

MAY 16, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

DEPUTY LEVI YAHN PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

PRESENTATION TO WILFORD COLEMAN/RETIREMENT-CHAIRMAN PATE REPORTED A PRESENTATION TO MR. COLEMAN WILL BE DONE AT THE MAY 26, 2011 MEETING.

ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES-MARCH 31, 2011. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW UPDATED THE BOARD ON MORE MINUTES BEING READY PRIOR TO THE MAY 26TH MEETING; THE MARCH 31, 2011 MINUTES WAS ALL SHE HAD READY TO PRESENT FOR TODAY.

CONSENT AGENDA:

A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR APRIL 2011 TOTTALLING \$2,042,435.66.

B. SMSC POSITION DESIGNATION-DESIGNATES POSITION OF PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR AS SENIOR MANAGEMENT AS PER ADVERTISEMENT, ALIGNING DIRECTOR POSITION WITH THE OTHER DIVISION POSITIONS RETIREMENT DESIGNATION.

C. CHANGE IN HOURS FOR LIBRARY DIRECTOR-LINDA NORTON'S HOURS WILL BE CHANGED FROM 40 HOURS TO 30 HOURS PER WEEK. ANOTHER EMPLOYEE WILL INCREASE THEIR HOURS FROM 27 HOURS PER WEEK TO 34, WITH NO PAY INCREASE INVOLVED.

D. LETTER OF CONCURRENCE FOR TOWN OF EBRO FOR POTABLE WATER SYSTEM.

E. HISTORICAL PRESERVATION COVENANT ON SHIPES-HOLLEY HOUSE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED DISCUSSION BE HELD ON CONSENT

AGENDA ITEM D. CHAIRMAN PATE UPDATED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ON THE TOWN OF EBRO REQUESTING THE BOARD SUBMIT A LETTER STATING THEY DON'T HAVE ANY REAL PROBLEMS WITH THEIR GRANT APPLICATION FOR A POTABLE WATER SYSTEM.

SHERRY TAYLOR, MAYOR OF EBRO, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE TOWN OF EBRO APPLYING FOR A GRANT/LOAN FROM USDA FOR A WATER SYSTEM FOR EBRO. AS PART OF THAT GRANT APPLICATION, USDA REQUIRES A LETTER OF CONCURRENCE FROM EBRO'S LOCAL GOVERNING BODY LETTING THEM KNOW THE BOARD IS IN AGREEMENT WITH THE TOWN OF EBRO APPLYING FOR THE GRANT AND THEY ARE AWARE IF EBRO'S GRANT APPLICATION GETS APPROVED, THEY WILL BE GETTING THEIR OWN CITY WATER SYSTEM. SHE ADDRESSED THE LETTER ALSO NEEDS TO STATE THE POTABLE WATER SYSTEM WOULD BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH WASHINGTON COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE FOR EBRO TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE POTABLE WATER SYSTEM PROJECT.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID HE HAD DISCUSSED THE CONCURRENCE ISSUE WITH MR. DERUNTZ AND HE IS GOING TO HAVE THE LETTER OF CONCURRENCE READY SHOULD THE BOARD APPROVE IT AT THEIR MAY 26TH MEETING.

MAYOR TAYLOR TOLD THE BOARD THE TOWN OF EBRO WAS ON A TIME FRAME. SHE REALIZES THE BOARD MEETING WON'T BE UNTIL MAY 26TH; BUT, EBRO'S GOAL IS TO BE READY TO SUBMIT THE APPLICATION JUNE 1ST. THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE BOARD'S LETTER BY MAY 27TH. THE 30TH WILL BE A HOLIDAY.

CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MAYOR TAYLOR IF THE BOARD HAS IT READY TO SIGN ON THE 26TH OF MAY, SHE COULD GET IT THAT DAY.

STACY WEBB UPDATED THE BOARD ON CONSENT AGENDA ITEM E. SHE ADDRESSED ON OCTOBER 21, 2010, THE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION COVENANT ON SHIPES-HOLLEY HOUSE WAS BROUGHT TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL. SHE GAVE BACKGROUND INFORMATION; THE SHIPES-HOLLEY HOUSE IN NEW HOPE WAS GOING TO BE TORN DOWN BASED ON THE WIDENING OF HIGHWAY 79. FL-DOT HAS OFFERED IT TO THE COUNTY. IN OCTOBER 2010, THE BOARD APPROVED TO GO AHEAD AND ACCEPT IT AND HAVE THE HOUSE ACTUALLY

HOUSED AT DANIELS LAKE FOR THE BEGINNING OF THE PIONEER SETTLEMENT. ALL SHE HAS NOW IS THE COVENANT THAT NEEDS TO BE SIGNED BASICALLY STATING THE COUNTY WILL BE TAKING CARE OF THIS STRUCTURE FOR TEN YEARS. FL-DOT WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MOVING THE STRUCTURE TO DANIELS LAKE. THE HOUSE WILL BE IN A HALF ACRE RADIUS SECTION THAT HAS ALREADY HAD AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDY DONE SO THERE IS NO ARROWHEADS OR POTTERY, ETC. OUT THERE. SHE IS COORDINATING WITH DAVID CORBIN TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE SITE; SOME AREAS WILL HAVE TO BE CLEARED BUT IT WILL BE MINIMAL, JUST ENOUGH TO MOVE THE STRUCTURE IN. FL-DOT IS GOING TO BE PAYING FOR THIS MOVE AND TO SET THE HOUSE UP AS FAR AS GETTING IT STABLE. SHE TOLD THE BOARD ALL THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO IS MAINTAIN THE HOUSE.

COMMISSIONER PATE REMEMBERED THIS ITEM COMING UP AND SOME DISCUSSION ON IT; HE THOUGHT THE BOARD HAD APPROVED IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHAT KIND OF COST WILL THE BOARD INCUR IN THE NEXT TEN YEARS.

STACY SAID SHE DIDN'T HAVE THE COST; BUT, THEY WOULD BE MINIMAL. THEY WILL HAVE THE AREA FENCED IN; A LOT OF THE COST WILL BE INCURRED UPFRONT. SHE IS WORKING WITH DAVID TO GET SOME FENCING. HOPEFULLY, THE PRISON WILL MAINTAIN THE SITE; KEEPING THE AREA CUT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF ANY STRUCTURE DAMAGE WAS DONE MOVING IT OR PUTTING IT UP, THE STATE IS GOING TO TAKE CARE OF THAT. STACY ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE BOARD WAS GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE A DIFFERENT WATER SOURCE TO PROVIDE WATER; IS THERE GOING TO BE AN ELECTRIC BILL.

STACY ADVISED THERE WOULDN'T HAVE TO BE ANY WATER OR ELECTRICITY; THE HOUSE WILL BE JUST SITTING DOWN THERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK FELT THE STATE SHOULD PAY FOR THE CLEARING; WHAT THE COUNTY DOES AT THE SITE SHOULD BE REIMBURSED BY THE STATE.

STACY AGREED SHE WOULD CHECK ON THIS AS SHE IS NOT SURE THE STATE WILL REIMBURSE THE COUNTY'S COST FOR CLEARING.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF THE HOUSE IS IN GOOD SHAPE NOW. STACY ADVISED THE HOUSE WAS IN GOOD SHAPE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE HOUSE IS TO BE PUT BACK AS GOOD AS IT IS RIGHT NOW. CHAIRMAN PATE ADDRESSED IF IT IS NOT IN REAL GOOD SHAPE, HE WAS WONDERING WHAT KIND OF DAMAGE MIGHT BE THERE.

STACY TOLD THE BOARD ALL THEY WOULD HAVE TO MAKE SURE THEY DO IS MAKE SURE THE HOME IS KEPT IN TACT; ONCE FL-DOT SETS THE STRUCTURE UP AND THE FENCING IS PUT UP AROUND IT, THAT DOESN'T MEAN VANDALISM WON'T OCCUR JUST BECAUSE THERE IS A FENCE. THE HOUSE WILL BE SET BACK IN THE WOODS; THE COUNTY WILL HAVE TO MAINTAIN IT AND PATROL IT LIKE IT IS NORMALLY PATROLLED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BELL HOUSE IS HISTORICAL TOO; BUT, HE DOESN'T KNOW IF IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED WHERE IT IS GOING TO.

STACY SAID FL-DOT HAD MENTIONED TO HER THERE IS ANOTHER STRUCTURE AND THEY ARE IN THE MIDDLE OF NEGOTIATIONS RIGHT NOW WHETHER THE FAMILY IS GOING TO KEEP THE HOUSE OR NOT. SHE KNOWS THERE IS ANOTHER STRUCTURE OUT THERE; BUT, SHE DON'T HAVE ANY PARTICULARS. IF THE BELL HOUSE DOES BECOME AVAILABLE, SHE WILL BRING THIS TO THE BOARD. SHE UPDATED THE BOARD ON SEVERAL YEARS AGO THE PIONEER SETTLEMENT IDEA CAME ABOUT; IT WAS A GRANDCHILD OF COMMISSIONER FINCH. SHE HAS FILED PROBABLY THREE OR FOUR GRANT APPLICATIONS TO GET THIS PROJECT FUNDED; HOWEVER, THEY NEVER APPROVED THE PROJECT BECAUSE THERE WAS NO FINANCIAL INVOLVEMENT WITH THE COUNTY. THEY WANTED TO SEE MORE OF AN INVOLVEMENT OF THE COUNTY VERSUS THEM JUST HANDING THE COUNTY MONEY. SHE EVEN TRIED TO GET GRANT FUNDING FOR PLANNING AND DESIGN; SHE DID HAVE A CONCEPTUAL DRAWING BUT THE FUNDING WAS NOT APPROVED. WITH THE SHIPES/HOLLEY STRUCTURE COMING IN AND THE PROMISE OF OTHER STRUCTURES POSSIBLY BEING AVAILABLE, THE AVAILABILITY OF APPROVAL OR POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF THAT GRANT IS MUCH GREATER THAN IT EVER HAS BEEN. SHE REALIZES MONIES ARE SCARCE IN TALLAHASSEE ESPECIALLY FOR THESE TYPE PROJECTS; BUT, IT IS WORTH PRESERVING, IT IS WORTH TRYING AND IT IS WORTH HANGING ONTO THESE STRUCTURES FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED IT WAS A START.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED EMORY, THE BUILDING OFFICIAL, IF HE HAD LOOKED AT THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE. EMORY ADVISED HE HADN'T LOOKED AT THE HOUSE.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF ROBERT PETTIS, BUILDING MAINTENANCE, HAD LOOKED AT THE HOUSE.

MR. PITTS TOLD CHAIRMAN PATE HE WOULD BE GLAD TO GO AND LOOK AT THE HOUSE. CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF HE WOULD BE ABLE TO GO BETWEEN NOW AND THE MAY 26TH BOARD MEETING AND REPORT TO THE BOARD ON WHAT KIND OF SHAPE IT IS IN. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS NOT LOOKING TO KILL THE PROJECT; HE WANTS TO KNOW HOW MUCH MONEY THE COUNTY WOULD BE LOOKING AT; TEN YEARS IS A LONG TIME AND THEY HAVE TO BUDGET THE MONEY FOR MAINTENANCE.

CHAIRMAN PATE STATED THERE WERE NO ITEMS LISTED UNDER AGENDA ITEMS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK, FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES, QUESTIONED THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT POSITION DESIGNATION WITH THE FLORIDA DIVISION OF RETIREMENT. HE REFERRED TO HIM KEEP SEEING LINDA NORTON, THE LIBRARY DIRECTOR, AND ANDY ANDREASON AT THE AG CENTER NOT ON THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT DESIGNATION. HE ASKED WHY SOME POSITIONS ARE AND SOME AREN'T.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD BROCK ON ANDY ANDREASON, HIS IS CONTROLLED BY THE IFAS PEOPLE, THE STATE. THE COUNTY PAYS PART OF HIS SALARY; BUT, THEY DON'T PAY ALL OF IT AND THEY DON'T SET THE CRITERIA.

HEATHER FINCH, HUMAN RESOURCE, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART THAT WAS ADOPTED IN 2008-2009. WHEN THIS WAS ADOPTED, THE BOARD DESIGNATED THE POSITIONS ACROSS AS DIVISIONS AND THOSE DIVISIONS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF HER POSITION AND THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR, WERE MADE SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND IT WAS BECAUSE OF THE LEVEL OF RESPONSIBILITY UNDER EACH OF THOSE POSITIONS. SHE SAID ANDY IS THROUGH THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA; THEY HAVE AN OPTION OF GOING UNDER THE COUNTY'S REGULAR RETIREMENT PLAN. BUT,

SHE BELIEVES ALL OF THEM WENT WITH OP.

HEATHER EXPLAINED THE REASON LINDA NORTON ISN'T DESIGNATED SENIOR MANAGEMENT IS BECAUSE SHE FALLS UNDERNEATH THE PUBLIC SERVICES DIVISION, WHICH IS DAVID CORBIN'S POSITION AND HIS POSITION IS DESIGNATED AS SENIOR MANAGEMENT. BY BEING AN AGENCY WITH A 100 OR MORE POSITIONS, THE COUNTY CAN HAVE TEN SENIOR MANAGEMENT POSITIONS PLUS THE POSITION OF COUNTY MANAGER. THEY CURRENTLY HAVE 11 POSITIONS; BUT, THEY ONLY HAVE THREE DESIGNATED RIGHT NOW. SHE WAS REQUESTING THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR BE DESIGNATED SENIOR MANAGEMENT BECAUSE THIS WASN'T DONE WHEN THEY DID THE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART BECAUSE THIS POSITION WAS VACANT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF HEATHER WAS SAYING LINDA NORTON DOESN'T FALL UNDER SENIOR MANAGEMENT AS A DIRECTOR. HEATHER ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT. SHE REITERATED SHE WAS A DIRECTOR AND SHE IS NOT SENIOR MANAGEMENT. THERE ARE SEVERAL DIRECTORS THAT AREN'T. LINDA WASN'T MADE ONE BECAUSE SHE FELL UNDERNEATH DAVID, THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC SERVICES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED; BUT, SAID HE THOUGHT THIS BOARD PUT MS. NORTON UNDERNEATH THE DIVISION OF PUBLIC SERVICES.

HEATHER SAID THAT WAS CORRECT AND THE BOARD CAN DESIGNATE UP TO 11 POSITIONS. WHOEVER THE BOARD WANTS DESIGNATED AS THAT, THERE IS A PROCESS; SHE HAS TO ADVERTISE IT IN THE NEWSPAPER AND COME BACK BEFORE THE BOARD AND SUBMIT A FORM. IF THAT IS THE BOARD'S DESIRE FOR MS. NORTON TO BE DESIGNATED SENIOR MANAGEMENT, AS MANY POSITIONS AS THEY WANT UP TO ELEVEN, SHE CAN DO IT FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WANTED TO BE FAIR WITH EVERYBODY AND THAT IS WHY HE WAS ASKING THESE QUESTIONS. HE DIDN'T KNOW. HE WANTED TO FIND OUT IF ANDY WAS IN SENIOR MANAGEMENT.

HEATHER SAID ANDY IS NOT DESIGNATED SENIOR MANAGEMENT; HE IS ORP THROUGH THE UNIVERSITY AND THEY HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE CONTROL OF THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS. ORP IS PROBABLY A LITTLE BIT MORE THAN REGULAR RETIREMENT IS; BUT, SHE WOULD GUESS IT IS NOT AS MUCH AS SENIOR

MANAGEMENT. THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE SOMETHING THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO WORK OUT WITH THE UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA BECAUSE THEY DO CONTRIBUTE A PORTION OF THEIR SALARY. SHE REITERATED SHE THOUGHT THEY HAD THE OPTION OF ORP OR THE REGULAR RETIREMENT PLAN.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID THERE MAY NOT BE BUT TWO EMPLOYEES AT THE AG CENTER THAT COME FULLY UNDER THE COUNTY. HEATHER ADVISED THERE WERE TWO; MELISSA AND NIKKI. HE DOES KNOW FOR A FACT ANDY COMES UNDER IFAS'S RULES, REGULATIONS, ETC. MOST OF HIS SALARY, ETC.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE LINDA NORTON DESIGNATED AS SENIOR MANAGEMENT BECAUSE THE BOARD PUT HER POSITION ON THE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART UNDER PUBLIC SERVICES, DAVID CORBIN.

DAVID ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON LINDA NORTON, IF THE BOARD APPROVES IT, WILL BE GOING TO THIRTY HOURS A WEEK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID MS. NORTON IS PHASING OUT AS HE UNDERSTOOD IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THIRTY HOURS WAS CONSIDERED FULL TIME.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING IS BOTH MS. NORTON'S FATHER AND MOTHER'S HEALTH IS NOT TOO GOOD AND MS. NORTON IS WANTING TO SLACK OFF AND SPEND A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME WITH THEM AND SHE HAS A CAPABLE ASSISTANT AT THE LIBRARY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID, FOR SOME TIME, HE HAS BEEN WONDERING HOW THIS HAPPENED. COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED ORIGINALLY THE PEOPLE WHO WOUND UP IN THOSE POSITIONS WERE PEOPLE WHO WERE CLOSE TO RETIREMENT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID MS. NORTON SHOULD HAVE BEEN ONE; SHE HAS BEEN HERE A LONG TIME.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID THE BOARD CAN TAKE COMMISSIONER BROCK'S REQUEST UNDER ADVISEMENT IF THEY WANT TO; BUT, THEY CAN'T HAVE EVERYBODY AT EVERY DEPARTMENT; THE NEXT THING YOU KNOW EVERY DEPARTMENT OUT THERE WILL BE A DIVISION DIRECTOR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE JUST HAD THE ONE, LINDA NORTON,

LIBRARIAN. WHATEVER THE BOARD DESIRES ON THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO TOTALLY UNDERSTAND WHAT IS BEING ASKED FOR HERE. IN FAIRNESS WHATEVER THE DEFINITION OF THAT IS, HE DOES WANT AN EVEN PLAYING FIELD. WHAT WOULD THIS ENTITLE MS. NORTON TO IF SHE IS MOVED TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT.

HEATHER EXPLAINED IT WOULD ENTITLE MS. NORTON A HIGHER CONTRIBUTION FOR RETIREMENT FROM THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. RIGHT NOW FOR A REGULAR EMPLOYEE, THE BOARD IS PAYING 10.77% IN ADDITION TO THEIR SALARY. FOR A SENIOR MANAGEMENT EMPLOYEE, THEY ARE PAYING 14.57%.

CLERK COOK TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WHAT THE DESIGNATION OF SENIOR MANAGEMENT WILL DO FOR MS. NORTON; WHEN MS. NORTON RETIRES, SHE WOULD BE ABLE TO DRAW MORE MONEY.

HEATHER SAID THE POINT OF DESIGNATING THOSE PERSONS UNDER SENIOR MANAGEMENT WAS BECAUSE IN THAT LINE, PUBLIC SERVICE, PUBLIC SAFETY, GROWTH MANAGEMENT, THOSE THREE POSITIONS WERE DESIGNATED. THE REASON BEING WAS THEY GOT A BUNCH OF PEOPLE UNDERNEATH THEM THEY HAD TO SUPERVISE AND THEY WERE GIVEN NEW TITLES. HOWEVER, THEY WERE NOT GIVEN ANY HOURLY MONEY IN ADDITION TO THEIR SALARY SO THEY WERE DESIGNATED SENIOR MANAGEMENT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY WERE REALLY LOOKING AT INCREASING 3.2% OF THE COUNTY'S PORTION OF THE RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTION. HE ASKED IF THAT IS STARTING TODAY MOVING FORWARD FOR MS. NORTON.

HEATHER STATED MS. NORTON WOULD START WHENEVER THE BOARD APPROVES IT; BUT, IT MAY BE THE NEXT BOARD MEETING. SHE WOULD HAVE TO PUT A LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT IN THE PAPER FOR TEN DAYS. SHE THOUGHT THEY COULD DO IT EFFECTIVE THE DAY OF THE BOARD MEETING; THAT WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF IT WOULD GO RETROACTIVE BACK FROM HER WHOLE CAREER. HEATHER ADVISED IT WOULDN'T BE RETROACTIVE; IT IS ONLY WHEN THE BOARD DECIDES TO MAKE IT SO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR CLARIFICATION, ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK

IF HE WAS ASKING FOR THE BOARD TO CONSIDER INCREASING THE COST OF MS. NORTON'S RETIREMENT BY 3.2%. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT IS WHAT HE IS ASKING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME NUMBERS ON THAT BEFORE HE COULD MAKE AN EDUCATED DECISION AND NOT TO DISMISS CHARLES; BUT, HE KNOWS THEY HAVE RESTRUCTURED AND THERE IS A STRUCTURE IN PLACE AND HE DOESN'T KNOW HOW THAT WOULD FALL. HE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT AS FAR AS WHAT DESIGNATING MS. NORTON AS SENIOR MANAGEMENT WOULD BE DOING TO THE COUNTY'S STAFFING PLAN IN MOVING FORWARD AND HOW THAT WOULD EQUATE. THEY HAVE A HEREAFTER ANOTHER EMPLOYEE WHEN MS. NORTON LEAVES. HE ASKED HOW LONG DID MS. NORTON HAVE BEFORE RETIRING.

HEATHER ADVISED MS. NORTON WAS HIRED IN 1992; BUT, SHE HAS MORE TIME WITH THE FLORIDA RETIREMENT SYSTEM BECAUSE SHE WAS A TEACHER PRIOR TO WORKING FOR THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THAT WAS THE ORIGINAL IDEA; THEY USE TO GO TO DEPARTMENT HEAD MEETINGS AND THERE WAS FIFTEEN TO TWENTY EMPLOYEES IN THERE AND THEY WERE YAH, YAH, YAH AND THEY DIDN'T GET ANYTHING DONE UNTIL AFTER LUNCH. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO KEEP IN MIND ANYTHING THEY DO TO RETIREMENT TODAY IS NOT GOING TO BE VALID IN JULY BECAUSE OF THE NEW RETIREMENT.

JIM TOWN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM SERVING ON THE COMMITTEE TO REDESIGN THE GOVERNMENT INTO FIVE DIRECTORATES. THE ORIGINAL CONCEPT WAS THERE WOULD BE FIVE PLUS THE COUNTY MANAGER POSITION; SO, THERE WOULD BE SIX. THE WHOLE JOB CLASSIFICATION, WAGE SCALE, ETC. IS BUILT WITH THE FIVE DIRECTORS AT THE TOP IN THEIR PAY GRADES. THE DISCONNECT WAS SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO WENT INTO THOSE POSITIONS, THEY PUT ON A SPECIAL PAY CHART BECAUSE THEY WERE PAID IN SOME CASES MORE THAN THE POSITION WOULD HAVE CALLED FOR AND AT THE TIME THEY RETIRE, THAT POSITION WOULD REVERT TO THE COUNTY'S WAGE AND SALARY SCHEDULE BUT THE JOB TITLE WOULD BE DIRECTORATE, WHICH PUTS IT IN THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT SCHEDULE. THEY ARE STILL ACTUALLY

IN THIS INTERIM PROCESS OF WORKING TOWARD THE SIX DIRECTORS. HE THINKS WHAT THEY ARE DOING WITH ROAD AND BRIDGE IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS TRANSITION PERIOD; THAT POSITION IS ONE OF THE FIVE DIRECTORS WHO RUN THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT ON THE BOARD'S BEHALF.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR WAS ONE OF THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT POSITIONS THAT WAS DASHED IN. PUBLIC WORKS IS THE LARGEST GROUP OF PEOPLE THE BOARD HAS.

MR. TOWN SAID, AS THE BOARD MOVES TOWARD HAVING THE SIXTH DIRECTOR POSITIONS FILLED WITH THE QUALIFICATIONS AT THE APPROPRIATE PAY GRADE, THEY THEN QUALIFY FOR THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND THAT IS AN ADDITIONAL REWARD FOR TAKING ON THOSE ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES. IT IS MORE THAN JUST CALLING SOMEBODY A DIRECTOR AND SAYING NOW YOU ARE ON SENIOR MANAGEMENT. HEATHER IS CORRECT THAT UP TO ELEVEN COULD BE APPOINTED; BUT, AT THE TIME THEY WORKED ON THE REDESIGN, THEY THOUGHT SIX WAS THE RIGHT NUMBER IN ORDER TO CREATE THE PROGRESSION FOR PEOPLE TO MOVE OFF IN RESPONSIBILITY AND PAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID A DIRECTOR HAS TO HAVE MORE THAN ONE OR TWO PEOPLE WORKING FOR THEM OR THREE OR FOUR.

MR. TOWN SAID THE ORIGINAL DESIGN TOOK CARE OF THAT; IT TOOK ALL THE DEPARTMENTS IN THE COUNTY AND PUT THEM INTO FIVE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES BASED ON WHETHER THEY WERE PUBLIC SERVICE ORIENTED, INFRASTRUCTURE ORIENTED, ETC. THEY DESIGNATED SIX FOR SENIOR MANAGEMENT; BUT, THE PEOPLE THAT WERE IN THOSE AT THAT TIME DIDN'T MEET ALL THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THE JOB SO THEY DIDN'T GET A PAY RAISE. THEY GOT A TITLE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT THE COMMITTEE COULD HAVE USED SEVEN POSITIONS; BUT, THEY CHOSE TO USE SIX. MR. TOWN SAID THAT WAS CORRECT; THE FIVE DIRECTORS AND THE COUNTY MANAGER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER PATE SAYING ROAD AND BRIDGE HAD THE BIGGEST MAJORITY OF EMPLOYEES. MR. TOWN SAID THAT WAS ONE OF THE FIVE DESIGNATED SENIOR MANAGEMENT POSITIONS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHO WOULD BE SECOND; DAVID CORBIN OR LINDA NORTON.

HEATHER SAID AS FAR AS NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, IT WOULD BE RANDY TRUETTE. THERE IS 42 OR 43 EMPLOYEES AT EMS.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED HOW MANY PEOPLE DOES LINDA NORTON HAVE WORKING UNDER HER; NOT HARDLY ENOUGH TO EVEN BE CALLED A DIRECTOR.

HEATHER EXPLAINED SHE IS CALLED THE HR DIRECTOR AND SHE ONLY HAS ONE PERSON THAT WORKS WITH HER; BUT, THAT IS WHAT THE JOB WAS ADVERTISED AT. SHE DOESN'T GET SENIOR MANAGEMENT EVEN THOUGH SHE IS ON THAT CHART; BUT, THE REASON SHE UNDERSTOOD AT THE TIME WAS BECAUSE THE REASON THE OTHERS GOT THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT WAS BECAUSE THEY WERE ACCEPTING MORE SUPERVISORY RESPONSIBILITIES. DAVID HAS TWENTY THREE EMPLOYEES IN FIVE OR SIX DEPARTMENTS; HE HAS TO KNOW FIVE OR SIX BUDGETS AND THEIR NEEDS, ETC. HE HAD A LOT MORE RESPONSIBILITY TO TAKE ON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID DAVID IS PUBLIC SERVICES. HEATHER AGREED AND SAID ROGER HAGAN WAS DESIGNATED AS PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR AND WAS GIVEN SENIOR MANAGEMENT. PUBLIC WORKS WAS ONE AND GROWTH MANAGEMENT.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED EMORY PITTS WAS GROWTH MANAGEMENT AND HAD THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT, PLANNING DEPARTMENT, GRANTS AND CODE ENFORCEMENT.

MR. TOWN REITERATED THERE WAS A METHOD THREE YEARS AGO WHEN THE ORGANIZATION CHART WAS SET UP SO THE PEOPLE WHO CARRIED THE HEAVIEST RESPONSIBILITY LOAD NOT ONLY WERE DESIGNATED AS DIRECTORS; BUT, THEY QUALIFIED FOR THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT SYSTEM RETIREMENT.

HEATHER EXPLAINED IT DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THEIR TITLE AND BEING CALLED A DIRECTOR; THAT IS NOT WHY THEY GOT THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT POSITION. IT WAS BECAUSE OF THE NUMBER OF RESPONSIBILITIES THEY WERE GIVEN OR ADDED TO THEM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED, SINCE DAVID IS OVER THE LIBRARY

AND MS. NORTON IS OVER THE LIBRARY, HOW WOULD THAT WORK.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID DAVID IS OVER THE LIBRARY AND MS. NORTON RUNS THE LIBRARY UNDER CERTAIN RULES AND REGULATIONS.

MR. TOWN SAID THEORETICALLY, AND THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T TRY AND DISRUPT THE TITLE STRUCTURE OR THE GRADE STRUCTURE, ANYBODY THAT WAS PAID MORE THAN THE JOB THEY WERE IN WERE PUT ON A SEPARATE PAY SCALE UNTIL THEY RETIRED. CONCEPTUALLY WITHIN THE PUBLIC SERVICES DIRECTORATE WHICH IS HEADED BY DAVID, THE LIBRARY MANAGER WOULD BE CALLED A MANAGER OR A COORDINATOR OR A TITLE LESS THAN THAT. THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T MESS WITH THE TITLES; SO THEORETICALLY, NO ONE BUT THOSE SIX PEOPLE WOULD BE CALLED DIRECTORS AND THEY WOULD BE ON THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT MAKES PERFECTLY GOOD SENSE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED HOW MANY PEOPLE WORKED FOR THE LIBRARY. DAVID ADVISED THERE WERE SIX THERE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT IS THE REASON THEY WERE PUT THERE BECAUSE IT WAS A SMALL DEPARTMENT; A COLLECTION OF SMALL DEPARTMENTS.

MR. TOWN EXPLAINED THE BOARD HAS THE FLEXIBILITY WITHIN THEIR PAY AND JOB CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM TO RECLASSIFY THE JOB AND GIVE A DIFFERENT INCOME IF THEY CHOSE TO AS OPPOSED TO TITLE. A DIFFERENCE IN INCOME OF COURSE WOULD INCREASE HER CONTRIBUTION TO RETIREMENT UNDER THE SYSTEM SHE IS IN NOW; BUT, IT WOULD HAVE A MINIMAL IMPACT ON THE COUNTY BECAUSE SHE WOULD STILL BE WITHIN THE EXISTING PAY SCHEDULES AND NOT ON THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. THEY HAVE THE FLEXIBILITY WITHIN THE SYSTEM TO REWARD PEOPLE; BUT, THE DIRECTOR POSITIONS NEED TO BE RESTRICTED TO THOSE SIX PEOPLE.

COMMISSIONER PATE DIDN'T KNOW IF THE BOARD WANTED TO OPEN UP A CAN OF WORMS ADJUSTING PAY SCALES. MR. TOWN SAID THAT IS A BOARD DECISION; BUT, THERE IS A FLEXIBILITY IN THE SYSTEM IF THEY CHOOSE TO USE IT.

HEATHER SAID THE BOARD HAS TO DECIDE WHAT THE QUALIFIER IS FOR SENIOR MANAGEMENT; IF THEY WANT THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT QUALIFIER TO

BE THE PEOPLE THAT FILL THOSE POSITIONS ON THE CHART, THAT IS PRETTY MUCH WHERE IT IS NOW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THANKED HEATHER AND MR. TOWN FOR EXPLAINING THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT DESIGNATION TO HIM.

BID AWARDS-CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON ONE BID AWARD AND THEY ARE GOING TO DEFER FROM AWARDING IT THIS MORNING OR AT THEIR COMMISSION MEETING COMING UP UNTIL THEY CAN GET SOME THINGS STRAIGHT WITH FEMA. HE REPORTED THE COUNTY HAD A NUMBER OF FEMA MITIGATION PROJECTS THEY PUT OUT FOR BID; THERE WERE 14 TOTAL. ON THE LARGE PROJECTS THEY HAD MITIGATION DAMAGE ON, THE COUNTY WAS ENTITLED UP TO 100% OF THAT PROJECT COST FOR MITIGATION. FEMA MITIGATION BASICALLY MEANS THEY ARE GOING TO IMPROVE THE CONDITION OF THAT ROAD, CULVERT, CREEK CROSSING, ETC. TO TRY TO PREVENT ANY DAMAGE FROM FUTURE STORMS. ALL THESE PROJECTS WERE HAZARD MITIGATION IN VARIOUS FORMS; 70% OF THE ENTIRE PROJECT WAS RIP RAP, SOME OF IT WAS REPLACING CULVERTS WITH BIGGER CULVERTS THAT WILL HANDLE A LARGER STORM, SOME OF IT WAS LOW WATER CROSSINGS IN AREAS WHERE YOU COULDN'T REALLY FIT A CULVERT THAT IS BIG ENOUGH TO HANDLE A 100 YEAR EVENT. YOU ACTUALLY CHANGE THE PROFILE OF THE ROAD AND ALLOW THE WATER TO COME OVER THE ROAD AND HAVE THE SHOULDER PROTECTED SO THERE IS NO DAMAGE TO THE ROAD AFTER A STORM OCCURS AND WATER COMES OVER IT. AS THEY WENT THROUGH ALL THESE FEMA PROJECTS, THERE WERE SOME AREAS WHERE THEY KNEW THE COUNTY HAS BEEN TRYING TO DO SOME THINGS AND THEY FELT LIKE IF THEY ADDED THOSE TO THESE BIDS, THEY MIGHT GET A GOOD ENOUGH PRICE WHERE THE BOARD MIGHT WANT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH IT. THEY COORDINATED THESE WITH MR. BARFIELD. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF CREEK ROAD; THERE ARE SOME CULVERTS THAT CROSSES THE ROAD AND IT IS ONLY ABOUT 1.5' FROM THE EDGE OF THE TRAVEL LAND TO THE END OF THE HEADWALL AND THE HEADWALL IS RIGHT ON THE EDGE OF THE ROAD. FEMA GAVE THE COUNTY MONEY FOR RIP RAP; BUT, THEY DIDN'T GIVE ANY MONEY TO EXTEND THE CULVERTS ANOTHER 5' TO 6' SO THEY HAD A SAFER SITUATION THERE. FOR EXAMPLE ON THE BID TABULATION THE BOARD

WAS PROVIDED, ALTERNATE ONE WAS TO EXTEND THE CULVERTS ON CREEK ROAD AND PUT UP GUARD RAIL ON THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT. NOT BECAUSE FEMA SAID THEY WERE GOING TO EXTEND THE CULVERTS; BUT, BECAUSE THEY KNEW IT NEEDED TO BE DONE AND IT WAS SOMETHING THEY TALKED ABOUT DOING PROBABLY FOR FIVE TO SIX YEARS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HOW WIDE IS CREEK ROAD. CLIFF ADVISED IT WAS 20' PAVED ROAD. THEY ARE TRYING TO DO ALL THE NEW ROADS 22' WIDE; EVERY ROAD THAT THEY CAN. THERE ARE A LOT OF ROADS THAT ARE PAVED IN THE COUNTY THAT ARE 18'.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND EXPLAINED CREEK ROAD PAVING WAS DONE BEFORE HE CAME ON THE BOARD FROM MR. HALLS DADDY'S PLACE BACK TOWARD HIGHWAY 284.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THERE WERE HEADWALLS STICKING UP 1.5' OFF THE ROAD. CLIFF EXPLAINED IT WAS NOT STICKING UP; IT IS FLUSH WITH THE PAVEMENT ELEVATION. BUT, THERE IS A 5' DROPOFF PROBABLY 2' OUTSIDE THE EDGE OF PAVEMENT. COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THERE IS NOT ENOUGH ROOM THERE TO EVEN PUT UP GUARD RAIL.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REITERATED THIS PROJECT WAS DONE PRIOR TO HIM COMING ON THE BOARD; BUT, HE HAS BEEN WORKING TRYING TO GET THE WORK CLIFF BID OUT FOR A LONG TIME SINCE HE CAME ON BOARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF FEMA WAS GOING TO GIVE THE COUNTY A GRANT TO GO IN THERE AND DO THE WORK.

CLIFF TOLD ABBOTT FEMA WAS NOT GOING TO GIVE THE COUNTY ANY MONEY; THEY WERE ALREADY DOING WORK AT THAT CULVERT CROSSING WITH FEMA MONEY. FEMA WAS GIVING THEM SOME RIP RAP. THEY BROUGHT IT TO MR. BARFIELD'S ATTENTION THERE WAS A PROBLEM AT THIS SAME LOCATION AND IT WOULD BE GOOD IF THEY COULD EXTEND THE PIPE OUT AND EXTEND THE HEADWALLS OUT. SO, THEY INCLUDED IT WITH THESE BIDS AS AN ALTERNATE ITEM WHERE IF THE COUNTY SAID THEY WOULD LIKE TO MOVE FORWARD AND GET THAT DONE WHILE THEY WERE GETTING THE RIP RAP IN, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO PULL THE TRIGGER AND MOVE FORWARD TO GET IT

DONE. IT IS NOT SOMETHING THE BOARD HAS TO AWARD AND IT IS NOT SOMETHING FEMA IS PAYING FOR; BUT, IT IS SOMETHING THEY KNEW NEEDED TO BE DONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR CLARIFICATION, SAID ALTERNATE I IS NOT BEING PAID FOR BY FEMA; SO THEY ARE LOOKING AT GETTING INTO THE COUNTY COFFER TO GET THIS FIXED.

CLIFF EXPLAINED IF THEY WERE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH IT, IT WOULD COME OUT OF THE COUNTY COFFER.

CLIFF EXPLAINED ALTERNATE II IS THE SAME THING. IT IS PINE LOG ROAD. FEMA IS PAYING TO PUT A MUCH LARGER CULVERT IN THAN WHAT IS THERE RIGHT NOW; BUT, FOR SOME REASON FEMA DIDN'T GIVE THEM ANY RIP RAP AT THE CREEK CROSSING. IT IS A PRETTY MAJOR CREEK CROSSING AND THERE IS NO RIP RAP AT ALL ON THE FEMA PW. THEY FELT LIKE IT NEEDED TO HAVE SOME RIP RAP IN THERE AND SOME GUARD RAIL PUT IN THERE. THEY PUT THOSE TWO ITEMS IN THERE AS AN ALTERNATE BID ITEM AS WELL. IF THE COUNTY DECIDES THEY CAN'T AFFORD IT OR WHATEVER, IT WOULD BE THE BOARD'S OPTION.

CLIFF ADDRESSED ALTERNATE III IS THE SAME KIND OF DEAL ON YATES SETTLEMENT ROAD. ALTERNATE III IS DIFFERENT THAN ALTERNATES I AND II BECAUSE IT IS FEMA MONEY FOR RIP RAP; BUT, THE FUNDS HAVE NOT BEEN OBLIGATED. THE COUNTY HAS A PW FOR "X" AMOUNT OF DOLLARS TO PUT IN RIP RAP ON THIS ROAD; BUT, FEMA HASN'T OBLIGATED THE FUNDS MEANING THAT THEY CAN'T COLLECT A CHECK. THEY WENT AHEAD AND PUT THAT IN AS AN ALTERNATE BID BECAUSE THEY WERE HOPING BY THE TIME THEY GOT READY TO AWARD THE BIDS, THOSE FUNDS WILL HAVE BEEN OBLIGATED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAS A STUPID QUESTION OR HE THINKS IT WOULD BE A STUPID QUESTION. HE ASKED WHY ARE THEY BIDDING JOBS WHEN THEY DON'T HAVE MONEY ALLOCATED TO DO THE JOB WITH. AT WHAT POINT WAS IT APPROVED TO ADVERTISE THIS JOB FOR BID.

CLIFF SAID THE BOARD GAVE HIM AUTHORIZATION TO MOVE FORWARD WITH ADVERTISING THIS JOB. AS THEY WERE PUTTING TOGETHER BIDDING AND CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, THEY REALIZED THERE WERE PROJECTS THE COUNTY HAS

BEEN TRYING TO GET DONE FOR A LONG TIME. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID SO THEY ADDED THESE ALTERNATES TO THE BID.

CLIFF ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT AND IT WAS COORDINATED WITH MR. BARFIELD. THEY DID IT BECAUSE THEY COULD SAVE ON MOBILIZATION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WHAT THE COUNTY ACTUALLY AUTHORIZED WAS THE FEMA WORK WHICH WAS ALTERNATE #3; BUT, MR. BARFIELD OR WHOMEVER HAS WENT IN THERE AND ADDED ALTERNATES #1 AND #2 BECAUSE IT NEEDED TO BE DONE. NOT THAT THEY COULD DO IT OR NOT THAT THEY HAVE FUNDS ALLOCATED; BUT, POSSIBLY THEY MIGHT WANT TO ADD THAT.

CLIFF SAID THAT WAS CORRECT; THAT IS WHY IT WAS SET UP AS AN ALTERNATE BID ITEM AND NOT IN THE BASE BID. IT IS REALLY LIKE A MENU SO THE BOARD CAN PICK AND CHOOSE WHAT THEY WANT TO DO. CLIFF SAID HE CALLS THIS BUILDING EQUITY INTO THEIR PROJECT. IF THEY ALREADY HAVE A CONTRACTOR HAULING RIP RAP DOWN THERE AND THEY CAN SAVE MOBILIZATION COSTS BY GETTING HIM TO EXTEND THE CULVERTS WHILE HE IS THERE, IT IS USUALLY SMART BUSINESS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THIS HAS BEEN ONE OF THE BIG PROBLEMS HE HAS NOTICED EVER SINCE HE HAS BEEN ON THE BOARD; THEY GO OUT THERE AND DO SOMETHING AND THEY DIDN'T HAVE MONEY COMING IN FROM FEMA OR SOMEWHERE ELSE AND THEY LEAVE SOMETIMES SOMETHING BECAUSE IT IS JUST A BIG OF PROBLEM SOMEWHERE ELSE. THEY NEED TO TRY AND GET THESE THINGS FIXED IF THEY CAN. THERE IS NOBODY IN HERE THAT UNDERSTANDS WE ARE SHORT OF MONEY ANY BETTER THAN HE DOES. BUT, IF THEY CAN AND IT WILL BE ON A PROJECT BY PROJECT BASIS. THEY NEED TO FIX EVERYTHING THEY CAN AND THEN THEY WILL BE THROUGH WITH IT. IT SHOULD SAVE THEM A LOT OF MAINTENANCE MONEY.

CLIFF SAID COMMISSIONER ABBOTT'S ARGUMENT IS GOING TO HAVE A LOT MORE MERIT WHEN HE TELLS THE BOARD THE REST ABOUT THIS BID. HE REITERATED 70% OF THIS PROJECT IS FOR RIP RAP. THEY WENT AROUND AND AROUND WITH THE FEMA GUYS ABOUT THE COST OF RIP RAP. IT IS AN ALABAMA CLASS II RIP RAP WHICH IS WHAT THEY USE AT ALL THEIR CREEK CROSSINGS AND IT IS OVER D2 FILTER FABRIC. THEY PROVIDED THE FEMA

GUYS COPIES OF BIDS FROM PROBABLY TEN DIFFERENT JOBS WHERE THEY GOT RIP RAP INCLUDED IN THEIR PROJECTS AND THE COST HAS ALWAYS BEEN BETWEEN \$70 AND \$90 A SQUARE YARD. THE FEMA GUYS DECIDED THEY WOULD GIVE THE COUNTY \$50 A SQUARE YARD WHICH IS ROUGHLY HALF OF WHAT THEY TOLD THEM THE COST IS. THE LOW BIDDER'S COST ON RIP RAP ON ALL THE JOBS WAS \$85 A SQUARE YARD. FEMA HAS GIVEN THE COUNTY AN ALLOCATION OF \$50 A SQUARE YARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHERE IS FEMA GETTING IT FOR \$50 A SQUARE YARD AND ASKED IF THEY COULD GET AN ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION.

CLIFF SAID THEY ARE WORKING ON AN ANSWER RIGHT NOW. FEMA HAS A FEMA COST CODE SHEET THEY GO BY; BUT, USUALLY IT IS SO OLD IT IS COMPLETELY IRRELEVANT TO THE AREA YOU ARE WORKING IN. THAT IS WHAT THEY TRIED TO MAKE AN ARGUMENT WITH FEMA ON THE FRONT END; THEY WERE WANTING TO GIVE THE COUNTY \$50 A SQUARE YARD, THE COUNTY SHOWED THEM COPIES OF TEN BIDS THEY HAD DONE RECENTLY THAT SHOWED FEMA THAT WAS IMPOSSIBLE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CLIFF IF HE WAS TELLING THE BOARD FEMA WON'T ACCEPT THIS LOW BID AS A CONTRACT BY THEIR GUIDELINES.

CLIFF SAID THEY HAVE A REAL GOOD ARGUMENT RIGHT NOW. THEIR ARGUMENT IS THE MAJORITY OF THESE ARE LARGE PROJECTS AND FEMA HAS TO PAY BASED ON THE ACTUAL COSTS FOR THE LARGE PROJECTS. FOR EXAMPLE, EVEN THOUGH THEIR \$50 A SQUARE YARD WAS THEIR ESTIMATE, THE COUNTY HAS PUT IT OUT FOR BID AND ARE ABLE TO SHOW IT IS REALLY \$85 A SQUARE YARD. BUT, THEY HAVE TO HAVE FEMA'S APPROVAL BEFORE THEY AWARD IT AND MOVE FORWARD. THEY ARE GOING TO GET FEMA TO BLESS THIS LOW BID; ONCE FEMA BLESSES IT, THE COUNTY WILL BE IN A POSITION TO AWARD IT AND MOVE FORWARD. BUT, THE COUNTY DON'T WANT TO BE OUT THERE HANGING ON A LIMB FOR \$30 PLUS A SQUARE YARD WHEN 70% OF THE JOB IS RIP RAP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHO IS GULF GROUP, INC. CLIFF SAID HE HAS NEVER HEARD OF THEM BEFORE; BUT, APPARENTLY THEY ARE HERE LOCALLY. THEY ARE HERE IN TOWN SOMEWHERE AND HE MET THE GENTLEMAN

FROM THE COMPANY AT THE BID OPENING. HE DON'T KNOW WHO THEY ARE; BUT, APPARENTLY THEY ARE A LOCAL CONTRACTOR.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE GUM CREEK FARMS IS THE BIG TIMBER COMPANY. CLIFF SAID THEY ARE A LOCAL CONTRACTOR IN DEFUNIACK SPRINGS AND THEY MOSTLY BID SMALLER TYPE PROJECTS. THEY HAVE DONE A LOT OF JOBS FOR HIM IN DIFFERENT PLACES. THEY HAVE DONE QUITE A FEW JOBS HERE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED CLIFF, PROVIDING THE COUNTY GETS THE FUNDING, WHICH ONE WOULD HE RECOMMEND. CLIFF SAID GULF GROUP, INC. IS THE LOW BIDDER. PREBLE RISH HAS ASKED THEM FOR SOME INFORMATION ON SOME JOBS THEY HAVE DONE SO THEY CAN CHECK WITH SOME OF THEIR REFERENCES TO MAKE SURE THERE IS NO ISSUES THERE. GULF GROUP IS WHO THEY WOULD RECOMMEND TO MOVE FORWARD WITH.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT WOULD HAVE TO BE LOW BIDDER IF FEMA ACCEPTS IT SO IT WOULD HAVE TO BE GULF GROUP.

CLIFF SAID GULF GROUP IS THE LOWEST RESPONSIBLE BIDDER AS LONG AS THESE GUYS AREN'T A FLY BY NIGHT SCENARIO; WHICH, THEY DIDN'T APPEAR TO HIM TO BE AT ALL. THEY LOOK LIKE A PRETTY REPUTABLE FIRM.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THEY ARE GOING BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD, SEND ALL THE BIDS TO FEMA, LET FEMA REVIEW IT AND ONCE FEMA GIVES PREBLE RISH THUMBS UP, THEY WILL BE BACK BEFORE THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID THURSDAY IF THEY DON'T HAVE AN OKAY FROM FEMA, THEY WON'T DISCUSS THIS. CLIFF SAID THAT IS CORRECT AND HE WILL BE SHOCKED IF THEY HAVE AUTHORIZATION FROM FEMA BY THURSDAY.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE UTILITIES BEING MOVED ON BAHOMA ROAD; HOPEFULLY BY THE END OF TODAY OR TOMORROW MORNING THEY WILL BE COMPLETE.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE SMALL COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM WITH FL-DOT. THAT IS WHAT BAHOMA ROAD IS BEING BUILT UNDER RIGHT NOW. THE DUE DATE FOR A GRANT SUBMITTAL IS SOMETIME IN JUNE. HE DON'T HAVE THE EXACT DUE DATE WITH HIM. HE DIDN'T BRING IT UP FOR DISCUSSION AT THEIR LAST BOARD MEETING BECAUSE THEY WERE FIGHTING OVER SCRAP AND SCOP AND HE DIDN'T WANT TO MUDDY THE WATER WITH ANOTHER PROGRAM. BUT, IT IS ALSO A 50/50 MATCH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD CLIFF THE BOARD ISN'T FIGHTING ANYMORE; THEY ARE GOING OFF THE LIST.

CLIFF TOLD THE BOARD IF THERE WERE ANY ROAD PROJECTS THEY WANTED TO THINK ABOUT TO CONSIDER FOR THE SCIGP FUNDING, PREBLE RISH CAN WORK ON COST ESTIMATES FOR THEM AND CAN PREPARE ANYTHING THE BOARD WOULD LIKE FOR THAT SUBMITTAL. CERTAINLY IF THE SCIGP IS SOMETHING THE BOARD DOESN'T WANT TO MESS WITH, THAT IS THEIR PREROGATIVE AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE COUNTY WOULD BE FIVE TIMES BETTER OFF TO GO WITH A CDBG GRANT THAN MESSING WITH THE SCIGP; WITH CDBG, THEY GET 80%.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF THE COUNTY IS UTILIZING THE CDBG GRANT, THAT IS JUST ONE POT. THEN YOU HAVE THE OTHER GRANTS AND NOW THE SCIGP; THEY CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH ALL THREE GRANTS AT THE SAME TIME. BUT, THEY CAN'T HAVE BUT ONE CDBG GOING AT THE TIME.

CLIFF SAID THAT WAS CORRECT AND THEY DON'T HAVE ONE GOING RIGHT NOW. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THOUGHT WHAT HE WAS HEARING CLIFF SAY IS ABOUT THIS OTHER GRANT THAT HAS BECOME AVAILABLE PERHAPS FOR ANOTHER PROJECT AT A 50/50 MATCH. CLIFF SAID THAT IS CORRECT AND THE SCIGP FUNDING IS ACTUALLY FOR THE 2013/2014 YEAR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK STATED THE COUNTY IS NOT IN ROAD BUILDING. THEY HAVE BEEN WORKING ON SOME OF THESE ROADS FOR FIVE YEARS AND HAVE PUT A TON OF MONEY IN THEM; THEY PROBABLY HAVE \$2 MILLION IN ROLLING PINES. IT TOOK TWO YEARS TO BUILD IT. THESE 50/50 GRANTS AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED HE IS STAYING AWAY FROM THEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE ONLY WAY THE BOARD NEEDS TO GET INVOLVED IN THAT IS IF THEY HAVE A POT THEY HAVE OUT THERE BUILT UP STRICTLY FOR THAT; RIGHT NOW HE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT THEY HAVE SHIPPED IN THERE TO DO THAT. MAYBE THEY CAN COME UP WITH SOME SAVINGS AND GET BACK IN IT; BUT, 50/50 IT IS HARD TO BUILD ONE.

CLIFF SAID IF THE BOARD HAD THEIR ROAD PROGRAM MOVING FORWARD, HAD FUNDS ALLOCATED FOR THE ROAD PROGRAM AND SOME GRANT CAME UP WHERE 50% OF THE MONEY WOULD BE PAID FOR BY FL-DOT, FANTASTIC. BUT, THE COUNTY IS NOT QUITE THERE YET.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO SEVERAL YEARS AGO BEFORE THE GASOLINE GOT SO HIGH, THERE WAS A PROGRAM OUT THERE THIS COUNTY COULD HAVE GOTTEN INVOLVED IN; THE FIVE CENT GASOLINE TAX SET ASIDE JUST FOR WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT AND IT WAS NEVER DONE. IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN TALKED ABOUT; BUT, IT HAS NEVER BEEN DONE. EVERY TIME THEY GET INTO A ROAD PROJECT, THEY GET BOGGED DOWN; THEY CAN'T CARRY ON ROAD MAINTENANCE AND DO THE OTHER PROJECTS IN THIS COUNTY AS A WHOLE AND TRY TO BUILD A ROAD. HE WISHED FL-DOT WOULD HELP FUND THE ROAD; THE STATE HAS PLENTY OF MONEY. THEY CAN BUILD BRIDGES FOR \$2 MILLION SO THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO BUILD US A ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE CONCURRED WITH THE 50/50 MATCH; THEY DON'T NEED TO GET INTO THAT UNLESS THEY HAVE THE MONEY TO DO IT. CLIFF SAID UNLESS THEY ARE ABLE TO GET ANOTHER GRANT TO COVER THE OTHER 50%.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHEN THEY FIND THOSE TYPE GRANTS THAT WILL COVER THE 50% MATCHES FOR OTHER GRANTS, THEY WILL PAVE EVERYTHING THEY CAN UNTIL THEY CUT US OFF.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON COPE ROAD. THEY ARE LOOKING INTO THE BAD SPOTS ON COPE ROAD TRYING TO COME UP WITH SOME SOLUTIONS ON THAT. HOPEFULLY, THEY CAN COME BACK WITH TODD'S HELP IN TRYING TO FIGURE SOMETHING OUT. HE THINKS THE ROAD WILL START SELF DESTRUCTING IN THE NEXT YEAR OR TWO UNLESS SOMETHING IS DONE TO THOSE BAD AREAS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF THEY GET ONE OF THE HEAVY RAIN SYSTEMS,

IT WON'T BE A YEAR OR TWO BEFORE SOMETHING HAPPENS.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR STRIPING CONTRACTS ON BAHOMA ROAD AND BONNETT POND ROAD. THEY HAD THE STRIPING COVERED BY GUETTLER IN THEIR STIMULUS GRANT FOR THERMO PLASTIC STRIPING COUNTYWIDE. THEY HAD RIVER ROAD, BONNETT POND ROAD AND BAHOMA ROAD COVERED AT \$10,000 PER MILE. ON THE GUETTLER CONTRACT THAT WAS BID OUT, THE COUNTY WAS NOTIFIED THEY WERE GETTING READY TO LOSE THE MONEY ON ALL THREE OF THOSE AND THEY MOVED ALL THE STRIPING OVER TO PIONEER ROAD, CLAYTON ROAD AND ALFORD ROAD. NOW THEY ARE IN A SITUATION WHERE THEY ARE TRYING TO GET FINISHED UP ON THE BONNETT POND ROAD AND THERE IS NO STRIPING SET UP ON IT RIGHT NOW. THEY ARE MAKING A WILD FINISH ON BAHOMA ROAD TRYING TO GET IT COMPLETED BEFORE THE GRANT DEADLINE AND THERE IS NO STRIPING LINED UP FOR IT EITHER. UNFORTUNATELY BASED ON HIS DISCUSSIONS WITH SOME OF THE STRIPING PEOPLE, THE COST OF THERMO PLASTIC IS GOING THROUGH THE ROOF. THE \$10,000 A MILE THE COUNTY HAD LOCKED IN WHEN IT WAS STIMULUS MONEY LOOKS LIKE IT COULD BE AS MUCH AS \$15,000 A MILE NOW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR CLARIFICATION, ASKED IF THE COUNTY WAS FIXING TO LOSE GRANT MONEY, SO THEY MOVED IT SOMEWHERE ELSE. CLIFF ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THERE WAS A PLAN TO COVER AT THAT TIME FROM WHAT THEY WERE TAKING IT FROM. CLIFF SAID NO OTHER THAN THERE WAS MONEY ALREADY IN THE COUNTY'S STRIPING BUDGET AND A BUNCH OF THAT GOT USED ON RIVER ROAD ALREADY. THERE WAS NO PLAN IF THEY REMOVED THE STRIPING WHAT IS GOING TO REPLACE IT OTHER THAN THE COUNTY'S BUDGETED LINE ITEM FOR STRIPING.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED MOST OF THAT STUFF WAS DONE UNDER THE STIMULUS FUNDING WHICH WENT ONLY FOR STRIPING. THE COUNTY'S SHARE OF THE STIMULUS PACKAGE THAT WAS GOING TO MOVE THE ECONOMY ALONG WAS \$200,000 PLUS AND IT WAS TO BE USED MOSTLY FOR STRIPING.

CLIFF SAID THE COUNTY RECEIVED \$350,000 STIMULUS FUNDING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID PART OF THE STIMULUS FUNDING WAS FOR

STRIPING AND PART WAS FOR STATE PARK ROAD. CLIFF AGREED THEY GOT ABOUT \$200,000 ON STATE PARK ROAD AND THE REST OF THE STIMULUS FUNDING WAS FOR THERMO PLASTIC STRIPING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THOUGHT THE STIMULUS MONEY WAS FOR TWO YEARS WHEN IT WAS SENT OUT. THE COUNTY GOT NOTIFICATION FROM FL-DOT THE TIME WAS UP; RATHER THAN LOSE IT, THEY MOVED THE STRIPING TO OTHER ROADS.

CLIFF EXPLAINED BONNETT POND AND BAHOMA ROADS WEREN'T EVEN BUILT AT THE TIME THE COUNTY DEDICATED THE STRIPING MONIES TO THEM; THEY WEREN'T CONSTRUCTED. THEY HADN'T EVEN STARTED ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF BONNETT POND ROAD WHEN THEY DEDICATED THE STIMULUS MONEY FOR THE STRIPING OR BAHOMA. THEY WERE IN A SITUATION OF MOVING THE STRIPING OR LOSING THE STIMULUS MONIES. BUT, IT HAS CREATED A HOLE THAT NEEDS TO BE FILLED AT SOME POINT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HOW MUCH MONEY DID THEY HAVE IN THE STRIPING BUDGET AND HOW MUCH ARE THEY GOING TO NEED FOR THE PROJECTS THEY HAVE UNDERWAY.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THEY HAVE \$30,000 BUDGETED IN THE STRIPING BUDGET.

TO ANSWER COMMISSIONER ABBOTT'S QUESTION ON HOW MUCH MONIES THEY WERE GOING TO NEED TO COMPLETE THE STRIPING ON THE PROJECTS THEY HAVE UNDERWAY, CLIFF REITERATED IN THE DISCUSSIONS HE HAS HAD WITH SOME OF THE THERMO PLASTIC SUPPLIERS, IT IS UP TO \$15,000 PER MILE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HOW MANY MILES IS NEEDED TO BE DONE. CLIFF REPORTED THERE WAS 3 MILES ON BONNETT POND ROAD AND 1.3 MILES ON BAHOMA ROAD.

CLIFF THOUGHT THE SMART THING MIGHT BE TO GO OUT FOR BID ON THE THERMO PLASTIC THEY NEED ON BAHOMA AND BONNETT POND ROADS AND SEE IF THEY CAN GET THE BEST DEAL THEY CAN POSSIBLY GET IN A HURRY. MAYBE THEY WILL FIND THEY WILL GET A BID TO COME IN FOR \$10,000 A MILE. THE STRIPING LINE ITEM WOULD COVER BONNETT POND AND THEN ALL THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO IS BAHOMA ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED AT WHAT POINT WOULD THEY BE READY FOR STRIPING ON THESE TWO ROADS.

CLIFF SAID NORMALLY THEY DON'T WANT TO PUT THE STRIPING DOWN UNTIL ABOUT 30 DAYS AFTER THE FRICTION COURSE HAS BEEN PUT DOWN; ON BONNETT POND ROAD, HE ESTIMATED THEY WERE LOOKING AT 1.5 TO 2 MONTHS BEFORE THEY WILL BE READY FOR STRIPING. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY WERE PROBABLY LOOKING AT JULY 15TH ON BAHOMA.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THE BOARD MIGHT COULD DO A CHANGE ORDER WITH C. W. ROBERTS SINCE THEY HAVE A CONTRACT WITH GUETTLER IF THEY WILL HONOR THEIR PRICE THEY BID ON MONROE TO DO THOSE OTHER ROADS.

CLIFF AGREED THAT WAS POSSIBLE. HE SAID THEY HAD THERMO PRICES ON MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD WITH C.W. ROBERTS THE BOARD MAY CONSIDER CHANGE ORDERING INTO THE BONNETT POND AND BAHOMA ROAD PROJECTS RATHER THAN GOING OUT FOR BID.

COMMISSIONER PATE DIDN'T FEEL LIKE THERE WILL BE A PROBLEM NEGOTIATING WITH C. W. ROBERTS ON BONNETT AND BAHOMA ROADS SINCE THEY HAD THE CONTRACT ON MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD.

MR. BARFIELD REITERATED AS LONG AS THE STRIPING CONTRACTOR WILL HONOR THAT PRICE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED CLIFF SAYING IT WOULD BE TWO MORE MONTHS BEFORE THE STRIPING WOULD BE DONE ON BONNETT POND. CLIFF REITERATED THE STRIPING IS NOT SUPPOSE TO BE DONE FOR THIRTY DAYS AFTER THE FRICTION COURSE IS PUT DOWN. FOR INSTANCE IF THEY PAVED IT IN TWO WEEKS, THEY WOULD BE LOOKING AT SIX WEEKS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THE PAVING WOULDN'T ALL DOWN ON BONNETT POND ROAD EXCEPT THE TOP LAYER. CLIFF SAID THEY DO ON EVERYTHING EXCEPT THE INTERSECTION WITH ROCHE ROAD AND THE CAP. THERE IS PROBABLY ONLY TWO DAYS OF PAVING TO BE DONE; BUT, THEY ARE TRYING TO GET ALL THE DITCHES, ETC. DRESSED UP BEFORE THEY DO THE PAVING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS ORIGINAL QUESTION IS THERE IS 4.5 MILES OF STRIPING TO DO AND THEY HAVE \$30,000 ALLOCATED. THEY ARE PRETTY CERTAIN THEY AREN'T GOING TO GET THE 4.5 MILES OF

STRIPING DONE FOR \$30,000.

CLIFF SAID HE DIDN'T SEE ANY WAY THEY WILL GET THAT DONE. FOR EXAMPLE ON RIVER ROAD, THE BOARD ELECTED TO GO BY THE CONTINUING SERVICE CONTRACT WITH GUETTLER AND GOT GUETTLER TO DO RIVER ROAD OUT OF THE FEMA MONEY. THAT IS HOW RIVER ROAD GOT COVERED AFTER THE GRANT MONEY GOT PULLED OUT FROM STIMULUS TO GO TO PIONEER, CLAYTON AND ALL THOSE.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THE FUNDS THEY HAVE SET ASIDE FOR THE STRIPING IF THE CONTRACTOR WILL HONOR THAT PRICE, CLIFF WILL PRETTY MUCH EAT THAT MONEY UP ON BONNETT POND.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED CLIFF TO FIND OUT WHAT THE PRICE FOR STRIPING THAT 1.3 MILES ON BAHOMA ROAD WOULD COST. MR. BARFIELD SAID HE AND CLIFF WAS WORKING ON TRADING OUT SOME SERVICES WITH C.W. ROBERTS; SERVICE FOR SERVICE TRYING TO GET A LITTLE PAVING DONE HERE AND THERE, FINISH UP PROJECTS THAT ARE INCOMPLETE, ETC. THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THEY CAN DO SOME OF THAT TO COVER STRIPING.

CLIFF SAID HIS GUESS ON HIS AND MR. BARFIELD'S DIRECTION IS TO SEE IF THEY CAN GET THE PRICE THEY GOT ON MONROE SHEFFIELD TO CARRY OVER TO BAHOMA AND BONNETT POND ROAD STRIPING; IF NOT, MAYBE GO OUT FOR BID.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT IS HIS TAKE ON IT. MR. JOYNER SAID THEY WOULD LOOK INTO IT TO SEE WHAT THEY CAN DO WITH THE STRIPING. THERE IS \$30,000 IN STRIPING; BUT, THEY CAN TALK TO GUETTLER AND C. W. TO SEE WHAT THEY CAN COME UP WITH. THEN IF THEY HAVE TO GO TO BID, THEY WILL GO TO BID ON THE STRIPING FOR THE BONNETT POND AND BAHOMA ROAD PROJECT.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING GOTTEN UP WITH THE UTILITY GUY WITH CSX; SO FAR THE ONLY MONIES THAT HAVE BEEN OBLIGATED FOR UTILITIES ON THE RAIL SPUR PROJECT IS \$32,000 TO MCI. THERE IS NO OTHER COST THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED TO HIM FROM QUEST OR SPRINT. ESSENTIALLY, IF THIS WERE THE ONLY COST AND HE POINTED OUT HE WASN'T SAYING THAT IT IS, THE BOARD WOULD HAVE \$270,000 COMING BACK FROM

THEIR SIDETRACK AGREEMENT. THE THING IS THEY ARE FILLING OVER THE TOP OF THOSE LINES; HIS DISCUSSION WITH CSX'S UTILITY GUY IS THEY DON'T DO ANYTHING IF YOU ARE JUST FILLING OVER THE TOP OF THEM. THEY WOULD NORMALLY, WHERE OUR TRACK CROSSES THEIR LINES, THEY WOULD PUT THOSE FIBERS IN STEEL CASING WHERE THE TRACK CROSSES THE LINE AND THAT IS USUALLY THE ONLY COST ASSOCIATED WITH IT. IT COULD BE POSSIBLE THE COUNTY WOULD BE GETTING A CHUNK OF MONEY BACK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO CARRY THAT BACK TO THE BANK.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE SOD ISSUE ON BONNETT POND ROAD; IN SOME PLACES, ESPECIALLY IN THE CURVES AND THE INCLINES, THEY ARE GOING TO NEED SOME SOD. THAT ROAD DIDN'T COME WITH SOD; IT CAME WITH ONE STRIP.

CLIFF DISAGREED AND SAID THE ROAD CAME WITH TWO STRIPS; 5' ON EACH SIDE IS WHAT IS IN THEIR CONTRACT RIGHT NOW. THEY HAD 32,000 SQUARE YARDS OF HYDROSEED. HE HAS BEEN MEETING WITH MR. BARFIELD AND SPOKE TO MR. JOYNER AS WELL ON THE POSSIBILITY OF THE COUNTY BRINGING SOME TOP SOIL AND SPREADING IT BEFORE THEY HAVE THE HYDROSEED GO DOWN SO THEY CAN TRY TO GET SOME OF THAT GRASS TO GROW. THEY HAVE SOME TOP SOIL AT THE SOD FARM AND ARE TRYING TO SET UP AN ARRANGEMENT TO GET SOME OF THAT TOP SOIL OUT TO BONNETT POND ROAD SO THEY CAN HAVE IT SPREAD OUT BEFORE THEY HYDROSEED. THE BIG ISSUE IS JUST HYDROSEEDING ON TOP OF THAT BALL BEARING SAND DON'T WORK TOO GOOD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID BASICALLY IT IS A WASTE OF TIME COMPARED TO WHAT HAPPENED DOWN ON ROLLING PINES. CLIFF AGREED; THE COUNTY PUT \$100,000 WORTH OF SOD OUT AT ROLLING PINES AND HE BELIEVES IT IS THE BEST \$100,000 THEY EVER SPENT. THERE HAS BEEN NO EROSION AND REALLY THE MAJORITY OF WHAT IS NEEDED IS THE TOP SOIL THAT COMES WITH THE SOD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE COUNTY PUT A LOT OF SOD DOWN ON RIVER ROAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED EBRO HAVING A DRIVEWAY PROJECT CONNECTING UP TO THE FOUR LANE AND THE COUNTY AGREED TO ASSIST EBRO IN PUTTING IN THAT DRIVEWAY FOR THE NEW FIRE STATION COMING OFF OF HIGHWAY 79.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THERE WAS AN OPENING IN THE MEDIUM THERE BECAUSE IF YOU DO THE ENTRANCE THERE, YOU ARE GOING TO ONLY HAVE ONE WAY IN AND ONE WAY OUT BECAUSE THAT IS A CONTROLLED ACCESS.

MAYOR TAYLOR ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE PERMIT ISSUE FOR THE DRIVEWAY ENTRANCE. SHE HAD TALKED WITH MR. WILLIAM BARBER, FL-DOT PROJECT MANAGER ON THE JOB. HE RESEARCHED THIS ISSUE AND SAID THEY WOULDN'T NEED A PERMIT. THE DRIVEWAY WAS APPROVED BY FL-DOT AND IT WAS FIGURED INTO THE DESIGN AND IT IS BUILT. FL-DOT HAS ALREADY DONE THEIR PART BY BUILDING THE DESIGN UP TO THE RIGHT-OF-WAY. ALL EBRO NEEDS IS FOR THE COUNTY TO COME AND DO THE REMAINDER OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY. THERE IS A CROSSOVER THERE FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT TO GO SOUTH.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHEN HE HEARD ABOUT THIS PROJECT, HIS QUESTION WAS, AS CLOSE AS IT IS TO THE SIGNAL ON HIGHWAY 79, THERE WAS PROBABLY NOT A CROSSOVER THERE. IF THEY CAN COME OUT AND GO SOUTH THERE IS NO PROBLEM; BUT, IF THEY HAVE TO COME IN RIGHT AND TURN RIGHT AS YOU COME OUT, YOU WOULD HAVE TO GO UP AND LOOP AROUND BEFORE YOU CAN EVER HEAD SOUTH. HE SAID HE HAS NOT SEEN THE PLANS.

MAYOR TAYLOR EXPLAINED THAT WAS A CONCERN WHEN FL-DOT ORGINALLY BROUGHT PICTURES OF THE PLANS AND SHOWED THEM TO THE EBRO COMMUNITY; THAT WAS THE NUMBER ONE CONCERN OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. AT THAT POINT, EBRO REQUESTED THEM TO RELOCATE THE DRIVEWAY AND HAVE A WAY THEY COULD EXIT AND GO SOUTHBOUND; FL-DOT DID BUILD THIS INTO THE DESIGN. FROM FL-DOT'S PROSPECTIVE, THEY HAVE DONE THEIR PART AND NOW IT IS UP TO EBRO. EBRO FELT LIKE THEY HAD A VERBAL AGREEMENT FROM THE BOARD THEY WOULD HELP EBRO COMPLETE THE PROJECT.

MAYOR TAYLOR SAID THEY WANTED TO TAKE THE DRIVEWAY UP THE HILL; BASICALLY, THEY WILL NEED EQUIPMENT, THE COUNTY'S EXPERTISE ON THE

GRADE, ETC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. BARFIELD IF HE WAS FAMILIAR WITH WHAT MAYOR TAYLOR IS SPEAKING ABOUT.

MR. BARFIELD REPORTED HE AND CLIFF HAD WENT TO THE SITE AND LOOKED AT IT. HE ASKED IF MAYOR TAYLOR HAD COORDINATED THIS WITH FL-DOT.

CLIFF SAID MAYOR TAYLOR IS SAYING THERE IS NO FL-DOT PERMIT REQUIRED. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID YOU WOULDN'T HAVE A FL-DOT PERMIT IF IT WAS BUILT UNDER THEIR CONSTRUCTION PROJECT UP TO THE RIGHT-OF-WAY.

MR. BARFIELD SAID IT LOOKS LIKE SOME OF THE PROJECT WILL BE ON THE FL-DOT'S RIGHT-OF-WAY.

MAYOR TAYLOR REITERATED FL-DOT HAS TOLD HER THEY HAVE BUILT THE DRIVEWAY TO THE RIGHT-OF-WAY. THEY HAVE DONE THEIR PART; IT WAS FIGURED INTO THE DESIGN. IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT IS AFTER THE FACT; THEY KNEW ABOUT IT BEFORE HAND AND BUILT IT IN AND EVERYTHING.

MR. BARFIELD SAID IT IS GOING TO BE A LOT OF WORK THERE. HE ASKED WAS EBRO GOING TO HAVE IT PAVED.

MAYOR TAYLOR SAID IF THE COUNTY CAN'T AFFORD TO PAVE IT AT THIS POINT, EBRO WILL ACCEPT MILLED ASPHALT IF THE COUNTY THINKS THAT WILL WORK AS FAR AS DRAINAGE, ETC. THE REASON THEY HAVE BEEN ON IT SO HOT AND HEAVY THE LAST WEEK IS BECAUSE C. W. ROBERTS HAD TOLD THEM IF THEY COULD GET THE COUNTY DOWN THERE TO WORKING, THEN THEY WOULD DO SOME ADDITIONAL STUFF TO HELP THEM. AS THEY WORKED ON THE CITY HALL DRIVEWAY, C. W. ROBERTS WOULD ALSO BE WILLING TO HELP EBRO WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT DRIVEWAY. IT LOOKS LIKE TO HER C. W. ROBERTS HAS PRETTY MUCH DONE NOW WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO ON THE CITY HALL DRIVEWAY. SHE IS AFRAID THAT TIME FRAME HAS LAPSED NOW AND SHE DOESN'T KNOW HOW MUCH THEY CAN GET OUT OF C. W. ROBERTS AT THIS POINT UNLESS THE COUNTY CAN TALK WITH THEM.

MR. BARFIELD FELT LIKE EROSION WOULD BE A BIG PROBLEM WITH THE DRIVEWAY IF THEY DON'T PAVE IT; IF THEY PUT MILLED ASPHALT ON

IT, IT WOULD HAVE TO BE REALLY GOOD MATERIAL TO STAY. HE DON'T THINK YOU COULD PUT ROCK OR DOLOMITE WHERE IT WOULD STAY BECAUSE IT IS GOING TO BE A PRETTY STEEP GRADE. THAT IS REALLY THE ONLY CONCERN HE HAS WOULD BE TO COORDINATE WITH FL-DOT. HE DON'T KNOW THAT FL-DOT WOULD LET YOU PUT THE DRIVEWAY IN UNLESS YOU PAVED THE DRIVEWAY. HE HAS NOT COMMUNICATED WITH FL-DOT ON THE DRIVEWAY PROJECT AND DON'T KNOW WHAT THEIR REQUIREMENTS ARE. AS FAR AS PAVING IT, THAT WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD; BUT, HE DON'T SEE WHERE THE COUNTY HAS THE FUNDS TO DO THAT.

MAYOR TAYLOR EXPLAINED THE GENTLEMAN SHE TALKED TO AT THE FL-DOT DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THEY WOULD REQUIRE THE DRIVEWAY TO BE PAVED. SHE IS NOT SURE FL-DOT ASSUMED IT WOULD BE PAVED AND SHE IS NOT SURE HOW MUCH ASSISTANCE C. W. ROBERTS WAS SPEAKING OF OFFERING FOR THE PROJECT. BUT, LIKE SHE SAID THAT TIME FRAME MAY HAVE LAPSED NOW SINCE THEY WEREN'T ABLE TO BE READY TO GO WHEN C. W. ROBERTS WAS IN THE AREA.

MR. BARFIELD ASKED THE BOARD WHAT THEY WANTED TO DO. COMMISSIONER PATE SUGGESTED MR. BARFIELD AND MR. JOYNER GET WITH THE STATE; THE ONLY OPPOSITION HE HAD TO THE PROJECT WAS HE WANTED TO MAKE SURE WHEN THEY CAME OUT OF THE DRIVEWAY, THEY COULD GO RIGHT OR LEFT BECAUSE IT IS GOING TO BE FOR A FIRE DEPARTMENT. HE DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT; BUT, THERE IS AN ISSUE THERE. IF IT IS PAVED PART OF THE WAY UP THAT HILL, AND HE DON'T KNOW BECAUSE HE HASN'T BEEN DOWN THERE.

MR. BARFIELD EXPLAINED IT WAS CONCRETED TO THE BACKSIDE OF THE SIDEWALK; HE DON'T THINK IT IS TO THE EDGE OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE WAS GOING TO HAVE TO BE SOMETHING TO PROTECT THAT DRIVEWAY COMING DOWN THAT SLOPE. CLIFF SAID THE SLOPE IS GOING TO BE AN 8% SLOPE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THAT WAS STEEPER THAN THE SLOPE AT THE EBRO CITY HALL. MR. BARFIELD SAID PROBABLY SO; THEY PULLED INTO THE CITY HALL DRIVEWAY WHEN THEY WENT BY THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS NOT SURE UNLESS THEY HAD SOME REAL GOOD HOT MILLED ASPHALT THAT WOULD WORK. AS FAR AS PUTTING LIMEROCK, HE DON'T THINK IT WOULD WORK THAT WELL EITHER.

MR. JOYNER SAID HE WAS SURE THEY WOULD NEED A LETTER FROM FL-DOT ALLOWING THE COUNTY TO DO ANY RIGHT-OF-WAY WORK AT ALL IF IT IS NOT COMPLETELY OUT TO THE EDGE OF RIGHT-OF-WAY. FL-DOT IS PROBABLY GOING TO REQUIRE SOME DRAWINGS AS TO WHAT THE COUNTY IS GOING TO DO WITH DRAINAGE COMING OFF THE HIGHWAY. THEY MAY NEED TO DO A LITTLE MORE INVESTIGATION ON THIS PROJECT WITH FL-DOT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MAYOR TAYLOR IF THERE WAS A DRAINAGE SYSTEM AT THE DRIVEWAY. MAYOR TAYLOR BELIEVED THAT FL-DOT PLANNED FOR ALL OF THAT BY EBRO REQUESTING IT AND FL-DOT SAYING THEY WOULD AGREE FOR EBRO TO BUILD THE FIRE DEPARTMENT THERE. FL-DOT WAS AWARE THE DRAINAGE ISSUE WAS GOING TO BE THERE; THEY KNEW THERE WAS GOING TO BE A DRIVEWAY THERE WITH THE WATER RUNNING DOWN THROUGH THERE. SHE THINKS FL-DOT HAS ALLOWED FOR THAT IN THEIR DESIGN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. JOYNER TO TAKE CARE OF THE DRIVEWAY ISSUE FOR THE EBRO FIRE DEPARTMENT.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A FIVE MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, COUNTY ATTORNEY GOODMAN BEGAN WITH HIS REPORT:

A. PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY--ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THEM DISCUSSING PROPOSED UPDATES TO THE COUNTY'S PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY AT THEIR WORKSHOP IN APRIL. THEY TALKED ABOUT WHAT WAS DONE IN THE PAST AND DIFFERENT WAYS HE THOUGHT THEY COULD IMPROVE UPON THEIR STRUCTURE AND HOW TO GO ABOUT PROCESSING PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. SINCE THAT TIME, HE HAS TALKED WITH MR. JOYNER AND HEATHER FINCH ABOUT SOME OF THE THINGS THEY DISCUSSED THAT NIGHT. THEY HAVE TRIED TO IMPLEMENT TO THE BEST OF THEIR ABILITY BASICALLY AN OVERVIEW OF A PROPOSED PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY FOR THE BOARD TO CONSIDER. IT TALKS ABOUT THE DETERMINANT OF THE FLORIDA STATUTES, GIVES SOME GUIDANCE ON WHAT CONSTITUTES A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST AND THEN

IT HAS A METHODOLOGY FOR PUBLIC RECORDS PROCESSING. IT GOES ALL THE WAY UP FROM HOW THEY ACKNOWLEDGE THE REQUEST TO HOW THEY IDENTIFY AND LOCATE THE RECORDS, HOW THEY REVEIW THE RECORDS, THEY GIVE THEMSELVES THE ABILITY TO CHARGE FOR COPIES AND LABOR, WHICH IS IMPORTANT, AND A METHOD OF BILLING AND RECORDING INTERNALLY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING RECEIVED A PRETTY SUBSTANTIVE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST IN LAST WEEK AND IT IS GOING TO TAKE A LOT OF TIME TO PROCESS IT. HE IS WORKING WITH HEATHER'S OFFICE IN DOING THAT; BUT, HE THINKS THIS UPDATED POLICY WILL HELP THE COUNTY IN THE INSTANCE OF ANY FURTHER PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. HE TOLD THE BOARD IF THEY REMEMBER WHAT THEY WERE TRYING TO DO IS, EVEN WITH PUBLIC WORKS, FUNNEL EVERYTHING THROUGH HEATHER AND HER DEPARTMENT AND LET HER COORDINATE WITH THE DEPARTMENT HEADS TO REVIEW AND MAKE SURE ALL THE INFORMATION THEY HAVE BEEN GIVEN AND GOTTEN THEIR HANDS ON IS ALL THAT THERE IS. THE IDEA THERE IS TO HAVE ONE PUBLIC RECORDS LIASON WHO IS SOMEWHAT RESPONSIBLE KIND OF LIKE THE BUCK STOPS HERE AND THEY NEED TO BE UP TO DATE WITH THE RESPECT TO WHAT THE UPDATED LAWS ARE, SOME OF THE UPDATED RULINGS, WHICH HE WILL WORK WITH HEATHER ON THIS. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON A PUBLIC RECORDS SEMINAR OR CLE HELD FOR SOME OF THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES HERE WITHIN THE LAST THREE WEEKS. THE COUNTY IS DOING THINGS TO GET THE PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY IN ORDER AND HE IS GOING TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD THEY CONSIDER ADOPTING THE PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY AND HAVING MS. FINCH SERVE AS THE LIASON FOR THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS IN COORDINATING AND GATHERING DOCUMENTS TO FULFILL THE COUNTY'S OBLIGATION PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTUES. HE ASKED IF THE BOARD HAD ANY QUESTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF HE, MS. FINCH AND INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER HAD WORKED TOGETHER ON THE POLICY AS A TEAM AND THERE WERE NO CONCERNS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID ORIGINALLY HE DRAFTED THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY. SINCE THEN, THEY HAVE COME IN AND ADDED

IN REVIEW OF THE RECORDS, HE AND HEATHER TALKED ABOUT THE NECESSITY BASED ON SOME OTHER THINGS THAT HAVE HAPPENED OF ONCE SHE HAS WHAT SHE THINKS THERE IS TO MAKE SURE WITH THE APPROPRIATE DEPARTMENT HEADS THAT IS IT. BECAUSE THE QUESTION BECAME "WELL WHO CAN I RELY ON REALLY TO TELL ME THIS IS ALL THERE IS." THEY FELT LIKE THE BEST THING TO DO IN THAT SITUATION WOULD BE TO HAVE THE DEPARTMENT HEAD RESPONSIBLE. HEATHER WILL INFORM THE DEPARTMENT HEAD WHAT THE REQUEST WAS, INFORM THEM "X" FROM THEIR DEPARTMENT HAS PROVIDED HER A, B, C AND SHE WANTS TO CONFIRM WITH THE DEPARTMENT HEAD THEY DON'T BELIEVE THERE IS ANYTHING ELSE. THEY ADDED LANGUAGE WITH RESPECT TO THAT; THAT WAS INCLUDED IN AS MUCH DETAIL WHEN THEY SPOKE IN APRIL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED ALL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST WILL GO THROUGH THE HUMAN RESOURCE DEPARTMENT AND WILL BE DELIVERED TO THE HUMAN RESOURCE DEPARTMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED ALL THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST WILL BE FUNNELLED THROUGH HUMAN RESOURCES. LETS SAY JEFF GOODMAN SHOWS UP AT PUBLIC WORKS AND WANTS SOME DOCUMENTS. PUBLIC WORKS IS VERY FRIENDLY AND HOPEFULLY WILL GUIDE THAT PERSON TO MS. FINCH AND HER DEPARTMENT TO MAKE THAT REQUEST. GIVE THEM THE NAME, ADDRESS WHERE THEY CAN FIND THEM, THE PERSON THEY ARE LOOKING FOR AND THEN ONCE THAT IS FUNNELLED TO MS. FINCH OR HER DEPARTMENT, MS. FINCH WILL GIVE THE APPROPRIATE. HE THEN SAID BASICALLY THE FIRST THING THEY WILL HAVE TO DO IS ACKNOWLEDGE THEY HAVE RECEIVED THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. ONCE THAT HAPPENS, MS. FINCH WILL NOT ONLY WORK WITH PUBLIC WORKS IN GATHERING DOCUMENTS AND FULFILLING THE TERMS OF THE REQUEST; BUT, SHE WILL ALSO WORK TO MAKE SURE IF THERE MAY BE ANOTHER DEPARTMENT THEY WILL NEED TO LOOK TO FOR SOME DOCUMENTS THAT MAY BE RESPONSIVE, SHE WILL DO THAT AS WELL. THE WORST CASE SCENARIO THAT MAY HAPPEN THE WAY THEY HAD IT SET UP, SOMEBODY GOES TO PUBLIC WORKS LOOKING FOR DOCUMENTS AND MICHAEL DERUNTZ IS REALLY THE PERSON WITH THE MAJORITY OF THE DOCUMENTS AND PUBLIC WORKS HANDS THEM OVER AS THIS IS

IT; BUT, THERE IS REALLY 60% MORE DOCUMENTS AND THERE WAS NEVER THAT FUNNELLED PERSON TO SAY ALRIGHT, LET ME DO AN OVERVIEW OF WHAT IS GOING ON HERE AND BE THE POINT PERSON FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT WOULD BE PICKED BACK UP FROM OR DELIVERED FROM MS. FINCH'S OFFICE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID "YES;" AND THEY WILL ALSO KEEP A RECORD AND A LOG OF WHAT THEY PRODUCED AND MS. FINCH WILL BE THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE AS WELL FOR MAKING SURE THE BILLING AND THE ASSOCIATED COPYING FEES ARE BILLED. HE THINKS THAT IS AN IMPORTANT THING THE BOARD SHOULD KNOW. FOR INSTANCE THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST THEY GOT LAST WEEK IS VERY LARGE. HE ANTICIPATES THAT THE COST TO DO IT IS GOING TO BE MORE THAN A \$1,000 BASED ON WHAT THE REQUEST IS ASKING FOR. WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO IS TO CONTACT THE PERSON MAKING THE REQUEST AND SAY " THIS IS WHAT YOU HAVE ASKED FOR, THIS IS WHAT IT IS GOING TO TAKE FOR US TO DO IT AND YOUR COST IS GOING TO BE APPROXIMATELY SOMEWHERE BETWEEN \$1500 AND \$2200 BASED ON THE REQUEST. ASK THE PERSON IF THEY ARE SURE THIS IS WHAT THEY WANT AND IF SO, WE WILL NEED SOME MONEY UPFRONT IN ORDER TO FULFILL THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST." THAT WAY THE PERSON THAT IS ASKING FOR THE REQUEST, IF THEY WANT THE COUNTY TO SHIP THEM A REQUEST, THEY NEED TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FEES AND THAT IS THE WAY THE STATUTE IS SET UP INSTEAD OF THE COUNTY BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. THAT IS ANOTHER IMPORTANT THING IN THE POLICY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THIS ONE CONTACT PERSON SHOULD STOP ANYTHING FROM GOING OUT THAT SHOULDN'T; EVERYTHING THAT IS NOT SUPPOSE TO BE IN THERE WILL BE REDACTED OUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID AND IT ALLOWS THE OTHER WORKERS IN THE COUNTY TO SAY "HEY, I AM NOT AN EXPERT ON THIS, LET ME GIVE YOU HEATHER'S CONTACT INFORMATION." IT JUST TAKES THE PRESSURE OFF OF THEM AND HOPEFULLY STOPS THEM FROM GETTING IN HOT WATER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE COUNTY MANAGER WILL BE AWARE THERE HAS BEEN A PUBLIC REQUEST MADE AND WHY. ATTORNEY GOODMAN

SAID TYPICALLY IN COUNTIES FROM WHAT HE HAS SEEN IN STUDYING WALTON COUNTY AND SOME OTHER COUNTIES THAT DO THIS, THE COUNTY MANAGER IS NOT NECESSARILY MADE ABREAST OF EVERY PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. VOLUME WISE, WASHINGTON COUNTY JUST DON'T HAVE AS MANY REQUESTS AS OTHER COUNTIES. THEY COULD ADD THIS LANGUAGE IN THE POLICY IF THE BOARD SO SEES FIT. HE THINKS WHERE THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST OR SOMETHING THAT IS BROAD IN SCOPE OR MAY HAVE DIFFERENT RAMNIFICATIONS IN DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS, HE CERTAINLY THINKS THE COUNTY MANAGER SHOULD BE INVOLVED WITH THAT. THE COUNTY MANAGER'S INVOLVEMENT ON A TWO PAGE REQUEST IS EASY TO GET OUT. HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THAT IS THE WAY THE BOARD WANTS THE COUNTY MANAGER TO SPEND HIS TIME. HE IS CERTAINLY WILLING FOR THE COUNTY MANAGER TO DO THAT. HE IS TRUSTING SOMEWHAT THAT HEATHER, IF IT IS SOMETHING PRETTY BROAD, WILL GET IN TOUCH WITH HIM AND THE COUNTY MANAGER AND MAKE SURE THIS REQUEST IS OUT THERE HANGING OVER US.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO THINK, IF HE HAD THE RESPONSIBILITY OF BEING THE COUNTY MANAGER THAT HIS STAFF WOULD UPDATE HIM AS THE COUNTY MANAGER THEY HAD THIS REQUEST AND JUST KEEP HIM INFORMED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T HAVE IT EITHER WHERE HEATHER WOULD HAVE TO CHECK WITH HIM ON EVERY PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. HEATHER HAD CONTACTED HIM ON THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST THEY GOT LAST WEEK AND THERE WAS SOME LEGAL REASONS WHY BECAUSE THERE WAS SOME LITIGATION PENDING WITH IT. BUT, HE THINKS HEATHER NEEDS TO BE AWARE IF IT IS SOMETHING BROAD AND SHE SEES IT EITHER MULTI-DEPARTMENT ORIENTED AND/OR SOMETHING THAT HAS LEGAL RAMNIFICATIONS OR IT IS SOMETHING SHE DON'T UNDERSTAND OR KNOWS WHERE TO GO SHE HAS HIM AND THE COUNTY MANAGER TO LEAN ON TO GIVE HER SONE GUIDANCE ON WHAT TO DO THERE.

MR. JOYNER EXPLAINED THE REASON THEY BROKE THIS DOWN TO DEPARTMENT HEADS APPROVAL ON THAT WAS PART OF THAT REASON TO SEE IF THEY DID NEED TO BRING IT FURTHER UP TO HIM. THEY HAD AN INSTANCE WHERE THEY SHOULD HAVE HAD A LITTLE MORE INFORMATION THEY DIDN'T

HAVE THAT WAS THERE AND IF THE DEPARTMENT HEAD HAD KNOWN ABOUT IT, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN OKAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID, WHOEVER BEING IN THAT POSITION, HE WOULD LIKE FOR THAT PERSON ALSO TO HAVE THE PROTECTION WE DISCUSSED. HE UNDERSTANDS LEGALLY WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO; BUT, PERSONALLY IN THE JOB FUNCTION, HE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF HE WAS THE ONE GIVING THE INFORMATION OUT, HIS BOSS WOULD KNOW WHAT HE WAS DOING. THERE WOULD BE NO SURPRISES.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DOES THINK THE COUNTY MANAGER SHOULD KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON ABOUT THINGS LIKE THAT BECAUSE IF MS. FINCH OR HER DEPARTMENT GETS ANY HASSLE AND THE COUNTY MANAGER KNOWS ABOUT IT, HE CAN PICK UP THE PHONE AND TELL THEM TO DO IT. BUT, WHOEVER IS SITTING IN THAT POSITION CAN HANDLE IT RIGHT OFF THE BAT IF SOMEBODY GIVES THEM PROBLEMS. TO HIM, IT WOULD CUT DOWN ON SOME CONFUSION. OTHER THAN THAT, HE LIKES THE POLICY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER PATE AND ABBOTT. HE THINKS THE MAN IN CHARGE SHOULD KNOW ABOUT ALL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST IN CASE ANYTHING EVER COMES OUT, IT CAN'T BE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO HAVE A GENERAL POLICY THAT ALL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST THE COUNTY MANAGER IS CC'D ON THE RESPONSES. IT WAS THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS TO HAVE THIS IN THE PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST POLICY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON A REQUEST FROM KINGS DRUG STORE WITH RESPECT TO A CERTAIN DRIVE THROUGH WINDOW THEY ARE PUTTING ON THE BACK CORNER. HE AND THE COUNTY MANAGER ARE GOING TO SIT DOWN WITH THE FOLKS AT KINGS DRUG THIS WEEK; HE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE PRUDENT TO HAVE DISCUSSION JUST BRIEFLY WITH THE BOARD ON WHAT HIS THOUGHTS ARE NOW THAT HE HAS SEEN THE PLANS AND HAS BEEN TO THE SITE ONCE AND LOOKED AT IT. FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT, HE TOLD THE BOARD HIS CONCERNS AND WHAT HE IS GOING TO INCORPORATE, WITH THE BOARD'S WISHES, TO THE AGREEMENT. A YEAR AGO IT WAS THE MOVIE

RENTAL PLACE IN THERE WITH KINGS AND HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE IF KINGS DRUG STORE EXPANDS, GETS BIGGER, MOVES TO ANOTHER LOCATION, WHETHER THE COUNTY WANTS A DRIVE THROUGH TO A BLOCK BUSTER VIDEO FROM THAT ACCESS POINT MAY BE DIFFERENT THAN WHAT THEY ARE DOING WITH KINGS. HIS CONCERN AND THE WAY HE IS GOING TO PROPOSE TO TAILOR THIS AGREEMENT IS TO ALLOW THE COUNTY IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS TO REVOKE THE ACCESS AND ONE WOULD BE IF THEY CHANGE WHAT IS IN THE BUILDING, WHAT IS BEING DONE THERE AND SECONDLY, IF THE COUNTY WANTS TO DO SOMETHING WITH THE HOSPITAL, HE WOULD CERTAINLY WANT TO HAVE THE ABILITY NOT TO AFFECT THEIR TITLE IN THE PAST INTEREST FULLY AND LET THE NEW OWNERS DO WITH WHAT THEY WANT TO. WHAT HE IS GOING TO PROPOSE TO KINGS, AND THEY HAVE SOMETHING SIMILARLY STRUCTURED WITH THE HOSPITAL IN AN AGREEMENT IN JANUARY; BUT, IT IS NOT NEARLY AS DETAILED AS THE ONE HE IS GOING TO PROPOSE THAT THE COUNTY HAS THE RIGHT TO REVOKE IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS. KINGS IS GOING TO BE THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR PUTTING THE INFRASTRUCTURE IN, THE ROAD, CHANGING THE GRADE, DOING THE ENGINEERING SPECS THE COUNTY LAID FORTH. THERE IS SOME RISK INVOLVED WITH HIM BECAUSE HE IS GOING TO PUT THE MONEY INTO IT UP FRONT. HE DON'T KNOW ANY OTHER WAY TO FACILITATE THOSE CONCERNS WITH "HEY, I DON'T WANT TO PUT THE MONEY INTO IT AND THEY REVOKE," OTHER THAN TO PROTECT THE COUNTY'S INTEREST. THAT IS PART OF THE DEAL IS IF THE COUNTY IS GOING TO ENTER INTO THE AGREEMENT AND ARE GOING TO HAVE A USE AGREEMENT ON THEIR PROPERTY, THEY WON'T THE RIGHT TO GET OUT OF IT IN A CERTAIN DESIGNATED SITUATION. SO, HE ANTICIPATES THAT IS GOING TO BE THE TOPIC OF MUCH CONVERSATION. ALSO, HE IS GOING TO HAVE A CLAUSE IN THE AGREEMENT REGARDING INDEMNIFICATION AND OTHER COST OF MAINTENANCE THAT MAY BE ASSOCIATED WITH THAT ACCESS. THE COUNTY IS EXCITED ABOUT DOING THIS FOR KINGS AND WANTS THEM TO SUCCEED; BUT, HE WANTS TO PREPARE THE BOARD FOR PROBABLY WHAT IS GOING TO BE DISCUSSED COMING UP THIS WEEK. THEN IF KINGS IS COMFORTABLE AND THE BOARD IS COMFORTABLE, HE ANTICIPATES HAVING SOMETHING TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD SHORTLY WITH RESPECT TO AN AGREEMENT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED KINGS HAVING BEEN IN THAT AREA SINCE THE COMPLEX OPENED AND HE IS NOT SURE WHO OWNS THAT PIECE OF PROPERTY; HIS FORMER PARTNER MAY OWN IT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY HAVE DONE SOME RESEARCH OF THE MATTER OF WHO OWNS THE PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED THE PERSON THAT OWNS THE BUSINESS DOESN'T OWN THE PROPERTY. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY ARE WORKING THROUGH THESE ISSUES AS WELL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THIS IS NOTHING THE BOARD NEEDS TO ACT ON NOW; THIS IS SOMETHING HE WANTED TO PUT IN THE BOARD'S EAR BEFORE THEY GO HAVE THIS MEETING AND ANY CONCERNS HE CAN ADDRESS FOR THE BOARD BEFORE THE MEETING, HE WILL BE GLAD TO DO THAT.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, STEVE JOYNER:

A. MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM BEING CHARGED WITH THE TASK OF COMING UP WITH A NEW POLICY ON STOCKPILING MILLED ASPHALT. HE HAS DONE THE RESEARCH THROUGH FL-DEP ON THEIR REQUIREMENTS AND HAS PASSED THAT ON TO ATTORNEY GOODMAN. ATTORNEY GOODMAN IS DOING SOME WORDING ON THE POLICY AND WILL GET IT TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REPORTED HE HAD DONE THE INITIAL DRAFT ON THE POLICY AND SENT TODD A COPY OF IT THIS MORNING. ALL HE NEEDS TO DO IS SIT DOWN WITH MR. BARFIELD AND MR. JOYNER AND MAKE SURE; HE IS OKAY WITH THE LEGAL STUFF BUT AS FAR AS HOW THE APPLICATION OF HOW THEY WANT TO RUN IT THROUGH PUBLIC WORKS GOES, HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE TODD AND STEVE IS COMFORTABLE WITH THAT BEFORE HE IMPLEMENTS IT INTO A POLICY. IF THE POLICY IS NOT READY BY THE MAY MEETING, IT WILL BE READY BY THE JUNE MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO TALK ABOUT THE WATER TESTS; BUT, BEFORE HE CAN FINALIZE ANYTHING, HE WANTS TO GO ALL THE WAY THROUGH THE STEPS. HE WANTS TO WORK WITH CLIFF AS HE HAS SOME QUESTIONS FOR HIM. HE IS THINKING THE BOARD CAN DO IT IN TWO PARTS IN SPEAKING OF REMOVING THE TWO OR THREE DRINKING FOUNTAINS AND REDUCING THE COUNTY'S COST. HE STILL PLANS ON BEING ON THE AGENDA FOR THE MAY

26TH MEETING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO WHAT STEVE AND ATTORNEY GOODMAN WAS TALKING ABOUT ON THE STOCKPILING OF MILLED ASPHALT AND GOING THROUGH ALL THIS RIGMAROLE. IT PUZZLES HIM WHY WASHINGTON COUNTY IS THE ONLY COUNTY IN THE SURROUNDING AREAS SINGLED OUT; ALL THE OTHER COUNTIES HAVE STOCKPILED MILLED ASPHALT. THE STATE HAS AND EVERYBODY HAS GOT IT. THE BOARD HAS BEEN TALKING ABOUT THIS ONE LITTLE ISSUE FOR SEVERAL MONTHS AND THEY CAN'T EVEN PUT MILLED ASPHALT IN THEIR COUNTY PITS; BUT, EVERYBODY ELSE HAS THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK THIS WAS COMING. COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED PART OF IT HAD TO DO WITH FL-DEP REGULATIONS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT THEY ALREADY HAD THE OKAY ON EVERY BIT OF THAT. BUT, WHAT HE WANTS TO KNOW, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THIS BOARD, WHY WASHINGTON COUNTY IS SINGLED OUT AND CAN'T GET MILLED ASPHALT FROM FL-DOT AND THEY HAVE MOUNTAINS OF IT. OTHER COUNTIES ARE GETTING IT AND ARE PUTTING IT OUT EVERY DAY. HE HASN'T BEEN TOLD THE REASON WHY THIS BOARD HAS BEEN SINGLED OUT AND CAN'T GET MILLED ASPHALT FROM FL-DOT. THIS COUNTY IS JUST AS ENTITLED TO THAT MILLED ASPHALT AS THE TAXPAYERS AND CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA AS ANY OTHER COUNTY AND THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO GET THEIR PART, WHATEVER IT IS. SOMEBODY, SOMEWHERE NEEDS TO FIND OUT THAT ANSWER; IF IT IS AN ATTORNEY OR SOMEBODY BECAUSE THERE ARE ROADS BEING BUILT AND FL-DOT HAS A MOUNTAIN OF MILLED ASPHALT. HE SAID PANAMA CITY, LYNN HAVEN GOES AND GETS IT, THE COUNTY HAULS IT TO FOUNTAIN; THEY ARE WORKING EVERYWHERE DOWN THERE PUTTING MILLED ASPHALT AND HE WANTS TO KNOW WHY WASHINGTON COUNTY CAN'T GET NONE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HIS QUESTION IS WHY IS THE COUNTY NOT STORING MILLED ASPHALT OR IS IT WHY DOES IT SEEM THE FL-DOT IS UNWILLING TO COOPERATE IN GIVING WASHINGTON COUNTY MILLED ASPHALT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS ASKING BOTH THOSE QUESTIONS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN, FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT, SAID HE GUESSED THEY COULD DO SOME RESEARCH ON WHY THEIR POLICY IS THE WAY IT IS. THEIR POLICY PRETTY CLEARLY STATES THEY ARE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO STORE MILLED ASPHALT. THAT IS WHAT HE IS GETTING CHANGED NOW THAT THEY HAVE FL-DEP SAYING AS LONG AS IT IS LESS THAN 9000 SQUARE FEET, THE COUNTY DON'T NEED ANY PERMITTING. FROM A STANDPOINT, WHY FL-DOT FROWNS UPON WASHINGTON COUNTY VERSUS JACKSON COUNTY OR BAY COUNTY OR ANY OTHER COUNTY, HE THINKS THEY CAN DO SOME LEG WORK TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT IF THERE IS ANY REASON THEY CAN GIVE OFFICIAL OR UNOFFICIAL THE FL-DOT'S TAKE ON THAT. HE THINKS THAT HIM AND STEVE CAN RUN THAT DOWN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED, AS FAR AS HIS PART WHEN HE CAME BACK ON THIS BOARD, HE WAS TOLD IT WAS IN THE POLICY THEY HAD TO MAKE A REQUEST FOR THE ROAD, PUT IT IN WRITING, THE ADMINISTRATOR SENT IT TO FL-DOT TO BE APPROVED. HE DID THAT ONCE AND HE HAULED FROM FL-DOT TO IRA ROAD, THE END OF STRICKLAND ROAD AND TO THE END OF SUGAR DOLL POTTER ROAD. THERE WAS 100 PLUS LOADS AND THAT IS ALL HE EVER GOT FROM FL-DOT. THE OTHER SIDE OF THE COUNTY HE THINKS HAD BIG STOCKPILES OF MILLED ASPHALT ON HIGHWAY 231; BUT, THEY HAD A REQUEST AND WHEN THEY WENT TO GET IT, THEY GOT IT AND THEY WANTED THEM TO GO AHEAD AND GET IT ALL. HE THINKS THINGS SORT OF LINGER IN GETTING AWAY FROM WHAT FL-DOT; BUT, HE THINKS FL-DOT IS JUST AS MUCH BLAME AS ANYBODY ELSE. FL-DOT WANTED YOU TO GET THE MILLED ASPHALT; THEY DIDN'T WANT ANY LEFT IN CERTAIN AREAS WHICH WAS FL-DOT ASPHALT. HE KNOWS AS FAR AS HIM, HE HADN'T VIOLATED NO POLICY. HE HAS GOT CONTRACT ASPHALT AND HE HAS BOUGHT MILLED ASPHALT OUT OF HIS MATERIALS BUDGET THAT WAS STOCKPILED THAT HAD ACTUALLY NOTHING TO DO WITH FL-DOT ASPHALT. IT WAS CONTRACTOR'S. THERE WAS A MIXUP AND IF FL-DOT THINKS DISTRICT III COMMISSIONER STOCKPILED FL-DOT ASPHALT, THEY ARE BAD WRONG. HE HAS NEVER; IT WAS PUT OUT. HE HAS STOCKPILED CONTRACTING ASPHALT AND HE HAS BOUGHT MILLED ASPHALT FROM HIS MATERIAL BUDGET. HE WANTED TO MAKE THAT CLEAR. HE WANTS THIS BOARD TO HAVE

THEIR PART OF MILLED ASPHALT FROM FL-DOT AS ALL THE OTHER COUNTIES' COMMISSIONERS ARE GETTING FROM FL-DOT AND HE WANTS TO BE TOLD WHY WASHINGTON COUNTY CAN'T GET ANY MILLED ASPHALT FROM FL-DOT.

MR. JOYNER AGREED TO RESEARCH THIS MATTER AND FIND OUT FROM FL-DOT WHY THE COUNTY CAN'T GET A LETTER FROM FL-DOT EITHER WAY FROM SOMEBODY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE COULD EXPLAIN ABOUT THE LETTERS BECAUSE HE WAS THERE WHEN JIMMIE ROGERS TOLD THE BOARD THEY WOULD HAVE TO WRITE A LETTER STATING WHAT ROAD THE MILLED ASPHALT WAS GOING ON AND IT ALL WENT THROUGH THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AT THAT TIME. WHEN YOU GOT THE MILLED ASPHALT FOR A ROAD, THEY BETTER PUT IT THERE BECAUSE THEY WERE OUT THERE ON SOME OTHER ISSUE AND THEY SAID BY THE WAY, THE COUNTY IS GOING TO GET 144 LOADS FOR EARL GILBERT ROAD. THE CITY OF CHIPLEY HAD TOLD FL-DOT THE COUNTY NEEDED 144 LOADS OF MILLED ASPHALT AND THE COUNTY WOULD PUT IT DOWN. THE FIRST INDICATION HE OR MR. HERBERT HAD WAS SITTING IN THAT MEETING AND WHEN IT WAS FINISHED UP, MR. ROGERS SAID ALL MILLED ASPHALT THE COUNTY WAS CALLING THEM AND ASKING FOR, GOES THROUGH THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR. THAT IS WHAT THE COUNTY DID. THERE WAS ONE INCIDENT WHERE THERE WAS STOCKPILED MILLED ASPHALT AT THE SOD FARM; BUT, THE REASON THAT WAS STOCKPILED THERE WAS BECAUSE THE CONTRACTOR PUT IT THERE TO KEEP THE COUNTY FROM HAVING TO HAUL IT FROM MARIANNA. THERE WAS A CERTAIN NUMBER OF LOADS TO BE USED ON THOSE ROADS AND AS FAR AS HE KNOWS, MOST OF THAT WAS PUT ON THE ROADS. THE REASON HE SAYS MOST OF IT BECAUSE WHEN HE GOT A PHONE CALL ONE DAY SAYING THE TRUCKS WAS OVERLOADING AND HAULING TO A PLACE TO HAVE A LITTLE MORE OUT THERE THAN NORMAL TRUCK LOADS, HE SAID WAIT A MINUTE, THEY BETTER HAVE ENOUGH FOR THE PROJECT HE HAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THOUGHT IN HIS OPINION ON THE HIGHWAY 277 PROJECT, THAT HAS WHEN THE CITY OF CHIPLEY FOR EARL GILBERT ROAD, THERE WAS A BIG MIXUP ON THAT PROJECT WITH FL-DOT ON LOAD COUNTS BECAUSE. HE SAID HE WOULD SAY THIS BECAUSE THE PERSON IN CHARGE

OF A CERTAIN WEEK WAS ON VACATION AND THE MILLED ASPHALT WAS MILLED AND HAULED AND TO WHERE IT WENT, HE DON'T KNOW. BUT, IT DID NOT GO TO THE CITY OF CHIPLEY AND IT DID NOT GO TO WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE WAS TOLD IT WENT TO THE OLD DIXIE LILLY PLANT AND STOCKPILED. HE DON'T KNOW THAT AND HE DIDN'T CONFIRM THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THAT; BUT, HE CORRECTED COMMISSIONER BROCK. THAT MILLED ASPHALT THAT CAME OFF OF HIGHWAY 277 WAS HAULED OUT THERE AND DUMPED ON GILBERT MILL ROAD STARTING ON THE WEST END WORKING THIS WAY UP. THEY WERE PUTTING IT OUT THERE AND DUMPING IT AND THE COUNTY'S PEOPLE WAS SPREADING IT THERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED HE THOUGHT THERE WAS A LOT OF MIXUP; THERE WAS TIMES THERE WOULDN'T NO COUNTS ON ASPHALT. THE NEXT THING YOU STARTED HEARING RUMORS. HE WANTED TO TRY AND GET THIS CORRECTED SO WASHINGTON COUNTY CAN GET BACK GETTING FL-DOT MILLED ASPHALT IN WORKING WITH GOOD FAITH WITH THE STATE. THAT IS ALL HE WANTS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT LOOKS LIKE THINGS ARE BEGINNING TO CHANGE FOR THE GOOD; THEY HAVE PEOPLE WORKING TOGETHER TRYING TO BRING THIS COUNTY AHEAD. HE IS GOING TO DO EVERYTHING HE CAN TO SUPPORT THOSE FOLKS. THE BOARD WELCOMES PARTICIPATION IN THEIR MEETINGS; BUT, PARTICIPATION IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE CIVIL AND NOT TAKE UP WAY TOO MUCH TIME SOMETIMES. HE APPRECIATES EVERYBODY'S HELP IN THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE WOULD BE MOVED TO A DIFFERENT POSITION ON THE AGENDA FOR THE MAY 26TH MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST: _____

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN