

JUNE 13, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 5:00 P.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM AT 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW WERE ALSO PRESENT.

DEPUTY TAYLOR PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. ROGER HAGAN OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

CHAIRMAN PATE REQUESTED THE RECORD SHOW COMMISSIONER BROCK CALLED AND SAID HE WOULDN'T BE AT THE WORKSHOP TODAY.

ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES-MAY 9, 2011 AND MAY 16, 2011; DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADDRESSED THE MAY 26, 2011 MINUTES HAD BEEN PROVIDED TO THE BOARD ALSO AND SHOULD BE ON THE AGENDA FOR THE JUNE BOARD MEETING FOR ADOPTION ALSO.

THERE WERE NO QUESTIONS ON THE MINUTES TO BE ADOPTED.

CONSENT AGENDA:

A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR MAY 2011 TALLING \$1,724,600.60.

B. LMS RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING THE COUNTY/CITIES JOINT EFFORT IN ADOPTION OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY LOCAL MITIGATION STRATEGY.

C. COUNTY HEALTH INSURANCE-THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS CONTRIBUTION FOR DEPENDENT HEALTHCARE BE 50% FOR 2011-12 PLAN YEAR.

D. 2012 STATE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PREPAREDNESS ASSISTANCE BASE GRANT CONTRACT AND ANNUAL SCOPE OF WORK.

E. 2012 FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE GRANT AGREEMENT AND SCOPE OF WORK

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT RECOMMENDED PULLING ITEM B FOR DISCUSSION IF IT IS GOING TO REMAIN ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.

MR. JOYNER RECOMMENDED PULLING ITEM B ON THE CONSENT AGENDA AS HE FELT THE BOARD DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH BUDGET INFORMATION TO MAKE

A DECISION ON THE HEALTH INSURANCE CONTRIBUTIONS FOR DEPENDENT CARE AT THIS TIME.

THERE WERE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS ON THE REMAINING CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS.

AGENDA ITEMS:

A. EVE RAINEY-ROGER HAGAN FPEM CERTIFICATION: MR. HAGAN REQUESTED THIS BE PULLED AND RESCHEDULED FOR THE JULY MEETING. HE WILL BE UNABLE TO ATTEND THE JUNE BOARD MEETING.

B. LEASE AGREEMENT-HABILITATIVE SERVICES HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM-DUE TO QUESTIONS AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING ON THE LEASE AGREEMENT, MS. CAROLYN MONROE WEST, PROGRAM MANAGER FOR HABILITATIVE SERVICES HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM FOR NORTHWEST FLORIDA, ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THEIR REQUEST TO BE REINSTATED FOR THE OFFICE SPACE AT 1352 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA. SHE SAID SHE HAD SENT COMMISSIONER PATE A PACKET ON HEALTHY FAMILIES AND ASKED THE BOARD IF THERE WERE ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD WANTED TO ASK ABOUT THEIR PROGRAM.

MS. WEST UPDATED THE BOARD ON HEALTHY FAMILIES BEING A CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION ORGANIZATION AND HAS HAD A MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY SINCE 1999; THEY RENEW IT EVERY YEAR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED TO MS. WEST HE WAS THE COMMISSIONER WHO QUESTIONED THE LEASE AGREEMENT; IT WAS BASICALLY REQUESTING TO HAVE A FREE LEASE THAT EQUATED TO \$12,000. WHEN HE ASKED THE QUESTION WHAT BUILDING HEALTHY FAMILIES OCCUPIED, WHAT THE AGENCY DONE, NOBODY COULD ANSWER HIS QUESTIONS. HIM BEING A NEW COMMISSIONER AND THE BOARD LOOKING AT EVEN DELETING EMPLOYEES WITH THE CUTBACKS, TO GIVE SOMEBODY SOMETHING FREE AND HIM JUST WANTING TO UNDERSTAND IT, HE DEFINITELY HAD SOME QUESTIONS. HE ASKED HOW MANY CHILDREN DOES HEALTHY FAMILIES SERVE AND WHAT FUNCTIONS DO THEY PROVIDE FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY.

MS. WEST REPORTED THEY HAD SERVED 222 PARTICIPANTS AND 311 CHILDREN IN THE FIVE COUNTY AREA IN THE PAST YEAR; FOR WASHINGTON AND

HOLMES IN THE PAST THREE YEARS, THEY HAVE SERVED 197 PARTICIPANTS AND 255 CHILDREN. THE FIVE COUNTY AREA INCLUDES JACKSON, WASHINGTON, CALHOUN, HOLMES AND LIBERTY COUNTY. SHE EXPLAINED CALHOUN COUNTY PROVIDES HEALTHY FAMILIES OFFICE SPACE AND THEY SERVE CALHOUN AND LIBERTY COUNTY. WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS ALWAYS PROVIDED THEM SPACE AND THEY HAVE AN OFFICE IN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IN HOLMES COUNTY. THEY HAVE A LOT OF MATERIALS, THEIR COPYING MACHINE, COMPUTERS, ETC. AT THE OFFICE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF HEALTHY FAMILIES WAS PAYING RENT TO ANY OF THE OTHER COUNTIES FOR OFFICE SPACE. MS. WEST SAID THEY PAY RENT IN THE JACKSON COUNTY OFFICE; BUT, NOWHERE ELSE. SHE EXPLAINED IT IS CALLED AN INKIND MATCH AND IT IS SORT OF A SHOW OF SUPPORT FROM THE COUNTIES THEY SERVE AND IT IS PART OF THEIR INKIND MATCH THEY ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE EACH YEAR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF FREE RENT WAS THE ONLY METHOD HEALTHY FAMILIES GETS AN INKIND MATCH. MS. WEST EXPLAINED CALHOUN COUNTY PROVIDES THEM WITH AN INKIND MATCH AS WELL AS THE HOLMES COUNTY AND JACKSON COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENTS. THERE ARE OTHER ORGANIZATIONS THAT GIVE THEM INKIND MATCH; BUT, NOT TO THAT EXTENT. THEY ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE SO MUCH INKIND MATCH EVERY YEAR AS PART OF THEIR PROGRAM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED THE SERVICES HEALTHY FAMILIES PROVIDES.

MS. WEST REITERATED HEALTHY FAMILIES IS A CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION ORGANIZATION; THEY DO INTENSIVE IN HOME VISITING WITH THEIR PARTICIPANTS. THEY ARE IN THE HOMES EVERY WEEK DOING PARENTING SKILLS; THEY DO ASQ'S, WHICH ARE DEVELOPMENTAL TESTS TO MAKE SURE CHILDREN ARE ON TARGET DEVELOPMENTALLY AND THEN MAKE REFERRALS. THE BIGGEST THING IS THEY ARE IN THERE WITH HIGH RISK FAMILIES EVERY WEEK TO MAKE SURE THEIR PARENTING SKILLS ARE UP TO PAR AND THAT IS WHAT PREVENTS A LOT OF CHILD ABUSE, ESPECIALLY AROUND POTTY TRAINING. WHEN THEY ARE POTTY TRAINING, THAT IS WHEN THEY ARE MOST LIKELY TO

BE ABUSED. THEY ARE IN THE HOMES FROM PRENATAL UNTIL FIVE YEARS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHERE HEALTHY FAMILIES GET THEIR CLIENTS FROM.

MS. WEST EXPLAINED IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA, HEALTHY START IS REQUIRED. HEALTHY START IS KIND OF A SISTER ORGANIZATION. EVERY PREGNANT WOMAN IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA IS REQUIRED TO FILL OUT A HEALTHY START SCREEN AND HEALTHY FAMILIES GET THOSE SCREENS; THEY THEN CALL, MAKE APPOINTMENTS AND GO SEE THE PERSONS. THIS PAST YEAR, THE FLORIDA SHERIFF'S ASSOCIATION, WHEN THEY WENT INTO THEIR BUDGET AND SHE THOUGHT SHE HAD GIVEN MR. PATE A COPY OF THE LETTER, BASICALLY SUPPORTING THE HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM IN HELPING PREVENT CHILD ABUSE. IN THE LAST YEAR, HEALTHY FAMILIES NOT ONLY MET; BUT, EXCEEDED ALL THEIR OUTCOMES WHICH IS A FIVE COUNTY AREA AND THAT IS NOT DONE ALL OVER THE STATE; HEALTHY FAMILIES IS AN EXCELLENT PROGRAM. THEY WON A STATE AWARD THIS YEAR FOR MAKING 91% OF THEIR HOME VISIT RECORD AND THAT WAS AFTER THEY WERE CUT 39% LAST YEAR. SHE REPORTED IT COST HEALTHY FAMILIES \$1,671 TO SERVE A CHILD EACH YEAR; IF THAT CHILD IS ABUSED, BETWEEN THE CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND HOSPITALIZATION, IT COST \$64,377 PER CHILD. THEY ARE SAVING THE STATE A LOT OF MONEY. SHE BROUGHT TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION, AND SHE THOUGHT SHE HAD PUT THIS IN MR. PATE'S PACKAGE, THE HEALTHY FAMILIES OF NORTH FLORIDA, 100% OF THEIR PARTICIPANTS WERE FREE FROM VERIFIED ABUSE AND NEGLECT THROUGH HEALTHY FAMILY SERVICES AND 100% OF THEIR PARTICIPANTS WERE FREE FROM VERIFIED ABUSE AND NEGLECT WITHIN TWELVE MONTHS AFTER COMPLETING THE HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM. HEALTHY FAMILIES IS NOT ONLY SUCCESSFUL WHILE THE PARTICIPANTS ARE IN TREATMENT OR WITH HEALTHY FAMILIES; BUT, TWELVE MONTHS AFTER THE PARTICIPANTS WERE OUT OF TREATMENT, HEALTHY FAMILIES DIDN'T HAVE ANY VERIFIED ABUSE OR NEGLECT REPORTS ON THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T QUESTION WHAT HEALTHY FAMILIES DOES AND THEY ARE DOING A FINE JOB; HE HAS JUST NEVER HEARD OF THEIR FUNCTION AND ALL OF A SUDDEN THE BOARD WAS NEEDING TO APPROVE A LEASE

AND NONE OF THE BOARD KNEW ABOUT HEALTHY FAMILIES AND WHAT THEIR ORGANIZATION WAS. HE ADDRESSED HE MAY HAVE BEEN BETTER INFORMED IF MR. PATE WOULD HAVE SHARED HIS PACKET.

MS. WEST PROVIDED THE BOARD MEMBERS PACKETS WHICH PROVIDED INFORMATION ABOUT THE HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MS. WEST IF SHE WAS FAMILIAR WITH THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FAMILY AND COMMUNITY COUNCIL. HE HAS BEEN APPOINTED A LIASON AND HE DOESN'T EVEN KNOW WHERE THIS AGENCY IS. THE AGENCY HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH DRUG ABUSE, ALCOHOL AND ANY KIND OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE. MS. WEST WAS NOT FAMILIAR WITH THIS AGENCY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE LEASE PRESENTED BY HABILITATIVE SERVICES HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM LAST MEETING. HE ASKED MS. WEST IF THEY HAD ANY ALTERNATIVE LEASES WITH EITHER JACKSON OR CALHOUN COUNTY. FROM A LAWYER'S PROSPECTIVE, THE LEASE PROVIDED WAS A PRETTY SIMPLE LEASE AGREEMENT; THERE REALLY ISN'T ANY HOLD HARMLESS PROVISIONS, ETC. HE ASKED IF HEALTHY FAMILIES HAD EVER DONE ANYTHING MORE SUBSTANTIAL WITH ANY OF THE OTHER COUNTIES.

MS. WEST ADVISED THEY HADN'T; IT HAS BEEN THIS WAY SINCE 1999 AND IT NEVER HAS CHANGED. THE RENT HASN'T CHANGED, THE UTILITIES HASN'T CHANGED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS A MAN OF CHANGE; JUST BECAUSE SOMETHING WAS DONE LAST YEAR LIKE THAT, HE REALLY LIKES TO UNDERSTAND WHAT HE IS DOING. HE WOULD LIKE TO READ THE PACKET MS. WEST PROVIDED ABOUT HEALTHY FAMILIES. THE BOARD CAN'T VOTE ON ANYTHING OR TAKE ANY ACTION TONIGHT BEING IT IS A WORKSHOP.

MS. WEST SAID IF THE BOARD HAS ANY QUESTIONS, SHE WOULD BE GLAD TO COME OVER AND PROVIDE THEM WITH ANY INFORMATION THEY NEED. SHE ALSO SAID SHE WOULD LOVE FOR THEM TO GO ON A HOME VISIT WITH THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HOW OFTEN WAS MS. WEST IN THE CHIPLEY OFFICE. MS. WEST REPORTED SHE WAS IN THE CHIPLEY OFFICE ABOUT OMCE A MONTH; TERESA HARRISON, SUPERVISOR OF FAMILY SUPPORT WORKERS, TWO FAMILY SUPPORT WORKERS AND THEIR DATA ENTRY WORKER,

CAROL ARE IN AND OUT OF THE CHIPLEY OFFICE ALL THE TIME.

THE BOARD THANKED MS. WEST FOR SHARING THE INFORMATION ABOUT THE HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM.

C. RICHARD HOWELL-MICHAEL J. DERUNTZ--SINGLE LOT SUBDIVISION EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE FOR MINIMUM LOT AREA REQUIREMENT: MR. HOWELL WAS PRESENT TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD MAY HAVE.

MR. JOYNER ADDRESSED MR. DERUNTZ NOR MS. BYRD FROM THE PLANNING OFFICE WAS PRESENT. MR. JOYNER REPORTED MR. HOWELL APPLIED FOR A SINGLE LOT SUBDIVISION EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE. MR. HOWELL WENT THROUGH THE PLANNING COMMISSION, THE PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED THE VARIANCE AND WAS RECOMMENDING THE BOARD APPROVE THE VARIANCE REQUESTED BY MR. HOWELL.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED MR. HOWELL WAS NOT AT FAULT HERE; WHOEVER SUBDIVIDED IT AND SOLD THE PROPERTY WAS THE ONE AT FAULT.

MR. JOYNER EXPLAINED THIS WAS A TEN ACRE LOT AND MR. HOWELL HAD TO GET THE VARIANCE TO BE ABLE TO GET A LAND USE PERMIT FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM APPROVING THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE MR. HOWELL'S REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE AT THEIR JUNE BOARD MEETING WHEN THEY CAN VOTE ON IT.

MR. PITTS EXPLAINED THIS WAS A THIRTEEN ACRE PARCEL THEY DIVIDED, SOLD MR. HOWELL TEN ACRES WHICH ACTUALLY MAKES THE TEN ACRE PARCEL LEGAL; BUT, LEFT AN ILLEGAL PARCEL SO IT WAS AN ILLEGAL SUBDIVISION IN THE WAY THEY DID IT. THEREFORE, THEY HAVE ASKED FOR A VARIANCE.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD THE BOARD, OUT OF THIS SITUATION HAS COME SOME SUGGESTIONS ISSUED FOR PEOPLE TO CHECK PROPERTY BEFORE THEY PURCHASE IT; IT SHOULD HAVE NEVER SOLD OR BEEN ABLE TO HAVE SOLD THAT 2.5 ACRES BY THAT. THIS LAND WAS VACANT PRETTY MUCH.

MR. PITTS ADVISED THIS WAS DONE BY A LOCAL ATTORNEY AND TITLE COMPANY. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID MR. HOWELL WAS TAKEN PRETTY MUCH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED RICHARD HOWELL'S SINGLE LOT SUBDIVISION EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE FOR MINIMUM LOT AREA REQUIREMENT BE PUT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR JUNE'S BOARD MEETING.

D. RHONDA D. KIRK-MICHAEL J. DERUNTZ-SINGLE LOT SUBDIVISION EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE FOR MINIMUM LOT AREA REQUIREMENT-MR. PITTS UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM BELIEVING THIS PROPERTY BELONGS TO MS. KIRK'S FATHER, MR. DAVIDSON ON HIGHWAY 277. THEY OWN APPROXIMATELY ELEVEN ACRES AND MR. DAVIDSON IS IN HIS EARLY 80'S AND IN BAD HEALTH. MS. KIRK IS MOVING THERE SO SHE CAN LIVE SEPARATE FROM HIM IN A MOBILE HOME; BUT, BE RIGHT THERE ON THE PROPERTY SO SHE CAN TAKE CARE OF HIM. THE PROPERTY IS NOT LARGE ENOUGH TO HAVE TWO RESIDENCES ON IT. THEREFORE, THEY WENT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND REQUESTED A VARIANCE. THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THE VARIANCE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAVING PASSED A MOTHER-IN-LAW ORDINANCE WHERE THEY COULD ADD ON OR HAVE THEIR OWN SEPARATE LITTLE HOUSE IN THE YARD AS LONG AS THEY ARE TAKING CARE OF THEM; THIS IS KIND OF REVERSE AS IT IS NOT A HOUSE BUT A TRAILER.

MR. PITTS DIDN'T THINK THAT WAS IN THEIR LOCAL ORDINANCE AS FAR AS MOTHER-IN-LAW QUARTERS. MR. JOYNER AGREED TO CHECK TO SEE IF THERE IS A MOTHER-IN-LAW ORDINANCE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND ABBOTT BOTH STATED THEY DIDN'T SEE A PROBLEM APPROVING THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE THE VARIANCE FOR MINIMUM LOT AREA REQUIREMENT REQUESTED BY RHONDA D. KIRK AT THEIR JUNE BOARD MEETING.

MR. JOYNER WAS REQUESTED TO ALSO PUT THIS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE JUNE BOARD MEETING.

E. BOB BARONTI, JR., AICP, WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, SENIOR PLANNER/TPO COORDINATOR, MICHAEL J. DERUNTZ-RURAL WORKS PROGRAM. MR. BARONTI UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE SUBMITTED TO FL-DOT FOR THE RURAL WORK PROGRAM. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER APPROVING THE LIST AT THEIR JUNE BOARD MEETING SO WFRPC CAN SUBMIT IT TO FL-DOT. IT IS THE SAME WHAT THEY DID LAST YEAR; BUT, THEY HAVE ADDED SOME MORE PROJECTS TO IT AND THEY NEED TO SEND IT FORWARD TO FL-DOT.

MR. BARONTI SAID IT WAS STRESSED TO HIM AND TO THE COUNTY THEY NEED TO APPLY FOR AS MANY THINGS AS POSSIBLE AND TO BE CREATIVE IN THEIR FUNDING BECAUSE OF THE CONDITION OF FUNDING STATE WIDE.

MR. BARONTI ADDRESSED THEM GETTING CHIPLEY INVOLVED AND THEY SUBMITTED A LIST; MAYBE NEXT YEAR THEY CAN ADD ANOTHER MUNICIPALITY. THE BOARD WAS GREAT TO WORK WITH AND HE IS ALWAYS AVAILABLE IF THEY EVER NEED HIM OR HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. HE REITERATED HIS REQUEST FOR THE BOARD TO ADOPT THE LIST OF PROJECTS TO SUBMIT TO FL-DOT FOR THEIR RURAL WORKS PROGRAM AT THEIR JUNE MEETING.

MR. BARONTI WANTED TO CLEAR UP A MISCONCEPTION WASHINGTON COUNTY PROJECTS WEREN'T PUT IN THE RURAL WORKS PROGRAM; IF THEY LOOK THROUGH THE CITIZENS REPORT, THERE WERE QUITE A FEW WASHINGTON COUNTY PROJECTS. IT IS TRUE THE PROJECTS CAN SLIDE BACK AND FORTH AS FUNDING COMES; BUT, WASHINGTON COUNTY IS VERY WELL REPRESENTED IN THEIR LIST OF PROJECTS.

BID AWARDS-CLIFF KNAUER: CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BID AWARD FOR THE HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECTS FOR FEMA STILL ARE ON HOLD. HE ADDRESSED THEY HAVE BEEN GOING BACK AND FORTH WITH FEMA; THEY ARE ADJUSTING THE FEMA PROJECT WORKSHEETS TO REFLECT THE UNIT PRICE OF RIP RAP THE COUNTY NOW HAS WITH THEIR LOW BIDDER ON THE PROJECTS. THEY HAD A LOT OF DEBATE WITH FEMA WHEN THEY WERE HERE ABOUT THE COST OF THE RIP RAP; FEMA WROTE THE PW'S UP WITH A SIGNIFICANTLY LOWER COST THAN WHAT THE COUNTY KNEW IT WAS. FEMA

IS NOW GOING THROUGH AND REWRITING THE PW'S TO REFLECT THE UNIT PRICE COST IN THE BIDS THE COUNTY RECEIVED. FEMA IS ADJUSTING THE HAZARD MITIGATION COST BASED ON THE UNIT PRICE TO KEEP THEM ALL WITHIN 100%. THEY HAVE ABOUT EIGHT OR TEN OF THE PW'S BACK; COREY IS WORKING ON THE REMAINING PW'S. WHEN HE GETS DONE, THE BOARD SHOULD BE ABLE TO AWARD THE PROJECTS AND BE WITHIN THE COST OF THE FEMA PW'S WHICH WILL ALSO BE WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ORIGINAL PROJECT. HE IS DOUBTFUL THE BOARD WILL BE ABLE TO AWARD THE PROJECTS THURSDAY; BUT, HE WANTED TO BRING THE BOARD UP TO DATE ON WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE PROJECTS.

COUNTY ENGINEER REPORT:

1. CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BAHOMA ROAD PROJECT; THERE WERE A COUPLE OF CREWS WORKING ON SATURDAY GETTING THE ROAD READY IN HOPES OF PAVING TODAY OR TOMORROW AND THEN THEY GOT A REAL GOOD RAIN ON IT YESTERDAY. THEY ARE DOING CLEAN UP TODAY AND ARE HOPING TO BE READY TO PAVE BY FRIDAY OR MONDAY OF NEXT WEEK.

2. CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE BONNETT POND ROAD PROJECT; THEIR EARTHWORK CREW IS BACK ON THE PROJECT. THEY ARE DRESSING AND PUTTING DOWN TOP SOIL AND ARE HOPING TO PUT THE FINAL LIFT OF PAVING ON IT NEXT WEEK.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO GET ALL THE ASPHALT DOWN BETWEEN NOW AND THE 31ST IF IT DON'T RAIN. CLIFF ADVISED THEY WOULD.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO HIM HEARING THE COUNTY HAD LOST THE FUNDING ON HIGHTOWER AND LIVE OAK LANDING. HE ASKED IF THE FUNDING WAS GONE FOREVER AND ASKED CLIFF WHAT HAD HE FOUND OUT ABOUT IT.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON NFWFMD LOST ABOUT \$10 MILLION FROM REVENUE THAT WAS ANTICIPATED TO BE IN THEIR BUDGET THIS YEAR; THE GOVERNOR VETOED ABOUT \$10 MILLION WORTH OF PROJECTS OR FUNDING. NFWFMD HAS CUT PROJECTS ALL OVER THE PLACE FROM WALTON, OKALOOSA AND ALL THE WAY OVER TO CALHOUN COUNTY. DISCUSSION WITH BILL CLECKLEY

LAST WEEK, PROJECT MANAGER FOR NFWMD IN THIS AREA, SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY'S PROJECTS WERE MORE THAN LIKELY GOING TO BE CUT. COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD MORE DISCUSSION WITH MR. CLECKLEY AFTER THAT AND MR. CLECKLEY ADVISED HIM THE COUNTY'S PROJECTS HAVE BEEN CUT. HE DON'T KNOW IF THERE ARE ANY OPPORTUNITIES TO TRY AND GET MONIES FROM SOMEWHERE ELSE; BUT, ESSENTIALLY WHAT MR. CLECKLEY INDICATED TO MR. BROCK, IT COULD BE AS MUCH AS TWO YEARS BEFORE THEY HAVE FUNDING BACK TO FINISH THOSE PROJECTS. MR. CLECKLEY ALSO INDICATED HE WOULD BE SEARCHING FOR OTHER PLACES IN NFWMD'S BUDGET TO TRY TO COVER THOSE PROJECTS; BUT, ESSENTIALLY WHAT HE TOLD THEM WAS THE FUNDING HAD BEEN CUT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHAT PROJECTS WERE THEY TALKING ABOUT THAT IS GOING TO BE CUT OUT.

CLIFF INFORMED ABBOTT THE FUNDING FOR LIVE OAK LANDING ON HOLMES CREEK WAS BEING CUT OUT; IT IS A BANK STABILIZATION PROJECT WHERE THE BANK IS REAL BADLY ERODED. A LOT OF PEOPLE FISH DOWN THERE AND IT IS REAL HEAVILY USED. THE PROJECT WAS TO RESHAPE THE SLOPES TO THE BANKS AND PUT IN RIP RAP TO STABILIZE IT. THERE WERE SOME IMPROVEMENTS TO THE BOAT RAMP AS WELL. LIVE OAK HAS A BIG OAK TREE RIGHT IN THE CORNER OF THE BOAT RAMP THAT IS TEETER TOTTERING, GETTING READY TO FALL IN. PART OF THE PROJECT WAS TO TRY AND BACKFILL AROUND IT AND SHORE IT UP TO KEEP IT PROTECTED AS WELL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE TREE WAS A DANGER OR A HAZARD. CLIFF SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF IT WAS A HAZARD; IT IS GOING TO FALL EVENTUALLY NO DOUBT. BUT, IT IS A VERY NICE TREE AND IT IS RIGHT ON THE EDGE OF THE BOAT RAMP; THE IDEA WAS TO TRY AND SAVE IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF IT WAS A DANGER RIGHT NOW. CLIFF EXPLAINED THE TREE HAS BEEN THERE FOR YEARS AND YEARS JUST LIKE IT IS.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE OTHER PROJECT BEING CUT OUT WAS THE IMPROVEMENTS TO HIGHTOWER WHICH ARE SIMILAR TO LIVE OAK LANDING. PART OF IT WAS A RETAINING WALL AND PART OF IT WAS RIP RAP AS WELL. AT

HIGHTOWER THERE IS ALSO A NICE SPRING DOWN THERE AND THE COUNTY WAS GOING TO BUILD A WALKWAY TO GET PEOPLE TO THE SPRINGS INSTEAD OF TRAMPLING ALL THE WETLANDS; ALSO A SMALL 6' X 8' OVERLOOK OR PLATFORM TO BE ABLE TO SEE THE SPRINGS, WHICH IS WHY EVERYBODY TRAMPLES THE WETLANDS DOWN THERE TO BE ABLE TO GET AROUND THE EDGE OF THE SPRINGS. RATHER THAN PEOPLE JUST RUNNING EVERYTHING OVER, THE IDEA WAS TO HAVE A BOARDWALK THAT WOULD TAKE THEM DOWN TO THE SPRINGS.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THEY HAD RAN INTO ISSUES WITH FL-DEP AND THE ARMY CORP; THERE WERE SOME DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS OF WHAT THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER LINE WAS AND THERE WAS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT THE ARMY CORP WAS ACCEPTING AS THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER LINE AND WHAT FL-DEP HAD AS THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER LINE. THE COUNTY GOT THE FL-DEP PERMITS PROBABLY TWO MONTHS AGO AND THE ARMY CORP OF ENGINEERS ASKED THEM TO CHANGE SOME OF THEIR INFORMATION ON THE DRAWINGS TO GET THEIR ARMY CORP PERMITS. JUST LAST WEEK, THEY WERE ABLE TO GET THE ARMY CORP AND FL-DEP TOGETHER TO RESOLVE ALL THE ISSUES AND THEY SHOULD HAVE THEIR ARMY CORP PERMITS FAIRLY SOON.

ANOTHER THING THE NFWFMD TALKED ABOUT AT ONE TIME WAS GOING BACK WITH NATURAL WALLS, WHICH IS A VEGETATIVE TYPE OF WALL AND A LOT MORE EXPENSIVE THAN WHAT THE COUNTY WAS PROPOSING TO DO. UNLESS NFWFMD COMES UP WITH A PILE OF FUNDING, THAT IS GOING TO BE DIFFICULT TO DO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BIDS ON THE CROSSDRAINS ON ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING DESIGN BUILD BIDS ADVERTISED ABOUT FOUR MONTHS AGO OR MAYBE FIVE MONTHS AGO. HIS COMPANY TURNED IN WITH THE CONTRACTOR AND THE ORIGINAL PROJECT WAS SET UP FOR THE CROSSDRAINS TO HANDLE A 100 YEAR STORM EVENT AND IT CAME IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS OVER BUDGET. THE STATE REDUCED IT TO A 50 YEAR STORM EVENT AND HIS COMPANY AND ANOTHER CONTRACTOR WAS LOW BIDDER; HE THINKS THEY GOT THE JOB.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE BID HAD BEEN AWARDED.

CLIFF EXPLAINED HIS PARTNERS IN PANAMA CITY WERE HANDLING THE PROJECT AND HE DON'T KNOW A LOT ABOUT IT. HE DID A LOT OF HOMEWORK ON IT ABOUT FIVE MONTHS AGO; BUT, HE HASN'T BEEN VERY INVOLVED RECENTLY. THEY MAY HAVE ALREADY AWARDED PREBLE RISH THE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAD HEARD THE BIDS HAD BEEN AWARDED AND HE THOUGHT CLIFF COULD ADVISE THE BOARD WHEN THE PROJECT MAY GET STARTED. CLIFF AGREED TO GET HIMSELF UP TO SPEED AND MAYBE THURSDAY, HE WILL BE READY TO TELL THE BOARD.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE CULVERTS ON ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY AREN'T GOING TO LAST VERY LONG. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS JUST EXCITED TO SEE THE PROJECT GET STARTED AND GET IT DONE.

ROGER HAGAN, FOR CLARIFICATION, SAID THEY HAD TALKED ABOUT A MEETING THURSDAY; THE NEXT BOARD MEETING ISN'T UNTIL JUNE 27TH. THOSE THAT THINK THEY HAVE TO COME BACK ON THURSDAY WITH AN ANSWER, THEY ACTUALLY HAVE ABOUT TEN DAYS BEFORE THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

SIMON SHEFFIELD ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THIS BEING HIS FIRST TIME COMING TO A WORKSHOP MEETING. HE NOTICED THEY HAVE AGENDAED ITEMS; HE ASKED, IF THE BOARD APPROVES THE AGENDAED ITEMS IN THE WORKSHOP, WHEN THEY COME TO A REGULAR MEETING, IT IS ALREADY VOTED IN.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT JUST GOES THERE SO THEY DON'T TAKE THEM INDIVIDUALLY. USUALLY WHAT THE BOARD IS DOING NOW IS, IF THEY HAVE TO HAVE ANY RESEARCH DONE OR SOMETHING COMES UP THEY NEED TO ADD TO IT, IT GIVES THEM TIME TO GET IT ON THE BOARD'S REGULAR MEETING AGENDA. IT DOESN'T STOP A COMMISSIONER FROM PULLING AN AGENDAED ITEM AND DISCUSS IT AT THEIR REGULAR BOARD MEETING.

MR. SIMON SHEFFIELD ASKED IF A PERSON IS ON THE AGENDA FOR THE REGULAR MEETING WITH AN ITEM, THE BOARD VOTES ON IT. COMMISSIONER PATE ADVISED THE BOARD WOULD VOTE ON IT UNLESS SOMEBODY WANTS TO PULL IT TONIGHT AND TALK ABOUT IT. MR. SHEFFIELD WAS INFORMED THE NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING WILL BE JUNE 27TH.

FOR CLARIFICATION PURPOSES, ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID NOTHING IS PASSED OR APPROVED AT A WORKSHOP; IT HAS GOT TO BE IN A GENERAL

SESSION. THE PURPOSE AND THE REASON BEHIND THE WORKSHOP IS SO THE BOARD CAN MEET AND DISCUSS ITEMS MAYBE IN DETAIL THAT MAY NOT BE AS EFFICIENT TO DISCUSS IN A REGULAR BOARD MEETING WHEN THEY HAVE TO APPROVE THINGS. HE REITERATED NOTHING AS OF TONIGHT IS APPROVED; IT IS JUST BEING DISCUSSED TO GIVE THE BOARD THE INFORMATION THEY NEED TO MAKE A DECISION ON IT IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS.

ATTORNEY REPORT:

1. ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE-ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON, PROBABLY TWO OR THREE MONTHS AGO, THIS BOARD ASKED THAT MR. HAGAN WHEN HE WAS SERVING AS INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER TO PUT TOGETHER A GROUP OF CITIZENS AS KIND OF AN ADVISORY GROUP WITH RESPECT TO THEIR CURRENT ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE. THERE HAS BEEN SOME SUGGESTIONS AND FEELING AMONGST HIMSELF, MR. HAGAN AND HE CAN'T REMEMBER IF MR. PITTS WAS INVOLVED WITH IT, THAT THEIR CURRENT ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE WAS JUST A LITTLE BIT OUT OF DATE AND NOT AS INCLUSIVE AS MAYBE THEY WOULD LIKE IT TO BE AND MAY NOT GIVE THEM THE AMMUNITION THEY NEED TO BE ABLE TO TRY AND ENFORCE IT EITHER IN A POLICY APPROACH OR IN COUNTY COURT. WHAT HAS BEEN UNDERTAKEN IN THE LAST COUPLE OF MONTHS IS THERE HAVE BEEN VARIOUS MEETINGS WHERE THE PEOPLE ON THE COMMITTEE HAVE SAT DOWN AND GONE OVER WHAT THEY HAVE GOT. THEY HAVE LOOKED AT WHAT OTHER SISTER COUNTIES HAVE AND HAVE TRIED TO TAILOR A DOCUMENT THAT FITS THE PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY IN SOMETHING THE BOARD WILL FEEL GOOD ABOUT. HE GAVE THE BOARD A COPY OF THE FINAL VERSION OF THE DRAFT OF THE ORDINANCE AND HE IS GOING TO GO OVER SOME BIG PICTURE THINGS. HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE BOARD TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE DRAFT ORDINANCE AND HE THINKS IT IS WORTH THEM SITTING BECAUSE IT IS PROBABLY A TEN TO TWELVE PAGE DOCUMENT AND OBVIOUSLY HE WANTS THEM TO DIGEST IT BECAUSE IT IS SUCH AN IMPORTANT THING. FROM HIS PROSPECTIVE, SOME OF THE ISSUES THE COMMITTEE TRIED TO ADDRESS, ANYTIME YOU GET INTO AN ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE, A LOT OF PEOPLE'S ANIMALS ARE MAYBE SECOND TO CHILDREN; BUT, THEY ARE LOVED. NATURALLY WHEN THEY GO TO TALKING ABOUT THE BREADTH OF AN ANIMAL CONTROL ORDI-

NANCE IT SENDS UP EMOTIONS ESPECIALLY HE HAS FOUND IN RURAL COUNTIES WHERE THE CUSTOM HAS BEEN PEOPLE MAY USE SUCH ANIMALS FOR HUNTING AND OTHER PURPOSES. HE KNOWS THERE ARE VARIOUS FEELINGS AMONGST THE BOARD WITH RESPECT TO HOW TO TAILOR OR NOT TAILOR AN ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE BUT FROM THE COMMITTEE'S PROSPECTIVE, WHAT THEY TRIED TO DO FROM A GOAL PROSPECTIVE IS THEY TRIED TO GIVE THEIR ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS THE ABILITY AND THE TOOLS TO ENFORCE THE ORDINANCE IN A MANNER WHEREBY THEY COULD (1) CLEARLY AND BY A DEFINE SET OF RULES IF THEY CAUGHT AN ANIMAL UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, PUT THEM IN A SHELTER AND THEN HAVE THE COUNTY COMPENSATED FOR SUCH AND (2) GIVE THEM THE ABILITY AND AMMUNITION IN WARRANTED SITUATIONS TO ISSUE CIVIL FINES. WHAT THEY HAVE TRIED TO DO IS ISSUE A CIVIL FINE THAT (1) IS PROGRESSIVE AND HE THINKS THE FIRST INCIDENT IF THERE IS PROBABLY CAUSE, THERE IS A LOT OF DISCRETION FOR A WARNING THERE BEFORE FINES ARE START ISSUED AND THEN THEY START ISSUING AT \$50 FOR THE SECOND. THERE IS ALSO THE RIGHT, STATUTORILY AND IN THE ORDINANCE, THEY MAY CONTEST THE FINE IN COUNTY COURT AND SO THERE IS A DUE PROCESS REQUIREMENT THERE. BUT, THE COMMITTEE ALSO TRIED TO BALANCE THAT WITH THE ISSUE WITH THE HUNTING DOGS AND MAKING EXCEPTIONS FOR CERTAIN TYPES OF ANIMALS, WHETHER THEY ARE LAW ENFORCEMENT ANIMALS AND/OR HUNTING DOGS IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS WHEREBY THEY GIVE SOME FLEXIBILITY TO THE CITIZENS TO LET THEM DO THE THINGS THEY ENJOY DOING WITH THEIR PETS. THE COMMITTEE HAS TRIED TO CURTAIL SOMETHING WHEREBY THEY GIVE THEIR ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS THE ABILITY IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS TO AFFECTUATE CIVIL FINES AND IMPOUNDMENT OF ANIMALS; BUT, AT THE SAME TIME TRIED NOT TO INFRINGE FROM A BREADTH STANDPOINT. FROM WHAT HE HAS SEEN IN JACKSON COUNTY, WALTON COUNTY AND SANTA ROSA COUNTY, ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE THOUGHT THE COMMITTEE TOOK INTO ACCOUNT THE SPECIFICS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AND TRIED TO APPLY AND FORM SOMETHING THAT REALLY FITS OUR COMMUNITY HERE. THOSE WERE REALLY THE GOALS THE COMMITTEE UNDERTOOK IN CREATING THE ORDINANCE. HE LOOKS FORWARD TO THE BOARD READING IT AND GIVING SOME FEEDBACK. IF IT IS NOT

SOMETHING THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO DO OR APPROVE OR AMEND AT THE COUNTY MEETING HERE IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS, HE WOULD CERTAINLY LIKE TO PUT IT ON THE AGENDA FOR THE WORKSHOP IN JULY BECAUSE HE THINKS IT IS THAT IMPORTANT OF AN ORDINANCE. HE WANTS THE BOARD TO FEEL AS GOOD AS POSSIBLE ABOUT IT BEFORE IT GETS APPROVED. HE GAVE THE BOARD A COPY OF THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE TO DIGEST. IT IS PROBABLY HIS RECOMMENDATION TO HAVE A REALLY THOROUGH DISCUSSION ABOUT THE DRAFT ORDINANCE AT THEIR WORKSHOP IN JULY. IT IS JUST ONE OF THOSE ISSUES TO HIM, HE IS NOT SURE WITHOUT A REAL GOOD DISCUSSION ON IT, THAT IT IS SOMETHING THE BOARD WOULD BE HAPPY WITH APPROVING IN MAKING SURE THE PUBLIC CAN HEAR THE DISCUSSION THAT GOES ON WITH IT. HE REITERATED HIS REQUEST TO PUT IT ON THE AGENDA FOR THE WORKSHOP IN JULY; THAT WILL GIVE THE BOARD A MONTH TO LOOK AT THE ORDINANCE. HE TOLD THE BOARD IF THEY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, THEY CAN TALK TO HIM, GET IDEAS FROM HIM AND MR. JOYNER WAS IN ON MANY OF THE MEETINGS AFTER HE CAME ON BOARD AND TRY TO GET A PROSPECTIVE ON HOW THE COMMITTEE CAME UP WITH FORMULATING WHAT THEY HAVE GOT. HE WILL ALSO MAKE SURE COMMISSIONER BROCK GETS A COPY OF THE ORDINANCE AS WELL BECAUSE HE KNOWS THIS IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE TO HIM.

COMMISSIONER PATE DIDN'T RECALL THEM EVER HAVING A CASE COME BEFORE THEM THAT WAS JUST HUNTING DOGS RUNNING WILD. IT IS MOSTLY YARD DOGS AND PEOPLE WON'T KEEP THEM HOME, ETC.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHAT THEY ANTICIPATE WAS NOT NECESSARILY. HE COULD SEE THAT WHAT HE TRIED TO GET READY FOR WAS THE "WHAT IFS." THE COMMITTEE WANTED TO TAKE THE HUNTING DOGS INTO CONSIDERATION ON THE FRONT END RATHER THAN NOT ADDRESSING THE "WHAT IFS" ON THE BACK END. HE TRIED TO MAKE PEOPLE CONCERNED WITH THAT ISSUE SOME COMFORT.

2. AG CENTER CONTRACT-ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD AT THEIR WORKSHOP IN MAY, WHERE FOR THE FIRST TIME, THEY HANDED OUT THE RULES FOR RENTALS AND OPERATIONS OF THE AG CENTER. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HAD SOME CONCERNS AND THEY BASICALLY DID WITH THE AG CENTER

CONTRACT WHAT THEY DID WITH THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE. IT WAS BASICALLY LETS TABLE IT, LET THE BOARD LOOK AT IT AND LETS TALK ABOUT THE POSSIBLE ISSUES OR WAYS AROUND THE ISSUES. HE TOLD THE BOARD, IF THEY WOULD REMEMBER, WHAT THEY WERE TRYING TO DO WITH THE WAY THEY STRUCTURED, HE THOUGHT THE BIG STICKING POINT WAS WHAT THEY HAD DEEMED A SECURITY MONITOR FEE. THAT WAS A FEE THAT VARIED ANYWHERE FROM \$50 TO \$90 AND APPLIED IF THE FACILITY WAS USED AFTER CERTAIN HOURS. THE IDEA BEING SOMEBODY WAS GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THAT (1) THE FACILITY WAS VACATED ON TIME AND (2) IT WAS VACATED IN A PROPER MANNER AND LEFT IN A PROPER CONDITION. THAT WAS THE IDEA BEHIND THE SECURITY MONITORING FEE AND THAT STEMS FROM A GROUP OF INCIDENTS THAT HAPPENED NOT ONLY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY; BUT, OTHER SISTER COUNTIES AS WELL IN PEOPLE RENTING THE FACILITY FOR RAVES. THAT WAS ONE OF THE THINGS THEY DID, OR TALKED ABOUT, AS A GROUP IS A METHOD TO TRY AND CURTAIL THOSE RAVES OR THOSE TYPE OF LATE NIGHT ACTIVITIES FROM HAPPENING AT THE AG CENTER. HE KNOWS ANDY, ROGER AND DAVID ARE PRESENT TODAY AND THEY WERE ALL PART AT VARIOUS TIMES OF THE MEETINGS WITH RESPECT TO HOW DO THEY CURTAIL THESE RAVES. ANY FEEDBACK OR ANY ADJUSTMENTS THE BOARD MAY HAVE OR RECOMMEND AT THIS TIME, THE GROUP IS CERTAINLY AMENABLE TO GOING BACK AND CHANGING THE CONTRACT AS THE BOARD SEES FIT. THEY WANT TO GET SOMETHING THE BOARD AND ANDY FEELS GOOD ABOUT ON AN ONGOING BASIS. THERE ARE OTHER THINGS IN THE CONTRACT WITH WAIVER OF LIABILITIES, ETC.; BUT, THE BIG ISSUE IS HOW DO WE CURTAIL THOSE LATE NIGHT ACTIVITIES AND HOW DO WE AFFECTUATE MAKING SURE PEOPLE ARE CLEARED OUT OF THE AG CENTER BY A CERTAIN TIME.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE IDEA IS ANYTIME YOU DO SOMETHING AFTER 5:00 P.M., THERE IS A COST TO IT; THERE IS MANPOWER AND TIME INVOLVED WITH IT. THE QUESTION IS "WHO SHOULD BEAR THE COST OF IT," BECAUSE IT HAS GOT TO GET DONE AND AS MR. ABBOTT HAD SAID "DO WE HAVE TO PUNISH EVERYBODY FOR A FEW ROGUES." HE IS NOT SURE THERE IS A GOOD ANSWER TO THAT. BUT, HE DOES KNOW THAT IS REALLY THE BALANCE THEY

ARE WEIGHING HERE IS TRYING TO KEEP THE COST MINIMAL TO THE PEOPLE IT MAY HURT WHILE AT THE SAME TIME UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE IS A NATURAL COST FROM SHUTTING SOMETHING DOWN AFTER 5:00 P.M. OR ON THE WEEKENDS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED THE BOARD IF THERE WAS ANYTHING HE OR ANDY OR ANYBODY THAT IS HERE COULD ANSWER FOR THEM WITH RESPECT TO WHAT THEY HAVE DRAFTED AND HOW THEY CAME UP WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE LIKED WHAT WAS DRAFTED; BUT, HE IS NOT ONE TO MAKE IT A LAW FOR THE 1% TO 2% BAD PEOPLE. HE IS LOOKING AT THE KIWANIS'S THAT HAS THE ALL NIGHT BALL, PROJECT GRADUATION. IF ANDY OR DAVID CORBIN CAN USE THEIR DISCRETION ON WHETHER THESE PEOPLE NEED SECURITY OR NOT, HE WILL SUPPORT THAT. BUT, TO SET UP A RULE THAT APPLIES TO EVERYBODY THAT HAS TO HAVE SECURITY, HE CAN'T SUPPORT THAT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED ONE THING THE GROUP DID IN SUBSECTION 11 HE EXPRESSLY RESERVED THE RIGHT TO THE COUNTY TO WAIVE ANY AND ALL FEES, RULES AND/OR REQUIREMENTS INCLUDED IN THE CONTRACT. HE ALSO PUT IN THERE IT IS THE GENERAL POLICY OF THE LESSOR NOT TO GRANT A WAIVER UNLESS THE WAIVER IS FOR A DESIGNATED GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY AND/OR AGRICULTURAL RELATED PROGRAM OR PURPOSE. THAT BEING SAID, THEY HAVE GIVEN THEMSELVES THE FLEXIBILITY. THEY MUST APPLY FOR A WAIVER; HOPEFULLY, IF THEY HAVE A HISTORY OF RENTING WITH THE COUNTY AND THEY HAVE RENTED IT IN A PROPER MANNER AND THE COUNTY UNDERSTANDS THE NATURE OF IT, HE THINKS THERE IS SOME DISCRETION THERE THEY HAVE LEFT THEMSELVES IN SAYING "HEY, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT LITTLE BIT OF EXTRAORDINARY; " WHETHER IT IS A KIWANIS NIGHT OR WHETHER IT IS A PROJECT GRADUATION OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT THEY FEEL GOOD ABOUT THEY DON'T NECESSARILY NEED SECURITY OR THERE IS GOING TO BE SOMEBODY THERE TO MONITOR IT THEY TRUST ANYWAY. HE THINKS THEY HAVE GIVEN THEMSELVES THAT DISCRETION AND AT THE SAME TIME, THEY DON'T HAVE TO USE IT. THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO DEMAND YOU ANTE UP IF THEY DON'T HAVE A GOOD FEELING ABOUT IT AND YOU UNDERSTAND

THERE MAY BE OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS OR SOMETHING THERE TO SEE WHAT IS GOING ON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, IN FAIRNESS TO DAVID AND ANDY, SAID THAT COULD BE A CONTROVERSIAL THING TOO. HE REFERRED TO A COUPLE OF PHONE CALLS HE HAD RECEIVED IN REFERENCE TO TRI-COUNTY USING ANOTHER ONE OF THE COUNTY'S FACILITIES AND WHY WAS THEY PAYING RENT. IT WAS A CLERICAL ERROR; BUT, STILL YOU HAVE SOME VIOLENT PEOPLE WANTING TO KNOW WHY THEY SHOULD BE PAYING. IN FAIRNESS TO DAVID AND ANDY, THEY MAY NOT KNOW SOMEBODY AS GOOD AS CHAIRMAN PATE DOES; CHAIRMAN PATE MAY KNOW THEY ARE GOOD PEOPLE BUT ANDY WASN'T SURE TO GIVE THEM A VARIANCE. HE WANTS TO PROTECT DAVID AND ANDY TOO.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE HARD PART IS IF YOU WANT TO PROTECT ANDY AND DAVID, IF A PERSON WANTS A VARIANCE IT HAS GOT TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD. HE ASKED IF THAT IS SOMETHING THE BOARD WANTS TO BE DEALING WITH EVERY MONTH FROM PEOPLE WANTING VARIANCES. THERE IS A COUPLE OF WAYS TO DO THIS; THEY DO NOTHING, TAKE THE CLAUSE OUT AND TRY TO PROSECUTE PEOPLE ON THE BACK END OR DEAL WITH THEM ON THE BACK END INSTEAD OF THE FRONT END, THEY IMPLEMENT SOMETHING LIKE IS BEING SUGGESTED TODAY AND HOPE THAT CURTAILS IT AND GIVE THEMSELVES SOME DISCRETION. BUT, HE DON'T THINK THERE IS A PERFECT ANSWER. THEY HAVEN'T INCREASED ANY OF THE FEES FOR THE FACILITIES. THEY COULD ROLL IT INTO THE FEES FOR EVERYBODY AND KNOW THEY ARE GOING TO COVER IT THAT WAY. IT IS LIKE ANY OTHER BUSINESS, IF THERE IS THIS OUTSTANDING FEE THERE AND YOU ARE GOING TO USE THESE SERVICES, HOW DO YOU ACCOMPLISH IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO THIS COMMITTEE STARTING OUT WITH DIALOGUE BETWEEN HIM, ANDY AND DAVID DUE TO SOMEONE WHO HAD RENTED THE FACILITY MESSING UP THE KITCHEN, ETC. AT THE AG CENTER. THERE IS WAYS OUT THERE AND THEY CAN LOOK; THERE IS WAYS OUT THERE BUT THERE IS NO FAIR WAY. HE SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE WAY THE CONTRACT IS WRITTEN NOW NOR WAIVING. BUT, IF THEY ARE GOING TO ASK DAVID AND ANDY TO WAIVE IT, THEY BETTER GIVE THEM SOME WELL

PROTECTED FIBERGLASS HOT SEAT PANTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED DAVID AND ANDY HOW THEY WOULD FEEL ABOUT THE COUNTY MANAGER APPROVING THE WAIVERS.

MR. HAGAN SAID OVER THE MANY YEARS IN TRYING TO DO THINGS, IF THEY START WAIVERING THE FEES, THAT IS ALMOST LIKE PERSONALITY ENFORCEMENT. IF YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE A LAW, AND AN ORDINANCE HAS THE AFFECT OF A LAW, THEY NEED TO HAVE ONE THE SAME THING. HE THOUGHT THEY OUGHT TO HAVE SOMETHING AND THIS IS WHAT IT IS; WHEN HE COMES UP HERE, HE KNOWS WHAT IT IS AND WHEN SOMEBODY ELSE COMES UP HERE AND THEY DON'T KNOW HIM WELL, MAYBE THEY KNOW SOMEBODY ELSE BETTER AND HE COMES UP HERE AND HE IS NOT QUITE AS TRUSTWORTHY. BUT, HE HAS TO MEET THE SAME STANDARDS THE TRUSTWORTHY GUY DOES OR THE TRUSTWORTHY GUY HAS TO MEET THE SAME STANDARDS HE DOES. THAT MAKES THEM EQUAL THEN IN THE EYES OF THE COUNTY. THE BOARD WOULDN'T BE PUNISHING THAT 2%; THEY WOULD BE MAKING THEM ALL PLAY BY THE SAME RULES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT PUNISHING THE 99% THAT ARE DOING GOOD FOR THE 1% THAT ARE NOT.

ROGER SAID THEY WOULDN'T BE PUNISHING THE 99%; YOU HAVE TO CLEAN UP BEHIND THEM, KEEP THAT BUILDING UP, ETC. FIRST OF ALL, ROGER SAID HE WASN'T TOO SURE THE GOVERNMENT OUGHT TO BE IN THE BUSINESS OF RENTING BUILDINGS, BEING A LANDLORD, ETC; BUT, IF THEY ARE GOING TO DO IT, EVERYBODY OUGHT TO BE TREATED EQUAL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY WERE NOT TALKING ABOUT THE PRICING FOR THE AG CENTER RENTAL; THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT THE SECURITY.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO WHEN HE CAME ON BOARD THEY HAD WAIVERS THEN FOR CERTAIN GROUPS; YOU HAVE TO BE ATTACHED TO THE GOVERNMENT, SCHOOLS, ETC. OTHER THAN THAT, EVERYTHING ELSE OUGHT TO BE PAYING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WAIVERING IS NOT THE QUESTION.

DAVID CORBIN SAID WAIVERING IS NOT THE PROBLEM; THE MAIN PROBLEM IS NOT WAIVERING. HE ONLY SIGNS OFF ON GOVERNMENT AGENCIES; BUT,

THAT IS NOT THE PROBLEM. IT IS NOT THE KIWANIS, PROJECT GRADUATION OR PEOPLE YOU KNOW; IT IS THE PEOPLE YOU DON'T KNOW. THAT IS WHERE THE HIDDEN PROBLEM COULD BE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE ONLY QUESTION ON THE REWRITTEN ORDINANCE THE COMMITTEE DID, THE ONLY REASON HE COULDN'T SUPPORT IT 100% WAS THEY WERE REQUIRING IN THE WRITING TO HAVE EVERYBODY USE HIRED SECURITY AND THAT WOULD ENABLE ANDY AND DAVID TO MAKE SURE THE BUILDING WAS CLOSED AND THEY BACKED THE HOURS UP SOME, WHICH HE DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. BUT, THEY WERE REQUIRING EVERYBODY TO HAVE HIRED SECURITY. THAT IS THE PROBLEM HE HAS. HE THINKS 99% OF THE PEOPLE DO NOT NEED HIRED SECURITY; BUT, THAT 1% DOES. AGAIN, HE DON'T KNOW HOW TO WRITE THE LAW; BUT, HE IS NOT ONE TO AFFECT 99 PEOPLE IN A NEGATIVE MANNER FOR THE 1% ROGUES.

DAVID AGREED AND SAID THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE A LEGAL QUESTION. HE DON'T KNOW HOW YOU CAN DO ONE WITHOUT DOING THE OTHER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS OTHER QUESTION, AND HE DIDN'T WANT TO OFFEND MR. HAGAN, HE COULDN'T FEEL GOOD ABOUT MAKING THE 99% OF THE PEOPLE FOR THAT 1% BAD TO BE ABLE TO TREAT THEM ALL THE SAME.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED IF YOU RENT THE ARMORY, THERE WILL BE SOMEBODY DOWN THERE FROM THE TIME IT IS OPENED UNTIL THE TIME IT IS CLEANED AND YOU PAY FOR IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IN A LOT OF WAYS HE FEELS THE EXACT SAME WAY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT FEELS; 99% OF THE PEOPLE PROBABLY SHOULDN'T HAVE TO PAY \$300 DEPOSIT FEE EITHER. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID 99% OF THE PEOPLE AREN'T GOING TO DO ANYTHING AND THEY ARE GOING TO GET THE DEPOSIT BACK; BUT, IT IS STILL SOME SORT OF BURDEN TO GIVE SOMEBODY \$300 UPFRONT. HE DON'T NECESSARILY LOOK AT IT JUST IT IS MORE A BURDEN THAN A BENEFIT; IT IS MORE OF A BURDEN THAN A BENEFIT HE WILL GIVE THEM THAT. BUT, HE ALSO THINK THERE IS SOME BENEFITS THERE FOR HAVING SECURITY. HE FELT LIKE ROGER; THE WAIVERS SHOULD BE FEW AND FAR BETWEEN BECAUSE IF YOU GET IN A WAIVER SITUATION IT IS HARD TO

TELL ONE PERSON YES AND ONE PERSON NO UNLESS IT IS DESIGNATED. HE PUT THAT LANGUAGE IN THE CONTRACT MORE JUST TO GIVE US THE ABILITY TO DO IT IN CASE HE WAS HAVING TO GET US OUT OF SOMETHING. HE THINKS IT IS AN HONEST DEBATE AND A GOOD DEBATE TO HAVE; HOW DO YOU CURTAIL THE ONE OR TWO PERCENT THEY ARE HAVING A PROBLEM WITH. IT WOULD BE EASY TO REWRITE THE CONTRACT AND TAKE OUT THE SECURITY IMPOSITION FEE. THE PROBLEM YOU WOULD HAVE THEN IS YOU ARE LEFT WITH THE SAME PROBLEM NOW; WHO IS GOING TO SHOW UP AT 11:00 P.M. AND SHUT THE THING DOWN. AND BY THE WAY, YOU ARE GOING TO BE PAYING THEM TO DO IT BECAUSE MOST OF THE PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO BE DOING IT ARE GOING TO BE DOING IT FOR MONEY. YOU RUN INTO THE SAME ISSUE YOU HAVE NOW; HOW DO YOU AFFECTUATE GETTING PEOPLE OUT OF THERE AT THE TIME THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO BE GETTING OUT OF THERE AND MAKING SURE IT IS CLOSED DOWN PROPERLY.

ANDY ANDREASON ADDRESSED THE BOARD REPORTING THEY HAVE ROUGHLY SOMETHING OCCUPIED OR RENTED IN THE AG CENTER THREE TIMES A WEEK; OF THOSE, AT LEAST ONE A WEEK ARE SET AFTER HOURS. IT MAY BE SOMETHING LIKE A 4-H MEETING; BUT, THERE WILL BE AN AGENT PRESENT; SO, THAT IS NOT A BIG ISSUE. BUT, WITH RENTALS AND THINGS LIKE THAT, THEY FACE THE DECISION ABOUT "WHAT DO WE DO," BECAUSE YOU SAID WE ARE PUNISHING 99 FOR THE PROBLEMS OF 1. BUT, THAT ONE EVENT CAN CAUSE ENOUGH PHYSICAL DAMAGE THAT THE COUNTY'S DISCRETIONARY FUND COULDN'T COVER IT ALL IN THE COURSE OF A YEAR IF THEY WERE TO HAVE ENOUGH OF THEM.

ANDY EXPLAINED THE BIG CONCERN HE HAS IS NOT THAT THEY ARE TRYING TO HAVE A RULE FOR THE BAD; BUT, IF THE LEGAL LAW SAYS YOU CAN'T DO ANYTHING TO THESE PEOPLE BECAUSE IT IS A CIVIL CONTRACT, NO MATTER WHAT THEY ARE DOING LAW ENFORCEMENT DON'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO COME IN AND IF THEY DID COME IN, THEY DON'T HAVE ANY AUTHORITY TO THROW THEM OUT UNLESS THEY ARE CUFFING THEM AND TAKING THEM TO JAIL. THE PROBLEM WITH THE ONES THEY HAVE HAD IS THEY WOULD HAVE A SCOUT OUT IN THE PARKING LOT TEXTING WHOEVER IS INSIDE; SO, IF KEVIN CREWS SHOULD HAVE DROVE UP, HE COULDN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT ANYWAY

BECAUSE THEY WERE HIDING WHATEVER THEY HAD. BY HAVING SECURITY ON SITE, JUST THE FACT THEY KNEW SECURITY WAS PRESENT, THEY WOULDN'T WANT TO COME BECAUSE IF YOU HAVE AN OFF DUTY POLICEMAN AND THEY AREN'T GOING ANYWHERE AND SOMEBODY LOCKED THE DOOR AND TURNED THE AIR CONDITIONING OFF INSTEAD OF LETTING IT RUN ALL WEEK END AND ARE SURE THE LIGHTS ARE OFF INCLUDING THE PARKING LOT LIGHTS, ALL THOSE THINGS ARE SOME SAVINGS THE COUNTY WILL EXPERIENCE FROM HAVING SOMEBODY THERE. HE AGREES IT IS AN INCONVENIENCE FOR THOSE PEOPLE THAT NORMALLY HAVE TAKEN IT FOR GRANITE TO USE. HE DON'T FEEL LIKE HE WANTS TO COME UP EVERY NIGHT THEY HAVE SOMETHING PAST 5:00 P.M. AT THE AG CENTER AND HE IS SURE NOT GOING TO DO IT FOR NOTHING. HE THINKS THEY HAVE A RATE THAT IS VERY FAIR; THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT A \$50 UPFRONT COST AND ONLY \$20 AN HOUR FOR ADDITIONAL HOURS AND THE SECOND HOUR IS COVERED IN THE \$50. THE PEOPLE THAT ARE THE PROBLEM COME IN AND LIE TO YOU; THEY KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING BEFORE THEY EVER GET THERE SO THEY ARE DISHONEST ON THE FRONT END WHEN THEY DO THE CONTRACT BECAUSE THEY HAVE FOUR DIFFERENT SCHEDULED RATES FOR DIFFERENT SITUATIONS SO THEY CLAIM IT IS A BIRTHDAY PARTY, A NON PROFIT THING AND THEY ARE OUT THERE MAKING MONEY ON IT FROM THE GET GO. IF THEY CAME IN AND DESTROYED LIKE THE LAST TIME, THEY DESTROYED THE HOUSE LIGHTS OUT OF THE CEILINGS, DAMAGED A FEW OTHER THINGS AND THE THING THAT SCARES HIM THE MOST IS IF THERE IS DRUGS AND ALCOHOL THERE AND THEY ARE STRUGGLING TO GET INMATES TO EVEN COME CLEAN UP AND SET UP. THE REASON THEY DEPEND ON THE INMATES TO SET UP IS BECAUSE THEY WANT TO TRY AND MAKE THEIR TABLE AND CHAIRS LAST AND PEOPLE DON'T TAKE CARE OF THEM OTHERWISE; THE INMATES DO THE CLEAN UP AND THE SET UPS. CERTAINLY THEY EXPECT WHOEVER IS RENTING IT NOT TO TOTALLY DEMOLISH THE PLACE; BUT, THE SWEEPING AND MOPPING ARE DONE BY THE INMATES. THE LAST TIME THEY HAD ONE OF THESE EVENTS THAT ALERTED TO A LITTLE BAG OF MARIJUANA, THE INMATE WAS TOTALLY PETRIFIED BECAUSE HE DIDN'T WANT A DOG TO ALERT ON HIM AND THEN MAKE HIM HAVE TO SERVE MORE TIME. HE WOULDN'T WANT TO BE THERE. IF A LIEUTENANT EVER

HEARS ABOUT THAT, THEY WON'T BE COMING THERE AT ALL; SO, THE BOARD WILL HAVE TO HIRE THEIR CLEAN UP HELP. HE DON'T KNOW FOR SOME PRIVATE SECTOR OR INDIVIDUAL TO DO THE MOPPING, SWEEPING, ETC., IT IS GOING TO COST A LOT MORE THAN THE \$50 FOR THE SECURITY. IT IS GOING TO PENALIZE EVERYBODY; IT IS NOT JUST GOING TO PENALIZE THE PERSON WHO DONE WRONG. IT IS GOING TO PENALIZE EVERYBODY THAT USES IT BECAUSE SOMEBODY HAS GOT TO SHOULDER THAT EXPENSE FOR THE CLEANUP IF THEY HAVE THAT PROBLEM AND LOSE THEIR INMATE LABOR. IT IS A VERY DIFFICULT THING; IT IS NOT A FUN THING. HE INITIALLY TOOK THE IDEA OF TRYING TO BAN A CERTAIN SUSPECTED GROUP; BUT, THEY GET FRONT PEOPLE IN THE LOCAL COMMUNITY TO GO RENT IT IN THEIR NAME AND PAY THEM OFF TO DO IT SO THERE IS NO WAY TO REALLY DO THAT. THIS CONTRACT IS A WAY BECAUSE IF THEY ARE DOING SOMETHING ILLEGAL AND DISHONEST AND THEY ARE IN A VIOLATION OF THE COUNTY'S CONTRACT AND THEY HAVE SECURITY HIRED BY THE COUNTY PAID BY THEM, THEY CAN HAVE THAT INDIVIDUAL ENFORCE THAT CONTRACT, THROW THEM OUT, LOCK THE DOORS AND SEND THEM ON THEIR WAY AND THEY DON'T TEAR UP ANYTHING. IF THERE IS A GOOD SITUATION OR A GOOD PROMINENT CITIZEN OR GROUP, THEY AREN'T GOING TO BE HARASSED AND THEY WILL HAVE SECURITY THERE FOR THEIR OWN PROTECTION. HE THINKS ATTORNEY GOODMAN CAME UP WITH A GOOD IDEA; HE SUPPORTS IT BECAUSE HE DON'T KNOW OF ANY OTHER WAY TO EFFECTIVELY SOLVE THE PROBLEM THEY ARE HAVING AND NOT INCUR AS MUCH COST AS THEY WILL IF THEY ALLOW IT TO CONTINUE BECAUSE ONE OF TWO THINGS WILL HAPPEN. IF THEY HAVE A GROUP ON A REGULAR BASIS LIKE THE LAST ONE THEY HAD, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO REPLACE A BUNCH OF TABLES AND CHAIRS AND THEY AREN'T CHEAP; THEY WILL HAVE TO REPLACE LIGHT FIXTURES, CEILING TILES AND OTHER DAMAGES. IF THEY HAVE TO REPLACE A DOOR, THAT WILL BE \$200 PLUS. THE PEOPLE DOING THE DAMAGE DON'T MIND A \$300 DAMAGE DEPOSIT; THAT IS NO CONCERN TO THEM BECAUSE THEY DON'T EXPECT IT BACK ANYWAY BECAUSE THEY KNOW THEY ARE GOING TO DO MORE DAMAGE THAN THAT COST. THAT IS JUST A NON ISSUE AND IF THE BOARD PUTS THAT DAMAGE DEPOSIT HIGH ENOUGH TO COVER WHAT IT WOULD TAKE

FOR EVERYBODY, THEY ARE GOING TO HURT OTHER PEOPLE OR AT LEAST THE PERCEPTION IS. HE EXPLAINED THEY HOLD THE DEPOSIT CHECKS; THEY DON'T DEPOSIT THEM ON THE DAMAGE DEPOSITS. THE POTENTIAL IS THERE TO CASH THEM AND THAT MAKES PEOPLE UNEASY WHEN YOU SAY YOU NEED A \$300 DAMAGE DEPOSIT. IT IS NOT AN EASY THING TO SOLVE. HE APPRECIATES ATTORNEY GOODMAN'S IDEAS. HE TREATS THE AG CENTER WITH THE RESPONSIBILITY HE IS ASSIGNED TO IT AND HE WANTS TO TAKE CARE OF IT JUST LIKE HE WOULD HIS OWN HOME. HE FEELS LIKE IF THEY CAN DO A BETTER JOB OF TAKING CARE OF IT, IT IS GOING TO COST THE COUNTY LESS MONEY IN THE LONG RUN ON, THIS IS ONE OF THE BEST WAYS HE SEES TO DO IT. THERE MAY BE A BETTER WAY; BUT, HE HASN'T SEEN IT YET.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE CONTRACT SOUNDS GOOD. HE HATES TO PENALIZE EVERYBODY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY ARE NOT GOING TO GET INTO LAWSUITS OUT OF SOMETHING THAT SOMEBODY LIKE HE OR SOME OTHERS MAY DO; IT IS GOING TO BE THOSE WHO GO IN THERE AND VIOLATE THE CONTRACT AND SOMEBODY GOES IN AND GETS HURT AND THEN THEY ARE GOING TO GET SUED.

3. HISTORIC PRESERVATION COVENANT-SHIPES HOLLEY HOUSE-ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE KNOWS IT IS ON THE AGENDA FOR HIM AND ALL HE HAS REALLY DONE IS REVEIUED IT. THAT IS WHAT THE BOARD HAD WANTED HIM TO DO. HE THINKS THERE WAS SOME CONCERNS AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING WITH RESPECT TO WHAT THE COUNTY WANTED TO DO WITH THAT HOUSE. HE HAS REVEIUED THE COVENANT AND THE AGREEMENT SIGNED AND SENT TO THE COUNTY BY TIM WELLS WITH THE FL-DOT. HE WILL SAY FROM HIS PROSPECTIVE AND HE WILL LET THE BOARD DECIDE FROM A POLICY PROSPECTIVE IF THAT IS SOMETHING THEY WANT TO BITE OFF FROM A COST BENEFIT STANDPOINT; BUT, FROM HIS PROSPECTIVE THE THING THAT WOULD GIVE HIM CONCERN ABOUT THE COVENANT IS THERE IS NO PROCEDURE SET FORTH BY WHICH THE COUNTY HAS TO APPROVE THE HOUSE WHEN THEY GET IT. IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO GO FORWARD WITH THE COVENANT, HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SOMETHING ADDED WHEREBY SOMEBODY ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD WHEN IT GETS ON THE COUNTY'S PROPERTY AND UP TO SPEED AS THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO DO BUT IT IS NOT

REALLY IN THE COVENANT, AT THAT POINT, THEY APPROVE IT AND SAY NOW WE ARE GOING TO TAKE IT AND IT IS OURS TO MAINTAIN FOR THE TEN YEARS. THERE IS REALLY NOTHING IN THE AGREEMENT WHEREBY THEY HAVE ANY SORT OF APPROVAL THAT SAYS "NO, YOU DIDN'T DO THIS SO WE ARE NOT GOING TO TAKE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF IT UNTIL WE GET IT JUST LIKE WE WANT IT AND HOW IT SHOULD BE." FROM HIS PROSPECTIVE, ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID OUTSIDE THE COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS TO THE COUNTY BY TAKING THE HOUSE AND WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO TO MAINTAIN THE HOUSE, HE WILL LET THE EXPERTS SPEAK ON THAT. BUT, FROM HIS PROSPECTIVE HIS CONCERN WOULD BE IF WE ARE GOING FORWARD WITH IT, HE WOULD LIKE SOMETHING WHEREBY THERE IS A PROCEDURE WHERE WE OFFICIALLY TAKE THE HOUSE AND THE CONDITION WE WANT TO TAKE IT IN.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO PRESERVE THE HISTORY IN THE OLD HOUSES, ETC; BUT, AS FAR AS WHERE IT IS AT, HE DON'T THINK THEY ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO PROTECT IT DOWN THERE; THAT AND THE COST OF KEEPING IT UP. HE HAS NEVER LIKED THAT SITE FOR ARENAS OR ANYTHING ELSE; EVEN THE LITTLE PARK DOWN THERE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY MORE QUESTIONS WITH RESPECT TO WHAT HE HAS LOOKED AT ON THE SHIPES HOLLEY COVENANT HE COULD ANSWER FOR THEM. HE KNOWS THE BOARD WILL MAKE A POLICY DECISION ON IT IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS ON IT. IF THEY GO FORWARD, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOMETHING WHEREBY THE BOARD HAS THE ABILITY TO FEEL GOOD ABOUT WHATEVER THEY ARE ADOPTING FOR TEN YEARS.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID HE WOULD TOO; IF ATTORNEY GOODMAN WOULD LIKE TO SEE THIS, HE WOULD LIKE TO.

4. BED TAX ORDINANCE-ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD LET THE BOARD GIVE HIM A FEELING ON WHAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO DO ABOUT THIS TONIGHT. COMMISSIONER BROCK IS NOT HERE AND HE IS THE COMMISSIONER THAT ASKED HIM TO LOOK AT THE BED TAX ORDINANCE. THERE WAS SOME QUESTIONS RAISED AT THE MAY MEETING COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD WITH RESPECT TO WHERE THOSE FUNDS CAN GO PURSUANT TO THE UPDATED BYLAWS OF 2009, THEIR ORDINANCE BACK IN 2000 AND THEN THE FLORIDA STATUTES

THAT APPLY. WITH IT BEING COMMISSIONER BROCK'S QUESTION AND HIM NOT BEING HERE, HE OFFERED TO ADDRESS IT BRIEFLY AT THE JUNE BOARD MEETING AND GIVE MR. BROCK HIS ANSWERS SO HE CAN HEAR IT IF THAT IS OKAY WITH THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED COULD HE SAY WHAT HE THINKS HE KNOWS; IT AIN'T THERE. IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN THERE TO START OFF WITH; BROCK WAS SOMEWHAT RIGHT AND SOMEWHAT WRONG.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE HAD FOUND THERE WAS AN ORDINANCE IN 2000, BYLAWS IN 2000 AND THERE WAS THE PLAN IN 2000 AND THEY WERE ALL SOMEWHAT DISJOINTED IN HOW THEY APPLIED TO ONE ANOTHER. HE THINKS IT IS "WASHINGTON COUNTY FIGURE THIS OUT, NOW WE HAVE THIS TAX AND HOW DO WE APPLY IT PURSUANT TO THE STATUTE." HE THINKS IT HAS EVOLVED INTO WHERE IT IS NOW A MORE EFFICIENT RUN DEAL. HE DID FIND IN 2005, THERE ARE MINUTES OF AUGUST 2005 WHEREBY MR. EVERETT CAME FORTH TO THE BOARD AND ASKED FOR AN ADJUSTMENT ON PERCENTAGES WHERE THINGS WERE SUPPOSE TO BE SPENT. HE THINKS THAT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH THE HIRING OF CERTAIN PERSONNEL FOR THE TDC AND HOW THAT WOULD INTERACT WITH THE COUNTY. EVEN AS FAR BACK AS 2005, IN THE MINUTES THERE IS A PORTION OF THE TDC MONEY THAT IS SUPPOSE TO BE USED FOR LETS CALL IT RECREATION. AS OF THE 2005 MINUTES, THERE IS A PORTION FOR RECREATION. IN THAT SENSE, COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS CORRECT THAT THERE WAS DISCUSSION OF RECREATION. HE KNOWS THE TDC, FROM HIS UNDERSTANDING AND HE WILL LET THEM SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES, AS FOR AS HOW THAT WAS ACTUALLY APPLIED AND ACCOUNTED FOR, HE DON'T KNOW IF THERE WAS AN APPLICATION 40% OR 30% OR WHATEVER THAT NUMBER WAS THAT WENT FOR RECREATION VERSUS ANOTHER SOURCE OF FUNDING. IN 2009, THE TDC UPDATED ITS BYLAWS AND KIND OF ROLLED INTO A MUCH MORE COMPREHENSIVE AND DETAILED OUTLINE ON HOW IT WOULD OPERATE. BUT, COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS CORRECT IN THAT HISTORICALLY THERE BEING A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE THAT WAS SUPPOSE TO BE USED FOR RECREATION. NOW, THAT BRINGS THEM TO THE NEXT ISSUE OF WHAT DOES RECREATION ENTAIL UNDER THE STATUTE BECAUSE THEY ARE STILL UNDER THE TDC STATUTE AND

THE LEGISLATURE HAS PUT CERTAIN SPECIFICS ON HOW YOU CAN EXPEND TDC FUNDS. EVEN IF YOU QUANTIFY OR QUALIFY SOMETHING AS RECREATIONAL, IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN PURSUANT TO THAT STATUTE YOU CAN GIVE VERNON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MONEY FOR RECREATION. THE STATUTE HAS CERTAIN PERIMETERS ON HOW YOU CAN EXPEND THE MONEY. IT TALKS ABOUT CERTAIN CREATION, MUSEUMS, CONVENTION CENTERS, SPORTS ARENAS; THERE IS A SITUATION IN THE STATUTE WHEREBY YOU CAN PROMOTE ADVERTISING FOR TOURISM, WHICH MEANS PEOPLE FROM OUT OF THE COUNTY TRYING TO GET THEM INTO THE COUNTY. THAT HAS GOT TO BE THE PRIMARY PURPOSE. WHILE YOU COULD ALLOCATE MONEY FOR RECREATION, HE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE THE STATE SOFTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP IN CHIPLEY, FLORIDA EVERY YEAR IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. THAT IS THE RECREATION TDC CAN DO; THEY CAN PROMOTE IT AND PUT MONEY INTO IT. BUT, WHEN YOU HEAR RECREATION, THERE ARE LIMITATIONS UNDER THE STATUTE OF WHAT RECREATION ENCOMPASSES PURSUANT TO THE TDC AND HOW THAT HAS GOT TO AFFECT TOURISM AND WHAT THE PURPOSE OF THAT HAS GOT TO BE. HE REFERRED TO THE TEAM THAT WAS AT THE LAST BOARD MEETING REQUESTING FUNDS; IF THEY ARE GOING TO HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY AND HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY HAS GOT THE BED TAX, THEY CAN USE THE BED TAX FUNDS TO PROMOTE IT BECAUSE THEY ARE BRINGING PEOPLE FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY TO HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY. THAT IS THE IDEA BEHIND WHAT YOU ARE SUPPOSE TO PURSUANT TO STATUTE USE THAT MONEY FOR. HE REITERATED COMMISSIONER BROCK IS CORRECT IN THE SENSE THERE WAS DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL IN BOARD MINUTES FOR TDC MONEY TO BE USED FOR RECREATION. HE JUST WANTS TO MAKE SURE IT IS CLEAR WITH EVERYBODY THERE ARE PERIMETERS ON WHAT QUALIFIES THE RECREATION YOU CAN SPEND MONEY ON. HE WILL GO OVER THIS AT THE NEXT MEETING SO COMMISSIONER BROCK CAN HEAR THIS.

MS. MARY RICHMOND, TDC CHAIRMAN, SAID SHE CAN UNDERSTAND WHERE THE CONFUSION STARTED. ELEVEN YEARS AGO, THE TOURIST DEVELOPMENT TAX, COMMONLY CALLED THE BED TAX, WAS NEW TO ALL OF US AND THE MOVERS AND SHAKERS THAT WERE DEVELOPING THIS PLAN SO THEY WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO THESE TOURIST DOLLARS DIDN'T KNOW ALL THE INS AND OUTS OF IT. SHE IS SURE AT ONE POINT THEY SAID WE ARE GOING TO HAVE 30% TO USE FOR PARKS AND RECREATION; BUT, THAT IS A DEPARTMENT OF THE COUNTY AND IT GETS AD VALOREM TAXES AND THEY CAN'T MIX THE TWO. AS CHAIRMAN OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY TDC, AND SHE WILL PROBABLY BE AT THE BOARD'S NEXT MEETING SO SHE CAN APOLOGIZE TO COMMISSIONER BROCK, SHE IS SORRY THAT CONFUSION STARTED THEN AND THEY HAVEN'T RESOLVED IT. WE ARE ALL HUMAN AND WE MAKE MISTAKES AND WHEN IT IS A NEW THING ESPECIALLY THEY DON'T PROPERLY RESEARCH IT. AT THAT BEGINNING, WE WERE WRONG. BUT, WE HAVE TO ABIDE BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA LAW AND WE CAN'T TAKE 30% OF OUR TDC FUNDS AND GIVE IT TO THE COUNTY FOR PARKS AND RECREATION. BUT, WE CAN PROMOTE WASHINGTON COUNTY. SHE BELIEVES THE TDC IS VERY WELL ORGANIZED NOW AND THEY ARE MOVING FORWARD. THEY ARE IN A UNIQUE POSITION IN WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE THE NUMBER ONE INDUSTRY IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA IS TOURISM AND NUMBER TWO IS AGRICULTURE AND A PRIME INTEREST TO A LOT OF TOURIST IS AGRI BUSINESSES. SHE THINKS WASHINGTON COUNTY IS A PRIME LOCATION TO ATTRACT TOURIST TO COME LOOK AT OUR AGRICULTURE BUSINESSES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE THAT IS THE COUNTY'S PRIME INDUSTRY. THAT IS WHAT TDC IS TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH IN THE TOURIST DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL IS TO BRING THE PEOPLE THAT WANT TO SEE ECO TOURISM, WHICH IS NATURAL SOURCES AND THE COUNTY HAS A LOT OF THAT TOO WITH 16,000 ACRES OF PRISTENE WATERWAYS. THEY HAVE BIRDING TRAILS AND THE FLORIDA HIKING TRAIL PRIMARILY GOES CADDEY CORNER ACROSS THE ENTIRE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON COUNTY. SHE BELIEVES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND IS A NATIVE WASHINGTON COUNTY. SHE HAS HEARD ALL HER LIFE THAT WASHINGTON COUNTY IS GOING TO TAKE OFF; IT IS GOING TO DEVELOP, THERE IS NO OTHER PLACE IN FLORIDA THAT

CAN DEVELOP, IT HAS GOT TO BE WASHINGTON COUNTY. SHE SAID "NOW IT IS." SHE SAID IF THE TDC COULD GIVE THE COUNTY FUNDS FOR THEIR PARKS AND RECREATION, SHE IS ALSO A CHILD ADVOCATE AND VERY MUCH IN FAVOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION; BUT, NOT ENOUGH TO BREAK A STATE LAW. FOR THE CONFUSION FOR ELEVEN YEARS, SHE IS DEEPLY SORRY.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED HOW MANY TEAMS WOULD BE PARTICIPATING IN THE STATE SOFTBALL TOURNAMENT THAT WILL BE PLAYED IN WASHINGTON COUNTY THIS YEAR. MR. TOWN THOUGHT THERE WOULD BE SIXTEEN TO EIGHTEEN TEAMS TOTAL.

MS. RICHMOND SAID THE TDC IS PROMOTING THE STATE SOFTBALL TOURNAMENT BECAUSE IT WOULD PUT HEADS IN BED WHICH INCREASES THEIR BED TAX, WHICH GIVES THEM MORE FUNDS TO ADVERTISE TO TELL PEOPLE "HAVE YOU HEARD FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY; COME ON DOWN AND LOOK WHAT WE HAVE TO OFFER." THE TDC ADVERTISES IN HUNTING MAGAZINES, SPORTING MAGAZINES, THEY PUT OUT RECREATION MAPS THAT INCLUDES THE COUNTY BOAT LANDINGS. TDC DOES ALL THEY CAN TO PROMOTE WASHINGTON COUNTY AND THAT WILL DIRECTLY INTERFACE WITH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. TOURISM BRINGS PROSPEROUS TIMES AND THEY WANT TO GET EVERY POSSIBLE TOURIST THEY CAN GET INTO THIS COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID SINCE THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT THE AG CENTER, THEY SORELY NEED TO LOOK AT POSSIBLY GETTING A TEAM TOGETHER TO START LOOKING AT SOME KIND OF REPLACEMENT OF WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO WITH THE AG FACILITY IN A FEW YEARS; DO SOME PLANNING NOW EVEN THOUGH THEY DON'T HAVE THE FUNDING. THE PLAN COMES FIRST AND THEN YOU WORK IT OUT. AS THEY GET FURTHER ON DOWN THE LINE THIS YEAR, MAYBE THEY NEED TO START LOOKING AT THAT. THEY DEFINITELY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DO SOMETHING ON THE BACK PART OF THE AG CENTER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE THOUGHT THERE WAS ALREADY A TEAM WORKING ON THAT.

MR. JOYNER ADVISED THEY HAD A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER LOOKING INTO IT; THE FOUNDATION IS SETTLING AND THE SOLUTION TO THAT IS VERY EXPENSIVE TO SHORE IT UP, PUMP CONCRETE UNDER THE FOUNDATION AND IT

IS REALLY NOT GOING TO GUARANTEE A RESOLVE TO THAT PROBLEM. IT IS A VERY EXPENSIVE PROCEDURE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE FACILITY WAS SAFE AT THIS TIME.

MR. JOYNER ADVISED IT WAS; THE BACK PART IS SEPARATING AND THERE IS A GAP IN THE WALL. HE ASKED ANDY IF IT HAD PROGRESSED ANY LATELY.

ANDY REPORTED OVER THE LAST SIX MONTHS THE GAP HAS PROGRESSED SLIGHTLY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED AGAIN IF IT WAS SAFE AT THIS TIME. MR. JOYNER SAID IT WAS SAFE; IT IS NOT GOING TO FALL DOWN OR FALL APART.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WAS NOT SAYING IT IS NOT SAFE; BUT, IT IS SOMETHING THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO ADDRESS AND IT IS NOT GOING TO BE EASY TO ADDRESS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO GET OUT OF THE RENTAL BUSINESS AS A COUNTY AS A WHOLE AND MAYBE SEE IF THEY CAN GET SOME EFFORTS GOING TOWARD A CIVIC CENTER AND STEER EVERYBODY TO THE CIVIC CENTER AND THE COUNTY GET OUT OF THE RENTAL BUSINESS.

ANDY SAID THE CONCERN IS FROM THE FOUNDATION TO THE CEILING, YOU HAVE SOME TRACTION FROM A STEEP THAT IS BUILT OVER A DOOR THERE AND THERE IS A LOT OF TWISTING IN A DOOR FRAME, ETC. AND EVENTUALLY, ACCORDING TO THE FIRST ENGINEER THAT LOOKED AT IT, THAT PART OF THAT WALL WHERE THEY HAVE SEPARATION, THE MORTAR JOINTS MAY EVENTUALLY FALL OUT. AS FAR AS RENTING IT OR BEING A DANGER TO ANYBODY INSIDE, IT IS PROBABLY NOT GOING TO DO THAT. AS FAR AS TRYING TO KEEP THE PLACE HEATED AND COOLED, THAT IS A CONCERN; BUT, IF THEY ARE GOING TO STAY IN IT LIKE IT IS AND THEY AREN'T GOING TO PURSUE, AND HE AGREES WITH MR. JOYNER IT IS VERY EXPENSIVE, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO FORCE THE JACK PILINGS DOWN 25' OR 30' OR MORE. THEN THERE IS NO CERTAINTY THEY ARE GOING TO FIND ANY HARD BED ROCK TO KEEP IT FROM SETTLING. HE REFERRED TO THE ISSUES THEY ARE HAVING AT THE LIBRARY WITH CRACKING OF THE FOUNDATION. THIS MAY BE A VERY EXPENSIVE

PROPOSITION AND NOT REALLY EVER CORRECT IT; IT MAY STABILIZE IT BUT THAT IS VERY QUESTIONABLE TOO. IF NOTHING ELSE, COSMETICALLY, THEY NEED TO PLASTER OVER THOSE HOLES AND CRACKS THEY HAVE; THEY NEED TO DO SOMETHING TO SHORE THAT WALL UP. THAT WALL WAS NEVER POURED SOLID LIKE IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN ANYWAY. IT IS A CONCERN THAT NEEDS SOME WORK DONE THERE; IF NOTHING MORE THAN FOR COSMETIC OR HEATING AND COOLING SAKE. AS MR. PATE SAID, THEY MAY WANT TO LOOK AT A LONG RANGE PLAN ON WHERE TO GO FROM HERE. IT IS AN OLD BUILDING BUILT IN THE 1950'S AND MODIFIED IN THE EARLY 1980'S. A LOT OF THE ISSUES THERE ARE A PATCHWORK OF THINGS THAT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO MAINTAIN. IT HAS PROVIDED A LOT OF SERVICE OVER THE YEARS AND THE COUNTY HAS ENJOYED THAT BENEFIT IMMENSELY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT IS NOT TO SAY WHAT WE WANT TO DO, THE ENGINEERING PART ON THE REPAIR OF IT WILL TELL THE BOARD WHAT TO DO; BUT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO DO ANYTHING OUT THERE THEY NEED TO BE LOOKING AT THAT AS THEY GO ALONG, ABBOTT HAS BEEN DOING A GOOD JOB OF FINDING SOME MONEY AND ALL. THEY NEED TO THINK ABOUT WHERE THEY NEED TO PUT SOME OF THIS STUFF.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS TRYING TO SAVE JOBS. ANDY SAID IF THEY LOOK AT A LONG TERM PROSPECTIVE, IF THEY LOOK AT WHAT IT WAS GOING TO COST THEM TO TRY AND CORRECT THE PROBLEM AND APPLYING THE AMOUNT IT IS GOING TO COST TO SAVE TOWARD THAT RENOVATION OR NEW STRUCTURE OR NEW SITE OR WHATEVER THE CASE MAY BE WHATEVER DIRECTION THE COUNTY CHOOSES TO GO BECAUSE OTHERWISE IT IS GOING TO ONLY GET WORSE, IT IS NOT GOING TO GET BETTER OVER TIME. IT IS SAFE RIGHT NOW.

DAVID UPDATED THE BOARD ON HE AND ANDY TAKING ON THEMSELVES ABOUT SIX MONTHS AGO TO LOOK AROUND FOR POSSIBLE LOCATIONS AND TALKED TO SOME PEOPLE ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF A DONATION OF SOME LAND. THEY WERE GETTING SOME GOOD LEADS; BUT, THEY BACKED OFF UNTIL THEY COULD GET SOME GOOD LEADS; BUT, THEY BACKED OFF UNTIL THE COULD GET SOME HELP FROM THE BOARD AND DIRECTION FROM THE BOARD. LIKE COMMISSIONER

32-BCC
06-13-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 168

ABBOTT SAID, THEY COULD MAKE IT MORE WORTHWHILE. THE COUNTY WILL BE LOOKING AT 5,000 PEOPLE WATERMELON FESTIVAL WEEKEND AND THEY COULD HAVE 10,000 PEOPLE JUST LIKE THEY DID 5,000 WITH THE TALENT THEY HAVE COMING IN. THAT MEANS DOLLARS. YOU HAVE NO WHERE TO PARK THEM. THEY HAD SOME PRETTY GOOD RESPONSE. THEY HAVE SOME INFLUENTIAL PEOPLE HERE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY THAT GOES BACK A LONG WAYS THAT LOVES THE AG CENTER AND IT IS QUITE POSSIBLE THEY CAN GET SOME LAND ALREADY HEADED IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION MAYBE WITH SOME SWAPS OR DONATED LANDS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED, IF THEY HAD THE LAND TODAY, THEY REALLY COULDN'T DO ANY SERIOUS PLANNING OF THE BUILDING BECAUSE THE FUNDING IS NOT THERE.

DAVID AGREED IT IS NOT AN OVERNIGHT SITUATION; IT COULD BE A FIVE TO TEN YEAR PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIOENR STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN