

MAY 9, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 1:30 P.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS BROCK, ABBOTT, STRICKLAND AND PATE PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

SGT. RACKARD PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. COMMISSIONER PATE WELCOMED EVERYONE TO THE MSBU WORKSHOP AND ADVISED HE DIDN'T PLAN ON RECOGNIZING ANYONE TO SPEAK ON THIS MATTER. THE BOARD WILL GATHER THE INFORMATION, TAKE IT UNDER ADVISEMENT AND MAKE A DECISION BEFORE THEIR NEXT MEETING.

COMMISSIONER PATE OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN GAVE HIS PRESENTATION ON THE MSBU. HE SAID HE WOULD REHASH A LITTLE BIT ABOUT HOW THE BOARD GOT FROM A TO Z AND HERE TODAY, WHAT HIS UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT HE AND SOME OTHERS WERE CHARGED WITH AT THE LAST WORKSHOP THEY HAD AND KIND OF A BLUEPRINT WHERE HE SEES THE DISCUSSION HEADED TODAY AND LET THE BOARD ASK HIM QUESTIONS AS THEY SEE FIT, LEAN ON HIS GUIDANCE AND ANY OTHER'S GUIDANCE THAT MAY BE ABLE TO ASSIST THE BOARD IN MAKING THE DETERMINATION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED THEY WERE HERE TODAY TO SPECIFICALLY TALK ABOUT, TO HIS UNDERSTANDING, THE CURRENT STATUS OF THE MSBU AND MAYBE THE FUTURE PLANS OF THE MSBU. THEY HAD A WORKSHOP PROBABLY THREE WEEKS AGO ONE EVENING WHEREBY THE BOARD HAD SENT HIM TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE PAST THREE ORDINANCES AND TALK TO THE BOARD ABOUT THE CURRENT STRUCTURE OF THE MSBU, HOW IT WAS ORGANIZED AND HOW IT WAS TO BE RUN. IF THE BOARD REMEMBERS, THERE WAS AN ORIGINAL ORDINANCE PASSED BACK IN 2001ISH. THEREAFTER, THERE WAS AN ORDINANCE PASSED IN APPROXIMATELY 2007 AND ANOTHER ORDINANCE PASSED THEREAFTER IN 2009. THE CRUX OF THE LAST MEETING WAS BASICALLY UPDATING THE BOAR

ON THE CURRENT ORGANIZATION OR REORGANIZATION OF THE MSBU AND CURRENTLY HOW IT IS BEING RUN THROUGH THE COUNTY. AFTER THAT DISCUSSION, THERE WAS SOME TALK BY THE BOARD ABOUT FUTURE PLANS

OF THE MSBU AND BASCIALLY TO SIMPLIFY IT AND MAYBE OVER SIMPLIFY IT, THE TWO LINES OF DISCUSSION WERE WHAT ARE THE POSSIBILITIES IF THE BOARD SO DESIRES TO EVENTUALLY SET FORTH A TIME FRAME BY WHICH TO DISBAN THE MSBU AND KIND OF DISSOLVE IT IN A WAY THAT IS PROPER AND AFFECTUATES THE COUNTY'S OBLIGATIONS WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN LOANS THAT HAD BEEN TAKEN OUT FOR CERTAIN FUNCTIONS AND/OR INFRA-STRUCTURE THAT HAS BEEN PUT IN PURSUANT TO THE MSBU. THE OTHER LINE OF THOUGHT WAS THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO CONTINUE THE MSBU IN SOME SHAPE OR FORM ON A LONG TERM TYPE SCALE, WHAT WOULD BE THE POSSIBLE STRUCTURING OR RESTRUCTURING OF HOW THE MSBU IS ORGANIZED AND RUN. HE THINKS THAT STEMS FROM SOME CONCERNS THAT WERE MADE WITH RESPECT TO THE 2009 ORDINANCE AND HOW IT INTERPLAYED WITH THE 2007 AND 2001 ORDINANCE BECAUSE IT WAS HIS OPINION AND ADVICE TO THE BOARD WHATEVER IS DONE, THERE NEEDS TO BE A NEW ORDINANCE PUT IN PLACE, REVISED, REDRAFTED, THAT KIND OF GETS EVERYBODY ON A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD GOING FORWARD. THOSE ARE THE TWO TASKS HE SEES THE BOARD AT THE FORK OF IN THIS TIME FRAME. IT IS WHAT DOES THE BOARD WANT TO DO WITH RESPECT TO THE MSBU. DOES THE BOARD WANT TO PUT TOGETHER SOME SHORTER TERM TIME FRAME BY WHICH TO DISSOLVE THE MSBU OR DOES THE BOARD WANT TO CONTINUE THE MSBU ON A MORE LONG TERM BASIS. IF THAT IS THE CASE, HOW DOES THE BOARD WANT TO REORGANIZE OR STRUCTURE THE MSBU BY WHICH IT MAY BE MORE EFFICIENT AND/OR MORE EFFECTIVE AND EASE SOME CONCERNS OF THE CITIZENS THAT ARE PRIMARILY AFFECTED BY THE MSBU.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN BEGAN BY TALKING ABOUT THE LOAN. THE LAST TIME THEY SPOKE, THE LOAN WAS THE FIVE TON ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM THEY WERE DANCING AROUND IN AN ATTEMPT TO FIGURE OUT HOW, IF THE BOARD SO CHOOSES TO DO SO, WOULD BE TO EVENTUALLY DISSOLVE THE MSBU AND THEN HE IS GOING TO GET TO POTENTIAL PLANS FOR REORGANIZATION IF THE

3-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 49

BOARD WOULD LIKE TO GO THAT ROUTE. WITH RESPECT TO THE LOAN, JUST TO GIVE THE BOARD SOME BRIEF BACKGROUND INFORMATION, AND MS. DIANNE AND THE PEOPLE AT THE BANK HAVE BEEN NICE ENOUGH TO SEND HIM SOME LOAN DOCUMENTS SO HE HAS BEEN ABLE TO REVIEW THOSE AND GET UP TO SPEED WITH WHERE THAT LOAN STANDS. THE ORIGINAL AMOUNT OF THE LOAN WAS \$2.5 MILLION; IT HAD AN INTEREST RATE OF 3.57%. HE THOUGHT IT WAS AFFECTUATED LATE MARCH OF 2003 AND IT WAS A FIVE YEAR BALLOON NOTE. THEY WERE PAYING APPROXIMATELY \$170,000 A YEAR ON THAT NOTE; ABOUT HALF AND HALF WITH RESPECT TO PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST. THAT IS NOT EXACT; BUT, THAT IS CLOSE. IN 2008, THE BOARD DECIDED TO EXTEND THAT LOAN AND IT CHANGED THE TERMS OF THE LOAN WITH THE BANK WITH RESPECT TO THE NUMBERS; THE NEW LOAN AMOUNT AFTER THE FIVE YEAR PERIOD WAS A LITTLE OVER \$2 MILLION; IT WAS \$2,046,000. IT HAD A FIXED INTEREST RATE OF 4.25% AND IT APPEARS TO HAVE A MATURITY DATE OF MARCH 31, 2028, WHICH MEANS IT WAS A TWENTY YEAR LOAN. THEY ARE MAKING PAYMENTS ON IT RIGHT NOW OF APPROXIMATELY \$155,000 A YEAR. THE BALANCE TODAY IS ABOUT \$1.743 MILLION AND SOME CHANGE ON THAT;

SO, BETWEEN \$1.7 AND \$1.8 MILLION WITH THEIR LATEST PAYMENT FROM MS. GLASGOW'S OFFICE, HE BELIEVES COME IN MARCH OF 2011 WAS WHEN THAT PAYMENT WAS DUE. THEY ARE DUE EVERY MARCH AND HE BELIEVES THEY PAY ONCE A YEAR. THEY HAVE FROM TIME TO TIME AND HE BELIEVES THEY DID THIS YEAR WITH THE BOARD'S APPROVAL PUT SOME EXTRA MONEY WHERE THERE HAS BEEN EXTRA FUNDS IN THE MSBU INTO PAYING DOWN THAT PRINCIPAL. BUT, AS THEY CAN SEE, THE AMOUNT THAT IS OWED ON THAT LOAN FOR THE ROAD PROJECTS THEY UNDERTOOK BACK IN 2003-2004 IS STILL A PRETTY SIGNIFICANT DEBT. THEY ARE LOOKING AT A DEBT SOMEWHERE BETWEEN \$1.7 AND \$1.8 MILLION. AFTER LOOKING HARD AT SOME DIFFERENT OPTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE LOAN ITSELF IN TALKING TO VARIOUS PEOPLE IN THE BANK THAT IS INVOLVED, PEOPLE AT A FINANCE LEVEL AND ALSO PEOPLE THAT HAVE WORKED THROUGH SOME OF THESE ISSUES THROUGH THE YEARS AT THE COUNTY LEVEL, HE BELIEVES IF THE BOARD PUTS A SIGNIFICANT CHUNK OF WHAT THEY CURRENTLY RECEIVE THROUGH FUNDS, WHICH IS

4-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 50

SOMEWHERE BETWEEN \$450,000 AND \$475,000 A YEAR THAT COMES IN THROUGH THE MSBU, IF THEY TOOK APPROXIMATELY \$425,000 A YEAR AND STUCK IT TO THAT DEBT AND WHAT HE IS DOING THERE IS KIND OF A GAME WITH NUMBERS IN A SENSE THEY HAVE TO ALLOW THEMSELVES SOME OPERATING COSTS AND SOME CONTINGENCY COST. IT IS NOT AS IF HE CAN COME TO THE BOARD TODAY AND SAY EVERY PENNY THEY GET IN IS GOING TO BE GOING TO THAT MSBU DEBT. THAT IS NOT HOW A BUSINESS IS OPERATED EVEN WHEN THEY ARE WINDING DOWN. BUT, IF THEY TOOK A SIGNIFICANT CHUNK OF THAT MONEY AND PUT IT TOWARD THAT DEBT, IN HIS ESTIMATION, IT WOULD TAKE THEM SOMEWHERE BETWEEN FOUR AND SIX YEARS TO GET IT PAID OFF. THAT BEING SAID, THAT WOULD CUT A LOT OF THE SERVICES THAT ARE CURRENTLY BEING OFFERED. SO, IF THEY SAID WHAT IS THE MOST REASONABLE ANTICIPATED METHOD FOR PAYING THAT OFF WITH INCOME RECEIVED FROM THE MSBU, HE WOULD SAY SOMEWHERE BETWEEN FOUR AND SIX YEARS, THEY COULD DO IT. THERE WOULD BE A LOT OF THE SERVICES THAT ARE CURRENTLY OFFERED THEY WOULD HAVE TO CUT DOWN ON IN DOING THAT AND THAT WOULD BE A POLICY DECISION TO BE MADE BY THE BOARD. HE ALSO SAID WHILE THOSE RAMIFICATIONS FOR BASICALLY RESTRICTING THE MSBU INTAKE TO PAY OFF THE DEBT, EVENTUALLY THOSE SERVICES OR WHAT THEY ARE SAYING ARE GOING AWAY ANYWAY IN A ROUND ABOUT WAY. THEY WOULD BE CUTTING THOSE SERVICES SOONER THAN LATER IN PUTTING ABOUT ALL THE RESOURCES THEY CAN PUT INTO PAYING OFF THE DEBT INTO PAYING IT OFF. HE THINKS THAT TIME FRAME THE BOARD HAS ASKED HIM TO LOOK AT IN A REASONABLE FASHION, HE WOULD SAY BETWEEN FOUR TO SIX YEARS WOULD LIKELY BE SERVED AND THAT DEBT WOULD BE GONE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IT WOULD BE HIS RECOMMENDATION THE ASSETS THAT ARE MSBU ASSETS, THAT WERE PAID FOR, FINANCED, ETC. THROUGH MSBU FUNDS, THE BOARD IMPLEMENT A PLAN OR A POLICY IF THEY GO BY THIS ROUTE WHEREBY THOSE ASSETS CAN BE LIQUIDATED IN A FORM TO PAY OFF THAT DEBT. IT WOULD BE HIS SUGGESTION THOSE ASSETS BE TREATED AS ASSETS OF THE MSBU AND IF IT IS GOING TO BE RESOLVED AND THEY ARE

NOT GOING TO BE NEEDED, IF THERE IS A WAY TO EXPEDITE THE PAYMENT

5-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 51

TO PARTIES ON THAT DEBT, THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE A GOOD THING TO DO AS WELL. BUT, IN A BIG PICTURE OUTLOOK, HE THINKS THEY ARE LOOKING AT SEVERAL YEARS TO GET THAT DEBT DOWN TO NOTHING; BUT, IT CAN BE DONE BEFORE 2028 OBVIOUSLY, WHICH IS THE PATH THEY ARE ON RIGHT NOW. THAT IS THE OUTLOOK WITH RESPECT TO THE CURRENT DEBT AND PROBABLY THE MOST EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT WAY IF THE BOARD WANTS TO EXPEDITE DISSOLVING THE MSBU TO ADDRESS THAT DEBT IS TO PUT ALL HANDS ON DEBT TO PAY IT OFF AND REALLY TRY TO LIMIT THEIR OTHER COSTS ELSEWHERE WITH RESPECT TO THE MSBU IN PUTTING THE VAST MAJORITY OF THEIR FUNDS TO ELIMINATING THAT DEBT WHICH WILL ALLOW THEM SOME FLEXIBILITY IN DISSOLVING OF THE MSBU THEY DON'T CURRENTLY HAVE BECAUSE OF SAID DEBT. THAT IS OBVIOUSLY ONE FORK IN THE ROAD AS WE SIT HERE TODAY. WITH THE BOARD'S PERMISSION, HE WILL TALK ABOUT HIS FEELINGS NOT NECESSARILY FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT; BUT, FROM A PRACTICAL STANDPOINT WHETHER THE BOARD DECIDES TO DISCUSS THE RESTRUCTURING WHICH HE ANTICIPATES THEY WILL DO BECAUSE THEY ARE HAVING TO PLAY A BALANCING GAME HERE OF WHAT IS BEST FOR THE BOARD AND THE CITIZENS AND THE PEOPLE AFFECTED BY THE MSBU.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED ONE OF THE ISSUES WITH THE MSBU, AND IT IS APPARENT AND HE THINKS THEY ALL COULD AGREE ON WHETHER IT IS JUST FROM CITIZEN INPUT IN THE BOARD MEETINGS AND/OR THE SURVEY THEY HAD DONE, THERE ARE TWO THINGS HE SEES, AND HE HAS ONLY BEEN IN HIS POSITION PROBABLY SIX OR SEVEN MONTHS NOW; BUT, WHAT HE HAS SEEN IS OBVIOUS WITH RESPECT TO THE MSBU IS THERE IS A LACK OF UNDERSTANDING ON BEHALF OF A LOT OF PEOPLE WITH WHAT THE MSBU IS FOR AND HOW IT FUNCTIONS AND HE THINKS THE SURVEY RESULTS WOULD BACK THAT PROPOSITION UP. THE SECOND THING HE WOULD SAY IS THERE IS A CONCERN BY CITIZENS THAT THERE IS A LACK OF INPUT ON THEIR PART WITH RESPECT TO WHAT GOES ON AND HOW THE MSBU FUNDS ARE SPENT. IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO REORGANIZE THE MSBU PURSUANT TO A NEW ORDINANCE, IT WOULD BE HIS ADVICE OBVIOUSLY TO ADDRESS THOSE CONCERNS. HE THINKS THE WAY THE STRUCTURE OF THE CURRENT MSBU IS IT REALLY PROMOTES THOSE FEELINGS

6-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 52

OF LACK OF INPUT AND LACK OF INFORMATION. SOME OF THE SUGGESTIONS HE IS GOING TO TALK TO THE BOARD ABOUT POSSIBLY DISCUSSING WITH

HIMSELF, OBVIOUSLY MR. JOYNER AND MR. HAGAN IN HIS ROLE WITH THE MSBU, IS HOW DO THEY SERVE THE INTEREST OF THE BOARD AND SERVE THE INTEREST OF THE MSBU MEMBERS WITH RESPECT TO GIVING THEM INFORMATION AND ALLOWING THEM INPUT. THE THINGS HE WOULD LOOK AT DOING WITH RESPECT IF IT IS RESTRUCTURED IS HE WOULD THINK ABOUT FORMING SOME SORT OF COMMUNITY INPUT COMMITTEE. HE UNDERSTANDS THIS WAS TRIED BEFORE IN 2003 AND HE DIDN'T LIVE THROUGH IT; BUT, HE UNDERSTANDS IT HAS BEEN TRIED. HE CAN'T SPEAK EXACTLY INTO WHY THOSE INPUT COMMITTEES OR THOSE STEERING COMMITTEES, IT IS REALLY AN ADVISORY BOARD, WHY THEY FAILED. HE HAS HIS SUSPICIONS; BUT, OBVIOUSLY THE PURPOSE OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE WILL BE TO PROVIDE INPUT FROM AN ANNUAL BUDGETING PROCESS ON HOW THE MONIES SHOULD BE SPENT. HE THINKS IT IS IMPORTANT THAT SOME SORT OF COMMITTEE BE FORMED THAT TRIES TO REPRESENT A CROSS SECTION OF THE PEOPLE OUT THERE. HE IS FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO HEAR FROM A BUNCH OF DIFFERENT PEOPLE AND THERE IS A BUNCH OF DIFFERENT IDEAS. HE THINKS IF THE BOARD COULD AFFECTUATE A WAY TO REPRESENT A CROSS SECTION OF PEOPLE AT LEAST AT THAT POINT THERE WOULD BE SOME IN AN ADVISORY CAPACITY THE ABILITY OF THE CITIZENS OUT THERE TO AT LEAST GIVE SOME GUIDANCE TO THE BOARD ON A FORMAL BASIS OF WHERE THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE FUNDS USED. THERE IS A BUNCH OF DIFFERENT WAYS TO FORM THESE TYPES OF COMMITTEES. HE KNOWS IN THE PAST THERE HAS BEEN APPLICATIONS. HIS RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO SEE WHO IS INTERESTED. HE WOULD HAVE A SET FORTH CRITERIA BY WHICH THE BOARD GIVE TO, WHETHER ITS THEIR COORDINATOR OR SOMEBODY ELSE, TO BRING THEM RECOMMENDATIONS. LETS SAY THE BOARD SAYS BRING US FIFTEEN APPLICANTS THEY SCREEN AND REALLY LIKE AND THEY ARE GOING TO PICK SEVEN. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WAS JUST THROWING THESE NUMBERS OUT THERE FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT WITH WHAT THE BOARD DECIDES TO DO. TO HAVE APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED, HAVE STANDARDS BY WHICH THEY ARE GOING TO JUDGE THEM AND HAVE SOMEBODY DESIGNATED TO

7-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 53

BRING THE BOARD FIFTEEN AND THE BOARD PICKS THE SEVEN THEY WANT TO GO. HE WOULD HAVE A TERM OF ONE OR TWO YEARS AND NO ONE CAN SERVE MORE THAN TWO CONSECUTIVE TERMS. HE WOULD STAGGER THE TERMS TO START OUT WITH; THAT WAY, THEY ARE IN ORDER AND IT IS NOT ALL OF THEM LEAVING AT ONCE AND ALL COMING ON AT ONCE AND THEY HAVE SOME CONSISTENCY THERE. HE WOULD ALSO, AND HE IS GOING TO TALK ABOUT THE COORDINATOR, WHOEVER THAT IS GOING TO BE, IN A SECOND, HAVE THEM AS THE CHAIRPERSON OF THAT COMMITTEE AND THEN HE WOULD HAVE THE OTHER HOW EVER NUMBER OF MEMBERS SELECT A VICE-CHAIR, SECRETARY AND THE CHAIR ONLY VOTE IN CERTAIN CHANCES WHERE THERE IS A TIE. HE WOULD HAVE, WHOEVER THE BOARD'S COORDINATOR IS HAVE A WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH THAT COMMITTEE. THERE WILL BE NO COMPENSATION AND HE WOULD MAKE IT KNOWN THE WAY THEY SET THIS COMMITTEE UP THROUGH ORDINANCE THAT IT IS THE IDEA THE COMMITTEE IS TO ADDRESS CERTAIN THINGS. OBVIOUSLY THE DEBT AND THERE IS RECURRING OPERATING COST THAT HAVE TO BE AFFECTUATED AND TAKEN CARE OF FOR THE MSBU TO

SURVIVE. BUT, HE DOES THINK IT IS IMPORTANT THIS COMMITTEE HAS SOME INPUT IN RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD ON WHERE THEY SEE THE MONEY BEING SPENT. HIS NEXT THOUGHT IS THERE IS A CONCERN FROM THE PEOPLE HE HAS HEARD FROM WITH RESPECT TO LACK OF MEETINGS, LACK OF UPDATES, WHERE WE ARE AT, WE HAVE TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON. HE WOULD RECOMMEND THIS COMMITTEE AND THE COORDINATOR MEET AT LEAST QUARTERLY; HE WOULD HAVE TWO MEETINGS WITH JUST THE COMMITTEE SO TO SPEAK AND OBVIOUSLY IT WILL BE AN OPEN MEETING PURSUANT TO SUNSHINE LAW SO ANYBODY CAN COME AND LISTEN TO WHAT IS GOING ON FROM A PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE STANDPOINT. HE WOULD HAVE AT LEAST QUARTERLY MEETINGS AND THEN HE WOULD HAVE A BI-ANNUAL MEETING WHEREBY THIS BOARD GETS TOGETHER WITH THAT COMMITTEE WHETHER THEY PUT IT IN WORKSHOP FORM OR WHATEVER AND THERE IS OPEN DISCUSSION WITH RESPECT TO WHAT IS GOING ON AND MAKING SURE THE PEOPLE FEEL LIKE THEIR CONCERNS ARE BEING ADDRESSED NOT ONLY BY THE COMMITTEE BUT BY THIS BOARD. THEN OBVIOUSLY HE WOULD HAVE ONE MEETING A YEAR THAT COINCIDES WITH THE

8-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 54

BUDGET. THIS TIME OF THE YEAR THE COMMITTEE IS GOING TO NEED A MEETING WITH THE BOARD TO GO OVER THE BUDGETING AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS. THAT WOULD AT LEAST GIVE QUARTERLY MEETINGS ONCE EVERY THREE MONTHS THAT PEOPLE CAN GO AND SEE WHAT IS GOING ON AND HEAR HOW BUSINESS IS BEING DONE, WHERE MONEY IS BEING SPENT. HE THINKS SOME TIME SHOULD BE DEVOTED SPECIFICALLY TO ADDRESSING THE MSBU NOT ONLY AT COMMITTEE MEETINGS; BUT, HAVING THE BOARD PARTICIPATE IN A COUPLE OF THEM AS WELL. HE WOULD CALL THESE BI-ANNUAL MEETINGS. THE SECOND THING HE WOULD DO IS HE LIKES THE IDEA OF THE COMMITTEE ITSELF BEING SEPARATE AND APART AND IT BEING A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS AND/OR PEOPLE LIVING IN THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA THAT ENCOMPASSES THE MSBU. HE IS SKEPTICAL OF HAVING ANYBODY THAT IS A COUNTY EMPLOYEE OR A BOARD MEMBER ON THAT COMMITTEE. HE HAS SOME CONCERNS ABOUT THAT. HE DOES THINK THE COORDINATOR, AND THE BOARD CAN CALL IT WHATEVER THEY WANT TO, SHOULD BE IN THE CONTROL MORE OF THE BOARD TO OVERSEE AND MAKE SURE THE MSBU IS OPERATING AND FUNCTIONING PURSUANT TO THE ORDINANCE AND THE RULES AND REGULATIONS BY WHICH THEY SHOULD BE FUNCTIONING. HIS IDEA WITH RESPECT TO THIS COORDINATOR IS HE WOULD ADVISE, AT LEAST ON A MONTHLY BASIS AND HE WOULD EVEN THINK ABOUT ON AN EVERY OTHER FRIDAY BASIS OR EVERY OTHER TUESDAY BASIS THEY DESIGNATE SOME HOURS TO ACTUALLY GO OUT TO SUNNY HILLS WHEREBY IF YOU ARE A CONCERNED CITIZEN AND HAVE AN MSBU QUESTION, THEY KNOW EVERY FRIDAY FROM 8:00 A.M. TIL 12:00, THEY ARE AT A DESIGNATED LOCATION IN SUNNY HILLS AND ARE THERE TO ADDRESS MSBU ISSUES AND/OR ANY OTHER ISSUES. LET THEM DRIVE AROUND AND LOOK AT THINGS THAT HAVE COME UP OUT THERE. HE THINKS THAT WOULD BE A GOOD GESTURE WITH RESPECT TO ACCESS. WHOEVER THAT PERSON IS, HE KNOWS MR. HAGAN HAS KIND OF SERVED IN THAT CAPACITY. RIGHT NOW THEY DON'T HAVE AN ADVISORY BOARD SO IT IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT AND HE THINKS MR. HAGAN, OBVIOUSLY HE WILL LET MR. HAGAN SPEAK FOR HIMSELF, WOULD

PROBABLY THINK SOME OF THESE IDEAS WERE GOOD AND WOULD HELP OUT AT LEAST WITH COMMUNICATION SITUATIONS. BUT, REALLY, HE DON'T WANT TO

9-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 55

TALK TOO MUCH FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT BECAUSE THAT IS NOT WHAT HIS JOB IS HERE TODAY AND HE WILL LET THE BOARD BANGER THOSE TWO THINGS AROUND. BUT, WHAT HE REALLY SEES THE BOARD DISCUSSING AND HOPEFULLY HAVING A REAL GOOD DISCUSSION ABOUT TODAY IS WHAT ARE THE PROS AND CONS OF EXPEDITING AND HAVING A PLAN BY WHICH TO DISSOLVE THE MSBU AND/OR IS THE MSBU WORTH HAVING ESPECIALLY IF THEY CAN MAYBE GET IT ORGANIZED IN A FASHION WHEREBY THE PEOPLE THAT ARE AFFECTED BY IT FEEL BETTER ABOUT IT; NOT NECESSARILY LOVE IT, BUT FEEL THERE IS OPEN COMMUNICATION AND THEY HAVE SOME INPUT ON WHERE THE MONEY IS GOING AND HOW IT IS BEING USED AND THE PRIORITIES OF SUCH. HE SAID HE WOULD LOVE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD HAS WITH REGARDS ON HIS THOUGHTS OF EITHER OF THOSE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE KNOWS THE BOARD IS NOT HERE TO VOTE ON ANY OF THIS; BUT, HIS THOUGHT PROCESS OF THE MSBU IS IT HAS PROVIDED A LOT OF GREAT SERVICES, A LOT OF NEEDED SERVICES FOR THE PEOPLE IN SUNNY HILLS. OTHERWISE, THEY WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO RECEIVE THOSE SERVICES. HE THINKS THE COUNTY COMMISSION AT WHATEVER CAPACITY HAS FAILED THE MSBU. HE DON'T THINK THEY IMPLEMENTED RULES AND REGULATIONS AND HELD EVERYTHING ACCOUNTABLE; FOR WHATEVER REASON THAT WASN'T DONE. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE PERSONALLY THE MSBU TO MOVE FORWARD AND GO ON. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A LITTLE MORE MONEY PUT ON THAT DEBT. HE IS NOT GOING TO QUESTION WHY THE LOAN IS STRUCTURED THE WAY IT IS STRUCTURED; HE DON'T HAVE ALL THE RULES, ETC. IT IS NOT A LOAN HE WOULD HAVE SIGNED UP FOR BY ANY MEANS. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE A SEVEN MEMBER BOARD AND HE WOULD LIKE FOR IT TO BE THE CITIZENS OF SUNNY HILLS, PROPERTY OWNERS OF SUNNY HILLS. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEM MEET MONTHLY AND WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS BOARD IN SOME CAPACITY GIVE THE CITIZENS OF SUNNY HILLS AN UPDATE ON WHAT MONIES HAS BEEN SPENT, WHAT THE BALANCE OF IT IS, THIS HAS BEEN COLLECTED, THIS IS WHAT HAS BEEN ALLOCATED FOR THIS, FOR THAT, ETC. OFF OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BOARD OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS IN SUNNY HILLS. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT CONTINUED FORWARD.

10-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 56

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM HAVING READ A LOT OF THE

MINUTES FROM THE PREVIOUS BOARD THAT WERE IN PLACE AND IN JUST READING THE MINUTES, IT APPEARS TO HIM THERE IS A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF BULLYING THAT HAD TAKEN PLACE, ETC. HE DON'T KNOW WHY THAT WAS PERMITTED TO GO ON; BUT, HE DON'T THINK THE COUNTY COMMISSION AND THE BOARD HERE HAS DONE RIGHT AT THE POINT WITH THE MSBU. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT RESTRUCTURED, GIVE IT BACK TO THE PEOPLE AND LET THEM HAVE INPUT WHAT IS DONE WITH THE MONEY AND MOVE FORWARD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID, WITH RESPECT TO THIS, THE ONLY THING HE WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ALONG WITH THAT WITH RESPECT TO THE SIZE OF THE COMMITTEE, HE KNOWS IN THE PAST HE BELIEVES THE FIRST COMMITTEE IF HE IS RIGHT WAS A LARGER GROUP AND HIS TAKE ON BOARDS IS HE IS APPRECIATIVE OF EVERYBODY'S INPUT ON CIRCUMSTANCES AND IDEAS. BUT, HE HAS FOUND IN HIS EXPERIENCE IF YOU GET TOO MANY OVER SEVEN OR EIGHT PEOPLE ON A BOARD, IT IS HARD TO REALLY GET MUCH ACCOMPLISHED. WITHOUT RESPECT TO ANYTHING ELSE, THAT NUMBER IS A GOOD NUMBER FOR HIM AND HE THINKS IT NEEDS TO BE GREATER THAN FIVE AND HE WOULDN'T PUT IT MUCH OVER EIGHT. HE THINKS THAT IS A COMFORTABLE NUMBER THAT YOU CAN MANAGE THINGS. IF YOU GET TOO MANY CHIEFS, IT IS A DIFFICULT THING TO UNDERTAKE. THAT IS WHERE HE CAME UP WITH THAT NUMBER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD ATTORNEY GOODMAN HE HAD COME UP WITH SOME GOOD INFORMATION. COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHAT THE TOTAL OVERALL DEBT PER YEAR ON THE MSBU LOAN WAS. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE TOTAL DEBT AS THEY SIT HERE TODAY IS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN \$1.7 MILLION AND \$1.8 MILLION; HE HAS IT RIGHT NOW AT \$1.743 MILLION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED HE WANTED THE ANNUAL PAYMENT AMOUNT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE HAD IT RIGHT NOW AT \$155,000 BASED ON INFORMATION HE GOT FROM MS. DIANNE'S OFFICE. HE ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK TO PLEASE BE AWARE IF THEY LOOK AT THE LOAN STATEMENT, FROM TIME TO TIME THERE IS GOING TO BE A LARGER PAYMENT THAN THAT AND HE THINKS THE REASON IS THEY PUT SOME EXTRA FUNDS TOWARD THE PRINCIPAL. HE CAN'T TELL MR. BROCK THEY PAID JUST \$155,000. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE

11-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 57

IT IS LIKE HE IS PAYING HIS HOUSE OFF AND HE HAS A \$600 A MONTH PAYMENT; THERE MAY BE TIMES HE TRIES TO GET IT REDUCED QUICKER AND PAYS \$900. THE ANNUAL PAYMENT, AND HE BROKE IT DOWN IN THE LAST PERIOD, THE PRINCIPAL THAT WAS DUE WAS \$72,434 AND THE INTEREST THAT WAS DUE WAS \$82,333 FOR A TOTAL ANNUAL PAYMENT OF \$154,768.22. THAT IS WHERE HE CAME UP WITH THE \$155,000; HE ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST NUMBER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK, WITH THAT SAID, SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW WHO COULD ANSWER THIS QUESTION OR IF THERE IS ANYBODY HERE THAT CAN. HE ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN IS SAYING THE AD VALOREM TAX THAT IS COMING OUT OF SUNNY HILLS ON MSBU IS RUNNING AT ABOUT \$400,000 PER YEAR, GIVE OR TAKE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD PUT IT CLOSER TO \$450,000 THEY ARE BRINGING IN. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HIS QUESTION IS BETWEEN THE ANNUAL PAYMENT AND WHAT IS BEING TAKEN IN, IS THAT LUMP SUM ON

THE DIFFERENCE THERE BEEN GOING TO UPKEEP WHAT HAS BEEN GOING ON IN SUNNY HILLS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT IT WOULD BE GOOD FOR MR. HAGAN TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION SOMEWHAT. HIS ANSWER TO MR. BROCK WOULD BE "YES;" IN THE TIMES THEY HAVE NOT MAXIMIZED OR SPENT ALL THE MONEY THEY BRING IN, AT THOSE TIMES HE BELIEVES THERE HAS BEEN AN EFFORT TO PAY MORE TOWARD THE PRINCIPAL IN THOSE YEARS WHEREBY THEY HAVE HAD SOME EXCESS CAPACITY. HIS ANSWER TO MR. BROCK'S QUESTION HE THINKS IS "YES;" HE THINKS MR. HAGAN WOULD BE A LITTLE MORE SPOT ON WITH THE BUDGETING AND HOW THAT IS PAID OUT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY ARE POSSIBLY TALKING ABOUT \$200,000. IS THAT FOR LIGHTS, MOWING, ETC. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD PROBABLY PUT IT CLOSER TO \$300,000. HE REITERATED HE WOULD LET MR. HAGAN SPEAK TO THAT; HE THINKS IT IS CLOSER TO \$300,000 THAN \$200,000. IT IS PROBABLY SOMEWHERE BETWEEN \$250,000 AND \$300,000.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF ANYBODY KNOWS BEFORE THE LOAN WAS RESTRUCTURED WHAT THE PAYMENTS WAS. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID

12-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 58

IN MAY 31, 2004, THE MSBU PAID \$169,238 AND THEY PAID THAT SAME AMOUNT IN 2005, 2006, 2007 AND THE LOAN WAS RESTRUCTURED WHEN IT BALLOONED UP IN 2008. THE PAYMENTS ARE \$10,000 TO \$15,000 DIFFERENT RIGHT NOW IN WHAT THEY ARE PAYING; BUT, THEY WERE ALSO PAYING ON A LARGER PRINCIPAL BALLOON NOTE WITH A LITTLE BIT LESS INTEREST RATE. THAT IS WHY THEY EVEN OUT THERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED WHAT HE IS SEEING HERE, AS TIME GOES THERE IS GOING TO BE MORE DEMANDS FOR THE AREA OF SUNNY HILLS. THIS LOAN STRUCTURE IS WAY TOO FAR BECAUSE THERE IS GOING TO BE ROADS, OTHER ROADS, ROADS THAT HAVE BEEN BUILT THAT WILL HAVE TO BE RESURFACED. SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO CUT SERVICES TO PROVIDE SERVICES AND THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO LOOK AT IT TO SEE WHICH IS MOST IMPORTANT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. HAGAN HOW MUCH THE BILL FOR LIGHTING WAS IN SUNNY HILLS. MR. HAGAN SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE ANY IDEA; HE DON'T HAVE THE BUDGET. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SHARED HIS COPY OF THE BUDGET AND MR. HAGAN SAID IT APPEARS LIGHTING IS BUDGETED AT \$40,000 A YEAR.

MR. HAGAN SAID, ALONG WITH WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID, MSBU ALSO PAID OFF A COUPLE OF OTHER PAYMENTS THIS YEAR. THEY HAD SOME TRUCKS THAT HAD LONG TERM FINANCING THAT WAS PAID OFF AND ALMOST \$100,000 EXTRA WAS PAID TO THE ROAD DEBT. THE REASON THAT MUCH WAS AVAILABLE TO US THIS YEAR IS THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF THERE HAS BEEN VERY LITTLE DONE; THERE HAS BEEN VERY LITTLE GUIDANCE THAT HAS BEEN OFFERED FOR THINGS HANDED TO HIM IN A BOX AND A CRATE THAT WAS VERY UNORGANIZED AND NOT MUCH BETTER NOW. HE HATES TO USE THE WORD CONTROVERSY AND HE HATES TO USE THE WORD FUSSING; BUT, THE TURMOIL THAT SEEMS TO SURROUND THIS HAS CAUGHT EVERYBODY'S ATTENTION. THAT HAS BEEN THE FOCUS. MSBU HAS NOT BEEN THE FOCUS. GETTING SOMETHING DONE AND RESOLVED HAS NOT

BEEN THE FOCUS. TAKING SIDES AND CHOOSING OF, ETC. HAS BEEN THE FOCUS. HE THINKS THAT MADE MONEY AVAILABLE THAT SHOULD HAVE GONE TO PROJECTS PERHAPS; BUT, NO PROJECTS WERE IDENTIFIED. THE ADVISORY

13-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 59

BOARD WAS DISBANNED; THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ACTUALLY CHANGED, THE STRUCTURE OF THE COMMISSIONERS HAS CHANGED AND NO PROJECTS WERE FORTHCOMING. THEY WOUND UP WITH MONEY THAT WAS LEFT OVER. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PAYMENTS GOES TO ANYTHING ELSE. HE REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD SAID DOES IT GO FOR THIS, THIS, AND THIS. MR. HAGAN SAID THE DIFFERENCE GOES TO ANYTHING ELSE IN THE MSBU BUDGET WHETHER IT IS SALARIES, EQUIPMENT, FUEL, MAINTENANCE, STORAGE, PORTAPOTYS, LIGHT BILLS, ANYTHING OUT THERE. BUT, THERE NEEDS TO BE A PORTION OF MONEY DESIGNATED WHETHER THEY SAY THEY WILL TAKE 10%, 5% OR AN "X" DOLLAR AMOUNT, SET IT ASIDE AND THIS IS FOR EXPANDING PROJECTS IF THEY COME TO THE PLACE WHERE PROJECTS ARE EXPANDED. ONE OF THE THINGS, AND IF HE MISQUOTES MR. ANDERSON HE ASKED CHAIRMAN PATE TO LET MR. ANDERSON SPEAK AND CLARIFY THAT AS HE WAS OUT OF THE ROOM DURING PART OF HIS PRESENTATION THE OTHER DAY, HE THOUGHT MR. ANDERSON'S QUESTION RELATED TO THE ESCALATION OF THE MSBU ASSESSMENT. THAT ASSESSMENT DID GO UP; IT IS AUTHORIZED TO GO UP. ONE OF THE REASONS IT DID GO UP IS IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO REDUCE THE DEBT, THE QUICKER THEY COLLECT THE MONEY, THE QUICKER THEY PAY IT OFF. THE ESCALATION WAS PUT IN THERE AND THE RATE WAS AGREED UPON FROM THE BEGINNING. IT IS NOT LIKE THEY ARE JUST COMING ALONG AND ADDING A BUNCH OF MONEY. IF THE BOARD IS NOT GOING TO DO ANYTHING ELSE WITH MSBU, THE ANSWER TO MR. ANDERSON'S QUESTION THEN IS PERHAPS THEY SHOULD; BUT, AGAIN THERE WAS NO GUIDANCE TO DO THAT AND THE ORDINANCE SAYS IT ESCALATES 5% OR \$5.00. HE SAID HE WOULD HAVE TO READ THE ORDINANCE AGAIN TO SEE HOW MUCH IT WAS TO ESCALATE. THAT AGAIN IS ALSO GROWN WITH THE BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THOUGHT THE TIME MR. HAGAN WAS TALKING ABOUT THE BOARD AT THAT TIME WAS LOOKING AT PAYING THAT DEBT OFF BECAUSE THE PEOPLE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT DEBT IF SOMETHING HAPPENS. IF MSBU IS DONE AWAY WITH, WASHINGTON COUNTY IS LIABLE.

MR. HAGAN SAID ATTORNEY GOODMAN WOULD HAVE TO ADDRESS THAT;

14-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 60

BUT, HE HAS HEARD IT OVER AND OVER AGAIN THE PEOPLE OF THIS COUNTY WON'T BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT DEBT. THE MSBU STAYS IN PLACE. THE BOARD MAY JUST COLLECT THE MONEY AND APPLY IT TO THE DEBT OR THEY MAY PUT ALL \$450,000 ON THAT DEBT; BUT, IF THEY DO AWAY WITH THE MSBU AND DON'T ADD ANOTHER PROJECT, TAKE THE EQUIPMENT OUT, TAKE THE MEN OUT AND TAKE EVERYTHING ELSE, THAT SYSTEM STAYS IN PLACE UNTIL THAT DEBT IS PAID OFF. IT HAS TO STAY; THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE ANOTHER SOURCE OF REVENUE TO PLEDGE AGAINST IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE BOARD HAS NEVER BEEN TOLD THAT; THAT IS THE FIRST TIME THEY HAVE HEARD THAT. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE THINKS MS. THARP, GSG, WHEN SHE ADDRESSED THE BOARD SAID THAT.

MR. HAGAN AGREED AND SAID THE BOARD HAS BEEN TOLD OVER AND OVER AGAIN. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW AGREED THE BOARD HAD BEEN TOLD THIS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HERE AWHILE BACK SOMEBODY LOOKED INTO BORROWING AND THE MSBU DEBT HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE COUNTY'S BORROWING POWER AS FAR AS BORROWING MONEY, COLLATERAL, ETC. THAT IS USED AGAINST THE COUNTY AND THAT CAME INTO AFFECT HERE AWHILE BACK.

MR. HAGAN SAID THAT WAS PROBABLY A CREDIT CHECK OR THE COUNTY'S CREDIT RATING, ETC. AGAIN, HE DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT PORTION OF FINANCE; THAT IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT THAN CREATING A BUDGET. HE WOULD ASK ATTORNEY GOODMAN OR ASK CAMILLE WHY THAT WOULD BE BECAUSE THAT IS A DESIGNATED REVENUE AGAINST A DESIGNATED DEBT. HE DON'T KNOW WHY THE MSBU DEBT WOULD AFFECT THE GENERAL BORROWING POWER WITH THEIR AD VALOREM TAXES OR ANYTHING ELSE; BUT, HE DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT LENDING AND BORROWING PERHAPS.

MR. HAGAN THOUGHT ATTORNEY GOODMAN WAS SO ACCURATE IN THE FIRST PART OF HIS PRESENTATION, IT IS ALMOST LIKE HE HAS BEEN READING HIS MONTHLY REPORTS FOR THE LAST YEAR OR SO. HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THE BOARD READS IT AND DON'T KNOW IF MR. PITTS OR MR. HERBERT READ IT AND WE HAVEN'T MADE ONE IN THE LAST THREE MONTHS BECAUSE WE WAS SERVING AS THE ADMINISTRATOR AND DIDN'T DO ONE. MOST OF THE THINGS MR. GOODMAN JUST PRESENTED TO THE BOARD ARE ABSOLUTELY

15-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 61

PLANS AND IDEAS THAT HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO THE BOARD IN WRITING IN HIS MONTHLY REPORTS FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS, THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF. THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, CALL IT WHATEVER THEY WANT TO, AS FAR AS PEOPLE BEING INVOLVED OR INFORMED, HE BEGAN LAST YEAR EVERYTIME HE SENT THE MONTHLY REPORTS TO THE COUNTY MANAGER, HE SENT THE MSBU PORTION OF IT ALONG WITH THE BUDGET TO SUNNY HILLS TO THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION AND THEY PRINTED IT IN THEIR NEWSLETTER. HE DOESN'T KNOW WHO READS THE NEWSLETTER AND WHO DOESN'T. EVERY MONTH THERE IS A REPORT THAT IS MADE AVAILABLE AND A BUDGET THAT IS MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH A MEDIUM WITHIN THE COMMUNITY ITSELF. HE SAID THEY DID HAVE ONE MEETING DOWN THERE LAST YEAR THAT HE THOUGHT WENT VERY WELL; THEY DIDN'T RESOLVE A LOT OF THINGS BUT IT PUT SOME PEOPLE IN THE ROOM THAT ACTED CIVILLY AND SPOKE TO EACH OTHER AND SPOKE TO THEM. WHERE THERE WAS A COUPLE OF TIMES THEY GOT A LITTLE LOUDER, THEY TOOK

A TIME OUT, ETC. HE HAS SUGGESTED THEY WOULD HAVE MORE OF THOSE MEETINGS. HE THINKS WHAT MR. GOODMAN SAID ADDS TO THAT WHETHER HE IS GOING TO THOSE MEETINGS; BUT, THEY WILL INCLUDE A BOARD IF THE COUNTY COMMISSION CHOOSES TO DO ONE OR HE WILL GO DOWN THERE BY HIMSELF. HE HAS SPENT SOME TIME GOING AROUND RIDING IN THE COMMUNITY, GONE TO A FEW HOMES; HE DON'T FIND PEOPLE AT HOME SOMETIMES BUT HIS INTENTION IS IF HE STAYS THERE IS TO GO IN THERE, GO KNOCK ON THE DOORS AND LET PEOPLE KNOW WHO THEY ARE AND THEY ARE THERE TO HELP THEM AND DO THEY HAVE A QUESTION. PROBABLY IN THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF, AND SOME OF THEM COMES IN ON STICKY NOTES THAT MAY BE THROWN AWAY, SO IF HE SAYS A DOZEN, THAT MAY HAVE BEEN FIFTEEN OR EIGHTEEN, BUT, THEY PROBABLY GOT A DOZEN, MAYBE TWO DOZEN CALLS. THEY DON'T GET A LOT OF COMPLAINTS OUT OF SUNNY HILLS; THEY DON'T GET A LOT OF ISSUES. THEY HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GO OUT AND DO SOME THINGS THERE. MR. LUCHINNI TOLD HIM EARLIER THEY HAVE A LIGHT OUT AGAIN AT VOLTAIRE AND HIGHWAY 77 THAT HE WAS NOT AWARE OF. THE CRIME WATCH HAD BEEN COMMITTED TO LET HIM KNOW ABOUT THE LIGHTS, ETC. HE REITERATED THEY DIDN'T GET A LOT OF COMPLAINTS IN SUNNY HILLS; IF THEY DO GET

16-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 62

COMPLAINTS, THEY TRY TO RESPOND TO THEM AS QUICKLY AS THEY CAN.

MS. OKAS WAS PRESENT AT THE LAST MEETING. HE WENT OUT AND MET WITH HER THAT SAME AFTERNNOON. HE GOT MR. STANLEY TO COME OVER AND HE THOUGHT MR. CORBIN GOT INVOLVED; THEY HAD A WASHING SITUATION AND NEEDED A LITTLE BIT OF SOD. MR. STANLEY, MR. BARFIELD AND MR. CORBIN WAS ABLE TO RESPOND TO THAT. THE COMPLAINTS THEY GET ARE NOT MANY; MOST OF THEM HAVE BEEN CIVIL ON THE PHONE. THERE IS SOME PEOPLE UPSET; BUT, THAT IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO TRY AND WIN THAT PERSON AND SHOW THEM THE BOARD IS CONCERNED ABOUT THEM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED MR. HAGAN HAVING BEEN HERE SINCE THE MSBU WAS ADOPTED; THIS WAS A LEARNING PROCESS FOR EVERYBODY IN THIS ROOM, THE BOARDS AND THEY WERE REAL SKEPTICAL TO TAKE IT TO START WITH. THEY HAD TO GO BACK THE SECOND TIME AND FINALLY ADOPTED IT. IT HAS BEEN A WORK IN PROGRESS AND THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF GOOD COME OUT OF THE MSBU FOR THE PEOPLE OF SUNNY HILLS; A LOT OF GOOD. HE SAID HE WISHED ALL THE COMMUNITIES IN THE COUNTY COULD GET THE BENEFIT WHETHER IT IS HINSON CROSSROADS, SHELL LANDING, ALL THE OTHER AREAS, POPLAR HEAD.

MR. HAGAN TOLD THE BOARD ONE OF THE THINGS THEY HAVE TO REMEMBER ABOUT SUNNY HILLS, AND THEY HAVE BEEN TOLD THIS BY OTHER PRESENTERS, THEY HAVE ONE OF THE MOST UNIQUES MSBU'S IN THE STATE BECAUSE THEIRS IS MULTI-PURPOSE. ALMOST EVERY OTHER MSBU IS FOR A SINGLE PURPOSE WHETHER IT IS FIRE PROTECTION, WATER EXTENSION, ROADS, ETC. THE SUNNY HILLS/OAK HILLS MSBU WAS AUTHORIZED TO INCLUDE A NUMBER OF THINGS. THAT IS WHERE THE LIGHTING CAME IN, THE EXTRA MOWING, AND THINGS LIKE THAT. FROM THAT, HE THINKS A PRECEDENCE HAS BEEN SET THEY COULD EXPAND TO OTHER PROJECTS. HE ADDRESSED HIM HAVING NOTES ON THE ORDINANCE. IF THEY ASK MR. GOODMAN TO REDRAFT AN

ORDINANCE, WHAT NEEDS TO BE IN THAT ONE HE WOULD LIKE TO WORK WITH HIM. IF THERE IS ANOTHER COORDINATOR AFTER TODAY OR THEIR NEXT BOARD MEETING, HE WILL BE JUST LIKE HE WAS WHEN THERE WAS ANOTHER ADMINISTRATOR. HE WILL WORK WITH THEM EVERY WAY HE CAN. THERE IS

17-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 63

NO PRIDE OF OWNERSHIP HERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID TRY AND SATISFY THE PUBLIC. MR. HAGAN SAID IT IS AN INTERESTING OPPORTUNITY. SUNNY HILLS HAS A LOT OF ASSETS; THE PEOPLE ARE ASSETS TO THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID SUNNY HILLS REALLY HAS SOMETHING TO BE VERY PROUD OF AND AGREED THE PEOPLE OF SUNNY HILLS ARE AN ASSET TO THE COUNTY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD WHATEVER DECISION THEY DECIDE TO TAKE WITH THE FORK IN THE ROAD THEY ARE AT, LET HIM REITERATE EITHER WAY HE WOULD ADVISE THEY DRAFT A NEW ORDINANCE AND SET FORTH THE PLAN BY WHICH THIS BOARD WANTS TO SET FORTH IN A VERY COMPREHENSIVE, VERY DETAILED WAY. HE DON'T THINK HE WOULD BE PROPOSING TO AMEND ANY OLD ORDINANCES; HE WOULD PROPOSE TO START FROM SCRATCH.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID, AS HE SITS HERE THIS AFTERNOON THINKING ABOUT WHAT HE HAS SEEN OF THE MSBU, THE FIGHTING, HE SEES ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF FREINDS ON ONE SIDE OF THE BOARD ROOM AS HE DOES ON THE OTHER SIDE. WHATEVER HAPPENS, IT IS NOT GOING TO BE A QUICK FIX. EVEN IF THEY DO AWAY WITH THE MSBU, IT IS GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME TO GET EVERYTHING SET UP. FROM A BUSINESS STANDPOINT, YOU PUT MOST OF THE MSBU ASSESSMENTS TOWARD PAYING OFF THE DEBT AT SIX YEARS. IF THEY DON'T THEY WILL BE PAYING FOR SEVENTEEN YEARS HIGH INTEREST RATE. HAVING SAID THAT, THERE MAY BE SOMEWHERE IN BETWEEN HERE, THEY CAN LOOK AT THIS THING. HE TOLD THE FOLKS PRESENT WE HAVE A PROBLEM AND WE HAVE TO WORK TOGETHER; THE BOARD IS GOING TO TRY TO COME UP WITH SOMETHING BETWEEN NOW AND THEN AND HOPEFULLY IT WILL WORK. HIS OTHER CONCERN IS THAT THE FEELINGS ARE SO HARD ON BOTH SIDES IF THE BOARD PUTS AN MSBU OR AN INTERIM SOMETHING IN DOWN THERE, THEY ARE GOING TO GRAVITATE RIGHT BACK WHAT USE TO BE AND STATED TODAY AS A NON PAID COORDINATOR TO A PAID COORDINATOR AND THEN OTHER MONIES BEING SPENT DOWN THERE. YES, THE COUNTY SIGNED OFF ON IT; BUT, HE DON'T THINK IT WAS EVER APPROVED IN THE MINUTES ON MOST OF IT. HE WASN'T HERE. IT WAS NOT RUN WHEN

18-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 64

HE GOT ON THIS BOARD AS IT WAS SET UP TO RUN THE FRIST DAY. IF THE BOARD DOES IT, EVERYBODY IN THIS ROOM NEEDS TO DEDICATE THEMSELVES TO HELPING MAKE THIS THING RUN. THERE SHOULD BE NO CIVIC ASSOCIATION OR NO NOTHING ELSE WHEN IT COMES OR TAXPAYERS LEAGUE; IT SHOULD BE WHERE EVERY RESIDENT DOWN THERE OUGHT TO BE WORKING TO BRING SUNNY HILLS UP TO THE BEAUTIFUL PLACE IT COULD BE. THERE IS BEAUTIFUL PLACES DOWN THERE NOW; BUT, THE WHOLE PLACE COULD BE JUST A BEAUTIFUL PLACE. BUT, IT IS NOT GOING TO DO IT, AND HE DON'T WANT TO HURT ANYBODY'S FEELINGS HERE, BUT HE IS LOOKING AT THE NUCLEUS OF THE PEOPLE HE HAS LOOKED AT MOST OF THE TIME FOR THE 4.5 YEARS HE HAS BEEN HERE AND THAT ONE WAY HAS BEEN THE GOOD AND THE BAD. THE BOARD DOESN'T HEAR ANYTHING FROM THE REST OF THE PEOPLE DOWN THERE; SO, THIS GROUP RRIGHT HERE ALONG WITH THE BOARD IS GOING TO MAKE A DECISION THAT IS GOING TO AFFECT EVERYBODY ELSE DOWN THERE AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO LIVE WITH IT. IN 3.5 YEARS FROM NOW, HE WON'T HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT NONE OF IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO MAKE SURE HE KNOWS WHERE THE BOARD IS AT. WE AS A BOARD WILL VOTE THIS NEXT COMING BOARD MEETING HOPEFULLY WHETHER WE WANT TO CONTINUE WITH THE MSBU OR WHETHER WE WANT TO DISSOLVE THE MSBU.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WOULD LIKE THE DECISION ON THE MSBU POSTPONED UNTIL THE FOLLOWING MONTH SO WHOMEVER THE DISTRICT V COMMISSIONER IS GOING TO BE WILL BE INVOLVED IN THE DECISION. INSTEAD OF TAKING A VOTE, HE WOULD LIKE THAT PERSON INVOLVED.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THIS BEING AN INFORMATION GATHERING; THEY CAN CONTINUE TO DO THAT AND THE MOTION ON THE MSBU COULD BE TO PUT IT OFF UNTIL JUNE. THEY CAN'T VOTE TODAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF COMMISSIONER BROCK WANTED TO SEE IT ON THE AGENDA FOR THE JUNE BOARD MEETING WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO KEEP THE MSBU OR DISBAN IT AND WHATEVER THEY VOTE THEN WILL ALLOW THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO FOCUS ON WHAT THEIR OUTCOME OF THE VOTE WOULD BE.

19-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 65

COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED THAT WAS RIGHT; BUT, THE MAIN THING HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IS WHO EVER IS GOING TO TAKE THE POSITION OF COMMISSIONER V BE INVOLVED WITH THIS DECISION BECAUSE IT IS DISTRICT V.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHETHER IT IS MAY, JUNE OR JULY, WHATEVER THIS BOARD FEELS PRIVY TO DO SO, WHAT HE EXPECTS TO SEE HAPPEN IS MAYBE SOME FURTHER DISCUSSION IF NEED BE FOR THE NEW COMMISSIONER'S SAKE BETWEEN THE BOARD WITH RESPECT TO WHAT IS GOING ON. THEN OBVIOUSLY AT THAT POINT IN TIME, A MOTION AND A POSSIBLE SECOND AND A VOTE. HE WOULD ASK BEFORE THERE IS A VOTE THAT SOME CONSIDERATION BE GIVEN TO WHOEVER THE MOTION MAKER IS TO THINK ABOUT IF IT IS TO BE DISBANNED, HE THINKS IT IS A PRETTY EASY MOTION. IF IT IS TO BE REORGANIZED, HE BELIEVES JUST ANY SORT OF INFORMATION THEY MAY

BE ABLE TO GIVE HIM AS TO WHAT THE BOARD'S PREFERENCE IS ON ADVISORY COMMITTEES, WHO WILL SERVE AS THE COORDINATOR, THOSE THINGS NEED TO BE THOUGHT OUT AND BANGEROED IF NEED BE WHEN THAT MOTION IS MADE. WHAT THE BOARD DON'T WANT TO DO IS MAKE A MOTION TO DO SOMETHING AND SEND HIM AWAY AND PAY HIM TO DRAFT THIS ORDINANCE AND COME BACK AND HE IS KIND OF PEACE MILLING IT TOGETHER AND IT IS NOT TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE BOARD. SO AS MUCH TIME, CONSIDERATION AND DISCUSSION NEED BE IF IT IS REORGANIZATION ON HOW THEY WOULD LIKE IT REORGANIZED, HE THINKS THAT IS GOING TO BE IMPORTANT WHENEVER THAT MOTION COMES AND THE NEW COMMISSIONER IS IN PLACE. EVEN SO, THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME SORT OF GENERAL IDEA AND SENSE IF IT IS GOING TO BE A "LETS PUT IN A PLAN AND ORDINANCE TO DISTINGUISH THE MSBU;" HE DOES THINK THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME DISCUSSION OR THOUGHT FOR IF THERE ARE TO BE ANY AT ALL SERVICES KEPT IN AFFECT. ARE WE SHUTTING LIGHTS OUT, ARE THEY NOT GOING TO BE DOING ANY MOWING, HAVING FULL TIME STAFF, ETC.; BUT, HEY LETS KEEP THE LIGHTS ON AND HOW MUCH DOES IT COST TO KEEP THE LIGHTS ON FOR FIVE YEARS. THOSE ARE THE TYPES OF THINGS HE WOULD LIKE. IT MAY BE JUST CUT OFF ALL SERVICES AND PUT ALL MONEY TOWARD THE MSBU. WHATEVER THE MOTION IS BEFORE THE BOARD

20-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 66

HE THINKS THAT THOUGHT AND CONSIDERATION NEEDS TO BE GIVEN BEFORE THE MOTION IS MADE. THAT CERTAINLY WILL HELP HIM CREATE SOMETHING THE BOARD IS HAPPY WITH ADOPTING HOPEFULLY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T MIND TELLING ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF THE MOTION WAS MADE TO DO AWAY WITH THE MSBU AND THERE WAS NO PLAN AS TO HOW THAT MONEY WAS GOING TO BE SPENT OR WHAT KIND OF SERVICES THEY WOULD GET OUT OF IT, HE WOULD BE VERY UNHAPPY. HE TOLD THE FOLKS WHAT THEY SEE OUT THERE IS WHAT THEY SEE UP HERE MOST OF THE TIME. THAT IS JUST THE WAY HE IS. IF HE HURTS THEIR FEELINGS, HE IS SORRY FOR IT; MEANWHILE, HE MOVES ON AND TRIES TO TAKE CARE OF THINGS. BUT, MOST OF THE GROUP HERE TODAY HE KNOWS THEIR FACES FROM OVER THE PAST 4.5 YEARS. THIS GROUP RIGHT HERE IS WHAT HE GETS. HE SAYS DRIVING SUNNY HILLS; HE DON'T REALLY THINK SO BUT THEY ARE THE ONES THAT KEEPS THINGS GOING. WHATEVER THEY ARE GOING TO DO, HE ASKED THE PEOPLE TO GET TOGETHER AND START PLANNING SOMETHING FOR SUNNY HILLS AND GET IT DONE. THEN, LATER ON, HE HAS TOLD MANY OF THEM OUT THERE WHEN THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT MSTU'S OR GETTING INCORPORATED, IF HE IS STILL ALIVE AND THEY STILL WANT TO DO THAT, HE WILL GO TO TALLAHASSEE AND INTRODUCE THEM TO SOME OF HIS FRIENDS OVER THERE IN THE LEGISLATURE.

MR. HAGAN SAID IN MR. BROCK'S REQUEST IN CONSIDERATION OF A DISTRICT V COMMISSIONER, HE THINKS THAT IS WONDERFUL; BUT, EVEN TO SAY THEY ARE GOING TO VOTE IN JUNE HE AGREES WHETHER IT IS IN JULY OR AUGUST, ETC., THEY HAVE BEEN HERE AN HOUR AND IF THEY HAVE SOLVED EVERYTHING IN AN HOUR AND THEY ARE READY TO GO AWAY AND COME BACK AND MAKE A MOTION, IT HAS TAKEN THEM EIGHTEEN MONTHS TO GET TO THIS HOUR. HE THINKS THE BOARD CAN MOVE TOO QUICKLY IN ORGANIZING

THAT NEW ORDINANCE AND THINGS LIKE THAT. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO PUT A LITTLE THOUGHT INTO IT OR APPOINT MR. JOYNER OR SOMEBODY FROM THE BOARD, A LOT OF CONTACT WITH MR. GOODMAN AND HIMSELF IF HE NEEDS TO. HE DON'T THINK IT NEEDS TO GO UNTIL CHRISTMAS; BUT, IT IS NOT GOING TO COST US ANYMORE IF THEY DON'T VOTE OR COST THEM ANY LESS TO HURRY

21-BCC
05-09-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 67

UP. HE ASKED THEM TO MOVE CAUTIOUSLY IN COMING UP WITH DIRECTION THEY GIVE MR. GOODMAN.

CHAIRMAN PATE REQUESTED MR. JOYNER, ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND ANYBODY ON THE BOARD WHO HAS IDEAS ABOUT THE MSBU, FEED IT TO THEM AND LET THEM DRAFT SOMETHING. THE SAME FOR THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE; HE IS NOT GOING TO SAY THEY ARE GOING TO GET THEM IN EVERYTHING. BUT, IF THEY HAVE A GOOD IDEA, LET THESE FOLKS KNOW ABOUT IT. THEY HAVE BEEN LETTING THEM KNOW ABOUT IT ANYHOW SO THEY MAY AS WELL GO AHEAD AND DO THAT. BUT, THE BOARD WILL TRY AND TAKE A FINAL VOTE IN JUNE OR JULY. THEY HAVE TO DO IT BECAUSE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, THEY HAVE TO COME UP WITH SOME KIND OF WAY OF HOW THAT MONEY IS GOING TO BE SPENT AND COLLECTED.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN