

JUNE 27, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER, COUNTY ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

DEPUTY LEVI YOHN PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. DAVID CORBIN OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES-COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR THE MAY 9, MAY 16 AND MAY 26, 2011 BOARD MEETINGS AS WRITTEN.

CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS-COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO APPROVE ALL ITEMS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA:

- A. APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR MAY 2011 TALLING \$1,724,600.60.
- B. ADOPT THE LMS RESOLUTION ACKNOWLEDGING THE COUNTIES/CITIES JOINT EFFORT IN ADOPTING THE WASHINGTON COUNTY LMS.
- C. 2012 STATE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PREPAREDNESS ASSISTANCE BASE GRANT CONTRACT AND ANNUAL SCOPE OF WORK FOR JULY 1, 2011 TO JUNE 30, 2012.
- D. 2012 FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE GRANT AGREEMENT AND SCOPE OF WORK FOR JULY 1, 2011 THRU JUNE 30, 2012.

- E. LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND HABILITATIVE SERVICES OF NORTH FLORIDA'S HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM.
- F. SINGLE LOT SUBDIVISION EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE FOR MINIMUM LOT AREA REQUIREMENT-RICHARD HOWELL-RICHARD AND LACHAN HOWELL HAVE REQUESTED THE SUBDIVISION OF AN EXISTING 12.7 ACRE PARCEL OF PROPERTY (3262 HIGHWAY 277/3502-0000) INTO TWO LOTS. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY HAS AN AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE FUTURE LAND USE MAP (FLUM) DESIGNATION. PLANNING COMMISSION HAS RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THIS REQUEST.

- G. SINGLE LOT SUBDIVISION EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE FOR MINIMUM LOT AREA REQUIREMENT-RHONDA D. KIRK, DAUGHTER OF ALTON AND HAZEL DAVIDSON, HAS REQUESTED THE PLACEMENT OF A SECOND SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE ON AN EXISTING 9 ACRE PARCEL OF PROPERTY (1051 STATE HIGHWAY 277/2052-0005) THE SUBJECT PROPERTY HAS A LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL FUTURE LAND USE MAP (FLUM) DESIGNATION. PLANNING COMMISSION HAS RECOMMENDED APPROVAL OF THIS REQUEST.
- H. RURAL WORKS PROGRAM, BOB BARONTI, JR. AICP, WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, SENIOR PLANNER/TPO COORDINATOR; APPROVAL OF 2011-2012 RURAL WORKS PROJECTS.
- I. CHIPOLA REGIONAL WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT BOARD RE-APPOINTMENT OF GLORIA POTTS AND ZENA CORBIN FOR THREE YEAR PERIOD.
- BID AWARDS-CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE FEMA HAZARD MITIGATION BIDS ARE STILL ON HOLD; HE HOPES TO BE ABLE TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD NEXT MONTH AND AWARD THAT CONTRACT.

3-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 171

CLIFF REPORTED THE BIDS FOR THE EARTHWORK CONSTRUCTION AND RAIL SPUR CONSTRUCTION FOR PROJECT PIPE HAVE NOT BEEN AWARDED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON DISCUSSIONS WITH MR. FOLEY TO GO AHEAD AND GET THOSE BIDS STARTED. HE RECEIVED TODAY A PROPOSED AMENDED AGREEMENT. IN ORDER TO EXPEDITE THOSE BIDS, HE ANTICIPATES HE WILL GET WITH MR. JOYNER AND TRY TO CALL A SPECIAL MEETING SOME TIME LATER THIS WEEK BECAUSE HE DOESN'T THINK THEY NEED TO WAIT UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING TO GET THESE DONE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SUGGESTED THEY TRY AND SCHEDULE THE SPECIAL MEETING ON WEDNESDAY IF POSSIBLE.

COUNTY ENGINEER REPORT:

1. BAHOMA ROAD-THIS ROAD WAS PAVED LAST WEEK; THE GUARD RAIL END ANCHORS WERE ALSO INSTALLED LAST WEEK. MR. CORBIN GOT A GOOD PORTION OF THE SEEDING AND MULCHING DONE. THERE IS A LITTLE BIT OF RIP RAP TO BE PLACED AT THE BOX CULVERT. MR. BARFIELD ADVISED PUBLIC WORKS WAS STARTING ON THE RIP RAP TODAY.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE ONLY OUTSTANDING ISSUE WAS THE THERMO PLASTIC STRIPING, WHICH THEY NEED TO BE ABLE TO WAIT THIRTY DAYS AFTER PAVING BEFORE THE THERMO GETS PUT DOWN. THEY HAVE NOTIFIED FL-DOT THIS IS THE CURRENT SITUATION WITH THE PROJECT AND FL-DOT BASICALLY SENT BACK AN EMAIL SAYING THAT WAS FINE WITH THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHO WOULD BE INSTALLING THE GUARD RAILS. CLIFF REITERATED THE CONTRACTOR HAS ALREADY INSTALLED THE GUARD RAILS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED ABOUT THE HOLDING POND AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER. CLIFF REPORTED 814 SAND IS COMING ALONG GREAT; THEY HAVE FIXED SOME OF THE ISSUES THERE WITH THE ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION OF IT AND HE THINKS THE PROJECT IS GOING TO TURN OUT FANTASTIC BASED ON A MEETING HE HAD WITH THE CONTRACTOR AT THE SITE LAST WEEK.

4-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 172

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED ABOUT THE BONNETT POND PROJECT. CLIFF REPORTED THIS PROJECT IS COMING ALONG PRETTY GOOD; THEY ARE OUT THERE DRESSING TODAY. THERE ARE FOUR OR FIVE GUYS ON THE FINAL GRADING TRYING TO GET ALL THE SHOULDERS, DITCHES, ETC. READY FOR SOD. HE THINKS PORTER CONSTRUCTION IS COMING WITH SOD SOMETIME WEDNESDAY. THEY CUT BACK QUITE A BIT ON THE PROJECT TRYING TO GET IT WITHIN BUDGET; BUT, ONE OF THE ITEMS HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IF THE BOARD WOULD BE INTERESTING IN ADDRESSING OR MAYBE GET MR. CORBIN TO HELP WITH IS THE MITERED END SECTIONS. THERE ARE 81 MITERED ENDS ON THE PROJECT; 41 DRIVEWAYS. THE MITERED ENDS ARE ALL IN AND POURED; THE CONTRACTOR IS DRESSING THEM ALL. BUT, THEY HAVE NO SOD LINED UP IN THE CONTRACT TO GO AROUND THE MITERED ENDS. THEY CAN SOD ALL THE MITERED ENDS ON THE PROJECT FOR ABOUT \$1500. HE FELT THIS WOULD BE MONEY VERY WELL SPENT. HE TALKED TO THE CONTRACTOR ABOUT IT AND HE AGREED TO KEEP HIS UNIT PRICE FOR THE REST OF THE SOD ON THE JOB EVEN THOUGH IT IS A LOT OF HAND WORK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE CONTRACTOR IS PORTER CONSTRUCTION. CLIFF EXPLAINED C. W. ROBERTS IS THE CONTRACTOR; PORTER CONSTRUCTION IS THE SUB CONTRACTOR THAT IS DOING ALL THE SOD WORK AND THEY WILL HOLD THEIR CONTRACT PRICE ON THE REMAINDER OF THE JOB.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THIS MAY BE SOMETHING THE BOARD COULD GET MR. CORBIN TO DO; BUT, THE COORDINATION EFFORTS IN TRYING TO MAKE SURE THEY GET THE MITERED ENDS SODDED AS SOON AS THEY ARE DRESSED AND READY IS GOING TO BE EXTREMELY IMPORTANT. HE SAID HE HAD NOT SPOKEN TO MR. BARFIELD OR MR. JOYNER ABOUT THIS BECAUSE THE DISCUSSION ACTUALLY JUST CAME UP THIS MORNING WITH THE CONTRACTOR.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR MR. JOYNER, MR. BARFIELD AND CLIFF TO DISCUSS THE SODDING OF THE MITERED ENDS AND MAKE A DECISION.

5-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 173

CLIFF SAID THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT ACTUALLY REQUIRES BOARD ACTION.

CLIFF ADDRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING THE BOARD DIDN'T WANT TO PURSUE THE 50/50 SMALL COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT; BUT, HE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THEY DIDN'T WANT TO PURSUE IT BECAUSE THEY ARE ABOUT OUT OF TIME TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION.

COMMISSIONER BARFIELD ADVISED THE BOARD IS REALLY EVALUATING

FINANCIALLY WHERE THEY ARE AT CLOSELY BECAUSE THEY HAVE SOME SHORT-FALLS COMING IN AND THEY ARE NOT JUST EXACTLY WHERE. AT THIS TIME, HE IS SCARED TO COMMIT TO ANY MATCH. HE WOULD LOVE TO SEE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS, ROADS PAVED; BUT, RIGHT NOW THEY ARE DOING ALL THEY CAN TO SAVE JOBS.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS NOT TO PURSUE THE 50/50 SMALL COUNTY INCENTIVE GRANT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE DETENTION POND ON THE BONNETT POND ROAD PROJECT AND JUST ON THE CURVE AT THE PAINT AND BODY SHOP IS TWO CRITICAL PLACES, ESPECIALLY THE ONE THAT GOES TO THE SPRING. HE ASKED CLIFF IF THEY WAS GOING TO PUT A HOLDING POND AT THE PAINT AND BODY SHOP.

CLIFF ADVISED THERE IS NO PLANS TO PUT A HOLDING POND DOWN IN THERE AT THE PAINT AND BODY SHOP AND THE AMOUNT OF WATER THAT IS GOING TO ACTUALLY CROSS THE ROAD DOWN THERE AT THAT LOCATION IS GOING TO BE EXTREMELY MINIMAL.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THE HOLDING POND WAS GOING TO GET SODDED. CLIFF SAID THE HOLDING POND WAS AN ISSUE THAT CAME UP AFTER THE COUNTY STARTED THE PROJECT; A GENTLEMAN THAT OWNED PROPERTY ON THREE SIDES OF THE ROAD AND THE END OF THE BONNETT POND ROAD PROJECT OFFERED TO DONATE THE LAND TO THE COUNTY AFTER THE CONSTRUCTION ON THE PROJECT HAD BEGUN. CLIFF AGREED IT NEEDED TO BE EITHER SODDED SO THE TOP SOIL COMES WITH IT OR THEY NEED TO PUT OUT TOP SOIL AND SEED AND MULCH THAT. IT DOES NEED TO BE GRASSED; BUT, IT IS NOT IN THE CONTRACTOR'S CONTRACT. THERE IS TWO HOLDING PONDS; ONE IN THE

6-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 174

MIDDLE OF THE JOB AND ONE AT THE END WHERE IT HITS ROCHE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THERE BEING SEVERAL GULLIES ALREADY WASHED IN IT; IT IS GOING UNATTENDED.

MR. BARFIELD INFORMED COMMISSIONER BROCK PUBLIC WORKS IS GOING TO FIX THAT PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED CLIFF IF HE KNEW WHEN THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE ORANGE HILL DRAINAGE PROJECT WAS GOING TO START.

CLIFF GUESSED IT PROBABLY WOULDN'T START FOR A MONTH OR TWO; IT IS A DESIGN BUILD PROJECT AND PREBLE RISH WAS LUCKY ENOUGH TO GET AWARDED THE PROJECT. THE QUESTION IS HOW LONG WILL THE DESIGN TAKE; HE WILL GET UP WITH HIS GUYS AND FIND OUT.

MR. BARFIELD TOLD CLIFF THAT WES HAD INFORMED HIM THE NOTICE TO PROCEED WAS SUPPOSE TO BE AUGUST 11TH. CLIFF EXPLAINED THAT IS TYPICALLY THE NOTICE TO PROCEED TO START DESIGN; HE THINKS THERE IS GOING TO BE SOME PERMITTING INVOLVED ALSO ON THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF RESURFACING AND WIDENING WAS IN THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT. CLIFF ADVISED IT WASN'T; THE CROSSEDRAINS ON ORANGE HILL WILL BE UPGRADED TO MEET 50 YEAR STORM CRITERIA. MOST OF THE CROSSEDRAINS THERE NOW ARE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 10 AND 25 YEAR STORM CRITERIA.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CLIFF WHAT THE CONTRACT PRICE WAS ON

THE ORANGE HILL CROSSDRAIN PROJECT. CLIFF THOUGHT IT WAS \$1.8 MILLION. THE FIRST TIME THE STATE PUT THE PROJECT OUT FOR BID, THEY WERE TRYING TO GET ALL THE CULVERTS TO HANDLE A 100 YEAR STORM CRITERIA; THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN A LOT OF BOX CULVERTS THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN INVOLVED AND IT WAS WAY OUT OF BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD MAY BE ABLE TO GET A LITTLE BIT OF THAT STIMULUS MONEY TO DO THE STRIPING; FL-DOT SAID THEY DIDN'T HAVE ANY MORE STIMULUS MONEY SO STRIPING WAS BEFORE THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT BEING SPECIFICALLY A DRAINAGE PROJECT. CLIFF SAID IT IS; THE BOARD MAY

7-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 175

COULD GET MR. JOYNER TO ASK MR. BARFIELD AT FL-DOT FOR SOME ASSISTANCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS TALKING ABOUT RESTRIPIING ORANGE HILL.

COMMISSIONER BROCK UPDATED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ON THE COUNTY HAVING TWO PROJECTS THAT WERE INITIATED UNDER STIMULUS FOR STRIPING AND THEY SAID THE TIME LIMIT RAN OUT SO THEY TOOK THE MONEY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID FL-DOT WAS NOT GOING TO TRANSFER MONEY FROM THE ORANGE HILL DRAINAGE PROJECT TO OTHER PROJECTS.

CLIFF ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE WAS TALKING ABOUT PROJECTS THE COUNTY ALREADY HAD MONEY DESIGNATED IN THE ARA THEY ENDED UP PULLING OUT TO SEE IF THEY COULD GET IT BACK. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT WAS CORRECT; THEY ALREADY HAD IT AND IT WAS INITIATED FOR BAHOMA ROAD AND BONNETT POND ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED DIDN'T HE UNDERSTAND THERE HAD BEEN SOME HORSETRADING TO GET BONNETT POND AND BAHOMA ROADS STRIPED.

MR. BARFIELD AGREED THERE HAD BEEN SOME DISCUSSION ON THIS; BUT, IF THERE WAS SOME STIMULUS MONEY TO DO THAT, THAT WOULD SAVE PUBLIC WORKS THOSE FUNDS.

COMMISSIONER PATE REITERATED FL-DOT DOES NOT HISTORICALLY MOVE MONIES FROM ONE PROJECT TO THE OTHER.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THEY COULD HAVE MR. JOYNER SEND A REQUEST TO FL-DOT ASKING FOR THE MONEY TO BE MOVED. MR. JOYNER AGREED TO LOOK INTO THE MOVING OF MONEY FROM THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT FOR STRIPING.

MR. BARFIELD SAID FL-DOT MAY NOT COULD AFFORD TO PULL MONEY FROM THE ORANGE HILL DRAINAGE PROJECT. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT WOULD BE WORTH LOOKING AT BECAUSE IT IS ALL STIMULUS MONEY AND IT IS EARMARKED; IT WAS ORIGINALLY EARMARKED FOR STRIPING.

CLIFF SAID THE TIMEFRAME IS WHAT GOT THEM ON THE STRIPING OF BAHOMA AND BONNETT POND; THEY HAD TO PULL THESE FUNDS OUT AND USE THEM ON CLAYTON, ALFORD, ETC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED CLARIFICATION TO MAKE SURE HE WAS UNDERSTANDING THE QUESTION COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD ASKED. THE BIDS FOR THE CROSSDRAINS ON ORANGE HILL HIGHWAY WAS ACCEPTED AND THE FIRM CLIFF REPRESENTS/OWNS WAS THE WINNING BIDDER OF THE PROJECT. HE ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE WAS ASKING CLIFF'S FIRM TO DO WAS DONATE SOME MONEY BACK TO DO THE STRIPING ON THE BONNETT POND AND BAHOMA ROAD PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT WAS WHAT HE WAS ASKING FOR CLIFF'S FIRM TO DO A LITTLE CHARITY WORK.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THE ORANGE HILL CROSSDRAIN PROJECT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH STIMULUS. HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE IF MR. JOYNER GOES OUT TO FL-DOT THEY ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT STIMULUS MONEY WHEN IT IS A DRAINAGE ISSUE. IF CLIFF WANTS TO DONATE THE MONEY, THAT IS OKAY.

CLIFF REPORTED THERE WAS SOME STIMULUS MONEY LEFT OVER THAT FL-DOT WAS DOING SOME SIDEWALKS WITH; THAT WOULD BE A LOT BETTER FUND TO LOOK FOR MONEY IN THAN THE PREBLE-RISH PROFIT FUND.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT COMMENDED THEM FOR GETTING THE PROJECTS COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME FRAME.

H. L. BROWN STATED HE HAD BEEN APPOINTED TO SPEAK FOR THE WASHINGTON COUNTY HERITAGE COMMITTEE, WHICH HE IS A MEMBER. HIS TOPIC AND REASON FOR BEING HERE IS THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE. IT IS BEING RELOCATED TO THE COUNTY PROPERTY AT DANIELS LAKE. AFTER MEETING EXTENSIVELY WITH THE FL-DOT ENGINEERS AND EXPLAINING TO THEM THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T WANT THIS HOUSE TO BE OR BECOME A BURDEN TO THE COUNTY. AROUND FIVE YEARS AGO, MR. BROWN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE HERITAGE COMMITTEE APPROACHING THE BOARD FOR FORTY ACRES OVER AT DANIELS LAKE; WITH THE BOARD'S BLESSING, THEY GOT IT AND THEY WANT TO CONTINUE HAVING THE BOARD'S BLESSING. THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T ASK AT THAT TIME FOR FUNDS OR MATCHING FUNDS AND THEY ARE NOT UP HERE TODAY TO DO THIS; BUT, HE DOES WANT TO LET THE BOARD KNOW AT THAT TIME THE COMMITTEE HAD SAID "THIS IS NOT AN OVERNIGHT ADVENTURE. THIS

IS A LONG PERIOD OF TIME FOR US TO REACH OUR GOAL." HE EXPLAINED THE COMMITTEE IS NOT SITTING ON THEIR HANDS; THEY HAVE WENT DOWN A LOT OF AVENUES AND BEEN TOLD "NO." THAT DIDN'T STOP THEM; THEY JUST TURNED AROUND AND WENT TO ANOTHER ONE. THE COMMITTEE IS STILL ENTHUSED. THE COMMITTEE HAD APPROACHED THE OWNER OF THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE BEFORE THE STATE INTERVENED AND ASKED THEY NOT HAVE

THE DUMP TRUCKS OVER THERE TO LOAD THE HOUSE UP AND TAKE IT TO THE DUMP. THE COMMITTEE WANTED THE HOUSE AND ASKED THE OWNER HOW COULD THEY GET IT. THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY AND STILL DON'T HAVE ANY MONEY; THE STATE JUMPED IN AND THE HERITAGE COMMITTEE JUMPED ON WITH THE STATE AND TOLD THEM THEY WANTED THE HOUSE AND HAD A PLACE FOR THE HOUSE. THE STATE TOLD THE COMMITTEE THEY HAD TO DO SOME RESEARCH. IT IS A DRAWN OUT THING; BUT, NOW IT HAS COME DOWN TO MOVING THE HOUSE.

HE READ WHAT THE PROGRAM SAID. HE WENT BACK TO FL-DOT AND SAID WHAT IS THE WORDING THE COUNTY HAS TO MAINTAIN THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE FOR TEN YEARS. IN OTHER WORDS, IF THE STATE WANTED THE COUNTY TO PAINT IT EVERY SIX MONTHS, THE COUNTY WOULD BE LIABLE FOR THIS BURDEN. AGAIN, MR. MAURICE AND MR. WHITTINGTON OF FL-DOT, ASSURED HIM THIS IS WORDS ONLY; DON'T BE SCARED. WHEN THE STATE PUTS THE HOUSE AT DANIELS LAKE IN TIP TOP CONDITION, RESTORE IT, THE STATE IS GOING TO GET GONE, GIVE THE COUNTY THE KEY AND IT IS UP TO US. THAT IS WHY THE WORDING WAS THAT WAY. BUT, THE WAY YOU JUST LOOK AT THE WORDING, THE COUNTY HAS A LOT OF QUESTIONS BECAUSE THEY DON'T KNOW IF THE STATE IS COMING BACK TO INSPECT THE HOUSE EVER SO OFTEN AND REQUIRE THE COUNTY TO SHELL OUT SOME MONEY. BOTH MR. MAURICE AND MR. WHITTINGTON SAID "NO." MR. BROWN TOLD MR. MAURICE AND MR. WHITTINGTON HE WAS GOING TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD AND TELL THEM WHAT THEY ARE TELLING HIM. MR. MAURICE AND MR. WHITTINGTON TOLD MR. BROWN WHEN THE STATE LEAVES THE HOUSE AT THE DANIELS LAKE SITE, THEY WILL PROBABLY WANT THE COUNTY TO TAKE SOME PICTURES OF THE BUILDING, TO GET THE GRASS CUT OR THE LIMBS MOVED, SEND THE PICTURES TO THEM AND

10-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 178

IN THE PAST, FL-DOT HAS NEVER WENT BACK. HE THANKED THE BOARD FOR GIVING THE HERITAGE COMMITTEE THE LAND; THIS SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE WILL BE AN ASSET AND IF THEY HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT THE GOALS THE COMMITTEE HAS HE IS NOT GOING TO GO INTO THAT AT THIS TIME. HE IS ASSURING THE BOARD THE STATE IS NOT GOING TO COME DOWN HARD, THAT THEY DON'T HAVE TEETH IN THIS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED HE HAD DISCUSSED HIS FEELINGS PRETTY EXTENSIVELY AT THE WORKSHOP. WORDS IN HIS OPINION HAVE MEANING SO IF THEY EVER GET INTO A DISPUTE ON WHAT OBLIGATIONS THE COUNTY HAS, THE COURT IS GOING TO LOOK AT THE FOUR CORNERS OF THE DOCUMENT THEY SIGNED. IRRESPECTIVE OF POLICY, WHICH IS THE BOARD'S DETERMINATION IF THEY WANT TO ACCEPT IT, HIS OBLIGATION IS TO TELL THEM WHAT IS IN THE DOCUMENT AND WHAT HIS CONCERNS ARE. HIS CONCERNS ARE TWO FOLD: 1. THE COUNTY'S OBLIGATIONS WITH RESPECT TO UPKEEP COULD BE VERY LIBERALLY CONSTRUED BY THE STATE. HE IS NOT SAYING THEY WILL DO THAT; BUT, THEY COULD DO THAT. THAT GIVES HIM SOME CONCERN. 2. IN THE PROPOSED AGREEMENT GIVEN TO THE COUNTY BY FL-DOT, THERE IS NOTHING IN THERE THAT SATISFIES THE COUNTY WITH RESPECT TO WHAT; THE COUNTY OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO ACCEPT AND APPROVE THAT HOUSE ONCE IT IS PUT ON THAT PROPERTY AND BE ABLE TO SAY WE ARE READY TO MAINTAIN IT AND IT

IS GOOD ENOUGH CONDITION AND TELL FL-DOT THEY HAVE PERFORMED THEIR OBLIGATION WITH RESPECT TO GETTING IT HERE AND GETTING IT INTO GOOD CONDITION BEFORE WE ACCEPT IT. THERE IS NO LANGUAGE IN THE CONTRACT WITH RESPECT TO THAT EITHER. IRRESPECTIVE OF POLICY, HIS CONCERNS ON THE DOCUMENT ARE SUCH HE AT LEAST NEEDS TO TELL THE BOARD WHAT IT IS THEY ARE AGREEING TO AND WHAT THE RAMNIFICATIONS COULD BE IF THEY EVER HAVE TO GO BACK TO THE CONTRACT AND USE IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPRESSED ONE OF HIS CONCERNS FROM THE VERY BEGINNING EVEN PRIOR TO BEING ELECTED TO THIS OFFICE JUST FROM THE AUDIENCE, AND MR. BROWN HAD MENTIONED, AND HE SAID HE COULD GO AND DO SOME RESEARCH WITHOUT ASKING HIM THIS QUESTION, THE COUNTY HAD GIVEN THE HERITAGE COMMITTEE 40 ACRES. HE SAID HE DIDN'T

11-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 179

UNDERSTAND THAT AND ASKED MR. BROWN TO EXPLAIN THAT TO HIM.

MR. BROWN DID EXPLAIN THIS IS AN EARMARK; THE PROPERTY BELONGS TO THE COUNTY. THE WASHINGTON COUNTY HERITAGE COMMITTEE DON'T HAVE A TITLE; THEY HAVE A NAME; 50% OF THE PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT IS AND THE OTHER 50% DON'T CARE. THIS IS NOT THE HISTORICAL SOCIETY; BUT, THEY WORK CLOSELY WITH THE HISTORICAL SOCIETY IN CHIPLEY AND VERNON. THEY ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER; BUT, AS USUAL WHEN YOU HAVE A BUNCH OF MEMBERS YOU HAVE TO HAVE A COMMITTEE SO THEY COME UP WITH A COMMITTEE INSTEAD OF A SOCIETY. THEY WERE WANTING AT THE TIME OVER NEAR BLOUNSTOWN, THE PIONEER HERITAGE VILLAGE AND ALL THEY HAVE GOING THERE. THEY SAID WE NEED A PLACE SO THEY APPROACHED THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND TOLD THEM THEY WANTED TO BUILD THIS AND THEY HAD GREAT IDEAS OF FUNDING; BUT, WHEN THEY GOT INVOLVED WITH GETTING ALL THAT BIG MONEY OVER IN TALLAHASSEE, THERE WAS PEOPLE OVER THERE FROM ALL OVER THE STATE. WE THOUGHT WE WERE THE ONLY ONES GOING THERE FOR THE FUNDING AND THEY GOT THERE AND MY GOODNESS AT THE LAWYERS AND EVERYBODY ELSE; THEY CAME EMPTY HANDED AND LEFT THAT WAY BUT THEY LEFT WITH AN EDUCATION. THAT IS WHERE THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT SO THE COMMITTEE STILL HAS THE LAND AND NOW THEY WANT THIS SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE RELOCATED ON IT. HE ASKED FL-DOT WHEN THEY BREAK ALL THE MONIES OUT OF THIS THING MOVING IT, WHAT HAPPENS. HE WAS TOLD THE 100 YEAR OLD WINDOWS WON'T BE REPLACED; BUT, THEY WILL BE REPLACED WITH WINDOWS THAT LOOK LIKE 100 YEARS OLD. HE ASKED FL-DOT WHAT AS A COUNTY ARE THEY GOING TO HAVE TO DO. FL-DOT TOLD HIM THEY MAY HAVE TO ERECT A SIGN; BUT, AGREED TO GIVE THEM THE SIGN. THEY ASKED HIM TO TAKE A PICTURE AND SEND IT TO THEM. HE THEN ASKED FL-DOT WHEN THEY RELOCATED THESE STRUCTURES, HOW OFTEN DID THEY COME BACK. HE WENT EXTENSIVELY INTO CHECKING THEM OUT; HE WANTED TO KNOW THE HISTORY OF THESE THINGS. FL-DOT TOLD HIM THEY DON'T EVER GO BACK; THEY DON'T HAVE THE MANPOWER, ETC. HE TOLD THEM THAT SOUNDED GOOD AND ADVISED FL-DOT HE WAS GOING TO TELL THE COUNTY COMMISSION THAT AND HE WAS GOING TO USE THEIR NAMES AND THEY TOLD HIM

TO USE THEIR NAMES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS CONFUSION IS, AND AGAIN FROM THE VERY BEGINNING WHEN THEY STARTED TALKING ABOUT MOVING THE HOME, WAS TYING THAT WHOLE 40 ACRES UP. WHAT HE IS HEARING MR. BROWN SAY, THE COUNTY COMMISSION HAS ALREADY AGREED FIVE YEARS AGO TO TY UP THIS 40 ACRES. HE ASKED IF THIS BOARD WAS AWARE OF THAT.

THE REMAINDER OF THE COMMISSIONERS SAID THEY WERE AWARE THAT FORTY ACRES WAS TIED UP FOR A HERITAGE VILLAGE.

MR. BROWN SAID THE HERITAGE COMMITTEE HAD GREAT PLANS AND NO MONEY; BUT, THEY ARE LOOKING AT IT. THEY ARE LOOKING INTO FEDERAL FUNDS. THEY HAVE WATERFRONT PROPERTY, THEY HAVE GOOD SECURITY ON THE SOUTH END CALLED THE PRISON, SO WHEN THEY APPROACHED THE BOARD, THEY PICKED OUT THE FORTY ACRES THEY WANTED AND THEY WANTED TO GET THE BLESSINGS OF ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS AT THAT TIME. THEY APPROACHED EVERY BOARD MEMBER AND ASKED IF THEY WERE FOR THIS OR AGAINST IT AND THE BOARD GAVE THE COMMITTEE THEIR BLESSINGS. AGAIN THAT IS WHY HE IS BEFORE THE BOARD TODAY TO RECEIVE THIS BOARD'S BLESSING ON THIS PROJECT THAT IS DOWN THE ROAD. THE EXAMPLE OF THE FEDERAL FUNDS THE COMMITTEE IS LOOKING INTO IS A HANDICAP FOR STUDENTS, WHICH WOULD BE THEY WOULD FUND TO BUILD DOCKS, RAMPS, PONTOON BOATS THAT IS OSHA APPROVED. THERE IS MONEY THERE; THE WORDING HAS TO BE RIGHT AGAIN TO GET IT AND SO FAR THEY HAVEN'T HAD THAT WORDING BUT THEY ARE WORKING ON IT. THERE IS A LOT THE COMMITTEE HAS TO DO THAT THEY ARE LEARNING AS THEY GO. HE REITERATED THEY HAVE BIG PLANS FOR THIS FORTY ACRES AND THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE IS JUST A LITTLE BIT. HE EXPLAINED THEY ARE NOT ACCEPTING ALL HOUSES; THEY ARE NOT ACCEPTING ALL HOUSES. THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE WILL NOT BE JUNK/IT WILL BE AN ASSET.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THE FORTY ACRES IS AT THE SAME SITE THAT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED. MR. BROWN SAID "YES."

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED HE WAS SHOCKED TO HEAR THE COUNTY COMMISSION ALLOCATED FORTY ACRES, NOT THAT HE HAS ANYTHING AGAINST

THE HERITAGE VILLAGE.

MR. BROWN REFERRED TO THE HERITAGE COMMITTEE NOT BEING ABOVE BEGGING. THEY BEGGED THE COUNTY COMMISSION BECAUSE THEY HAD SITES THEY WANTED AND BETTER USE FOR THE LAND; BUT, THE COMMITTEE SAID FOR EDUCATIONAL AND THEY PRESENTED THEIR CAUSE. HE REITERATED MOST OF

THE COUNTY DON'T EVEN KNOW THE HERITAGE VILLAGE EXISTS EXCEPT WHEN THEY GOT THAT PIECE OF PROPERTY OVER THERE THAT IS JAM UP AND WE ARE HANGING ON TO AND IF THEY CAN GET THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE ON IT, THAT IS THEIR LAUNCHING PAD. IT IS TO BETTER THE COUNTY AND NOT PLACE A BURDEN ON IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED, PRIOR TO HIM BEING ELECTED, CAME BEFORE THE BOARD AND ASKED WAS THE BOARD TYING UP 40 ACRES FOR THIS PROJECT AND HE WAS TOLD NO.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY WEREN'T TYING IT UP FOR THIS PROJECT; IT WAS ALREADY TIED UP. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID IT WAS TIED UP BACK WHEN HE, MR. FINCH AND MR. SAPP WAS IN OFFICE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS NOT OPPOSED TO THE HERITAGE VILLAGE. COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD ABBOTT THAT MOST OF THE PROPERTY WAS IN THE WATER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THERE BEING ANOTHER PIECE OF PROPERTY ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE LAKE WHICH THE BOARD SOLD, AUCTIONED IT OFF; THE OTHER PIECE, THEY PUT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER ON.

MR. BROWN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM APPROACHING THE INDIANS, THE MUSGOGEE TRIBE, AND THEY ARE LOOKING INTO THE HERITAGE VILLAGE AND ARE WANTING TO SEEK SOME TEETH IN IT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID IN THE PAST, THE HERITAGE COMMITTEE PUT A LOT OF WORK INTO THE HERITAGE VILLAGE.

STACY UPDATED THE BOARD ON THIS BEING A PROJECT THAT HAS BEEN ONGOING FOR YEARS. THE INCEPTION, THE WHOLE CONCEPT OF THE PROJECT AND THE POSSIBILITIES WERE JUST FANTASTIC. WHAT THEY WERE TRYING TO CREATE WOULD BE AN OUTDOOR RECREATIONAL FACILITY TO INCLUDE THE EQUESTRIAN ARENA, DANIELS PARK, PIONEER SETTLEMENT AND WERE EVEN

14-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 182

LOOKING AT EXTENDING THE DANIELS PARK INTO AN RV PARK BECAUSE THERE IS MORE LAND THE COUNTY OWNS BACK THERE. THIS WAS A MUCH BIGGER PROJECT THAN WHAT THEY ARE TALKING RIGHT NOW; IT IS AN AWESOME IDEA.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED IN REALITY, THEY CAN'T EVEN RUN AN EQUESTRIAN CENTER. STACY EXPLAINED THINGS HAS CHANGED SINCE THIS HAS STARTED. IF THEY CAN GET THE HERITAGE VILLAGE STARTED, IF THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE DOES NOT GO HERE, IT IS GOING TO BE DESTROYED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS FOR BRINGING THE HOUSE TO THE SITE.

STACY ADDRESSED BUILDINGS LIKE THIS ARE BEING DESTROYED DAILY. SHE UNDERSTANDS THE LANGUAGE OF THE CONTRACT NEEDS TO BE TOTALLY SPELLED OUT. EVEN THOUGH FL-DOT IS SAYING THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT THEY ARE EXPECTING, THE COUNTY NEEDS THIS IN WRITING. SHE ASKED THE BOARD IF THEY COULD PRESENT THIS LANGUAGE CHANGE IN THE CONTRACT IF THEY THOUGHT THEY COULD MOVE FORWARD WITH THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE IS THE ELEMENT THE HERITAGE FOUNDATION HAS BEEN NEEDING IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. ONCE THIS GETS IN PLACE, WASHINGTON COUNTY IS ON THE ROLL FOR FEDERAL FUNDING.

STACY ADDRESSED THERE WERE SEVERAL YEARS BACK SHE APPLIED FOR HISTORICAL PRESERVATION GRANTS THROUGH THE STATE PRESERVATION AGENCY AND BECAUSE WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS NO Foothold ANYWHERE, THE STATE WANTED TO SEE SOME INPUT ON THE COUNTY'S END BEFORE THEY WOULD AGREE TO FUND ANY MONEY. IF THE COUNTY CAN GET THIS STRUCTURE IN THERE THIS COULD ACTUALLY BE THE STARTING POINT FOR THIS LONG AWAITING PROJECT.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED THE BOARD HOLD OFF ON TAKING ACTION ON THE SHIPES/HOLLEY COVENANT BECAUSE THIS IS THE LAST THING ON THE ATTORNEY'S REPORT. THEY CAN DISCUSS IT A LITTLE FURTHER THERE.

ATTORNEY REPORT:

1. DOG ORDINANCE-ATTORNEY GOODMAN REFERRED TO HIM DISCUSSING THE ISSUES IN DETAIL AT THE BOARD'S WORKSHOP WITH RESPECT TO THE

15-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 183

DOG ORDINANCE. HE PROVIDED THEM A COPY OF THE ORDINANCE AT THE WORKSHOP AND THEY ARE GOING TO DISCUSS IT AT THEIR JULY WORKSHOP SO THEY CAN HAVE A THOROUGH DISCUSSION BECAUSE HE KNOWS HOW IMPORTANT AN ISSUE THAT IS. IT IS SOMEWHAT TABLED UNTIL THE JULY WORKSHOP AND THEY WILL PICK THAT UP THEN. HE INFORMED THE BOARD IF THEY NEEDED AN EXTRA COPY, HE WILL MAKE SURE THE COUNTY MANAGER HAS SOME EXTRA COPIES FOR THEM TO REVIEW.

2. AG CENTER CONTRACT-ATTORNEY GOODMAN REPORTED THIS HAD BEEN DISCUSSED IN DETAIL AT THE BOARD'S WORKSHOP. MR. ANDY ANDREASON, ROGER HAGAN AND MR. DAVID CORBIN WERE PRESENT AND THEY DISCUSSED SOME ISSUES THEY HAD THOUGHT MAY ALLEVIATE THE SITUATION. SOME OF THE SITUATIONS THAT HAVE ARISEN HAS GIVE THEM SOME CONCERN AT THE AG CENTER. THEY GAVE THE BOARD A PROPOSED UPDATED CONTRACT AND RULES FOR THE AG CENTER. IF IT IS THE BOARD'S PLEASURE TODAY, THEY CAN ACT ON THE CONTRACT THEY HAVE BEEN GIVEN. HE HASN'T BEEN GIVEN ANY INSTRUCTIONS TO GO BACK AND AMEND THAT CONTRACT OR THE RULES. THE BIGGEST QUESTION MARK, SO TO SPEAK, HE THOUGHT AMONGST THE BOARD MEMBERS WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPOSED RULES AND CHANGES WERE WHAT THEY CALLED THE SECURITY MONITOR FEE, WHICH WAS A FEE ANYWHERE FROM \$50 TO \$90 HE BELIEVED FOR AFTER HOURS USE OF THE FACILITY WITH THE IDEA TO TRY TO CURTAIL SOME OF THE LATE NIGHT RAVES THAT HAD BEEN GOING ON THERE. THEY HAD SOME PRETTY GOOD DISCUSSION AT THE WORKSHOP ABOUT THE PROS AND CONS OF THAT FEE. AS THE BOARD PRESENTLY SITS HERE NOW, THEY ARE OPERATING UNDER THE OLD CONTRACT. THEY ARE LOOKING FOR GUIDANCE FROM THE BOARD TO EITHER ACCEPT THE NEW CONTRACT AND RULES OR SEND HIM BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD WITH SOME IDEAS OR THOUGHTS THE BOARD MAY HAVE WITH RESPECT TO CURTAILING WHAT WAS PRESENTED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THERE WOULD BE SOMEONE, HE DOESN'T CARE WHO IT IS, THAT WILL BE ABLE TO WAVE THAT FEE FOR WHATEVER REASON.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED IN THE CONTRACT THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO WAIVE THAT FEE; BASICALLY THE WAY THE CONTRACT IS WRITTEN, YOU

16-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 184

CAN'T BREAK IT BUT THEY CAN AMEND IT AS THEY SEE FIT THAT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE COUNTY. THEY TALKED ABOUT THE PROS AND CONS OF THAT; BUT, THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO WAIVE THE FEES, DENY USAGE, ETC. UNDER THE CONTRACT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE BOARD WOULD NEED A MOTION TO APPROVE THE UPDATED CONTRACT AND RULES FOR THE AG CENTER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHAT WERE THE LATEST HOURS FOR THE AG CENTER. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE COMMITTEE CUT IT BACK FROM MIDNIGHT TO 11:00 P.M. THE THOUGHT WAS IF YOU ARE NOT IN THE BED AT 11 P.M, MOST PEOPLE ARE; THAT WAS THE IDEA THERE TO TRY TO CURTAIL SOME OF THE PEOPLE USING THE AG CENTER TOO LATE. THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO EXTEND THOSE HOURS UNDER THE CONTRACT. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE IF THEY WERE DOING SOMETHING FOR PROJECT GRADUATION THAT IS AN ALL NIGHT DEAL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO PASS THE NEW AGRICULTURE RENTAL CONTRACT AND RULES.

3. COVENANT ON SHIPES HOLLEY HOUSE-ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD IF IT IS THEIR PLEASURE TO ACCEPT THE HOUSE, HE CERTAINLY DOESN'T MIND DOING THAT. HE CAN'T SAY HE LIKES THE CONTRACT THE WAY IT IS WRITTEN RIGHT NOW; HE WOULD LIKE SOME MORE SPECIFICS ON WHAT LEVEL OF WORK WOULD BE REQUIRED FROM THE COUNTY FOR MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP, WHAT RIGHT OF INSPECTION THE FL-DOT HAS WHEN THEY ANTICIPATE SET INSPECTION AND HE WANTS A CLAUSE IN THE CONTRACT THE COUNTY HAS TO ACCEPT THE HOUSE ON THEIR PROPERTY IN A CERTAIN CONDITION BEFORE THEY WILL AGREE TO SUSTAIN IT IN A PROPER CONDITION. THOSE ARE THE TWO THINGS, IF THE BOARD WANTS TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE WORKED INTO THE CONTRACT. HE DOESN'T THINK IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO DO IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE HIM TO CORRESPOND WITH THE APPROPRIATE PEOPLE AT THE FL-DOT IN TRYING TO WORK OUT THOSE DETAILS. HE HAS NOT REACHED OUT TO THEM TO DO THAT AS OF YET; ALL HE HAS DONE IS LOOK AT THE FACE OF THE CONTRACT

17-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 185

CONTRACT.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO WORK WITH THE APPROPRIATE PEOPLE AT FL-DOT AND WORK OUT THE LANGUAGE HE FEELS NEEDS TO BE IN THE CONTRACT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN IS TO BRING THE REVISED CONTRACT ON THE SHIPES/
HOLLEY HOUSE AT THEIR JULY WORKSHOP.

4. BED TAX ORDINANCE-ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THIS BEING
DISCUSSED AT THE WORKSHOP ALSO. BASICALLY, HE WAS ASKED TO DO SOME
RESEARCH ON THE HISTORY OF THE BED TAX ORDINANCE. ONE OF THE
QUESTIONS WAS THERE WAS A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE HE THOUGHT COMMISSIONER
BROCK HAD ON THE RECREATION PERCENTAGE. HE WENT ALL THE WAY BACK TO
2000 AND LOOKED AT THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE, BYLAWS AND SOMETHING CALLED
THE PLAN. HE IS NOT SURE HOW THE PLAN GOT ADOPTED OR HOW IT WAS
CONNECTED THAT TALKED ABOUT RECREATION AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE FUNDS
THAT WOULD BE USED. AS LATE AS 2005, THERE WAS TALK IN THE MINUTES.
HE HAS MINUTES FROM AUGUST 25, 2005 WHERE MR. EVERETT CAME BEFORE THE
BOARD AND TALKED ABOUT ADJUSTING ALLOCATIONS OF FUNDS. HE THOUGHT THE
IDEA WAS TO GET SOMEBODY EMPLOYED OR GET SOMEBODY ON BOARD TO GET SOME
HELP OVER THERE. EVEN IN THOSE MINUTES IT TALKED ABOUT REALLOCATING
A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE FOR RECREATION. THERE WAS SOME UNDERSTANDING,
COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS EXACTLY RIGHT, THERE WAS SUPPOSE TO BE AN
ALLOCATION FOR RECREATION. IN 2009, FROM WHAT HE UNDERSTANDS, THE
TDC AMENDED THEIR BYLAWS AND THERE IS NOT A PROVISION IN THE UPDATED
BYLAWS FOR THE RECREATION. BUT, THERE HAS BEEN AND THERE WAS SUPPOSE
TO BE. HE WILL LET THE TDC SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES AS TO WHETHER THAT
WAS FOLLOWED. HE CAN'T NECESSARILY SEE A DESIGNATION OF THAT 30% FROM
WHAT HE HAS SEEN. FLORIDA STATUTE 125.0104 IS PRETTY CLEAR ABOUT WHAT
THOSE FUNDS CAN BE USED FOR. HE THINKS THERE HAD BEEN A MOLDING OF
THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE TAX AS THE COUNTY HAS GROWN WITH IT IN TO
WHAT IT CAN AND CAN'T DO WITH IT. THE TAXES CAN BE USED FOR
RECREATION AND OTHER PURPOSES AS LONG AS THEY ARE TOURISM DRIVEN.
WHAT THAT MEANS IT HAS TO BE USED FOR SOMETHING TO GET SOMEBODY FROM

18-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 186

OUT OF THE COUNTY INTO THE COUNTY FOR AN EVENT. HE THOUGHT HISTORIC-
ALLY WHAT THEY HAVE DONE IS THEY USED THE MAJORITY OF MONEY FOR
ADVERTISING. THERE ARE OTHER AVENUES IN THE STATUTE FOR THE USE OF
THOSE FUNDS OTHER THAN ADVERTISING IF THEY WANT TO USE THEM THAT WAY.
BUT, THEY DO NEED TO BE COGNIZANT OF THE FACT UNDER THE STATUTE THEY
HAVE THE ABILITY TO USE THE FUNDS; BUT, IT HAS GOT TO BE USED. HE
THEN REFERRED TO WHEN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY KIDS WENT DOWN TO SOUTH
FLORIDA TO PLAY IN THAT TOURNAMENT, THEY CAME BEFORE THE BOARD AND
DONE A GREAT JOB. WHATEVER COUNTY THEY WERE GOING TO, THAT COUNTY
COULD USE THEIR BED TAX FUND TO PROMOTE, TO DO A LOT OF STUFF WITH
THAT EVENT BECAUSE THEY WERE BRING WASHINGTON COUNTY'S KIDS TO THEIR
COUNTY. WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS THE SAME ABILITY IF THEY GET AN
EVENT THAT IS RECREATIONALLY TIED TO USE THOSE FUNDS FOR THAT. COM-
MISSIONER BROCK WAS RIGHT IN THE SENSE THERE HAD BEEN SOME SORT OF
DESIGNATION OF FUNDS HISTORICALLY FOR RECREATION PURPOSES AND THERE IS
MINUTES THAT REFLECT SUCH.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THE BED TAX WAS A HEATED ISSUE AT
THE TIME IT CAME BEFORE THE BOARD BECAUSE IT PROBABLY WOULDN'T HAVE

EVER PASSED IF IT HADN'T BEEN FOR RECREATION BEING INVOLVED IN IT. BUT, WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN IS TELLING HIM IS BASICALLY THE BED TAX IS FOR TOURISM AND HAS TO BE USED TOWARD THAT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE IDEA OF WHERE THE FUNDS FOR THE BED TOX HAS GOT TO BE USED IS TO PROMOTE TOURISM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED WHO WRITES THAT STATUTE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THIS IS FLORIDA STATUTE 125.0101 SO IT IS A FLORIDA STATE STATUTE. IT IS NOT A WASHINGTON COUNTY ISSUE; IT IS A STATE STATUTE THAT SAYS IF THEY PASS THESE TAXES AND COLLECT THESE FUNDS, IT WILL HAVE TO BE USED FOR THESE PURPOSES.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THERE HAD BEEN SOME LIBERAL USE OF THESE TAXES HISTORICALLY THROUGHOUT THE STATE. HE PROBABLY HAS GOT FOUR OR FIVE ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINIONS WHERE COUNTIES HAVE ASKED A QUESTION CAN THEY USE THESE FUNDS FOR "X." HE ENCOURAGED THE BOARD, THEY CAN

19-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 187

BE CREATIVE IN HOW THEY USE THE TAXES; BUT, THEY HAVE TO TIE IT SOMEHOW TO THE PROMOTION OF TOURISM.

CHAIRMAN PATE REQUESTED MR. JIM TOWN REPORT TO THE BOARD ON HOW THE TDC FUNDS ARE BEING SPENT NOW AS FAR AS RECREATION.

MR. TOWN SAID WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOUCHED ON, THE ILLUSTRATION OF THE SOFTBALL TEAMS, THE TDC TWO MONTHS AGO APPROVED \$3,000 FOR THE DIXIE BASEBALL TOURNAMENT TO BE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY IN JULY. THE TDC IS PROMOTING THAT WITH ADVERTISING PROGRAMS, ETC., BECAUSE THAT WILL BRING A NUMBER OF PEOPLE INTO THE COUNTY. THE TDC HAS NEVER IN THE HISTORY OF THE TDC SUPPORTED TEAMS TRAVELLING OUT OF THE COUNTY TO PARTICIPATE IN TOURNAMENTS UNDER THE INTERPRETATION OF WHAT THE ORDINANCE MEANT. IN TERMS OF THE TDC'S OVERALL BUDGET, THEY ARE SUPPORTING TWENTY THREE EVENTS THIS YEAR THEY BELIEVE HAVE MERIT AND WILL BRING TOURISM INTO THE COUNTY. ROUGHLY 55% OF THE TDC'S BUDGET GOES INTO EVENTS AND INSTITUTIONAL ADVERTISING PROMOTING THE COUNTY TRYING TO GET INTEREST HERE. THEY HAVE HAD QUITE A BIT OF SUCCESS. THE TDC IS PART OF THE SIXTEEN COUNTY RIVER WAY SOUTH PROJECT WHICH WILL DEVELOP A REGIONAL TOURISM DESTINATION HERE EVENTUALLY. THEY ARE WORKING VERY STRONGLY TO DEVELOP TOURISM AND ARE VERY SENSITIVE ABOUT GRANTS TO EVENTS BEING USED TO PROMOTE TOURISM. THE TDC REQUIRES BY POLICY THAT 2/3 OF THE MONEY FROM THE GRANT BE PLACED IN ADVERTISING OUTSIDE THE COUNTY TO BRING PEOPLE INTO THE COUNTY. THAT HAS BEEN THE THRUST ACTUALLY EVER SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE TDC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE TDC BOARD WAS THE ONE THAT APPROVES WHAT EVENTS THEY ARE GOING TO FUND. MR. TOWN ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED A COPY OF THE EVENTS THAT HAVE BEEN FUNDED. MR. TOWN SAID HE HAD A BUDGET WORKSHEET THAT LISTS ALL THE EVENTS IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS; EVENTS COME AND GO PERIODICALLY. HE AGREED THE TDC WOULD PROVIDE MR. ABBOTT THE LIST OF EVENTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED MR. JOYNER GET WITH MR. EVERETT TO GET THE BOARD A COPY OF THE LIST OF EVENTS THE TDC FUNDS.

MR. TOWN EXPLAINED MR. EVERETT DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THAT; HE IS ONE OF THE NINE MEMBERS OF THE TDC. THE TDC CONSISTS OF NINE PEOPLE; THREE MUST BE ELECTED OFFICIALS WITH ONE BEING FROM THE COUNTY THAT ESTABLISHED THE ORDINANCE TO COLLECT THE TAX, ONE FROM THE LARGEST MUNICIPALITY, WHICH IS CHIPLEY, AND ONE OTHER ELECTED OFFICIAL. THE TDC'S ELECTED OFFICIALS ARE COMMISSIONER PATE, COUNCILMAN SLOAN FROM CHIPLEY AND MAYOR TAYLOR FROM EBRO. THERE ARE THREE SEATS FOR BED TAX COLLECTORS AND THREE SEATS FOR TOURISM AT LARGE MEMBERS. THERE IS A PROVISION IN THE STATE STATUTE THAT ALLOWS THE TDC TO HAVE UP TO FOUR BED TAX COLLECTORS AND ONLY TWO INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES. SEAT FIVE THAT IS VACANT AT THE MOMENT, THE APPOINTEES THE BOARD APPOINTS SERVES A FOUR YEAR TERM AND THOSE TERMS ARE STAGGERED SO THAT ONLY THREE COME UP AT ANY POINT IN TIME. THE SEAT THAT IS CURRENTLY VACANT FOR AN UNCOMPLETE TERM IS THE SWING SEAT THAT COULD BE FILLED BY A BED TAX COLLECTOR OR SOMEONE THAT IS GENERALLY ASSOCIATED WITH THE TOURISM INDUSTRY. THE TDC ITSELF MONITORS WHO IS IN WHICH SEAT IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH THE STATUTE. THAT IS PART OF WHAT DROVE THE BYLAW CHANGE IN 2009 WAS TO BRING THE TDC'S ACTUAL PRACTICE MORE INTO LINE WITH THE STATUTE RATHER THAN JUST BEING LOCAL PRACTICE. IT IS ALL DEFINED PRETTY WELL IN THE BYLAWS THE BOARD PASSED WHICH HAD TO BE VERY COMPREHENSIVE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS ALL FOR THE BED TAX; SOME OF IT IS GOOD TO HIM AND SOME OF IT IS BAD. HE SEES FUNDING GOING TO PLACES WHERE ALCOHOL AND TOBACCO IS A BIG THING IN THE EVENT. HE KNOWS BECAUSE HE IS OUT THERE AND HE KNOWS WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT. THE STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION AT THE END OF THE YEAR, THEY REWARD KIDS THAT DOES GOOD WORK WITH SCHOLARSHIPS, WHICH IS MONEY. THERE ARE KIDS DURING THE SUMMER THAT HAS SET A GOAL AND THEY MEET THOSE GOALS, CRITERIA. THE BOARD HAS ALWAYS BEEN ABLE TO REWARD THOSE KIDS AND HELP THEM A LITTLE BIT. EVERYWAY HE GOES HE GETS CUT OFF. THERE IS

NO MONEY FOR KIDS. THEY WANT TO KEEP THEM OFF THE STREET. HE IS PROUD OF THE KIDS. SOMEWHERE SOMEHOW THEY HAVE GOT TO FIND SOME WAY TO HELP THE KIDS; THEY LEAVE THE KIDS OUT IN THIS COUNTY FOR SOME

REASON. WHEN IT COMES TIME TO HELP KIDS, THERE IS ALWAYS NO MONEY. THIS BOARD HAS ALWAYS BEEN REAL FAITHFUL IN HELPING KIDS WHEN IT COME TIME TO DO IT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN IS NEW ON THIS AND HE HAS COME TO HIS OFFICE ON THIS. THERE SHOULD BE SOME WAY TO HELP KIDS THAT DONE GOOD. THERE SHOULD BE SOME WAY THE BOARD, AS GOVERNMENT, COULD REWARD THESE KIDS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED, IF THIS IS A CONCERN TO COMMISSIONER BROCK, TO LOOK INTO AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD AT THE NEXT WORKSHOP OR MEETING AND GIVE THE BOARD SOME IDEAS ON HOW THEY COULD HELP KIDS LIKE THE BALLTEAM HERE AT THE LAST MEETING. THE STATUTE ITSELF FOR THE BED TAX ITSELF IS PRETTY CLEAR WHAT IT HAS GOT TO BE TIED TO. HE WILL SAY THERE HAS BEEN SOME LIBERAL INTERPRETATION OF WHAT INVOLVES PROMOTING TOURISM AND HE DON'T WANT TO SAY THEY EVEN DANCE NEAR THAT LINE HERE. HE DON'T THINK FOR INSTANCE THE BED TAX COULD HAVE BEEN USED FOR THAT GROUP OF KIDS, BALLTEAM, THAT CAME BEFORE THE BOARD LAST MONTH. IT CAN BE USED FOR OTHER SPORTING TYPE OF EVENTS FOR BASEBALL, FOOTBALL, BASKETBALL, VOLLEYBALL, ETC.; BUT, THE BED TAX ITSELF, THAT ALLOCATION OF FUNDS THE BOARD WOULD HAVE HAD A HARD TIME WITH THAT GROUP OF KIDS GETTING THEM UNDER THAT UMBRELLA. HE IS NOT HERE TO TELL THE BOARD THERE IS NO WAY THEY CAN HELP KIDS IN THE COUNTY; THAT IS NOT WHAT HE WANTS TO TELL THEM. JUST WITH THAT GROUP OF KIDS IT WOULD HAVE BEEN HARD TO STRETCH THE BED TAX TO THAT POINT. HE WILL DO SOME RESEARCH AND GET BACK WITH THE BOARD IN JULY ABOUT SOME POSSIBLE WAYS THEY CAN TRY TO SET ASIDE SOME FUNDS FOR SOME ISSUES THAT CAME UP IN MAY WITH THE KIDS GOING TO A STATE TOURNAMENT. HE WANTS TO HELP THEM AS WELL; BUT, HE DOESN'T WANT TO GET THE COUNTY IN TROUBLE DOING IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THE BALLTEAM HAD JERSEYS ON THAT SAY WASHINGTON COUNTY, THAT WOULD BE A FORM OF ADVERTISEMENT AND

22-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 190

WOULDN'T THIS FALL UNDER THE TDC.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THE PROBLEM IS YOU HAVE TO PROMOTE TOURIST OR TOURISM UNDER STATUTE 125.0104. TOURIST MEANS A PERSON THAT PARTICIPATES IN A TRADE OR RECREATION ACTIVITY OUTSIDE THE COUNTY OF HIS OR HER PERMANENT RESIDENCE. THE COUNTY WOULD NEED TO GET SOMEBODY FROM THERE TO HERE. THE ADVERTISEMENT IS TO TRY AND GET PEOPLE INTO WASHINGTON COUNTY TO SPEND MONEY FOR THE BED TAX.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE IS SIXTEEN TEAMS COMING TO WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR A DOUBLE ELIMINATION TOURNAMENT; THERE WILL NOT BE A ROOM VACANT ON THAT WEEKEND HERE. WHO DO YOU THINK PAYS THE BED TAX; IT IS NOT THE LOCAL FOLKS. THE BED TAX IS TO GET PEOPLE TO COME TO WASHINGTON COUNTY TO PAY THE TAX SO THEY CAN SELL THE COUNTY PRETTY MUCH. HE SAID HE GOT A BAD RAP WHEN HE FIRST GOT ON THIS BOARD; BUT, HE HAS SPENT HIS WHOLE LIFE WORKING WITH KIDS AND HELPING THEM. HE HATES TO TURN THE KIDS DOWN AND REALLY DISLIKES IT WHEN SOMEBODY SAYS THE BOARD DOESN'T DO ANYTHING FOR THE KIDS. RIGHT NOW, THEY ARE GOING TO BE TRYING TO KEEP JOBS THIS YEAR. THE

BED TAX IS THE WRONG VEHICLE TO TRY TO DO IT BECAUSE HE HAS SET ON THAT BOARD, HE IS AT RISK; HE SETS ON THIS BOARD, HE IS AT RISK. HE DON'T WANT TO BE AT RISK ANYMORE OR TO DO ANYTHING WRONG. HE DON'T THINK MR. TOWN DOES, MS. TAYLOR OR ANY OF THE REST OF THE NINE TDC BOARD MEMBERS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK TO EXPLAIN WHAT HE HAD SAID EARLIER ABOUT THE SCHOOL KIDS; WHEN THEY DO SOMETHING WELL IN THE SUMMER, THEY NEED TO BE REWARDED, ETC.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED IF THE KIDS DO WELL DURING THE YEAR, THEY ARE REWARDED AT THE END OF THE YEAR AT GRADUATION WITH SCHOLARSHIPS FOR MONEY. HE HAS NO PROBLEM WITH THIS; THAT IS GREAT. TO HIM, WHEN YOU GET OUT AND ACCOMPLISH SOMETHING, HE FEELS LIKE WHEN

23-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 191

THE KIDS COME TO YOU FOR HELP, FOR THAT ACCOMPLISHMENT THE BOARD SHOULD BE ABLE TO HELP THEM. THAT IS WHAT HE IS TRYING TO SAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID NOT NECESSARILY UNDER THE TDC RAMNIFICATION OF THE BED TAX; BUT, AT SOME LEVEL COMMISSIONER BROCK WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO REWARD THESE CHILDREN AND HELP THEM FOR THEIR TRAVELS, ETC.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAD TO GO BACK TO THE BED TAX BECAUSE HE WAS PART OF IT WHEN THE ORDINANCE WAS DRAWN UP AND RECREATION WAS AN ISSUE IN THAT. AT THE TIME DAVID WAS STRUGGLING IN HIS DEPARTMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE WOULD HAVE A BETTER ANSWER FOR HIM WITH RESPECT TO THOSE ISSUES AT THE JULY MEETING.

MR. TOWN INFORMED MR. JOYNER HE HAD THE COMPLETE TDC BUDGET THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN SUBMITTED IN DRAFT AND A LINE BY LINE SUMMARY OF WHERE THE MONEY GOES.

COUNTY MANAGER REPORT:

1. BURN BANS-MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE CITY OF CHIPLEY, DUE TO THE DROUGHT CONDITIONS EVERYONE IS FAMILIAR WITH, HAS A BURN BAN IN AFFECT THROUGH JULY 13TH; THIS DOES AFFECT JULY 4TH FIREWORKS. HE HAS BEEN IN TOUCH WITH THE STATE DIVISION OF FORESTRY AND AS OF NOW, THEY HAVEN'T BANNED ANY FIREWORKS; BUT, THEY DO HAVE A BAN ON ANY BURNING. THEY HAVE A LITTLE RELIEF ON THE DROUGHT FROM THE LITTLE SHOWERS THEY HAVE BEEN HAVING. HE AGREED TO KEEP THE BOARD AWARE IF ANYTHING COMES FROM THE STATE BETWEEN NOW AND THEN. HE ENCOURAGED EVERYBODY TO BE CAREFUL WITH FIREWORKS ON THE 4TH OF JULY. HE REFERRED TO ORANGE HILL FIRE DEPARTMENT USUALLY HAVING A FIREWORKS EVENT FOR THE 4TH OF JULY AS WELL AS THERE BEING SOME OTHER SCHEDULED FIREWORK EVENTS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED WHEN HE FIRST CAME ON THIS BOARD, HE HAD SOME CONCERNS THE COMMISSION MEETINGS WERE AVAILABLE TO THE WHOLE COMMUNITY. THEY HAVE NOW HAD NIGHT MEETINGS AND DAY MEETINGS AND THE RECORD SHOWS MORE PEOPLE ARE ATTENDING THE COUNTY COMMISSION MEETINGS DURING THE DAY. THE REASON HE IS BRINGING THIS UP, THEY HAVE A COUNTY COMMISSIONER AND A LOT OF COUNTY EMPLOYEES THAT ATTEND THESE MEETINGS AND IT IS ACTUALLY COSTING THIS COUNTY A GREATER AMOUNT OF MONEY WHEN THEY HAVE THESE MEETINGS AT NIGHT WHEN THEY HAVE TO HAVE STAFF PRESENT. HE ASKED THE BOARD WHAT THEIR OPINIONS WERE. HE KNOWS THAT EACH QUARTER THE COUNTY COMMISSION IS MOVING AROUND; THE JULY MEETING IS TO BE HELD IN EBRO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE VOTED AGAINST DOING THIS IN THE BEGINNING. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE UNDERSTANDS WHY COMMISSIONER BROCK DID VOTE AGAINST IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WANTED TO BRING THE NIGHT MEETINGS BACK UP FOR DISCUSSION IF THE BOARD WANTS TO VOTE ON IT. HE REITERATED IT WAS COSTING THE COUNTY TAXPAYERS MORE MONEY HAVING THE MEETINGS AT NIGHT THAN IT IS HAVING THEM DURING THE DAY AND THE MEETINGS ARE ATTENDED BETTER DURING THE DAY THAN THEY ARE AT NIGHT. HE WANTED TO BRING THIS OUT FOR DISCUSSION. HE JUST WANTED TO BE FAIR TO EVERYBODY AND THIS HAS JUST COME BACK UP; HE JUST WANTED TO OPEN THIS UP FOR DISCUSSION FOR THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DON'T REALLY HAVE A PREFERENCE; BUT, HE WAS WONDERING THE SAME THING. WHATEVER THE BOARD DECIDES, THAT IS WHERE HE WILL BE PRECIDING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAS ASKED THE QUESTION AND HAS SEEN THE OVER TIME OR WHATEVER THEY WERE DRAWING AND REALLY IN HIS OPINION THERE WASN'T NO PURPOSE IN IT. COMMISSIONER BROCK THEN MADE A MOTION TO GO BACK TO DAY MEETINGS AT 9:00 A.M.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE LOCATIONS WERE GOING TO REMAIN THE SAME. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THE LOCATIONS OF THE MEETINGS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED THE MOTION FOR DISCUSSION. HE SAID SIX YEARS HE WAS WANTING TO MOVE THE MEETINGS AROUND AND EVERYBODY SHOWED UP THERE AS THEY DID DURING THE DAY. HE HAD JUST AS SOON HAVE THE COUNTY COMMISSION MEETINGS DURING THE DAY. THEY GET THE SAME CROWD THAT IS HERE AT EVERY BOARD MEETING.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE THOUGHT HIS QUESTION WAS IF THEY WANT TO HAVE THE MEETINGS AT THE COUNTY ANNEX; THE MOTION WAS TO HAVE DAY MEETINGS AT 9:00 A.M. BUT DIDN'T SAY WHERE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT THE TWO ISSUES THAT NEEDED TO BE ADDRESSED IS:

1. DO THEY KEEP THE CURRENT PATTERN OF WHERE THEY HAVE THEM SCHEDULED LOCATED.

2. DOES THE MOTION COME INTO AFFECT AND WILL IT START IN JULY OR AUGUST.

HE IS NOT SURE EXACTLY WHAT THE ROTATION SCHEDULE IS; BUT, HE DOES KNOW THEY ARE ON SOME SORT OF ROTATION SCHEDULE. IS THE BOARD GOING TO KEEP THE ROTATION SCHEDULE AND MOVE AROUND THE COUNTY, WHICH SEEMS GREAT. IF SO, WHEN IS IT GOING TO START, IN JULY OR AUGUST.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE JULY MEETING CURRENTLY BEING SCHEDULED IN EBRO; HE DOESN'T REMEMBER THE REMAINING ROTATION SCHEDULE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM MEETING AT THE COUNTY ANNEX EVERY MONTH. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ALSO DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM MEETING AT THE COUNTY ANNEX EVERY MONTH; EVERYTHING IS SET UP AT THE ANNEX AND THERE IS A GREAT EXPENSE IN MOVING PA SYSTEMS AND SETTING ALL THAT UP WHEN YOU ROTATE THE MEETINGS. HE THOUGHT ALL THE BOARD MEETINGS SHOULD BE HELD AT THE COUNTY ANNEX AT 9:00 A.M.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AMENDED HIS MOTION TO START MEETING AT THE COUNTY ANNEX AT 9:00 A.M. STARTING WITH THEIR AUGUST MEETING. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT CARRIED UNANIMOUS-

26-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 194

LY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED A SIGN ISSUE THAT WAS BROUGHT UP ABOUT TWO MONTHS AGO ABOUT A SIGN LOCATED IN SUNNY HILLS. HE ASKED IF ANYONE HAD LOOKED INTO THE SIGNAGE PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE ALSO ASKED IF THEY HAD ADDRESSED THE SIGN IN SUNNY HILLS THAT ONLY ONE GROUP CAN POST ON IT.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, STEVE JOYNER, INFORMED THE BOARD THEY HAD BEEN TRYING TO FIND SOME PAPERWORK ON THE SIGN AND HADN'T BEEN ABLE TO. THEY HAVE BEEN DOING SOME RESEARCH ON IT. THEY HAVE HAD THE MINUTES RESEARCHED AND CAN'T FIND ANYTHING ON IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE BET IF THEY GO DOWN THERE AND REMOVE THE SIGN, THEY WILL FIND THEM. IT IS SUPPOSE TO BE A COMMUNITY BOARD; BUT ONE GROUP CONTROLS IT AND THAT IS NOT RIGHT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT WAS OKAY IF ONE GROUP CONTROLS IT; BUT, EVERY GROUP NEEDS TO BE ABLE TO PUT ON THE SIGN WHAT THEY WANT TO PUT ON IT.

MR. ZURICA SAID THAT IS NOT ALLOWED. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED THAT WAS WRONG. MR. ZURICA SAID THEY KNOW THAT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE KNEW THIS ISSUE HAD BEEN BROUGHT UP TWO MONTHS AGO AND HE DIDN'T KNOW WHATEVER TOOK PLACE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF IT IS A COMMUNITY BOARD AND IT IS ON COUNTY PROPERTY, EVERYBODY HAS THE SAME RIGHT OR THEY PULL THE SIGN UP AND GET IT OFF THE COUNTY PROPERTY AND DISPOSE OF IT. NOTIFY THE PEOPLE THAT OWNS THE SIGN. IF IT IS ON COUNTY PROPERTY, EVERY-

BODY HAS THE SAME RIGHT. IF ONE PERSON HAS CONTROL OF IT THAT IS FINE; OTHER THAN THAT, GET IT OFF THE COUNTY PROPERTY.

MR. JOYNER INFORMED THE BOARD THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION TAKES CLAIM TO THE SIGN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE BOARD NEEDS TO CONTACT THE PRESIDENT OF THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION AND PUT THAT TO THEM.

AL GOTHARD ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING THE FIRE DEPARTMENT DID APPROACH THE PRESIDENT OF THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION ABOUT PUTTING THEIR

27-BCC
06-27-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 195

GRAND OPENING ON THAT SIGN AND THEY GOT A PRETTY NASTY LETTER BACK SAYING IT WAS LUDICROUS FOR THEM TO EVEN ASK TO PUT THAT ON THAT SIGN.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT IS A COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT AND THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION IS TELLING US WE CAN'T USE IT. IT DOESN'T MATTER WHETHER IT IS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT OR THE COUNTY COMMISSION HAVING SOMETHING DOWN THERE, IF THE SIGN IS ON COUNTY PROPERTY AND THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION IS CONTROLLING WHO PUTS STUFF ON THE SIGN, LETS GET IT OFF. SEND THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION A LETTER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE THIS BOARD GIVES THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION THE OPPORTUNITY. HE WOULD LIKE TO PUT IT JUST LIKE THAT; ALL COMMUNITY EVENTS THAT TAKE PLACE IN SUNNY HILLS, ALL PEOPLE HAVE THE SAME AMOUNT OF RIGHTS TO POST THINGS ON THE BOARD IN A TIMELY MANNER; IF NOT, THE SIGN NEEDS TO BE REMOVED.

MR. JOYNER AGREED TO WRITE A LETTER TO THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION FOR THE CHAIRMAN TO SIGN. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WOULD BE GLAD TO SIGN IT.

WHEN QUESTIONED WHOSE SIGN IT WAS, MR. JOYNER REITERATED IT BELONGED TO THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION HE UNDERSTANDS; IT IS ON COUNTY PROPERTY. IN THE PAST, THERE HAS BEEN SOME OFFENSIVE LANGUAGE ON IT THAT DIDN'T CONNECT TOO WELL WITH THE COMMUNITY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE REMEMBERS THE LETTER AL WAS TALKING ABOUT; WHAT WAS LUDICROUS IS THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION HAD THE NERVE TO WRITE A LETTER LIKE THAT ABOUT A COUNTY FUNCTION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT RECOMMENDED REMOVAL OF THE SIGN; TELL THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION THEY HAVE FIVE DAYS TO REMOVE IT OR THE COUNTY WILL REMOVE IT FOR THEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE SUGGESTED THEY MAKE THAT A MOTION. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE HAD ADDRESSED TWO MONTHS AGO THEY SHOULD TAKE THE SIGN UP. HE THEN OFFERED A MOTION TO TAKE THE COMMUNITY SIGN UP AT SUNNY HILLS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN RECOMMENDED DOING THIS IN A FORM OF A LETTER;

PUT THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION ON NOTICE TO REMOVE THE SIGN AND IF THEY DON'T THE COUNTY WILL TAKE ACTION TO GET IT OFF THE PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID GIVE THEM A SHORT AMOUNT OF TIME. HE THEN SECONDED THE MOTION AND IT CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER PATE UPDATED THE BOARD ON LT. COMMANDER KENNETH E. GAINER RESIGNED FROM THE TRI-COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY AND THE BOARD NEEDS TO APPOINT SOMEONE TO REPLACE MR. GAINER. CURTIS SNELL TOLD COMMISSIONER PATE ABOUT A YEAR AGO IF ANYTHING EVER COME UP, HE WOULD LIKE TO BE CONSIDERED TO SERVE ON THE BOARD. HE TOLD THE BOARD IF THEY HAVE ANYBODY THEY WOULD LIKE TO APPOINT TO THE TRI-COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY, THEY NEED TO LOOK AT EVERYBODY INTERESTED IN SERVING AND MAKE THEIR DECISION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED CURTIS SNELL FLIES OUT OF THAT AIRPORT ON A REGULAR BASIS AND HAS BEEN AROUND AIRPLANES ALL HIS LIFE. HE COULDN'T THINK OF ANYBODY MORE APPROPRIATE TO PUT ON THAT BOARD. COMMISSIONER BROCK AND STRICKLAND STATED THEY DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH MR. SNELL REPLACING MR. GAINER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO REPLACE KENNETH GAINER WITH CURTIS SNELL ON THE TRI-COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON MR. JIM TOWN DELINING TO SERVE ON THE VAB BOARD AS A PERSON WHO OWNS PROPERTY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND FILES HOMESTEAD.

MR. TOWN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM SERVING ON THE VAB THE LAST THREE YEARS AND HE ALSO WAS ELECTED LAST YEAR TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR THE CDD. THE CDD ATTORNEY AND THE VAB ATTORNEY CONCLUDED HE COULDN'T SERVE ON BOTH BOARDS BECAUSE THE CDD IS A THIRD TAXING AUTHORITY IN THE COUNTY; THE BOARD, THE SCHOOL BOARD AND THE CDD. HE ASKED THIS YEAR IF THE CDD WOULD LET HIM RESIGN TEMPORARILY AS THEY DID LAST YEAR AND THEN HE WAS REAPPOINTED; THE CDD BOARD SAID THEY WOULD PREFER HE NOT DO THAT AGAI BECAUSE THEY ARE LEGAL

COST INVOLVED. THEREFORE, HE HAS TO DECLINE SERVING ON THE VAB; HE NEEDS TO STAY ON THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CDD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID MS. JOANN AULT AND SAL ZURICA HAS AGREED TO SERVE ON THE VAB.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID SAL HAS ALWAYS WANTED TO BE SOMETHING. BROCK THEN MADE A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPOINT SAL ZURICA TO THE VAB. COMMISSIONER PATE OPPOSED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST: _____

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN