

1-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 436

APRIL 18, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 5:00 P.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS PATE, HOWELL, ABBOTT, STRICKLAND AND BROCK PRESENT. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER, ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER. COMMISSIONER HOWELL OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES-MARCH 28, 2011 AND MARCH 31, 2011. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED THESE MINUTES HOPEFULLY WOULD BE READY BY THE APRIL 28, 2011 BOARD MEETING.

PUBLIC HEARING-ROGER HAGAN, WASHINGTON COUNTY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER DIRECTOR, LOCAL MITIGATION ORDINANCE-MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD, AT THEIR REGULAR MEETING ON APRIL 28, 2011, THIS WILL BE THE TIME WHEN THEY WILL HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE ORDINANCE TO UPDATE THEIR COUNTY'S LOCAL MITIGATION STRATEGY. THE COUNTY'S LOCAL MITIGATION STRATEGY WAS DEVELOPED IN THE MID 1990'S. WASHINGTON COUNTY WAS THE FIRST COUNTY IN THE STATE TO DO THAT; AT THAT TIME IT WAS A VOLUNTARY PROGRAM TO ENCOURAGE COUNTIES TO LOOK AT WAYS THEY COULD STOP REPETITIVE LOSSES IN TIMES OF DISASTERS AND ACTUALLY MITIGATE FUTURE OCCURENCES. A FEW YEARS AFTER THAT, TEN YEARS AGO OR SOMETHING, THIS BECAME PART OF THE PROCESS TO BE ON THE LMS LIST; THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE THEIR GRANTS, ETC. ON THERE AND IT HAS TO BE UPDATED EVERY FIVE YEARS. IT IS TIME FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY'S LMS TO BE UPDATED. LAST YEAR, THEY HAD AN INTERN FROM THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY COME AND HELP WORK ON THE LMS UPDATE. THE LMS COMMITTEE WAS REACTIVATED AND WORKED TO DEVELOP THE MITIGATION STRATEGY. HE MADE THE BOARD AWARE WHEN THEY WANT TO DO GRANTS AND PLANNING ON DOING GRANTS, THEY GET POINTS AND IN SOME CASES, THEY DON'T EVEN GET CONSIDERED IF THEY DON'T HAVE IT ON THE

2-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 437

LOCAL MITIGATION STRATEGY. IF THEY DEVELOP THE STRATEGY, IT SAYS THEY ARE GOING TO DO CERTAIN THINGS TO KEEP SOME OTHER THINGS FROM HAPPENING. HE SAID HE WASN'T SURE THEY ALWAYS DO THAT OR ALWAYS

FOLLOW THEIR OWN POLICY. WHEN THEY PARTICULAR SAY ROAD ISSUES, THEY OUGHT TO BE LOOKING AT THE STRATEGY CONSTRUCTION ISSUES. HE REFERRED TO A FEW YEARS AGO, ONE OF THE ISSUES DISCUSSED WAS THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES VERSUS OVERHEAD AND THE BOARD DIDN'T DO THAT BECAUSE OF THE COST. SUPPOSE THE BOARD HAD DONE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, THEY WOULD ONLY HAVE ONE PLACE TO COME TO, MAYBE A BOX OR HUT, TO STORE EVERYTHING IN THERE WHEREAS IF THEY GO WITH OVERHEAD, THEY WOULD HAVE TO REPLACE POLES, STRUNG LINE, ETC. THERE IS MULTIPLE ISSUES THEY CAN DO WHETHER IT IS IN BUILDING CODES, CONSTRUCTION CODES, SUBDIVISION CODES, ETC. THERE IS AN ORDINANCE THAT IS BEING ADVERTISED AT THIS TIME; THE PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE GIVING THE PUBLIC AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY CHANGES FROM THE LAST ADOPTED ORDINANCE WITH THE NEW ORDINANCE.

MR. HAGAN ADVISED THERE WERE SOME CHANGES IN IT IN THERE BEING MORE THINGS MADE BROADER. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE; IF THERE WAS A DEVELOPMENT THAT CAME IN, THE BOARD MAY DO SOMETHING IN A PARTICULAR AREA ON THE DEMAND ON THE ISSUES; BUT, PROBABLY NOT SO MUCH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID TO PREVENT DISASTERS. MR. HAGAN ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT; ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS THE COUNTY EVER DID ON THIS WAS SOMETHING EVEN BEFORE LMS CAME OUT. HE ADDRESSED FEMA BEING A FAIRLY NEW AGENCY; FEMA STARTED SINCE HE HAS. THE COUNTY'S FIRST DOZEN STORMS, THERE WEREN'T ANY FEMA. THE COUNTY JUST GOT OUT THERE AND BUILT THE ROADS BACK BECAUSE THEY HAD TO BUILD THEM BACK. ONE OF THE THINGS THEY DID EARLY ON WAS TO HAVE IN POLICY THEY WOULD INCREASE THE SIZE OF ANY PIPE DESTROYED OR WASHED AWAY BY AT LEAST ONE SIZE. SOMETIMES, THEY DID MORE THAN THAT. HOWEVER, WHEN THEY PUT THAT INTO THEIR OPERATIONS POLICY, WHEN FEMA DID COME ALONG, THEY HAD SOME FOLKS COME OVER FROM TALLAHASSEE AND SAID EVERY PLACE THEY HAVE AN 18" PIPE,

3-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 438

THEY CAN PUT A 24" OR 30" AND FEMA WILL PAY FOR IT. IF AN 18" WON'T HOLD IT, SIZE IT AND PUT A 30" OR WHATEVER IS NEEDED THERE AND SAVE THAT ROAD THE NEXT TIME THERE IS A DISASTER. ITS NAME IDENTIFIES WHAT IT IS; IT IS LOCAL MEANING THE COUNTY, MITIGATION MEANING PREVENT FUTURE LOSSES AND STRATEGY MEANING A WAY OF THINKING AND APPROACHING THE ISSUES THEY ARE DEALING WITH.

CONSENT AGENDA-

A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR MARCH 2011 TOTALLING \$1,957,922.60.

B. ENDORSEMENT OF A PROCLAMATION IN HONOR OF CHILDREN'S DAY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

C. STATE ROAD 79.

D. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL FOR LIFE MANAGEMENT CENTER INVOICE TOTALLING \$34,914.02.

E. WASHINGTON COUNTY TOURIST DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL CANDIDATE SEAT #7.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO DISCUSS ITEMS C & D.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED ITEM E ADVISING HE WASN'T AT THE LAST TDC MEETING WHERE THEY SELECTED A PERSON FOR SEAT #7; HOWEVER, HE UNDERSTANDS THEY HAVE ONE. HE WILL MAKE SURE TDC SENDS THE BOARD A NAME TO ACT ON AT THEIR APRIL 28TH MEETING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT TDC WASN'T GOING TO MEET UNTIL APRIL 25TH. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID TDC HAD ALREADY PICKED THE PERSON; BUT, HASN'T SENT THE NAME TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED IF TDC GOT SOMEBODY THAT ACTUALLY COLLECTS BED TAX. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE THOUGHT THE LADY'S LAST NAME WAS HENDERSON. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE THOUGHT THIS PERSON HAD DONE A LOT OF WORK FOR ORGANIZATIONS OVER IN WALTON COUNTY IF THAT IS THE ONE TDC PICKED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED ITEM C, STATE ROAD 79, WHERE FL-DOT WAS ASKING FOR EASEMENTS AND DEEDS TO WORK ON THE RIGHT-OF-WAY WHERE THE COUNTY INTERSECTS WITH THE STATE ROAD. THE STATE IS

4-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 439

ASKING FOR ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION IN EXECUTING QUIT CLAIM DEEDS. HE ASKED IF THIS IS ALL COUNTY OWNED PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID YES; WHAT FL-DOT IS ASKING ABOUT IS TO SIGN DEEDS AND HE THOUGHT ONE EASEMENT WAS INVOLVED. HE THOUGHT THERE WAS TWO OF THESE TO BE DONE.

MR. JOYNER EXPLAINED THERE IS ACTUALLY FIVE ROADS INVOLVED; IT IS JUST TIE BACK ISSUES WHEN THE STATE IS WIDENING STATE ROAD 79.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED CONSENT AGENDA ITEM D ON REQUEST FOR APPROVAL FOR LIFE MANAGEMENT CENTER INVOICE TOTTALLING \$34,914.50. HE ASKED IF THIS IS WHERE WE BAKER ACT AN INDIVIDUAL AND ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION. HE ASKED IF THIS IS PART OF THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET OR THE COUNTY COMMISSION'S AND WHAT FUNDS DO THESE COME OUT OF.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED IT WAS PART OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION'S BUDGET AND IT COMES UNDER THE BAKER ACT LINE ITEM CHARGES IN THE BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE INVOICE PROBABLY IS COVERING MORE THAN ONE PERSON; PROBABLY A HALF DOZEN OR DOZEN PERSONS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THIS IS THE SECOND TIME THE COUNTY HAS HAD A BILL LIKE THIS, THIS YEAR. HE ASKED IF DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW KNEW WHAT WAS LEFT IN THE BUDGET.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW APOLOGIZED FOR NOT HAVING A COPY OF THE BUDGET PRINTOUT; BUT, ADVISED THIS INVOICE WILL PROBABLY WIPE OUT THE LINE ITEM FOR BAKER ACT CHARGES.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD NORMALLY DON'T HAVE A LOT OF BAKER ACTS; BUT, HE IMAGINES THEY ARE GOING TO PICK UP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THIS YEAR, THEY HAVE HAD AN EXTENSIVE AMOUNT.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED THESE CHARGES INCREASED BECAUSE LIFE MANAGEMENT HAS NO WHERE TO SEND THE PEOPLE AS THE CHATAHOOCHEE STATE FACILITY IS FULL. LIFE MANAGEMENT IS HAVING TO MAKE OTHER

ARRANGEMENTS AND THAT IS WHY IT IS COSTING THE COUNTY MORE.

5-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 440

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED BAY BEHAVIORAL PRIVATIZED SO THERE IS NO MORE STATE FUNDING FOR THAT; THIS IS ANOTHER REASON FOR THE INCREASED COST.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE NEXT THING THE BOARD WOULD HEAR FROM WOULD BE THE CHEMICAL ADDITION RECOVERY EFFORT PROGRAM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID TO A SMALL DEGREE, THERE IS REALLY NOTHING THE COUNTY CAN DO ABOUT IT; THEY CAN'T GET THE LAW ENFORCEMENT TO BACK OFF BAKER ACTING THESE PEOPLE IF SOMEONE NEEDS TO BE BAKER ACTED. BUT, WHAT OTHER OPTIONS DOES THIS BOARD HAVE. HE KNOWS THEY DON'T HAVE ANY CONTROL OF SOMEONE BEING BAKER ACTED. HE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ANSWERS ARE; BUT, THE BOARD IS JUST SITTING BACK HERE AS A BOARD, AND HE KNOWS THEY HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES AS A COUNTY TO PAY A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF THESE THINGS, BUT DO THEY NEED TO BE TALKING TO THE STATESMEN AND LEGISLATORS. THIS IS A REAL PROBLEM. WE ARE SIX MONTHS INTO THE BUDGET AND WE HAVE ALREADY SPENT APPROXIMATELY \$70,000 AND WE HAVE ANOTHER SIX MONTHS TO GO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED TWO YEARS AGO THEY OVERSPENT THIS BUDGET. IT IS ACCORDING TO HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE BAKER ACTED; THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE ANY CONTROL OVER IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID REALLY HE DIDN'T THINK THERE WAS ANY WAY THEY COULD PROJECT THE COST.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID SO JUST PAY THE BILLS AS HE DOESN'T GUESS THEY HAVE A CHOICE. HE LOOKED INTO SEEING IF THEY COULD BUY AN INSURANCE POLICY TO COVER THESE CHARGES AND THEY CAN'T. HE SAID IN A LOT OF COUNTIES, IT COMES OUT OF THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET; HOWEVER, IT DOESN'T MATTER WHICH BUDGET IT COMES OUT OF, IT STILL COMES OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND. HE ASKED DOES THIS BOARD HAVE ANY IDEAS HOW THEY COULD GO ABOUT PLANNING BETTER FOR THIS; IS THERE A PLAN. IS ALL THEY CAN DO IS GO OFF THE PAST. REALISTICALLY, THEY HAVE SPENT OVER \$70,000 TO \$75,000 ON JUST BAKER ACTING. THEY CAN'T ASK THE JUDGE NOT TO SIGN THE ORDER FOR BAKER ACTING.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE ONLY THING THEY COULD DO IS LOOK AT

6-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 441

THE RECENT HISTORY AND SEE HOW MANY THEY HAVE DONE AND SEE IF THERE IS A TREND THERE AND TRY AND BUDGET IT THERE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY COULD BUDGET MORE MONEY NEXT YEAR. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID ALSO THEY ARE SENDING THESE PEOPLE OFF TO PLACES BETTER EQUIPPED; BUT, COULD THEY GET THEIR LOCAL MEDICAL FACILITY TO BE ABLE TO HANDLE THE MENTALLY UNSTABLE. HE ASKED IF THE BOARD DIDN'T HAVE A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE LOCAL HOSPITAL.

COMMISSIONER PATE DIDN'T THINK THE LOCAL HOSPITAL COULD HANDLE THE BAKER ACT PEOPLE; THESE PEOPLE GO BEHIND BARS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT WAS SUPPOSE TO BE FOR SEVENTY TWO HOURS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT, FOR THAT PRICE, THE BOARD MAY HAVE THEIR COUNTY MANAGER OR SOMEBODY LOOK INTO POSSIBLE WAYS THE OTHER COUNTIES ARE FACING THESE BAKER ACT ISSUES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED IT IS GETTING WORSE BECAUSE IN SOME AREAS THE STATE IS CUTTING BACK ON THEIR FACILITIES TO BE ABLE TO HANDLE THE MENTAL HEALTH PORTION. FOR \$70,000, YOU COULD JUST ABOUT AFFORD TO STAFF.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF ANYBODY ON THE COUNTY'S STAFF KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT THE BAKER ACT CHARGES AS THE BOARD IS JUST UP HERE RAMBLING TALKING ABOUT IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED MR. HAGAN IF HE KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT THE BAKER ACT. MR. HAGAN ADVISED IT COMES UNDER THE GENERAL HEADING OF WELFARE AND THEY PAY \$55 FOR EVERY PATIENT THAT IS IN THE NURSING HOME IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AS WELL PER MONTH. THEY HAVE THE BAKER ACT AND A COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS UNDER WELFARE. LIFE MANAGEMENT DOESN'T ALWAYS MEAN THE ONE IN PANAMA CITY; THERE IS A BRANCH IN HOLMES COUNTY AND THERE ARE SOME OF THE SERVICES THAT ARE DELIVERED LOCALLY. IT IS AN EVALUATION PROGRAM AS MUCH AS ANYTHING ELSE AND IT IS DONE BY LAW ENFORCEMENT. IT CAN BECOME TREATMENT; BUT, WHEN IT IS DONE BY LAW ENFORCEMENT IT IS FOR EVALUATION PURPOSES. IF THE BOARD TALKS TO THEIR LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL, AND STATED THIS IS JUST REPEATING,

7-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 442

WHAT IS DISCOURAGING ABOUT IT IS THEY TAKE SOMEONE DOWN THERE AND THAT PERSON WILL BEAT THEM BACK TO WASHINGTON COUNTY JUST ABOUT IT SOMETIMES. AFTER YOU TAKE THE SAME PERSON THREE OR FOUR TIMES, YOU EITHER ARE NOT GETTING A GOOD EVALUATION OR YOU ARE NOT GETTING A GOOD FOLLOW UP. AS FAR AS THE BOARD HAVING TO PAY IT, THAT IS ONE OF THOSE THINGS. HE SAID JUST LIKE THE BAKER ACT, THAT WAS FOR THE LEGISLATOR THAT INTRODUCED THIS LIKE THE MEGAN LAW, ETC. NAMED AFTER THAT PERSON.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED IT TAKING THE JUDGE OR THE SHERIFF TO BAKER ACT SOMEBODY; THE FAMILY CAN'T DO IT. HE REFERRED TO THREE YEARS AGO, HE KNOWS JACKSON COUNTY AND BAY COUNTY WAS HAVING PROBLEMS WITH THEIR BAKER ACT AND FUNDING. IT MAY BE THE COUNTY IS GETTING MORE THAN WE USE TO AND WE MAY NEED TO LOOK AT IT; IT DOESN'T MEAN WE ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO FUND IT. WE DEFINITELY NEED TO LOOK AT IT. HE REQUESTED MR. JOYNER CALL JACKSON AND BAY COUNTY.

NAN THOMPSON SAID SHE COULD TELL THE BOARD TAKING ANYONE UNDER

THE AGE OF 18 TO LIFE MANAGEMENT IN PANAMA CITY, THEY DO ABSOLUTELY NOTHING AS THEY HAVE NO FACILITIES TO TREAT CHILDREN. THE BOARD MIGHT WANT TO LET LAW ENFORCEMENT KNOW IF THEY ARE GOING TO BAKER ACT A YOUTH, PERHAPS TAKE THEM SOME PLACE ELSE. SHE KNOWS THIS BECAUSE OF EXPERIENCE WITH HER OWN DAUGHTER; SHE WAS BAKER ACTED LAST YEAR. SHE HAD A MENTAL PROBLEM AND LIFE MANAGEMENT SAID THEY COULDN'T DO ANYTHING AND SENT HER HOME.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW WAS ASKED TO SEE HOW MUCH THEIR BUDGET WAS LAST YEAR, HOW MUCH THEY SPENT AND WHAT HAS BEEN SPENT YEAR TO DATE ON BAKER ACT CHARGES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK WANTED TO ADDRESS ITEM C ON STATE ROAD 79. HE ASKED IF ALL THE ROADS WAS IN ONE SECTION; ARE THEY IN THE NORTH END OF VERNON AND ASKED WHERE ARE THESE ROADS. HE NOTICED ONE OF THEM WAS A HOLDING POND.

COMMISSIONER PATE NOTED THAT JOHNSON AND UNION HILL ROAD WAS INVOLVED IN THE STATE ROAD 79.

8-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 443

MR. JOYNER TOLD THE BOARD THE STATE ROAD 79 AGREEMENT WITH FL-DOT IS FOR JOHNSON ROAD, UNION HILL ROAD, CLAYTON ROAD, LEAVINS ROAD AND DOUGLAS FERRY ROAD.

UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE-NAN THOMPSON ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING SHE HAD BEEN ATTENDING MEETINGS NOW FOR OVER TWO YEARS AND SHE HAS WATCHED PEOPLE COME AND GO; BOTH COMMISSIONERS AND APPOINTED STAFF. SHE REMINDED THE BOARD THEY WERE VOTED IN AS THEIR LEADERS AND THEY EXPECT THEM TO LEAD BY EXAMPLE. IN THE PAST YEARS, SHE HAS WATCHED FIVE MEN SITTING IN THE BOARD SEATS TO DO THEIR BEST TO HUMILIATE OTHERS AS THE BOARD ASK THEM TO STEP DOWN FROM A POSITION. SHE CAN LIST THREE WITHIN THE LAST FEW YEARS PLUS:

1. FIRST IF WAS MR. HERBERT WHEN HE WAS PUT ON A SIX MONTH PROBATION PERIOD. SOME PRAISED HIM WITH ONE SIDE OF THEIR MOUTHS WHILE CHEWING HIS TAIL ON THE OTHER.

2. NEXT CAME MR. PITTS; ONCE AGAIN, SOME OF THE BOARD TOLD HIM WHAT A GREAT JOB HE WAS DOING, WHAT A GREAT PERSON HE WAS. BUT, PLEASE LEAVE AND GO BACK TO BEING A BUILDING INSPECTOR.

3. THE MOST RECENT, MR. ROGER HAGAN, AT THE LAST MEETING. ALTHOUGH SHE MAY FEEL HE WAS WRONG IN WHAT HE HAD DONE, THE INFORMATION WAS BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD AND MR. PATE ASKED THE BOARD, NOT ONCE BUT TWICE, WHAT THE BOARD WANTED TO DO ABOUT THE SITUATION. BUT, NOT ONCE DID ANY OF THEM OPEN THEIR MOUTH ABOUT THE SITUATION. WHEN THEY WERE NEAR THE END OF THE MEETING, COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE MADE A MISTAKE A FEW MONTHS AGO AND ASKED THE BOARD TO APPOINT SOMEONE NEW TO THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING TO THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR POSITION. WAS THIS TO MAKE THE BLOW OF BEING REMOVED THAT MUCH MORE OF A CIRCUS SHOW. THERE ARE THINGS CALLED DIGNITY, INTEGRITY AND HONESTY OF WHICH SOME OF THE BOARD MEMBERS SITTING HERE HAVE NOT SHOWN ANY OF US. THESE THINGS WILL BE REMEMBERED AT ELECTION TIME. SHE DOES AGREE FOR SPECIFIC REASONS, APPOINTED

POSITIONS AND PEOPLE NEED TO BE REMOVED AND THE PUBLIC IS TO BE MADE AWARE OF THE BOARD'S DECISIONS; BUT, SHE WOULD HOPE IN THE

9-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 444

FUTURE THE BOARD WOULD ALLOW THESE PEOPLE NOT TO BE MADE PART OF THEIR SHOW OF POWER IN ALLOWING THESE PEOPLE TO LEAVE THESE POSITIONS WITH DIGNITY. NO WONDER THE RUMOR MILL. SOMETHING THAT DOESN'T EXIST. BUT, SHE BEGS TO DIFFER. IT IS ALIVE AND WELL AND IF RUMORS ARE PROVEN TRUE AS THEY HAVE BEEN SO FAR, THEY WILL BE WITHOUT A PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR IN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS. SHE ASKED THE BOARD WHERE DOES THIS END.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, BID AWARDS-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BID TABULATIONS BEING IN ZOLA'S OFFICE LOCKED UP; SHE HAS ALREADY MADE COPIES AND THEY ARE SITTING ON HER TABLE. THE BID TABULATIONS WERE RETRIEVED FROM ZOLA'S OFFICE AND GIVEN TO THE BOARD. CLIFF ADDRESSED ON MARCH 24TH, THEY OPENED BIDS ON THE INDUSTRIAL PARK SPUR EARTHWORK CONTRACT AND ON APRIL 7TH, THEY OPENED BIDS ON THE RAILROAD SPUR ITSELF. THEY HAD GOOD BIDS IN BOTH CASES. HE BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD ONE TIME BEFORE A RECOMMENDATION FOR ANDERSON COLUMBIA TO DO THE EARTHWORK PORTION OF THE CONTRACT AND HE THOUGHT HE HAD READ THE BIDS INTO THE MINUTES WITH THE AMOUNT OF DAYS EACH CONTRACTOR PROPOSED. HIS PLAN IS TO RECOMMEND AWARDED IT TO ANDERSON COLUMBIA FOR THE \$673,301.70; THEY WILL NOT BE USING ANY COUNTY MATERIAL AS IN FILL FOR THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. THEY WILL BE USING ALL THEIR OWN MATERIAL. THE BOARD MAY RECALL THEY HAD AN ALTERNATE TO USE FILL MATERIAL FROM HERO PIT; ABOUT 30,000 PLUS YARDS OF FILL. BUT, ANDERSON COLUMBIA WILL BE USING THEIR OWN MATERIAL. THEY HAD A BIDDER THAT WAS ABOUT \$5,000 LOWER THAN THIS AMOUNT; BUT, IT WAS USING THE 35,000 YARDS OF MATERIAL FROM THE COUNTY'S PIT AND IT WAS ALSO A FORTY DAY LONGER CONSTRUCTION TIME FRAME. THERE WAS 150 DAYS VERSUS 105 DAYS; SO, THERE WAS 45 DAYS DIFFERENCE. ONE THING IMPORTANT TO NOTE IS THEY OPENED THE EARTHWORK BIDS FIRST BECAUSE THEY WANTED TO HAVE AN IDEA OF HOW LONG THE RAIL CONTRACTOR WOULD HAVE TO HOLD THEIR BIDS BEFORE THEY CAN START THEM GOING TO WORK. HE SAID THEY WERE ABLE TO USE THE INFORMATION FROM THIS BID TO SET THE TIME FRAME FOR THE RAIL SPUR. THERE WERE FOUR

10-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 445

BIDDERS ON THE RAILROAD SPUR CONSTRUCTION:

1. QUEEN CITY RAILROAD \$1,568,250
2. R & R CONSTRUCTION \$1,752,362.28
3. TRACK WORK, INC. \$1,468,973
4. RAIL WORKS TRACK SYSTEM \$1,570,551

CLIFF REPORTED HE HAD CHECKED WITH GARY SKEEM, WHO IS THE RAILROAD DESIGN ENGINEER, AND HE SAYS TRACK WORK, INC, WHICH WAS THE LOW BIDDER AND ALSO ONLY USED SEVENTY DAYS FOR CONSTRUCTION, IS A FANTASTIC CONTRACTOR. SKEEM SAID HE HAS SEEN A NUMBER OF PROJECTS THEY HAVE BUILT AND THEY DO A FANTASTIC JOB. HE WILL ALSO BE RECOMMENDING TRACK WORK, INC. FOR THE RAIL CONSTRUCTION AT THURSDAY'S BOARD MEETING. HIS UNDERSTANDING IS THAT MR. EVERETT HAS SOME DISCUSSIONS THAT WILL BE TAKING PLACE TOMORROW WITH OTTED RELATING TO THE FUNDING SITUATION. CERTAINLY BEFORE THE NEXT COMMISSION MEETING, THEY WILL KNOW THE ANSWER ON THE RELEASE OF FUNDS AND WHAT DIRECTION THEY SHOULD BE HEADING.

CLIFF ADDRESSED HIM HAVING DONE SOME CALCULATIONS ON THE FUNDING SOURCES HE KNOWS OF AND JUST A CURSORY REVIEW SHOWS IT IS ABOUT \$200,000 UNDER BUDGET FROM WHAT THEY HAD FROM ALL THEIR FUNDING SOURCES. THAT IS THE OTHER THING THEY ARE DOING RIGHT NOW IS VERIFYING ALL THE FUNDING SOURCES HE USED ARE CORRECT. HE WILL BE MEETING WITH TED, JEFF AND STACY TO VERIFY THIS INFORMATION. BUT, BASED ON WHAT THEY KNOW RIGHT NOW, IT LOOKS LIKE IT IS GOING TO BE UNDER BUDGET FOR THOSE FUNDS THEY HAD SET UP FOR IT. ALL THE PERMITS ARE IN HAND. THE AIR QUALITY PERMIT TURNED OUT TO BE AN EXEMPTION FROM FL-DEP AND THEY HAVE ISSUED THAT EXEMPTION. THEY HAD A CHALLENGE WITH STORM WATER ON THE TRACK PORTION OF THE PROJECT ITSELF; FL-DEP CAME BACK AND SAID THEY WANTED THE COUNTY TO PROVIDE STORM WATER TREATMENT FOR THE TRACK CONSTRUCTION ITSELF. CLIFF SAID THEY WERE ABLE TO DEMONSTRATE THEY HAD EXCESS CAPACITY ON THE FOLEY PIPE SITE; FOLEY PIPE AND FL-DEP HAS APPROVED THAT EXCESS CAPACITY. THAT ISSUE IS TAKEN CARE OF. THE LAST ISSUE THEY HAVE IS THE ARMY

11-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 446

CORP PERMITS AND THEY HAVE A MEETING ON SITE TOMORROW WITH THE ARMY CORP. CLIFF THOUGHT, AFTER THEY CARRY THEM THROUGH THE JOB CREATION SITE TO THE MITIGATION PROPERTY, ARMY CORP WOULD BE READY TO ISSUE THE COUNTY THEIR PERMITS. IT LOOKS LIKE EVERYTHING IS GOING TO SHAKE OUT PRETTY GOOD ON THE WHOLE PROJECT. IT IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT ANY MINUTE; BUT, RIGHT NOW IT LOOKS PRETTY GOOD.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THE NEXT STEP WOULD BE TO AWARD THE EARTHWORK AND THE SPUR CONTRACT. THEY SET UP THE RAIL CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT SO THE CONTRACTOR WOULD HAVE TO HOLD THEIR PRICE FOR 130 DAYS; BASICALLY, HIS GOAL WAS TO AWARD THE CONTRACT, HAVE THEM HOLD EVERYTHING FOR 130 DAYS AND AFTER THE 130 DAYS IS UP, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO SEND THE COUNTY AN INVOICE FOR THEIR BID BONDS, PERFORMANCE BONDS, UPFRONT COSTS AND START WORK. BUT, NO INVOICES UNTIL THAT TIME FRAME IS UP IS THE WAY THEY HAVE IT SET UP RIGHT NOW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HOW WAS THE BOARD GOING TO

GET FUNDS AND WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO USE THAT WITH.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THERE BEING ABOUT \$3,050,000 OR \$3,060,000 IN FUNDS WHEN YOU COMBINE THE OTTED GRANT FOR \$2,000,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THEY WERE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DRAW THESE FUNDS IN ORDER TO PAY THE CONTRACTORS. CLIFF SAID THAT IS WHAT THE MEETING TOMORROW IS ABOUT; THAT IS THE BIG QUESTION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD HE WOULD BE ADDRESSING THIS TONIGHT AND HE WILL TELL THEM ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON TOMORROW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT WAS GOOD TO LET THESE BIDS OUT, ETC; AND IT IS GREAT TO GET WORK DONE; BUT, THEY HAVE TO HAVE A PLAN TO PAY THESE CONTRACTORS WHEN THEY GET THE WORK DONE.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON HAVING SOME MEETINGS WITH PUBLIC WORKS TO GO OVER IDEAS THEY HAD FOR AREAS WHERE THEY SAW THE MOST NEED; KIND OF INDEPENDENT OF THE PAVING MATRIX, WISH LIST OR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS. THEY PUT A LIST TOGETHER ON ROADS SUGGESTED FOR WIDENING AND RESURFACING, ROADS SUGGESTED FOR PAVING AND BRIDGES SUGGESTED FOR REPAIR. THESE ARE THE HOT TOPICS BETWEEN ROBERT, DALLAS

12-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 447

AND DEBBIE'S INPUT; THIS WAS BEFORE MR. BARFIELD CAME ON BOARD. AT THE SAME TIME, THE BOARD HAS TO GIVE CONSIDERATION THESE GUYS KNOW A LOT ABOUT THESE ROADS AND RIDE THEM EVERYDAY. HE WANTED THE BOARD TO SEE WHAT PUBLIC WORKS IDEAS WERE AS FAR AS IMPORTANT ROADS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED IN SOME MANNER. SOME OF THESE ROADS THEY HAVE COVERED.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD FROM HIGHWAY 77 TO GAINER ROAD THEY JUST RESURFACED. HE QUESTIONED AREN'T THEY TRYING TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THE MILLED ASPHALT SECTION OUT THERE NOW.

CLIFF THOUGHT WHAT HAPPENED WAS THE BOARD DIRECTED MR. BARFIELD TO EVALUATE WHETHER THE \$30,000 REQUIRED TO DO THAT WOULD BE WORTH-WHILE AND WHETHER OR NOT PUBLIC WORKS HAD THE FUNDS TO DO THAT. HIS UNDERSTANDING IS THE ANSWER IS NO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE ANSWER WAS "NO" ON THE FUNDING OR "NO" ON BOTH COUNTS.

CLIFF SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE FUNDING WAS NECESSARY IN SOME OTHER PLACES BESIDES RESURFACING AND REPAVING THE END OF MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL QUESTIONED WHAT WOULD BE MORE IMPORTANT THAN THE MONROE SHEFFIELD PROJECT.

MR. BARFIELD ADDRESSED EARL GILBERT ROAD WHERE THEY JUST DID SOME RECLAMATION; THEY HAVE RESOLVED THAT TO A POINT, IT IS STABLE. THEY ALSO HAVE AN ISSUE ON FIRE TOWER ROAD THAT IS UNSTABLE THAT NEEDS TO BE CORRECTED. THE MILL PORTION OF MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD IS VERY STABLE; IT IS A LITTLE ROUGH IN SOME PLACES. THEY CAN TAKE SOME HOT MIX AND ACTUALLY PUT THAT OUT THEMSELVES TO LEVEL AND SMOOTH THAT UP SOME WHERE IT WILL TRAVEL BETTER. BUT, AS FAR AS STABILITY AND WORRYING ABOUT THE ROAD COMING APART, ETC, MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD

IS SOUND.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED HOW LONG WAS THAT PORTION OF MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD. MR. BARFIELD AND CLIFF ADVISED IT WAS ABOUT

13-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 448

850'. COMMISSIONER PATE FELT IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE THE WORK DONE ON MONROE SHEFFIELD IF THEY COULD FIND THE MONEY.

MR. BARFIELD SAID IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE IT DONE; BUT, THEY HAVE \$30,000 FROM THE STRIPING LINE ITEM BUDGET THEY PROBABLY AREN'T GOING TO DO THIS YEAR. THEY DO HAVE \$30,000 SITTING THERE THAT COULD BE UTILIZED FOR SOMETHING LIKE THIS; BUT, HE DOES FEEL LIKE IT IS GOING TO SAVE THE COUNTY MONEY TO SPEND THAT MONEY ON SOME OF THESE ROADS THAT ARE GOING TO BE PROBLEMS. HE DON'T THINK MONROE SHEFFIELD WILL BE THAT PROBLEM. IF THE BOARD PREFERS TO DO MONROE SHEFFIELD, THEY CAN DO IT; BUT, HE DOES FEEL LIKE THEY WILL HAVE OTHER EXPENSES ON THESE OTHER ROADS REGARDLESS.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THE ONLY REASON HE BROUGHT MONROE SHEFFIELD UP IS BECAUSE HE THOUGHT THEY HAD ADDRESSED IT AND THOUGHT THEY WERE TRYING TO FIND SOME MONEY ON IT. HE IS NOT SURE THEY COULD GET A GRANT FOR 800' OF ROADWAY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THEY NEEDED TO TRY AND GET MONROE SHEFFIELD DONE WHILE THE CONTRACTOR IS OUT THERE; THEY WON'T GET THE WORK DONE ANY CHEAPER THAN THAT. CLIFF SAID THE CONTRACTOR WOULD BE PUTTING THE CAP ON MONROE SHEFFIELD TOMORROW. THE BUDGET FOR THE MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD ITSELF HAS BEEN COMPLETELY USED UP BY THE CONSTRUCTION; MOSTLY, BY TRYING TO KEEP THE COUNTY FROM HAVING TO DO ANYTHING ON THE JOB. CLIFF SAID THEY ARE GOING TO MAKE IT FROM START TO FINISH; BUT, THERE IS NO DOLLARS LEFT OVER ON IT.

MR. BARFIELD ADDED THEY DO HAVE THE MONEY SITTING THERE TO DO THE MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD PROJECT; BUT, THEY HAVE SOME ISSUES ON A COUPLE OF OTHER ROADS THEY WILL HAVE TO COME UP WITH SOME FUNDS TO TAKE CARE OF IF THEY SPEND THE WHOLE \$30,000 ON THAT PROJECT. THE BOARD HAS THE INFORMATION AND WE CAN DO WHATEVER THE BOARD WANTS TO DO WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THAT IS A DECISION MR. BARFIELD, MR. JOYNER AND THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERVISORS NEED TO BE DOING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED CLIFF IF THEY HAD MISSED THE WINDOW

14-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 449

WITH THE CONTRACTOR. CLIFF REITERATED FOR THE CONTRACTOR TO DO THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE WHOLE THING, CERTAINLY THEY WOULD LIKE TO TRY AND DO IT AT THE SAME TIME. HE THOUGHT IF THE BOARD CAME UP WITH THE MONEY, THE CONTRACTOR WOULD STILL DO IT IF THEY ASK THEM TO. BUT, THEY ARE PUTTING THE CAP ON MONROE SHEFFIELD TOMORROW; THE MAIN PORTION OF THE PROJECT WILL BE COMPLETED TOMORROW. THE CONTRACTOR HAS ALREADY DONE ALL THE SODDING, SEED AND MULCH, GRASSING; SO THE CAP IS GOING ON TOMORROW. CERTAINLY THE CONTRACTOR IS DOING SOME MORE PROJECTS FOR THE COUNTY; SO, IF THEY WENT BACK AND ASK THEM TO FINISH OUT MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD, THEY PROBABLY WOULD.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BIG PICTURE ROADS ON PUBLIC WORKS LIST FOR WIDENING AND RESURFACING; WILDERNESS ROAD IS ONE THEY HAVE TRIED TO GET ADDRESSED AT LEAST TWICE HE KNOWS OF BUT IT NEVER GOT FUNDED. IT IS A VERY LONG ROAD; A LITTLE MORE THAN TWELVE MILES, VERY NARROW AND IT IS STARTING TO CRACK UP IN SOME PLACES PRETTY GOOD. SHAKEY JOE IS IN BAD SHAPE ALSO; BUT, IT IS A SHORT, SMALL ROAD. DOUGLAS FERRY ROAD IS ONE THAT IS IN NEED ALSO. HE JUST WANTED TO PRESENT THIS INFORMATION TO THE BOARD TO LET THEM KNOW THAT PUBLIC WORKS HAS ALSO DONE THEIR OWN EVALUATION OF NEEDS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID DOUGLAS FERRY ROAD WAS DONE ALL THE WAY THROUGH SEVERAL, SEVERAL YEARS AGO WITH A GRANT. CLIFF THOUGHT THE ISSUE IS THERE IS KIND OF A DIP ON THE OUTSIDE EDGE AND HE THINKS THAT IS WHERE PUBLIC WORKS WAS TRYING TO GET THE RESURFACING DONE; THE REFLECTIVE CRACKING IS TRYING TO COME THROUGH AS WELL. IT WAS PROBABLY NINE YEARS AGO THAT ANYTHING WAS DONE TO DOUGLAS FERRY ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT THERE WAS A BRIDGE OVER THERE TOO THEY HAVE HAD TO REPAIR A COUPLE OF TIMES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID YOU HAVE THE NORTH SIDE OF BONNETT POND ROAD BY THE CHURCH AND CEMETERY THAT HADN'T BEEN DONE FROM PIONEER TO HIGHWAY 277.

15-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 450

CLIFF PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT LIST. HE ADDRESSED THEY SPENT QUITE A BIT OF TIME ON SOME OF THESE TRYING TO GET TOGETHER SOME PRETTY GOOD COST ESTIMATES. A LOT OF THE PROJECTS ARE ALREADY DONE; BONNETT POND ROAD IS ALMOST COMPLETE, BAHOMA ROAD IS ONGOING, RIVER ROAD, BETHEL AND SHELL LANDING IS COMPLETE, CLAYTON ROAD HAS BEEN FUNDED FOR THE 2012-2013 YEAR. NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE WITH GAINER ROAD, BUCKHORN, LUCAS LAKE, PIKE POND, CAMP ROAD AND HOUSTON ROAD. THE COST ESTIMATES ON THESE ROADS WERE BASED ON THE LENGTH OF THE ROADS AND CURRENT COST.

CLIFF SAID THE REST OF THE PROJECTS LISTED ON THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT LIST INCLUDE THE TOWN OF EBRO, CARYVILLE AND VERNON; MOST OF THESE ARE FOR PARKS AND WATER AND SEWER FEASIBILITY TYPE PROJECTS. THERE IS SOME COST THEY PUT TOGETHER ON THOSE THAT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO THE BOARD IN MAKING A DECISION.

CLIFF PROVIDED THE BOARD A COPY OF THE MATRIX THAT IS SET UP BASED ON COST ONLY. THE LAYOUT ON THE MATRIX NOW IS SET UP IN

COST PER MILE. THERE IS SOME NOTES IN ONE OF THE COLUMNS THAT CAME AS A RESULT OF A MEETING HE HAD WITH PUBLIC WORKS; THEY PUT TOGETHER THE COST PER MILE AND THERE WERE A LOT OF COST THAT WERE COMPLETELY UNREALISTIC AND THEY KNEW THERE WAS A PROBLEM WITH THOSE COST. ALMOST ALL OF THEM THAT HAD A VERY HIGH COST HAD A PROBLEM WITH THEM. IF THEY LOOK AT CLAYTON ROAD, THIS WAS THE TIME WHEN THEY WERE DOING THE WIDENING, THE CLEARING, FENCING, ETC., TRYING TO GET READY FOR THE GRANT TO BE DONE. THE COST FOR CLAYTON IS VERY HIGH. THE ONES THAT HAVE AN "M" BY THEM WAS MILLED ASPHALT THAT HAD BEEN PUT ON THE ROADS; SOME OF THEM HAD THE CULVERTS AND BRIDGE REPLACEMENT. THE NOTES ON THE OUTSIDE EDGE EXPLAIN THE ROADS THAT THE COST WERE WAY OUT OF LINE. THE FIRST 10 OR TWELVE OF THE ROADS, WHEN BROKEN DOWN BY COST PER MILE, BECAUSE THERE WAS SOME SORT OF SPECIAL PROJECT OR THERE WAS SOMETHING SPECIAL DONE TO THEM, WHEN THEY START TO GETTING DOWN TO \$28,000, \$26,000 AND \$25,000 A MILE WHICH STARTS AT VIKING DRIVE, ISLAND DRIVE, HIDDEN FERRY

16-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 451

DRIVE, MOONSEED LANE THOSE ARE ACTUAL COST IF THE COUNTY USES FEMA RATES ON ALL THEIR EQUIPMENT AND PERSONNEL. DEBBIE'S ACCOUNTING SYSTEM RIGHT NOW, EVERYTIME THEY HAUL A LOAD OF DIRT, SEND A TRUCK, SEND A MOTORGRADER, ETC., IS BASED ON FEMA'S COST CODES SO ALL THESE COST ARE BASED ON FEMA'S COST CODES. THEY COULD BE HIGH BY SOME MARGIN; IT IS DEBATABLE HOW MUCH. IT SHOULD CERTAINLY HELP THE BOARD IN A DECISION MAKING PROCESS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED THE MILEAGE COST IS SCARY; \$167,000 A MILE FOR CLAYTON ROAD IS NOT RIGHT IS WHAT CLIFF IS SAYING. HOWELL SAID HE HOPES IT IS NOT RIGHT.

CLIFF SAID PUTTING FEMA RATES ON ALL THE COUNTY'S EQUIPMENT, HE THINKS MOST OF THE TIME IF THEY USE THE COST CODES FOR FEMA, THEY ALLOW \$10 A CUBIC YARD AND CERTAINLY THE DIRT DIDN'T COST THE COUNTY \$10. THAT IS SOMETHING FOR CONSIDERATION. ONE OF THE THINGS THE BOARD DIRECTED HIM TO LOOK AT WAS TO BRING THE COST UP TO CURRENT DATE AND THEY RAN INTO A COUPLE OF PROBLEMS WITH THAT. ONE PROBLEM THEY HAD IS THE COST FOR 2009-2010 ARE ALTOGETHER; THEY ARE NOT SEPARATED OUT FROM PUBLIC WORKS YET AND THEY ARE KIND OF SKEWED BY ALL THE FEMA WORK THAT HAS BEEN DONE BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING THAT WOULD ELIMINATE FEMA COST FROM THE REST OF THEIR COST. FOR INSTANCE, IF HE TOOK THE 2010 COST AND PUT THEM ON THE SPREAD-SHEET, IT WOULDN'T BE VERY ACCURATE BECAUSE IN APRIL, THEY HAD THIS STORM AND A LOT OF ROADS THE COUNTY HAD TO DO A LOT OF WORK TO GET THEM OPENED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF WHAT CLIFF WAS SAYING IS THEY DON'T KEEP THE FEMA COST SEPARATE. CLIFF REITERATED ACCORDING TO DEBBIE THE FEMA COST ARE INCLUDED WITH THE PRINTOUT SHE GAVE HIM FOR 2009-2010. SO IF THEY WANTED TO HAVE JUST THE ROUTINE MAINTENANCE COST HE DON'T KNOW HOW DEBBIE WOULD DO IT; IT WOULD TAKE SIGNIFICANT WORK. IF FOR EXAMPLE THE BOARD HAD A QUESTION ABOUT ONE OF THESE ROADS

ON HOW MUCH IT COST IN 2010 TO MAINTAIN IT, HE CAN LOOK IT UP ON WHAT HE HAS AND GIVE IT TO THEM AND THEY CAN COMPARE IT OR HE CAN

17-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 452

GET THEM COPIES OF WHAT HE HAS. HIS CONCERN IS IF HE TAKES ALL THIS, PUTS IT ON THIS SPREADSHEET, THE COST ARE GOING TO VARY WILDLY BECAUSE OF WHICH ROADS THEY DID FEMA ON AND WHICH ONES THEY DIDN'T.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAS TWO CHOICES THE WAY HE SEES IT; THEY HAVE THE AVERAGE RANKING ON THE LEFT HAND COLUMN, WHICH THE FIRST ROAD ON THE LIST IS CLAYTON ROAD AT 6.8; BUT, IF THEY BRING THE REST OF THE FACTORS IN IT, INCLUDING THE TOTAL COST TO MAINTAIN IT, YOU HAVE A 7.59 ON THE RANKING.

CLIFF AGREED THAT WAS CORRECT; BUT, THE LIST THE BOARD IS LOOKING AT IS TAILORED JUST TO RANKING BASED ON TOTAL COST PER MILE TO MAINTAIN. REALLY THE ONES LIKE CLAYTON ROAD SHOWING UP TOP, THE BOARD WOULD THROW THAT ONE OUT BECAUSE THAT IS NOT THEIR NORMAL ROUTINE MAINTENANCE COST.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE SHERIFF HAD GRADED IT A 5; THE SCHOOL AND MAINTENANCE HAS GRADED IT A 10; EMERGENCY SERVICES HAS GRADED IT AT 8 AND THE POST OFFICE IT APPEARS DON'T CARE ABOUT IT. IT IS AN AVERAGE OF 6.8 ON THE IMPORTANCE LIST. CLIFF ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHY EMERGENCY SERVICES WOULD SCORE CLAYTON ROAD 8. HE ADDRESSED IT BEING A VERY GOOD GRADED ROAD; IT IS VERY WIDE AND HE DON'T KNOW WHY IT WOULD BE SCORED AN 8.

COMMISSIONER BROCK DIDN'T UNDERSTAND WHY EMERGENCY SERVICES WOULD HAVE GRADED IT AN 8 EITHER. SWINDLE ROAD IS A LITTLE DEAD END ROAD WITH ONE HOUSE AT THE END OF IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT POINTED OUT THE SCHOOL HAS GRADED CLAYTON A 10. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID NONE OF THIS ON THE MATRIX IS ANY GOOD. COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED SAYING IT AIN'T WORTH TWO CENTS IN HIS BOOK; THIS MATRIX WAS DONE YEARS AGO.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID CLAYTON ROAD WAS A CUT THROUGH ROAD AND IT WAS HIGH; WHEN THE COUNTY WENT IN THERE TO GET THE RIGHT-OF-WAY TO WIDEN IT, IT MADE THE ROAD A WHOLE LOT BETTER.

18-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 453

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE MATRIX HAD BEEN UPDATED.

CLIFF ADVISED IT HADN'T BEEN UPDATED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT CLIFF WAS SUPPOSE TO SEND THE MATRIX BACK TO THE AGENCIES THAT RANKED IT PREVIOUSLY AND HAVE THEM LOOK AT THE TOP TEN ROADS AGAIN. HE ASKED IF THAT HADN'T BEEN DONE.

CLIFF ADVISED IT HADN'T BEEN DONE. THERE WAS A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT HAPPENED; THE BOARD HAD ASKED HIM TO GET THE 2010 COST UPDATES TOGETHER WHICH IS NOT HAPPENING BECAUSE OF THE FEMA SITUATION AND COMMISSIONER HOWELL WAS GOING TO HAVE FL-DOT DO TRAFFIC COUNTS, WHICH HE HASN'T SEEN ANYTHING ON THAT. COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADVISED THE TRAFFIC COUNT IS IN PROCESS.

CLIFF SAID HE WAS THINKING THE BOARD WAS GOING TO WANT TO GET ALL THIS STUFF TOGETHER. COMMISSIONER HOWELL STATED SOONER OR LATER THEY WILL; BUT, STAFF DIDN'T DO WHAT THE BOARD ASKED THEM TO DO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY ALLOWED STAFF ENOUGH TIME; HE DON'T KNOW. BUT, HE IS HEARING WHAT COMMISSIONER HOWELL IS SAYING. ABBOTT SAID HE HAD ASKED FOR THE MATRIX TO BE UPDATED AND HE IS THE ONE THAT BROUGHT THIS UP. HE JUST WANTS TO MAKE SURE THIS BOARD IS WORKING ALL OFF THE SAME SHEET OF PAPER WITH THE DIFFERENT KIND OF GRANTS. THEY ARE GOING TO START ON ROAD 1 AND CONTINUE UNTIL THEY ARE DONE. HE IS LOOKING FOR THE WHOLE BOARD TO AGREE AND START ON ROAD 1 AND GO ON THE MATRIX LIST UNTIL ALL THE ROADS ARE DONE. THIS BOARD WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AN UPDATED MATRIX ON WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO START AND WHERE IT IS GOING TO END. HE ASKED CLIFF HOW LONG THAT MIGHT TAKE.

CLIFF SAID HE WOULD GUESS THE LONGEST THING TO GET IS THE TRAFFIC COUNTS. HE THINKS COMMISSIONER HOWELL IS GETTING TRAFFIC COUNTS ON THE TOP TEN ROADS ON THE CURRENT MATRIX; THERE ARE 477 ROADS.

CLIFF SAID THE OTHER THING THE BOARD MAY WANT TO CONSIDER IS SHOULD THE POST OFFICE EVEN BE ABLE TO GIVE A RANKING. IS THE POST OFFICE IMPORTANT ENOUGH TO GIVE A RANKING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THOUGHT SO; HE LIKES THE AGENCIES CLIFF

19-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 454

HAS RANKING THE ROADS. THERE IS A LOT OF INTELLIGENCE IN THE MATRIX TO HIM. HE WOULD JUST LIKE TO SEE THE INTELLIGENCE UPDATED TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC, THE IMPROVEMENTS ALREADY MADE AS THERE MAY BE A ROAD THAT MAY NOT BE AS CRITICAL AS IT ONCE WAS. HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AN UPDATED MATRIX LIST FOR THE BOARD TO MAKE A DECISION AND APPROACH FUNDING FOR.

CLIFF SAID, CONSIDERING THEIR BOARD MEETING IS NOT UNTIL NEXT THURSDAY, HE THINKS THAT IS MORE THAN ENOUGH TIME TO HAND OUT THE MATRIX AND HAVE ONE PERSON FROM EACH OF THESE AGENCIES MAKE A RANKING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THIS BOARD IN GENERAL WAS WORKING FROM A MATRIX SYSTEM. THIS BOARD VOTED TO SEND IN CLAYTON AND LUCAS ROADS; CLAYTON WAS SELECTED. HE FEELS LIKE LUCAS WAS VOTED AND IT SHOULD BE PUT BACK FOR THE STATE GRANT; IT WAS ON THE MATRIX.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHICH ONE OF THE GRANTS WAS THEY LIMITED

TO ONE ROAD SUBMITTAL. CLIFF ADVISED THEY HAVE ONE SCRAP AND TWO SCOP; AS FAR AS NEW ROAD CONSTRUCTION, THE BOARD COULD SUBMIT TWO PROJECTS AND FOR RESURFACING, THEY COULD SUBMIT ONE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID AS FAR AS RESURFACING, HE FEELS LIKE WILDERNESS ROAD SHOULD BE THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAS DISCUSSED THAT AND THAT IS WHY CLIFF IS IN THE PROCESS OF UPDATING THE MATRIX. HE THOUGHT THEY HAD TIME TO GET THE MATRIX LIST UPDATED AND DETERMINE IT IS STILL UP TO DATE. THE BOARD HAD TALKED ABOUT THE MATRIX BEING FROM 2006 AND ASKED IF THAT WAS CORRECT. CLIFF ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS ONE OF THE REAASONS THEY TALKED ABOUT HAVING THE MATRIX UPDATED. HE DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM PUTTING THE ROAD THAT WASN'T CHOSEN BACK ON THE LIST; MEANWHILE, HE PERSONALLY AS A BOARD MEMBER WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE MATRIX UPDATED SO THEY CAN USE IT IN AN INTELLIGENT MANNER AND MAKE SURE THEY ARE IDENTIFYING THE ROADS THAT NEED PAVING OR REPAVING THE WORST.

20-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 455

AS FAR AS PRINTING OUT A MATRIX THAT IS BLANK AND HANDING THEM OUT TO EACH ONE OF THE AGENCIES, CLIFF SAID IT IS SIMPLE AND HE APOLOGIZED FOR NOT HAVING IT DONE. HE AGREED TO DO THAT TOMORROW.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT IS WHAT THE BOARD ASKED TO BE DONE THREE WEEKS AGO. CLIFF SAID HE WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THE BOARD WANTED THE WHOLE LIST UPDATED; NOT JUST THAT ONE ITEM.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE RATE THEY ARE GOING, IT IS GOING TO TAKE THEM TEN YEARS TO DO TEN ROADS MAYBE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT REALLY THEY HAVE BEEN WORKING ON FEMA, WORKED ALMOST A YEAR IN 2009 AND THEN FUNDING GOT CUT OFF AND THEN THEY COME BACK ABOUT SEVEN MONTHS LATER AND STARTED BACK WORKING ON FEMA AGAIN. THE WEST SIDE OF THE COUNTY BASICALLY GOT ALL ROADS ROCKED; THE EAST SIDE GOT DIRT. PUBLIC WORKS HAS BEEN HAMMERING ON THESE FEMA PROJECTS HARD; EVERY ROAD IN THE COUNTY. HE DON'T KNOW HOW THEY CAN COME UP WITH A FORMULA THAT GRADES WHAT ROAD IS WHAT BECAUSE A LOT OF THEM HAVE BEEN BROUGHT UP 100%. BUT, YOU GO BACK SIX TO EIGHT MONTHS FROM NOW, THE ROCK IS GONE AND THE ROADS ARE BACK TO DIRT ROADS.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED IF THEY GRADE THEM NOW ACUTALLY THEY HAVE BEEN TWO FEMA THINGS DONE OR FINISHING UP ONE AND THIS CYCLE HERE SINCE THIS WAS DONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS SAYING HE IS GETTING A LOWER SCALE ON THE MATRIX BECAUSE THE ROAD CONDITIONS ARE BETTER IN ONE AREA OR ANOTHER. FEMA HAS ALLOWED US FUNDING TO GET THE ROADS UPGRADED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID "YES;" SOMETIMES, HE IS HARSH AND HE WILL HAMMER ON THE SUPERVISORS. HE LOOKS AT HIS DISTRICT, RIDES HIS ROADS AND WANTS HIS DISTRICT KEPT UP GOOD FOR THE PEOPLE AND THEY HAVE JAM UP GOOD ROADS. BUT, DON'T COME UP HERE AND PENALIZE HIM BECAUSE HE

GETS OUT HERE AND DOES THIS BECAUSE HE HAS GOOD ROADS AND JOHN DOE HAS BAD ROADS. HE FEELS LIKE THIS IS WHAT HAPPENS IN A SITUATION LIKE THIS. YOU GET CHASTISED BECAUSE YOU HAVE GOT GOOD ROADS AND DISTRICT

21-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 456

FOUR HAS GOT BAD ROADS AND IT IS NOT A FAIR ASSESSMENT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAS BEEN HERE FOR THE LAST YEAR AND COMMISSIONER BROCK HAS GOT FREEWAYS OVER THERE AND THINK THERE ARE SOME NICE ROADS OVER THERE. COMMISSIONER BROCK STATED THEY DIDN'T GET THERE BY THEMSELVES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE DID A GOOD JOB ON THE ROADS IN HIS DISTRICT. COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED LUCAS LAKE ROAD BEING A DEAD END ROAD THREE MILES ONE WAY AND THERE IS A LOT OF HEAVY TRAFFIC OUT THERE; THE PEOPLE HAVE BEEN PROMISED FOR YEARS IT WOULD BE PAVED. IT HAS BEEN ON TOP OF THE LIST FOR YEARS AND HE THINKS IT IS NOTHING BUT RIGHT TO PUT LUCAS LAKE ROAD BACK IN FOR ONE OF THE STATE PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE HAD LUCAS LAKE ROAD RANKED #1 ON FLORIDA FOREVER GRANT FUNDING; BUT, HE FOUND OUT IT WOULDN'T FIT FLORIDA FOREVER BUT IT MIGHT FIT SOMETHING ELSE. IT WILL RANK UP IN THE FIRST ONE OR TWO FOR JUST ABOUT EVERY ONE OF THEM EXCEPT RESURFACING PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED CLAYTON ROAD THAT IS ON THE CURRENT MATRIX WILL BE SHIFTING OUT. CLIFF SAID THE NOTICE OF AWARD FOR CLAYTON ROAD IS SUPPOSE TO COME IN JULY 2012 FOR FUNDING IN THE 2012/2013 FISCAL YEAR. HE TOLD THE BOARD HE NEEDS TO TURN IN THE TWO SCOP PROJECTS BY MAY 1ST.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY HAVE UNTIL THE END OF APRIL TO SELECT THE SCOP PROJECTS. HE WILL HAVE THE TRAFFIC COUNTS BY THE NEXT MEETING.

CLIFF ASKED IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE HIM TO KEEP THE COST BASED ON 2008 BEFORE ALL THE FEMA STUFF STARTED GOING ON OR WOULD THEY LIKE HIM TO GET WITH MS. DEBBIE AND TRY TO FIND A WAY TO SEPARATE THOSE COST OUT. THAT MAKES A BIG DIFFERENCE ON HOW THIS IS ALL GOING TO FALL IN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE FEMA RATES BEING ESCALATED EXTREMELY HIGH AND THE COST FIGURING THE FEMA RATES AND TRYING TO

22-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 457

GET AVERAGES, DO THEY WORK FIVE DAYS, SIX DAYS, SEVEN DAYS. WERE THEY PAYING TIME AND A HALF, ETC.

CLIFF SAID THE ISSUE HE WAS TRYING TO GET AT IS IN 2008, THEY DIDN'T REALLY HAVE ANY FEMA WORK GOING ON AT ALL. IN 2009, THEY DIDN'T HAVE ANY WORK GOING ON; AFTER APRIL 2010, THEY DID HAVE FEMA WORK GOING ON BECAUSE APRIL 30, 2010 WAS WHEN THE STORM WAS THAT CAUSED THE COUNTY TO GET FUNDING FOR ALL THOSE PROJECTS. HE WOULD LIKE TO USE 2009 COST BECAUSE IT WAS BEFORE FEMA; BUT, RIGHT NOW DEBBIE DON'T HAVE THOSE SEPARATED OUT. HE CAN CERTAINLY GET WITH HER AND TRY TO GET HER TO SEPARATE IT OUT. HE SAID RIGHT NOW THAT HAS A LOT TO DO WITH THE RANKING OF THE ROAD BECAUSE THEY HAVE COST PER MILE AS A BASIS IN ONE OF THE SCORES. LIKE COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS SAYING HIS ROADS WOULD SCORE LOW BECAUSE SOMEBODY DIDN'T THINK THEY WERE VERY BAD WOULD SCORE HIGHER BECAUSE HIS COST PER MILE FOR MAINTENANCE WOULD BE HIGHER. ACTUALLY, THERE IS A WAY THAT WOULD WORK OUT BETTER FOR COMMISSIONER BROCK THAN FOR SOMEBODY THAT DIDN'T SPEND THE MONEY MAINTAINING THEIR ROADS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SUGGESTED USING 2009 IF THE MAINTENANCE COST CAN BE SEPARATED OUT; IF NOT, THEY WOULD BE BETTER OFF USING THE 2008 VERSUS THE 2010 WHERE THEY HAVE ALL THE FEMA WORK.

CLIFF SAID HE WOULD GET WITH MS. DEBBIE AND TRY TO GET THE COST SEPARATED; HE WILL PRINT OUT BLANK MATRIX FORMS AND GET THEM TO ZOLA SO SHE CAN HAND ONE TO THE SCHOOL BOARD, THE SHERIFF AND HE WILL GET ONE TO PUBLIC WORKS, EMS AND THE POST OFFICE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHY THEY DIDN'T HAND DELIVER THE BLANK MATRIX RATHER THAN TRYING TO PUT THEM IN THE MAIL; GET THEM IN THE AGENCIES HANDS TOMORROW. CLIFF SAID HE WOULD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY NEEDED TO SELECT THE ROADS FOR SCOP PROJECTS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY DIDN'T NEED TO SELECT ROADS UNTIL THEY GET THE UPDATED MATRIX.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY WOULD HAVE TO MOVE PRETTY QUICK WHEN THEY GET THE UPDATED MATRIX. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY

23-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 458

COULD SELECT THE ROAD PROJECTS AT THEIR APRIL 28TH MEETING.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE PAVED ROAD MATRIX MISSING ABOUT 45% TO 50% OF THE ROADS COUNTYWIDE; THE EVALUATIONS WAS NOT DONE WHEN THE COUNTY HAD THE LASER GRADING MACHINE. THEY ONLY HAVE ABOUT 60% OF THE ROADS IN THE COUNTY; PROBABLY 2/3 OF THE ONES IN SUNNY HILLS DID NOT GET A READING AT ALL BECAUSE OF GRASS, ETC., COMING UP THROUGH THE ROADS. THE PAVED MATRIX RIGHT NOW IS NOT USEFUL BECAUSE IT DOESN'T INCLUDE ALL THE ROADS FOR THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE PAVED MATRIX INCLUDES ALL THE ROADS THEY HAVE DATA ON; THAT IS ALL THEY CAN DO.

CLIFF SAID IF THE BOARD SELECTS BASED ON THE RANKINGS OF THE ROADS THEY HAVE, THEN THEY ARE STILL MISSING 40% OF THE ROADS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID SHAME ON US FOR NOT HAVING THAT DATA.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAD PUT SOME SLOW

STRIPS ON THE WEST END OF BRICKYARD ROAD. HE PERSONALLY HAS HEARD A LOT OF COMPLIMENTS; BUT, HE WAS NOTICING ONE OR TWO OF THE RUMBLE STRIPS ARE COMING UP.

CLIFF SAID MR. BARFIELD HAD ALREADY CONTACTED GUETTLER AND GUETTLER ON THE RUMBLE STRIPS COMING UP ON BRICKYARD ROAD.

ED PELLETIER, COUNTRY OAKS, ADDRESSED QUAIL HOLLOW BOULEVARD WAS SUPPOSE TO HAVE BEEN DONE BY FLORIDA LANDINGS; BUT, THEY AREN'T GOING TO DO ANYTHING AND THAT ROAD IS IN ONE HECK OF A MESS RIGHT NOW. THE CARS ARE JUST GETTING BEAT TO HELL. HE DOESN'T KNOW WHY THEY GRADE THOSE THINGS WALL TO WALL 100' WIDE. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM BRING THIS BACK TO 24', JUST TWO LANES AND MAINTAINED. HE THINKS IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA TO DO LIKE THE GAS LINE DID; JUST LIKE THEY DID FROM HARTFORD AND QUAIL HOLLOW AND THEY DID A SECTION FROM ORANGE HILL WITH THE LIMESTONE; THAT HAS BEEN STANDING UP BEAUTIFUL. BUT, THEY KNOW WHAT IT DOES IN DRY WEATHER. HE SAID THERE IS A HECK OF A LOT OF TRAFFIC ON THAT ROAD AND HE DON'T SEE THAT ROAD MENTIONED FOR ANY MAINTENANCE. THEY HAD SOUTHEASTERN

24-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 459

SURVEYORS OUT THERE FOR THE DRAINAGE DITCH; THERE WAS A LOT OF STAKES PUT UP BUT NOW THEY ARE ALL GONE. THAT ROAD IS IMPASSABLE. HE DON'T KNOW WHY FLORIDA LANDINGS WAS LET OFF THE HOOK AND NOT GO ALL THE WAY THROUGH; THEY DID THE SECTION FOR THE CHURCH TO VICKERS AND STOPPED RIGHT THERE. IT WILL PROBABLY BE ANOTHER FIVE YEARS BEFORE RHYTHM EVEN GETS STARTED ON WHAT THEY ARE DOING.

CLIFF SAID HE THOUGHT SPRING RIDGE WAS THE ONE THAT DID THE SMALL SECTION MR. PELLETIER WAS REFERRING TO.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED CLIFF HOW MUCH THE MILLED ASPHALT WOULD COST TO DO THE SECTION MR. PELLETIER IS TALKING ABOUT IF THEY PUT A LEVEL COAT ON WHAT IS THERE NOW.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THERE BEING ENOUGH BASE THAT HAS BEEN HAULED IN THERE ALREADY THEY PROBABLY WOULDN'T HAVE TO DO A LOT OF EFFORT TO GET THE LBR TO WHERE IT NEEDED TO BE. HE COULD PRICE OUT WHAT 1.5" WOULD COST. CERTAINLY, THEY WOULD PROBABLY WANT TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE DRAINAGE ISSUES, NARROWING IT DOWN AND SOME OF THOSE THINGS ALONG WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MR. BARFIELD IF HE HAD TAKEN ANY DENSITY TEST ON THE SECTION OF GILBERT MILL ROAD WHERE THEY WENT IN, MIXED IT UP AND PUT IT BACK DOWN. MR. BARFIELD SAID HE HAD NOT DONE ANY DENSITY TEST.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT WOULD BE A GOOD ROAD TO CHECK SINCE THEY HAVE ALREADY DONE PART OF THAT AS WELL AS SOME OTHER THINGS HE IS LOOKING AT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THE COUNTY HAS GOTTEN ALL THEY ARE GOING TO GET FROM THE CITY ON GILBERTS ROAD. MR. BARFIELD SAID UNLESS SOMETHING HAPPENS TO THE TRENCH LINE, HE THINKS THEY HAVE. WHAT IS HAPPENING OUT THERE NOW, HE AND CLIFF HAS WENT OUT AND LOOKED AT THE ROAD AND A COUPLE OF OTHER FELLOWS WENT AND LOOKED AT IT BEFORE

HE WENT TO WORK WITH THE COUNTY AND THE SECTION THEY ARE HAVING THE WORST PROBLEM WITH IS NOT WHERE THE LINE IS LAID. THE SECTION THEY ARE HAVING THE WORSE PROBLEM WITH IS ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE ROAD.

25-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 460

HE DON'T THINK WE CAN PUT THEM OVER THE BARREL ON THAT ONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE TWO DRAINAGE ISSUES ON BUCKHORN BOULEVARD AND ASKED WHERE THEY ARE AT WITH CORRECTING THESE.

CLIFF REPORTED THERE WERE TWO SPOTS ON BUCKHORN BOULEVARD; PREBLE-RISH PUT TOGETHER A PRELIMINARY DESIGN. THEY ARE GOING TO MEET WITH THE COUNTY FOLKS AND GO OVER THE PRELIMINARY DESIGN WITH THEM, WITH PUBLIC WORKS, ETC. AND MAKE ANY CHANGES THEY SUGGEST. AS FAR AS SCHEDULE WISE, THEY WOULD HAVE TO LET MR. BARFIELD ANSWER THIS. RIGHT NOW, IT IS NOT PROGRAMMED ON PUBLIC WORKS SCHEDULE AS FAR AS HE KNOWS. HE THINKS THAT IS SOMETHING PUBLIC WORKS WILL HAVE TO DO.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED IF THIS IS WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO RESET THAT BOX.

MR. BARFIELD ASKED WHAT WAS THE QUESTION. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD BARFIELD THEM BOXES THAT WAS SET TO CARRY THE WATER FROM ONE SIDE OF THE ROAD TO THE OTHER ON BUCKHORN BOULEVARD AND A LITTLE BIT FURTHER DOWN ON THE LEFT GOING IS WHERE IT IS BAD WASHED OUT. SOMEBODY HAS THROWN A TARP UP IN THERE, ETC. THEY LOOKED AT IT NOT TOO LONG AGO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHERE IS THAT ON THE PRIORITY LIST AND WHAT DOES MR. BARFIELD NEED FROM THE BOARD TO GET BUCKHORN ROAD ISSUES CORRECTED.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THEY WEREN'T TALKING ABOUT THE BAD WASH ON QUAIL HOLLOW. CLIFF SAID IT WAS QUAIL HOLLOW WHERE THE TYPE C BOXES WAS PUT IN AND THE PIPE GOES ACROSS. SOUTHEASTERN SURVEYORS HAD SURVEYED IT AND PREBLE RISH HAS A PRELIMINARY DESIGN FOR MR. BARFIELD TO LOOK AT.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THAT IS WAY UP AS FAR AS PRIORITY. HE DON'T THINK HE WILL NEED ANYTHING FROM THE BOARD EXCEPT THEIR BLESSINGS TO FIX IT. ROAD AND BRIDGE WILL GET IT FIXED. MR. BARFIELD STATED HE DIDN'T NEED ANYTHING FROM THE BOARD ACTION WISE THAT HE KNOWS OF UNLESS THEY GET OUT THERE AND FIND IT IS GOING TO REQUIRE MORE THAN

26-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 461

THEY CAN SPEND. HE WILL HAVE TO GET OUT THERE AND EVALUATE THE SITUATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY JUST NEED TO MODIFY THE BOX AND RESET THE PIPE.

MR. BARFIELD EXPLAINED THE EROSION IS REALLY A BIGGER ISSUE THAN THE BOX IS HIS UNDERSTANDING. THE BOX WON'T BE A BIG DEAL.

CLIFF SAID THE KEY WILL BE TO GET THE SWALES STABILIZED AND GETTING GRASS GROWING ON THOSE SWALES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF IT WOULD BE FAIR FOR HIM TO ASK CLIFF TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD BY THE BOARD MEETING ON THE PLAN FOR GETTING THE AREAS ON QUAIL HOLLOW FIXED.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID SURELY, SURELY, MR. JOYNER CAN GET THIS DONE. THEY DON'T NEED THE BOARD TO TELL THEM TO DO IT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THIS FRUSTRATES HIM THEY DON'T GET OUT HERE AND DO THESE JOBS; THEY HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS FOR MONTHS NOW AND IT DOES NOT GET DONE. WHY IS IT NOT HAPPENING; HE DON'T UNDERSTAND IT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THEY ARE DOING FEMA EVERYDAY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY CAN'T TELL HIM THEY DON'T HAVE A CREW THEY CAN'T PUT ON THIS TO GET IT DONE. HE DON'T UNDERSTAND IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF MR. BARFIELD WAS AWARE OF THIS PROBLEM; THE BOARD HAS ADDRESSED IT BEFORE BUT IN DEFENSE OF MR. BARFIELD, HE DIDN'T THINK THEY HAD ADDRESSED IT SINCE MR. BARFIELD HAS BEEN HERE. MR. BARFIELD HAS BEEN MADE AWARE OF IT NOW SO MAYBE IT WILL GET FIXED NOW.

MR. JOYNER SAID THIS IS THE FIRST HE HAS HEARD OF THIS PROBLEM TOO. IT IS A PRIORITY NOW.

27-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 462

COMMISSIONER PATE RECOMMENDED PUTTING BID AWARDS WITH THE COUNTY ENGINEER ON THE AGENDA; PUT COUNTY ENGINEER/BID AWARDS AND LET CLIFF HANDLE THEM ALL AT ONE TIME. THE BOARD DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THIS. COMMISSIONER HOWELL DIDN'T THINK THIS WAS SOMETHING THE BOARD NEEDED TO APPROVE EITHER.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED THE REASON THE AGENDA WAS DEVELOPED LIKE THAT IS ALL BID AWARDS AREN'T ROADS; SOMETIMES THEY AWARD BIDS FOR OTHER ISSUES. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF THE BIDS AREN'T ROAD RELATED, THEY CAN SEPARATE BID AWARDS; OTHERWISE, CLIFF CAN HANDLE IT ALL AT ONE TIME.

COUNTY ATTORNEY REPORT:

1. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING ASKED HIM AND ANDY FROM THE AG CENTER TO SET OUT A NEW CONTRACT; ANDY WAS NOT ABLE TO BE HERE TONIGHT. BUT, THEY HAVE THE CONTRACT READY TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF DAVID WAS INVOLVED IN THE CONTRACT DECISION SINCE HE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE AG CENTER. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED DAVID HAS BEEN IN THE LOOP AND THEY HAVE MET ON SEVERAL

OCCASIONS.

2. THE DATE FOR THE NEXT MSBU HEARING IN FURTHERENCE TO WHAT THEY TALKED ABOUT AT THEIR APRIL 13TH MEETING WILL BE MAY 9TH EARLY IN THE AFTERNOON. HE WILL LET THE COUNTY MANAGER TALK ABOUT SPECIFIC DATES.

3. ATTORNEY GOODMAN REPORTED ON AN ISSUE THAT CAME UP ON THURSDAY AND FRIDAY OF LAST WEEK WITH RESPECT TO SOME DOGS AND SOME OTHER ANIMALS IN SOUTH WASHINGTON COUNTY. LAW ENFORCEMENT, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, THE COUNTY ANIMAL CONTROL UNIT AND MR. CORBIN'S UNIT WAS INVOLVED WITH THAT. THE COUNTY TOOK THOSE DOGS INTO THEIR CUSTODY AND CONTROL. HE FILED A PETITION FOR RELIEF TODAY PURSUANT TO FL-STATUTES 828.071 AND THERE IS A HEARING SET ON THAT NEXT MONDAY. HE WILL KEEP THE BOARD APPRISED OF WHAT IS GOING ON THERE. THE COUNTY

28-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 463

IS ASKING FOR THE COURT THROUGH A FORFEITURE TYPE PROCEDURE TO GIVE THE DOGS TO THE COUNTY SO THEY CAN DO WITH THEM WHAT THEY NEED TO DO WITH THEM. THE PETITION FOR RELIEF WAS FILED TODAY AND THAT CAME UP LATE LAST WEEK.

4. THE BOARD HAD ASKED HIM TO LOOK AT THE PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY. IN LIGHT OF WHAT HAS HAPPENED RECENTLY WITH THE ISSUANCE OF CERTAIN INFORMATION WITH VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS, THE BOARD ASKED HE REVIEW WHAT THEIR CURRENT PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY IS PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 119. HE HAS DONE THAT AND HANDED THEM A BREIF MEMORANDUM HE IS GOING TO USE AS A RESOURCE TONIGHT DISCUSSING IT. HE WAS GOING TO GO OVER BRIEFLY THE STATUTE THAT DICTATES WHAT A PUBLIC RECORD IS AND GO OVER WHAT THEIR POLICY SHOULD BE AS A COUNTY AS HOW PUBLIC RECORDS SHOULD BE PROCESSED. HE READ FLORIDA STATUTE 119.071A AND 119.071.C. FLORIDA STATUTE 119.071A SAYS EVERY PERSON WHO HAS CUSTODY OF A PUBLIC RECORD SHALL PERMIT THE RECORD TO BE INSPECTED AND COPIED BY ANY PERSON DESIRING TO DO SO, AT ANY REASONABLE TIME, UNDER REASONABLE CONDITIONS, AND UNDER SUPERVISION BY THE CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS. FLORIDA STATUTES 119.071C STATES A CUSTODIAN OF PUBLIC RECORDS AND HIS OR HER DESIGNEE MUST ACKNOWLEDGE REQUESTS TO INSPECT OR COPY RECORDS PROMPTLY AND RESPOND TO SUCH REQUESTS IN GOOD FAITH. A GOOD FAITH RESPONSE INCLUDES MAKING REASONABLE EFFORTS TO DETERMINE FROM OTHER OFFICERS OR EMPLOYEES WITHIN THE AGENCY WHETHER SUCH A RECORD EXISTS AND, IF SO, THE LOCATION AT WHICH THE RECORD CAN BE ACCESSED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THEN WENT INTO WHAT CONSTITUTES A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST: A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTES AND CASE LAW AND OPINIONS IS PRETTY BROAD. A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST IS ANY REQUEST FOR RECORDS WHICH FALL UNDER THE DEFINITION OF A PUBLIC RECORD(...ALL DOCUMENTS, PAPERS, LETTERS, MAPS, BOOKS, TAPES, AND THERE IS AN EXTENSIVE LIST PROVIDED OF WHAT COURTS AND CASE LAWS DETERMINES PUBLIC RECORDS TO CONSTITUTE. THE IMPORTANT PART AND THE MEAT OF THE MEMORANDUM HE PROVIDED THE BOARD LIES IN SECTION C WHICH IS THE METHODOLOGY WHICH HE THINKS THEY OUGHT TO PROCESS

29-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 464

PUBLIC RECORDS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. BASICALLY THE COUNTY'S POLICY UNTIL NOW HAS BEEN ALL NON PUBLIC WORKS REQUEST HAS SUPPOSE TO HAVE BEEN HANDLED THROUGH HEATHER'S DEPARTMENT IN HR; THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT HAS KIND OF DONE THEIR OWN THING WITH RESPECT TO ISSUING PUBLIC RECORDS. HE IS GOING TO RECOMMEND TONIGHT THAT ALL PUBLIC RECORDS GO THROUGH SOMEBODY'S OFFICE; HE IS GOING TO RECOMMEND MS. FINCH AND HER STAFF. HE THINKS IT IS IMPORTANT THEY DESIGNATE ONE PERSON AND THAT PERSON'S OFFICE TO BE EXPERTS IN PUBLIC RECORDS LAW AND TO FUNNEL EVERYTHING TOWARDS THEM AND TAKE SOME OF THE BURDEN OFF THE REST OF THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES IN HAVING TO BE AN EXPERT IN SOMETHING THEY MAY NOT WANT THEM TO BE AN EXPERT IN. THE COUNTY HAS DONE THAT SOMEWHAT SO FAR. HE HAS TALKED ABOUT AND UNDERSTANDS THE RATIONAL AS TO WHY THEY HAVE PUBLIC WORKS DOING IT IN DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS. HE THINKS THERE ARE PROS AND CONS TO WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN DOING. HE DOES THINK THE BENEFIT OUTWAYS THE BURDEN OF HAVING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST, EVEN THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS, COME THROUGH THE COUNTY OFFICE. THE BOARD IS ALLOWED TO DESIGNATE A LIASON AND HAVE ALL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST COME THROUGH A LIASON AND HE IS GOING TO RECOMMEND TONIGHT THAT BE MS. FINCH'S OFFICE AND STAFF AND THEIR CAPABILITIES AND MAKE THEM EXPERTS AND USE HIM AS A RESOURCE AS NEEDED IF SOMETHING A LITTLE UNUSUAL POPS UP.

HE READ SUBSECTION 1 UNDER WASHINGTON COUNTY METHODOLOGY FOR PUBLIC RECORDS PROCESSING: THE WASHINGTON COUNTY DIRECTOR OF HUMAN RESOURCES SHALL ACT AS THE WASHINGTON COUNTY PUBLIC RECORDS LIASON. SAID LIASON, AND THE LIASON'S STAFF, WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FULLY UNDERSTANDING, COORDINATING SEARCH EFFORTS, AND RESPONDING TO, CHAPTER 119 PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTS.

HE READ SUBSECTION 2: UPON ANY WASHINGTON COUNTY DEPARTMENT RECEIVING A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST, SAID DEPARTMENT SHALL INSTRUCT THE INDIVIDUAL MAKING THE REQUEST TO CONTACT THE LIASON. THIS INSTRUCTION SHALL INCLUDE, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, THE LIASON'S BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND NAME.

30-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 465

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REPORTED HE HAD FOUND WHAT SOME LARGER COUNTIES WILL DO BECAUSE OF THE VOLUME OF PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. THEY WILL HAVE ONE PERSON DESIGNATED AS A PRIMARY LIASON AND EVERY DEPARTMENT WILL HAVE AN EXPERT INTERNALLY IN THAT DEPARTMENT TO DEAL WITH THE

SPECIFIC ISSUES THAT COME. HE THOUGHT, BECAUSE OF THE SIZE OF THE COUNTY WE ARE AND THE SCOPE OF REQUESTS THEY GET RIGHT NOW, HEATHER'S OFFICE OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO AFFECTUATE THOSE REQUEST IN A TIME EFFICIENT MANNER WITHOUT HAVING TO HAVE AN EXPERT IN EVERY DEPARTMENT. THAT IS WHAT HE IS GOING TO BE RECOMMENDING. HE THINKS IT ALLOWS THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES WHEN THEY GET A REQUEST TO PROVIDE HEATHER AND HER DEPARTMENT CONTACT INFORMATION AND PHONE NUMBERS AND WORK TOGETHER IN GETTING THE DOCUMENTS; BUT, HE THINKS HAVING THAT FUNNEL AND THAT POINT PERSON WILL SAVE THE OTHER EMPLOYEES THE HEADACHES AND SOME RESPONSIBILITY OF HAVING TO UNDERSTAND AND COMPREHEND AND BE KEPT ABREAST OF ALL THE CHANGES OR UPDATES IN FLORIDA STATUTES 119.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN READ SUBSECTION 3: UPON RECEIVING A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST, THE LIASON SHALL DO THE FOLLOWING:

A. ACKNOWLEDGE THE REQUEST: FLORIDA STATUTES REQUIRES THAT THE RECEIPT OF THE REQUEST IS ACKNOWLEDGED. HE SAID HOW HE WOULD RESPOND TO THE REQUEST. THEY WILL DRAFT UP A STANDARD LETTER THAT SHALL BE SENT WHEN THE TIMING IS APPROPRIATE AND THE CIRCUMSTANCES ARE APPROPRIATE. HEATHER WILL ACKNOWLEDGE THE COUNTY HAS RECEIVED THE REQUEST AND TRY TO EXPLAIN WHAT THE COUNTY UNDERSTANDS THE REQUEST TO BE AND TO PUT THE PERSON THAT HAS REQUESTED THE INFORMATION ON NOTICE, THE COUNTY HAS GOTTEN IT AND IS PROCESSING IT WHERE IT JUSTIFIES ITSELF. SOME THINGS HE PUT UNDER HERE THE BOARD MAY OR MAY NOT KNOW HE THINKS IS IMPORTANT FOR THEM TO UNDERSTAND. THE REQUEST MUST BE SUFFICIENTLY SPECIFIC TO PERMIT THE LIASON TO IDENTIFY THE RECORD OR PORTION OF THE RECORD DESIRED. THE REQUEST DOES NOT HAVE TO BE IN WRITING. THE COUNTY HAS THE RIGHT AS A COUNTY TO REQUEST, IF IT IS A BROAD OR UNUSUAL REQUEST, FOR IT TO BE IN WRITING. BUT, IF THE PERSON REQUESTING THE PUBLIC RECORDS

31-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 466

SAYS NO; THEY DON'T HAVE TO PROVIDE THE REQUEST IN WRITING. THE REQUEST DOES NOT HAVE TO BE MADE IN PERSON; IT CAN BE MADE OVER THE PHONE, VIA EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE. THE REQUESTOR DOES NOT HAVE TO IDENTIFY HIMSELF OR HERSELF. THE REQUESTOR DOES NOT NEED TO EXPLAIN WHY HE OR SHE WANTS THE RECORDS. THE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION WHICH IS FOUND IN PUBLIC RECORDS IS NOT A REQUEST FOR PUBLIC RECORDS. HE IS SAYING THERE THAT REQUEST FOR INFORMATION THAT IS FOUND IN PUBLIC RECORDS, TELL ME WHAT THIS IS. THAT IS NOT A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST EVEN THOUGH IT IS FOUND IN PUBLIC RECORDS. THEY HAVE TO ASK FOR THOSE SPECIFIC RECORDS FOR IT TO FALL UNDER STATUTE 119. THE CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS MUST PRODUCE RECORDS FOR INSPECTION AND/OR COPYING BUT IS NOT REQUIRED TO ANSWER QUESTIONS ABOUT THE INFORMATION OR DATA FOUND IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS. SO HEATHER'S OFFICE WOULD HAVE TO RESPOND TO THE DOCUMENT; BUT, BY STATUTE SHE HAS NO OBLIGATION TO EXPLAIN WHATS IN THERE. THE PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DOES NOT REQUIRE THAT NEW DOCUMENTS BE CREATED TO COMPLY WITH A REQUEST. IF A REQUESTED DOCUMENT DOES NOT ALREADY EXIST AND CANNOT BE READILY EXTRACTED FROM THE COUNTY DATABASES, THE LIASON SHALL INFORM THE

REQUESTOR THAT NO RECORDS ARE AVAILABLE RESPONSIVE TO THE REQUEST. CHAPTER 119 DOES NOT FORCE THE COUNTY TO PRODUCE A DOCUMENT THEY HAVE NOT ALREADY MADE. THE COUNTY IS UNDER NO OBLIGATION TO CREATE SOMETHING FROM SCRATCH BASED ON A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. THAT IS MISINFORMATION A LOT OF PEOPLE BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE TO PRODUCE SOMETHING TO FULFIL THEIR REQUEST; THAT IS NOT TRUE. THEY ONLY HAVE TO PRODUCE WHAT THEY HAVE FOUND.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN READ THE NEXT STEP IN THE PROCESS FOR HEATHER'S DEPARTMENT WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY TO IDENTIFY AND LOCATE THE RECORDS. THE COUNTY HAS A DUTY TO HAVE A DILIGENT SEARCH FOR ALL RECORDS; TO WORK WITH OTHER OFFICES AND COORDINATE EFFORTS IN MAKING SURE WE GET OUR HANDS ON WHATEVER WAS BEING REQUESTED. SUBSECTION C IS TO REVIEW THE RECORDS. OBVIOUSLY THERE IS CERTAIN INFORMATION AND MATERIAL THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND HEATHER'S OFFICE IS TO BE RESPONSIBLE. IF THEY

32-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 467

NEED TO WORK IN CONJUNCTION WITH HIS OFFICE, HE WILL BE GLAD TO ASSIST. PURSUANT TO FS 119.07 AND FS 945.10, THERE ARE SOME THINGS THAT NEED TO BE REDACTED AND NOT PRODUCED. HE HAS HELPED HEATHER'S OFFICE SINCE THE MOST RECENT INCIDENT WITH THE VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS IN MAKING SURE THOSE HAPPEN. THAT IS PART OF THE REASON TO FUNNEL IT; TO GET ONE OR TWO PEOPLE REALLY GOOD IN KNOWING WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING FOR AND NOT HAVING EVERYBODY RESPONSIBLE FOR IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED SECTION D. THE COUNTY HAS THE RIGHT TO CHARGE FOR COPIES AND LABOR. A LOT OF COUNTIES DON'T TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS AND HE THINKS THEY SHOULD. THE COUNTY HAS AN OBLIGATION TO PRODUCE RECORDS PURSUANT TO FS 119; BUT, THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO CHARGE FOR COPIES AND LABOR. HE READ THE LANGUAGE HE PUT IN THE DRAFT POLICY: THERE WILL BE A .15 ONE-SIDED COPY CHARGE FOR DUPLICATED COPIES OF NOT MORE THAN 14 X 8.5 INCHES AND NO MORE THAN AN ADDITIONAL \$.05 FOR EACH TWO-SIDED COPIES; AND FOR ALL OTHER COPIES, THE ACTUAL COST OF DUPLICATION OF THE PUBLIC RECORD SHALL BE CHARGED. IF THE NATURE OR VOLUME OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUESTED TO BE INSPECTED IS SUCH AS TO REQUIRE EXTENSIVE WORK BY COUNTY PERSONNEL IN FULFILLING THE REQUEST, THE COUNTY SHALL CHARGE A SPECIAL SERVICE CHARGE WHICH SHALL BE REASONABLE AND SHALL BE BASED ON THE COST INCURRED FOR LABOR. "EXTENSIVE" MEANS THAT IT WILL TAKE MORE THAN FIFTEEN (15) MINUTES TO LOCATE, REVIEW FOR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, COPY AND REFILE THE REQUESTED MATERIAL. THE SPECIAL SERVICE CHARGE WILL BE COMPUTED TO THE NEAREST QUARTER OF AN HOUR EXCEEDING FIFTEEN (15) MINUTES. ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED HE HAD GONE INTO SOME OTHER SPECIFICS RIGHT THERE; BUT, IF THE COUNTY IS HAVING THEIR RESOURCES SPEND A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME ON A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST, THEY SHOULD BE COMPENSATED AND THE EMPLOYEES WORKING ON IT ON COUNTY TIME SHOULD BE PAID FOR IT. THE COUNTY HAS THE RIGHT AND OBLIGATION TO PRODUCE THE RECORDS; BUT, IF IT IS AN EXTENSIVE TYPE OF REQUEST, OUR RESOURCES IN TIME AND COPIES COULD BE CHARGED AND PAID BACK TO

33-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 468

THE COUNTY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN READ ITEM E-BILL THE REQUESTOR: THE LIASON WILL PRODUCE THE COMPLETED REQUEST ONLY UPON RECEIPT OF PAYMENT IN FULL, IF REQUIRED. IF COPIES WERE MADE AND/OR THERE WAS EXTENSIVE RESEARCH OR OTHER LABOR THAT IS DONE, THAT WILL BE PAID BEFORE ANYTHING IS RELEASED. ALSO, HE IS GOING TO RECOMMEND IF IT IS ANTICIPATED, IF THEY GET SOMETHING SO BROAD AND THEY KNOW IT IS GOING TO BE A LOT OF HOURS, A LOT OF MANPOWER, THEY GIVE AN ESTIMATED COST TO THE REQUESTOR TO SEE IF THEY STILL WANT IT DONE BEFORE THEY GO THROUGH THE MANPOWER, LABOR AND COPYING CHARGES TO MAKE SURE THEY WANTED IT IN THE END. LETS MAKE SURE THEY WANT IT IN THE BEGINNING AND PAY FOR IT ESPECIALLY IF IT IS GOING TO BE EXTENSIVE OR BROAD IN THE AMOUNT OF WORK.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN READ ITEM F-RECORD INTERNALLY: THE LIASON SHALL KEEP COPIES OF ALL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST/PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS ON FILE IN THE LIASON'S OFFICE FOR FUTURE REFERENCE AND DOCUMENTATION. THEY OUGHT TO KEEP TRACK OF WHAT IS GOING OUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED THAT IS AN OVERVIEW OF THE MEMORANDUM HE HAD GIVEN THE BOARD. HE HAS TRIED TO EXPLAIN TO THE BOARD BRIEFLY ON WHAT THEY HAVE DONE IN THE PAST AND HOW HE THINKS THEY CAN MAKE IT BETTER. THE MAIN REASON HE THINKS THEY CAN MAKE IT BETTER AND THE MAIN THING THEY CAN DO TO MAKE IT BETTER IS PUT IT IN THE HANDS OF ONE DEPARTMENT. FOR EFFICIENCY PURPOSES, IT PROBABLY WOULD BE MORE EFFICIENT FOR SOME OF THIS TO GO THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS AND OTHER DEPARTMENTS; BUT, TO PROTECT OURSELVES, IT IS BETTER TO HAVE IT GO THROUGH ONE AND MAKE THEM EXPERTS. THE SECOND THING HE THINKS THEY NEED TO DO IS MAKE SURE THAT IF THEY HAVE EXTENSIVE TIME OR COPIES ON A PROJECT, THEY ARE CHARGING AND BEING PAID ON THE FRONT AS WELL. A PERSON HAS A RIGHT TO COME AND INSPECT TWO OR THREE DOCUMENTS AND THEY DON'T WANT TO PAY \$.15 CENTS A COPY, THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO COME LOOK AT THE DOCUMENTS. THERE IS A LOT OF FLEXIBILITY ON WHAT PEOPLE CAN AND CAN'T DO; BUT, HE ALSO WANTS

34-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 469

TO PROTECT THE COUNTY'S RESOURCES AND TIME IF SOMETHING IS REALLY BROAD BECAUSE WHEN YOU READ CHAPTER 119, THE PUBLIC RECORDS LAWS WILL THROW PEOPLE OFF AND IT IS INTERPRETED BROADLY AND THEY HAVE TO

BE EXPECTED AND CAPABLE OF COMPLYING WITH IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY QUESTIONS HE COULD ANSWER FOR THE COMMISSION.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO REQUESTS THEY RECEIVED A YEAR OR TWO AGO AND THE WAY IT WAS SENT IN, IT WAS CERTAIN WORDS, ETC. AND WHEN THEY WENT INTO THE DATA BASE, IT WAS TIME CONSUMING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THERE BEING A WATCH DOG GROUP THAT HIT A BUNCH OF COUNTIES THIS YEAR; EVERY YEAR THEY SEND OUT SPECIFIC AND OR BROAD PUBLIC RECORD REQUESTS AND ALL THEY ARE DOING IS HOPING THE COUNTY DON'T DO IT RIGHT OR DON'T GIVE IT TO THEM AND THEN TURN THE COUNTY INTO TALLAHASSEE. HE SAID THE COUNTY HAS PEOPLE WATCHING WHAT THEY DO AND THEY NEED TO DO IT RIGHT FOR THE BENEFIT OF THEIR CITIZENS AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED DIDN'T WALTON COUNTY GET HIT. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THEY GOT HIT WITH \$300,000.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED HE LEANED ON WALTON COUNTY IN PREPARING THIS PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST POLICY BECAUSE HE KNEW THEY HAD A LOT OF DOLLAR REASONS TO UPDATE THEIR POLICY IN THE LAST TWENTY FOUR MONTHS.

MR. ED PELLETIER ADDRESSED A FEW MONTHS BACK A DEPARTMENT REQUESTED COUNTRY OAKS VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT RECORDS. HE CLARIFIED COUNTRY OAKS OPERATES UNDER CHAPTER 501C3, NON-PROFIT. COUNTRY OAKS WENT THROUGH THE WHOLE PROCESS AND THE DEPARTMENT REQUESTING THE INFORMATION WAS TOLD THEY WERE GOING TO CHARGE. HE POINTED OUT HIS WIFE SPENT MANY HOURS PUTTING THE INFORMATION TOGETHER AND SENDING IT TO THE PEOPLE REQUESTING IT. HE ASKED IF THEY WOULD BE ALLOWED TO GO THROUGH THE COUNTY FOR THEIR PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. HE ADDRESSED OTHER VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS IN THE COUNTY THAT ARE INCORPORATED AND INDEPENDENT. THE ONLY THING THEY HAVE WITH THE COUNTY IS A CONTRACT THEY WOULD PROVIDE

35-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 470

FIRE SERVICE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT ANYTHING THAT COMES UNDER THE COUNTY'S PERVIEW, AND HE HASN'T LOOKED INTO THIS ISSUE SPECIFICALLY WITH HOW THEY ARE TREATING THEIR VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENTS; BUT, IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE WHAT MR. ED WAS ADDRESSING WOULD BE PROCESSED THROUGH HEATHER'S DEPARTMENT. HE SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO GIVE MR. PELLETIER ADVICE ON HOW TO RUN HIS VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT; THAT IS NOT WHAT HE RESEARCHED AND THAT IS NOT WHAT HE IS HERE TO DO AND HE DON'T WANT TO MAKE THAT PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD TONIGHT. HE SAID HE WOULD BE MORE THAN GLAD TO TALK WITH MR. PELLETIER SEPARATELY ABOUT IT AT A DIFFERENT TIME; BUT, FROM WHAT MR. PELLETIER IS TELLING HIM, HE DOESN'T THINK THAT WOULD COME FLOWING TO THE COUNTY THROUGH HEATHER'S DEPARTMENT UNDER THIS POLICY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THOUGHT MR. PELLETIER'S QUESTION WAS A GOOD QUESTION SINCE THE COUNTY IS CONTRACTING WITH THE DIFFERENT FIRE DEPARTMENTS. THE COUNTY HAS THESE FIREMEN INSURED AND THE VEHICLES THEY DRIVE ARE INSURED WHETHER THEY BELONG TO THE COUNTY

OR NOT AND THE COUNTY HAS ACCESS TO HIS FIREMEN'S INFORMATION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THERE BEING A LOT OF THINGS THAT GO ALONG WITH THAT AND IT WOULD DEPEND ON THE SPECIFIC TYPE OF REQUEST. IF THE COUNTY HAS CUSTODY OR CONTROL OF THAT INFORMATION REGARDLESS OF THEIR CONTRACTURAL STANDPOINT WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, IT MAY STILL BE A PUBLIC RECORD IF IT IS IN THE COUNTY'S CUSTODY OR CONTROL. SO, THEY WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT IT AS TO WHETHER IT IS THE COUNTY'S DOCUMENT AND IT IS IN OUR CUSTODY AND CONTROL BECAUSE THE COUNTY'S CONTRACT WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IS PROBABLY A PUBLIC RECORD. HE REITERATED IT WOULD DEPEND ON THE SPECIFIC TYPE OF SITUATION WITH THAT. YOU GET INTO IT WITH THE FIREFIGHTERS AND IF THE COUNTY HAS THE INFORMATION, WHAT INFORMATION CAN YOU ACTUALLY GIVE.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT WAS MORE OF A REASON TO HAVE ONE PERSON IN CHARGE OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD DIDN'T HAVE CONTRACTS WITH THE

36-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 471

FIRE DEPARTMENTS ANY MORE LIKE THEY USE TO. THE COUNTY USE TO GIVE THE FIRE DEPARTMENT THE MONEY. MR. ED IS SAYING ONCE THEY GET THE MONEY, 501C3 OR WHATEVER THE CODE IS, COVERED HIM. ANYMORE, THE COUNTY IS EXPENDING THOSE FUNDS FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS AND THOSE ARE COUNTY RECORDS NOW AND NOT FIRE DEPARTMENT RECORDS. THE FIRE DEPARTMENT'S CORPORATE RECORDS MIGHT BE FREE FROM PUBLIC INFORMATION REQUEST. BUT, THOSE RECORDS IN THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT OFFICE IS COUNTY RECORDS AND WILL COME UNDER THE NEW GUIDELINES.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE COUNTY WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REDACTING OR WITHHOLDING INFORMATION THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR.

MR. HAGAN REITERATED THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN WHAT THEY DO NOW AND THE TIME MR. ED WAS GETTING THOSE REQUEST.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE HAVING BEEN SOME DISCUSSION AT ONE OF THE RECENT BOARD MEETINGS ON PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE. HE SAID HE HAS STRUGGLED WITH HOW TO ADDRESS THIS ISSUE WITH THE BOARD AND THE PRIMARY REASON IS HOW TO CONDENSE THE ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER INTO A PRESENTATION FOR THE BOARD. HE SAID HE WOULD GIVE THE BOARD A CONDENSED VERSION; BUT, HE THINKS SOMETHING OR SOME THOUGHTS THE BOARD SHOULD CONSIDER ON AN ONGOING BASIS IS ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER IS PRETTY FORMAL. BUSINESS, AT LEAST FROM THE TIME HE HAS BEEN THE COUNTY'S ATTORNEY, IS NOT AS FORMAL. HE THINKS THERE IS SOME GOOD AND BAD TO THAT. HE THINKS THAT SOME OF THE INFORMALITY AT TIMES MAY LEAD TO A MORE COMFORTABLE SETTING FOR DISCUSSION. HE THINKS PROBABLY THE PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY ARE USE TO THAT TYPE OF PROCEDURE AND THAT TYPE OF PROCEEDING. THE NEGATIVE OF IT IS IN AN EXTREME CASE, IT CAN LEAD TO SOME CHAOS AND THEN THE BOARD ASK WHAT ARE OUR RULES AND IT PUTS EVERYBODY FROM THE CHAIR AND THE VICE-CHAIR TO THE OTHER THREE COMMISSIONERS IN A PREDICAMENT BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT REALLY SURE WHAT RULES OR WHAT NOT RULES THEY ARE PLAYING UNDER AND HOW FORMAL THEY

NEED TO BE AND IS IT EVERY TIME THEY MEET THEY ARE FORMAL, HOW IS

37-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 472

A WORKSHOP COMPARED TO A REGULAR BOARD MEETING AND SO IT PUTS EVERYBODY IN TO SORT OF A TOUGH SPOT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TALKED TO THE BOARD ON SOME THINGS HE PULLED FROM ROBERTS RULES AND SOME THOUGHTS THEY COULD MAYBE DISCUSS FURTHER. IF THE BOARD HAS ANY OTHER QUESTIONS HE WILL BE WILLING TO DISCUSS THEM. HE THOUGHT THIS BOARD HAS A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY. THIS BOARD GETS A LOT OF HEAT FROM THIS COUNTY AND HE HAS BEEN HERE SIX MONTHS NOW AND HE IS ON THE THIRD COUNTY MANAGER, THEY HAVE A NEW PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR. HE HAS ENJOYED WORKING WITH ALL OF THEM. PART OF THE REASON THE BOARD HAS PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE IS THE SAME REASON YOU HAVE RULES IN A COURTROOM. IT ALLOWS THE PUBLIC WHEN THEY COME IN AND SIT DOWN TO UNDERSTAND WHO IS THE BOSS AND WHEN HE ADDRESSES THE COURT HIS FIRST WORDS IS "MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT." THAT IS NOT BECAUSE JUDGE PEEL IS GOING TO STRIKE HIM DOWN IF HE DON'T SAY IT; BUT, IT IS BECAUSE IT IS A SHOW OF DEFERENCE PEOPLE CAN SEE THAT HE RESPECTS THE PROCESS THAT MUCH. WHEN THE JUDGE GETS FULL OF HIM ARGUING WITH HIM AND HE HITS HIS GAVEL, HE SHUTS UP AND DON'T KEEP ARGUING WITH HIM. HE THINKS SOME OF WHAT HAS HAPPENED IN HERE, AND SOME OF THIS IS JUST OPINION, AND IT IS HARD SOMETIMES TO DIFFERENTIATE JEFF THE LAY CITIZEN AS JEFF THE LAWYER. HIS OPINION IS SOMETIMES WE BRING IN OUTSIDE FACTORS TO THE BOARD THAT HINDER THE PROCESS BY WHICH THE BOARD SHOULD OPERATE. HE GAVE THEM ONE EXAMPLE OF THAT AND EXPLAINED THIS IS HIS OPINION. IN MOST BOARDS HE HAS MET WITH, THERE IS A TIME AND A PLACE FOR CITIZEN INPUT. BY FLORIDA STATUTE, BY FLORIDA RULES, CITIZENS ARE ALLOWED TO ATTEND AND OBSERVE OPEN BOARD MEETINGS. WE SHOULD NOTICE THIS MEETING AND GIVE ANYBODY FROM THE PUBLIC WHO WANTS TO SEE THIS BOARD CONDUCT BUSINESS OR TALK AMONG THEMSELVES ADDRESS ISSUES. IT IS NOT TRUE, IT IS MISINFORMATION, THE BOARD IS REQUIRED TO ALLOW THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK AT A BOARD MEETING. NOW, IF IT IS A PUBLIC MEETING, THAT IS DIFFERENT IN NATURE OF WHAT IS REQUIRED BY THE BOARD TO LISTEN TO THE PUBLIC.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE PERSONALLY IS FOR THE PUBLIC BEING

38-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 473

ABLE TO TALK. HE THINKS THE BOARD IS RIGHT IN AFFORDING PEOPLE THE TIME AND THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET UP AND EXPRESS WHATEVER INTEREST THEY

HAVE IN WHATEVER IS GOING ON IN THE COUNTY. HE THINKS IT IS A PRIVILEGE; BUT, HE THINKS IT IS GOOD THE BOARD ALLOWS THEM TO DO IT. HE DON'T NECESSARILY BELIEVE, AND HE HASN'T SEEN IT IN A LOT OF BOARD MEETINGS, WHERE IN THE MIDDLE OF A MOTION SOMEBODY CAN RAISE THEIR HAND AND COME UP AND ADDRESS THE BOARD AND CHIT CHAT ABOUT WHATEVER IS ON THEIR MIND. THE FIVE COMMISSIONERS RAN AND WERE ELECTED BY THE PEOPLE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY TO SIT UP HERE AND MAKE DECISIONS. HE IS ALL FOR DISCUSSION OF WHATEVER IS BEFORE THE BOARD BETWEEN THE FIVE COMMISSIONERS TO MAKE A DECISION AND VOTE ON IT. HE WILL TALK ABOUT HOW TO MAKE A MOTION, WHO SHOULD SECOND IT AND WHAT SHOULD HAPPEN AFTER THAT LATER. HE IS USING THAT EXAMPLE. IF SOMEONE IS ON THE AGENDA TO SPEAK AS AN AUDIENCE MEMBER, THEY SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO SPEAK AND THEN THEY HAVE AN UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE TIME AND HE THINKS THOSE PEOPLE SHOULD GET UP LIKE THE LADY DID TODAY THAT GOT UP AND READ HER SPEECH. HE THINKS THAT IS A GREAT THING AND THAT IS WHAT OUR COUNTRY IS FOUNDED ON. BUT, AT THE SAME TIME WHEN THERE IS A MOTION AND THERE IS A SECOND AND SOMEBODY RAISES THEIR HAND, HE HAS RARELY SEEN IN A PUBLIC BOARD MEETING WHERE THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO COME SPEAK WHATEVER IS ON THEIR MIND IN THE MIDDLE OF A MOTION. HE DON'T THINK THAT IS NECESSARILY A PRODUCTIVE THING. HE THINKS THE BOARD, IF PUBLIC WORKS, THE COUNTY ATTORNEY, COUNTY MANAGER, MR. HAGAN, IF THERE IS AN ISSUE SPECIFIC TO THEIR DEPARTMENT THE BOARD WANTS CLARIFICATION ON, THEY ARE HERE TO SERVE THE BOARD AND THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO GIVE GUIDANCE ON THOSE ISSUES. HE SAID HE WOULD PROBABLY BE TAKING HEAT FOR SAYING HIS OPINION ON THESE THINGS; BUT, IF THE BOARD WANTS TO KNOW WHAT HE THINKS SOME OF THIS CHAOS IS CAUSED BY, HE THINKS IT IS BRINGING CHAOS INTO AN ALREADY HEATED SITUATION ON SOME ISSUES. HE THINKS THAT IS ONE SITUATION WHERE THE BOARD COULD TAKE SOME STEPS TO REMEDY "HEY, ITS JUST A KNOWN POLICY, IF YOU WANT TO GET ON

39-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 474

AND SPEAK, WE ARE GOING TO LET YOU GET UP HERE AND SPEAK WHATEVER YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT AS LONG AS YOU ARE NOT ABUSIVE, ABRASIVE OR DON'T COMPLETELY DISRUPT THE MEETING." BUT, AFTER THAT TIME PERIOD WHEN THE BOARD HAS A MOTION ON THE FLOOR AND IS SECONDING IT, WHEN THEY START GETTING TOO MANY VOICES INVOLVED, THEY LOSE TRACK OF WHAT IS REALLY BEING DEBATED. HE THINKS THAT IS A HINDRANCE TO THE BOARD AND HE THINKS IT DOESN'T SERVE THEM WELL TO ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN. HE THINKS ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER IN NORMAL BUSINESS OR COUNTY MEETINGS ON A STATEWIDE LEVEL OR EVEN A PUBLIC CORPORATION WHEN THEY HAVE A BOARD MEETING, IF THEY WILL EVER GO TO ONE, THEY ARE NOT RUN WHERE SOMEBODY AT ANY GIVEN TIME CAN RAISE THEIR HAND AND COME AND CHIT CHAT ABOUT WHATEVER, ESPECIALLY DURING THE MIDDLE OF MOTIONS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THEN ADDRESSED MOTIONS. HE SAID GENERALLY SPEAKING MOTIONS ARE A GOOD THING AND CAN BE BROUGHT BY BOARD MEMBERS ON REALLY ANY SUBJECT. THE PROPER DECORUM IN ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER TO BRING ANY MOTION OR TO SPEAK IS GENERALLY DEPENDING ON HOW FORMAL

THE BOARD WANTS TO GET IS TO ASK THE CHAIR FOR PERMISSION TO SPEAK AND THE CHAIR FORWARDS YOU PERMISSION. HAVE WE ALWAYS BEEN THAT FORMAL IN WASHINGTON COUNTY; NO. HE DOESN'T NECESSARILY THINK THAT IS ALWAYS A GOOD THING TO HAVE TO BE THAT FORMAL TO ADMINISTER "YES SIR AND THANK YOU." BUT, HE ALSO THINKS THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME RHYME AND REASON TO WHO IS SPEAKING AND WHEN. HE IS IN FAVOR OF EVERYBODY SPEAKING WHENEVER THEY WANT TO TALK; ESPECIALLY BOARD MEMBERS AS THE PEOPLE VOTED THEM TO SPEAK AND SPEAK THEIR OPINION AND REPRESENT THEIR CONSTITUENTS. BUT, AS FAR AS A MOTION GOES ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE AND ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER, SOMEBODY MAKES A MOTION, THE CHAIR IS SUPPOSE TO REPEAT THE MOTION, THERE IS SUPPOSE TO BE A SECOND BEFORE ANY DEBATES HAPPEN. AFTER THE PERSON SECONDS THE MOTION, THE CHAIR REPEATS THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR VERBATIM WHAT IT IS. THEN THERE IS THE OPENING FOR DEBATE. GENERALLY SPEAKING THE PERSON THAT MADE THE MOTION HAS THE FIRST RIGHT TO DISCUSS THE MOTION, THEN THE PERSON THAT SECONDED

40-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 475

THE MOTION HAS THE RIGHT TO TALK ABOUT IT AND THEN AT THE VERY END ONE OF TWO THINGS HAPPEN. THE CHAIRMAN SAYS ALRIGHT IT APPEARS EVERYBODY IS DONE TALKING ABOUT IT CAN WE TAKE A VOTE OR SOMEBODY BRINGS A MOTION TO QUIT TALKING ABOUT IT. THERE HAS TO BE A TWO THIRDS VOTE WHICH ON THIS BOARD WOULD BE FOUR OUT OF FIVE SAYING THAT IS ENOUGH DISCUSSION. BUT, IT TAKES TWO THIRDS OF THE BOARD TO STOP THE DISCUSSION ON A MOTION. THAT IS BY DEFINITION AND BY RULE WHAT THIS BOOK THAT LOOKS LIKE THE OLD AND NEW TESTAMENT COMBINED HERE SAYS. THE QUESTION BEFORE THE BOARD IS HOW MUCH OF THIS, HOW LITTLE OF IT, WHO IS GOING TO OFFICIATE IT. HE DOESN'T NECESSARILY THINK THEY NEED TO IMPLEMENT ALL OF IT; BUT, HE THINKS IT WOULD BE A GOOD PRACTICE TO TRY AND IMPLEMENT AS MUCH OF IT AS THEY CAN. IF THERE IS A RULE INTERPRETATION HE WOULD BE GLAD IF HE CAN, AND HE HAS STUDIED UP ON IT FOR THE PAST MONTH, TO INTERPRET IT FOR THEM IF HE CAN. ANYBODY HAS A RIGHT TO ASK FOR CLARIFICATION AND HE LOVES WHEN THE COMMISSION TALKS TO EACH OTHER. HE THINKS THEY GET MORE DONE WHEN THE COMMISSION TALKS, AS FRUSTRATED AS SOME OF THEM GET WITH EACH OTHER, THAN ANYTHING ELSE. ASK HIM, THE COUNTY MANAGER, PUBLIC WORKS FOR GUIDANCE. HE PASSED AROUND A MEMORANDUM HE ASKED THE BOARD TO LOOK AT THAT INCLUDED SEVEN OR EIGHT PAGES OF DIFFERENT ISSUES. HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW MUCH OR HOW LITTLE TO ADDRESS TONIGHT BECAUSE THERE IS SO MUCH INVOLVED. BUT, FROM WHAT HE SEES AS THE COUNTY ATTORNEY IS SOME OF THE PROBLEM IS WHEN WE GET A BOARD TOGETHER AND THE COMMISSION DEBATES OR TALKS ABOUT AN ISSUE, IT OUGHT TO BE FUNNELED TO THE FIVE OF THEM. IT OUGHT TO BE THE FIVE OF THEM DISCUSSING IT AND THE COMMISSION CALL ON THE PEOPLE WHEN THEY NEED THEM THROUGH THE CHAIR TO GET ANSWERS. IT SHOULDN'T BE WHOMEVER WANTS TO TALK CAN TALK IN THE MIDDLE OF A MOTION. THE SECOND THING HE WOULD SAY IS HE DON'T MIND ANSWERING QUESTIONS TO THE PUBLIC. IN FACT, HE PRETTY MUCH HAS AN OPEN DOOR POLICY AT HIS OFFICE; ANYBODY

THAT WALKS IN GENERALLY HE WILL MAKE TIME FOR THEM WHETHER IT IS A COUNTY CITIZEN THAT HAS A CONCERN OR WHATEVER. BUT, WHEN SOMEBODY

41-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 476

COMES TO ADDRESS THE BOARD, THEY DON'T HAVE A RIGHT BY FLORIDA CHAPTER AND STATUTE FOR THE BOARD TO ANSWER EVERY QUESTION WHEN THEY WANT IT RIGHT THEN. THAT IS REALLY PROBABLY NOT GOOD POLICY TO DO ANYWAY. ALL QUESTIONS SHOULD BE DESIGNATED THROUGH THE CHAIR AND HE DECIPHERS THEM IF HE WANTS CLARIFICATION ON THEM AS HE SEES FIT. LIKE THE SITUATION WITH CLIFF PREVIOUSLY WHEN THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING ON BAHOMA ROAD AND THEY HAVE A CITIZEN ADDRESSING IT, THAT CAN BE HANDLED IN A DIFFERENT WAY. IF THERE IS A CONCERN BY A CITIZEN, IT SHOULD COME THROUGH THE CHAIR AND THE CHAIR ASK THE QUESTIONS AS HE SEES APPROPRIATE. HE THINKS IT IS A LITTLE EFFICIENCY THING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE MAY WALK OFF AND THE BOARD MAY SAY "THAT YOUNG KID, HE IS NUTS; THIS IS HOW WE DO THINGS." HE SAID HE WAS FINE WITH THAT; BUT, HE THINKS THERE IS A WAY THE BOARD CAN APPEAR BETTER TO THE COMMUNITY AND THE COUNTY BY DOING LITTLE THINGS. THEY DON'T NECESSARILY NEED TO DO ALL THIS STUFF TO BE GOOD AND EFFICIENT. BUT, HE THINKS IF THEY WOULD STREAMLINE THINGS, LET THE PUBLIC TALK WHEN THEY WANT TO TALK AND LET THEM HAVE A CHANCE TO SPEAK THEIR MIND, BECAUSE HE IS ALL FOR THAT, AND THEN LET THE BOARD MAKE THE DECISION WITH INPUT WHEN THEY NEED INPUT FROM THE STAFF. THAT IS HIS OPINION.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAYING THE BOARD MAY JUST LAUGH ABOUT HIS PRESENTATION AND IGNORE IT; PATE SAID "NO," THEY NEED TO DO A BETTER JOB.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THERE WERE GOING TO BE SOME PEOPLE BEHIND HIM THAT ARE GOING TO BE UPSET WITH WHAT HE SAID BECAUSE THEY LIKE TO RAISE THEIR HAND AND COME BEFORE THE BOARD AND TALK AND HE UNDERSTANDS THAT. BUT, HE IS TELLING THE BOARD IN HIS OPINION FROM AN OUTSIDER LOOKING IN, IT WILL MAKE THIS BOARD LOOK BETTER TO THE CITIZENS OF THIS COUNTY IF THAT STUFF STOPS. HE IS ALL FOR THE PUBLIC SPEAKING SO DON'T MISINTERPRET HIM; HE IS FOR THE PUBLIC SPEAKING BUT IN THE AGENDAED TIME AND THE RIGHT FORMAT. BUT, THE

42-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 477

FORMAT IS NOT DURING THE MIDDLE OF THE MOTION AND HE WOULD URGE THE

CHAIR AND THE COMMISSION TO RESPECTFULLY, IF PERSONS WANT TO TALK, THEY TALK DURING THE AGENDAED TIME TO DO IT.

CHAIRMAN PATE ADDRESSED HIM MAKING A PUBLIC STATEMENT AFTER THE LAST MSBU MEETING WAS OVER; HE THOUGHT THAT WAS ONE OF THE BEST MEETINGS THE BOARD HAS HAD IN THE LAST SIX MONTHS. IT WENT WELL AND THIS ONE TODAY SO FAR HAS BEEN THAT WAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAS READ AND CONTINUES TO READ THE ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER AND UNDERSTANDS IT IS A METHOD. HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE HE IS UNDERSTANDING WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAS SAID. HE REFERRED TO HIM HAVING ATTENDED BOARD MEETINGS FOR A LONG, LONG TIME AND BEEN PART OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMISSION MEETINGS FOR THE LAST SIX MONTHS AND SOMETIMES THE BOARD FOLLOWS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF THE ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER AND A CERTAIN AMOUNT THEY DON'T. IT JUST DEPENDS ON HOW HEATED THE DISCUSSION GETS. IT IS HIS OPINION, AND HE LIKES FOR THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK ALSO. HE ASKED IF HE WAS HEARING ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAY THE AUDIENCE NEEDS TO SPEAK ONLY UNDER THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED AN AUDIENCE MEMBER OR A CITIZEN HAS THE RIGHT TO BE PUT ON THE AGENDA. HE HAS CREATED A MOCK DOCUMENT THAT HE WILL WORK WITH THE COUNTY MANAGER IN IMPLEMENTING WHEREBY THERE IS A FORMAL DOCUMENT TO FILL OUT SO THE BOARD... THE THING THAT SCARES HIM MOST ABOUT IT, AS AN ATTORNEY IN HIS ROLE, IS NOT KNOWING WHAT IS COMING. HE HAD RATHER PLEAD IGNORANT AND SAY GIVE ME A WEEK TO FIGURE IT OUT. HE MAY THINK HE KNOWS; BUT, HE WANTS TO BE PREPARED BEFORE HE TALKS WITH THEM. IT IS THE SAME CONCEPT WITH THE BOARD; IF SOMEBODY IS GOING TO BE ON THE AGENDA, THEY NEED TO GET THE BOARD INFORMATION SO THEY CAN BE PREPARED TO ADDRESS WHATEVER ISSUE THEY HAVE. HE THINKS THE EXPECTATION LEVEL RAISES OR SHOULD BE RAISED FOR THE BOARD IF IT IS ON THE AGENDA BECAUSE THEY KNOW IT IS COMING. HE THINKS THE PUBLIC HAS THE RIGHT UNDER THE AGENDA AND WHAT THEY CALL THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE AND HE DOESN'T

43-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 478

MIND THEM TALKING UNDER THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE. HE THINKS THAT IS PROBABLY A GOOD THING IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT COMES UP THAT MAY NOT HAVE BEEN ON THE AGENDA THEY WANT TO SPEAK THEIR MIND ABOUT. THE ONE THING HE WILL TELL THE BOARD THEY MAY WANT TO THINK ABOUT, AND HE WILL GET WITH THE COUNTY MANAGER, IS WHERE DO THEY WANT TO PUT THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE. SOME COUNTIES PUT IT CLOSER TO THE END SO WHATEVER COMES UP; RIGHT NOW, THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE KIND OF GOES IN THE MIDDLE AND THEN CLIFF GIVES HIS SPILL, THE COUNTY ATTORNEY GIVES HIS SPILL AND THE COUNTY MANAGER MAY GIVE HIS SPILL. THE BOARD MAY WANT TO PUT THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE AFTER THE COUNTY MANAGER OR AFTER THE COUNTY ATTORNEY; SO, AT LEAST WHATEVER IS GOING TO BE SAID FROM THE BOARD'S STANDPOINT IF THE AUDIENCE WANTS TO GET UP AND TALK ABOUT IT, THEY CAN ADDRESS IT AT THAT POINT. HE WOULD THINK ABOUT WHERE THEY PUT IT. HE SAID IN HOLMES COUNTY THEY PUT IT CLOSER TO THE END FOR THAT SPECIFIC REASON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, AS AN EXAMPLE, SAID IF THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT GOING FISHING AND THEY DECIDED THEY WERE GOING FISHING AND SOMEBODY HAS A PROBLEM WITH THEM GOING FISHING FROM THE AUDIENCE, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO TALK ABOUT IT UNTIL THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY COULD TALK ABOUT IT AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING AND REALLY THEY COULD TALK ABOUT IT WHEN THEY VOTE IN TWO YEARS. THAT IS WHEN THE PUBLIC DOES THE REAL TALKING. BECAUSE IN THE MIDDLE OF A MOTION, THE PUBLIC HAS ELECTED THE FIVE COMMISSIONERS TO FIGURE IT OUT. IF THE PUBLIC WANTS TO PUT SOMETHING ON THE AGENDA, HE IS MORE THAN WILLING TO LISTEN AND TALK TO THEM ABOUT IT. BUT, ONCE THEY GET INTO A MOTION AND THEY ARE DISCUSSING A PRESSING ISSUE BEFORE THE BOARD, HE THINKS THEY SHOULD BE LIMITED IN WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT AND WHO IS TALKING.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE SHOULD GO RIGHT AFTER THE CONSENT AGENDA; THAT WAY, EVERYBODY CAN COME AND SAY THEIR SAY WHAT THEY CAME TO TALK ABOUT THAT DAY AND THE BOARD CAN

44-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 479

TAKE ALL OF THAT INTO CONSIDERATION AND WHEN THEY GET TO THE ITEMS, THEY CAN DEAL WITH THEM. THEN THEY CAN GO INTO THEIR AGENDAED ITEMS AND DISCUSS THOSE AS WELL. HE IS LIKE ATTORNEY GOODMAN ABOUT THAT; HE THINKS THE AGENDAED ITEMS ARE ITEMS THE BOARD WILL DISCUSS AND THERE WILL BE NO INPUT FROM THE PUBLIC. IF THEY WANT TO GET ON THE AGENDA, THERE NEEDS TO BE A PROCEDURE FOR THAT AND THERE NEEDS TO BE A DEADLINE FOR THEM TO BE ON THE AGENDA. THEY CAN'T COME UP HERE FIVE MINUTES BEFORE THE MEETING AND SAY THEY WANT TO BE ON THE AGENDA TODAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE BOARD NEEDS TO VOTE ON THAT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE BOARD NEEDS TO COME UP WITH A PROCEDURE TO HAVE THAT DONE. SOME OF THE OTHER COUNTIES HAVE ONE; WALTON COUNTY HAS ONE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED AND SAID HE HAD RELIED SOME ON BAY AND WALTON COUNTY. HE DON'T REALLY CARE WHAT PEOPLE IN SOUTH FLORIDA ARE DOING; HE WANTS TO KNOW WHAT PEOPLE IN NORTHWEST FLORIDA DO WITH THEIR MEETINGS AND HE THINKS THAT IS WHAT THEY SHOULD LOOK AT. HE HAS TALKED WITH MR. JOYNER BRIEFLY TODAY; HE KIND OF GAVE HIM THE TEN SECOND VERSION OF WHAT HE WOULD BE TELLING THE BOARD TONIGHT. IF THIS IS SOMETHING THE BOARD IS EXCITED AND FEELS GOOD ABOUT, HE AND MR. JOYNER NEEDS TO BRING SOMETHING WITH RESPECT TO A NEW TYPE OF AGENDA, FOR LACK OF A BETTER TERM, TO THE BOARD AND MAYBE SET THE PUBLIC NON AGENDAED PEOPLE A LITTLE BIT HIGHER OR A LITTLE BIT LOWER ON THE AGENDA. HE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THE FEEDBACK FROM THE BOARD WOULD BE WHEN HE GAVE HIS PRESENTATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL FELT ANOTHER THING THE BOARD SHOULD DO IS UNDER #8, COUNTY ENGINEER, IT SHOULD BE COUNTY ENGINEER/PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR. IF THEY WANTED TO LUMP THE BID AWARDS INTO THAT BECAUSE CLIFF DOES MOST OF THE BID AWARDS ANYWAY, THEY COULD DO AWAY WITH

THAT PORTION OF THE AGENDA AND JUST PUT IT UNDER COUNTY ENGINEER/
PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR. HOWELL FELT THE BOARD RELIES A LOT ON THEIR
COUNTY ENGINEER MORE THAN THEY SHOULD HAVE. THE BOARD NEEDS A COUNTY

45-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 480

EMPLOYEE SPEAKING TO A LOT OF THESE ISSUES RATHER THAN A HIRED
CONSULTANT.

KATHY FOSTER, FOSTER FOLLIES, REFERRED TO HER HEARING ATTORNEY
GOODMAN SEVERAL TIMES SAY WHEN A MOTION IS ON THE FLOOR, WHICH SHE
UNDERSTANDS; BUT, PRIOR TO THAT, WHEN IT IS GENERAL DISCUSSION AND
A MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE RAISES THEIR HAND, IS THAT INCLUDED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD SAY NO; IF IT IS ON THE AGENDA,
THAT IS FOR THE BOARD TO DISCUSS. IN HIS OPINION IT WOULD NOT BE
OPEN FOR DISCUSSION. HE THINKS WHAT COMMISSIONER HOWELL IS SAYING
IS IF IT IS ON THE AGENDA AND SOMEBODY IN THE PUBLIC WANTS TO
ADDRESS IT OR GIVE THEIR TWO CENTS ON IT, THEY WOULD DO SO EARLY
IS WHERE THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT NOW ABOUT THE CONSENT ITEMS. THAT
WOULD BE HIS RECOMMENDATION THERE. WHATEVER IS ON THAT AGENDA, IF IT
IS NOT BROUGHT BY A CITIZEN, THAT DISCUSSION SHOULD BE ORIENTATED BY
THE BOARD AND THE BOARD SHOULD PICK, IF IT IS THE COUNTY MANAGER OR
SOME EXPERT THAT NEEDS TO COME UP AND ADDRESS THEM ON AN ISSUE, THAT
WOULD BE HIS RECOMMENDATION.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THERE NEEDS TO BE RULES ABOUT THE
AGENDA, WHEN THE AGENDA IS FINALIZED, WHEN NOTHING ELSE CAN BE
ADDED TO IT AFTER THE FACT.

COMMISSIONER PATE FELT DOING WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAS
PRESENTED WOULD MAKE THINGS GO SMOOTHER AT THE BOARD MEETINGS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IT IS APPEARANCE; HE IS ALL FOR PEOPLE
TALKING. IN FACT, HE THINKS IT IS GREAT WHEN PEOPLE DISSENT.
HE THINKS REALLY THERE IS SOME COUNTIES IN SOME STATES THAT DON'T
HAVE UNAGENDAED; IF A PERSON IS NOT ON THAT AGENDA, GET ON THE NEXT
AGENDA. HE IS NOT FOR TAKING AWAY THE UNAGENDAED PART OF IT; BUT,
HE IS FOR, WHEN THIS BOARD CRANKS IT UP AND THE COMMISSION IS PLAYING
BALL, LETS KEEP THE BALL IN THIS CORNER. THAT WOULD BE HIS
RECOMMENDATION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD HAS A MOTION AND
A SECOND AND THE SUBJECT IS FISHING AND THEY ARE THOROUGHLY DISCUSSING

46-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 481

IT, IF THEY GET A CALL FOR THE QUESTION, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN, THE WAY HE UNDERSTANDS IT, THE CALL FOR QUESTION IS "I AM KIND OF DONE WITH DISCUSSION, WE ARE DONE WITH THE DISCUSSION, THERE IS A MOTION AND A SECOND AND IT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED. I AM CALLING FOR THE QUESTION WHICH MEANS I WANT TO HAVE A VOTE BY THE BOARD. ACCORDING TO THIS, IT DON'T MAKE IT RIGHT IT IS JUST THE RULES OF ORDER, IT IS NOT RULES OF LAW, BUT THE RECOMMENDED VERSION, SO DON'T THINK THIS IS POLICY BECAUSE IT IS NOT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID UNLESS THE BOARD ADOPTS THE WHOLE ROBERTS RULES OF RECORD. ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID ROBERTS RULES OF RECORD SAYS IT HAS TO BE 2/3 VOTE TO STOP THAT DISCUSSION; THEY WOULD HAVE TO HAVE FOUR COMMISSIONERS TO STOP THE DISCUSSION. IDEALLY IS WHAT YOU WANT AND BY THIS BOOK HE BELIEVES, EVERYBODY GETS TO TALK TWICE AND THATS ALL; THEY DON'T GET A THIRD TIME. DOES HE THINK THAT IS GOOD; NOT NECESSARILY. HE THINKS MAYBE THEY WANT OR NEED TO TALK THREE TIMES. HE IS NOT INTO IMPLEMENTING THAT; BUT, ACCORDING TO THIS BOOK, THEY GET TWO SHOTS AT THE APPLE AND THEN IF IT IS STILL IN DISCUSSION AND SOMEBODY SAYS THEY ARE SICK OF THE DISCUSSION, THEY NEED TO VOTE ON THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR, THEY NEED TO CALL FOR IT, VOTE AND IF THEY GET TWO/THIRDS VOTE, THAT STOPS THE DISCUSSION AND THEY THEN VOTE ON WHATEVER THAT READ MOTION IS BY THE CHAIR. THAT IS WHAT ROBERTS RULES OF ORDER SAYS.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING THE ATTORNEY DOESN'T NEED A CHEERLEADER; BUT, IF HE COULD TURN A CARTWHEEL HE WOULD DO HOORAH, HOORAH FOR WHAT HE JUST SAID. HE DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY GREATER GIFT THIS BOARD CAN GIVE TO A FUTURE BOARD THAN TO ADOPT SOME KIND OF POLICY. FOR ALL THE YEARS AND HAVING THE OPPORTUNITY TO SERVE TWICE WHERE MR. JOYNER IS, EVEN THOUGH ONE OF THEM WAS JUST A BRIEF INTERIM, HE DON'T THINK THERE IS ANYTHING THAT LOOKS WORSE TO THE PUBLIC THAN FOR THE BOARD TO GET ENGAGED IN A DEBATE AND GET HEATED AND SIDETRACKED. FOR A LONG TIME, THEY USE TO NOT HAVE AN AGENDA,

47-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 482

THEN THEY STARTED HAVING AN AGENDA AND THEN THEY EVEN WENT TO CONSENT AGENDA. HE HAS SEEN BOARD'S IN THE PAST MAKE A DECISION AND COME BACK THIRTY DAYS LATER AND MAKE ANOTHER DECISION BECAUSE THEY VOTED THE FIRST TIME THEY HEARD SOMETHING AND THEN DECIDED THE PROPER DEPARTMENT OR PROPER STAFF NEEDED TO COME BACK AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION. IF YOU GO TO SOME OF THE OTHER COUNTIES THAT IS A LITTLE BIT METROPOLITAN, MAYBE A LITTLE FARTHER DOWN THE ROAD THAN WASHINGTON COUNTY, THE CHAIR HARDLY EVER ASKS FOR A VOTE UNLESS THEY ASK FOR A STAFF RECOMMENDATION. HE TOLD ATTORNEY GOODMAN THAT WAS AN EXCELLENT PRESENTATION. HE WOULD ENCOURAGE THE BOARD IF ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAS TO PUT THAT IN A POLICY SIMILAR TO THE WAY HE DID ON THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST, THERE IS NO GREATER GIFT THEY CAN GIVE TO A FUTURE BOARD THAN TO ESTABLISH SOMETHING. THIS IS A BOARD MEETING; IT IS NOT A PUBLIC MEETING. ON PUBLIC HEARINGS,

PEOPLE CAN BE HEARD; BUT, IF IT IS A BOARD MEETING THE BOARD COMES HERE TO DO THE BUSINESS OF THE COUNTY. SOME OF THEM FIVE TO SIX HOUR MEETINGS THE BOARD HAS, THEY CAN DO THEM IN AN HOUR AND A HALF TO TWO HOURS IF THEY DID BOARD BUSINESS AND NOT EVERYBODY THAT WALKED THROUGH THE DOOR GETS TO FUSS ABOUT SOMETHING THEY ARE DOING OR THEIR STAFF IS DOING. HE SAID MR. JOYNER'S JOB WOULD BE MUCH BETTER TOO AND MUCH EASIER.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED WORKSHOPS ARE FOR THE BOARD; NOT THE PUBLIC.

MR. JOYNER ADDRESSED THE MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD MONIES. MS. GLASGOW, HIMSELF, CLIFF KNAUER AND MR. BARFIELD MET LAST WEEK AND ON THE MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD, THE MONEY WAS USED UP. THERE WAS AN OVERRUN ON BONNETT POND AND THEY DISCUSSED MOVING THE MONIES AROUND TO TRY TO COME UP WITH SOME OF THIS MONEY. WITH THE GRANT FUNDS, THEY WOULDN'T ALLOWED TO DO THIS. HE WILL TOMORROW DILIGENTLY GET WITH MS. GLASGOW TO COME UP WITH SOME SOURCE OF MONEY TO ADDRESS THE EXTENSION OF MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD. HE ASKED THE BOARD, IF THEY HAD ANY INPUT, TO ADVISE HIM.

48-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 483

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED WHO AUTHORIZED THE OVERRUN. MR. JOYNER ADVISED CLIFF HAD LEFT OUT THE FENCING AND A FEW ISSUES THAT WEREN'T COVERED.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT THE OVERRUN WAS FOR THE FENCING AND THE PALM TREES.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT IS WHY THERE SHOULD BE A STAFF PERSON INVOLVED WITH THEIR COUNTY ENGINEER AND NOT RELY ON THE COUNTY ENGINEER TO DO EVERYTHING. THE COUNTY ENGINEER WORKS FOR FIVE OTHER COUNTIES AND HE JUST DON'T HAVE THE TIME TO DO IT ALL.

MR. JOYNER SAID HE AND MR. BARFIELD HAVE HAD A TALK ABOUT BEING MORE INVOLVED WITH THE COUNTY PROJECTS.

MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE STOCKPILING OF THE MILLED ASPHALT; HE HAD THE DEP REGULATIONS IN HAND. HE AND ATTORNEY GOODMAN WILL DRAFT A POLICY FOR THE BOARD TO LOOK AT AND CRITIQUE IF THEY LIKE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE HAS A CONFERENCE CALL TOMORROW WITH TED EVERETT AND OTTED. HE KNOWS THAT CLIFF TALKED ABOUT THE FUNDING SOURCES; FOR LACK OF A BETTER TERM, HE THINKS THEY ARE ABOUT TO BIRTH THIS BABY. HE THINKS THEY WILL HAVE A SPECIFIC, DETAILED UPDATE FOR THE BOARD AT THE NEXT MEETING WITH RESPECT TO HOW THINGS ARE GOING TO BE PAID IN THAT CYCLE. THEY HAVE MADE A LOT OF PROGRESS IN THE LAST SIXTY TO NINETY DAYS AND HE THINKS, IF THE MEETING GOES AS PLANNED AND AS INDICATED BY SOME CORRESPONDENCE FROM OTTED RECENTLY AND THEY ARE KEEPING THEIR FINGERS CROSSED ON A FIVE YEAR PROJECT, THEY WILL BE READY TO SEE SOME MOVEMENT HERE SHORTLY.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED ABOUT THE PIPE PROJECT; IF OTTED IS NOT IN AGREEMENT TOMORROW TO RELEASE SOME MONEY, HE WOULD SUGGEST

THEY GO TO THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE AND MAKE AN APPOINTMENT. THIS IS RIDICULOUS; THEY HAVE BEEN GOING BACK AND FORTH WITH OTTED FOR A YEAR NOW ABOUT RELEASING THAT MONEY. HE THINKS THE GOVERNOR IS ALL ABOUT JOBS AND HE IS GOING TO WORK WITH US; ALL WE HAVE TO DO

49-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 484

IS ASK.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND WANTED TO HAVE AN OPEN HOUSE FOR THE NEW SUNNY HILLS FIRE HOUSE. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IT HAS BEEN SCHEDULED FOR THE 30TH OF APRIL AT 11:00. THE RIBBON CUTTING IS AT 11:00 A.M. AND THERE WILL BE HAMBURGERS AND HOT DOGS FROM 11 A.M. TIL 2:00 P.M.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WANTED TO GET A MORE UPDATED COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST ESPECIALLY IN HIS DISTRICT. HE KNOWS DONNIE'S NEED SOME MORE UPGRADING AND HE THINKS DISTRICT IV'S NEEDS SOME UPGRADING BECAUSE HE THINKS THERE IS SOME ROADS OUT THERE BEING GRADED THAT IS NOT ON THE LIST.

MR. BARFIELD ASKED IF COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS WANTING AN UPDATED MAINTENANCE LIST. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID YES; HE WANTED SOME ROADS PUT BACK ON THAT SOMEBODY TOOK OFF A FEW YEARS BACK. HE DON'T KNOW WHY. THEY SAID THEY WOULDN'T BUT ONE HOUSE AT THE END OF IT; BUT, THESE ARE ROADS THAT HAVE BEEN GRADED FOR SIXTY YEARS AND THEY HAVE BEEN GRADED EVERY WEEK AND THEY ARE NOT ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST. HE SAID WHAT HAPPENS IS, LATER ON THE GRANDCHILDREN COME IN AND WANT TO BUILD A HOUSE AT THE END SIDE OF THEIR GRANDMOTHER, ETC. AND GETTING TO THE PROPERTY, THEY HAVE ANOTHER LAND OWNER THEY HAVE TO GO THROUGH. SO, IN PHILOSOPHY TO DO THAT, THEY WILL NOT ISSUE PERMITS UNTIL YOU GET RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENTS, ETC. FROM THE LANDOWNER YOU ARE HAVING TO COME THROUGH THE COUNTY ROAD OFF. IT IS JUST DIFFERENT ISSUES OUT HERE CAUSING PEOPLE PROBLEMS ON DOWN THE ROAD AND IT SHOULDN'T BE.

MR. BARFIELD SAID HE WAS AWARE OF A FEW ISSUES PUBLIC WORKS IS WORKING ON RIGHT NOW; THERE ARE SOME THAT COMES UP EVERY WEEK IT SEEMS LIKE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. BUT, HOW IN THE PAST HAVE THOSE ROADS BEEN APPROVED TO BE PUT ON THE LIST.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADVISED THE BOARD APPROVES THE LIST. THE REASON HE IS BRINGING IT UP, HE WOULD LIKE TO BE INVOLVED. THERE IS

50-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 485

ONE IN DISTRICT V THAT JUST CAME UP; CAITLAND TRAIL.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL THOUGHT ALL THE ROADS IN SEMINOLE PLANTATION OUGHT TO BE ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST. THE BOARD TOOK THE ROADS, GRADED THEM AND THEY MIGHT AS WELL MAINTAIN THEM. HE SAID HE DIDN'T CARE IF THE ROADS WEREN'T IN THE RIGHT PLACE.

MR. BARFIELD SAID SO FAR HE HAS JUST TAKEN SOME BABY STEPS ON THIS MISSION; BUT, WHAT THEY ARE DOING IS DALLAS AND ROBERT ARE BRINGING HIM ROAD NAMES OR SOME OF THEM AREN'T EVEN NAMES. THEY ARE JUST AREAS THEY HAVE GRADED FOR YEARS IN THE PAST; SOME OF WHICH THEY ARE AND SOME OF WHICH THEY HAVE STOPPED THAT ROBERT AND DALLAS FELT LIKE SHOULD BE ON THE LIST. THERE IS A FEW ON THE LIST THAT MIGHT NOT OUGHT TO BE ON THE LIST. THEY ARE GOING TO LOOK AT ALL THOSE WITH A DEFINITION OF WHAT CONSTITUTES A ROAD. THEY ARE LOOKING AT THE ISSUES THEY ARE AWARE OF. THERE MAY BE SOME MORE THE BOARD IS AWARE OF AND HE ENCOURAGED THE BOARD IF THEY HAVE A ROAD THAT IS NAMED OR NOT, IF THEY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT IT, GET WITH HIM ON IT AND THEY WILL ADD THAT TO THE RESEARCH. HE WILL BRING THE BOARD A CURRENT LIST OF COUNTY MAINTAINED ROADS AND A LIST OF ROADS PUBLIC WORKS THINKS SHOULD BE ADDED OR EXCLUDED AND WHY THEY FEEL THIS WAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHEN THEY GET TO THE POINT THEY ARE GOING TO SET THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST, HE WANTS SOMEBODY TO DO SOME RESEARCH ON PRIVATE ROADS. THEY ARE BLUE AND WHITE AND HE HAS BEEN TOLD EVER SINCE HE HAS BEEN ON THIS BOARD, THEY ARE NOT; BUT, THEY ARE BY FLORIDA STATUTES. WE DID HAVE THEM RIGHT HERE IN THIS COUNTY. IT IS JUST LIKE THE PARKS, THEIR SIGNS ARE BROWN AND WHITE.

MR. BARFIELD AGREED THERE ARE ROADS OUT THERE OR DRIVES THAT HAVE GREEN AND WHITE SIGNS AND THEY ALSO HAVE SOME COUNTY ROADS THAT HAVE BLUE AND WHIE SIGNS. HE SAID PUBLIC WORKS WILL BE ADDRESSING THAT AS THEY ADDRESS THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID RIGHT NOW ALL THE ROADS THE COUNTY IS MAINTAINING IS ON A SPECIFIC LIST AND ASKED IF THAT IS CORRECT.

51-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 486

MR. BARFIELD SAID MOST OF THE ROADS THAT ARE MAINTAINED ARE ON THE LIST; THERE MAY BE A FEW ROADS THAT AREN'T ON IT AND HE THINKS THERE ARE A FEW NOT ON THE LIST THAT HAVE BEEN MAINTAINED THEY HAVE QUIT MAINTAINING BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT ON THE LIST. THEY JUST NEED TO REVIEW ALL THAT. THERE MAY BE A FEW THEY STOPPED MAINTAINING THEY NEED TO ADD TO THE LIST AND THERE MAY BE A FEW ON THE LIST THAT DON'T NEED TO BE ON THE LIST. HE AGREED PUBLIC WORKS WILL LOOK OVER THE LIST AND GET BACK WITH THE BOARD ON THIS. HE THOUGHT FOR THE MOST PART FOR THOSE THAT AREN'T ON THE LIST PROBABLY SHOULDN'T BE; BUT, HE DOESN'T KNOW THAT UNTIL THEY LOOK THEM ALL UP.

COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD MR. BARFIELD WHEN HE SAYS THAT, HE DON'T KNOW WHAT DEFINITION HE IS USING. MR. BARFIELD SAID THEY HAVE TO LOOK AT MAINTENANCE RECORDS AND THEY HAVE A DEFINITION OF A QUARTER MILE OR LONGER, MORE THAN ONE HOUSE ON THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHO IS AUTHORIZED TO PUT A ROAD ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST OR TAKE ONE OFF. MR. BARFIELD SAID HE WAS JUST TOLD THE BOARD WAS AUTHORIZED TO DO THIS.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADDRESSED JUST BECAUSE THE ROAD IS ON THE LIST DOESN'T MEAN IT IS A COUNTY ROAD.

MR. BARFIELD EXPLAINED THEY HAVE A COUNTY ROAD LIST AND THEN THEY HAVE A MAINTAINED COUNTY ROAD LIST.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THE COUNTY HAS SOME ROADS THEY GRADE THAT AREN'T COUNTY ROADS AND THEY PROBABLY SHOULDN'T BE GRADING. THEY OUGHT TO BE PAYING US \$75 WHEN THEY GRADE THE ROAD.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THEY DO HAVE SOME PEOPLE THAT DO THAT. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID HE KNOWS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN, FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT, THERE IS VARIOUS MEANS OF ACQUIRING ROADS; SOME BY PRESCRIPTION WHETHER IT IS A DEED OR AN EASEMENT. IT IS IMPORTANT THEY GET THIS LIST UP TO DATE BECAUSE IT IS GOOD FOR THE COUNTY TO POINT TO IF THEY EVER GET INTO LITIGATION. FROM HIS STANDPOINT, HE LOVES A REALLY THOROUGH LIST BECAUSE IT HELPS HIM WHEN HE IS IN A DEPOSITION.

52-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 487

MR. BARFIELD ADDRESSED THEM HAVING ISSUES WHERE PROPERTY OWNERS HAVE DECIDED THEY JUST WANTED A FENCE UP RIGHT HERE, FIRST ONE THING AND THEN ANOTHER. A LIST IS A GOOD THING TO HAVE; BUT, HAVING A RECORD OF WHERE YOU HAVE BEEN MAINTAINING THINGS AND WHERE THE COUNTY ACCEPTED IT AS A ROAD AND ALL THOSE THINGS ARE GOOD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED ACCEPTING THE ROAD AND CONFERRING IT TO BE SUCH IS IMPORTANT FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT AS WELL.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING TWO SETS OF ORDINANCES THEY ARE DEALING WITH TOO; THEY HAVE THE PLANNING ORDINANCE THAT HAS GOT SOME DEFINITIONS AND THEY HAVE THE 911 ORDINANCE. THEY NEED TO TAKE INTO PLAY SOME OF THOSE THINGS WERE TAKEN OFF BECAUSE OF THE VOTE OF THIS BOARD. HE KNOWS MR. BROCK MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE BEEN ON THE BOARD AT THE TIME OR MAY OR MAY NOT REMEMBER HIS VOTE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID ALL THEY HAVE TO DO IS BRING THOSE TWO TOGETHER AND MAKE A DECISION ON IT. THEY CAN WORK IT OUT, LEAD US IF THEY HAVE THE RIGHT DIRECTION. HE REITERATED HE DOES KNOW THE BLUE AND WHITE SIGNS ARE FOR PRIVATE ROADS, PRIVATE DRIVES, ETC. AND THE REST ARE SUPPOSE TO BE GREEN AND WHITE.

MR. BARFIELD REITERATED THEY DID HAVE A COUNTY ROAD LIST, WHICH HE IS SURE IS A 911 ROAD LIST AND THEN THEY HAVE A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT WAS THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST HE IS INTERESTED IN BECAUSE ANYBODY THAT HAS GOT PROPERTY OR WANTS TO BUY PROPERTY ON THAT ROAD AND THEY GO TO FINANCE IT, THE BANK WILL NOT FINANCE WITHOUT IT BEING LISTED AS A COUNTY ROAD.

MR. BARFIELD SAID TYPICALLY SPEAKING, ONCE IT IS EVER LINED UP ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED LIST, IT IS NOT ON THE OTHER LIST. HE

GUESSED THAT WAS WHAT THEY WERE SAYING PREVIOUSLY; IF IT IS NOT A COUNTY ROAD DOESN'T MEAN THEY CAN'T ADD COUNTY ROADS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF PUBLIC WORKS IS OUT THERE GRADING THE ROAD AND IT IS NOT ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST, IT IS SUPPOSE

53-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 488

TO BE A PRIVATE ROAD AND THE PERSON SHOULD BE PAYING \$75 AN HOUR FOR EVERYTIME IT IS GRADED.

MR. BARFIELD SAID UNLESS IT MEETS THE CRITERIA AND THE BOARD WANTS TO ACCEPT IT AS A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. PATE TO REPEAT WHAT HE HAD JUST SAID. PATE REPEATED IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THAT SIGN WAS PUT UP BEFORE THE 911 ORDINANCE; THAT ROAD HAS BEEN GRADED BEFORE THERE WAS A 911. SOME OF THESE ROADS HAVE BEEN GRADED FOR OVER SIXTY YEARS AND THEY ARE NOT EVEN ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THIS DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE 911 POLICY.

MR. BARFIELD TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK THE ROAD HE WAS TALKING ABOUT MAY BE OKAY; BUT, HE HAS TO LOOK AT THE RECORDS AND THEN HE WILL BRING THE BOARD AN UPDATED LIST.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED WHAT COMMISSIONER PATE IS SAYING IF THE ROAD IS NOT ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED LIST, THE PERSON WOULD HAVE TO PAY \$75 AN HOUR.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD BROCK HE SAID IF THE ROAD IS NOT ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST THEN IT IS NOT ON A MAINTAINED ROAD ACCORDING TO THE BOOKS.

MR. BARFIELD AGREED THERE MAY BE SOME INACCURACIES IN THE BOOKS. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS JUST ADMITTING THEY HAD A PROBLEM AND THEY NEED TO GET IN THERE AND CLEAN IT UP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS SHOCKED TO HEAR HIS ANSWER. IF THE COUNTY HIRED HIM TO RUN A ROAD GRADER AND TURNED HIM LOOSE IN DISTRICT IV AND TOLD HIM TO GRADE ALL THE ROADS OVER THERE, HE IS GOING TO GRADE ALL THE ROADS. HE AIN'T LOOKING AT NO LIST.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WOULD BE GRADING WHERE IT HAS BEEN GRADED. COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID THAT DON'T MEAN YOU ARE GRADING A COUNTY ROAD THOUGH.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT THE ROAD HAS BEEN GRADED FOR SEVEN

54-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 489

YEARS, THEY WOULD BE GRADING A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD WHETHER THE BOARD LIKES IT OR NOT, WHETHER IT IS ON A LIST OR NOT.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL SAID IF IT IS A 300' DRIVEWAY WITH A HOUSE AT THE END, IT IS A DRIVEWAY.

MR. BARFIELD SAID WHAT THE BOARD DISCUSSED EARLIER ABOUT THE SIGNS, HOPEFULLY THEY WILL GET TO THE POINT WHERE THEY WILL HAVE THE SIGNS MARKED PROPERLY.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MR. BARFIELD TO GET ALL THE INFORMATION HE CAN AND IF THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN THE PLANNING AND 911, SEE WHAT THEY CAN WORK OUT ABOUT THAT. MR. BARFIELD SAID THEY WOULD BRING THE BOARD A LIST AND A RECOMMENDATION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF HE KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT ACY ROAD LAWSUIT; HAS ANYTHING BEEN SETTLED ON THAT OR DOES HE WANT TO TALK ABOUT IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REPORTED THEY HAVE SENT AN INITIAL DISCOVERY REQUEST; HE HAS RESPONDED AND SENT THE DISCOVERY REQUEST. HE HAS HAD A SITE INSPECTION WITH COUNSEL WHO IS ALSO THE CLIENT AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT UPDATED THE BOARD ON A PHONE CALL HE RECEIVED ABOUT THE SOUTHWEST BOAT RAMP AT CRYSTAL LAKE HAVING A HOLE IN IT. IT IS JUST ABOUT UNUSABLE AND IS ABOUT WAIST DEEP ON A SHORT LEGGED FELLOW. HE ASKED IF THE COUNTY TAKES CARE OF THAT BOAT RAMP.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THEY ARE WAITING ON APPROVAL RIGHT NOW FROM THE PRISON TO UTILIZE THE INMATE CREW. THEY ARE SAW CUTTING AND GOING TO TEAR IT OUT AND FIX IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE ARE ACTUALLY TWO BOAT RAMPS AT CRYSTAL LAKE THE COUNTY TAKES CARE OF.

DAVID CORBIN GAVE THE BOARD A LITTLE BACKGROUND ON THE RAMP COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS TALKING ABOUT. IT USE TO BE THE GUS ALFORD LANDING. BACK WHEN COMMISSIONER FINCH WAS ON THE BOARD, THEY HAD

55-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 490

\$43,000 SET ASIDE TO DO THAT RAMP; CLIFF DONE AN ENGINEERING DRAWING AND THE BOARD OPTED NOT TO DO IT. HE KIND OF BACKED OFF AT THAT POINT AND THEY HAVEN'T DONE NOTHING. THE GATES HAVE BEEN LOCKED FOR THE LAST TWO YEARS. WHAT THEY HAVE GOING ON THERE IS A BUNCH OF PEOPLE WANTING TO PUT THEIR JET SKIIS AND ALL THAT IN THERE. BAY COUNTY FOLKS IS MAKING THAT REQUEST BECAUSE THEY HAVE CALLED HIM. THEY ARE WORKING ON IT. HE HAS MET WITH MR. BARFIELD AND ALL HE HAS LEFT IN THE FBIP MONIES IS \$5,000; THEY TOOK THE REST OUT AND GAVE IT TO FEMA TO FINISH THE BOAT LANDINGS. THEY CAN'T DO MUCH; BUT, HE IS GOING TO FIX IT. THAT REVERTED BACK TO THE BOARD ABOUT THREE YEARS AGO. THE COUNTY DID HAVE A NICE LANDING SET ASIDE TO TAKE THE PRESSURE OFF THE OTHER LANDING; BUT, THE BOARD OPTED NOT TO DO IT SO THEY BACKED OFF.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A BIG DRAINAGE ISSUE

GOING ON WITH THAT BOAT RAMP TOO. DAVID SAID HE KEPT IT LOCKED ON THE LIABILITY ISSUE AND PEOPLE KEPT CUTTING THE LOCKS OFF. HE HAS BEEN DOWN THERE NUMEROUS TIMES LOCKING IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT UPDATED THE BOARD ON TWO REQUEST OUT OF THE THREE REQUESTS HE HAD WERE ACTUALLY PROPERTY OWNERS OF THE LAKE.

DAVID SAID THE PROPERTY OWNERS WOULD LOVE TO HAVE IT OPEN; IT WOULD TAKE A LOT OF PRESSURE OFF THE OTHER END.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL ADVISED THE BOARD THE PROPERTY OWNER RIGHT NEXT TO THE BOAT RAMP WOULD LIKE TO BUY IT FROM THE COUNTY JUST TO STOP PEOPLE FROM COMING DOWN THERE GETTING ON HIS PROPERTY ON THE WEEKENDS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE PROPERTY OWNERS WANTS THE LAKE PRIVATIZED. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE THOUGHT THE PROPERTY OWNER MR. HOWELL ADDRESSED WAS PROBABLY THE PROPERTY OWNER THAT WAS LOCKING IT UP BEFORE THE COUNTY LOCKED IT UP.

COMMISSIONER HOWELL AGREED IT WAS A PROBLEM DOWN THERE; PEOPLE COME IN DOWN THERE AND PEOPLE ACT LIKE IT IS THEIR OWN, PITCH TENTS

56-BCC
04-18-2011

BOOK 87 PAGE 491

ON PRIVATE PROPERTY, ETC. IT IS NOT WIDE ENOUGH REALLY TO PARK CARS, BE ABLE TO GET BY, TURN AROUND AND COME BACK OUT. YOU HAVE TO BACK IN AND PULL OUT, ETC. IT IS NOT WIDE ENOUGH REALLY FOR A RAMP.

DAVID SAID HE HAD MET FL-DEP ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS; MS. COOEY HAS WORKED WITH HIM REAL CLOSE. THERE IS PEOPLE DRIVING AROUND THE LAKE, WALKING AROUND THE LAKE AND EVERYBODY THAT OWNS PROPERTY THINKS THEIR PROPERTY GOES TO THE WATER. TO SHORTEN THINGS UP, DAVID SAID THEY ARE WORKING ON THE BOATRAMP AND THEY ARE GOING TO POUR A 12' X 75' AND THAT WILL BE DONE QUICKLY.

CHAIRMAN PATE THANKED THE BOARD AND THE AUDIENCE FOR THE MEETING ON THE MSBU. HE IS KIND OF TICKLED EVERYTHING HAS STARTED ROLLING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION. HE IS LOOKING FORWARD TO MOVING THIS COUNTY AHEAD. THE PEOPLE MAY HAVE HEARD SOME THINGS TONIGHT THEY MAY NOT REALLY HAVE LIKED; BUT, BEAR WITH THEM. THEY ARE GOING TO TRY AND ALLOW EVERYBODY TO SPEAK; BUT AT ONE TIME. BE CIVIL AND IF HE HAS TO MAKE A SPEECH EVERYTIME THEY HAVE A MEETING, HE WILL DO THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER HOWELL AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN