; THAT IS GOING TO BE HARD TO DO.

MR. ANDERSON SAID HIS GREATEST CONCERN, REGARDLESS OF HOW THE BOARD REORGANIZES THE MSBU, IS THEY REWRITE THE ORDINANCE AND STOP THOSE 5% INCREASES EVERY YEAR. HE THINKS THE COUNTY CAN TAKE INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY. ANYBODY THAT WANTS TO WRITE THE COUNTY COMMISSION CAN WRITE THEM OR THEY CAN CALL THE MSBU COORDINATOR, ROGER HAGAN AND TELL HIM WHAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE DONE. HE TOLD THE BOARD GOOD LUCK TO THEM IF THEY THINK THEY ARE EVER GOING TO GET AN ADVISORY COMMITTEE DOWN THERE THAT IS GOING TO SUIT EVERYBODY.

SAL ZURICA WANTED TO MAKE SURE HE HEARD SOMETHING CORRECT. HE ASKED MR. HOWELL IF HE SAID THE PAST TWO YEARS THE MSBU CONTRIBUTED TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT WAS NOT WHAT HE SAID. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NOT IN THE PAST TWO YEARS; BUT, IN THE PAST IT HAS.

SAL SAID HE KNOWS IN THE BEGINNING, THE FIRST SIX YEARS, THEY DID AND THAT WAS BUDGETED BY THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION; PEOPLE DIDN'T REALLY KNOW ANYTHING WHAT WAS GOING ON.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD SAL THE BOARD APPROVED IT. SAL SAID HE KNOWS THE BOARD APPROVED IT; THEY APPROVED ANYTHING THAT COME BEFORE THEM. IF THE GUY WANTED A NEW PAIR OF SHOES, THEY WOULD APPROVE THAT TOO. BUT, TAKE A LOOK AT THIS PICTURE. THIRTY FIVE YEARS AGO, MAYBE A LITTLE LONGER THAN THAT, THE COUNTY STARTED COLLECTING PROPERTY TAX FROM SUNNY HILLS. IN THAT TIME, IT WAS LOW. TAXES WERE COMING IN, THE COUNTY ACCEPTED ALL THE ROADS THAT WERE BUILT; BUT, NO ROADS WERE EVER REPAVED AND AS THE YEARS WENT ON, THEY COLLECTED MORE PROPERTY TAX, MORE AND MORE UNTIL THEY WERE UP TO OVER \$5,000,000 A YEAR AND STILL NO ROADS WERE REPAVED. HE WAS TOLD BY AN X COUNTY COMMISSIONER, THAT MONEY GOES TO PUBLIC WORKS.

PUBLIC WORKS IS SUPPOSE TO TAKE CARE OF THE ROADS, RIGHT. NOTHING WAS EVER DONE. THEN THEY COME IN WITH AN MSBU; MONEY BEING SPENT FOOLISHLY, UNWISELY, THINGS ARE BEING BOUGHT THAT SHOULDN'T BE BOUGHT. THE BOARD APPOINTS A COORDINATOR WHO IN THE COORDINATOR'S OPINION, NEEDS TO BE KEPT. THIS SHOULD BE UP TO THE PEOPLE. MR. HAGAN HAD A MEETING; THERE WAS 35 PEOPLE WHO WANTED TO DO AWAY WITH THE MSBU. MR. HAGAN CAME UP THERE AND SAID HE DIDN'T LIKE THE AMOUNT OF PEOPLE THERE AND THAT HE SHOULD HAVE THE SURVEY. HE MADE UP THE QUESTIONS FROM WHAT HE GATHERED AND THE BOARD APPROVED THEM. SOME OF THOSE QUESTIONS SHOULD HAVE NEVER BEEN ASKED. HE HAD A SURVEY AND HE GAVE IT TO THE BOARD; 101 PEOPLE WANTED TO DO AWAY WITH THE MSBU AND THAT IS FROM ALL OVER THE COUNTRY AND OVERSEAS. THE BOARD JUST PUSHED THAT ASIDE. THAT'S OKAY. THAT SURVEY DIDN'T COST THE COUNTY ANYTHING; DIDN'T COST THE MSBU ANYTHING. MR. HAGAN HAD A SURVEY AND IT COST THEM ALMOST \$18,000. HE BUYS EQUIPMENT; STARTED OUT \$17,000, WENT TO \$18,000 AND THEN WENT TO ALMOST \$20,000. YOU NEVER SEE THE EQUIPMENT BEING USED. THATS OKAY. THEN HE LOOKS AT ANOTHER BILL, ABOUT \$900 OR \$1,000 FOR TIRES FOR A GATOR; NINE MONTHS BEFORE THAT, THEY HAD BOUGHT THE SAME TIRES. DIFFERENT BRAND; BUT, THE SAME TIRES. HE CAN'T EXPLAIN IT. HE WENT OUT AND BOUGHT NEW EQUIPMENT; YOU NEVER SEE IT. THERE IS NO CONTROL; YOU HAVE A COUNTY SUPERVISOR THAT HAS TO MAINTAIN THE EAST SIDE MAINTAINING THE MSBU CREW. THEY GO HOME AT 1:00; HE SEES IT ALL THE TIME. WHY ARE WE PAYING THEM. IN THE LAST FOUR YEARS TO MOW COUNTY PROPERTY, THE MSBU SPENT \$600,000; TELL ME WHAT IS WRONG WITH THAT PICTURE. MSBU IS PAYING THE COUNTY TO MOW THEIR GRASS. HE ASKED AGAIN WHAT IS WRONG WITH THAT. MAYBE WE ARE STUPID; WE ARE FROM THE NORTH AND DON'T KNOW. HE DON'T KNOW; WHAT IS IT. THE BOARD HAS TWO PEOPLE HERE THAT WANTS TO KEEP THE MSBU; THAT IS A PIGGYBANK FOR THE COUNTY. HE SAID HE WAS BEING NASTY; HE SAID HE WAS GOING TO BE NASTY AND SAID HE WAS GOING TO SAY THINGS BUT HE WOULD LIKE SOME ANSWERS.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD SAL HE NEEDED TO TONE IT DOWN. THE BOARD HAS HAD THE PAST HISTORY AND WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO NOW IS FIGURE OUT WHERE THEY ARE GOING, WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO AND HOW THEY ARE GOING TO GET THERE BECAUSE HE HAS SPENT MORE TIME ON MSBU, SUNNY HILLS DOWN THERE THAN HE HAS FIVE OTHER DIFFERENT THINGS DOWN HERE SINCE HE HAS BEEN ON THIS BOARD.

SAL SAID HE KNOWS THAT AND HE APOLOGIZES; BUT, HE WOULD LIKE AN ANSWER TO THAT PICTURE. SOMETHING IS WRONG THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHEN THE BOARD LEAVES HERE TODAY THEY WILL EITHER HAVE AN IDEA WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO LOOK AT REORGANIZATION, SHUTTING IT DOWN OR WHATEVER AND HAVE A PLAN ON THE 28TH AND HOPEFULLY PASS IT THEN.

SAL ASKED WHY THE MSBU IS PAYING THAT KIND OF MONEY TO MOW COUNTY GRASS AND WHY CAN'T THEY GET THEIR ROADS REPAVED. HE KNOWS HE HAS HEARD THE COUNTY IS BROKE, THIS AND THAT; BUT, IN ALMOST FORTY YEARS, SOMETHING IS DEFINITELY WRONG HERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD SAL EVERYTIME HE ASK HIM THAT QUESTION, IT IS GASOLINE TAXES THAT PAVES ROAD; NOT AD VALOREM TAXES.

SAL SAID IT IS COSTING THE COUNTY \$3,000,000 A YEAR TO GRADE DIRT ROADS; SOMETHING IS DEFINITELY WRONG HERE. IF THE COUNTY SPENDS THAT KIND OF MONEY GRADING DIRT ROADS, ONCE, TWICE A WEEK, MAYBE EVERY OTHER WEEK, HE THINKS SUNNY HILLS CAN GET THEIR ROADS REPAVED BY THE COUNTY.

JOHN MAHOLSKI, SUNNY HILLS, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING ALL HE HAS HEARD SINCE HE HAS BEEN HERE, WHICH IS ABOUT EIGHT OR NINE YEARS, IS THE COUNTY DON'T DO A DAM THING FOR US DOWN HERE. WE PUT \$5,000,000 BUCKS IN A YEAR; IS IT ACTUALLY \$5,000,000 OF THE AD VALOREM MONEY GOING IN THERE OR IS IT HALF TO THE SCHOOLS. LETS GET THAT STRAIGHT FIRST.

MR. MAHOLSKI ASKED IF THE COUNTY HAS EVER TALKED WITH THE PEOPLE DOWN IN SUNNY HILLS ON A ONE TO ONE BASIS.

MR. MAHOLSKI ADDRESSED WE ARE GOING TO CUT GRASS HOW MANY TIMES

A YEAR DOWN THERE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE POLICY AND EVERYTHING HE HAS READ HAS SAID CUT GRASS TWICE.

MR. MAHOLSKI SAID THERE IS ACTUALLY NO POLICY IS THERE. HE SAID OKAY LETS GO TWICE AND ASKED THE COST OF CUTTING THE GRASS DOWN THERE ONE TIME. HE ADDRESSED ROAD REPAIR AND ASKED DOES ANYBODY KNOW HOW MANY POT HOLES ARE SITTING DOWN THERE AND WHAT DOES IT COST TO REPAIR A POT HOLE. HAS THE COUNTY BUDGETED ANY MONEY AT ALL FOR WORK IN SUNNY HILLS. HAVE THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS SAT DOWN WITH THE BOARD. THE BOARD HAS A HELL OF A TOUGH JOB; HE WOULDN'T WANT TO BE SITTING UP THERE. HE ASKED HOW CAN WE WORK TOGETHER ON THIS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE HAS TRIED THAT. HE WENT DOWN THERE AND MET WITH THEM AND TRIED TO COME UP WITH SOME SOLUTION. THEY COULDN'T AGREE AMONG THEMSELVES WHAT THEY WANTED TO DO.

MR. MAHOLSKI AGREED IT IS A MESS. WE TALK ABOUT PROBLEMS IN THE PAST. HE THINKS THE PROBLEMS IN THE PAST WAS THE ADMINISTRATION BECAUSE THE ADMINISTRATION WAS "SHITTY." HE SAT ON THAT BOARD FOR A COUPLE OF MONTHS, THE ADVISORY BOARD, AND HE SAID HE WAS GETTING OUT OF HERE BECAUSE HE DON'T WANT TO GO TO JAIL AND ALL HE SEES IS BANKRUPTCY IN THE FUTURE THE WAY THAT MONEY WAS BEING PLAYED WITH. HIS IDEA IS THEY HAVE TO LEARN FROM THE PAST TO CORRECT THE FUTURE AND HE THINKS IT IS HIGH TIME WE ALL SIT DOWN AND TRY AND FIGURE OUT WHAT IS GOING ON, WHAT IS THE COST, HOW CAN THIS BE STRAIGHTENED OUT. HE HEARS REPAIRING SIDEWALKS. THE ONLY PEOPLE THAT REPAIRED SIDEWALKS WAS SAL; SAL REPAIRED SIDEWALKS AND HE PUT IN CULVERTS ON THE DRAINAGE THINGS. OTHERWISE THAT WOULD NEVER HAVE GOTTEN DONE.

MR. MAHOLSKI STATED AS FAR AS COMMITTEES GO, BACK IN 2007 OR 2006, THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONSISTED OF SEVEN OR EIGHT PEOPLE; SIX OF THEM ARE REAL ESTATE DEALERS. BETTY LEE WAS THE SOLE PERSON THAT WAS NOT A REAL ESTATE DEALER. TELL ME WHERE THAT ADVISORY COMMITTEE WAS GOING. HE WENT DOWN TO A CHAMBER OF COMMERCE MEETING ONCE. THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT SUNNY HILLS AND THE ONLY THING HE HEARD WAS ROOFS; HOW MANY ROOFS ARE COMING UP. A LOT OF THOSE PEOPLE

GOT DOWN THERE TO MAKE A BUCK AND UNFORTUNATELY IT WENT DOWN THE HILL. THE BOARD IS LOOKING AT SOME STUFF WITH SOME NEW SUBDIVISIONS COMING IN OUT THERE; IF IT EVER FLIES AND ARE THEY GOING TO NEED A FIRE DEPARTMENT OUT THERE, WHO IS GOING TO PAY FOR THAT FIRE DEPARTMENT. HE SURE AS HELL HOPES IT IS NOT MSBU OR SUNNY HILLS. SOME OF THE BOARD TOLD MAHOLSKI THIS SHOULDN'T HAPPEN.

MR. MAHOLSKI SAID WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT GRASS CUTTING AND LIGHTS. HE THINKS THE LIGHTS ARE A NECESSITY DOWN THERE; BUT, CAN THE POWER BE CHANGED. CAN SOME OF THE LIGHTS ON HIGHWAY 77 AND OTHER ONES THAT MAY BE A LITTLE EXCESSIVE, CAN WE DO SOMETHING ABOUT THAT.

ON GRASS CUTTING, MR. MAHOLSKI SAID HOW ABOUT GETTING TOGETHER AND SAYING WE ARE GOING TO DO THIS, YOU GUYS DO THAT; IT IS GOING TO COST SO MUCH. THIS TAKES CARE OF IT.

MR. MAHOLSKI TOLD THE BOARD TO EXAMINE THEIR WORK CREW DOWN THERE; EXAMINE THEIR EQUIPMENT DOWN THERE. HE HAS NEVER HEARD OF ANYBODY TAKING A VEHICLE OUT IN THE MORNING AND NOT MARKING THE MILEAGE DOWN AND SAYING WHAT WAS DONE THAT DAY. HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THIS MAKES ANY SENSE TO THE BOARD OR NOT; BUT, HE THINKS THEY HAVE SOME HARD WORK AHEAD OF THEM. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO LISTEN TO EVERYBODY BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO BE BITCHING AT THEM AND THEY ARE JUST GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE IT; BUT, EVENTUALLY IT SHOULD BE WORKED OUT BECAUSE SUNNY HILLS CAN BE A FINE COMMUNITY. IT IS NOT GOING TO GROW TO 10,000 PEOPLE OVERNIGHT; IT IS GOING TO BE A SLOW DEVELOPMENT DOWN THERE. THE BOARD HAS A JOB CUT OUT FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO ASK MR. MAHOLSKI A QUESTION PERTAINING TO EXCESSIVE LIGHTING ON HIGHWAY 77.

MR. MAHOLSKI ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TO DRIVE DOWN THERE AT NIGHT SOMETIMES. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADVISED HE HAD AND IT LOOKS VERY NICE AND IT TELLS HIM THERE MUST BE A NEIGHBORHOOD IN THERE. HE THINKS IT LOOKS NICE RIDING THROUGH THERE AT NIGHT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS QUESTION IS MR. MAHOLSKI IS SAYING IT IS EXCESSIVE LIGHTING; SO IT IS EXCESSIVE AND IN MAHOLSKI'S

OPINION, HE IS GOOD WITH THAT AND IN THE MAJORITY'S OPINION IN THIS ROOM, HE IS GOOD WITH THAT. HOW DID THEM LIGHTS GET PUT UP; WHO APPROVED THAT AND HOW DID IT COME ABOUT TO PUT EXCESSIVE LIGHTING UP.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THOSE LIGHTS ON THAT STATE ROAD OUT THERE, FL-DOT HAD TO APPROVE THEM OR THEY WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN OUT THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED; BUT, QUESTIONED IF THE MSBU IS PAYING FOR THEM. HE WAS TOLD MSBU WAS PAYING FOR THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED SOMEBODY HAD TO GO TO FL-DOT AND ASK THEM TO PUT THE LIGHTS UP.

MR. MAHOLSKI ASKED WHOSE RESPONSIBILITY IS IT TO PROVIDE LIGHTING IN THE COMMUNITIES; THE COUNTY, MSBU OR FL-DOT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID ANYBODY CAN DO IT; IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE THE COUNTY OR THE STATE.

MR. MAHOLSKI ASKED AGAIN WHOSE RESPONSIBILITY IT IS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED IT WAS NOBODY'S RESPONSIBILITY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WITHOUT TAKING THE RESPONSIBILITY, THEY WOULDN'T BE THERE. HE THEN REITERATED HIS QUESTION HOW DID THE LIGHTS GET THERE TO START WITH; WHO ASKED FOR THAT. DOES ANY OF US KNOW.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WOULD HAVE IMAGINED SUNNY HILLS MAY HAVE ASKED FOR THE LIGHTING WHEN THEY FIRST STARTED OPENING UP; BUT, HE IS NOT SURE.

MR. MAHOLSKI ADDRESSED HIM THINKING SUNNY HILLS GOT A BIG POT OF MONEY AND ENJOYED IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO THE SURVEY AGAIN, WHETHER IT IS GOOD OR BAD, IT IS A DONE DEAL; THERE WAS A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY SPENT ON IT AND HE CAN'T HELP BUT PUT A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF WEIGHT ON IT BECAUSE THIS IS THE GENERAL CONSENSUS OF THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS OR PROPERTY OWNERS. THEY ARE ACTUALLY ADDRESSING ADDITIONAL LIGHTING IN THE SUNNY HILLS AREA. THAT IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE TO THEM.

MR. MAHOLSKI AGREED THERE WAS A NEED FOR SOME ADDITIONAL LIGHTING DOWN THERE IN THE AREA OF HOUSING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO HIM PAYING A MONTHLY FEE AT HIS PLACE FOR A LIGHT.

MR. MAHOLSKI SAID EVERYBODY DOES THIS THAT LIVES IN RURAL AREAS.

MR. MAHOLSKI ASKED IF THE COST FOR LIGHTING THE BOULEVARD IS IN EXCESS OF \$40,000 A YEAR. MR. HAGAN ADVISED HE DIDN'T HAVE A BUDGET. COMMISSIONER HOWELL TOLD MAHOLSKI HE THOUGHT HE WAS PRETTY CLOSE WITH THAT FIGURE.

MR. MAHOLSKI SAID HE REMEMBERED \$18,000 AND HE FELL OVER WHEN HE SAW THAT \$40,000. MR. HAGAN POINTED OUT NOTHING IS AS CHEAP AS IT WAS A FEW YEARS AGO.

MR. MAHOLSKI REFERRED TO THE SURVEY STATING HE TOOK A COUPLE OF COURSES IN SCHOOL; WHEN HE SAW THAT SURVEY, HE THOUGHT TO HIMSELF, TAKE THAT DAM THING, THROW IT IN THE WASTE BASKET AND REDO IT.

SHARRON BAHR, SUNNY HILLS, ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING IN REGARDS TO THE LIGHTING, THEY NEED MORE LIGHTING. THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF ACCIDENTS ON HIGHWAY 77 AND IN THIS PAST YEAR ALONE, THERE HAS BEEN SEVERAL VERY MAJOR ONES. THAT LIGHTING ON HIGHWAY 77 APPARENTLY WAS NEEDED AT ONE TIME AND SHE THINKS IT IS STILL NEEDED NOW. THEY NEED MORE LIGHTING WITHIN SUNNY HILLS; NOT ONLY FOR THE RESIDENTS, BUT FOR THE EMERGENCY SERVICES, THE POLICE, THE FIRE, EMS BECAUSE HALF THE STREETS YOU CAN'T SEE AT NIGHT. YOU COULDN'T TELL THE STREET SIGNS. IF SHE HAD TO CALL 911, SHE DOUBTS HALF THE EMERGENCY SERVICES, WHETHER IT BE FIRE, POLICE OR EMS, COULD GET TO HER RESIDENCE. SHE ADDRESSED THEY HAVE HAD VANDALISM IN SUNNY HILLS AND ON HIGHWAY 77 WITH THE SIGNS AND THE KIDS BECAUSE THERE IS NOT ENOUGH LIGHTING, NOBODY SEES ANYTHING. EITHER THAT OR THEY ARE JUST BURYING THEIR FACE IN THE SAND. SHE REITERATED THEY NEED MORE LIGHTING; THEY NEED MORE FIRE HYDRANTS. IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE THE ROADS REPAVED. SHE WOULD BE ALL FOR IT; BUT,

AGAIN THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT A LOT OF MONEY. SHE THINKS THE MSBU INITIALLY WAS A GOOD IDEA. SHE THINKS IT IS A GOOD IDEA NOW; BUT, IT NEEDS TO BE REORGANIZED. NOT NECESSARILY A COMMITTEE LIKE BEFORE; BUT, THEY NEED A NEW SELECTION OF PEOPLE FROM ALL WALKS OF LIFE. NOT JUST THE OLD, NOT JUST THE YOUNG, NOT JUST THE COMMISSIONERS OR PEOPLE WHO HAVE LIVED HERE FOR YEARS. THE MAIN THING IS THEY NEED TO MOVE ON; THE PAST IS THE PAST. ITS DONE WITH; ITS OVER AND THEY NEED TO MOVE FORWARD AND START MAKING A BETTER PLAN TO DO THINGS AND USE THE MONEY THEY RECEIVE FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL. QUIT THIS STUPID FIGHTING BACK AND FORTH; IT DOESN'T ACCOMPLISH ANYTHING. ALL IT DOES IS CAUSE MORE HURT FEELINGS, MORE BAD REPS AND EVERYBODY GETS IN A FREE FOR ALL FOR WHAT. NOTHING GETS RESOLVED. SHE GOT THE IMPRESSION, AND SHE ASKED MR. GOODMAN BEFORE, WHY HE WAS AGAINST REORGANIZATION. MR. GOODMAN TOLD HER POINT BLANK HE WASN'T; IT DOESN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE TO HIM ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. BUT, LISTENING TO HIS PRESENTATION EARLIER, THAT IS NOT THE IMPRESSION SHE GOT. IT IS HER PERCEPTION AND SHE DOESN'T WANT TO FORM THAT STRICTLY OR SHE DOESN'T WANT THE BOARD TO FORM THEIR OPINIONS ON WHAT ANY ONE OF THEM SAYS, WHETHER IT IS SAL, HERSELF, GLEN ZANETIC, MR. GOODMAN, MR. HAGAN. THEY ALL NEED TO WORK TOGETHER. THIS IS A BEAUTIFUL COUNTY; SUNNY HILLS IS A BEAUTIFUL COMMUNITY AND SHE THINKS IT CAN GROW AND IF IT GROWS, THIS COUNTY GROWS SO THEY NEED TO FIND A WAY TO WORK TOGETHER. NOT JUST CONSTANTLY SAY IN MY OPINION, IN YOUR OPINION OR WHATEVER. THEY NEED TO REACH AN UNDERSTANDING TO WHERE THEY CAN ALL AGREE OR AT LEAST THE MAJORITY AGREE AND MAKE A DECISION AND MOVE FORWARD FROM THERE AND KEEP LOOKING BACK AT WHAT HAPPENED IN 2001, 2002, 2006 AND 2009.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WHAT HE WANTS AS A COUNTY COMMISSIONER AND AS A CITIZEN OF THIS COUNTY, THAT IS NOT HIS MONEY. THAT IS A \$30 ASSESSMENT THAT EACH LOT PROPERTY OWNER IS PAYING. IN HIS OPINION IT IS THEIR MONEY AND HE WANTS THAT MONEY TO BE SPENT IN SUNNY HILLS THE WAY THE MAJORITY OF THE REST OF THE RESIDENTS WANT

IT SPENT. HE ASKED HOW DOES HE AS A COUNTY COMMISSIONER KNOW WHAT THE MAJORITY OF THE RESIDENTS WANT IN SUNNY HILLS. HE ANSWERED THAT QUESTION. THE PREVIOUS BOARD, PREVIOUS TO HIM BEING HERE, PUT TOGETHER A PACKET, A SURVEY OF QUESTIONS TO BE ANSWERED AND HE HAS THE RESULTS IN HIS HANDS. THE RESIDENTS FROM THAT SURVEY HAVE SPOKEN WHAT THEY WANT, WHETHER IT BE GOOD OR BAD. AS ONE INDIVIDUAL TO ANOTHER, A CITIZEN, ASKED MS. BAHR WHAT SHE WANTED HIM TO FOLLOW.

MS. BAHR SAID SHE WANTED HIM TO FOLLOW WHAT THE MAJORITY ASKED FOR; A REORGANIZATION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF HE SHOULD USE THE SURVEY. MS. BAHR SAID THE INITIAL PRESENTATION BY ISSUES AND ANSWERS SAID THAT PEOPLE NEEDED TO BE EDUCATED. IT IS TRUE A LOT OF PEOPLE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE MSBU IS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MS. BAHR AGAIN IF HE SHOULD USE THE SURVEY. MS. BAHR SAID SHE WOULD LIKE FOR HIM TO USE THE SURVEY AS FAR AS THE GROUP OF RESIDENTS THAT ARE NOW RESIDING IN SUNNY HILLS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID MS. BAHR WANTS HIM TO USE THE SURVEY; DOES THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE AND RESIDENTS IN SUNNY HILLS WANT HIM TO USE THE SURVEY. THE SURVEY IS TELLING HIM YES.

MS. BAHR REITERATED SHE WOULD LIKE HIM TOO; BUT, THAT IS HER OPINION. BUT, SHE ALSO FEELS THAT THE REST OF THE RESIDENTS, OR A LOT OF THEM, SHOULD BE EDUCATED; MORE INFORMATION NEEDS TO BE GIVEN OUT MOVING FORWARD AND LETTING PEOPLE KNOW WHAT THE MSBU IS FOR, WHAT THE BOARD IS GOING TO DO WITH IT AND HOW IT IS GOING TO BE ORGANIZED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS JUST INFORMING THE PEOPLE OF THE ACTION THE BOARD IS TAKING WHETHER THEY AGREE OR NOT. BUT, THIS SURVEY, HE AS A BOARD MEMBER, NEEDS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO THROW IT AWAY OR WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO DO. HE SAID HE WANTS WHAT THE MAJORITY OF PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS WANTS. BUT, HIS QUESTION TO MS. BAHR, WHAT DOES SHE WANT. WHAT DOES THE MAJORITY OF PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS WANTS; THAT IS THE SAME THING HE WANTS IS WHAT

THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS WANTS.

MS. BAHR SAID SHE HATES THIS PHRASE "IN MY OPINION"; BUT, SHE IS GOING TO GIVE IT TO HIM. MS. BAHR SAID SHE FEELS THE MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE WANT THE MSBU REORGANIZED AND THE MONEY USED TO BENEFIT SUNNY HILLS. BUT, THAT IS STRICTLY HER OPINION.

SAM LUCHINNI, SUNNY HILLS, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING COME BEFORE THIS BOARD ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS AND TOLD THEM THERE WERE 13 LIGHTS ON HIGHWAY 77, 6 LIGHTS ON SHENNENDOAH AND 6 LIGHTS ON ELKCAM AND 1 ON VOLTAIRE. HE LIVES IN OAK HILL WHICH IS VOLTAIRE. THEY HAVE ONE LIGHT AT VOLTAIRE AND JUST A WEEK AGO THE LIGHT WAS OUT AT NIGHT. WHEN THAT ONE LIGHT GOES OUT THERE ON VOLTAIRE, THERE IS NO LIGHTING THERE. WHEN ONE LIGHT GOES OUT ON SHENNENDOAH OR ELKCAM, THEY STILL HAVE FIVE OTHER LIGHTS. THEY ALSO HAVE TURNING LANES AT ELKCAM AND SHENNENDOAH; NONE AT VOLTAIRE'S ENTRANCE. HE HAS COME BEFORE THIS BOARD ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS AND HE CHECKED THE LIGHTING OUT; THEY ARE ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE ROAD. THEY ARE NOT EVEN ON SUNNY HILLS PROPERTY. MSBU IS PAYING FOR THEM BECAUSE HE CAME TO PETE HERBERT WHEN VERNON WAS ON THE MSBU COMMITTEE; THEY TOLD HIM DELTONA WAS PAYING FOR THEM LIGHTS. HE WENT TO GULF POWER AND CHECKED IT OUT; HE CALLED PENSACOLA. THEY SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY IS PAYING FOR THEM. BUT, HE APOLOGIZED TO THE BOARD BECAUSE HE TOLD THEM MSBU WAS PAYING FOR THEM; BUT, WHEN IT CAME BACK, HE FOUND OUT MSBU WAS PAYING FOR THEM. HE SAID WE DON'T NEED SIX LIGHTS ON SHENNENDOAH AND SIX LIGHTS AT ELKCAM AND ONLY ONE COMING INTO VOLTAIRE. THERE IS NOT ANOTHER LIGHT ON HIGHWAY 77 THAT RUNS ALL THE WAY TO LYNN HAVEN, WHETHER IT IS THE FL-DOT DOESN'T HAVE ANY UP. IF FL-DOT NEEDS LIGHTING BECAUSE IT IS HAZARDOUS OR DANGEROUS, THEY SHOULD PAY FOR IT; NOT THE MSBU FUNDS. THAT IS THE ONLY COMPLAINT HE HAS; THIS IS THE THIRD TIME HE HAS MENTIONED THEM LIGHTS. HE WENT TO THE MEETING WHEN GLEN AND FINCH WERE AT SUNNY HILLS AND HE THOUGHT THE YOUNG LADY WAS THERE TALKING ABOUT FUNDS AVAILABLE THROUGH PROJECTS, SO HE BROUGHT IT UP. IT WASN'T TO BE USED FOR THAT, THEY SAID.

LUCHINNI SAID THEY STILL DON'T HAVE A LIGHT GOING INTO AMY LANE. THE ONLY LIGHTS THAT ARE IN OAK HILL IS THE ONES THE RESIDENTS HAS PUT UP. THESE LIGHTS THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO PUT UP, THE SEVEN YEAR MSBU PLAN SPELLS IT OUT. THEY HAD BIG PLANS FOR THAT SEVEN YEAR PLAN; THEY WEREN'T GOING TO SPEND MONEY UNTIL THEY GOT THE GRANT FOR THE ROADS, ETC. THEY WENT AND PUT BANK OF AMERICA ON THEIR PAYROLL FOR \$5,000,000. IF THEY WOULDN'T HAVE DONE THAT, THEY WOULDN'T BE HERE FIGHTING TODAY; IF THEY WOULD SPEND THE MONEY AS THEY HAVE IT AND COME TO THE COMMISSION WITH WHAT WE NEED, WE WOULDN'T BE FIGHTING. THE BOARD SHOULDN'T BE PUT IN THE POSITION THEY ARE IN REPEATEDLY; HE GETS EMBARRASSED COMING HERE LISTENING TO THE ARGUMENTS FROM PEOPLE FROM OUR COMMUNITY. HE IS DISTURBED ABOUT THE LIGHTS AND THEY DON'T EVEN HAVE A TURNING LANE GOING INTO VOLTAIRE AND ONE LIGHT. WHEN THAT ONE LIGHT IS OUT, THERE IS A HAZARD. IT IS NOT THE SIX LIGHTS UP THERE; THEY LOOK NICE. IF THE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT NEEDS THEM BECAUSE IT IS A HAZARD, LET THEM PAY FOR IT. HE CHECKED WITH GULF POWER; THEY MOVED THE LIGHTS ON THAT SIDE OF THE ROAD BECAUSE THEY GAVE THE EXCUSE THERE WAS FIBER OPTIC CABLE IN THAT. RIGHT NOW THEY ARE PUTTING POLES UP OUT THERE IN THE SAME AREA ON THAT SIDE OF THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE APPRECIATED THE COMMENTS. THE BOARD HAS HEARD A LOT OF COMMENTS DEPENDING ON WHAT DECISION IS MADE THEY WILL HAVE TO ADDRESS. THE MAIN THING THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO ADDRESS IS WHETHER THEY, IN HIS OPINION, DISBAN THE MSBU OR KEEP IT AND REORGANIZE. IN HIS OPINION, IF THEY DECIDE TO REORGANIZE THE MSBU, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE PART OF THE OLD STRUCTURE AS TO WHAT THEY WANTED THEN BECAUSE IT WOULD STILL HOLD TRUE IN SOME PARTS OF THAT PLUS WHATEVER THE PEOPLE DOWN THERE AND THE BOARD DECIDES TO SPEND THE MONEY FOR IT. BASICALLY IF IT WAS RUN RIGHT AND EVERYTHING WENT PERFECT, THEY WOULD HAVE A NEW CEO AND HIS NAME WOULD BE JESUS CHRIST; BUT, IT DON'T HAPPEN THAT WAY. BUT, THEY CAN DO A LOT BETTER JOB THAN WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN DOING AND THAT IS THE PROBLEM. HE

POINTED OUT HE WASN'T BEING MEAN AWHILE AGO WHEN HE SAID HE SPENT MORE TIME WITH SUNNY HILLS PROBLEMS AND PEOPLE THERE THAN HE HAS ANY OTHER FIVE PUT TOGETHER IN HIS 4.5 YEARS HERE. HE SAID THEY HAVE TO COME TOGETHER AS A COUNTY, SUNNY HILLS, WASHINGTON COUNTY, THIS BOARD, EVERYBODY AND SOLVE THIS PROBLEM SOME HOW OR ANOTHER. THE QUESTION IS HOW.

MR. HAGAN REPORTED WHEN THEY WERE IN SUNNY HILLS LAST YEAR, THEY DID COMMIT TO THE PEOPLE THEY WOULD HAVE AN ANNUAL OR SEMI-ANNUAL MEETING. THEY DIDN'T HOLD ONE IN THE FALL OF THE YEAR BECAUSE OF THE SURVEY AND THE OTHER THINGS THAT WAS NOT GETTING SETTLED. HE THINKS IT IS ABOUT TIME; BUT, DOESN'T THINK IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA TO HOLD A MEETING NOW UNTIL THE BOARD MAKES UP THEIR MIND IF THEY ARE GOING TO CONTINUE WITH THE MSBU. HE SAID HE DISAGREES WITH MR. MAHOLSKI A LITTLE BIT. MAHOLSKI SAID SOME VERY GOOD THINGS AND EVERYBODY HAS. HOWEVER, HE DOES THINK A COMMITTEE CAN COME FROM SUNNY HILLS; IT MAY NOT BE FIVE PEOPLE. WE MAY HAVE TO DO IT IN THESE COMMUNITY HEARINGS TO WHERE THERE IS CERTAIN PEOPLE; BUT, WHEN YOU HOLD A HEARING AND ONLY 30 PEOPLE COMES, THAT IS THE SAME 30 PEOPLE HE SAID AWHILE AGO THEY HEAR OVER AND OVER UP HERE. WE NEED SOMEBODY TO GET IN THE COMMUNITY, TALK TO RESIDENTS, KNOCK ON DOORS IF WE HAVE TO AND HEAR FROM THE OTHER SIDE OF PEOPLE. WHAT HE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS NOT HOLD ANOTHER MEETING UNTIL THE BOARD MAKES UP THEIR MIND WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO AND THEN IF THERE IS A TRANSITION THEY NEED US TO HELP THEM WITH. HE SAID REPLACE THE COORDINATOR; IF THE COORDINATOR IS THE PROBLEM, DO SOMETHING THERE. TO HEAR FROM THE PEOPLE, THE BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE A COMMITTEE OR HAVE A SERIES OF MEETINGS THERE WHERE THEY CAN HEAR FROM THEM. HOW ELSE ARE THEY GOING TO HEAR FROM THEM. THEY DIDN'T HEAR FROM THEM FROM THE SURVEY. IF WE WON'T TO SPEND PEOPLE'S MONEY THE WAY PEOPLE WANT IT SPENT, THEY HAVE GOT TO HEAR FROM THE PEOPLE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. HAGAN IF ON THE SURVEY THEY DIDN'T HEAR FROM THE PEOPLE. MR. HAGAN ASKED HOW MANY RESPONSES DID THEY

GET OUT OF 18,000 OR 1800, ETC.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THEY ONLY SENT OUT ABOUT 7,000 AND THEY GOT BACK 10% OF THEM BACK; 700 PLUS TOTAL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HOW MANY PEOPLE LIVE IN THE MSBU AREA.

MR. HAGAN SAID THE SURVEY DON'T JUST INCLUDE JUST THOSE WHO LIVE DOWN THERE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE UNDERSTOOD THAT.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE LIVE DOWN THERE; ABOUT 1,000 TO 1,200.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF SOMEBODY SENT HIM A SURVEY AND HE REACHED OVER AND THREW IT IN THE GARBAGE CAN, HE IS NOT GOING TO ARGUE ABOUT HOW THE RESULTS WERE WITH THE SURVEY. HE THINKS THE PEOPLE HAVE SPOKEN. THE BOARD HAS TO DETERMINE IF THEY ARE GOING TO USE THE SURVEY OR NOT USE IT.

MR. HAGAN SAID EVEN THE ONES THAT SPOKE, THEY NEED TO HEAR FROM THEM ABOUT SPECIFIC THINGS. MR. LUCCHINO MADE A VERY GOOD POINT; THIS IS NOT A SUNNY HILLS MSBU. IT IS A SUNNY HILLS/OAK HILL MSBU SO IF THEY ARE GOING TO SAY LIGHTING IS GOING TO BE A SPECIFIC PROJECT, THEY NEED TO SEE WHERE THE POPULUS POPULATION ARE BECAUSE THEY NEED THE LIGHTS WHERE THE PEOPLE ARE. THE SUNNY HILLS ROAD GOING IN, THAT IS WONDERFUL; BUT, ONCE YOU GET IN THERE, WHERE ARE THE PEOPLE. UNTIL YOU GET WAY DOWN TO THE END, THERE IS ONLY EIGHT OR TEN HOMES RIGHT ON THE BOULEVARD. THEY NEED TO GET OUT WHERE THE PEOPLE ARE; WHETHER IT IS OAK HILLS OR SOME OF THOSE POPULATION IN QUINTERA, SALEM AND PLACES LIKE THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF THINGS THE BOARD CAN DO; BUT, LIKE HE SAID AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS MEETING, THEY CAN'T DECIDE WHAT TO DO UNTIL THEY DECIDE WHAT THEY CAN DO WITH MSBU. AS FAR AS THE SURVEY IS CONCERNED, HOWEVER GOOD OR BAD IT IS, IT IS OUR SURVEY AND THEY HAVE TO USE IT IN HIS OPINION.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER HOWELL; THEY HAVE TO DECIDE WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO. MOST OF WHAT THEY HAVE TALKED ABOUT

TODAY IS WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE; BUT, THEY HAVEN'T DECIDED WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO NEED THAT INFORMATION THERE OR WHETHER IT IS PUT IN THE GARBAGE OR WHAT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT MS. BAHR WAS RIGHT; WHATEVER THE BOARD DECIDES TO DO. HE DON'T LIVE IN SUNNY HILLS; BUT, ENJOYS PLAYING ON THE GOLF COURSE AND ENJOYS GOING TO SUNNY HILLS. EITHER WAY THE BOARD DECIDES, THE BOARD DECIDES. THE BOARD MAY WANT TO CONSIDER. HE THINKS SOME OF THE ISSUES THEY ARE DEALING WITH HERE TODAY IS UNCERTAINTY ABOUT WHAT THE PROCESS OF DISBANMENT IS IF THAT IS THE ROUTE. THE BOARD COULD SEND HIM OR WHOMEVER AWAY FOR A MONTH AND COME BACK THE FIRST WEEK OF MAY AND SAY GIVE US A PRESENTATION ON IF WE DISBAN, HOW THE DEBT ISSUE WILL BE RESOLVED AND THE TIME TABLE THE DISBANMENT WILL TAKE. THEN AT THAT POINT, MAKE A DETERMINATION IF THEY WANT TO DISBAN OR REORGANIZE OR THEY COULD GO AHEAD AND MAKE THAT DETERMINATION BEFORE THAT RESEARCH IS DONE. THAT IS WHERE HE THINKS THE BOARD IS RIGHT NOW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO MR. HOWELL MENTIONING AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS MEETING, THE FIRST THING THIS BOARD HAS GOT TO DO IS DECIDE WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD OR SHUT IT DOWN. ONCE THIS BOARD VOTES, THEY HAVE GOT TO GET A DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THIS OTHER STUFF WILL COME INTO PLAY THEN. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT WILL OR THEY WILL THROW IT OUT AND START OVER. THERE SEEMS TO BE SOME CONTROVERSY WHETHER THE SURVEY IS WORTH THE PAPER IT IS WRITTEN ON. ALL HE IS SAYING IS HE NEEDS SOME DIRECTION FROM THIS BOARD ON WHAT INFORMATION ARE WE GOING TO FOLLOW. BUT, THAT IS NOT EVEN IMPORTANT UNTIL THEY DECIDE WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO KEEP THE MSBU OR DISBAN IT. HE THINKS THE BOARD NEEDS TO TAKE ACTION; HE THINKS IT NEEDS TO BE ON THE AGENDA MR. JOYNER FOR THE NEXT BOARD MEETING TO VOTE, IF THIS BOARD AGREES, WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO DISBAN THE MSBU OR WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE ONLY THING HE CAN TELL THEM FOR

SURE THAT HE HAS TAKEN OUT OF THIS TODAY IS WHATEVER THE BOARD DECIDES TO DO, THERE IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE SOME WORK DONE AND THE DEBT HAS GOT TO BE PAID. EITHER WAY THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO GO ONE WAY AND SET IT UP AND REORGANIZE IT AND A NEW ORDINANCE AND IF THEY CLOSE IT DOWN, THEY WILL HAVE TO BE AN ORDINANCE CLOSING IT DOWN HE SUPPOSES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MR. HAGAN HAVING MADE A VERY GOOD POINT. IN FACT, HE EXPECTS THAT IS THE BEST SPEECH HE HAS EVER HEARD MR. HAGAN MAKE. IT JUST MADE HIM PROUD. THIS BOARD HAS GOT TO GIVE HIM DIRECTION IN ORDER FOR ANYTHING TO BE DONE DOWN THERE. HE THINKS HE HEARD MR. HAGAN SAY HE HADN'T HAD ANY DIRECTION AND HE CAN REALLY BELIEVE THAT.

SAL ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IF HE HAD READ THE SURVEY THAT HE HAD GIVEN HIM AND WHAT DID HE THINK ABOUT IT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DID READ IT; IT HAS GOT SOME GOOD INFORMATION IN IT. ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHERE DID THAT SURVEY COME FROM.

SAL SAID FROM THE PEOPLE; HE SENT IT OUT. IF COMMISSIONER ABBOTT NOTICED, THERE WAS THE ENVELOPES ATTACHED TO THAT COPY SO IT IS LEGAL. HE ASKED ABBOTT WHAT SURVEY WOULD HE GO BY; THE ONE ISSUES AND ANSWERS PROVIDED OR THE ONE HE GAVE HIM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW. ONE WAS PUT OUT BY SAL AND THE OTHER ONE WAS PUT OUT BY THE COUNTY, THE BOARD.

SAL SAID IT WAS PUT OUT BY THE MSBU; THEY PAID FOR IT, NOT THE COUNTY. LETS GET THAT STRAIGHT.

SAL REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HAVING SAID HE HAD SPOKEN TO THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAD SPOKEN TO SOME PEOPLE. SAL MISUNDERSTOOD HIM.

SAL SAID MAYBE HE DID MISUNDERSTAND; BUT, COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HAS SPOKEN TO SOME.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAD SPOKEN TO SAL. SAL SAID ABBOTT HAD NEVER SPOKEN TO HIM ABOUT THE MSBU.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY HAD SPOKEN SEVERAL TIMES. SAL

SAID NEVER; IF HE HAD, HE WOULD HAVE REMEMBERED THAT.

SAL TOLD ABBOTT WHEN HE SAYS HE IS GOING TO TALK TO THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS, TALK TO EVERYONE; NOT JUST THAT CERTAIN GROUP THAT INVITES HIM DOWN TO HAVE A MEETING. ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD AND TOLD SAL HE HADN'T INVITED HIM.

SAL SAID HE HAD NO PLACE TO INVITE HIM EXCEPT TO HIS HOUSE AND THEN ABBOTT WOULD BE IN TROUBLE BECAUSE THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS WOULD SAY HE WAS TRYING TO BUY YOU. MR. ABBOTT SAID HE COULDN'T BE BOUGHT.

SAL SAID HE DIDN'T SAY ABBOTT COULD BE BOUGHT; BUT, THAT IS WHAT WOULD COME OUT OF IT. WE ARE NOT ALLOWED TO HAVE A MEETING PLACE. MR. ABBOTT CAN ASK MR. HOWELL, THE CATHOLIC PRIEST IN ST. THERESA'S AND ALL THE CHURCHES DOWN THERE; WE ARE NOT ALLOWED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LOVE TO MEET WITH SAL AND TALK ABOUT THE MSBU. SAL SAID SO WOULD HE AND STRAIGHTEN A LOT OF THINGS OUT.

SAL APOLOGIZED TO THE BOARD FOR WHAT HE SAID BEFORE TO THEM IF THEY GOT OFFENDED BY IT.

DUE TO COMMISSIONER PATE LEAVING THE MEETING FOR A MINUTE, VICE-CHAIRMAN HOWELL ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYBODY ELSE THAT WANTED TO SPEAK TO THE MSBU ISSUE TODAY.

MR. HAGAN SAID HE JUST WONDERED IF THEY NEEDED TO HEAR ANYTHING FROM CAMILLE; SHE HAS LISTENED AND IS HERE BY INVITATION.

CAMILLE SAID SHE WAS JUST LISTENING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED AGAIN IF ANYBODY HAD ANYTHING ELSE TO SAY BECAUSE TODAY IS THE DAY THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE THEIR SAY. NO ONE RESPONDED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE WATER FOUNTAIN ISSUE WILL BE REALLY QUICK. HE DON'T MIND TABLING THE RECOMMENDATION HE HAS WITH RESPECT TO THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. HE WILL PUT A MEMORANDUM IN THE BOARD'S BOXES JUST LAYING FORTH WHAT HE IS GOING TO TALK ABOUT AT THE NEXT WORKSHOP. THE BOARD CAN LOOK AT IT AND THAT WILL GIVE THEM A HEADS UP ON IT. THE WATER ISSUE IS A TWO MINUTE ISSUE AND THEN AT THEIR NEXT WORK SHOP HE WILL ADDRESS:

1. PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST ISSUE PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 119 OF THE FLORIDA STATUTES AND THEY WILL DEAL WITH THAT ISSUE THEN.

2. THE POLICY AND PROCEDURE AS FAR AS THE PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE.

FOR PURPOSES OF THIS WORKSHOP, IT GOT NOTICED; IT IS JUST AN MSBU ON THE AGENDA SO HE WOULD BE SOMEWHAT HESITANT TO GO INTO THOSE OTHER ISSUES WITHOUT PUTTING IT ON THE AGENDA AND GIVING NOTICE OF IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THE BOARD CAN TAKE UP ANYTHING THEY WANT TO ANYTIME THEY ARE IN SESSION. HE IS NOT SAYING GO THERE; HE IS JUST SAYING THAT IS THE IMPRESSION HE HAD AND THAT IS THE WAY HE HAS OPERATED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WAS PREPARED TO TALK ABOUT WHATEVER THE BOARD WANTS TO TALK ABOUT ON THOSE ISSUES TODAY; HE IS HERE AS LONG AS THE BOARD NEEDS HIM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY COULD TALK ABOUT THE WATER FOUNTAIN ISSUE TODAY. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH DISCUSSING THE WATER FOUNTAIN ISSUE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED HIM TO GO AHEAD AND ADDRESS THE WATER FOUNTAIN ISSUE OR FINISH THE MSBU ISSUE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THE MSBU ISSUE IS OVER; THEY ARE NOT GOING TO VOTE ON ANYTHING TODAY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE LAWYER GET SOMETHING. HE DON'T WANT TO DO AWAY WITH SOMETHING AND IT COME BACK AND LET THE COUNTY BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL THAT MONEY FOR THAT DEBT; THAT IS WHAT HE IS WORRIED ABOUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHAT HE FORSEES HAPPENING IF THE BOARD VOTES TO GO IN THE DIRECTION OF DISBANMENT, HE THINKS THERE NEEDS TO BE A GROUP PREPARED TO DEAL WITH THE ISSUE OF NOT ONLY THE PROCEDURE OF A GENERAL DISBANMENT; BUT, THE HUGE DEBT THAT EITHER NEEDS TO BE RESTRUCTURED, PAID FOR.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID BEFORE HE COULD VOTE ON ANYTHING, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN IS SAYING HAPPEN. HE KNOWS EVERYONE IS GETTING TIRED OF LISTENING TO THIS; BUT, WE FINALLY HAVE US A LAWYER WHO HAS GIVEN SOME GOOD ADVICE AND WE NEED TO LISTEN TO HIM.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED WHAT HE SAID THE LAST TIME HE WAS UP HERE WAS THEY COULD GO IN ONE OF TWO DIRECTIONS; THEY CAN HAVE A VOTE TO START THE DISBANMENT PROCEDURE, THEN GET THE COMMITTEE TOGETHER OR THEY CAN GET THE COMMITTEE TOGETHER AND HAVE ANOTHER WORKSHOP AND TALK ABOUT WHAT THAT PROCEDURE IS GOING TO BE. IT IS JUST A MATTER OF THE CYCLE THE BOARD WANTS TO PUT IT IN.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE JUST DIDN'T WANT TO DO ANYTHING THAT IS GOING TO COST THIS COUNTY A LOT OF MONEY WITH THAT DEBT. IF ATTORNEY GOODMAN IS SAYING, LETS GET A COMMITTEE TOGETHER AND TALK ABOUT THE DEBT BEFORE THE BOARD DOES ANYTHING, THAT IS WHAT HE WANTS TO DO. THAT IS WHAT HE WOULD DO INSTEAD OF SAYING "HEY, LETS VOTE ON IT THURSDAY."

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IT MAY BE AN ISSUE WHERE THE BOARD SAYS LETS SET A WORKSHOP SPECIFICALLY ON THE DISBANMENT PROCEDURE AND THE DEBT ISSUE THE FIRST WEEK OF MAY AND THEN AT THE MAY MEETING, THERE IS THE VOTE. THAT WOULD SUFFICE IN THIS SITUATION.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WOULD BE GLAD TO SEE THAT TAKE PLACE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WOULDN'T IT BE A DIFFERENT APPROACH WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO DISBAN IT OR KEEP IT OF HOW THEY ARE GOING TO PAY OFF THE DEBT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TO REPEAT HIS

QUESTION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WHETHER THE BOARD IS GOING TO KEEP THE MSBU OR DISBAN THE MSBU, THE PROCEDURE IS ALREADY PUT IN PLACE TO PAY THAT MONEY BACK.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED THERE WAS A PROCEDURE. HE IS NOT AWARE IF THERE IS A WAY TO ACCELERATE THAT PROCEDURE OR DRAW FROM DIFFERENT PLACES, SO HE IS NOT PREPARED TODAY TO GIVE THE BOARD A RECOMMENDATION ON THAT. HE HAS NOT LOOKED INTO THE ISSUE OF ALTERNATIVE METHODS TO POSSIBLY EXPEDITE THE PAYING OFF OF THAT DEBT OR WHAT MANNER THEY COULD PAY IT FROM OR HOW THEY COULD REARRANGE THE DEBT. THAT WOULD BE THE ISSUE HE WOULD WANT TO ADDRESS. WHETHER THE BOARD DISBANS OR RESTRUCTURES, THAT DEBT HAS GOT TO BE REPAID AND THERE IS A CURRENT STRUCTURE FOR REPAYING IT. BUT, HE CAN'T MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD ONE WAY OR THE OTHER WHETHER THERE MAY BE ALTERNATIVE METHODS TO SATSIFY THE PROBLEM IN A MORE EXPEDIENT MANNER.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF HE WAS HEARING IT IS THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD THEY WANT TO LOOK AT ATTORNEY GOODMAN COMING UP WITH A PLAN FOR DOING AWAY WITH THE MSBU.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID A PLAN TO HOW THE DEBT IS GOING TO GET PAID.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE DEBT IS GOING TO GET PAID REGARDLESS OF WHICH WAY THEY GO.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ANTICIPATED, IF THAT IS WHAT THE BOARD IS GOING TO DO, PROBABLY THE FIRST WEEK IN MAY WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO DO IT. THAT WOULD GIVE THEM THREE OR FOUR WEEKS TO TALK TO SOME PEOPLE AND GET THEIR MIND AROUND IT AND HAVE A WORKSHOP TO PRESENT SPECIFICALLY AND ONLY TO THE BOARD; NOT NECESSARILY THE POLICY ASPECT BUT WHAT THE PROCEDURE AND ANY ALTERNATIVE TO ACCELERATE THE DEBT IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO DO THAT AT THEIR MEETING IN MAY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REITERATED THAT IS WHAT HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE HAPPEN. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED; BUT, SAID IF THE BOARD

DISBANS THE MSBU, HE WOULD LIKE THE DEBT PAID OFF ON A QUICKER SCHEDULE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID ALSO, IF THEY DO THAT, THE ASSESSMENT GETS FROZEN AT THAT TIME AND IT DOESN'T GET INCREASED ANYMORE. IF THE MSBU GETS DISBANNED, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE ASSESSMENT FROZEN TO WHAT IT IS TODAY SO IT DON'T INCREASE OVER THE NEXT TWO TO THREE YEARS.

COMMISSIONER PATE AND ABBOTT SAID AND ALL THE MONIES GOES TO PAYING OFF THE DEBT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD ANTICIPATE PRESENTING ALTERNATIVES THE BOARD COULD DO PURSUANT TO THEIR ORDINANCE WITH RESPECT TO HOW TO PAY THE DEBT OFF. HE THINKS THAT IS A SECOND WORKSHOP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY WERE TALKING POSSIBLY INCREASING THE ASSESSMENT TO GET THE DEBT PAID OFF QUICKER. THE BOARD SAID "NO."

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THE BOARD IS LIMITED SOMEWHAT BY WHAT IS IN THE ORDINANCE AS TO HOW THEY CAN INCREASE WHAT THE ASSESSMENT IS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHEN THAT MONEY WAS BORROWED. CAMILLE SAID IT WAS REFINANCED IN 2008 FOR TWENTY YEARS; IT IS DUE 2028.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED AGAIN WHEN WAS THE MONEY BORROWED. CAMILLE ADVISED IT WAS ORIGINALLY BORROWED IN 2003 AND REFINANCED IN 2008 FOR A BETTER INTEREST RATE.

COMMISSIOENER PATE SAID WHEN IT IS ALL PAID DOWN, THEY WILL HAVE 43 MILES OF ROAD THAT WILL NEED REPAVING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHAT THE REMAINING DEBT IS. CAMILLE AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID THEY DIDN'T KNOW. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID IT WAS PROBABLY STILL CLOSE TO THE \$2,000,000; SHE DIDN'T THINK THERE HAD BEEN TOO MUCH PAID TOWARD THE PRINCIPAL.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF IT IS THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD, THEY WILL DIRECT THE ATTORNEY TO PREPARE THAT REPORT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY NEED TO SET A DATE FOR THAT MAY

MEETING TO GO OVER THE MSBU. MR. JOYNER SAID HE WOULD LOOK AT THE CALENDAR TO SEE WHAT IS OPEN FOR THE WORKSHOP.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY WOULD HAVE AVAILABLE DATES AT THE NEXT WORKSHOP.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING AN ISSUE THAT GOT BROUGHT UP AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING WITH RESPECT TO MR. ABBOTT WANTING TO REMOVE CERTAIN DRINKING FOUNTAINS FROM CERTAIN PARKS IN THE COUNTY. HE HAS SPOKEN WITH PEOPLE AT THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND THE FL-DEP SPECIFICALLY, THE GENTLEMAN IN CHARGE OF THIS AREA OUT OF PENSACOLA WITH RESPECT TO THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS. THE COUNTY IS ALLOWED AND CAN REMOVE THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS FROM THE PARKS WITH THE HELP OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH MAKING SURE THAT IS DONE PROPERLY. THERE IS CERTAIN PARKS, AND HE DOESN'T THINK THEY WERE BROUGHT UP BY MR. ABBOTT, WHERE THEY CAN'T DO THAT BECAUSE THEY DON'T QUALIFY BECAUSE THEY HAVE KITCHENS. BUT, WITH RESPECT TO THE SPIGOTS, SINKS, TOILET WATER, THOSE DO NOT HAVE TO BE TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH WHAT IS CONSIDERED DRINKING WATER OR TO BE USED AS CONSUMPTION BY HUMAN BEINGS. THE COUNTY DOESN'T NEED THOSE DRINKING FOUNTAINS AND COULD SAVE THE MONEY. HE RECOMMENDED, THE COUNTY DOESN'T HAVE TO DO IT, MAYBE PUTTING A SIGN UP AT THE ENTRANCE OF THE PARK ALERTING THE PUBLIC THERE ARE NO DRINKING FOUNTAINS ANYMORE IF THEY ARE GOING TO REMOVE THEM SO THERE ARE SOME NOTICES THERE WHEN PEOPLE COME IN IF THERE IS AN EXPECTATION FROM PRIOR EXPERIENCES THERE ARE WATER FOUNTAINS. HE EXPLAINED THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS REGULATED, DOH OR DEP RECOMMENDED. THAT IS JUST SOMETHING HE THOUGHT OF THAT MAY BE AT LEAST IN THE MEAN TIME THAT PEOPLE WHO HAVE USED THEM AND EXPECT THEM TO BE THERE A MEANS OF GIVING THEM NOTICE WHEN THEY COME IN THE WATER FOUNTAINS WON'T BE PROVIDED. THE COUNTY DOES HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO REMOVE THEM AND SAVE SOME MONEY IN TESTING. FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT, HE WILL LET THE BOARD VOTE ON THAT IF THAT IS SOMETHING THEY WANT TO DO OR IF THEY SEE THAT MONEY WELL SPENT AND THE WATER FOUNTAINS IS SOMETHING

THEY WANT TO KEEP. AS FOR AS THE DEP OR DOH REQUIREMENTS, HE THINKS THEY ARE SAFE THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE MADE HIS STATEMENT AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING AND IF IT IS THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD TO LEAVE THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS IN THE PARKS, HE DON'T WON'T TO REMOVE THEM. BUT, EACH PARK, WITH REMOVING THAT DRINKING FOUNTAIN, NOT JUST THE UPKEEP OF THE FOUNTAIN ITSELF AND THERE IS A COUPLE OF THEM THAT HAVE BEEN VANDALIZED PRETTY BAD AND THERE IS ONE THAT HASN'T BEEN WORKING IN ABOUT THREE MONTHS; BUT, THEY STAND TO SAVE \$2,300 A YEAR FOR EACH PARK THEY REMOVE THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS FROM. THERE IS THREE OF THEM THEY CAN'T REMOVE BECAUSE THE COUNTY RENTS THEM OUT AND THEY HAVE KITCHEN SPACE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID "WHICH HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE WATER FOUNTAINS."

COMMISSIONER PATE REMOVED HIS OBJECTION TO IT BECAUSE IF THEY REMOVE THEM, COULD WE ACTUALLY REMOVE THEM AND IT SAYS YES. HE DOES LIKE THE IDEA OF PUTTING UP THE SIGN BECAUSE HE THINKS THAT WOULD HELP THEM WITH ANY LIABILITY POSSIBILITIES.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH REMOVING THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THERE STILL WILL BE SPIGOTS SO THERE WILL BE WATER COMING TO THE PARKS; IT JUST WON'T BE IN THE FORM OF A WATER FOUNTAIN, WHICH MEANS IT HAS TO MEET CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS WITH RESPECT TO TESTING AND FEES.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ASKED IF THIS WOULD NEED TO BE ON THE AGENDA FOR BOARD ACTION. ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT THEY NEEDED TO VOTE ON IT AT THE REGULAR SCHEDULED MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE FEELS GOOD TO VOTE ON IT; BUT, IF THIS BOARD AGREES ON IT, IS IT SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE VOTED ON.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN RECOMMENDED VOTING ON REMOVAL OF THE WATER FOUNTAINS AT THEIR NEXT MEETING. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS GOOD WITH THAT.

44-BCC
04-13-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 435

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE BOARD DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT WITH THE COMMISSION TABLE AND MAKE IT A HORSESHOE SHAPE SO THEY CAN SEE ONE ANOTHER. HE BRINGS IT UP ALL THE TIME; HE WOULD JUST LIKE TO SEE IT GET DONE.

MR. JOYNER SAID IF IT IS THE PLEASURE OF THE BOARD, HE CAN GET IT DONE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID LETS GET IT DONE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT DIDN'T MATTER TO HIM WHAT SHAPE THE TABLE WAS IN. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID IF HE WANTS TO TALK TO MR. HOWELL, HE HAS TO LEAN OVER TO TALK TO HIM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN