

MAY 26, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 5:00 P.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

DEPUTY TAYLOR PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. COMMISSIONER PATE OFFERED THE INVOCATION WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, STEVE JOYNER, PRESENTED A PLAQUE TO WILFORD COLEMAN IN APPRECIATION FOR HIS YEARS OF SERVICE AT PUBLIC WORKS FROM JUNE 1979 TO JUNE 2011.

CHAIRMAN PATE RECESSED THE MEETING FOR FIFTEEN MINUTES.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE PREVIOUS MINUTES FOR MARCH 31, APRIL 13, APRIL 18 AND APRIL 28, 2011 MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA MINUS ITEMS E & G:

A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR APRIL 2011 TOTALING \$2,042,435.66.

B. SMSC POSITION DESIGNATION APPROVING THE DESIGNATION OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR POSITION AS SENIOR MANAGEMENT AS PER ADVERTISEMENT, ALIGNING DIRECTOR POSITION WITH THE OTHER DIVISION POSITIONS RETIREMENT DESIGNATION.

C. CHANGE IN WORK HOURS FOR LIBRARY DIRECTOR-REDUCTION OF HOURS FROM 40 HOURS PER WEEK TO 30 HOURS PER WEEK FOR LINDA NORTON; ANOTHER EMPLOYEE WILL INCREASE THEIR HOURS FROM 27 HOURS PER WEEK TO 34 HOURS PER WEEK WITH NO PAY INCREASE INVOLVED. THE REQUEST WILL BE A BENEFIT TO MS. NORTON AND WILL BE AT NO COST TO THE COUNTY BUDGET AND ALLOWS THE OPERATION OF THE LIBRARY TO FUNCTION AS USUAL.

D. LETTER OF CONCURRENCE FOR TOWN OF EBRO TO APPLY FOR A USDA WATER LOAN AND/OR GRANT TO CONSTRUCT A POTABLE WATER SYSTEM FOR RESIDENCES OF EBRO.

F. PROCLAMATION AND PLEDGE OF CIVILITY IN THE MONTH OF MAY STACY WEBB, COUNTY GRANTS PERSON, UPDATED THE BOARD ON ITEM E, HISTORICAL PRESERVATION COVENANT ON SHIPES-HOLLEY HOUSE. SHE SAID THE BOARD, AT THEIR MAY 16TH WORKSHOP, HAD ASKED MR. PITTS, COUNTY BUILDING OFFICIAL, TO LOOK AT THE SHIPES-HOLLEY HOUSE OFF OF HIGHWAY 79 IN EBRO. MR. PITTS NOTICED THERE WERE SEVERAL EXTERIOR BOARDS THAT WERE ROTTEN AND HAD HOLES IN THEM. THERE WAS A LOT OF CONCERN ABOUT THE STRUCTURE. AFTER REPORTING THIS INFORMATION TO HER, MS. WEBB SAID SHE CALLED FL-DOT TO CONFIRM EXACTLY WHAT THEIR INTENT WAS IN MOVING THE HOUSE. FIRST OF ALL, THE HOUSE MOVER DID SAY THE STRUCTURE WAS MOVABLE AND ONCE THEY GOT THE HOUSE AT THE DANIELS LAKE SITE, SET IT UP, THEY WILL REPLACE THE EXTERIOR BOARDS AND BRING THE HOUSE BACK UP TO THE HISTORICAL INTEGRITY THAT IT HAS HAD FOR SO MANY YEARS. FL-DOT IS REQUIRED TO DO THAT BASED ON THE DOCUMENT ON THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR STANDARDS. ALL THAT DOCUMENT STATES IS THEY WILL BRING THE STRUCTURE UP TO ITS HISTORICAL INTEGRITY. THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED, IF THEY ENTER INTO THIS AGREEMENT, TO MAINTAIN THE HOUSE FOR TEN YEARS. MR. PITTS DID SAY ONCE THOSE INTERIOR BOARDS WERE REPLACED, THERE WAS A METAL ROOF ON IT AND IT DOES SHOW SOME AGE; BUT, IT WOULD WITHSTAND TEN YEARS ALONG WITH THE SIDING.

MR. PITTS ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE HOUSE HAVING AN AWFUL LOT

OF SIDING DAMAGE ON IT. IT APPEARS TO BE PINE LAP SIDING AND THEN HE RECALLED THE ORIGINAL NEGOTIATIONS WITH FL-DOT ON THE HOUSE; THEY SAID THEY WOULD REPAIR THE OUTSIDE. THAT IS WHAT STACY HAS FOUND OUT. FL-DOT HAS SAID THEY WILL REPLACE ANY BOARDS THAT NEED TO BE REPLACED ON THE HOUSE. THE HOUSE IS IN VERY ROUGH SHAPE ON THE OUTSIDE; BUT, FL-DOT HAS AGREED TO REPLACE ALL BOARDS THAT NEED TO BE REPLACED.

MR. PITTS ADDRESSED THE ROOF OF THE HOUSE IS IN DECENT SHAPE; THE TIMBERS UNDERNEATH IT ARE HAND HEWN LITARD BEAMS SO HE WOULD IMAGINE THEY WOULD BE THERE FOR A LONG TIME.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED MR. PITTS WHAT HIS RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO THE BOARD. MR. PITTS SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION; BUT, HE WOULD SAY IF FL-DOT REPAIRS THE HOUSE AS THEY HAVE TOLD US THEY WILL DO, THE HOUSE WOULD EASILY LAST THE TEN YEARS WITH VERY LITTLE MAINTENANCE ON IT. IT IS UNPAINTED, UNTREATED WOOD. THESE HOUSES WERE BUILT IN THE TURN OF THE CENTURY AND IT IS WEATHERED PINE; BUT, IT SHOULD STILL LAST FOR MANY, MANY YEARS BUILT THE WAY IT IS WITH THE LAP SIDING THAT ALLOWS THE WATER TO RUN OFF.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED THE ROOF LASTING ANOTHER TEN YEARS. MR. PITTS SAID THE ROOF SHOWS A LITTLE BIT OF RUST ON THE FRONT OF THE HOUSE; IT APPEARS TO BE A FAIRLY HEAVY GAUGE METAL ROOF ON IT. HE ESTIMATED THE ROOF WAS TEN TO FIFTEEN YEARS OLD AND THOSE ROOFS ARE TYPICALLY GOOD FOR FORTY TO FIFTY YEARS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED FL-DOT ASKING THE COUNTY TO ENTER INTO A COVENANT; HAS THE COUNTY BEEN GIVEN ANYTHING FROM FL-DOT.

STACY PROVIDED ATTORNEY GOODMAN A COPY OF THE COVENANT AGREEMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD IF THEY WOULD LIKE FOR HIM TO REVIEW IT, WHEN IT WAS HIS TIME TO REPORT TO THE BOARD, HE WOULD BE MORE THAN GLAD TO DISCUSS IT WITH THEM. HE JUST NEEDS TIME TO REVIEW IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IF THIS ITEM IS NOT A BIG RUSH, HE WOULD

LIKE TO READ THE COVENANT ALSO. COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO TABLE THE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION COVENANT ON THE SHIPES-HOLLEY HOUSE UNTIL THE JUNE MEETING TO GIVE THE ATTORNEY AND BOARD TIME TO REVIEW IT.

MR. PITTS TOLD THE BOARD THEY DID APPROVE TO ACCEPT THE SHIPES-HOLLEY HOUSE SEVERAL MONTHS AGO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK WANTED TO KNOW WHO WAS GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DRAWING THE GUIDELINES OUT TO BRING THE HOUSE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE BUILDING CODES FOR FL-DOT BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO FOOT THE BILL FOR IT.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED IT IS NOT A CODE COMPLIANCE ISSUE; IT IS A COSMETIC ISSUE. WHEN FL-DOT SETS THE HOUSE UP, IT WILL CERTAINLY HAVE TO BE SET UP TO CODE; BUT, THE EXTERIOR OF THE HOUSE IS NOT A CODE ISSUE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS TALKING ABOUT THE SIDING MR. PITTS MENTIONED, POSSIBLY THE ROOF, ETC. MR. PITTS ADDRESSED THIS STILL WAS NOT A CODE ISSUE. THE AGREEMENT FL-DOT HAS OFFERED, THAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAS A COPY OF, SAYS ONCE THEY MOVE IT AND REPLACE THE SIDING THAT NEEDS TO BE REPLACED, THE COUNTY WILL MAINTAIN IT FOR TEN YEARS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT IS WHAT HE IS GETTING TOO; WHO IS GOING TO SAY WHO DOES WHAT. THAT IS MAINLY HIS QUESTION.

MR. PITTS THOUGHT THE COVENANT DOCUMENT HAS THAT LANGUAGE IN IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED IT WOULD BE IN THE CONTRACT WITH THE STATE AND IT WOULD BE UP TO THE COUNTY AND THEIR MAINTENANCE TO TAKE CARE OF IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED HE THOUGHT PART OF COMMISSIONER BROCK'S MOTION TO TABLE THE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION COVENANT ON THE SHIPES HOLLEY HOUSE WAS TO GIVE HIM AND THE BOARD TIME TO REVIEW IT AND FEEL COMFORTABLE BEFORE THEY EXECUTE IT.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED ITEM G ON THE CONSENT AGENDA; LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND HABILITATIVE SERVICES OF NORTH FLORIDA'S HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM. HE ASKED IF THIS WAS THE BIG BEND OPERATION THAT IS IN THE OLD CHILDREN AND FAMILIES.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW THOUGHT IT WAS A SEPARATE ORGANIZATION THAN BIG BEND; BUT, IN THE SAME FACILITY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID BIG BEND IS THE ONE THAT HELPS THE HEALTHY FAMILIES. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS A LETTER FROM HEALTHY FAMILIES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THIS WAS GUARDIAN AD LITEM. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID IT WAS NOT GUARDIAN AD LITEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THERE WERE TWO AGENCIES IN THAT BUILDING; GUARDIAN AD LITEM AND BIG BEND WHICH IS HABILITATIVE SERVICES OF NORTH FLORIDA ALSO KNOWN AS THE HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING FOR HABILITATIVE SERVICES OF NORTH FLORIDA'S HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM WAS ON THE BACK PAGE OF THE BOARD'S PACKET. SHE EXPLAINED THEY DON'T PAY THE BOARD ANYTHING FOR RENT; THE \$12,800 LISTED ON THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING FOR RENT IS USED FOR AN INKIND MATCH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF ANYBODY KNEW WHAT AGENCY THIS IS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED HE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT AGENCY IT IS AND HE DOESN'T KNOW WHO DRAFTED THE AGREEMENT; BUT, FROM A LIABILITY STANDPOINT, IT IS A VERY BASIC AGREEMENT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT IS THE AGENCY AND WHAT BUILDING DO THEY OCCUPY. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT IS A GREAT QUESTION.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED THEY WERE LOCATED AT 1375 SOUTH BOULEVARD. THE BOARD ENTERS INTO THE MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT FOR RENT WITH THE HABILITATIVE SERVICES OF NORTH FLORIDA'S HEALTHY

FAMILIES PROGRAM EACH YEAR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF THIS IS THE BUILDING HE IS THINKING OF, LAST YEAR'S UTILITIES COST THE TAXPAYERS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY \$7,311.30. ALSO, ON THE NOTE ATTACHED TO HIS BULLETIN, IT SAYS THEY WOULD LIKE FOR THE COUNTY TO WAIVE THE \$12,800 RENT THE COUNTY COULD POSSIBLY BE GETTING. HE TALKED TO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF BIG BEND AND WAS INFORMED BIG BEND IS PAYING \$28,668 A YEAR. HE IS REALLY CONFUSED OF WHAT BUILDING THIS IS.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW EXPLAINED THAT IS WHY SHE SAID IT WAS A DIFFERENT AGENCY THAN BIG BEND BECAUSE BIG BEND DOES PAY THE COUNTY RENT; THE BOARD HAS BEEN LETTING HABILITATIVE SERVICES OF NORTH FLORIDA'S HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM USE THE RENT AMOUNT AS AN INKIND MATCH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO TABLE ITEM G ON THE CONSENT AGENDA UNTIL THEY CAN GET SOME FURTHER INFORMATION. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE REALLY HAS A PROBLEM APPROVING THIS WHEN THEY DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT BUILDING IT IS OR WHAT AGENCY.

JAMES WALSHINGHAM, COACH FOR THE WASHINGTON COUNTY DIXIE BOYS ALLSTAR TEAM, GAVE THE BOARD BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE BALL TEAM. THE TEAM WORKED WITH HIM LAST YEAR AND THEY WON ONE BALLGAME LAST YEAR; THEY WENT FROM WINNING ONE BALLGAME TO GOING TO THE STATE CHAMPIONSHIP THIS YEAR IN SEBRING, FLORIDA. THEY WERE HERE TODAY TO REQUEST SUPPORT FROM THE BOARD TO GET THE TEAM TO THE TOURNAMENT. THE TEAM WILL LEAVE ON JULY 8TH AND THE TOURNAMENT RUNS FROM JULY 8TH TO JULY 13TH. THEY HAVE ALREADY SET UP SOME FUND RAISERS; THEY WILL BE COOKING SOME BOSTON BUTTS. THEY WILL PRE-SELL SOME AND ALSO SELL SOME DURING THE WATERMELON FESTIVAL; THEY ARE ALSO HOLDING A CAR WASH DURING THE WATERMELON FESTIVAL AT ADVANCED AUTO PARTS. ANY SUPPORT THE BOARD CAN HELP THEM WITH WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT CONGRATULATED THE BALL TEAM AND TOLD MR. WALSHINGHAM THE CHIPLEY KIWANIS CLUB HAD SOME FUNDS SET ASIDE FOR

BALL PLAYERS GOING TO STATE. HE ASKED MR. WALSINGHAM TO REQUEST FUNDS FROM THE KIWANIS CLUB ALSO.

MR. WALSINGHAM SAID THE TEAM WAS LOOKING AT NEEDING \$7,000 FOR THEIR TRIP IF THEY STAY THE WHOLE TIME; THEY WILL RAISE ALL THEY CAN AND IF THEY HAVE ANYTHING OVER, THEY ARE GOING TO DONATE TO SOME KIND OF CHARITY. MAYBE EVEN GIVE IT BACK TO THE KIWANIS CLUB WHEN THEY GET BACK. BUT RIGHT NOW, THEY HAVE A LOT OF FUNDS TO RAISE IN A SHORT TIME.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT HAS BEEN MANY YEARS A TRADITION OF THE BOARD TO TRY TO HELP REWARD THEIR KIDS WHEN SOMETHING LIKE THIS COMES OUT FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY. REALLY IT IS AN HONOR FOR THESE KIDS TO GET TO GO TO THE STATE TOURNAMENTS DOWN SOUTH. IT TAKES A LOT OF MONEY FOR MOTELS, FOOD AND ORGANIZATION. USUALLY THE BOARD HE HAS SET ON COMES OUT AND HELPS THE KIDS SOME. HE CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT THE DOLLAR FIGURE WAS THEY GAVE; BUT, IF THE BOARD AND THE ATTORNEY WILL HELP HIM WITH THIS AND AGREES, HE WAS WANTING TO DONATE HIS LAND SALES MONEY FOR DISTRICT III TO THE BALL TEAM.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID LET'S BE COGNIZANT OF EVERYTHING WE CAN DO FROM A PRIVATE STANDPOINT FOR THE BALLTEAM. HE TOLD MR. WALSINGHAM IF HE WOULD COME SEE HIM AT HIS LAW OFFICE, HE WILL MAKE A DONATION TO THE TEAM AND HE THINKS THE INDIVIDUAL COMMISSIONERS WILL TAKE IT UPON THEMSELVES AND THE COUNTY MANAGER WILL SUPPORT THE TEAM IN ALL OF THEIR PRIVATE ENDEAVORS ANY WAY THEY CAN BECAUSE THEY WANT THE TEAM TO DO WELL. HE KNOWS THE BOARD WILL TAKE IT UPON THEMSELVES TO ACT AS THEY SEE PRUDENT IN THEIR CAPACITY, NOT ONLY BOARD MEMBERS BUT INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THIS COMMUNITY, TO MAKE SURE THEY DO EVERYTHING THEY CAN TO GET THE TEAM TO THE TOURNAMENT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD MR. WALSINGHAM HE GUESSED WHAT THEY ARE TELLING HIM NOW THEY HAVE QUIT DOING THIS. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE IS TELLING THE BOARD THERE IS A CREATIVE WAY TO DO IT AND THAT IS LETS BE VERY GENEROUS IN OUR DONATIONS TO THIS GROUP

AND MAKE SURE THEY GET THERE.

MR. WALSHINGHAM ASKED IF HE COULD GO TO SOMEONE HERE IN THE COUNTY WITH AN AD ADVERTISING THE BOSTON BUTTS THE TEAM IS GOING TO BE COOKING AND ADVERTISING THE CAR WASH. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY HAD THE NEWSPAPERS RIGHT HERE AND THAT IS PRETTY GOOD ADVERTISING RIGHT THERE.

KATHY FOSTER, FOSTER FOLLIES, GAVE HIM HER CARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT MADE A MOTION TO REDUCE THE WATER TESTING DOWN TO TWO LOCATIONS WITH THE COMPANY THAT HAS THE CONTRACT AND THEM LOCATIONS BEING ORANGE HILL AND BLUE LAKE. FLORIDA DEP HAS AGREED TO RELEASE THE REST OF THE LOCATIONS AND THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH HAS AGREED TO PICK THEM UP. THIS WILL SAVE A MINIMUM OF \$11,460 A YEAR TO START WITH. IN DOING THIS, THIS IS AN EFFORT TO SAVE TAXPAYERS MONEY WITH ALL THESE BUDGET CUTS WE ARE FACING. HE THINKS THIS BOARD NEEDS TO DO ALL IT CAN TO REDUCE THE EXPENDITURES. BY DOING THIS, THEY WILL NEED TO TAKE THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS OUT OF THE PARKS THAT HAVE GOT THEM NOW TO DO AWAY WITH THE TESTING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION TO REDUCE THE TESTING TO ORANGE HILL AND BLUE LAKE PARK LOCATIONS. THE MOTION DIED FOR A LACK OF A SECOND.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO BE ON RECORD BY REDUCING THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS, THEY HAVE THEM IN FOUR PARKS RIGHT NOW, THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO SAVE THE COUNTY TAXPAYERS \$11,460.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE UNDERSTANDS THAT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD STRICKLAND HE REALLY WOULD WHEN THEY LOOK AT CUTTING PEOPLE'S JOBS AND SENDING THEM HOME.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED SCHOOL WAS FIXING TO BE OUT, THESE PLAYGROUNDS ARE GOING TO BE FILLED WITH KIDS AND THEY ARE GOING TO NEED SOMETHING TO DRINK. HE TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TO MAYBE BRING THE WATER FOUNTAIN ISSUE BACK UP IN THE WINTER.

BID AWARDS-COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT THE BIDS THEY HAD WERE ON HOLD AND ARE STILL ON HOLD.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BIDS FOR THE FEMA MITIGATION PROJECTS STILL BEING ON HOLD. THEY HAVE BEEN HAVING SOME DISCUSSION WITH FEMA ON THE PW'S THAT WERE WRITTEN UP ON THE PROJECTS AND CHRIS HAS BEEN MAKING SOME PROGRESS WITH THEM; HOWEVER, IT IS GOING TO TAKE A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME. HOPEFULLY NEXT MONTH THEY WILL BE READY TO PRESENT SOMETHING TO THE BOARD. FEMA IS GOING BACK THROUGH THE PW'S INDIVIDUALLY AND LOOKING AT THE WAY THEY WERE WRITTEN UP TO SEE IF THEY CAN BE ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO MATCH IT UP BETTER WITH THE COUNTY'S ACTUAL COST BASED ON THE BIDS THEY RECEIVED.

COUNTY ENGINEER REPORT:

A. SCRAP SUBMITTAL WITH FL-DOT: CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING UNTIL JUNE 15TH TO SUBMIT WILDERNESS ROAD FOR SCRAP FUNDING. THE WHOLE PROJECT IS ABOUT A \$2.1 MILLION JOB AND IT IS SEVEN MILES OF WIDENING AND RESURFACING; IT IS TO BE WIDENED FROM 20' TO 22.' THEY WILL TRY AND SUBMIT THE GRANT PACKAGE TO FL-DOT TOMORROW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THERE WERE GOING TO BE SOME NEW CROSS DRAIN PIPES PUT ON WILDERNESS ROAD.

CLIFF EXPLAINED UNDER THE SCRAP PROGRAM, IT IS REALLY SET UP FOR RESURFACING. IN THE PAST IF THERE WAS ADDITIONAL WORK TO BE DONE BEYOND THE RESURFACING, THE COUNTY HAS BEEN ALLOWED TO DO THAT WORK. REALLY WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT DOING IS SETTING UP SOME ALTERNATES FOR DRAINAGE ON THE BID SCHEDULE AND IF IT TURNS OUT THEY CAN AFFORD TO DO IT, THEY WILL GET FL-DOT'S APPROVAL BEFORE THEY MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT WORK.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE COUNTY HAS TO AFFORD IT OUT OF THEIR BUDGET AND NOT OUT OF FL-DOT'S BUDGET. CLIFF ADVISED THE COUNTY HASN'T IN THE PAST.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE CAN REMEMBER WHEN THEY THOUGHT THEY HAD EVERYTHING COVERED AND THEY WERE ABOUT \$80,000 SHORT TOO. HE

JUST WANTS EVERYBODY TO BE AWARE OF IT THAT SCOP WOULD BE THE BETTER ONE; BUT, HE GUESSES THEY DON'T HAVE ANY SCOP COMING UP.

CLIFF ADVISED THEY HAD JUST SUBMITTED TWO SCOP PROJECTS. COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE TWO SCOP PROJECTS WERE FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION. WHAT HE WAS TRYING TO DO IS HE DON'T WANT EVERYBODY TO SAY EVERYTIME THEY ARE GOING TO DO A PROJECT, THEY ARE GOING TO USE THE SCOP PROGRAM FOR BUILDING A NEW ROAD WHEN THEY HAVE TWO OTHER THINGS. IT NEEDS TO BE BALANCED OUT WITH WIDENING OF ROADS AND ALL.

CLIFF POINTED OUT THE BOARD HAD AGREED ON SUBMITTING WILDERNESS ROAD FOR THEIR SCRAP SUBMITTAL AND HE WAS GIVING THEM AN UPDATE TO LET THEM KNOW IT WAS READY TO SUBMIT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED HE JUST WANTED THEM TO REMEMBER THERE WERE SOME CROSS DRAINS THAT NEED REPLACING IN WILDERNESS ROAD.

CLIFF SAID ALL THE CROSS DRAINS HAVE BEEN LOOKED AT ON THE ROAD AND THEY HAVE AN ESTIMATE TOGETHER ON WHAT THOSE WOULD COST; THE CROSS DRAINS ARE IN THE \$2.1 ESTIMATE. THE BIG UNKNOWN RIGHT NOW IS THIS PROJECT IS FOR 2014-2015 AND WHAT IS THE PRICE OF ASPHALT GOING TO BE AT THAT TIME. RIGHT NOW IT IS \$80 TO \$85 A TON; IN THREE OR FOUR MONTHS, HE HAS BEEN TOLD IT COULD BE UP TO AROUND \$90 TO \$95 A TON. JUST A FEW DOLLARS A TON WILL MAKE A HUGE DIFFERENCE ON A SEVEN MILE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK BRINGING UP CROSS DRAINS REMINDED HIM OF SOMETHING; WHEN THEY STARTED ON ORANGE HILL, THEY DIDN'T REALIZE THOSE CROSS DRAINS THERE WERE AS BAD AS THEY WERE. HE ASKED IF ANYBODY HAD REALLY CHECKED THE CROSS DRAINS ON WILDERNESS ROAD.

CLIFF SAID THEY HAD CHECKED EVERY SINGLE CROSS DRAIN ON WILDERNESS ROAD. THE PROBLEM IS THE SCRAP PROGRAM IS NOT SET UP TO ADDRESS DRAINAGE; IT IS SET UP TO ADDRESS RESURFACING.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THERE MIGHT BE SOME KIND OF DRAINAGE GRANT THAT MIGHT BE ABLE TO HELP WITH THE DRAINAGE ON THE WILDERNESS ROAD PROJECT. CLIFF ADVISED THERE WAS NONE HE WAS AWARE OF.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID ORANGE HILL HAS BEEN ON THE LIST AND UNDERSTANDS BIDS HAVE BEEN SENT OUT. HE DOESN'T KNOW WHO IS ACTUALLY RESPONSIBLE; BUT, HE KNOWS COMMISSIONER HOWELL WAS HEADING THAT UP WHEN HE WAS ON THE BOARD. ALL THE BIDS WERE TOO HIGH AND THEY WERE LOOKING AT DOING SOME REDUCTIONS ON SOME OF THE CROSS DRAINS. HE ASKED IF THEY KNOW WHO IS WORKING ON THIS PROJECT NOW.

CLIFF SAID THAT FL-DOT WAS HANDLING THE DESIGN/BUILD PROJECT ON ORANGE HILL; THEY PUT IT OUT FOR BID THE FIRST TIME, IF HE UNDERSTOOD IT RIGHT, WITH 100 YEAR REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL THE CROSS DRAINS AND THEY REDUCED IT TO 25 YEAR REQUIREMENTS TO TRY AND REDUCE THEIR COST HE BELIEVES. HE DON'T KNOW IF IT HAS BEEN READVERTISED FOR DESIGN/BUILD; BUT, HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS FL-DOT WAS GOING TO REBID THE PROJECT.

MR. BARFIELD SAID HE UNDERSTOOD FL-DOT WAS GOING TO REBID THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT IN JUNE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHICH PROGRAM WAS INITIATED AFTER THE FEDERAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TO THE RURAL AREAS. HE ASKED IF IT WAS THE SCRAP PROGRAM.

CLIFF THOUGHT COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS TALKING ABOUT THE STIMULUS PROJECTS. COMMISSIONER BROCK ADVISED HE WASN'T TALKING ABOUT THE STIMULUS PROJECTS; BUT, BACK WHEN THE SCRAP PROGRAM WAS ADOPTED ABOUT TEN TO TWELVE YEARS AGO.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS TALKING ABOUT THE ONES THE COUNTY HAS TO HAVE FEDERAL AID ON IN ORDER TO USE THEM ON. CLIFF SAID THAT WAS SCRAP.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED SOMETIMES THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM IS INCLUDED WITH ROAD PAVING; IT ALL GOES TOGETHER. HE DON'T KNOW WHY IF IT IS A FEDERAL ASSISTANT ROAD, THEY COULDN'T USE MONEY FOR DRAINAGE TOO BEING IT IS INVOLVED BECAUSE IT WAS INITIATED FROM THE FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO GIVE RURAL SMALL COUNTIES ASSISTANCE.

CLIFF REITERATED IN THE PAST THEY HAVE ALWAYS INCLUDED DRAINAGE AS AN ALTERNATE BID ITEM ON THEIR SCRAP JOBS AND IF THEY HAD ENOUGH

MONEY TO AFFORD IT, THEY GOT FL-DOT TO GIVE THEM THUMBS UP TO BE ABLE TO AWARD THAT WORK. THE PROBLEM IS SCRAP WAS SET UP FOR RESURFACING. SCOP IS THE PROGRAM SET UP TO SPECIFICALLY ADDRESS DRAINAGE BEING ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT THE ONLY ONE THEY FAILED TO BE ABLE TO DO IT WITH WAS ORANGE HILL BECAUSE THERE WAS SO MANY OF THE CROSS DRAINS THAT NEEDED REPLACING.

MR. BARFIELD ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON HIS UNDERSTANDING FROM MR. HOWELL WAS IN JUNE, FL-DOT WAS SUPPOSE TO BE RELETTING THE ORANGE HILL DRAINAGE. HE WILL KEEP THEM UPDATED AS SOON AS HE GETS WORD ON THAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THAT PROJECT WAS BEING FUNDED THROUGH STIMULUS. COMMISSIONER PATE AND ABBOTT SAID IT WAS ALL STATE AND NOT COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE KNOWS IT IS ALL STATE; BUT, A LOT OF THIS IS COMING FROM FEDERAL. CLIFF UNDERSTOOD THE ORANGE HILL DRAINAGE WAS BEING FUNDED FROM LEFT OVER STIMULUS FUNDS THAT ARE BEING ADMINISTERED THROUGH FL-DOT; BUT, THEY ARE FEDERAL DOLLARS IS HIS UNDERSTANDING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID MAYBE THEY COULD TIE WILDERNESS ROAD DRAINAGE IN WITH THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT IF THERE IS ENOUGH MONIES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THERE WASN'T ENOUGH MONEY; THAT IS WHY FL-DOT IS HAVING TO REBID THE ORANGE HILL DRAINAGE TO REDUCE THEIR REQUIREMENTS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT WAS SLIP PIPES GOING IN. MR. BARFIELD SAID IT IS BEING DESIGNED TO PUT ALL NEW RCP IN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY WOULD HAVE TO CUT THE HIGHWAY; THAT IS GOING TO BE HEAVY FUNDING. HE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAD TALKED ABOUT SLIP PIPES BEING PUT IN ON ORANGE HILL.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE BOARD COULDN'T FUND THIS AND HE IS GLAD TO LET THE STATE DO ANYTHING THEY WANT TO DO TO TAKE CARE OF THE

DRAINAGE ON ORANGE HILL.

CLIFF AGREED IT WAS A BIG PROJECT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THERE BEING SEVERAL AREAS THAT ARE CAVING IN ON THE ROAD.

MR. BARFIELD POINTED OUT IF THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT GETS DELAYED AGAIN, THE COUNTY WILL BE OUT THERE DOING SOME WORK ON THE CROSS DRAINS.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE NEW EBRO FIRE STATION. HE HAS DISCUSSED WITH FL-DOT AND SENT THEM COPIES OF THE COUNTY'S PRELIMINARY ALIGNMENT FOR THE DRIVEWAY ACCESS ONTO HIGHWAY 79. FL-DOT REVIEWED THE PLANS AND THE COUNTY'S SCHEME OF HOW THEY ARE GOING TO TIE EVERYTHING IN AT THE FIRE STATION AND INDICATED THEY WOULD WANT TO SEE THE NWFWM D PERMITS FOR STORMWATER, WHICH IT WILL REQUIRE. THE PAVEMENT AREA EXCEEDS THE EXEMPTION AMOUNT FOR NWFWM D. FL-DOT WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE A WHOLE SET OF PLANS FOR STORM WATER, GRADING, DRAINAGE, EROSION CONTROL SUBMITTED TO THEM FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO STARTING WORK. FL-DOT IS NOT GOING TO REQUIRE A FL-DOT CONNECTION PERMIT; BUT, BECAUSE THE COUNTY IS TYING INTO THEIR SYSTEM THEY WANT A COPY OF THE FINAL PLANS TO SHOW EXACTLY HOW THEY ARE TYING IN. HE DISCUSSED THIS WITH MAYOR TAYLOR EARLIER. THERE ARE GOING TO BE SOME ADDITIONAL COSTS; FL-DOT ALSO INDICATED THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT DRIVEWAY PAVED SINCE IT IS GOING TO BE ON AN 8% SLOPE. THAT IS THE ONLY WAY YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO HOLD THAT DRIVEWAY IN PLACE.

EBRO MAYOR, SHERRY TAYLOR ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE PROJECT GETTING BIGGER THAN ANYONE EXPECTED. WHEN EBRO HAD ASKED ABOUT MAKING A DRIVEWAY THERE FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, THEY HAD A VERBAL AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY THEY WOULD HELP IF FL-DOT ALLOWED THEM TO PUT A DRIVEWAY THERE. ANYTHING THE BOARD CAN DO TO HELP EBRO WITH THE DRIVEWAY, IT WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED. EBRO DOESN'T HAVE VERY MUCH MONEY TO DO THE PROJECT SO THEY ARE GOING TO JUST START PRAYING REAL HARD, TAKE IT ONE DAY AT A TIME AND SEE WHERE THEY CAN GO WITH IT. SHE SAID EBRO WOULD APPRECIATE ANYTHING THE BOARD COULD DO TO

HELP THEM.

CLIFF AS A PRELIMINARY ESTIMATE TOOK THE LENGTH AND WIDTH OF THE DRIVE AND FIGURED UP THE ASPHALT AT \$85 A TON, AND IT CAME TO ABOUT \$14,000 FOR THE ASPHALT ON THE JOB; NOT THE BASE OR THE EARTHWORK. THEY WILL NEED AT LEAST ONE TYPE C BOX AND SOME CONCRETE PIPES TO TIE IN. HE WANTED TO MAKE THE BOARD AWARE OF SOME COSTS THAT IS GOING TO BE ASSOCIATED WITH DOING THE DRIVEWAY FOR THE EBRO FIRE DEPARTMENT AND SEE WHAT DIRECTION THEY WANT TO GO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT RECOMMENDED SOMEBODY BRING SOME PLANS BACK TO THE BOARD. THE BOARD PREVIOUS TO HIM AGREED TO DO THIS FOR EBRO; BUT, HE CAN'T EVEN SAVE \$11,000 AND ASKED WHERE WERE THEY GOING TO FIND \$14,000.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED CLIFF TO BRING THE BOARD BACK THE DRAWINGS FOR THE EBRO FIRE DEPARTMENT DRIVEWAY PROJECT FOR REVIEW. CLIFF AGREED TO BRING THEM WHAT HE HAS.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON BAHOMA ROAD COMING ALONG GREAT. HE BELIEVES THEY ARE GOING TO MAKE THE TIME FRAME OKAY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID ALL HE WANTED CLIFF TO DO WAS TO GET THE OTHER CAP ON BONNETT POND BEFORE THE DEADLINE. CLIFF SAID HE THOUGHT THEY COULD MAKE THE TIME FRAME ON THE BONNETT POND PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHAT THE WORST CASE SCENARIO IS IF THEY DON'T MEET THE TIME LINE. CLIFF TOLD HIM THE COUNTY WOULD LOSE \$250,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHO IS ATTACHED TO THAT \$250,000. CLIFF SAID FL-DOT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED AGAIN WHO IS REALLY ATTACHED TO THE \$250,000.

CLIFF ASKED WHAT HE MEANT BY BEING ATTACHED TO IT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHO IS RESPONSIBLE IF IT DON'T GET DONE IN TIME.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID GOING INTO THIS, THEY SAID THEY COULD DO IT WITHIN THE TIME FRAME AND NOW HE IS HEARING MAYBE THEY CAN'T. WHAT ARE THEY DOING TO CORRECT THIS TO MAKE SURE THE PROJECTS ARE COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME FRAME.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THEY HAVE EXPEDITED EVERY POSSIBLE WAY THEY CAN EXPEDITE THAT PROJECT. STEVE AND TODD HAVE HELPED TREMENDOUSLY TO HELP GET IT DONE. IF COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS LOOKING AT WHO IS GOING TO PAY US BACK \$250,000 GRAND IF THEY DON'T GET IT DONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED HE WAS JUST SAYING THEY HAVE GOT TO GET IT DONE NOW SO THEY HAVE A PLAN THAT THEY ARE GOING TO GET IT DONE. CLIFF SAID IT IS IN HIGH GEAR.

MR. JOYNER THOUGHT C. W. ROBERTS COULD PUT A LITTLE MORE EFFORT INTO SOME LONGER DAYS TO ENSURE THE PROJECTS ARE COMPLETED ON TIME SO HE IS GOING TO TRY TO PUSH SOME OF THAT UP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE PROJECTS WERE GOING TO BE COMPLETED ON TIME; THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT \$250,000 AND THAT IS NOT SHORT CHANGE. AGAIN, HE SAID HE CAN'T EVEN SAVE \$11,000 AND THEY ARE LOOKING AT LOSING \$250,000.

CLIFF ADDRESSED IT BEING A BLESSING THE KIND OF WEATHER THEY HAVE HAD; C. W. ROBERTS PUT IN THE BIG CROSSDRAINS IN ABOUT FOUR DAYS AND THEY HAD TWO WEEKS PROGRAMMED TO GET THAT DONE.

MR. BARFIELD ADDED IN THIS SITUATION, HE WOULD PROBABLY BE WORKING SIXTEEN HOURS A WEEK; HE IS NOT SURE WHAT C. W. ROBERTS IS WORKING. ROBERTS WENT FROM WORKING ON THESE EVERY DAY TO THEY ARE ACTUALLY LOOKING AT PAVING, THEY TOLD US TWO WEEKS A LITTLE WHILE BACK BUT THEY ARE ACTUALLY LOOKING AT PAVING EITHER TUESDAY OR WEDNESDAY. THEY HAVE STEPPED UP AND ARE GETTING A LOT DONE. HE IS COMFORTABLE AT THIS POINT THEY WILL BE THROUGH WITH THE PROJECT ON TIME PENDING THE WEATHER HOLDS OUT, ETC. THEY ARE PUSHED UP ALMOST A MONTH FROM WHAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT. HE FEELS A LOT BETTER ABOUT THE PROJECT BEING DONE ON TIME THAN HE DID TWO OR THREE WEEKS AGO.

CLIFF SAID HE HAD A LOT OF CONFIDENCE IN C. W. ROBERTS ABILITIES. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DID TOO.

CLIFF REPORTED THEY WILL START DRESSING THE TOP SOIL ON BONNETT POND ROAD; C. W. ROBERTS CREWS WILL BE DOING FINAL DRESS WORK ON THIS PROJECT NEXT WEEK STARTING MONDAY. THEY ARE COMING ALONG GOOD. HE IS ANXIOUS TO CROSS THESE PROJECTS OFF THE LIST.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CLIFF TO BE SURE TO GO THROUGH BONNETT POND ROAD AND MAKE SURE CUTOUTS ARE DONE WHERE NEEDED. HE SAID HE HAS HIS DOUBTS ABOUT THIS ROAD.

CLIFF ASKED BROCK TO KEEP IN MIND THE CONTRACTOR IS GOING TO OWN THE ROAD FOR A YEAR. IF THERE ARE ANY KIND OF PROBLEMS, THEY ARE THE CONTRACTOR'S PROBLEM AND NOT THE COUNTY'S PROBLEM. THERE ARE SOME AREAS THAT WILL GET CUT OUT. THE COUNTY DOESN'T PAY TWICE FOR ASPHALT; WHATEVER C. W. HAS TO FIX IS ON THEM. HE THINKS THEY WILL BE OKAY.

SIMON SHEFFIELD ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING HE WAS A LITTLE UPSET ABOUT MONROE SHEFFIELD ROAD AT THEIR LAST MEETING AND HE THINKS HE SPOKE OUT OF TURN. HE APOLOGIZED TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD FOR SPEAKING OUT OF TURN. HE NOTICED THEY HAD AN EXCERPT ABOUT CONDUCT IN BOARD MEETINGS; HE SAW THAT AND WILL CONSIDER THIS EACH TIME HE TALKS TO THE BOARD.

COUNTY ATTORNEY REPORT:

1. PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY-ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM SUBMITTING A PROPOSED DRAFT OF A PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY AT THEIR WORKSHOP ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO. THE BOARD HAS ASKED THE COUNTY MANAGER BE NOTIFIED WHEN THERE IS A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST AND BE KEPT ON BOARD WITH THE PROGRESS OF THAT REQUEST. THIS HAS BEEN ADDED IN SECTION C3, SUBSECTION B. HE PASSED OUT A COPY OF THE PROPOSED DRAFT OF THE POLICY LANGUAGE AFFECTUATING THAT REQUESTED CHANGE BY THE BOARD. SPECIFICALLY HE WILL MAKE SURE THAT THE FILE POLICY IS NOT UNDERLINED; HE UNDERLINED IT FOR SAKE OF THIS TONIGHT SO IT WILL BE EASY TO FIND. THE STATEMENT SAYS: THE COUNTY MANAGER WILL BE KEPT ON BOARD WITH (1) THE REQUEST AND (2) THE PROGRESS OF THE

REQUEST. WITH THAT BEING SAID, HE WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO CONSIDER, IF NOT NOW AT THE NEXT MEETING IF THE BOARD NEEDS FURTHER TIME TO REVIEW IT; IF NOT, HE WOULD LIKE A MOTION TO APPROVE AND ADOPT THE PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY. HE REFERRED TO THEM HAVING A WORKSHOP ON THE POLICY IN APRIL, TALKED ABOUT IT IN THE WORKSHOP IN MAY AND HE THINKS THIS IS GOING TO GET THEM GOING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION WITH RESPECT TO ALL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST. BUT, THE SUBSTANTIAL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST ARE THE ONES THE POLICY IS GOING TO HELP THEM WITH TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE ALL PULLING IN THE SAME DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE PUBLIC RECORDS POLICY AS WRITTEN AND DATED MAY 2011.

2. AG CENTER AGREEMENT--ATTORNEY GOODMAN REFERRED TO THE BOARD IN DECEMBER OR JANUARY, WHEN ANDY ANDREASON ON BEHALF OF THE AG CENTER CAME TO THE BOARD AND EXPRESSED SOME THINGS THAT WERE GOING ON AT THE AG CENTER WITH RESPECT TO SOME EVENTS THAT WERE PROBABLY NOT IN THE COUNTY'S BEST INTEREST. HE AND ANDY HAS MET AND EXCHANGED EMAILS, ROGER HAGAN HAS MET WITH THEM ON A COUPLE OF OCCASIONS AND MR. CORBIN HAS ALSO BEEN KEPT IN THAT LOOP. THEY HAVE THROWN BACK AND FORTH IDEAS ON HOW TO MAKE THE CURRENT CONTRACT AND RULES FOR THE OPERATION OF THE AG CENTER BETTER. HE HANDED THE BOARD A PROPOSED RENTAL CONTRACT AND A PROPOSED RULES. HE WANTED TO GO OVER BOTH DOCUMENTS EVEN IF THE BOARD WANTS TO TAKE IT HOME AND REVIEW IT UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING, WHICH HE WOULD ENCOURAGE THEM TO DO. BUT, WHILE ANDY IS HERE TONIGHT, THEY WILL TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE CHANGES THEY HAVE MADE AND GET ANY FEEDBACK THE BOARD MAY HAVE ON THINGS THEY COULD TAKE BACK AND AT LEAST HAVE IT ADOPTED IN JUNE. HE EXPLAINED THE ISSUE WAS THE WAY THEIR OLD CONTRACT WAS SET UP AND THE WAY THEIR POLICY WORKED, THEY WERE HAVING INCIDENTS WITH WHERE THERE WERE SOME THINGS THAT WERE HAPPENING IN EXTENDED HOURS; NOT AT 9:00 OR 10:00 AT NIGHT BUT 2:00 OR 3:00 IN THE MORNING WITH RESPECT TO HOW THE AG CENTER WAS BEING USED. IT WAS PUTTING THE COUNTY IN A DIFFICULT

SITUATION. SOME THINGS THEY HAVE DONE IN THE RULES FOR RENTAL OPERATIONS AND RENTAL CONTRACT ARE THE FOLLOWING:

1. THEY HAVE INCREASED THE DEPOSIT REQUIREMENT FROM \$200 TO \$300. YOUR DEPOSIT IS WHAT YOU GET BACK IF THERE IS NOT DAMAGE TO THE FACILITY. THE GROUP FELT LIKE \$200 WAS A LITTLE BIT LOW WITH RESPECT TO SOME DAMAGES AND THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN DONE. THE \$300 WILL BE RETURNED TO THE LESSEE IF THE FACILITY IS IN GOOD SHAPE AFTER THE AGREEMENT. THEY ALSO KEPT IN AFFECT ALL THE CURRENT RENTAL FEES; THEY DIDN'T GO UP ON THE FEES. THEY WERE COGNIZANT THEY WANT THE COMMUNITY TO USE THE FACILITY; SO, THEY KEPT THE FEES SET AT WHAT THEY ARE. THEY DID FEEL LIKE THE SECURITY DEPOSIT IF YOU DO THE RIGHT THING, YOU ARE GOING TO GET IT BACK. THEY UNDERSTAND THIS PUTS SOME PEOPLE IN A LITTLE BIT MORE OF A PINCH OF HAVING TO COME UP WITH THAT MONEY ON THE FRONT END; SO, THEY FELT LIKE TO PROTECT THEMSELVES ON THE BACK END, THE PEOPLE WILL GET IT BACK IF THEY DO THE RIGHT THING, THEY FELT LIKE THAT INCREASE WAS WARRANTED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE OTHER THING THE GROUP HAS DONE THAT IS COMPLETELY DIFFERENT IS ADDED A SECURITY MONITOR FEE; THE THOUGHT PROCESS THERE FROM THE GROUP WAS MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY AFTER 5:00 P.M. AND SATURDAY AND SUNDAYS, THEY WOULD LIKE FOR THERE TO BE SOMEBODY FROM A SECURITY STANDPOINT AT THE AG CENTER AT CERTAIN HOURS OF THE NIGHT OR HAVE THE ABILITY TO BE THERE AND MAKE SURE IT IS CLOSED, LOCKED UP AND SHUT DOWN ON TIME. HE UNDERSTANDS THIS MAY GET SOME FEEDBACK FROM THE BOARD. THE GROUP HAD TRIED TO KEEP THOSE FEES MINIMAL AT \$50, \$70 AND \$90; THAT IS BASICALLY TO PAY FOR THE EXPENSE AFTER HOURS. THE PROBLEM THEY RUN INTO IS HOW DO THEY AFFECTUATE THE 11:00 P.M. THAT AG CENTER IS SHUT DOWN. THEY COULD HAVE AN EMPLOYEE GO OUT THERE AT 11:00 P.M. OR 8:00 P.M. AND ENSURE IT IS SHUT DOWN AND TAKE THE KEY. HE ASKED IF THAT IS SOMETHING THE BOARD WANTS TO PAY THAT EMPLOYEE TO DO OR SOMEBODY ELSE. HE ASKED DOES THE BOARD TRUST THE GOOD WORD OF THE PEOPLE WHO ARE RENTING IT THAT THEY WILL LEAVE AT 11:00 P.M. ON THEIR OWN WORD AND AT 8:00 A.M. THE

NEXT MORNING THEY WILL FIND IT IN GOOD SHAPE. THAT HAS BEEN THE PROBLEM THEY HAVE HAD THUSFAR WITH THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE RENTED IT AND BEEN THERE UNTIL 2:00 A.M. OR 3:00 A.M. AND THEY FIND OUT THE NEXT DAY. IT IS A PREDICAMENT THAT THE GROUP TRIED TO ADDRESS WITH THAT FEE AND THAT IS THE WAY THE GROUP FELT LIKE WAS THE BEST IN ADDRESSING MAKING SURE THE PEOPLE THAT WERE THERE (1) FELT SAFE AND (2) GAVE THE BOARD THE ABILITY NOT AT THE COST OF THE COUNTY TO MAKE SURE THAT BUILDING IS CLOSED OUT IF IT IS USED IN EXTENDED HOURS. THE GROUP ALSO LIMIT USAGE OF THE AG CENTER FROM 12 MIDNIGHT TO 11:00 P.M.; SO, THEY ROLLED THE USAGE BACK AN HOUR. THE MAJORITY OF THE OTHER ADDED LANGUAGE IS LAWYER LANGUAGE WITH RESPECT TO INDEMNIFICATION AND ANY COST ASSOCIATED WITH ANY LAWSUIT THE BOARD MAY HAVE TO BRING. THERE ARE SOME OTHER THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN ADDED IN THE RENTAL AGREEMENT; BUT, THAT IS JUST TO PROTECT THE COUNTY IN CASE SOMETHING REALLY BAD HAPPENS AT THE EVENT. HE FELT LIKE FROM A LIABILITY STANDPOINT, HE WANTED TO PUT THEM IN A BETTER SITUATION. HE REALLY WANTS THE BOARD TO TAKE NOTICE OF THE GROUP'S RECOMMENDED KEY CHANGES; THAT IS THE BEEF OF THIS THING AND THE GROUP WOULD LOVE TO GET ANY FEEDBACK OR INPUT FROM THE BOARD THEY MAY HAVE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS CONCERN WITH THIS IS THEY HAVE ONE, MAYBE TWO, ORGANIZATIONS THAT IS THE PROBLEM SO THEY ARE CHANGING THE RULES FOR EVERYBODY TO FIX ONE OR TWO THAT IS BROKE. THAT IS HIS #1 CONCERN. THERE IS A LOT OF ORGANIZATIONS, WHETHER IT BE A CHRISTMAS PARTY, THANKSGIVING DINNER; IF THEY DO THIS FOR ONE, THEY WILL HAVE TO DO IT FOR THEM ALL AND 99.5% OF THE PEOPLE RENTING THE AG CENTER IS VERY RESPONSIBLE PEOPLE. HE HAS A PROBLEM CHANGING THE RULES FOR THE .5% THAT HAS A PROBLEM. THERE IS A WAY THEY NEED TO FIX THAT AND HE IS NOT SURE WHAT THE ANSWER IS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE THOUGHT THE PROBLEM THEY HAVE, AND IT IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE SOMETHING THE BOARD IS COMFORTABLE WITH, IS HOW DO THEY (1) MAKE SURE THAT IN HOURS THEY ARE CONCERNED WITH OR NOT CONCERNED WITH THAT (1) IT IS BEING USED PROPERLY AND (2) HOW

DO THEY ENSURE IT IS SHUT DOWN WHEN IT IS SUPPOSE TO BE SHUT DOWN. THAT IS REALLY WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO CURTAIL. THESE RAVES DON'T HAPPEN AT 10:00 AT NIGHT; THIS IS SOMETHING THAT HAPPENS AFTER 11 P.M. IN MOST WEDDINGS AND OTHER EVENTS, THEY ARE DONE BY 11 P.M. OR 12 P.M. HE HAS GIVEN SOME LEADWAY IN THE RENTAL CONTRACT; HE BASICALLY SAID WE CAN AMEND, WAIVE ALL RULES AND REQUIREMENTS AT OUR DISCRETION. HE AGREES WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT; THIS NEEDS TO BE THE POLICY, NOT THIS BEING THE ADJUSTMENT. THIS SHOULD BE WHAT HAPPENS THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE TIME. HE UNDERSTANDS COMMISSIONER ABBOTT'S CONCERN AND THE GROUP IS OPEN TO ANY IDEAS THE BOARD MAY HAVE. THIS IS WHAT THE GROUP IS PROPOSING AND THEY WILL BE GLAD TO GO BACK AND AMEND IT AT THE BOARD'S DISCRETION.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IF HE HAD TALKED TO ANDY ANDREASON ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON AND HOW THEY DID IT AND ALL THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS FAMILIAR WITH IT AND HE HAS GOTTEN SOME FEEDBACK. HE CAN'T SAY THAT HE AND ANDY HAS SPOKEN DIRECTLY ABOUT IT; HE AND DAVID HAS TALKED ABOUT IT ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS. HE HAS BEEN APPROACHED BY SOME OF THESE RAVE PEOPLE BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT ALLOWED TO RENT THE PLACE AND THEY WANT TO BE PUT BACK ON THE GOOD BOY LIST.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE RAVE GROUP HAS ALREADY BEEN RUN OUT OF JACKSON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE AND ANDY HASN'T SPOKEN ABOUT THAT EITHER NOR OR THEY GOING TO. HE JUST HAS A PROBLEM CHANGING THE LAW, MAKING A POLICY FOR EVERYBODY THAT THEY ARE ONLY TRYING TO FIX 1% TO 2%. THEY HAVE A CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT AND A SHERIFF DEPARTMENT; THEY LIKE STUFF LIKE THAT, CHECKING SECURITY. HE IS NOT SAYING THE POLICY IS NOT THE ANSWER. THAT WOULD BE SOME OF HIS CONCERNS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD THEY HAVE A GOOD DISCUSSION ABOUT THE PROPOSED AG CENTER POLICIES AT THEIR JUNE WORKSHOP. THAT WILL GIVE THE BOARD A CHANCE TO REVIEW IT AS

THIS IS THE FIRST TIME MOST OF THEM HAVE SEEN THE POLICIES.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE ALSO HAS MIXED FEELINGS ON THE POLICY; BUT, WHEN YOU STOP AND THINK ABOUT IT, MOST OF THE LAWS ARE MADE FOR 15% OF THE PEOPLE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS WHAT THE PROBLEM WITH OUR COUNTRY IS RIGHT NOW; THEY ARE MAKING LAWS FOR THE GOOD PEOPLE. HE IS NOT SUPPORTING THAT.

3. PARK AND RIDE AGREEMENT-ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD AT THEIR APRIL MEETING, THEY WERE APPROACHED WITH A PARK AND RIDE AGREEMENT. HE HAS ATTACHED A COPY OF SAID PARK AND RIDE AGREEMENT TO THE BACK OF A RESOLUTION. BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE AGREEMENT AND WHAT THE BOARD'S STANCE IS AS FAR AS MOVING FORWARD WITH THIS PARK AND RIDE AGREEMENT WITH THE FL-DOT, HE AND THE COUNTY MANAGER FELT LIKE IT WAS BEST AND THEY NEEDED TO DRAFT A RESOLUTION FOR THE BOARD TO ADOPT WITH RESPECT TO THE PARK AND RIDE AGREEMENT. HE HAS DRAFTED THIS; IT IS A VERY SIMPLE, ONE PAGE RESOLUTION. HE WANTED THE BOARD TO BE AWARE OF WHAT IS IN THE RESOLUTION AND THE AGREEMENT AS WELL SO IT MAY BE ADOPTED. HE READ THE RESOLUTION INTO THE RECORD:

WHEREAS, WASHINGTON COUNTY, FLORIDA, BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HAS ENTERED INTO A PARK AND RIDE AGREEMENT WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A PARK AND RIDE LOT IN WASHINGTON COUNTY, FLORIDA; AND

WHEREAS, TO ENCOURAGE MORE CITIZENS TO CAR POOL OR RIDE TRANSIT WHICH WOULD REDUCE THE EMISSIONS AND TRAFFIC CONGESTION AND IMPROVE AIR QUALITY, THIS BOARD ENCOURAGES THE CREATION OF A PARK AND RIDE LOT IN THE COUNTY; AND

WHEREAS, PARK AND RIDE LOTS PROVIDE COMMUTERS AND VISITORS THE OPTION TO LEAVE THEIR VEHICLE IN A SAFE, DESIGNATED SPACE; AND

WHEREAS, IT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY TO ENCOURAGE CAR POOLING AND TRANSIT USES AND TO ENDORSE THE CREATION OF PARK AND RIDE LOTS IN THE COUNTY; AND

WHEREAS, A RESOLUTION IS REQUIRED TO ACCEPT FUTURE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE OPERATION OF THE PARK AND RIDE FACILITY INCLUDING MAINTENANCE, UTILITIES, REPAIRS AND OTHER ATTENDANT COSTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PARK AND RIDE LOT PROJECT;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY WASHINGTON COUNTY, FLORIDA, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS WILL ACCEPT FUTURE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE OPERATION OF THE PARK AND RIDE FACILITY INCLUDING MAINTENANCE, UTILITIES, REPAIRS AND OTHER ATTENDANT COSTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PARK AND RIDE LOT PROJECT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN WANTED THE BOARD TO BE AWARE, THE AGREEMENT IS ATTACHED THERETO. IT WAS DRAFTED BY THE FL-DOT AND IT INCLUDES CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE BOARD AND THE DOT; SPECIFICALLY PARAGRAPH 5 WHERE IT TALKS ABOUT THE COUNTY PAYING THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE OPERATION OF THE PARK AND RIDE AND MAINTAIN THE FACILITY. THAT IS THE IDEA BEHIND THE RESOLUTION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT ANY COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS THE BOARD MAY HAVE WILL BE APPRECIATED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THIS WOULD HAVE ADDITIONAL LIGHTING AND WILL IT BE SOLAR LIGHTING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN NOR MR. JOYNER KNEW THE ANSWER ON THE LIGHTING. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD BE GLAD TO GET THAT INFORMATION FOR MR. ABBOTT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF IT IS SOLAR BECAUSE IT IS GOING TO NEED ADDITIONAL LIGHTING OUT THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE INFORMED ABBOTT THERE IS A SET OF PLANS ON THE PARK AND RIDE LOT AT BLUE LAKE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE ONLY UPKEEP THERE COULD BE WOULD BE RESTRIPING. HE ASKED FOR HOW MANY YEARS ARE THEY ASKING THE COUNTY TO MAINTAIN THE PARK AND RIDE LOT. HE LIKES THE IDEA OF IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THIS WOULD BE JOINING THE BOAT RAMP AT BLUE LAKE AND WAS IT GOING TO BE AFFECTING THE PARKING FOR PEOPLE UTILIZING THE BOAT RAMP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT WOULDN'T AFFECT THE PARKING FOR PEOPLE UTILIZING THE BOAT RAMP AND ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE SAW THE DRAWING ON THE BACK PAGE OF THE AGREEMENT. COMMISSIONER BROCK ADVISED HE DID SEE THE DRAWING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED TO GET THE ANSWER ON THE LIGHTING; BUT, HE JUST FELT LIKE IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR THE BOARD TO BE AWARE THAT HE IS GOING TO ASK, AND WILL BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD TO APPROVE IN JUNE, THE RESOLUTION BE ADOPTED AND HE WILL GET THEM AN ANSWER ON THE LIGHTING. HE DRAFTED THAT AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE PARK AND RIDE LOT AT BLUE LAKE SHOULD BE THE SAME ONE LIKE ON HIGHWAY 77 JUST INSIDE THE BAY COUNTY LINE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THAT IS WHY HE WAS ASKING ON THE LIGHTING BECAUSE THAT ONE IS ON SOLAR. HE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE BOARD IS NOT OPENING THEMSELVES UP TO A BIG LIGHT BILL EVERY MONTH.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY COULD GET THAT ANSWER TOMORROW JUST BY CALLING FL-DOT AND ASKING WHAT KIND OF LIGHTING IT WILL HAVE. THE BOARD COULD APPROVE THE RESOLUTION AND PARK AND RIDE AGREEMENT CONTINGENT ON IT HAVING SOLAR LIGHTING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION FOR THE PARK AND RIDE AGREEMENT SUBJECT TO IT BEING SOLAR LIGHTING AND IF NOT BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD TO DISCUSS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT IS WHAT HE WOULD LIKE TO DO BECAUSE HE IS NOT TOO SURE THEY ARE NOT ON A TIME FRAME ON THE FUNDING FOR THE PARK AND RIDE LOT. IF IT HAS LIGHTING OUT THERE, IT SHOULD BE SOLAR AND FL-DOT IS GOING SOLAR ON A LOT OF THEIR STUFF.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REITERATED HE DIDN'T WANT TO OPEN THE CITIZENS UP TO A BIG LIGHT BILL OUT THERE WITH THEIR TAX BASE; THAT IS HIS ONLY QUESTION. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE PARK AND RIDE LOT AT BLUE LAKE AS LONG AS IT DON'T INTERFERE WITH THE BOAT LANDING AT BLUE LAKE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION AND APPROVE THE AGREEMENT WITH THE STATE OF FLORIDA IN REFERENCE TO THE WASHINGTON COUNTY PARK AND RIDE LOT CONTINGENT ON IT BEING SOLAR LIGHTING AND THERE IS NO LIGHT BILL. HE REITERATED ALL THE MAINTENANCE HE COULD SEE IS RESTRIPE FROM TIME TO TIME; THE PARK AND RIDE LOT WOULD BE A GOOD ADDITION TO WASHINGTON COUNTY.

4. PUBLIC WORKS POLICY-SECTION 16: ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD AT THEIR MARCH MEETING WHERE THERE WAS A QUESTION WITH RESPECT TO THE STORAGE OF MILLED ASPHALT. HE POINTED THEIR ATTENTION TO SECTION B (16.01B 10 AND 11). HE READ THESE SECTIONS OF THE POLICY:

A. SECTION 10 SAYS ALL REQUESTS FOR MILLED ASPHALT FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION WILL BE MADE THROUGH THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR.

B. SECTION 11 SAYS MILLED ASPHALT WILL BE DELIVERED TO A SPECIFIC PROJECT AND WILL NOT BE STOCKPILED AT A COUNTY FACILITY.

THE ORIGINAL QUESTION THAT HAD BEEN EXPOUNDED UPON WAS CAN THE COUNTY STORE MILLED ASPHALT LEGALLY. HE AND MR. JOYNER DID SOME RESEARCH WITH RESPECT TO THE STATE AND FL-DEP AND HAVE FOUND OUT THEY CAN STORE MILLED ASPHALT AT DESIGNATED COUNTY FACILITIES AS LONG AS THE FOOTPRINT IS LESS THAN 9000 SQUARE FEET AND THAT IS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AND OTHER CONCERNS. HE HAS SAT DOWN WITH THE COUNTY MANAGER AND THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR TO CREATE A SUBSECTION F OF THE COUNTY POLICY WITH RESPECT TO MILLED ASPHALT BECAUSE IF THE BOARD WANTS TO START STORING MILLED ASPHALT PURSUANT TO WHAT THEY FOUND OUT, OBVIOUSLY SUBSECTION 11 AND MAYBE 10 NEEDS TO BE REMOVED. HE GAVE THEM SOME LANGUAGE THEY HAD DRAFTED AND THEY WILL BE ABLE TO TALK ABOUT IT. THEY TRIED TO CREATE A POLICY THE BOARD WOULD FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH, WITH RESPECT TO STORING MILLED ASPHALT:

A. HE WOULD TAKE OUT B 10 AND B 11 OUT OF THE CURRENT POLICY AND IN SUBSECTION F HE WOULD HAVE THE FOLLOWING BULLET POINTS; SUB-

SECTION 1, 2 AND 3:

B. SUBSECTION 1 SAYS MILLED ASPHALT MAY BE STORED AT DESIGNATED COUNTY FACILITIES UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR AND THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF IMPROVEMENT AND/OR MAINTENANCE OF COUNTY PROPERTY. THE LOCATION OF THE DESIGNATED COUNTY FACILITY SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR. THE FOOTPRINT (LAY DOWN OF SAID STORAGE) SHALL BE LESS THAN 9000 SQUARE FEET.

C. SUBSECTION 2-ALL REQUESTS TO ACQUIRE MILLED ASPHALT WILL BE MADE THROUGH AND BY THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR. (THIS TAKES B10 OUT AND BROADENS IT A LITTLE BIT; IT ENCOMPASSES MORE THAN JUST FL-DOT.)

D. SUBSECTION 3-USE OF MILLED ASPHALT FOR COUNTY RELATED PROJECTS SHALL BE APPROVED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR AND THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REITERATED THEY TRIED TO KEEP THE POLICY SOMEWHAT SIMPLE, MAKE SURE THERE WERE SOME CHECKS AND BALANCES WITH RESPECT TO THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR AND THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR. HE EXPLAINED HE WAS NOT AN EXPERT WHEN IT COMES TO PUTTING MILLED ASPHALT DOWN SO THEY GOT INPUT AND THEY HAVE HAD MEETINGS WITH THE COUNTY MANAGER AND THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR TO PRESENT SOMETHING TO THE BOARD TO CONSIDER WITH RESPECT TO THEIR MILLED ASPHALT STORAGE POLICY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE THOUGHT THE BOARD GAVE JEFF THE AUTHORITY TO DO RESEARCH ON THE STORAGE OF MILLED ASPHALT; BUT, HE THINKS AT THE MEETING THEY TALKED ABOUT, THE BOARD APPROVED THE STORING OF MILLED ASPHALT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN APOLOGIZED AND SAID HE WOULD DO RESEARCH ON THIS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID SOMEBODY THEN COME UP WITH MAYBE THEY CAN'T STORE IT BECAUSE OF FL-DEP AND THEY WENT AND DONE RESEARCH WITH FL-DEP. FL-DEP ALLOWS COUNTY'S TO STOCKPILE MILLED ASPHALT BECAUSE

OTHER COUNTIES ARE STOCKPILING IT AND THE STATE IS STOCKPILING IT. SO, WHY SHOULDN'T WASHINGTON COUNTY STOCKPILE IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IF HE IS WRONG AND THE BOARD VOTED THAT, HE APOLOGIZED; BUT, HIS IDEA WAS TO GO BACK AND NOT ONLY VERIFY THEY CAN DO IT BUT LETS GET THE COUNTY POLICY STRAIGHT SO THE BOARD IS COMFORTABLE ON HOW THEY ARE GOING TO STORE IT AND USE IT. HE THINKS THEY ARE IN AGREEMENT THEY WANT TO STOCKPILE MILLED ASPHALT; LETS JUST GET IT IN THE COUNTY POLICY SO THEY HAVE SOME GUIDANCE FOR PUBLIC WORKS ON HOW THEY ARE GOING TO DO IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WHEN HE MADE THAT MOTION HIS CONCERN WAS IF YOU BUY ONE DUMP TRUCK LOAD, YOU CAN GET IT FOR ONE PRICE; BUT, IF YOU BUY TEN LOADS AT THE TIME, HE CAN GET IT A LOT CHEAPER. AT THAT TIME, THERE WAS SOME MILLED ASPHALT THE COUNTY COULD PURCHASE AT A VERY GOOD PRICE AND IT IS SOMETHING THEY NEED FOR PERIODIC MAINTENANCE ALL THE TIME AND HE WANTED TO BUY THAT. AT THAT TIME, THERE WAS A QUESTION WHETHER IT WAS LEGAL OR NOT THROUGH THE DEP TO STORE IT, ETC. AT THAT TIME, ATTORNEY GOODMAN DID THE RESEARCH AND TO HIS KNOWLEDGE THEY HAVEN'T VOTED SINCE THEN.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE ISSUES HE REMEMBERS WERE:

1. THERE WAS SOME QUESTION THAT NIGHT AND HE WASN'T PREPARED TO DEAL WITH THE ISSUE OF EXACTLY WHAT THE COUNTY'S POLICY STATED. THE BOARD KNEW THAT BETTER THAN HE DID; HE JUST HAD TO LOOK AT THAT ISSUE SPECIFICALLY. WHEN HE LOOKED AT IT, SUBSECTION 11 WITH RESPECT TO "IT HAS GOT TO BE DELIVERED TO A SPECIFIC PROJECT AND WILL NOT BE STOCKPILED" PUT WASHINGTON COUNTY AT A DISADVANTAGE COMPARED TO OTHER COUNTIES.

2. THE QUESTION THEN WAS HOW MUCH CAN THE COUNTY STOCKPILE IN ONE PLACE AND NOT HAVE TO GET ANY PERMITTING DONE. THAT IS WHERE THE 9,000 SQUARE FEET COMES IN. IF HE MISUNDERSTOOD WHAT WAS DONE THAT NIGHT, ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE APOLOGIZES; BUT, IT WAS HIS UNDERSTANDING THE FEELING OF THE BOARD WAS LET'S GET THE POLICY CHANGED. NOW HE HAS BROUGHT THE POLICY

TO THEM TO CHANGE IT OR HAVE DISCUSSION UNDER THESE PERIMETERS HOW THEY WANT TO CHANGE IT PURSUANT TO THEIR POLICY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT ABOUT IT HAVING TO BE PLACED ON THE ROAD HAPPENED IN ABOUT 2007-2008. HE WAS AT THE MEETING WHEN PETE HERBERT WAS INSTRUCTED TO SET THE POLICY UP THAT WAY BY FL-DOT AND THAT WAS THE WAY THE COUNTY WAS GETTING MILLED ASPHALT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID COMMISSIONER PATE WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE HAS A FEELING THAT IS THE WAY IT IS GOING TO BE NOW; YES, THEY PROBABLY CAN STOCKPILE MILLED ASPHALT BUT THEY CERTAINLY DON'T NEED PILES OF ASPHALT SCATTERED ALL OVER THIS COUNTY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING IN TALKING WITH OTHER COUNTIES IS THAT THEY DON'T HAVE A HUGE STOCKPILE OF MILLED ASPHALT ANYWHERE; BUT, THERE IS TIMES WHEN THEY HAVE EXCESS CAPACITY AND THEY STORE IT. FROM PUTTING WASHINGTON COUNTY AT SOMEWHAT A DISADVANTAGE MAYBE IN PURCHASING, HE THINKS HE UNDERSTANDS FL-DOT'S STANDPOINT, WHERE THEY ARE COMING FROM AND WHY THEY ARE DOING THAT. AT LEAST THE PROPOSED POLICY WILL GIVE THE BOARD SOME DISCRETION FOR THE COUNTY MANAGER AND THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR, THE PEOPLE THE BOARD HAS HIRED THAT WAS MEANT FOR APPEASENESS TO MAKE SOME DECISIONS IF NEED BE TO STORE IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE WAS A TIME, AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND WAS THE ONLY ONE ON THE BOARD AT THAT TIME, FL-DOT CALLED THE COUNTY AND SAID THEY HAD A STOCKPILE OVER AT CARYVILLE AND THE COUNTY COULD HAUL "X" NUMBER OF LOADS. THAT STUFF WAS GRAY, HARD TO PUT DOWN, DIDN'T STICK AND MOST OF IT WASN'T WORTH HAULING. IF YOU STOCKPILE MILLED ASPHALT A LONG TIME IT LOSES ITS ADHESIVENESS AND THEN YOU HAVE TO DO SOMETHING WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE PROPOSED CHANGES AS WRITTEN TO THE COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS POLICY FOR THE ASPHALT POLICY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT LINE ON ITEM 10 ON THE OLD POLICY

WAS WHERE HE GOT THROWN WHEN HE CAME BACK ON BOARD; THAT ITEM 10 SAID FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. HIS CONCERN WAS WHY COULDN'T HE GO BUY TEN LOADS OF MILLED ASPHALT OUT OF HIS MATERIAL BUDGET FOR HIS MAINTENANCE FROM GULF ASPHALT OR C. W. ROBERTS BECAUSE GOOD BONDED ASPHALT IS HARD TO GET. WHEN THEY GET THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET IT, THEY NEED TO GET SOME FOR MAINTENANCE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WAS HOPING THE WAY THE POLICY IS WRITTEN WILL GIVE THE BOARD SOME FLEXIBILITY IF THEY CAN GET A DEAL ON SOMETHING AND IF THE POWERS TO BE IS SMART ENOUGH TO DEAL WITH IT, WHICH HE DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT MILLED ASPHALT, BUT IF IT WAS WORTH DOING FOR THE COUNTY, THEY WOULD AT LEAST HAVE THE FLEXIBILITY TO DO IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE LOOKED FORWARD TO THE BOARD'S INPUT AT THE WORKSHOP IN JUNE WITH RESPECT TO THE AG CENTER LEASE AGREEMENT; HE THINKS THAT IS SOMETHING THEY NEED TO ADDRESS AND AT LEAST GET SOMETHING FIRM THEY CAN ALL FEEL GOOD ABOUT TO TRY AND CURTAIL WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO CURTAIL BUT NOT PUNISH PEOPLE THEY DON'T WANT TO PUNISH.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE MORAL OF THE STORY ABOUT MILLED ASPHALT IS THEY NEED TO GET THEIR ROADS IN GOOD ENOUGH SHAPE SO WHEN IT IS AVAILABLE TO THEM, THEY CAN HAUL IT DIRECTLY OUT THERE AND PUT IT DOWN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR A LACK OF UNDERSTANDING AND NOT KNOWING, SAID THEY HAVE ADDED THIS TO THE OPERATIONAL POLICY AND ASKED WHAT ONE PERSON IS RESPONSIBLE, IS GOING TO BE SURE, THE MILLED ASPHALT POLICY IS PUT IN EVERYBODY'S COPY OF THEIR OPERATIONAL POLICY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED IT WAS HIS UNDERSTANDING THE COUNTY MANAGER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING SURE IT IS IMPLEMENTED AND ALSO FOR DISTRIBUTING THE NEW POLICY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REQUESTED ATTORNEY GOODMAN RESEARCH THE BED TAX ORDINANCE TO SEE IF A PERCENTAGE OF IT WASN'T TO GO TO ADVERTISEMENT AND A PERCENTAGE TO GO TO RECREATION AND REPORT

29-BCC
05-26-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 136

BACK TO THE BOARD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD GET WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK
TO MAKE SURE HE UNDERSTANDS EXACTLY WHAT HE WANTS HIM TO ADDRESS.
HE WILL BE READY TO DISCUSS IT IN DEPTH AT THEIR WORKSHOP IN JUNE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK MADE A MOTION TO ADJOURN. CHAIRMAN PATE
ADJOURNED THE MEETING.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN