

JULY 18, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY FLORIDA, WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER, CLERK LINDA COOK AND DEPUTY CLERK DIANNE GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

DEPUTY BOB WOOLEVER PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. DAVID CORBIN OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES OF JUNE 13, 27 AND 29, 2011 MEETINGS; BCC HAD NO COMMENTS OR CORRECTIONS TO BE MADE IN REGARD TO THESE MINUTES.

MR. ROGER SLOAN, CHIPLEY CITY COUNCIL MEMBER, ADDRESSED THE BOARD REQUESTING FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FROM THE BOARD FOR PALS PARK. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE POLES AT PALS PARK BEING COMPLETELY ROTTED ALL THE WAY THROUGH. THE CITY HAD TO GO AHEAD AND GET A CONTRACTOR IN TO REPLACE THE POLES; HOWEVER, THEY WOULD LIKE SOME ASSISTANCE FROM THE BOARD.

MR. SLOAN ADDRESSED THE CITY BEING AWARE OF THE FINANCIAL CONDITION OF THE COUNTY; BUT, 80% TO 85% OF THE KIDS PARTICIPATING IN THE CITY RECREATIONAL PROGRAM ARE FROM OUTSIDE THE CHIPLEY CITY LIMITS. HE POINTED OUT IF SOMETHING HAPPENS TO ONE OF THE KIDS WHILE THEY ARE AT PALS PARK, THE LIABILITY CAN FALL BACK ON THE COUNTY. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER CHIPLEY'S REQUEST FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.

PUBLIC HEARINGS; MICHAEL DERUNTZ UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE AND AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT, WHICH IS A RESOLUTION. THE SECOND PUBLIC HEARING IS THE SIGN REGULATIONS IN SECTION 7 OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE. HE EXPLAINED THEY HAVE TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS BECAUSE OF THE ORDINANCES; THE PUBLIC HEARINGS PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO EXPRESS ISSUES AND CONCERNS AND THEIR OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. HE SAID BOTH PUBLIC HEARINGS HAVE BEEN DULY ADVERTISED.

MR. DERUNTZ REPORTED THAT FEMA HAD RECENTLY COMPLETED A NEW FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY; IT WENT INTO EFFECT JULY 1ST. WHEN THIS WAS BROUGHT TO THE COUNTY, THEY ASKED FEMA AND THE STATE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY TO REVIEW THE COUNTY'S FLOOD PLAIN ORDINANCES BECAUSE ONE OF THE THINGS THEY HAD SAID WAS ALL ORDINANCES HAS TO BE IN SYNC WITH THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM GUIDELINES. THIS ORDINANCE IS A REFLECTION OF THOSE CHANGES. IN THE HANDOUT PROVIDED TO THE BOARD, THERE WAS A CHECKLIST THAT IDENTIFIED AREAS AND THEY WERE PRETTY MINOR, LIKE DEFINITIONS AND TAKE CARE OF THIS OR THAT. IT WAS NOTHING ELSE REALLY OF ANY SUBSTANCE. THE ORDINANCE GOES IN AND MAKES ANY NECESSARY CHANGES TO THE EXISTING ORDINANCE, 2006-1. THIS HAS BEEN REVIEWED BY THE STATE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY AND HAS BEEN FOUND TO BE IN COMPLIANCE. THE BOARD HAS TO TAKE ACTION TO APPROVE THE ORDINANCE. THERE IS THEN AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WHICH IS A RESOLUTION; HE FORWARDED COPIES OF THIS AGREEMENT TO EACH OF THE COMMUNITIES OF CARYVILLE, EBRO, VERNON AND WAUSAU AND BASICALLY IT SAYS WASHINGTON COUNTY CURRENTLY ADMINISTERS FLOOD MAINTENANCE ORDINANCE REGULATIONS FOR THOSE COMMUNITIES AS WELL AS THE BUILDING CODE REGULATIONS. THIS REAFFIRMS THE COUNTY IS GOING TO BE DOING THAT FOR THESE COMMUNITIES. EACH OF THOSE COMMUNITIES WOULD NEED TO PASS A RESOLUTION AND SIGN OFF ON IT. HE WANTED TO MAKE THIS AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD AND AS HE GETS ALL THE ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ON THESE RESOLUTIONS, HE WILL BRING THEM TO THE BOARD.

THE SECOND PUBLIC HEARING IS THE SIGN ORDINANCE. THE PLANNING COMMISSION HAD RECEIVED DIRECTION FROM MR. PITTS TO LOOK AT THE SIGN ORDINANCE A FEW MONTHS AGO. BECAUSE THERE WERE SOME ISSUES OF DISCREPANCIES AND INCONSISTENCIES IN THE EXISTING ORDINANCE, THE PLANNING COMMISSION SOLICITED VOLUNTEERS TO SERVE ON THIS COMMITTEE AND THEY HAD A LIST OF CITIZENS IN THE COMMUNITY THAT STEPPED UP AND VOLUNTEERED. GARY HARTMAN SERVED ON THE COMMITTEE AS WELL AS PEOPLE FROM THE INDUSTRY PARTICIPATED; THEY HAD A GOOD CROSS SECTION OF THEIR COMMUNITY. THE COMMITTEE REVIEWED THE EXISTING ORDINANCE, LOOKED AT OTHER COMMUNITIES' ORDINANCES AND THEY PROPOSED TO LOOK AT ANOTHER COMMUNITY AND MAKE MODIFICATIONS AS IT WAS APPLICABLE TO WASHINGTON COUNTY. THE COMMITTEE HELD TWO PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETINGS AND RECEIVED COMMENTS FROM PEOPLE COMING TO THESE MEETINGS; PEOPLE MADE SUGGESTIONS AND ASKED QUESTIONS AND THE COMMITTEE MODIFIED THE PLAN BASED ON THOSE COMMENTS AND CONCERNS. HE REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HAVING SOME COMMENTS THAT WERE REFLECTED FROM ONE OF THE CONSTITUENTS AND THOSE ITEMS WERE ALL ADDRESSED AND AMENDED. THIS HAS BEEN PRESENTED TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THE PLANNING COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED IT AND RECOMMENDED ITS APPROVAL. THEY ARE NOW PASSING IT ON TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR THEIR CONSIDERATION.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF THERE HAD BEEN ANY SERIOUS CHANGES TO THE COUNTY'S FLOOD PLAIN ORDINANCE AND MANAGEMENT.

MR. DERUNTZ EXPLAINED THERE HAD NOT BEEN ANY SERIOUS CHANGES IN THE ORDINANCE; THE BIGGEST THING WAS IDENTIFYING THE BUILDING OFFICIAL IS THE FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE ADMINISTRATOR. THAT WASN'T CLEARLY SPECIFIED IN THE COUNTY'S EXISTING ORDINANCE AND THAT IS HOW IT HAS BEEN FUNCTIONING PREVIOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE HAD MISSTATED HIS QUESTION. HE THEN ASKED IF THERE HAD BEEN ANY CHANGES TO SET BACKS AND STUFF LIKE THAT OR THE FLOOD PLAIN BOUNDARIES.

MR. DERUNTZ ADVISED THERE HAD NOT BEEN ANY CHANGES IN THIS

ORDINANCE AS FAR AS SET BACKS OR FLOOD PLAIN BOUNDARIES. THERE ARE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THAT IS BEING REVIEWED BY THE CITIZENS WATER ADVISORY BOARD AS FAR AS SET BACKS AND NATURAL RESOURCES, ETC. THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS WILL BE COMING FORWARD TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THEN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. RIGHT NOW FOR INSTANCE ON RIVERS, THERE IS A 100' SET BACK ON MAIN RIVERS THAT IS EXISTING IN THE COUNTY CODES; THERE IS A 50' SETBACK IN THE MINOR CREEKS, ETC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM TAKING THE ROUGH DRAFT SIGN ORDINANCE AND THERE ARE THREE SIGN COMPANIES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY HE IS AWARE OF THAT ACTUALLY ERECTS SIGNS AND PROBABLY MORE THAT BUILDS SIGNS. HIS CONCERN IS HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE SIGN COMPANIES TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT THE FINAL DRAFT MR. DERUNTZ IS PRESENTING TO THE BOARD TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE CAUGHT ALL ISSUES OF CONCERN. ONE OF HIS CONCERNS, AND HE KNOWS THE COMMITTEE HAS SPENT NUMEROUS HOURS AND DONE EXCELLENT WORK ON THE SIGN ORDINANCE AND HE COMMENDED MR. DERUNTZ AND THE COMMITTEE FOR THAT, IS THE SIGN ACROSS FROM ORANGE HILL EXPRESS THAT GOES INTO MARTIN WOODS. THE SIZE OF THE SIGN ACTUALLY INCLUDED THE BRICK OR IRON DECORATIONS OR WHATEVER KIND OF DECORATIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE. HE ASKED IF THAT HAD BEEN FIXED.

MR. DERUNTZ ADVISED THIS HAD BEEN FIXED; THAT WAS ONE OF THE CONCERNS THAT SUBDIVISION SIGNS WAS LIMITED. HE WENT OUT AND MEASURED ALL THESE SUBDIVISION SIGNS TO MAKE SURE THEY HAD AN APPROPRIATE SIZE THAT WAS REFLECTING WHAT IS EXISTING. THE COMMITTEE REVIEWED THOSE SIGNS AND MADE THE NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS. HE ADDRESSED TWO OF THE SIGN COMPANIES WERE ON THE SIGN COMMITTEE; HE COULD DROP A COPY TO THE THIRD SIGN COMPANY FOR THEIR REVIEW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE FOR MR. PITTS, MR. STEVE OSTROWSKI AND MR. WEBB TO HAVE A COPY OF THE FINAL DRAFT OF THE SIGN ORDINANCE. MR. DERUNTZ ADDRESSED JOHN OSTROWSKI TOOK A VERY ACTIVE PART IN REVIEWING THE EXISTING SIGN ORDINANCE.

MR. DERUNTZ EXPLAINED IF EXISTING SIGNS DON'T MEET THE CRITERIA,

THEY WOULD BE NON CONFORMING SIGNS AND WOULD FALL UNDER OTHER DEFINITIONS OF NON CONFORMING; IF THERE IS DAMAGE OVER 50% AND IT IS BEING REPLACED, IT WOULD HAVE TO BE BROUGHT INTO CONFORMITY, ETC.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THANKED MR. DERUNTZ AND THE COMMITTEE FOR DOING A GOOD JOB ON THE SIGN ORDINANCE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED IN HOLMES AND JACKSON COUNTY, THERE HAVE BEEN ISSUES WITH THE FLOOD PLAIN ORDINANCE WITH RESPECT TO WHEN THEY SURVEYED RESPECTIVE COUNTIES AND RESPECTIVE PROPERTY OWNERS, THEY HAD A PROBLEM WITH THE LINES BEING OFF AND SOME PROPERTIES BEING DESIGNATED AS FLOOD ZONES THAT HAD NOT BEEN IN FLOOD ZONES FOR HUNDREDS OF YEARS. THAT HAS RAISED A BIG CONCERN AMONGST THE PROPERTY OWNERS IN HOLMES AND JACKSON COUNTY. HE ASKED MR. DERUNTZ IF THEY HAD SEEN ANYTHING LIKE THAT IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID THEY HAD SEEN ISSUES LIKE THIS. HE EXPLAINED THIS PROCESS DIDN'T JUST START. BEFORE HE CAME, THE COUNTY WAS INVOLVED IN REVIEWING A PROPOSED PLAN THAT WAS BEING DONE OR MAPS THAT FEMA WAS PREPARING. THEY WERE USING NEW TECHNOLOGY TO BE MORE ACCURATE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THEY CORRECTED THOSE ISSUES. MIKE EXPLAINED WITH THE TECHNOLOGY USED, IT IS SUPPOSE TO; BUT, THERE IS ALWAYS THAT POSSIBILITY. BUT, THEN EACH PROPERTY OWNER HAS THE OPTION TO GO FOR A LETTER OF MAP AMENDMENT. THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT HAS ALREADY RECEIVED SEVERAL OF THOSE THAT AREN'T FOR PROPERTIES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN QUESTIONED IF THAT HAS BEEN BASED ON A MISSED SURVEY LINE. MIKE SAID IT WAS BECAUSE IT USES THINGS LIKE LIDAR TECHNOLOGY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED HE WAS JUST WONDERING IF IT WERE HAPPENING, WHERE IS THE COUNTY AT IN RESOLVING IT AS COMPARED TO WHAT THEY ARE SEEING IN OTHER COUNTIES. WHAT HE HAS BEEN TOLD FROM OTHER COUNTIES IS THEY ARE PROBABLY LOOKING AT SEPTEMBER BEFORE THOSE MAPS ARE CORRECTED.

MIKE SAID IF THERE ARE PROBLEMS, THERE IS NOTHING BETTER THAN GOING OUT IN THE FIELD AND DOING AN ACTUAL FIELD SURVEY.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED IN HIS AREA, THE PROPERTY LINES SHIFTED 9' TO THE EAST AND 10' TO THE SOUTH COMING OFF THE COUNTY LINE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF IT WOULD CHANGE THE VALUE OF SOMEONE'S PROPERTY IF BEFORE THEY WERE NOT IN A FLOOD ZONE AND NOW THEY ARE. MIKE SAID THIS WOULD BE POSSIBLE. THE PROPERTY APPRAISER APPRAISES IT BASED UPON THE USE OF THE PROPERTY; WHEN THEY DID THIS, THEY WOULD SEE IT WAS UNDEVELOPED, NON AGRICULTURAL AND THAT EQUATION WOULD STAY THE SAME. THEY DON'T LOOK AT FLOOD LINES; THEY JUST LOOK AT WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE PROPERTY AND THEN CATEGORIZE IT. YOU CAN DEVELOP IN WETLANDS; AGRICULTURALLY THERE ARE PROCESSES FOR THAT. BUT, IT IS A RISK BECAUSE WHEN IT DOES FLOOD, YOUR CROP IS GONE. BUT, WHEN YOU DO STRUCTURAL IMPROVEMENTS, YOU WOULD NEED TO HAVE A CERTIFICATE OF ELEVATION MAKING SURE THE STRUCTURE IS AT THE RIGHT ELEVATION, IF IT IS AN ACCESSORY STRUCTURE, THAT IT IS FLOOD RESISTANT WHERE IF YOU HAVE PASS THROUGH WATERS, ETC.

CONSENT AGENDA: CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF ANY OF THE CONSENT ITEMS NEEDED TO BE PULLED FOR DISCUSSION. THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD WAS THEY HAD NO PROBLEMS WITH THE ITEMS ON THE CONSENT AGENDA:

A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR JUNE 2011 TOTTALLING \$1,780,074.14.

B. APPROVAL OF WASHINGTON COUNTY'S SHIP "LOCAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE PLAN.

C. APPROVAL OF WASHINGTON COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY STATE AID FOR LIBRARIES GRANT AGREEMENT

AGENDA ITEMS: CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MR. DERUNTZ THE BOARD WAS GOING TO VOTE ON THE SIGN ORDINANCE AND THE FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE AND INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT AS IS; BUT, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHEN THEY HAVE A HEARING, THE BOARD VOTE ON IT THEN.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID THAT WAS THE BOARD'S CHOICE; BUT, THE PUBLIC

HEARINGS ARE FOR THE PUBLIC'S COMMENTS. THEN IT GOES INTO A REGULAR ITEM WHERE THE COMMISSIONERS ACT ON IT.

CHAIRMAN PATE REITERATED THEY ARE GOING TO GO WITH IT TODAY; BUT, THEY ARE GOING TO WORK SOMETHING OUT WHERE THEY DON'T HAVE BOTH OF THEM IN THE SAME DAY OR THEY HAVE A HEARING AND THEY VOTE ON IT. THAT IS WHAT THE PUBLIC HEARING IS ABOUT; IF THEY HEAR IT TODAY AND IF SOMEBODY HAS COMMENTS, RIGHT HERE WE HAVE TO CHANGE THEM AND VOTE ON IT OR TABLE IT.

MR. DERUNTZ EXPLAINED THAT IS WHY USUALLY IT IS IN THE AGENDA ITEMS SO THE BOARD CAN HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS IT AND MAKE CHANGES AND THEN COME BACK. CHAIRMAN PATE SAID HE UNDERSTOOD PERFECTLY; BUT, THEY DON'T HAVE TIME TO DO IT AND COVER IT UNDER HERE IF THERE IS ANY COMMENTS. IF SOMEBODY IN THE AUDIENCE HAS ABOUT FOUR OR FIVE QUESTIONS AND THEY NEED TO DO IT, THEY HAVE IT ON THE AGENDAED ITEMS AND HAVE TO TAKE IT BACK. HE HAD RATHER SEE IT THE NEXT MONTH OR SOME OTHER TIME TO GIVE THE BOARD TIME IF THEY DID NEED TO DISCUSS IT BECAUSE SOME OF THESE ISSUES ARE GOING TO BE HOT AND ALL AND SOME OF THEM WILL BE LIKE THIS PRETTY MUCH.

EVE RAINEY, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, FLORIDA EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS ASSOCIATION (FEPA), FLORIDA PROFESSION EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT (FPEM) PRESENTATION TO ROGER HAGAN FOR COMPLETION OF CERTIFICATION STANDARDS:

MS. RAINEY PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH BROCHURES OF FEPA AND UPDATED THE BOARD ON FEPA HAVING BEEN IN EXISTENCE SINCE 1956. IT ACTUALLY REPRESENTS FLORIDA PROFESSIONAL EMERGENCY MANAGERS FROM AROUND THE STATE AT ALL LEVELS; THEY HAVE LOCAL MEMBERS, COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS, LAW ENFORCEMENT, EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES, ETC. THEY ALSO HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM REGIONAL GOVERNMENTS FROM THE STATE OF FLORIDA DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT. IN FACT THERE IS AN ORGANIZATION PARTNER OF THE ORGANIZATION AS WELL AS MEMBERS FROM THE FEDERAL AGENCIES REPRESENTING FLORIDA, PRIVATE AND NON PRIVATE. OUT OF 600 MEMBERS, FEPA HAS OVER 100 MEMBERS FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR; BOTH PRIVATE AND PRIVATE NON-PROFIT.

FEPAs GOALS AND MISSIONS ARE ONE OF EDUCATION, TRAINING, PROMOTING EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AS A PROFESSION AND AS A CAREER PATH AS WELL AS ADVOCACY FOR A VARIETY OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ISSUES AT THE STATE AS WELL AS THE NATIONAL LEVEL. THEIR OTHER PARTNERS INCLUDE THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF EMERGENCY MANAGERS, THE NATIONAL ORGANIZATION OF EMERGENCY MANAGERS AND MANY OF THEIR MEMBERS ARE ALSO MEMBERS OF IAM AS WELL AS THE NATIONAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION. IN FEPAs ADVOCACY ROLE, THEY WILL GET TO HOPEFULLY MAKE A POSITIVE IMPACT ON LOCAL POLICIES AND STATE POLICIES AS WELL AS NATIONAL POLICIES. ONE OF THEIR STRONGEST PROGRAMS AND ONE OF THE REASONS SHE IS HERE THIS MORNING IS THEIR CERTIFICATION PROGRAM; FEPA OFFERS A VOLUNTARY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM OF PROFESSIONAL EMERGENCY MANAGERS. THEY HAVE THREE LEVELS OF RECOGNITION UNDER THEIR PROGRAM; THE FLORIDA PROFESSIONAL EMERGENCY MANAGER, FLORIDA ASSOCIATE EMERGENCY MANAGER AND THE FLORIDA VOLUNTEER EMERGENCY MANAGER. EACH OF THOSE HAS VIGOROUS TRAINING, EDUCATION AND WHAT MAKES THEIR PROGRAM A LITTLE UNIQUE IS THEY INCLUDE PROFESSIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS AS PART OF THEIR PROGRAM. AS YOU WORK THROUGH EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PROFESSIONAL IN FLORIDA, YOU CAN BE RECOGNIZED UNDER THESE THREE DIFFERENT STANDARDS. APPROXIMATELY 250 OF FEPA MEMBERS HAVE REACHED ONE OF THE LEVELS OF CERTIFICATION UNDER THEIR PROGRAM. SHE PRESENTED ROGER HAGAN WITH HIS FLORIDA PROFESSIONAL EMERGENCY MANAGER CERTIFICATION; HE FIRST CERTIFIED UNDER THIS PROGRAM IN 2006 AND UNDER FEPA'S PROGRAM, YOU RECERTIFY EVERY FIVE YEARS. THE IDEA IS YOU MAINTAIN THE STANDARD OF EXCELLENCE IN FEPA'S PROFESSION. SHE TOLD THE BOARD THAT ALL OF THEIR EMERGENCY MANAGER PROFESSIONALS ARE RECOGNIZED UNDER THIS PROGRAM; CONNIE WELCH, LYNN ABEL HAS RECERTIFIED. SHE ADDRESSED THIS BEING A UNIQUE STANDARD, ESPECIALLY FOR AN ORGANIZATION OR JURISDICTION THE SIZE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY. THE BOARD SHOULD BE VERY PROUD OF THAT. IT IS ALSO REPRESENTATIVE OF THE IMPORTANCE THAT EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND BASICALLY COMMUNITY AND PUBLIC SAFETY; WHAT IT MEANS TO THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND AS A JURISDICTION AND THEY

SHOULD BE VERY PROUD OF THAT.

MS. RAINEY TOLD THE BOARD ROGER WAS UNIQUE; SHE HAS HAD THE PLEASURE OF KNOWING ROGER IN HER PROFESSIONAL CAREER FOR MORE THAN TWENTY YEARS. ROGER HAS SERVED IN A VARIETY OF CAPACITIES WITH FEPA; HE HAS BEEN AN AREA GOVERNOR. FEPA IS BROKEN UP IN SEVEN GEOGRAPHIC AREAS ACROSS THE STATE AND ROGER HAS SERVED FOR MORE THAN SIX YEARS AS THEIR REGIONAL I GOVERNOR ALTERNATE GOVERNOR. UNDER THEIR SYSTEM OF GOVERNMENT FOR THE ASSOCIATION IN THE AREA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE SERVE ON THEIR BOARD OF DIRECTORS. ROGER HAS CERTAINLY HAD A VERY HIGH LEADERSHIP ROLE IN THEIR ORGANIZATION. FOR MANY YEARS INFORMALLY THE ASSOCIATION CALLED ON ROGER TO BE THEIR SPIRITUAL COUNSELOR, ADVISOR. AS ANY ASSOCIATION, THEIR MEMBERS HAVE GONE THROUGH BOTH PERSONAL AND PUBLIC TRIALS AND THEY HAVE OFTEN CALLED ON ROGER TO SERVE IN THE CAPACITY TO HELP THEM THROUGH THOSE HARD TIMES AND HELP MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS HOW THEY CAN HELP SOME OF THEIR MEMBER'S FAMILIES AND HELP THEIR COMMUNITIES. RECOGNIZING ROGER IN THIS WAY CAME TO A VERY FORMAL POSITION IN THAT THEIR MEMBERSHIP IN JANUARY VOTED TO ESTABLISH A FORMAL CHAPLAIN POSITION AS A PART OF THEIR BOARD OF DIRECTORS. JERRY SMITH, PRESIDENT OF FEPA, APPOINTED ROGER TO SERVE AS THE ASSOCIATION'S FIRST CHAPLAIN. ROGER ACCEPTED THIS ROLE. MS. RAINEY PRESENTED ROGER WITH FEPA'S FIRST FLORIDA EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS ASSOCIATION'S CHAPLAIN TAG; IT IS A SMALL TOKEN OF THE RECOGNITION OF THIS POSITION. AS A BOARD MEMBER AND AS THEIR CHAPLAIN, ROGER WILL WEAR THIS TAG TO ALL FEPA'S MEETINGS AND ALL THEIR EVENTS AND HOPEFULLY TO OTHER EVENTS AS HE REPRESENTS FEPA ACROSS THE STATE.

MS. RAINER ADDRESSED ROGER HAVING SERVED WASHINGTON COUNTY IN MANY CAPACITIES AND CERTAINLY HE EXEMPLIFIES AS A GOOD PERFECT SERVANT. SHE THANKED THE BOARD FOR SHARING ROGER WITH FEPA AND ALLOWING HIM THE TIME TO BE AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT OF THEIR ASSOCIATION. HE HAS SERVED ON MANY OF THEIR BOARDS AND COMMITTEES AND WHEN LISTENING TO THE PRESENTATION ON FORMING COMMITTEES FOR ADVICE

AND CONSENT ON ISSUES, HE IS THE FIRST ONE FEPA CALLS ON. HE HAS HELPED THEM TREMENDOUSLY OVER THE YEARS WITH THEIR ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES BECAUSE HE KNOWS GOVERNMENT AND QUASA-GOVERNMENT FUNCTIONS. SHE SAID SHE WAS HERE ON BEHALF OF FEPA TO THANK WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR ALLOWING ROGER THE TIME TO BE AN ACTIVE PARTICIPANT OF THEIR ASSOCIATION. FEPA APPRECIATES WHAT HE BRINGS TO THEM AND THEY HOPE IN SOME SMALL WAY THEY BRING A SIMILAR AMOUNT OF ADVICE AND EXPERTISE TO ROGER HE CAN BRING BACK HOME TO HELP HIS COMMUNITY.

SHE THEN OFFERED FEPA'S ASSISTANCE TO WASHINGTON COUNTY IN EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS. THE BOARD COULD CONTACT HER OR THE INFORMATION CONTACTS ON THE BROCHURE.

ROGER THANKED THE BOARD, MR. JOYNER AND PREVIOUS COUNTY MANAGERS THAT ALLOWED HIM AND HIS STAFF THE OPPORTUNITY TO ATTEND FEPA CONFERENCES, ETC. FEPA WAS VERY INVOLVED, VERY ENGAGED AND WAS VERY INSTRUMENTAL IN ORIGINATING THEIR LEGISLATION THAT PAYS FOR THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM. FOR MANY YEARS WHEN IT WAS CIVIL DEFENSE, THERE WAS A \$100 A MONTH OR SOME TOKEN AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT HAS BEEN GIVEN TO MEN THAT HAVE HELD THIS ROLE BEFORE HIM. THEY ACTUALLY HAD ONE PRESIDENT OF FEPA THAT CAME FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY, MR. AL PYFROM IN THE EARLY 1980'S. HE REITERATED HIS THANKS TO THE BOARD FOR SERVING THE COUNTY; BUT, ALSO FOR ALLOWING HIM TO BE A PART OF THE FEPA ASSOCIATION.

PATRICK SCHLENKER ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING HIS PURPOSE WAS TO PRESENT THEM WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO IMPROVE THE HEALTHCARE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY BY ALLOWING THEM TO LEGALLY ENCUMBER THE FOOTPRINT OF TWO NEW BUILDINGS ON CAMPUS FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING. HE EXPLAINED COMMISSIONERS HAD DONE THIS IN THE PAST ABOUT TEN YEARS AGO WHEN THE HEALTH AND WELLNESS FACILITY WAS FINANCED; THEY ENCUMBERED THE FOOTPRINT OF THAT BUILDING. HE SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY IS A FEDERALLY DESIGNATED MEDICALLY UNDER SERVED AREA AND A HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL SHORTAGE AREA. THOSE TWO DESIGNATIONS BASICALLY TELL US

THAT WE DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH MEDICAL RESOURCES IN THE COUNTY TO SUPPORT OUR POPULATION. FOR EXAMPLE, HUNTER AND CARE PHYSICIANS, IF THEY RAN A COMMAND ANALYSIS, THE HOSPITAL IS PROBABLY SIX TO EIGHT PRIMARY CARE PHYSICIANS SHORT MEETING THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY. FOR THAT REASON, EVER SINCE HE CAME ON BOARD, HE HAS BEEN RECRUITING NEW PHYSICIANS AND IT IS DIFFICULT TO RECRUIT PHYSICIANS TO RURAL AREAS; NOT JUST CHIPLEY BUT ANY RURAL AREA. THE PHYSICIANS BASICALLY DO THEIR RESIDENCY IN LARGE METROPOLITAN AREAS AND HAVE A LOT OF SPECIALISTS TO LEAN ON IN THOSE AREAS; IT TAKES A SPECIAL PERSON TO COME AND PRACTICE IN A RURAL COMMUNITY. BUT, THEY HAVE HAD SUCCESS AND BY 2007, THEY HAVE UTILIZED ALL THE AVAILABLE PHYSICIAN OFFICES ON CAMPUS AND ACCEPTABLE OFFICES IN THE COMMUNITY FOR PHYSICIANS TO PRACTICE AT. THEY DEVELOPED PLANS AT THAT TIME TO BUILD A 15,000 SQUARE FOOT MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING ON THE EAST SIDE OF THE HOSPITAL, ATTACHED TO THE HOSPITAL. IT WAS GOING TO HAVE FIVE PHYSICIAN OFFICES, AN OUTPATIENT AREA AND AN AUDITORIUM. AS THOSE PLANS DEVELOPED, ALONG CAME THE DOWNTURN IN THE ECONOMY, HE GOT COLD FEET AND AS AN ALTERNATIVE, THEY BROUGHT IN A MODULAR OFFICE BUILDING THAT IS A TWO PHYSICIAN OFFICE AND IT WAS ALMOST IMMEDIATELY FILLED. IN FACT, TODAY THEY HAVE AND WILL HAVE SHORTLY, FIVE PHYSICIANS PRACTICING OUT OF THAT FACILITY. THERE IS A HUGE NEED THERE FOR NEW OFFICE SPACE. THIS LAST NOVEMBER, THEY WERE APPROACHED BY THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR OF DAVITA, WHICH THEY LEASE SPACE TO THEM IN THE HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTER FOR A RENAL DIALYSIS CENTER. DAVITA CAME TO NORTH FLORIDA HEALTHCARE AND SAID THEY HAD OUTGROWN THE SPACE AND THEY NEED ADDITIONAL SPACE. THEY REQUESTED HE BUILD THEM NEW SPACE AND FINANCE IT AND THEN LEASE IT BACK TO THEM. DAVITA HAD A CAVEAT IF THEY DIDN'T DO THAT, THEY WOULD PROBABLY MOVE OFF CAMPUS AND PROBABLY OUT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A CLINIC IN MARIANNA, CURRENTLY AT THE HOSPITAL AND THE NEXT ONE IS FURTHER WEST IN CRESTVIEW. IT WOULD MAKE SOME SENSE FOR DAVITA TO MOVE FURTHER WEST.

MR. SCHLENKER SAID THEY WENT BACK AND DUG OUT THE OLD PLANS OF THE MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING, DOWNSIZED THEIR REQUIREMENT FROM FIVE PHYSICIAN OFFICES TO THREE IN THAT FACILITY, PUT IN AN AUDITORIUM OUTPATIENT AREA AND PUT IN KIND OF A BULL PEN TO RULE IT IN AS DAVITA WANTED 6500 SQUARE FEET. AS THEY WENT FORWARD WITH THIS, IT BECAME VERY PROBLEMATIC; (1) IF YOU EXCEED 12,000 SQUARE FEET, YOU HAVE TO HAVE A SPRINKLER SYSTEM IN THE BUILDING; THAT COST \$45 A SQUARE FOOT. (2) TO BUILD OUT THE DIALYSIS AREA, THERE ARE SOME VERY SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS. THEY HAVE THEIR OWN ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS AND THEY USE THEIR OWN CONTRACTOR. NORTH FLORIDA HEALTH CARE WOULD HAVE TO CONTRACT OUT A BUILDING THAT HAD IN THE BACK OF IT A DIALYSIS CENTER TO DO THE SHELL AND THEN DAVITA WOULD COME IN AND BUILD IT OUT. THIS WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT. SO EARLY THIS SPRING, THEY STARTED TALKING WITH DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS TO FINANCE THE PROJECT AND THEY MADE A SUGGESTION TO DO TWO BUILDINGS BECAUSE THE DIALYSIS BEING SO SPECIALIZED, IT WOULD JUST MAKE SENSE TO DO THAT. THEY TOOK THAT RECOMMENDATION, RAN WITH IT AND PERPARED THE SITE PLAN PROPOSED. AT THAT TIME, IT WAS PRESENTED TO DAVITA; THEY WOULD HAVE A SEPARATE BUILDING AND THEY NEEDED TO GO GET APPROVAL FOR THAT. ABOUT THREE WEEKS AGO, DAVITA CAME BACK TO US AND SAID THEY HAD GOTTEN APPROVAL; NOT FOR 6500 SQUARE FEET; BUT, FOR 5600 SQUARE FEET. WHAT IS BEING PROPOSED IS TWO BUILDINGS; AN APPROXIMATELY 11,500 SQUARE FOOT MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING, THREE MEDICAL OFFICES IN THERE, THREE PHYSICIAN OFFICES AND AN AUDITORIUM. IT WILL BE CONNECTED TO THE HOSPITAL BY A COVERED WALKWAY. PATIENTS WILL BE ABLE TO GO DIRECTLY OVER TO GET XRAYS, LABS, ETC. THE DAVITA BUILDING WOULD BE BRICK VANEER TO MATCH THE HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTER AND HAVE THE SAME METAL ROOF SO THEY MATCH; THERE WILL BE A DRIVE THROUGH IN FRONT OF THAT BUILDING FOR PATIENT DROP OFFS. THE ARCHITECTURE FOR THE M.O.B. WILL BE STUCCO TO MATCH THE ER; THAT WAY THEY WOULD KIND OF HAVE BOOKENDS. THEY WOULD HAVE STUCCO ER ON THIS SIDE AND THE HEALTH AND WELLNESS FACILITY ON THE OTHER SIDE.

MR. SCHLENKER EXPLAINED THE BENEFITS TO THE COUNTY BY APPROVING THEIR PROPOSED PLAN; (1) IT WILL KEEP THE FOURTEEN EMPLOYEES THAT DAVITA CURRENTLY HAS HERE IN THE COUNTY PLUS THEY WOULD INCREASE THEIR STAFF BY FOUR TO SIX EMPLOYEES. HE ESTIMATES NORTHWEST FLORIDA HEALTHCARE WOULD INCREASE THEIR STAFF BY SIX TO EIGHT EMPLOYEES AS THEY BROUGHT IN NEW PHYSICIANS. HE ADDRESSED NWFHC IS CONSTANTLY RECRUITING PHYSICIANS AND REFERRED TO HIM INTERVIEWING A BOARD CERTIFIED ANESTHESIOLOGIST PAIN SPECIALIST AND IF HE KEEPS HIS WORD, HE WILL BE JOINING NWFHC LATE AUGUST OR EARLY SEPTEMBER. THEY ALSO HAVE CONTRACTED WITH ANOTHER PHYSICIAN, A FAMILY MEDICINE PHYSICIAN, WHO HAS A SPECIALTY IN SPORTS MEDICINE AND HE IS GOING TO JOIN NWFHC ON OCTOBER 17TH. NWFHC WOULD HAVE PLENTY OF PHYSICIANS TO FILL THESE FACILITIES. THEY WILL RAISE THE NUMBER OF PHYSICIANS MORE RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO OUR COMMUNITY.

MR. SCHLENKER REQUESTED THE BOARD'S APPROVAL TO BE ABLE TO ENCUMBER THE FOOTPRINT FOR THOSE TWO FACILITIES AS WAS DONE WITH THE HEALTH AND WELLNESS FACILITY IN 2002 AND ALLOW NWFHC TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF THESE TWO FACILITIES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF MR. SCHLENKER WOULD LEAVE THE PORTABLE FACILITY THEY HAVE THERE NOW.

MR. SCHLENKER EXPLAINED THE PORTABLE FACILITY IS ON A FIVE YEAR LEASE AND AS SOON AS THE FIVE YEAR LEASE IS UP, IT WILL GO AWAY. WHAT NWFHC WILL DO IS GO BACK IN, IT IS 3400 SQUARE FEET, AND CONVERT IT TO PHYSICIAN OFFICES.

MR. SCHLENKER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE ESTIMATED COST OF THIS BUILDING WILL BE BETWEEN \$1.1 AND \$1.2 MILLION; ABOUT \$100 A SQUARE FOOT IS WHAT THEY ARE HOPING FOR. THE OTHER BUILDING WILL BE ABOUT \$900,000 BECAUSE OF ALL THE SPECIAL PLUMBING AND THE ELECTRICAL. OTHER THAN ALLOWING NWFHC TO ENCUMBER THE FOOTPRINTS OF THOSE FACILITIES, THE COUNTY WILL HAVE NO OBLIGATION. NWFHC IS LOOKING TO USE SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION AND THAT IS THE WAY THEY SEEM TO BE HEADED; IF THEY DO GO THAT WAY, HE AND HIS WIFE WILL PERSONALLY

HAVE TO GUARANTEE THE LOANS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MR. SCHLENKER IF HE WAS ASKING THE BOARD AT THEIR NEXT MEETING TO APPROVE THE FOOTPRINT PLANS. MR. SCHLENKER SAID NOT THE FOOTPRINT PLAN; BUT, TO BE ABLE TO ENCUMBER THE FOOTPRINT LEGALLY SO WHEN THE MORTGAGE IS PREPARED, BASICALLY THE COUNTY WOULD SIGN OFF ON THE LAND PORTION OF IT; NOT ON THE MORTGAGE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE COUNTY IS NOT GOING TO MORTGAGE ANYTHING OUT THERE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THE BOARD WASN'T GOING TO BE MORTGAGING.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO HIM REMEMBERING WHEN SOMETHING CAME UP BEFORE ABOUT MORTGAGING SOMETHING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN QUESTIONED IN THE PAST WHEN THE HOSPITAL HAS ASKED THE COUNTY TO ENCUMBER THE PROPERTY, WHAT DOCUMENTS HAD BEEN EXECUTED BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE HOSPITAL. MR. SCHLENKER SAID HE WAS NOT FAMILIAR WITH THIS; THE LAST TIME IT WAS DONE WAS IN 2002 AND IT WAS FOR THE HEALTH AND WELLNESS FACILITY. IT WILL HAVE TO DO WITH SOME KIND OF DOCUMENTATION TO MAKE IT WITH APPROVAL OF THE MORTGAGE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN QUESTIONED IF THE HOSPITAL'S ATTORNEY HAS ANYTHING DRAFTED FOR THE COUNTY TO REVIEW OR LOOK AT WITH RESPECT TO THE ENCUMBRANCE OR THE PROPOSED ENCUMBRANCE.

MR. SCHLENKER SAID THEY ARE IN PRELIMINARY DISCUSSIONS; HE HASN'T TURNED ENGINEERS OR ANYBODY LOOSE UNTIL HE KNOWS FOR SURE HE HAS THE COMMISSIONER'S APPROVAL ON IT. FOR THE MORTGAGE COMPANY TO MORTGAGE THE IMPROVEMENT, THEY WILL HAVE TO ENCUMBER THE FOOTPRINT OF THE BUILDING IF IN EVENT SOMETHING HAPPENS IN THE FUTURE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED IT IS NOT ONLY FROM A TITLE POLICY; BUT, FROM A NOTE POLICY BEFORE THEY ARE GOING TO HAND OVER A COUPLE MILLION DOLLARS, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE THE WORD OF THE COUNTY THEY ARE GOING TO GIVE NWFHC THUMBS UP ON IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE HOSPITAL IS GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE THE PROPERTY. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HIS MAIN QUESTION IS "IS THE

BOARD GETTING ON THE HOOK FOR SOMETHING."

MR. SCHLENKER STATED THE BOARD IS NOT GETTING ON THE HOOK FOR ANYTHING; WHO IS ON THE HOOK IS HE AND HIS WIFE IF THEY WERE TO DEFAULT.

MIKE DERUNTZ ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON JANET KINNEY BEING OVER AT HIS OFFICE TO TALK ABOUT THE PROPOSED PLANS AND ONE OF THE THINGS HE KEPT ASKING IS TO MAKE SURE THIS USE IS COMPATIBLE WITH THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE; BUT, THIS IS IN CHIPLEY. SO, THEY WANT TO MAKE SURE IT MEETS CHIPLEY'S REQUIREMENTS. ONE OF THE ISSUES THERE WAS THE STORM WATER MANAGEMENT BECAUSE THEY ARE INCREASING THE IMPERVIOUS SURFACE. PROBABLY THE RETENTION BASIN THAT EXISTS MAY HAVE TO BE EXPANDED, ETC. THEY ARE LOOKING AT SOME RELIEF OF PARKING REQUIREMENTS BECAUSE YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT THE WHOLE CAMPUS AND THE PARKING DEMAND. A LOT OF IT IS OVERLAPPING BECAUSE OF THE TIMES, ETC. THAT IT IS GOING TO BE USED AND THEY WERE EXPLAINING THE DAVITA CENTER MAY GET A LOT OF TRANSPORT PEOPLE THAT USE TRI-COUNTY TO DROP THEM OFF AND PICK THEM UP. BUT, UNDER THE COUNTY LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, IT WOULD TAKE APPROVAL OF THE WCPC AND THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. HE IS NOT SURE WHAT CHIPLEY HAS FOR THAT EXCEPTION. HE WANTED TO BRING THIS TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED ONE OF THE PROPOSED BUILDINGS WILL BE SETTING ON ASPHALT; IT WILL BE THE SAME AMOUNT OF WATER COMING OFF.

MR. SCHLENKER EXPLAINED THER WILL BE A CURVED GUTTER ALL THE WAY AROUND THE ROOF; YOU WON'T SEE IT BECAUSE THE ROOF WILL BE SLANTED TO THE EAST SO THE WATER FLOWS THAT WAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS THE JOB FOR THE ENGINEERS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED IF THERE WILL BE A COVERED WALKWAY TO THE DIALYSIS CENTER. MR. SCHLENKER SAID THERE WOULD BE A COVERED DRIVE THROUGH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MR. SCHLENKER HAVING THE WHOLE PLACE NOW; ALL THEY WOULD BE DOING IS GIVING HIM THE ACTUAL GROUND THEY PUT THE BUILDING ON AND THE SPACE THEY WILL BE UTILIZING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING THE BOARD WOULD BE OBLIGATING THEMSELVES OR THE COUNTY TO ANY KIND OF LOAN; THAT IS THE IMPORTANT PART. WITH RESPECT TO THE AGREEMENT FOR USE, HE DOESN'T THINK THAT IS GOING TO BE A LARGE HURDLE TO OVERCOME. OBVIOUSLY, THE BANK IS GOING TO NEED SOME DOCUMENTATION FOR WHAT THE COUNTY IS AGREEING TO. BUT, THAT IS SOMETHING THE HOSPITAL'S ATTORNEY AND HE CAN WORK THROUGH THOSE ISSUES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE BOARD COULD GIVE THE ATTORNEY PERMISSION TO WORK WITH MR. SCHLENKER AND HIS ATTORNEY TO GET THAT DONE PROVIDING APPROVAL ON THE CONSENT AGENDA THIS MONTH. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND WAS IN AGREEMENT WITH WHAT ABBOTT HAD SUGGESTED.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF THEY WOULD WANT TO PUT IT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA; THEY COULD WORK IT OUT BUT THEY PROBABLY NEED TO LEAVE IT ON THE AGENDA AND DO IT THEN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIM HOPING ONE OF THESE PROPOSED FACILITIES WOULD BE AN ORTHOPEDIC FACILITY AS IT IS BADLY NEEDED IN THIS COUNTY. MR. SCHLENKER AGREED AND SAID THAT IS ON THE LIST OF PHYSICIANS THEY NEED.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ASKED IF SCHLENKER'S REQUEST WAS STILL GOING TO BE ON THE AGENDA FOR THE JULY BOARD MEETING. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHATEVER THE ATTORNEYS AND MR. SCHLENKER WORKS OUT, HE WOULD LIKE FOR IT TO COME BACK BEFORE THE BOARD AND DISCUSS IT INSTEAD OF JUST READING ABOUT IT AND MAKING UP THEIR MINDS ON IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THE WAY HE UNDERSTOOD WHERE THE BOARD LEFT IT WAS FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE FOOTPRINT, OBVIOUSLY THERE IS GOING TO NEED TO BE BOARD APPROVAL TO GO THROUGH WITH THAT. HE UNDERSTOOD THE BOARD WAS GOING TO LEAVE THIS ON THE AGENDA FOR APPROVAL AT THEIR NEXT MEETING.

HEATHER FINCH, HR DIRECTOR, ADDRESSED A LETTER IN THE BOARD'S PACKET THAT DETAILS A JOB RECLASSIFICATION; RETITLING A POSITION SOMEONE IS CURRENTLY FILLING FROM INMATE SUPERVISOR TO BUILDING MAINTENANCE ASSISTANT. THAT PERSON IS THE PERSON THAT CLEANS AND TAKES CARE OF THE COUNTY FACILITIES CLEANLINESS. THEY HAVE REDONE THE JOB DESCRIPTION WITH MR. JOYNER AND MR. PETTIS GOING OVER THIS TO MAKE SURE THIS FITS OUR NEEDS. HER GOAL IS FOR THE BOARD TO APPROVE OF RECLASSIFYING THAT JOB; THERE IS NO MONEY CHANGES OR ANYTHING. THERE IS A PERSON CURRENTLY FILLING THE JOB; IT WOULD JUST BE CALLING THEM SOMETHING DIFFERENT AND ELIMINATING THE INMATE SUPERVISOR. SHE SAID THERE WAS A LETTER AND A JOB DESCRIPTION IN THE BOARD'S PACKET. SHE EXPLAINED THE COUNTY WAS CONTRACTED AND STATUTORILLY REQUIRED TO CLEAN SOME BUILDINGS AND PROVIDE SERVICES FOR SOME FOLKS. THOSE FOLKS HAVE A LOT OF CONFIDENTIAL THINGS IN THEIR OFFICE AND HAVE VOICED SOME CONCERN OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS ABOUT HAVING PEOPLE IN THE OFFICE THEY HAD RATHER NOT BE THERE. ONE OF THE THINGS THEY HAVE DONE IS ELIMINATED THOSE PEOPLE FROM COMING IN THERE. IT ALLOWS US, WHEN THAT PERSON GETS DONE CLEANING AND THEY HAVE SOME FREE TIME, THEY ARE TRYING TO DO SOME CROSS TRAINING IN ALL OF THEIR DEPARTMENTS, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO CROSS TRAIN THIS PERSON. HOPEFULLY THIS PERSON WILL BE ABLE TO HELP OUT A LITTLE MORE WITH THE CLERICAL NEEDS OF THE BUILDING MAINTENANCE DEPARTMENT AND HELP WITH SOME OTHER DEPARTMENTS IF THEY HAVE TIME AS THE JOB DESCRIPTION ALLOWS FOR THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY ARE NOT VOTING TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT THIS TODAY AND HE WOULDN'T WANT TO PUT IT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. HE DOES HAVE SOME QUESTIONS. HE HAS HEARD SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS AND HE THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO WAIT UNTIL THE BUDGETING PROCESS NEXT YEAR BEFORE THEY DONE ANYTHING. THIS BOARD IS NOT REALLY SURE WHERE THEY ARE AT AS FAR AS THE STAFF; PERHAPS FUTURE CUTBACKS AND TO PUT SOMEONE PERMANENTLY ON THE JOB AT THIS POINT IN TIME ON A PERMANENT BASIS, CHANGING THE JOB TO RECLASSIFY THE INDIVIDUAL, HE

THINKS THAT IS NOT APPROPRIATE AT THIS TIME.

HEATHER STATED THEY ALL UNDERSTAND THE BOARD'S BUDGET; BUT, THIS JOB HAS TO BE DONE. IT HAS TO BE DONE RIGHT NOW WHETHER THEY ARE TITLED SOMETHING DIFFERENT. IF THERE IS A LAY OFF OR REDUCTION IN FORCE, CHANGING THE TITLE IS NOT GOING TO MATTER. THEY WILL BE LAID OFF NO MATTER WHAT; WHETHER THEY ARE CALLED EMPLOYEE I OR BUILDING MAINTENANCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE JOB IS BEING DONE. HEATHER AGREED STATING THEY JUST WANTED TO CALL IT SOMETHING DIFFERENT SO THEY WOULD HAVE A BETTER JOB DESCRIPTION. IT NEEDS TO BE A BETTER JOB DESCRIPTION; THEY HAVE SOME ISSUES WITH THE TITLE OF IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHAT WERE THE ISSUES. HEATHER EXPLAINED THE TITLE OF IT BEING INMATE SUPERVISOR AND THE JOB DESCRIPTION; THE JOB DESCRIPTION DOESN'T HAVE ENOUGH IN IT TO PROTECT THE COUNTY FROM WORKERS COMP ISSUES. THERE IS NOT ENOUGH IN THE INMATE SUPERVISOR JOB DESCRIPTION FOR THAT PARTICULAR POSITION. AS FAR AS THE SAFETY AND PHYSICAL REQUIREMENTS OF THAT JOB, IT IS NOT IN THE JOB DESCRIPTION SO SHE HAS REWRITTEN IT TO INCLUDE ALL THAT STUFF SHOULD THEY ENCOUNTER A WORKERS COMP SITUATION WITH IT, SHE HAS A MUCH BETTER JOB DESCRIPTION TO PRESENT TO THE DOCTOR TO SAY WHETHER OR NOT THIS PERSON CAN OR CANNOT DO THE JOB. THE CURRENT JOB DESCRIPTION DOES NOT GIVE THEM THAT OPPORTUNITY. WE HAVE HAD A SITUATION WITH THAT AND THAT IS ANOTHER REASON WHY THIS JOB DESCRIPTION HAS BEEN REWRITTEN. THE EMPLOYEE WILL SIGN OFF SAYING THEY CAN PERFORM THESE DUTIES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS POINT WAS HE UNDERSTOOD THEY WERE GOING TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN WHEN THEY WENT FORWARD TO THE NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET. RIGHT NOW THIS IS AN OPS POSITION THAT IS BEING FILLED BY A PART TIME EMPLOYEE. HE ASKED THAT SHE CORRECT HIM IF HE IS WRONG.

HEATHER SAID IT IS NOT TEMPORARY; THEY HAVE A PERSON IN THE JOB DOING THE JOB FULL TIME.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT PERSON HAS NOT BEEN MADE

PERMANENT. HEATHER SAID "YES." SHE EXPLAINED THEY NEED TO UNDERSTAND EVERY EMPLOYEE HAS; THERE IS REALLY NOT MUCH OF A REASON TO KEEP THEM TEMPORARY BECAUSE IF A LAYOFF HAPPENS OR A REDUCTION IN WORKFORCE HAPPENS, THAT PERSON WILL GO THE SAME AS SHE WILL GO OR ANYONE ELSE WILL GO AND IT IS NOT GOING TO CHANGE. THEY STILL NEEDED THE JOB DONE KEEPING IT TEMPORARY OR NOT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED AT WHAT POINT DID THAT HAPPEN. HE ADDRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING IS THEY HAD A PART TIME EMPLOYEE WORKING FEMA AT ROAD AND BRIDGE; THAT JOB WAS ENDING. THEY TOOK THAT INDIVIDUAL, THEY HAD A CLEANING NEED, THEY TOOK THAT PERSON TO CHIPLEY TO FULFILL THE CLEANING NEED. HE ASKED AGAIN AT WHAT POINT DID THAT PERSON BECOME PERMANENT EMPLOYEE.

HEATHER STATED WHEN THE EMPLOYEE CAME TO THE ANNEX A MONTH OR SO AGO. THIS EMPLOYEE IS JUST FILLING A VACANCY THAT EXISTED; IT WAS AN INTERNAL TRANSFER. THE BUDGET WAS THERE FOR THAT POSITION. THAT WAS A PERMANENT POSITION THE PREVIOUS EMPLOYEE HELD SO THEY JUST MOVED THE PERSON INTERNAL TO THAT POSITION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR HIS OWN UNDERSTANDING, SAID THAT WASN'T A PERMANENT EMPLOYEE WHEN THEY WERE WORKING TEMPORARY IN THE FEMA PROJECT.

HEATHER AGREED IT WASN'T A PERMANENT POSITION WHEN THIS PERSON WAS WORKING IN THE FEMA PROJECT. SHE EXPLAINED THE DIVISION OF RETIREMENT ONLY ALLOWS YOU TO HAVE A PERSON TEMPORARY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD HEATHER THIS WASN'T ABOUT RETIREMENT.

HEATHER DISAGREED AND CONTINUED EXPLAINING YOU CAN'T HAVE A PERSON IN A TEMPORARY POSITION MORE THAN SIX MONTHS AND THIS PERSON HAD ALREADY BEEN UP HERE FOR FOUR MONTHS IN A TEMPORARY CAPACITY. THE ONLY WAY YOU CAN HAVE A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE UNDER THE FLORIDA RETIREMENT SYSTEM, EVERY SINGLE ONE OF US IS REPORTED TO THE DIVISION OF RETIREMENT REGARDLESS OF WHERE WE ARE PAID OR NOT AND NO MATTER IF WE ARE TEMPORARY OR NOT. THERE IS ONLY TWO WAYS YOU CAN HAVE A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE; (1) FOR LESS THAN SIX MONTHS AND (2) UNDER A

FEMA DECLARATION. THIS EMPLOYEE'S FEMA DECLARATION COULDN'T BE USED UP HERE FOR THE CLEANING. THEY MADE THE EMPLOYEE PERMANENT BECAUSE THE FRS DOESN'T ALLOW YOU TO HAVE SOMEBODY THAT LONG IN A TEMPORARY CAPACITY UNLESS THEY ARE A SUBSTITUTE TEACHER OR SOMEBODY THAT CAN REFUSE TO COME TO WORK. THEY WOULD HAVE TO CALL HER EVERY MORNING AND ASK IF SHE COULD COME CLEAN TODAY. THE EMPLOYEE WOULD SAY MAYBE; SHE HAS A RIGHT TO REFUSE. THAT IS THE ONLY WAY THEY CAN HAVE A TEMPORARY POSITION UNLESS THERE IS A FEMA DECLARATION UNDER THE FRS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. JOYNER IF HE WAS AWARE A PART TIME TEMPORARY PERSON WAS MADE A FULL TIME AND GIVEN THEM A POSITION; ABBOTT SAID HE WASN'T AWARE OF THAT.

MR. JOYNER ADDRESSED THE POSITION WAS THERE AND THE COUNTY WAS OBLIGATED TO FILL THE CONTRACT THEY HAD WITH SOME OF THESE OTHER BUILDINGS THEY HAVE TO CLEAN. THIS HAD TO BE ADDRESSED IN SOME WAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THOUGHT THEY WERE ADDRESSING THE CLEANING ISSUES WITH A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE TRANSFERRED OUT OF ONE DEPARTMENT INTO ANOTHER DEPARTMENT. HE REITERATED HE WASN'T AWARE THEY HAD GIVEN THIS PERSON THAT TITLE, THAT POSITION WITHOUT ADVERTISING, ETC. AND ACTUALLY DETERMINE WHAT THIS BOARD WANTED TO DO ABOUT IT. HE STATED HE WAS TOTALLY UNAWARE OF IT.

HEATHER TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THE POSITION WAS ADVERTISED INTERNALLY; THE POLICY SAYS PRIOR TO FILLING OF A POSITION AND OFFERING IT OUT TO THE COMMUNITY, THEY ADVERTISE IT FIVE DAYS INTERNALLY TO SEE IF ANYONE IS INTERESTED.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THE WAY HE LOOKS AT IT IS COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS WANTING A GRADER OPERATOR AND INMATE SUPERVISOR. THEY CAN JUST CHANGE THE NAME TITLE AND HIRE SOMEBODY ALTHOUGH THEY HAVE A HIRING FREEZE GOING ON.

HEATHER SAID THEY DIDN'T FILL THE POSITION EXTERNALLY; THEY DIDN'T ADD TO THE BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID FILLING THE GRADER OPERATOR AND INMATE SUPERVISOR POSITIONS WOULDN'T BE ADDING TO THE BUDGET EITHER.

THE PERSON THAT WAS IN THE INMATE SUPERVISOR POSITION LEFT AND COMMISSIONER BROCK'S GRADER OPERATOR RETIRED SO THE MONEY IS THERE. THEY WOULDN'T BE CREATING ANOTHER JOB FOR NOBODY. THE BUDGET IS THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE BUDGET IS THERE POSSIBLY THROUGH DECEMBER 1ST; AFTER THAT, ALL BETS ARE OFF.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED REALLY IT IS OCTOBER 1ST WHEN THE NEW BUDGET COMES IN AFFECT; ANYTHING AFTER OCTOBER 1ST IS DIFFERENT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED HOW MANY OF THE BOARD WAS GOING TO START COMING UP HERE AND CLEANING OFFICES. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID ANYBODY THAT IS ASKED TO, HE THINKS WOULD. ABBOTT REITERATED HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE INDIVIDUAL AND HE THINKS SHE IS A SUPER EMPLOYEE; THE CLEANING LEVEL HAS COME UP AND HE CAN'T SAY ENOUGH GOOD ABOUT THE INDIVIDUAL AND THE JOB SHE HAS DONE VERSUS WHAT THEY HAD. HIS PROBLEM IS CLASSIFYING PEOPLE IN SPECIFIC JOBS WHEN THEY WERE NOT HIRED INTO A PERMANENT FULL TIME JOB WHEN THEY WAS WORKING FEMA AT ROAD AND BRIDGE. HE WAS TOTALLY AWARE OF WHO WAS COMING AND THE JOB THEY WAS GOING TO BE DOING; JOB CLASSIFICATIONS HE WAS NOT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THIS EMPLOYEE HAD NO CHOICE IF SHE WANTED TO CONTINUE EMPLOYMENT TO COME DOWN HERE AND WORK IN THAT JOB OR SHE COULD GO HOME BECAUSE THE FEMA JOB IS GONE.

COMMISSIONER PATE DIDN'T FEEL THE EMPLOYEE WAS AT THE ROOT OF THIS.

HEATHER EXPLAINED THIS HAS BEEN DONE IN THE PAST IN PLACING A TEMPORARY PERSON INTO A FULL TIME POSITION. THERE ARE PLENTY OF PEOPLE WORKING IN THE COUNTY NOW WHO WERE TEMPORARILY HIRED AND THEY WERE MOVED INTO A FULL TIME POSITION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WHEN THE BOARD TOOK THE HIRING FREEZE OFF, AT THAT POINT THAT PERSON COULD HAVE APPLIED FOR A FULL TIME JOB; BUT, NOT UNTIL THEN. THAT IS NOT THE WAY IT WORKS HERE. IF YOU HAVE AN OPEN POSITION AND THE BOARD HAS A HIRING FREEZE, YOU DON'T FILL THAT JOB PERMANENTLY.

HEATHER SAID IT WAS INTERPRETED AS AN INTERNAL TRANSFER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE JOB WAS NOT FILLED; YOU CAN NOT FILL IT PERMANENTLY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HEATHER WAS PARTLY RIGHT AND COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WAS PARTLY RIGHT. HIS IDEA WAS THEY WERE CHANGING THE JOB CLASSIFICATION FOR THE FUNDING BECAUSE THE FUNDING OUT THERE IS AN INMATE SUPERVISOR. THAT IS SOMETHING THAT IS GOING TO GO AWAY ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. HE SAID HE DIDN'T RECKON IT MATTERED IF THIS EMPLOYEE IS FULL TIME OR PART TIME FROM NOW TO OCTOBER 1ST; BUT, THE NEXT TWO AND A HALF MONTHS, THERE IS GOING TO BE SOME REAL SERIOUS THINGS DONE BEFORE THE BUDGET GETS SQUARED AWAY. THEY HAVE NO IDEA, WHO, WHY, HOW OR WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN YET.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED HE DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM RECLASSIFYING THE JOB TODAY, TOMORROW, NEXT WEEK OR NEXT MONTH; BUT, HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THIS JOB WAS NOT FILLED BECAUSE THEY HAD A HIRING FREEZE. NOW ALL OF A SUDDEN HE IS UNDERSTANDING MS. JOANN IS IN THAT JOB PERMANENTLY; THAT IS WHERE THE MISUNDERSTANDING IS. SHE WAS NOT A FULL TIME EMPLOYEE TO BE ABLE TO FILL A PERMANENT JOB BECAUSE THE BOARD HAD A HIRING FREEZE ON; NOW, HE IS UNDERSTANDING THEY HAVE FILLED THIS POSITION DURING THE HIRING FREEZE.

HEATHER EXPLAINED ALL SHE KNOWS IS THEY VIEWED IT AS AN INTERNAL TRANSFER; THE SAME AS THEY VIEWED THE GRADER OPERATOR, MOVING A PERSON INTO THAT. SHE KNOWS THAT PERSON THAT MOVED IN THERE WAS FULL TIME. SHE DIDN'T FEEL THEY HAD MUCH OF A CHOICE WITH THE DIVISION OF RETIREMENT RULES; THEY WOULD HAVE NOT TO HAD CLEANING OR THEY WOULD HAVE HAD TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD TO ASK THEM TO LIFT THE HIRING FREEZE AND HIRE SOMEBODY FROM THE STREET TO FULFILL THAT OBLIGATION FOR A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD HEATHER SHE WAS MISSING HIS POINT. HEATHER SAID SHE DID UNDERSTAND HIS POINT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD HER SHE WAS CIRCUMVENTING THE POINT; THE BOARD HAD A HIRING FREEZE AND SHE FILLED THE JOB. THAT IS WHAT

HE IS UPSET ABOUT. BOTTOM LINE, THE BOARD HAD A HIRING FREEZE AND SHE FILLED THE JOB. HE DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM MOVING ANYBODY INTERNALLY THEY NEED TO MOVE TO KEEP FUNCTIONING; BUT, HEATHER FILLED A JOB WITH AN OUTSIDE EMPLOYEE WITH AN OUTSIDE PERSON WHEN THEY HAD A HIRING FREEZE. THAT IS WHAT HE IS UPSET ABOUT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED HOW LONG JOANN WORKED ON THE FEMA PROJECT. HEATHER ADVISED JOANN WORKED ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF WITH FEMA AND WORKED AT THE COUNTY ANNEX FOR THREE OR FOUR MONTHS DOING THE CLEANING. THERE WAS A BUSINESS NEED THAT HAD TO BE FILLED AND THAT IS WHY THEY DID WHAT THEY DID.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY FILL IT; BUT, THEY DON'T HIRE EMPLOYEES AND GIVE THEM A FULL TIME JOB WHEN THEY ARE TEMPORARY.

HEATHER ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WHAT WAS SHE SUPPOSE TO DO. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED THE BOARD HAD A HIRING FREEZE. SHE WAS NOT TO VIOLATE WHAT THIS BOARD HAS ASKED HER TO DO. IF ANYBODY SHOULD KNOW THAT, SHE SHOULD.

HEATHER SAID SHE HAD PERMISSION TO DO WHAT SHE DID; SHE DIDN'T JUST DO IT. THEY HAD A BUSINESS NEED. ALL SHE WANTS TO DO IS CALL HER SOMETHING DIFFERENT; IF THEY HAVE TO OCTOBER 1ST IF THE POSITION IS NOT AVAILALBE, FIGURE OUT A WAY TO CLEAN THOSE OTHER BUILDINGS AND THE PEOPLE AT THE COUNTY ANNEX WILL CLEAN THEIR OFFICES THEMSELVES. THIS EMPLOYEE UNDERSTANDS THAT AND HEATHER SAID SHE UNDERSTANDS THAT; WE ALL UNDERSTAND THE STRAIN THE BOARD IS UNDER. BUT, THEY HAD A BUSINESS NECESSITY THEY HAD TO ADDRESS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID AGAINST THE BOARD'S WISHES AND THE BOARD'S RULES.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER AND HEATHER TO GET TOGETHER AND WORK THIS OUT; IF MR. ABBOTT WANTS TO BE INVOLVED IN IT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DOES WANT TO BE INVOLVED IN IT VERY MUCH.

HEATHER ADDRESSED THEY POSTED THE POSITION AND SHE GUESSED HER CONCERN IS SHE IS STANDING BEFORE THE BOARD BEING FUSSED AT FOR

SOMETHING; IT WAS ADVERTISED, IT WAS OUT THERE AND EVERYONE SAW IT. SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHY THIS IS BEING QUESTIONED NOW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT STARTED AND HE COULD SEE IT COMING AND THERE IS OTHER POSITIONS THAT ARE VERY VITAL; CLEANING IS JUST AS IMPORTANT AS AN ADMINISTRATOR. YOU HAVE TO HAVE IT ALL AS A PACKAGE. THESE OTHER ELEMENTS STARTED THAT IS VERY VITAL. YOU GET OUT IN THE COMMUNITIES AND YOU ALREADY SEE IT HAPPENING; THE GRASS IS WAIST HIGH AND NOBODY IS THERE TO CUT IT. WE CALL IT INMATE SUPERVISOR IN THE RURAL AREAS AND HE HAS ROADS AFTER ROADS THAT ARE GETTING UNATTENDED AND IT IS TERRIBLE; IT LOOKS BAD. HE SAID THE BOARD HAS TO ADDRESS THIS; THEY CAN'T LET IT GO AWAY BECAUSE IT IS NOT GOING AWAY. THESE POSITIONS IS JUST AS IMPORTANT. HE HAS PROBLEMS DOING AWAY WITH SOMETHING WHEN THEY HAVE THE MONEY THERE TO WORK WITH. UNTIL THE MONEY RUNS OUT, WHICH THEY BUDGETED UNTIL OCTOBER AND OCTOBER HASN'T GOTTEN HERE, AND THE BOARD IS DOING AWAY WITH POSITIONS AND NOT HIRING BACK NONE. THERE IS ABOUT THIRTEEN POSITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN ELIMINATED IN THIS COUNTY AND SOME OF THEM ARE VITAL.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THAT MAY BE THE REASON WHY THEY HAVE GOT MONEY TO OPERATE ON UNTIL OCTOBER 1ST. COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED THIS MONEY WAS BUDGETED IN EMPLOYEE SALARIES; THERE ARE SEVERAL POSITIONS IN THIS COUNTY THAT IS NOT FILLED THERE IS MONEY THERE FOR UNTIL OCTOBER 1ST. THEY WILL DEAL WITH THAT WHEN OCTOBER 1ST GETS HERE; BUT, HE IS HAVING A PROBLEM DOING AWAY WITH THINGS BEFORE IT GETS THERE. SOMETHING MIGHT HAPPEN BEFORE IT GETS THERE. THEY MAY GET A BUDGET BALANCED ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. THAT IS TO DEAL WITH WHEN IT GETS HERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED AGAIN HE DIDN'T QUESTION TO RECLASSIFY THE POSITION ITSELF; BUT, HE DOES QUESTION THE JOB BEING FILLED PERMANENTLY.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED ABBOTT IF HE WOULD HAVE A PROBLEM IF JOANN WAS MOVED BACK DOWN TO TEMPORARY OR HOW ARE WE GOING TO HANDLE THIS CLEANING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED HE THOUGHT JOANN WAS A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE; HE NEVER KNEW THEY HAD HIRED ANOTHER EMPLOYEE SINCE THEY HAD A HIRING FREEZE. HE WASN'T AWARE THAT EVER HAPPENED AND HE IS UNDERSTANDING NOW THAT SHE IS; HEATHER HAS VIOLATED THIS BOARD'S NON HIRING FREEZE IF THAT IS THE CASE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN A MISUNDERSTANDING OF WHAT WAS GOING ON. MR. JOYNER SAID THEY WOULD GET TO WORK ON RESOLVING THIS ISSUE.

JESSE SASSER ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING HE COULD HELP THE BOARD WITH. HE THEN ASKED IF HE COULD COMMENT ON THE LAST ISSUE. CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD HIM "NO SIR." THIS IS THE BOARD'S WORKSHOP.

MR. SASSER STATED HE WAS HERE TODAY TO MAKE SURE HE REMAINS ON THE AGENDA TO MAKE A PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD AT THEIR REGULARLY SCHEDULED BOARD MEETING ON JULY 28TH AT EBRO CITY HALL. CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED MR. SASSER IF HE HAD HIS PRESENTATION READY WITH MR. SASSER ADVISING HE DIDN'T HAVE IT READY.

MR. SASSER ASKED WHY WOULD HE WANT TO PRESENT SOMETHING TWICE. CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD HIM IT WAS UP TO HIM; IT WAS INFORMATIONAL.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF HE WANTED TO HAVE DISCUSSION THIS MORNING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WAS EXCITED TO SEE MR. SASSER'S PRESENTATION. TO ANSWER MR. SASSER'S QUESTION, A LOT OF TIMES THE BOARD ASKS IF THEY CAN SEE SOMETHING BEFORE HAND. IF THEY ARE GOING TO TAKE ACTION ON SOMETHING, THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO TAKE ACTION ON SOMETHING, THEY WOULD LIKE TO SEE WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO TAKE ACTION ON OR BEING REQUESTED TO TAKE ACTION ON. USUALLY IF YOU ARE AN AGENDAED ITEM, ESPECIALLY IF YOU ARE NOT A COUNTY EMPLOYEE, ETC., THE BOARD WILL REQUEST IF YOU HAVE SOMETHING THAT YOU WANT THEM TO LOOK AT BEFORE HAND AND TAKE TIME TO REVIEW, THEY CERTAINLY AFFORD THE PERSON THAT OPPORTUNITY IF THEY ARE GOING TO ASK THE BOARD TO DO SOMETHING AT THE BOARD MEETING.

MR. SASSER SAID THE CONCERN HE HAS ABOUT THAT IS THE COMMUNITY

DOESN'T HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO COME TO TWO BOARD MEETINGS TO DETERMINE WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE ISSUES THEY ARE CONCERNED ABOUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD MR. SASSER CERTAINLY WHAT HE IS GOING TO PRESENT AT THE BOARD MEETING, HE WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT PURSUANT TO THE AGENDA SO THE COMMUNITY AND THE PUBLIC AND WHOMEVER IS PRESENT WILL BE ABLE TO HEAR IT. THE MAJORITY OF PEOPLE WHO COME BEFORE THE BOARD WHO ARE ON THE AGENDA WHO ARE NOT COUNTY EMPLOYEES OR WORK FOR THE COUNTY WILL USUALLY PRESENT SOMETHING TO THE BOARD BEFORE THEY ARRIVE SO THE BOARD WILL HAVE A CHANCE TO REVIEW WHATEVER IT IS THEY ARE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT TO DIGEST IT AND PREPARE ANY ANSWERS VERSUS DOING IT THAT DAY. HE TOLD MR. SASSER WHATEVER HIS PREFERENCE IS WILL BE FINE.

MR. SASSER REITERATED HE DIDN'T HAVE THE PRESENTATION COMPLETED.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MR. SASSER IF ANYONE HAD TOLD HIM HE COULDN'T COME TO THE REGULARLY SCHEDULED BOARD MEETING. MR. SASSER SAID HE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE HE WAS NOT GOING TO BE PULLED. HE TRIED TO GET THE INFORMATION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE THOUGHT HE HAD MADE IT PLAIN WHAT HE NEEDED TO DO. MR. SASSER SAID HE DID MAKE IT PLAIN TO JOANN HE DIDN'T HAVE TO BE HERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED ONE REASON THEY NEED TO SEE HIS PRESENTATION IS IF THERE IS ANYTHING IN THERE THAT MAY AFFECT THE LAWSUIT THAT IS GOING ON, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO DISCUSS IT. HE IS NOT EVEN GOING TO ALLOW THE BOARD MEMBERS TO DISCUSS IT AND ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN, WITH RESPECT TO WHAT WAS SAID BY MR. PATE, SAID IF IT AFFECTS THE LAWSUIT, THE COGNIZANCE OF THAT HE THINKS MR. SASSER CERTAINLY HAS A RIGHT TO COME AND GIVE HIS PRESENTATION, WHATEVER INFORMATION IS IN THERE. WHILE THE BOARD IS SOMEWHAT RESTRICTED PURSUANT TO OUTSTANDING LITIGATION IN WHAT THEY SAY, A PRIVATE CITIZEN CAN PRETTY MUCH SAY WHAT THEY WANT TO SAY ABOUT HOW THEY FEEL ABOUT THE LAWSUIT OR ANY OTHER MATTERS. HE SAID THE BOARD WILL

BE COGNIZANT OF WHAT THEY TALK ABOUT; BUT, WITH RESPECT TO MR. SASSER'S PERCEPTION OR VIEW OF WHAT IS GOING ON DOWN AT MR. SIKE'S PIT, HE WELCOMES THE OPPORTUNITY TO HEAR IT.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID AS LONG AS THE BOARD DOESN'T GET INVOLVED WITH THE CONVERSATION.

MR. SASSER EXPLAINED HE WOULD BE MAKING A PRESENTATION OF FACTS THAT WILL BE MAYBE ADVANTAGEOUS TO COMMISSIONERS WHO MAY OR MAY NOT BE AWARE OF THE ISSUES. IT MAY REMIND THEM OF THINGS THEY HAVE PROBABLY FORGOTTEN ABOUT AND DIDN'T WANT TO KNOW ABOUT. IT MAY CAUSE THE BOARD TO START THINKING ABOUT SOME OF THE THINGS THEY NEED TO BE DOING.

CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MR. SASSER THE BOARD LOOKED FORWARD TO SEEING HIM ON JULY 28TH IN EBRO.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER GAVE HIS REPORT:

1. BONNETT POND ROAD-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE CONTRACTOR OVERLAYING THE TURNOUTS THIS MORNING AND GETTING EVERYTHING READY FOR THE MAIN LINE, WHICH WILL BE HAPPENING TOMORROW, WHICH IS THE FINAL LIFT ON THE ROAD. IT WILL PROBABLY TAKE THEM A FEW DAYS TO PUT THE FINAL LIFT DOWN; BY THE END OF THE DAY ON WEDNESDAY, THEY WILL HAVE THE FINAL CAP DOWN ON BONNETT POND ROAD. PORTER HAS DONE MOST OF THE GRASSING OUT THERE; THEY ARE FINISHING UP THE GRASSING TODAY, WHICH IS THE SEED AND MULCH. THERE WERE A FEW WASHOUTS FROM THE STORM OVER THE WEEKEND; BUT, NOTHING MAJOR. THE CONTRACTOR IS ADDRESSING THOSE TODAY AND TOMMORROW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED BONNETT POND ROAD BEING A HEAVY TRAFFIC ROAD, ESPECIALLY DUMP TRUCKS USING THE ROAD. THE ROAD RIGHT NOW IS CARRYING 180 POUNDS PRESSURE; FL-DOT SPECS IT AT 200 POUNDS PRESSURE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NOT NECESSARILY; IT DEPENDS ON THE BASE YOU HAVE AND ACTUAL LOADS YOU HAVE, ETC.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE THOUGHT CLIFF WOULD TELL THE BOARD BONNETT POND ROAD BADLY NEEDS ANOTHER 20 POUNDS OF ASPHALT TO PROTECT THE SAFETY OF THAT ROAD.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE ROAD ITSELF IS DESIGNED AS A RURAL CONNECTOR AND THE STRUCTURAL NUMBER FOR THE SUBGRADE BASE AND PAVEMENT REFLECTS THE DESIGN FOR A RURAL CONNECTOR. THE PROBLEM IS MR. BROCK HAS POINTED OUT AND IT IS AN ISSUE THAT JUST ABOUT ALL THE COUNTY'S TRUCK TRAFFIC COMING FROM THE PUBLIC WORKS YARD EXITS OUT THAT WAY WHEN THEY ARE HEADED SOUTH. THERE IS A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF TRUCK TRAFFIC AND HE THINKS WHAT COMMISSIONER BROCK IS ASKING IS TO THICKEN UP THE PAVEMENT TO BASICALLY EXTEND THE LIFE OF THE ROAD. THE ROAD IS DESIGNED AS A RURAL CONNECTOR AND AN EXTRA 20 POUNDS WOULD EXTEND THE LIFE OF THE ROAD NO DOUBT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHO FIGURED OUT THE ASPHALT MIX FOR THE SURFACE, ETC. ON BONNETT POND ROAD. CLIFF SAID HE FIGURED OUT THE ASPHALT THICKNESS ON THE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED CLIFF IF HE HAD TAKEN THE TRUCK TRAFFIC INTO CONSIDERATION WHEN HE WAS DESIGNING THE BONNETT POND ROAD. CLIFF SAID HE DID; IT IS 185 POUNDS OF SP9.5, WHICH IS WHAT IT WAS DESIGNED FOR AND IT IS DESIGNED AS A RURAL CONNECTOR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED CLIFF IF HE TOOK INTO CONSIDERATION THE DUMP TRUCKS. CLIFF SAID HE DID AND HE THINKS MR. BROCK'S POINT IS BY ADDING AN EXTRA 20 POUNDS OF ASPHALT TO THE ROADWAY, THEY WOULD EXTEND THE SURFACE LIFE OF THE ROAD, WHICH YOU WOULD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE COULD PUT 4" AND THEY COULD LAND 747'S ON IT; BUT, THAT IS NOT THE POINT. IF CLIFF TOOK IN EVERYTHING HE

WAS SUPPOSE TO AND IT WAS 185 POUNDS, THAT IS HIS DESIGN MIX AND IT SHOULD HOLD UP TO WHATEVER IS OUT THERE. HE THEN ASKED IF IT WAS TRUE ALMOST IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE CONTRACTOR STARTED PUTTING ASPHALT DOWN ON THE ROAD, THEY HAD TO START PATCHING.

CLIFF SAID IN THE WORST AREAS, THEY HAD TO PATCH. HE POINTED OUT SAND/CLAY BASE IS MUCH DIFFERENT THAN LIMEROCK BASE. FOR EXAMPLE, PUTTING IT DOWN IN TWO LIFTS LIKE THEY ARE DOING ON BONNETT POND AND RIVER ROAD GIVES THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE SURE IF THEY HAVE ANY AREAS THAT HAVE AN ISSUE, THEY SHOW THEMSELVES AND THEY CAN FIX THOSE AREAS BEFORE THEY PUT THE CAP ON. RIVER ROAD WAS THE EXACT SAME THING; THEY HAD SOME AREAS ON RIVER ROAD WHERE, BECAUSE THEY WERE HAULING FROM THE COUNTY'S PITS AND MIXING IT IN PLACE, IT WAS BASICALLY SANDY SPOTS. THEY CUT THOSE AREAS OUT AND FIXED THEM BEFORE THEY PUT THE CAP DOWN AND THE ROAD IS HOLDING UP GREAT. IF HE HAD HIS PREFERENCE, THE COUNTY WOULDN'T USE SAND/CLAY; THEY WOULD USE LIMEROCK.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT MAY BE SO; BUT, SAND/CLAY WILL WORK.

CLIFF CONTINUED SAYING IF HE HAD HIS PREFERENCE, THEY WOULD HAVE 2" OF ASPHALT ON EVERY ROAD THEY BID; BUT, HE CAME BEFORE THIS BOARD AT LEAST FOUR DIFFERENT TIMES FROM PROPOSALS TO PROPOSALS FROM CONTRACTORS AND EVERY TIME HE CAME BEFORE THE BOARD, THEY ASK HIM TO CUT IT. THE CONTRACT THE BOARD ENDED UP WITH ON THE ROAD IS AFTER AT LEAST FOUR ROUNDS OF HIM COMING BEFORE THEM TRYING TO GET SOMETHING MOVING ON IT. IT IS NOT BECAUSE THE BOARD DIDN'T WANT TO SPEND THE MONEY; IT IS BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE MONEY. IT IS A MATTER OF WHAT CAN WE DO TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT AND MAKE THEIR BUDGET WORK; IT WASN'T A WISH LIST FOR HIM AT ALL. IF HE HAD HIS WISH LIST, THEY WOULD HAVE LIMEROCK BASE OUT THERE RIGHT NOW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HOW LONG HAD THEY BEEN WORKING ON BONNETT POND. COMMISSIONER PATE ADVISED ABOUT FIVE OR SIX YEARS.

CLIFF EXPLAINED \$450,000 WAS THE ORIGINAL GRANT AMOUNT ON THE JOB; FL-DOT CUT IT THE SAME TIME THEY CUT MR. PATE'S BAHOMA ROAD

PROJECT, AFTER THE COUNTY HAD THE AWARD AND THEY WERE WORKING ON THE PLANS AND GETTING READY TO GO OUT TO BID, ETC. HE SAID RIVER ROAD, BONNETT POND ROAD AND BAHOMA ROAD ALL THREE HAVE BEEN A DISASTER FROM THE FUNDING SIDE. THE COUNTY IS TRYING TO MAKE IT TO THE FINISH LINE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED CLIFF IF HE KNOWS WHAT KIND OF TRAFFIC THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE ON BONNETT POND ROAD AND WHAT IS THE LIFE EXPECTANCY OF THE ROAD. CLIFF SAID BEFORE THE ROAD NEEDS ANY OVERLAYS SOMEWHERE AROUND FIFTEEN YEARS. HE TOLD THE BOARD THE CONTRACTOR WOULD BASICALLY OWN THE ROAD FOR THE FIRST YEAR; AFTER THAT, HE WILL TURN IT OVER TO THE COUNTY AND THE COUNTY WILL OWN IT FROM THERE ON. FOR MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP, THERE IS A ONE YEAR GUARANTEE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED CLIFF WHEN THEY GET DONE WITH THE BONNETT POND PROJECT AS IS, THE COUNTY WILL HAVE A FIFTEEN YEAR LIFE EXPECTANCY ON THE ROAD. CLIFF ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEREABOUTS; IT DEPENDS ON THE INCREASE OF TRAFFIC.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID ALL HE WAS SAYING TO THE BOARD IS THEY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY RIGHT NOW TO BUILD A BETTER ROAD FOR THE FUTURE THAT SOMEBODY IS GOING TO HAVE TO DEAL WITH. THEY ARE LAYING THE CAP ON BONNETT POND ROAD TOMMORROW AND THAT IS WHY HE BROUGHT THIS TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION; THEY CAN GET A LONGER LIFE EXPECTANCY OUT OF THAT ROAD IF THEY WILL ADD AN ADDITIONAL 20 POUNDS NOW.

CLIFF SAID THEY FIGURED TO DO AN ADDITIONAL 20 POUNDS ON THE ENTIRE ROAD, IT WOULD COST ABOUT \$30,000. THIS WOULD BE A TINY BIT UNDER 2" OF ASPHALT FOR AN EXTRA \$30,000.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF THE ROAD WAS DESIGNED FOR 185 POUNDS, THAT WISH LIST, THAT \$30,000 COULD BE SPENT SOMEWHERE ELSE JUST AS EASILY. HE COULD TURN AROUND AND SPEND IT ON BAHOMA ROAD; BUT, THEY DON'T HEAR HIM ASKING FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CLIFF IF HE TOOK INTO CONSIDERATION THE TWO BARROW PITS THAT ARE IN BETWEEN THAT ROAD; ROCHE ROAD AND MUDHILL

ROAD. THEY ARE THREE TO FOUR MILES APART.

CLIFF SAID REALLY WHAT THEY LOOKED AT WAS THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE ROAD WHEN THEY DESIGNED IT; THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE ROAD IS A RURAL CONNECTOR. UNFORTUNATELY, TRYING TO GUESS THE TRAFFIC LOADING FROM THE PITS AND THE COUNTY YARD IS PRETTY DIFFICULT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID TODD BARFIELD WOULD TELL THE BOARD HE HAS HAD TRUCKS RUNNING BOTH WAYS HAULING SAND AND GOING BACK WITH A LOAD, VICE VERSA TO EACH PIT. THE ROAD IS GOING TO TAKE A POUNDING WITH THE DUMPTRUCKS TO LOAD IT; IT IS GOING TO BE ONE OF THOSE ROADS USED, AS FAR AS WEIGHT CONDITIONS, MORE THAN AVERAGE.

CLIFF SAID ANOTHER THING IS IF THE COUNTY HAS ANOTHER FEMA DECLARATION AND THEY START HAULING OUT OF THOSE PITS AGAIN, IT CHANGES THE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC ON THE ROAD. THERE IS NO TELLING HOW MANY LOADS HAVE BEEN HAULED FROM MUDHILL IN THIS FEMA DECLARATION. HE WOULD LOVE TO SEE THE BOARD ADD AN EXTRA 20 POUNDS TO THE ROAD; HE THINKS IT WOULD BE VERY USEFUL FOR THEM AND IT WOULD EXTEND THE LIFE OF THE ROAD. THAT IS FOR THE BOARD TO DECIDE NOT HIM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WOULDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH ADDING THE ADDITIONAL 20 POUNDS OF ASPHALT TO BONNETT POND ROAD; BUT, HE DOESN'T KNOW ABOUT THE MONEY.

2. EQUESTRIAN CENTER-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON 814 SAND WORKING ON THE POND AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER NOW; THEY ARE GOING TO TRY AND POUR THE FLUME TODAY OR TOMMORROW. THAT WILL TIE THE SWALE INTO THE POND AND THEY WON'T HAVE THAT MUCH MORE TO DO OUT THERE.

3. FEMA MITIGATION PROJECTS-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON CHRIS MEETING WITH THE STATE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT FOLKS AND THEY HAVE ALL THE PW'S AND MITIGATION TOTALS WORKED OUT. THEY EXPECT TO GET SOME PAPERWORK BACK FROM THE STATE SOON SO THEY CAN HOPEFULLY MOVE FORWARD AND AWARD THE CONTRACT FOR THE FEMA HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECTS.

4. DORCH CIRCLE-CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKING HIM TO LOOK AT THIS PROJECT. HE PASSED PICTURES AROUND ON THE PROJECT. THE RECYCLED ASPHALT ROAD HAS BEEN PATCHED, PATCHED AND PATCHED. IT IS AN ISSUE THEY FACE ALL THE TIME; HOW DO YOU MAINTAIN A RECYCLED ASPHALT ROAD. IT IS VERY DIFFICULT AS YOU END UP WITH PATCHES ON TOP OF PATCHES AND AT SOME POINT IT GETS TO THE POINT THE USERS OF THE ROAD ARE NOT HAPPY. HE THINKS THAT IS WHERE COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND IS AT. HE POINTED OUT COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HAD A SIMILAR SITUATION THEY HAD LOOKED AT. ONE OF THE ANSWERS MIGHT BE TO TURN IT BACK INTO A DIRT ROAD AND TAKE A RECLAIMER AND RECLAIM THAT RECYCLED ASPHALT WITH THE CLAY THAT IS THERE NOW, WET IT AND PACK IT. UNFORTUNATELY DORCH CIRCLE HAS SOME OTHER ISSUES AS WELL; ABOUT HALF A MILE OF THE ROAD IS 14' WIDE AND THEN IT GOES DOWN TO ABOUT 10' WIDE AND THEN IT GOES BACK TO 12' AND THEN BACK TO 14.' IF THEY WERE TO PAVE THE ROAD, THEY COULDN'T PAVE IT AS A TWO LANE ROAD; IT WOULD HAVE TO BE PAVED AS A ONE WAY ROAD. THE COST TO PUT ABOUT 1 1/4" OF ASPHALT ON TOP OF WHAT WAS THERE NOW WOULD BE ABOUT \$51,000. IT WOULD NOT PROVIDE A LONG TERM GREAT PUBLIC ROAD; IT WOULD BE BASICALLY A PATCH JOB. WHAT HE SUGGESTED TO COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND IS TO RECLAIM THE WHOLE ROAD TO ABOUT 12" DEEP, WET IT, PACK IT AND THEN IF THEY WANT TO TRY AND PAVE SOMETHING OVER THE TOP OF THAT, THEY WILL BE IN A POSITION TO PUT DOWN PAVEMENT. UNTIL YOU HAVE A UNIFORM BASE AND REALLY A UNIFORM WIDTH, IT IS PROBABLY NOT WORTH GOING AND PAVING OVER THE TOP OF WHAT THEY HAVE OUT THERE NOW.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED SOMETHING THAT REALLY CONCERNS HIM, AND HE KNOWS HAYES LANE IS ONE AND CUTCHINS MILL ROAD OFF OF SEWELL FARM ROAD, WHEN THEY PUT THIS MILLED ASPHALT ON THEM AND ACTUALLY THE PROPERTY OWNER IS PAYING FOR THIS MILLED ASPHALT, THEN THE COUNTY ACCEPTS IT AND SAYS THEY WILL DO MAINTENANCE ON IT AND IT TURNS INTO THIS. IT IS PITIFUL AT BEST. ALL YOU CAN DO IS PATCH, PATCH, PATCH; IT IS NOT REALLY EVEN DRIVABLE TO A LARGE EXTENT AND

SOME OF THEM HAVE SOME PRETTY GOOD TRAFFIC ON THEM.

CLIFF POINTED OUT LEISURE LAKES WAS ONE OF THE ROADS THEY DID WITH RECYCLED ASPHALT THAT HAS TREMENDOUS TRAFFIC ON IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE COUNTY IS GOING TO BE IN TROUBLE HERE ONE DAY. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY WERE ALREADY IN TROUBLE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF MR. JOYNER WANTED TO ADDRESS THIS OR DOES HE WANT HIM TO. MR. JOYNER COMMENTED THIS ISN'T THE ONLY ROAD IN THE COUNTY LIKE THIS; THERE ARE MILES OF ROADS LIKE THIS IN THE COUNTY. THE BOARD NEEDS TO COME UP WITH SOME KIND OF PROGRAM TO ADDRESS THIS BECAUSE IT IS EXPENSIVE TO PATCH IT AND IT COMPOUNDS THINGS WHEN YOU START PATCHING IT. HE CHALLENGES THE BOARD ON TRYING TO COME UP WITH A DECISION ON MAKING A SOLUTION TO SOME OF THESE MILLED ASPHALT ROADS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID SOME OF THE ROADS OUT THERE AREN'T ACTUALLY COUNTY ROADS; THAT IS WHAT HE WANTS TO ADDRESS. JUST BECAUSE SOMEBODY BUYS THEIR ASPHALT, PUTS IT DOWN ON THEIR DRIVE AND SAYS OKAY NOW COUNTY TAKE IT OVER. A ROAD SHOULD NOT GO ON THAT LIST UNLESS IT IS APPROVED BY THIS BOARD. THAT IS WHAT HAPPENS WHEN PEOPLE GO OUT THERE AND DON'T GO BY THE DECISION; THEY DO THIS AND SAY WE WILL START MAINTAINING THEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HAD HEARD A LITTLE DISCUSSION FROM MR. BARFIELD, MR. JOYNER AND MR. BROCK THAT THE COUNTY OWNS A PAN THEY HAVEN'T USED AND PERHAPS TRADING IT IN ON ANOTHER PIECE OF EQUIPMENT THAT WILL WORK FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE.

CLIFF SAID A MIXER WOULD BE FANTASTIC FOR THE COUNTY. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS THE KIND OF DIRECTION BARFIELD, JOYNER AND BROCK WAS LOOKING INTO.

MR. JOYNER SAID THE MIXER WOULD HELP; THEY COULD DO SOME RECLAIMING LIKE CLIFF WAS TALKING ABOUT ON THEIR OWN. HE THINKS C. W. ROBERTS GETS ABOUT \$6 A YARD FOR RECLAMATION OF A ROAD.

CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM ADVERTISING FOR FULL DEPTH RECLAMATION FOR ABOUT FOUR MILES ON LEISURE LAKES ROAD; IT WAS \$20,000

TO DO A FULL DEPTH RECLAMATION ON LEISURE LAKES ROAD AND IT WAS DONE IN TWO DAYS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE ONE THEY HAD WAS CLOSE TO \$300,000 AND WHEN THEY SENT IT BACK, THE TEETH WAS WORN OUT, ETC. AND THE COUNTY DIDN'T USE IT. THE IDEA WAS TO DO THE SAME THING BEING DISCUSSED HERE; USE IT ON DIRT ROADS TO RECLAIM THEM AND MILLED ASPHALT. IT WAS USED ON SEWELL ROAD, SNELL ROAD AND IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN USED ON ANOTHER ROAD BEFORE SENDING IT TO ROLLING PINES ROAD. THAT WAS ONE EXPENSIVE PIECE OF EQUIPMENT; HE IS NOT SAYING THEY DON'T NEED A MIXER. IF THEY LOOK AT A MIXER, THE COUNTY NEEDS TO LOOK AT WHAT WE NEED AND NOT WHAT SOMEBODY WANTS TO SELL OUT THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED COMMISSIONER PATE IF HE WAS SAYING THE BOARD MADE A MISTAKE WHEN THEY PURCHASED A MIXER; THEY DIDN'T PURCHASE THE RIGHT ONE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID SOMEBODY MADE A MISTAKE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS GLAD TO SAY HE WASN'T ON THE BOARD THEN.

MR. BARFIELD SAID HE WOULDN'T NECESSARILY SAY THEY PURCHASED THE WRONG ONE; IT JUST WASN'T USED. WHAT HE HAS HEARD IS THEY DIDN'T WANT TO CHANGE THE TEETH ON IT, DIDN'T WANT TO USE IT OR DIDN'T WANT TO RUN IT. BUT, YOU CAN TAKE A UNIT LIKE THAT AND THERE IS A COST ASSOCIATED WITH RUNNING IT. YOU WILL BE REPLACING TEETH ON IT FREQUENTLY; BUT, THAT MACHINE WILL DO THE JOB AND IT WILL CERTAINLY IMPROVE THE CONDITION OF THE COUNTY ROADS. NOT ONLY RECLAIMING THE MILLED ASPHALT; BUT, DO SOME SAND AND CLAY ROADS, PREPARING BASES, IMPROVING DIRT ROADS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF SOMEBODY HAS LOOKED AT THE COST; WHETHER IT WOULD BE CHEAPER TO BUY THEIR OWN MIXER OR CHEAPER TO CONTRACT IT OUT.

MR. BARFIELD THOUGHT IT WOULD BE CHEAPER TO PURCHASE THE MIXER IN THE LONG RUN; SHORT TERM IT WOULD BE CHEAPER TO CONTRACT IT OUT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT WAS THE PROBLEM; THEY HAD A MIXER

AND IT WAS UNDER UTILIZED. THEY WOULDN'T TAKE IT OUT THERE AND USE IT AND MIX IT IN. YOU CAN BUY A 747 JET; BUT, IF YOU DON'T USE IT YOU HAVEN'T SAVED ANY MONEY ON YOUR TRAVEL.

MR. JOYNER ITERATED A MIXER IS VERY HIGH MAINTENANCE; WHEN YOU START GRINDING ASPHALT WITH ANYTHING, YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE EXPENSE ON IT AND IT IS A LOT TO KEEP IT UP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED MR. BARFIELD GET SOME NUMBERS UP ON WHAT THE MIXER WOULD COST, THE COST TO OPERATE IT VERSUS CONTRACTING IT OUT. LOOK AT THE VALUE OF THE PAN AND PUT TOGETHER SOME NUMBERS SO THE BOARD CAN MAKE AN EDUCATED DECISION.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THE COUNTY'S OLD MIXER IS OVER IN JACKSON COUNTY; HE IS GOING TO TALK TO THEM IF THEY WOULD ENTERTAIN LEASING IT TO US. DO A LITTLE PAN/MIXER TRADE AND SEE WHAT THEY CAN WORK OUT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TOLD THE BOARD THE REASON HE HAD ASKED CLIFF TO COME UP WITH A COST ON DORCH CIRCLE IS THERE IS A COUPLE OF PEOPLE THAT LIVE ON THE ROAD THAT SAID THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO PAY TO HELP FIX THE ROAD. HE WAS GOING TO GET UP WITH EVERYBODY THAT LIVES ON DORCH CIRCLE AND HAVE THEM MEET AT LIBERTY CHURCH AND SEE WHAT THEY CAN DO AND HOW MUCH IT WOULD COST IF EVERYBODY WOULD GO ALONG WITH IT, HOW MUCH IT WOULD COST EACH HOUSEHOLD AND SEE IF THEY COULDN'T GET IT DONE.

CLIFF SAID RIGHT-OF-WAY IS ANOTHER THING THAT WOULD NEED TO BE DISCUSSED IF MR. STRICKLAND IS GOING TO MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION OF DOING SOMETHING. MR. STRICKLAND SAID ON ONE PART OF DORCH CIRCLE WHERE THE CABINET SHOP IS, THE HOUSES IS SO CLOSE TO THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD MR. STRICKLAND THE ROAD WOULD HAVE TO BE DONE AS IS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF DORCH CIRCLE WAS A COUNTY ROAD. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND, ABBOTT AND BROCK ALL AGREED IT WAS A COUNTY ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED IT LOOKED LIKE SOME OF THE STRUCTURES

ARE RIGHT ON THE EDGE OF THE PAVEMENT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REQUESTED AUTHORIZATION TO GET UP WITH THE PEOPLE ON DORCH CIRCLE AND HAVE A MEETING WITH THEM TO SEE HOW MUCH MONEY THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO PUT TOWARD THE DORCH CIRCLE PROJECT. HE WOULD DO IT LIKE CLIFF RECOMMENDED WITH A MIXER; MIX IT ALL UP AND START ALL OVER AND COME BACK WITH ASPHALT ON TOP OF IT. THAT IS THE ONLY WAY HE WOULD DO IT.

MR. BARFIELD SAID IF THEY DO GO IN AND PAVE SOMETHING LIKE THAT, THEY NEED TO FOLLOW THE COUNTY'S PAVING GUIDELINES, GO AHEAD AND ACQUIRE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY. THEY NEED TO DO IT RIGHT IF THEY ARE GOING TO DO IT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID UNLESS THE HOMEOWNERS WILL PAY ALL THE COST. MR. BARFIELD SAID AND UNLESS THE HOMEOWNERS WILL KEEP IT UP.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REITERATED HIS REQUEST TO LET HIM GET UP WITH THE PROPERTY OWNERS AS THERE IS NO WAY YOU CAN TAKE 60' AND PUT IN BETWEEN THERE FOR AN ADDITIONAL SIDE; YOU WOULD BE MOVING HOUSES. THE ONLY THING THEY COULD DO IS PAVE WHAT THEY HAVE THERE AND USE IT AS A ONE WAY TO COME IN AND EXIT ONE WAY.

CLIFF THOUGHT THE ONE WAY OPTION WOULD BE OKAY BECAUSE THE DISTANCE THEY HAVE TO TRAVEL IS SO MINIMAL FOR THEM TO GET BACK TO THE FAR SIDE. IF THE COUNTY MADE IT ONE WAY, IT REALLY SHOULDN'T BE A BIG DEAL. OF COURSE, WHEN THEY COME HERE, THEY MIGHT THINK DIFFERENT.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CLIFF TO MEET WITH THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE ON DORCH CIRCLE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID AS LONG AS HE DON'T PROMISE THE PEOPLE TOO MUCH UNTIL THE BOARD SEES WHERE THEY CAN GET THE MONEY AND APPROVES IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED CLIFF IF HE HAD ANY IDEA WHEN 814 SAND WAS GOING TO BE DONE AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER AND DID THEY HAVE A TIME LINE. CLIFF SAID AUGUST 4TH IS THE DEADLINE FOR THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER PROJECT TO BE COMPLETED.

HEATHER FINCH PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH CURRENT YEAR HEALTH INSURANCE RATES FOR ALL COUNTY EMPLOYEES WITH EXCEPTION OF THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE. THEY ARE ALL ON THE SAME PLAN. SHE ALSO PROVIDED THE PROPOSED RATES FOR THE 2011-2012 YEAR. SHE IS HERE TO DISCUSS THE BOARD'S INTENT AS FAR AS CONTRIBUTION RATES GO FOR EMPLOYEES AND DEPENDENT COVERAGE. IN 2005 OR 2006, THE BOARD VOTED TO PAY 75% OF THE DEPENDENT CARE PLAN. THEY HAVE APPROXIMATELY 34 EMPLOYEES BETWEEN THE DIFFERENT OFFICES THAT ARE PARTICIPATING IN THE DEPENDENT COVERAGE. THEY DISCUSSED LAST YEAR PRESIDENT OBAMA'S HEALTH CARE REFORM ACT CHANGES A FEW THINGS; THE BOARD CAN'T CHANGE CONTRIBUTION RATES MORE THAN 5% WITHOUT CHANGING TO A COMPLIANT PLAN THAT HAS ALL THE COMPONENTS OF HEALTH CARE REFORM IN IT. WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING AT, ALTERNATE PACKAGE #1 IS WHERE THE COUNTY CURRENTLY IS; THEY OFFER PLAN 1552 AND 1353. THERE IS LESS THAN A 5% INCREASE IN THOSE PLANS. SHE SAID THEY HAD ASKED FOR A \$2500 DEDUCTIBLE PLAN TO TRY AND GIVE EMPLOYEES SOMETHING WITH A LITTLE LESS COST; PLAN 3566. ALTERNATE PACKAGE II IS COMPLIANT PLANS; THERE IS JUST LESS THAN A 3% INCREASE OVER THE CURRENT RATE IN THESE PLANS. IF THE BOARD WERE TO DECIDE THEY WANTED TO CHANGE THE CONTRIBUTION RATE MORE THAN 5% FOR ANY MEMBER, THE EMPLOYEE ONLY OR EMPLOYEE DEPENDENT PLAN, THEY WOULD HAVE TO GO TO ONE OF THE COMPLIANT PLANS. THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE HAS MET SEVERAL TIMES; THEY LIKE THE COMPLIANT PLANS. THEY ARE A LITTLE BETTER PLANS AND A LITTLE LESS OUT OF POCKET; THERE IS NO DEDUCTIBLE ON THE PRESCRIPTION BENEFIT. GENERIC BRAND DESCRIPTIONS ARE ACTUALLY \$5 LESS. REGARDLESS OF WHAT THE BOARD'S DECISION IS ABOUT CONTRIBUTION RATES, THEY MAY WANT TO GO WITH THE COMPLIANT PLANS BECAUSE THEY LOOK TO BE A LITTLE MORE BENEFICIAL TO THE EMPLOYEE. HER HOPE IS AT THE

JULY 28TH BOARD MEETING, THE BOARD WILL MAKE A DECISION HOW MUCH THEY WANT TO CONTRIBUTE FOR THE DEPENDENT CARE AND EMPLOYEE CARE HEALTH RATES BECAUSE IN AUGUST SHE HAS TO HAVE OPEN ENROLLMENT. SINCE SHE STARTED WITH THE COUNTY, THEY HAVE INSURANCE MEETINGS WITH THE EMPLOYEES TO EDUCATE THEM ON WHAT THEIR PLAN GIVES THEM; THEY HAVE VENDORS COME IN AND MEET WITH THE EMPLOYEES INDIVIDUALLY SO THEY UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY ARE BUYING. SHE WANTS TO HAVE THOSE MEETINGS; THEY WERE SCHEDULED TO BE HELD THIS WEEK BUT WERE PUSHED BACK SO THEY WOULD HAVE A LITTLE MORE TIME TO DISCUSS THIS. THE FIRST PAY PERIOD IN SEPTEMBER IS WHEN THE NEW DEDUCTIONS HAVE TO HAPPEN. SHE HAS TO HAVE A LITTLE TIME TO HAVE THE EMPLOYEE MEETINGS AND THEN HAVE OPEN ENROLLMENT AND GET ALL EMPLOYEES IN AND SIGNED UP ON THE PLAN. IF THE BOARD STAYS WHERE THEY ARE AT, THEY WON'T NEED TO DO MUCH EDUCATION; EMPLOYEES UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY HAVE AS THEY HAVE HAD THESE PLANS FOR THREE YEARS. IF THEY DO GO WITH THE NEW COMPLIANT PLANS, SHE WILL NEED A LITTLE BIT OF TIME SO SHE IS HOPING AT THE JULY BOARD MEETING THE BOARD CAN GIVE HER A DECISION AS TO WHAT THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS WILL BE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAD REACHED THE POINT WHERE THEY CAN RECOMMEND WHAT THE DONATION MIGHT BE FOR THE CONTRIBUTION FROM THE COUNTY.

MR. JOYNER SAID THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HASN'T REACHED THAT POINT TO MAKE A RECOMMENDATION ON THE COUNTY'S CONTRIBUTION ON THE HEALTH INSURANCE FOR EMPLOYEES AND DEPENDENT CARE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION WHAT MS. FINCH IS ASKING THE BOARD TO DO AND RIGHTFULLY SO AS SHE HAS QUITE A TASK AS WELL AS THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT WITH THIS OPEN ENROLLMENT. ACTUALLY, WHAT SHE IS SAYING IS TO APPROVE OF WHAT THE COUNTY IS GOING TO DO AS FAR AS THE CONTRIBUTION BEFORE THE BUDGET COMMITTEE KNOWS WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO BE WITH THE BUDGET. HE RECOMMENDED THEY CHANGE OPEN ENROLLMENT TO A LATER DATE IF AT ALL POSSIBLE IN THE FUTURE. HE ASKED HOW COULD THEY MAKE AN EDUCATED DECISION

OF WHAT THE COUNTY'S CONTRIBUTION IS GOING TO BE WHEN THEY DON'T KNOW WHERE THEY ARE AT IN THE BUDGET. THEY ALL KNOW THEY ARE IN TERRIBLE SHAPE.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADDRESSED HER GIVING THE BOARD BUDGET NOTES TODAY; THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAS HAD THE NOTES. THEY GIVE THE BOARD DIFFERENT OPTIONS ON THE MILLAGE RATE. SHE TOLD THE BOARD AT THEIR JULY 28TH MEETING, THEY WILL HAVE TO APPROVE WHAT MILLAGE RATE THEY ARE GOING TO TENTATIVELY APPROVE. SHE SAID THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WILL BE MEETING ON WEDNESDAY; BUT, SHE HAS GIVEN THEM THE BUDGETS THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED, HOW MUCH THEY ARE OVER BUDGET, A BUDGET PACKET. MAYBE IT MIGHT BE WISE IF THE BOARD WANTS TO REVIEW THE INFORMATION THEY HAVE AND MEET AGAIN BEFORE THE JULY 28TH BOARD MEETING TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. THE ULTIMATE DECISION ON THE INSURANCE CONTRIBUTIONS WILL BE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND NOT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED SHOWS THE BOARD WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING AT WITH EACH OF THE PROPOSED MILLAGE RATES BEFORE THEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF THE HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS HEATHER PROVIDED INFORMATION ON WERE THE ONLY THREE PLANS THEY WERE LOOKING AT. HEATHER EXPLAINED THERE WERE FIVE OR SIX PLANS.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW QUESTIONED THE ONLY PLANS THEY COULD CONSIDER IF THE BOARD CHOOSES TO CHANGE THEIR CONTRIBUTION RATE.

HEATHER EXPLAINED IF THE BOARD CHOSE TO CHANGE THEIR CONTRIBUTION RATES, THE ONLY PLANS THEY COULD CONSIDER WERE THE PLANS UNDER ALTERNATE PACKAGE #2.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHICH PLAN WAS THE BOARD'S CURRENT HEALTH INSURANCE PLAN. HEATHER ADVISED PLAN 1552 WITH A \$500 DEDUCTIBLE AND 1353 WITH A \$1,000 DEDUCTIBLE.

HEATHER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE PLANS THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE LIKED ARE PLANS UNDER ALTERNATE II; THEY ARE VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT THE BOARD CURRENTLY OFFERS EXCEPT THEY HAVE A LOWER OUT OF POCKET EXPENSE, THERE IS NO DEDUCTIBLE. THERE ARE A FEW THINGS THAT ARE

MORE COSTLY; BUT, NOT ANYTHING TERRIBLE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THESE PLANS WERE AS GOOD OF INSURANCE AS THE PLAN THE BOARD PRESENTLY OFFERS. HEATHER SAID THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE THINKS SO AND IN SOME CASES, IT IS BETTER. THE OUT OF POCKET EXPENSES ARE GOING DOWN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHAT THE 12% INCREASE WAS GOING TO BE. HEATHER EXPLAINED IT DEPENDS ON WHAT PLAN THE EMPLOYEE WAS ON.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED HOW MUCH OF AN INCREASE OVERALL WOULD THE INCREASE IN PREMIUMS BE PER EMPLOYEE. HEATHER EXPLAINED IT WAS LESS THAN A 3% INCREASE FOR PLAN 3559; IT IS COMPARABLE TO THE BOARD'S \$1,000 DEDUCTIBLE PLAN. IT IS GOING FROM \$539.99 A MONTH TO \$554.90 A MONTH. THE EMPLOYEE CURRENTLY PAYS \$20 A MONTH FOR THAT PLAN SO IT IS TOTALLY UP TO THE BOARD IF THEY WANT THE EMPLOYEE TO TAKE ON THAT INCREASE. THE SAME WITH THE BUY UP PLAN WITH THE \$500 DEDUCTIBLE WHICH CURRENTLY COSTS \$584.96. THE PLAN THEY ARE PROPOSING TO GO TO WILL COST \$598.79, PLAN 3559 PER EMPLOYEE ONLY COVERAGE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHAT THE DEDUCTIBLES WERE ON PLANS 1353 AND 1552. HEATHER ADVISED THE DEDUCTIBLE FOR PLAN 1353 WAS \$1,000 AND FOR PLAN 1552 IT WAS \$500.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE WOULD SAY IT FOR THE FIFTH YEAR; HE HAS NEVER SEEN IN HIS FIFTY FIVE YEARS OUT WORKING, STATE, PRIVATE OR ANYTHING, WHERE THE EMPLOYEES PAID AS LITTLE PER MONTH AS THEY CURRENTLY DO. IN 1991, HE WAS PAYING APPROXIMATELY \$180.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED COMMISSIONER PATE WHAT HE WAS RECOMMENDING.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT DIDN'T AFFECT HIM. RIGHT NOW HE DON'T KNOW BECAUSE WE DON'T KNOW WHAT KIND OF SHAPE WE ARE GOING TO BE IN. BUT, SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE, THERE IS GOING TO HAVE TO BE CUTS ON SERVICES AND ALL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED CHAIRMAN PATE IF HE COULD MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE COULD; BUT, IT WON'T FLY. HIS

RECOMMENDATION IS PROBABLY NOT ON HEATHER'S INFORMATION. \$1,000 OR \$1,500 DEDUCTIBLE AND IF NEEDED, GO HIGHER. THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE THIS MONEY UP SOME WAY OR ANOTHER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR HIS UNDERSTANDING ON WHAT HEATHER HAS PUT TOGETHER, ASKED IF PLAN 1552, PLAN 1353 AND 3566, IF THE BOARD CHANGES CONTRIBUTIONS 5% MORE OR LESS, THEY CAN'T USE THESE PLANS. SO, THAT IS WHEN THEY COME DOWN TO PLANS 3559, 5773 AND 3566. HE ASKED WHY DO THEY HAVE PLANS 3359 AND 3559.

HEATHER EXPLAINED PLAN 3559 IS COMPARABLE TO THE CURRENT PLAN 1552, WHICH HAS A \$500 DEDUCTIBLE. PLAN 3359 IS COMPARABLE TO 1353, WHICH HAS A \$1,000 DEDUCTIBLE. PLAN 5773 IS NOT COMPARABLE TO ANYTHING THE BOARD CURRENTLY OFFERS; IT HAS A \$2500 DEDUCTIBLE AND ALLOWS A LOWER PREMIUM. THE PLAN 3566 IS A \$5,000 DEDUCTIBLE PLAN.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WAS AWARE RIGHT NOW THE EMPLOYEES HAVE A COUPLE OF OPTIONS WITH HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS; IF IT IS ABOVE OR LESS THAN 5%, WOULD HER RECOMMENDATION BE TO KEEP MULTIPLE OPTIONS ON THE TABLE AND WOULD SHE KEEP AS MANY AS FOUR OR WOULD SHE LOOK FOR LESS.

HEATHER SAID SHE WOULD PREFER TO ONLY HAVE TWO OPTIONS FOR THE EMPLOYEES; BUT, THREE THEY CAN MANAGE. SHE ADDRESSED HER THINKING IF THEY HAD ANYONE WHO WOULD WANT A \$5,000 DEDUCTIBLE PLAN. THE PERSON THAT WOULD HAVE TO PICK THAT PLAN WOULD PICK IT BECAUSE THEY SIMPLY COULD NOT AFFORD THE MONTHLY PREMIUM AND OBVIOUSLY IF THEY CAN'T AFFORD THE MONTHLY PREMIUM, THEY SURELY COULDN'T AFFORD A \$5,000 DEDUCTIBLE IF THEY DID HAVE TO GO TO THE HOSPITAL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THE BOARD'S DECISION WAS NOT TO PICK ONE OF THESE FOUR PLANS; THEY COULD PICK TWO OR THREE OF THE PLANS.

HEATHER ADVISED THAT IS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE BOARD COULD OFFER THAT AMOUNT OF MONEY AND IF THE EMPLOYEE WANTED TO BUY UP, THEY COULD BUY UP. HEATHER ADVISED THAT IS BASICALLY WHAT THEY DO NOW. WITH PLANS 1353 AND 1552, THE BOARD PAYS NEAR ABOUT THE SAME AMOUNT AND THE

EMPLOYEE THAT WANTS THE LOWER DEDUCTIBLE AND HAS TO GO TO OLOGISTS MORE OFTEN, PAYS THE DIFFERENCE FOR THE HIGHER PLAN.

HEATHER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETING SEVERAL TIMES AND TALKED ABOUT WHAT THE FIGURES WOULD LOOK LIKE. RIGHT NOW THE BOARD IS PAYING BETWEEN 70% AND 71% FOR THESE SELECT EMPLOYEES ON THE DEPENDENT PLANS. THE COMMITTEE TALKED ABOUT PROPOSING THE BOARD ONLY PAY 50%; TAKE IT AWAY IN A STEP FASHION. SHE EXPLAINED SOME OF THESE PEOPLE PROBABLY WON'T BE ABLE TO GET INSURANCE ANYWHERE ELSE; IT IS GOING TO BE VERY TOUGH. WHY THEY HAVE BEEN DOING THIS AND HAVING THESE INSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETINGS AND TALKING ABOUT IT PUBLICLY IS BECAUSE THOSE EMPLOYEES NEED TIME TO GET ON AN INDEPENDENT PLAN AND THE BOARD HAS 34 OF THEM AND THE SHERIFF HAS 27 THAT HAVE DEPENDENT COVERAGE. PEOPLE WITH CONDITIONS THAT BECAUSE OF BCBS OR ANY OTHER HEALTH PROVIDERS RULES THEY WON'T BE COVERED FOR THOSE ISSUES, THEY HAVE UNTIL 2014 BECAUSE OF HEALTH CARE REFORM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED RIGHT NOW IS THE COUNTY PAYING ROUGHLY 75% TOWARD THE DEPENDENT COVERAGE. HEATHER ADDRESSED THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE IS RECEIVING 75% AND THE REST OF THE COUNTY IS RECEIVING 70% TO 71%.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED HOW MANY TOTAL IN THE COUNTY, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND ALL, HAS FAMILY COVERAGE. HEATHER ADVISED THERE WAS 27 EMPLOYEES AT THE SHERIFF AND 34 WITH THE REMAINING COUNTY OFFICES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HOW MUCH WAS THAT COSTING THE COUNTY. HEATHER ADVISED IT WAS COSTING THEM APPROXIMATELY \$280,000 TO \$300,000.

MR. JOYNER ASKED WHAT WOULD BE THE OPTION OF MOVING THE ENROLLMENT DATE FURTHER BACK.

HEATHER SAID SHE WOULD LIKE TO MOVE OPEN ENROLLMENT TO JANUARY 1 AS THAT IS WHEN THEIR BENEFITS START OVER ANYWAY. SHE WILL HAVE TO GET WITH BCBS. THEY HAVE TO DO TWO RATES PER YEAR; THEY HAVE TO REQUEST THEIR RATES TWICE BECAUSE RIGHT NOW THEY WOULD ESSENTIALLY

BE ON RATES FROM OCTOBER TO JANUARY AND THEN DO RENEWAL AGAIN AND DO RATES FROM THEN ON. THE COUNTY'S AGENT ASSURES HER IT WOULD BE A PRETTY SMOOTH PROCESS. SHE HAS REQUESTED THEY MOVE THE ENROLLMENT DATES BACK; IT WOULD MAKE THINGS SO MUCH EASIER.

MR. JOYNER AGREED IT WOULD MAKE THINGS EASIER DURING BUDGET TIME TO KEEP THE DISTANCE BETWEEN THE BUDGET AND THE INSURANCE; THE BOARD COULD MAKE A DECISION ON THE BUDGET AND THEN THEY WOULD KNOW WHAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO DO WITH INSURANCE.

HEATHER SAID THE FIRST YEAR CHANGING THE ENROLLMENT DATE WILL BE A LITTLE BIT OF WORK; AFTER THAT, IT WILL BE AMAZING.

MR. JOYNER ASKED WHEN COULD THE CHANGE IN THE OPEN ENROLLMENT DATE START. HEATHER THOUGHT IT WAS TOO LATE TO CHANGE OPEN ENROLLMENT NOW; BUT, SHE WILL CALL BCBS AND FIND OUT. THE BOARD WILL HAVE TO DO SOMETHING TO GET THEM FROM NOW UNTIL JANUARY 2012; SHE WILL CHECK WITH THE COORDINATOR TO SEE IF THE RATES WOULD CHANGE KNOWING THE BOARD WANTS TO DO A DIFFERENT PLAN COME JANUARY.

MR. JOYNER ASKED IF IT WOULD BE THE BOARD'S PLEASURE FOR HEATHER TO CHECK INTO CHANGING THE OPEN ENROLLMENT DATE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T WANT TO HAVE ANY HICCUPS WITH THE COVERAGE THE EMPLOYEES HAVE NOW.

MR. JOYNER SAID IT WAS GOING TO BE HARD TO MAKE A REAL ACCURATE DECISION AND SOMETHING THEY COULD FEEL GOOD ABOUT THIS CLOSE TO THE BUDGET ISSUES THEY HAVE. IT WOULD BE GOOD TO SEE IF THE OPEN ENROLLMENT CAN BE CHANGED.

HEATHER EXPLAINED THEY WILL HAVE TO HAVE OPEN ENROLLMENT RIGHT NOW ANYWAY BECAUSE THE OCTOBER 1 DATE ALREADY EXISTS; THE CURRENT PLAN ENDS ON OCTOBER 1ST.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THEY COULD DO THE INSURANCE ON A MONTH BY MONTH BASIS UNTIL JANUARY 1. HE DON'T KNOW HOW THE BOARD COULD MAKE A DECISION ON CONTRIBUTIONS THEY WOULD MAKE TOWARD DEPENDENT HEALTH CARE. HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW THE BOARD HAS BEEN DOING IT IN THE PAST; OF COURSE, IT IS QUITE OBVIOUS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE BOARD MET THE OCTOBER 1 DEADLINE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. HE ASKED WHY WOULD YOU START A NEW PROGRAM AFTER YOUR BUDGET IS DONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID BECAUSE YOU WOULD KNOW WHAT YOU COULD AFFORD. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HOPEFULLY THEY CAN GET SOMETHING IN TO KNOW WHAT THEY CAN AFFORD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE BOARD DOESN'T EVEN KNOW WHERE THEY ARE AT TOWARD THE BUDGET TO KNOW WHETHER THE COUNTY CAN KEEP PAYING 70% OF THE DEPENDENT CONTRIBUTIONS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE BOARD HAS UNTIL SEPTEMBER TO DO IT BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO HAVE TWO HEARINGS IN AUGUST OR SEPTEMBER.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED THEY WILL HAVE TWO PUBLIC HEARINGS IN SEPTEMBER AS FAR AS THE TRIM PROCESS; BUT, THE BOARD WILL HAVE SEVERAL HEARINGS BEFORE THOSE HEARINGS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS JUST TALKING ABOUT THE INSURANCE.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED INSURANCE WAS A BIG HUNK; THEY HAVE DIVISIONS OUT THERE THAT OUGHT TO BE PAYING THEIR OWN INSURANCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WHAT REALLY CONCERNS HIM IS PLAN 1552, WHICH IS THE HIGHEST PRICE PLAN IN THE BLUE AND IF THEY GO TO THE THIRD WORSE PLAN WHICH IS TAKING AN EXTRA STEP TO THE \$2,500 DEDUCTIBLE, THE RATES IS ABOUT THE SAME. IN SOME INSTANCES MORE.

HEATHER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS SAYING ACCEPTING A MUCH LARGER DEDUCTIBLE IS NOT DECREASING THE PREMIUM MUCH AND IT IS NOT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREES THAT NOBODY THAT IS REALLY GOING TO USE THE INSURANCE IS WANTING A \$5,000 DEDUCTIBLE; YOU WOULD USE THAT FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT HE THOUGHT. BUT, THE COST ON THEM IS REALLY THE ONLY PLACE YOU ARE SHOWING A REDUCTION; EVERYTHING ELSE IS UP.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED IF THEY HAVE MATERNITY COVERAGE ON EVERYBODY BECAUSE THERE IS A LOT OF THE WOMEN EMPLOYEES THAT DON'T NEED IT.

HEATHER SAID THEY CAN'T EXCLUDE THE MATERNITY COVERAGE; IT IS

REQUIRED ON GROUP PRODUCTS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM ASKING THAT QUESTION IN AN INSURANCE MEETING AND HE WAS TOLD HE WAS BEING DISCRIMINATORY AGAINST WOMEN IF YOU HAVE BABIES. THEY ARE REQUIRED BY LAW TO PROVIDE THIS COVERAGE.

HEATHER SAID IF YOU HAVE AN INDEPENDENT PLAN, YOU CAN GO TO YOUR INSURANCE AGENT ON YOUR OWN WITH YOUR OWN PLAN AND EXCLUDE MATERNITY COVERAGE IF YOU KNOW YOUR CHILD BEARING YEARS ARE OVER OR YOU DON'T WANT ANY MORE CHILDREN. BUT, AS A GROUP, THE LAW WON'T ALLOW THIS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THIS IS GOING TO BE A HARD DECISION; EVERY YEAR IT IS AN INCREASE ON INSURANCE AND THEY ARE JUST MORE AND MORE AND MORE IN THE HOLE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY PROBABLY WOULD JUST HAVE TO ADD IT ON TO THE EMPLOYEES IS ALL HE KNOWS. HE AGREED THERE HAD TO BE A STOPPING POINT SOMEWHERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO PAY ALL THE EMPLOYEES HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE AND THEM THAT IS PARTICIPATING IN THE FAMILY COVERAGE, LET THEM PAY ALL OF THAT. HE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ANSWER IS. \$285,000 IS WHAT IT IS COSTING THE TAX PAYERS. HE ASKED HEATHER WHAT SHE WAS RECOMMENDING.

HEATHER SAID HER RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE WHAT THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED WHICH WOULD BE STEP OFF PERCENTAGE WISE; SHE WOULD LOVE TO STAY WHERE WE ARE AT BECAUSE IT WOULD BE CRIPPLING TO THE EMPLOYEES NO MATTER WHAT THE BOARD DECIDES. BUT, HER RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE THE BOARD GO TO CONTRIBUTING 50% OF THE DEPENDENT CARE COVERAGE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR HIS UNDERSTANDING, ASKED IF THE COMMITTEE WAS RECOMMENDING THE BOARD REDUCE THE COUNTY'S CONTRIBUTION FROM 70% ON THE FAMILY COVERAGE DOWN TO 50%. HE ASKED HOW MUCH WAS THE BOARD PAYING TOWARD THE EMPLOYEE'S COVERAGE.

HEATHER ADVISED THE COUNTY WAS PAYING APPROXIMATELY 97% OF THE EMPLOYEE ONLY COVERAGE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF HEATHER WOULD MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO REDUCE THAT ALSO OR LEAVE THEM THE SAME

AS IT IS NOW. HEATHER SAID THE COMMITTEE DIDN'T WANT TO CHANGE THE THE COUNTY'S CONTRIBUTION ON EMPLOYEE COVERAGE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED BY CUTTING THE COUNTY'S CONTRIBUTION FROM 70% TO 50% ON THESE APPROXIMATE 61 EMPLOYEES WITH DEPENDENT CARE, WHAT KIND OF SAVINGS WOULD THERE BE.

HEATHER EXPLAINED SHE DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE EMPLOYEES. SHE CAN ONLY TALK ABOUT THE 34 BOARD EMPLOYEES; SHE DOES KNOW EXACTLY WHAT SAVINGS THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT. IT WOULD BE ABOUT \$140,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HOW MUCH WAS THE BOARD'S INCREASE IN PREMIUMS. HE ASKED IF THE BOARD WOULD TOTALLY BE SAVING \$140,000. HEATHER ADVISED THEY WOULD BE; THAT IS JUST CONSIDERING THOSE EMPLOYEES THAT HAVE DEPENDENT PLANS WOULD STAY ON THE EMPLOYEE ONLY PLAN. SHE SUBTRACTED OUT THE 20%; SHE JUST CHANGED THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HOW MUCH SAVINGS WOULD BE REALIZED IN THE WHOLE CIRCLE TAKING THE SHERIFF OUT OF THE PICTURE BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE AN INCREASE IN THE EMPLOYEE ONLY BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT CHANGING THE PERCENTAGE.

HEATHER SAID WHAT SHE DID WAS SHE MOVED THE EMPLOYEE FROM THE PLAN THEY ARE ON NOW TO THE COMPLIANT PLAN BECAUSE OF THE RATE CHANGE; THEN SHE MADE IT 50% INSTEAD OF THE 70% TO COME UP WITH \$140,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE \$140,000 SAVINGS INCLUDED THE EMPLOYEE SAVINGS ALSO OR ADDITION.

HEATHER EXPLAINED IF THE EMPLOYEES WANT TO GO TO THE \$2,500 DEDUCTIBLE THEY CAN OFFER THAT AND IT WOULD BE AN EVEN GREATER SAVINGS. THEY OBVIOUSLY DON'T WANT TO COUNT ON THAT; BUT, IF THE EMPLOYEE DOESN'T WANT TO PAY THAT MUCH, THEY DO HAVE AN OPTION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HEATHER IF SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT HAVING A \$2,500 AND A \$1,000 DEDUCTIBLE. HEATHER ADDRESSED SHE WOULD WANT TO HAVE THREE PLANS; OFFER A \$500, \$1,000 AND \$2,500 DEDUCTBLE PLAN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THERE IS A LOT OF PEOPLE TAKING ADVANTAGE OF THE \$500 DEDUCTIBLE. HEATHER ADVISED IT WAS HALF AND

HALF WITH THE \$500 DEDUCTIBLE AND \$1,000 DEDUCTIBLE PLANS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED ABOUT THE FAMILY COVERAGE. HEATHER SAID THERE WAS MORE PEOPLE ON THE 1552 PLAN FOR FAMILY COVERAGE; BUT, THEY ARE PAYING FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID SO THERE IS MORE ON THE \$500 DEDUCTIBLE BUY UP PLAN. HE THOUGHT THAT WAS INTERESTING.

HEATHER ADDRESSED THE BENEFITS BEING BETTER UNDER THE \$500 DEDUCTIBLE PLAN AND IT IS NOT THAT MUCH MORE MONEY FOR THEM TO HAVE A BETTER BENEFIT. THE EMPLOYEE PAYS \$504 A MONTH FOR FAMILY COVERAGE WITH A \$500 DEDUCTIBLE OR THEY CAN PAY \$479.02 AND HAVE A \$1,000 DEDUCTIBLE. SO FOR VERY LITTLE AMOUNT OF MONEY, THEY CAN HAVE A BETTER BENEFIT.

HEATHER SAID HEALTH INSURANCE CONTRIBUTIONS IS A BIG DECISION AND THEY HAVE DONE THIS EVERY SINGLE YEAR OR SOMETHING SIMILAR TO THIS WHERE THE BOARD DECIDES WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO COVER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HEATHER IF SHE COULD GET THE BOARD UP SOME NUMBERS INCLUDING THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT BECAUSE THAT IS GOING TO BE UNDER ONE UMBRELLA. HE DOESN'T WANT TO DO FOR ONE AND NOT THE OTHER. THE SHERIFF IN THE MEETING SAID HE WANTED TO BE TREATED EQUAL; NO BETTER OR NO WORSE THAN THE REST OF THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES. HE ASKED IF THEY COULD LOOK AT WHAT IT WOULD COST OR SAVE, INCLUDING THE SHERIFF, BY REDUCING THE COUNTY'S CONTRIBUTION DOWN TO 50% FOR DEPENDENT COVERAGE.

HEATHER SAID SHE HAS ALREADY GOTTEN THAT INFORMATION FOR ALL THE EMPLOYEES EXCEPT THE SHERIFF'S; IT IS \$140,000 SAVINGS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE SHERIFF COULD BE A BIG FACTOR IN THIS. HEATHER AGREED SAYING THE SHERIFF HAD 80 SOMETHING EMPLOYEES WITH 76 BEING ON THE HEALTH COVERAGE AND 27 HAVING DEPENDENT COVERAGE. THE SHERIFF HAS A MUCH BIGGER RATIO OF PEOPLE ON THE FAMILY COVERAGE. BUT, THEIR RATES ARE QUITE A BIT CHEAPER THAN THE BOARD'S RATES.

HEATHER AGREED TO GET THOSE NUMBERS AS LONG AS THE SHERIFF GETS

HER THE NUMBERS. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID SHE HAD THE SHERIFF'S INVOICE ON THEIR HEALTH COVERAGE SO HEATHER CAN KNOW WHAT COVERAGE HIS EMPLOYEES HAVE. BUT, SHE DON'T HAVE THEIR NEW RATES. HEATHER SAID SHE DIDN'T KNOW EITHER; BUT, SHE CAN ASK THEM.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED HEATHER TO GET THE SAVINGS INFORMATION ON THE BOARD AND SHERIFF EMPLOYEES IF THE COUNTY REDUCED THEIR CONTRIBUTION FROM 70% TO 50%.

UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE:

A. SAL ZURICA-MSBU-MR. ZURICA PASSED OUT A LETTER AND TOLD THE BOARD HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE STEVE JOYNER OR ROGER HAGAN SEND THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION A LETTER TO COLLECT THE \$1500 THEY HAVE TO PAY EVERY YEAR SINCE THEY HAVE MONEY AND IT SEEMS LIKE THEY ARE SPENDING IT AND BEFORE THE END OF THE YEAR WHEN THEY COME BACK AND SAY THEY DON'T HAVE ANY MONEY.

MR. ZURICA THEN TALKED ABOUT THE MARCH MEETING THAT WAS HELD IN SUNNY HILLS. HE WENT OVER THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING. IF HE RECALLS CORRECTLY MR. HAGAN WAS RELIEVED THAT NIGHT AS THE ADMINISTRATOR; THE NEXT MORNING STEVE JOYNER WAS APPOINTED AS THE INTERIM COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR. MR. HAGAN WENT A COUPLE WEEKS LATER AND PURCHASED THREE SKAGGS; THE TOTAL PRICE WAS \$27,897.48 WITH NO APPROVAL BY THIS BOARD. MR. ZURICA SAID HE KNOWS THREE PURCHASE ORDERS WERE SUBMITTED. HE TOLD THE BOARD A PERSON COULD SPEND UP TO \$10,000 AND NOT COME BEFORE THIS BOARD. IF HE WORKED FOR THIS COUNTY, HE COULD SEND IN A 100 PURCHASE ORDERS FOR UNDER \$10,000 AND COULD DRAIN THIS COUNTY OUT. THAT IS RIDICULOUS. IT IS NOT COUNTY MONEY; HE IS JUST BRINGING THIS TO LIGHT. THAT WAS OVERLOOKED. STEVE JOYNER AS THE NEW ADMINISTRATOR DIDN'T REALIZE THIS; BUT, THEY HAVE TO WATCH THE SPENDING.

MR. ZURICA ASKED WHY IS THE COUNTY PAYING GOVERNMENT SERVICES GROUP AGAIN \$1500, WHICH WAS JUST MAILED OUT THIS MONTH. THE LADY FROM GSG CAME TWO OR THREE TIMES THIS LAST YEAR. THAT IS EXPENSIVE.

\$500 A MEETING. HE KNOWS AT THE MEETING, HE THOUGHT LAST MONTH OR THE MONTH BEFORE, THE MSBU WAS PUT ON HOLD UNTIL THE NEW COUNTY COMMISSIONER WAS APPOINTED. HE ASKED WHY IS ROGER HAGAN SPENDING THIS MONEY ON SOMETHING MSBU DON'T REALLY NEED. ROGER HAS HAD THE MSBU FOR TWO YEARS; IF HE DON'T KNOW HOW TO RUN THE MSBU AS A COORDINATOR, THAT IS A SHAME. THEN, THIS LETTER WAS PUT INTO THE SUNNY HILLS NEWSLETTER BY ROGER HAGAN SAYING HE WANTS DIRECTION. MR. ZURICA SAID IF YOU TAKE ON A JOB, KNOW THE JOB AND DO THE JOB. HE WAS JUST LETTING THIS BOARD KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON. HE KNOWS THE BOARD CAN'T DO NOTHING ABOUT IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE REQUESTED MR. ZURICA WIND HIS PRESENTATION UP PRETTY QUICK. MR. ZURICA SAID HE JUST WANTED TO LET THE BOARD KNOW WHAT WAS GOING ON AND THINGS HAVE TO BE CHANGED.

COUNTY ATTORNEY REPORT:

A. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING BEEN IN NEGOTIATIONS WITH FL-DOT REGARDING THE SHIPES HISTORIC HOUSE. THE TWO ISSUES THAT CONCERNED HIM WERE (1) THERE NEEDED TO BE A SPECIFIED LEVEL OF DUTY WITH RESPECT TO THE COUNTY IN KEEPING THE SHIPES HOUSE MAINTAINED AND (2) MAKE SURE THE COUNTY HAS THE ABILITY TO MAKE SURE THE HOUSE IS UP TO A CERTAIN STANDARD ONCE THEY ACCEPT IT. HE THINKS THEY WILL BE ABLE TO WORK THROUGH THOSE ISSUES WITH RESPECT TO WHAT THEIR DUTIES ARE TO ACCEPT IT AND WHAT THEIR MINIMUM DUTIES ARE TO MAINTAIN IT. WHAT HE CAN'T ADVISE THE BOARD ON IS THE LEVEL OF MAINTENANCE AND EFFORT THAT WILL ACTUALLY OCCUR TO HAVE THAT HAPPEN; HE WILL ACTUALLY RELY ON THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND PEOPLE WITH EXPERTISE WITH RESPECT TO THAT. BUT, AS FAR AS THE TWO AREAS HE WAS CONCERNED WITH, HE THINKS THEY HAVE FOUND A WAY WITH FL-DOT TO AT LEAST PUT INTO A COVENANT WHAT THE MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS WILL BE THE COUNTY WILL MAINTAIN THE PROPERTY AT AND (2) THE COUNTY HAS THE RIGHT TO ACCEPT THE PROPERTY IN CERTAIN CONDITIONS BEFORE IT IS THEIR RESPONSIBILITY TO MAINTAIN IT AT THAT LEVEL. HE WANTED TO GIVE THE BOARD A HEADS UP ON THAT SO THEY COULD BE THINKING OF WHETHER OR NOT

IT WANTS TO EVEN ACCEPT THAT RESPONSIBILITY. AS THE BOARD IS AWARE, THEY ARE EXCITED AND APPRECIATIVE OF THE OPPORTUNITY; BUT, THERE IS A POTENTIAL LEVEL OF COST ASSOCIATED TO THIS. HE WILL LET THE BOARD ASK THE APPROPRIATE QUESTIONS OF THE GRANTS DEPARTMENT AND MAYBE THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT ON WHAT THE ANTICIPATED COSTS WOULD BE; BUT, THEY CAN SPECIFY AND HE THINKS THEY HAVE COME TO WHAT THAT LEVEL OF MINIMAL SPECIFICITY WILL BE AS FAR AS HIS COOPERATION WITH FL-DOT AND MAKING SURE THE COVENANT ITSELF IS SOMETHING THE BOARD SHOULD CONSIDER ACCEPTING. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY QUESTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE.

B. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON IT HAVING COME TO HIS ATTENTION THE LEASE WILL BE UP AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER AT THE END OF SEPTEMBER THIS YEAR. THE WAY THE LEASE READS IS THE BOARD HAS TO GIVE SIXTY DAYS NOTICE IN WRITING OR THE PERSON LEASING THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER HAS TO GIVE THE BOARD SIXTY DAYS NOTICE IN WRITING IF THEY ARE GOING TO CHOOSE TO TERMINATE THE LEASE. IF THE BOARD DON'T, THEY ARE OBLIGATED PURSUANT TO THE LEASE FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS THEREAFTER. WE ARE AT THE POINT IN TIME SO IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO WANT TO GO IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION WITH RESPECT TO THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER LEASE OR JUST ENTER INTO NEW NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE CURRENT TENANT, THEY NEED TO BE THINKING ABOUT THAT AND THE NEXT BOARD MEETING HE WILL MAKE SURE TO BRING THIS UP AGAIN SO THEY DON'T FORGET IT. HE HAS SOME CONCERNS WITH THE CURRENT LEASE; NOT NECESSARILY FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT. HE WOULD LIKE IT TO BE REDRAFTED. HE DON'T THINK WHEN THEY BID IT OUT THEY PUT ALL THE TERMS OF THE BID INSIDE THE LEASE WITH RESPECT AS TO WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR WHAT AND WHAT SHOULD AND SHOULDN'T HAPPEN. SO, HE THINKS SOME OF IT BY TRIAL AND ERROR, WHOEVER THEY RELEASE IT TO, IF THEY RELEASE IT TO THE CURRENT TENANT GREAT OR IF IT IS SOMEBODY ELSE GREAT, HE WILL ADVISE THE BOARD IT MAY BE WORTH RELOOKING AT THE LEASE IN ITS ENTIRETY FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT IRRESPECTIVE OF WHO AND HOW MUCH THEY WANT TO LEASE IT FOR FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT. BUT, HE WILL BRING THIS

BACK UP AT THE NEXT MEETING. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO BE THINKING ABOUT THE LEASE BECAUSE IF THEY ARE GOING TO MAKE A CHANGE OF DIRECTION WITH THAT LEASE, THEY NEED TO DO IT BY THE END OF THIS MONTH. WHATEVER THE BOARD TELLS HIM AND MR. JOYNER TO DO, THEY WILL HAVE TO DO IT WITHIN TWENTY FOUR HOURS TO FLIP IT AROUND. THEY ARE PREPARED TO DO THAT. HE ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD HAD OR ANY COMMENTS THEY HAD ON THE LEASE BEFORE THE NEXT MEETING. THERE WAS NO RESPONSE.

C. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE. HE GAVE THE BOARD A BACKGROUND ON HOW HE HAS BEEN INTERJECTED TO TRY AND COME UP WITH SOMETHING FOR THE BOARD. PROBABLY THREE OR FOUR MONTHS AGO, HE THINKS IT WAS MAYBE WHEN MR. HAGAN WAS COUNTY MANAGER BUT IT MAY HAVE BEEN MR. PITTS, THERE WAS CONVERSATION AT A BOARD MEETING ABOUT REVIEWING AND LOOKING AT THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE. THEY HAVE HAD SOME ISSUES ARISE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY SINCE HE HAS COME ON BOARD WITH RESPECT TO NOT ONLY THEIR CURRENT ORDINANCE BUT THE APPLICABILITY OF THEIR ORDINANCES FROM A SITUATION WHEREBY THEY MAY HAVE HAD A DANGEROUS DOG SITUATION, A PUBLIC NUISANCE SITUATION AND THEY EVEN HAD ONE WHERE THEY HAD ABOUT FIFTY ANIMALS FOUNDED IN A PRETTY BAD CONDITION ON A PIECE OF PROPERTY AND THEY HAD TO GO TO COURT TO GET THAT RESOLVED. THEY HAVE HAD SOME ANIMAL ISSUES ARISE. WHAT THE BOARD IMPLORED HIM AND THE COUNTY MANAGER TO DO WAS TO GET A COMMITTEE OF CONCERNED CITIZENS AND TRY TO WORK THEIR WAY THROUGH THE ISSUES INVOLVED WITH GETTING SOMETHING BETTER FOR THIS COUNTY; BUT, AT THE SAME TIME FITS THIS COUNTY. THIS COUNTY IS NOT ORANGE COUNTY OR DADE COUNTY AND SOME OF THE CONCERNS OF THE CITIZENS HERE IN BEING IN A MORE RURAL COUNTY, THEY NEEDED TO TAILOR AND DRAFT SOMETHING THAT TRIED TO FIND A BALANCE BETWEEN LAND OWNERS AND THE FREEDOM THAT HAS, THE FREEDOM OF BEING A DOG OWNER, BEING A HUNTER, THOSE THINGS AND AT THE SAME TIME, THE BEST INTEREST OF THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE. THE COMMITTEE AND HIMSELF HAS HAD SEVERAL MEETINGS AND DRAFTS BOUNCING BACK AND FORTH; THEY BASED THEIR

PROPOSED ORDINANCE ON LOOKING AT JACKSON, HOLMES, WALTON AND OKALOOSA COUNTY. THEY TRIED TO LOOK AT COUNTIES IN OUR AREA TO SEE WHAT THEY WERE DOING AND CUT THE FAT WHERE IT MAY NOT BE AS APPLICABLE TO WASHINGTON COUNTY AND ADD SOME THINGS WHERE THE COUNTY MAY NEED SOME MORE PROTECTION. THE KEY THINGS HE WANTS THE BOARD TO BE AWARE OF IN THE ORDINANCE IS IT GIVES A MORE CLEAR DEFINITION OF WHAT THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS, THE AUTHORITY THEY MAY HAVE. HE IS OF THE BELIEF IF THEY HIRE THESE PEOPLE TO DO A JOB, THEY NEED TO GIVE THEM THE ABILITY TO DO. HE HAS DEALT WITH THEM AND TALKED WITH THEM THROUGH WHAT THIS AUTHORITY IS. BASICALLY IT GOES AS FAR AS IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS TO REMOVE ANIMALS THAT ARE MISTREATED TO CONFISCATE AND OBTAIN ANIMALS THAT ARE ON THE LOOSE. HE WANTED TO MAKE IT CLEAR THESE ARE PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEEN APPOINTED BY THE COUNTY TO AFFECTUATE NOT ONLY THIS ORDINANCE; BUT, ALSO FLORIDA STATUTES AS TO WHERE IT PERTAINS TO ANIMAL CONTROL. THE SECOND THING THEY ADDRESSED IN THE ORDINANCE IS RUNNING AT LARGE. THIS IS A SENSITIVE ISSUE AND HE UNDERSTANDS THAT. THE IDEA THEY HAD AS A COMMITTEE IS THEY DON'T WANT UNTAGGED OR UNMARKED ANIMALS RUNNING THE STREETS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY FOR A LOT OF REASONS. THEY DO UNDERSTAND IN WASHINGTON COUNTY THERE ARE SOME VERY AVID HUNTERS WHO USE DOGS IN THEIR HUNTING TIMES SO THE COMMITTEE HAS MADE AN EXEMPTION FOR HUNTING DOGS AT CERTAIN HUNTING PERIODS. THEY HAVE TALKED ABOUT THE DOGS UNDER THE ADA AND OBVIOUSLY DOGS OR OTHER ANIMALS USED BY THE POLICE OR OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. BUT, THE IDEA IS THAT IF THE DOG IS NOT ON YOUR PROPERTY OR ON THE PROPERTY THAT SOMEBODY CONSENTS TO IT BEING THERE, IT NEEDS TO BE ON A LEASH; IT NEEDS TO BE UNDER YOUR DIRECT CONTROL AND SUPERVISION. THE COMMITTEE HAS ADDRESSED THAT IN SECTION 3. IN SECTION 4, THEY TALK ABOUT NUISANCE ANIMALS. THE IDEA THERE IS THE MOST COMMON ISSUE THAT COMES UP WITH A NUISANCE ANIMAL IS THE HABITUAL BARKING OR WHINING DOG. THEY HAVE A NEIGHBOR WHO IS UPSET AND SAYS "HEY, THIS DOG IS A HABITUAL NUISANCE." THEN YOU HAVE A PROPERTY OWNER SAYING "YEAH, BUT IT IS A DOG." SO, YOU ARE BALANCING THOSE

INTERESTS. THE AGREEMENT OR THE PROPOSAL THE COMMITTEE CAME UP WITH WAS TO BE CONSIDERED HABITUALLY CONTINUOUSLY A NUISANCE SHALL MEAN A PERIOD OF TWENTY FIVE MINUTES OR MORE OF CONSTANT NOISE OR TWO OR MORE REPEATED PERIODS OF FIFTEEN MINUTES OR MORE OF CONSTANT NOISE WITHIN ONE HOUR. THERE IS SOME LEVEL OF REASONABLE OR PROBABLE CAUSE THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER MUST HAVE TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT. THE COMMITTEE ACTUALLY EXTENDED THE TIME LINE AS TO WHAT THEY SAW IN OTHER COUNTIES TO CREATE A NUISANCE. THE COMMITTEE UNDERSTANDS THAT DOGS ARE GOING TO BE DOGS AT TIMES AND THEY WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT POINT IS EXCESSIVE BEFORE THEY DEEM IT AS A NUISANCE SUBJECT TO A CIVIL FINE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE COMMITTEE WAS LIMITING A DOGS BARKING IS WHAT THAT MEANS WHICH IS THE SAME THING AS IT SPEAKING. HE SAID THERE WERE SOME PEOPLE THEY WOULD LIKE TO LIMIT THEIR SPEAKING TO.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD DEFINE IT FOR COMMISSIONER ABBOTT; NUISANCE AND PART OF THE DEFINITION OF NUISANCE-ANY ANIMAL WHICH HABITUALLY OR CONTINUOUSLY BARKS, WHINES, HOWLS, CRIES, SCREAMS AND CAUSES OBJECTIONABLE NOISES WHEN NOT REASONABLY PROVOKED BY HUMAN PROVOCATION. HABITUALLY OR CONTINUOUSLY SHALL MEAN PERIODS OF TWENTY FIVE MINUTES OR MORE OF CONSTANT NOISE. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE; IF THERE IS SOMEBODY AT YOUR HOUSE, IF THERE IS SOME SIX YEAR OLD KID BEATING A FENCE WITH A STICK, A DOG IS GOING TO BE A DOG. THE COMMITTEE TRIED TO TAKE THAT INTO ACCOUNT AND THEY HAVE EVEN EXTENDED THAT TIME PERIOD THAT IF THE DOG BARKS CONTINUOUSLY THAT IS UNPROVOKED FOR THIRTY MINUTES, SOMEBODY NEEDS TO CHECK ON THE DOG ANYWAY TO FIND OUT WHAT IS GOING ON. THEY TRIED TO BLEND SOME IDEAS AND PROSPECTIVE THERE. THEY HAVE AN EXEMPTION IN SECTION 6 FOR CERTAIN HUNTING DOGS. THEY HAVE ASKED THAT DOGS BE IDENTIFIED; EITHER HAVE A COLLAR ON OR A TATTOO ON SO THEY WILL KNOW WHO OWNS THEM IN CASE ONE OF THEM GETS OUT, THEY KNOW WHO THEY ARE CONTACTING TO TELL THEM TO COME PICK THEIR DOG UP IF IT GOES TO THE VETS OFFICE. THEY HAVE A SECTION

DEALING WITH RABIES AND RABIES VACCINATION AND QUARANTINE. THEY HAVE THE ABILITY AND AUTHORITY TO IMPOUND. THIS IS IMPORTANT AND THEY HAVE DESIGNATED SITUATIONS AND THEY MADE IT MORE CLEAR IN THIS ORDINANCE WHEREBY IF YOU PICK UP A DOG WHO IS IN VIOLATION OF SOME OF THIS ORDINANCE, YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO IMPOUND IT. IF YOU KNOW THE OWNER OR SHOULD KNOW THE OWNER OR HAVE REASONABLE MEANS TO KNOW THE OWNER, IT IS OUR DUTY TO NOTIFY THE OWNER AND TELL THEM THEIR DOG IS DOWN AT THE POUND IF THEY WANT TO COME PICK IT UP AND GIVE THEM A REASONABLE TIME PERIOD TO COME PICK THE DOG UP. WHAT THEY HAVE DETERMINED IN THE PAST AND WHAT THEY DECIDED ON AN ONGOING BASIS IS THEY WILL HAVE FIVE DAYS TO COME PICK THEIR DOG UP AT THE POUND AND THERE IS SOME WIGGLE ROOM IN THERE TO EXTEND THAT. WHAT THEIR FLAT FEE RATE IS RIGHT NOW WITH THE POUND IS \$50 PER FIVE DAY PERIOD; THE PERSON WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE IF THEY WANTED TO PICK THEIR DOG UP IF IT WAS IMPOUNDED FOR THE \$50 FEE TO GET THE DOG OUT. THAT IS THE AGREEMENT THEY HAVE AND HE THINKS IT IS AN ONGOING AGREEMENT THEY HAVE WITH THE POUND OR THE ANIMAL SHELTER WHEREBY THEY BASICALLY TAKE CARE OF THE DOGS FOR THAT PERIOD OF TIME AND THAT IS THE FLAT FEE FOR THE FIVE DAY PERIOD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE ORDINANCE TALKS SOME ABOUT INTERFERENCE WITH AN OFFICER. THE IMPORTANT THING, AND THIS IS THE ONE THAT ALWAYS GETS PEOPLE AND HE WANTS TO FOCUS ON IS A PENALTY PROVISION. BECAUSE EVERYBODY WANTS TO KNOW IF YOU ARE GIVING THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER THE AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT THESE CIVIL FINES, WHAT ARE THEY; WHAT IS THE COST HERE. HE THEN READ THE PART OF THE ORDINANCE PERTAINING TO THIS: VIOLATIONS OF ANY SECTION OF THIS CHAPTER SHALL BE A CIVIL INFRACTION FOR THE MAXIMUM PENALTY FOR WHICH SHALL NOT EXCEED \$500. HERE IS HOW THE STAIR STEP GOES; IF YOU DON'T CONTEST THE CITATION AND YOU SAY ALRIGHT I AM GOING TO PAY THE CITATION, FOR A WARNING THERE IS NO CIVIL PENALTY. FOR A FIRST VIOLATION, THERE IS A \$50 PENALTY, FOR A SECOND VIOLATION WITHIN THREE YEARS, THERE IS A \$100 PENALTY, FOR A THIRD VIOLATION THERE IS \$150 PENALTY AND AFTER THAT

WITHIN A THREE YEAR PERIOD THERE IS A \$500 PENALTY. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE; LETS SAY A PERSON VEHEMENTLY DISPUTES THE CITATION. THEY HAVE A RIGHT OBVIOUSLY TO GO TO THE COUNTY JUDGE AND SAY THEY WERE CITED FOR X, Y AND Z AND THEY DON'T THINK THEY SHOULD HAVE TO PAY IT AND HAVE A QUASA JUDICIAL HEARING THERE. THE JUDGE WILL MAKE A DETERMINATION OR THE FINDER OF FACTS WILL MAKE A DETERMINATION OF WHAT HE THINKS. THE ISSUE IS IF THE COUNTY HAS TO GO TO COURT AND GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS, THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO COLLECT THE \$500 FEE TO DO THAT. IF A PERSON DON'T CONTEST IT, THEY HAVE TO BASICALLY HABITUALLY GET CITATIONS TO EVER GET TO THAT \$500 LEVEL. THE COMMITTEE TRIED TO TEMPER THE FINES. THEY WANT PEOPLE TO BE WARNED; IF THERE IS AN ISSUE, THEY WANT THEM TO BE WARNED. IF IT HAPPENS OVER AND OVER AGAIN, THEY WANT THE ABILITY TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. THAT IS WHAT THE COUNTY'S ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS HAVE ASKED FOR SO THAT IS WHAT THE COMMITTEE HAS TRIED TO IMPLEMENT IS A STAIR STEP FINE THAT IS SEVERE ENOUGH YOU UNDERSTAND IT IS A SERIOUS ISSUE TO THE COUNTY; BUT NOT SO SEVERE AT LEAST AT FIRST IT IS AN OVERLY FINANCIAL STRAIN OR BURDEN FOR THE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN VIOLATION OF IT. THE OTHER PART OF THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE HAS TO DO WITH THE DANGEROUS DOG STATUTE AND THE COMMITTEE HAS DEALT WITH THAT. HE WANTS THE BOARD TO UNDERSTAND THE DANGEROUS DOG STATUTE IS A STATE STATUTE THAT WAS CREATED SOMEWHAT OUTSIDE THE BOUNDARIES OF THIS ORDINANCE. WHAT THE COMMITTEE HAS DONE WITH RESPECT TO THAT IS IF THEY GET IN A DANGEROUS DOG SITUATION, THEY BASICALLY SAY THEY ARE GOING TO FOLLOW THE OBLIGATION, THE RULES SET FORTH IN 767-12 WHERE THERE IS A DETERMINATION BY AN OFFICER, THERE IS A HEARING BOARD THE COUNTY HAS SO IF THEY DISPUTE THE FINDINGS OF THE HEARING BOARD, THEY CAN GO UP TO THE COUNTY COURT AND TALK TO THE JUDGE ABOUT IT. BUT, IF YOU GET INTO A DANGEROUS DOG SITUATION AND THAT DOG IS REQUIRED THEREAFTER TO BE IN AN ENCLOSURE, IF IT IS OUT, HAVE A MUZZLE ON AND IF IT IS FOUND IN VIOLATION OF THAT, THE OWNER COULD BE SUSEPTIBLE TO A FIRST DEGREE MISDEMEANOR. THAT IS A STATE STATUTE; THAT IS A LITTLE BIT

DIFFERNT OUTSIDE THE ORDINANCE. THERE IS NOT A LOT TALKED ABOUT FOR THE DANGEROUS DOG STATUTE IN THE COUNTY ORDINANCE BECAUSE HE BASICALLY CITED "IT SHALL BE FOLLOWED IN A SITUATION OF A DANGEROUS DOG." BUT, IN A NUTSHELL WHAT THE COMMITTEE TRIED TO ACCOMPLISH WITH THE ORDINANCE IS TO LET PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY OBSERVE THE FREEDOM OF HAVING PETS AND HAVING THE ABILITY TO HUNT WITH THOSE PETS IN THE RIGHT SEASONS AND ENJOY THEM AND BALANCE THAT WITH THE IDEALS OF THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE WITH RESPECT TO ANIMALS THAT MAY BE A NUISANCE TO THE COUNTY AND OUR NEIGHBORS. HE OFFERED TO ANSWER ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS OR IF THERE IS AN ISSUE THE BOARD WANTS TO ADDRESS, KIND OF LOOK TO GO IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION THERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THE PROPOSED ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE WAS PUTTING TOGETHER A LEASH LAW.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHAT IS IN THE ORDINANCE IS IF YOUR ANIMAL IS NOT ON YOUR PROPERTY OR NOT ON THE PROPERTY OF SOMEONE WHO CONSENTS TO IT BEING THERE; IF YOU HAVE A BROTHER OR BROTHER-IN-LAW AND THE DOG IS ON THEIR PROPERTY AND THEY DON'T CARE, THAT IS FINE OR IF YOU ARE OUT IN PUBLIC OR IN A PUBLIC AREA, BASICALLY WHAT THE ORDINANCE SAYS IS YOU NEED TO HAVE THE DOG UNDER YOUR DIRECT CONTROL OR SUPERVISION AND YOU WILL BE RESPONSIBLE IF IT IS NOT. IN A SENSE, YOU ARE REQUIRED TO MAKE SURE YOUR DOG DOESN'T RUN AT LIBERTY WITHOUT BEING UNDER YOUR DIRECT CONTROL OR SUPERVISION, HE GUESSED HE WOULD CALL IT SOMEWHAT OF A LEASH LAW IN THAT SENSE. HE WANTED TO MAKE SURE WHAT COMMISSIONER BROCK IS SAYING IS A LEASH LAW IS WHAT IS IN THE ORDINANCE. HE REITERATED THE ORDINANCE SAYS IF THAT DOG IS AT LARGE WITHOUT YOUR SUPERVISION AND IT IS NOT A HUNTING SITUATION, WHICH IS EXEMPT HERE AND IT IS NOT ON YOUR PROPERTY OR SOMEBODY'S PROPERTY THAT CONSENTS TO IT, THAT IS WHAT THE ORDINANCE DOES ADDRESS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THE REASON HE ASKED THAT QUESTION IS BECAUSE IN SO MANY INSTANCES THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY THROUGH THE YEARS, HE HAS SEEN SO MANY LITTLE ANIMALS BEING PICKED UP BECAUSE

THE OWNER OF THAT DOG WAS AT WORK, THE SCHOOL BUS CAME IN, THE DOG CAME DOWN THE ROAD PLAYING WITH THE KIDS. THEY WILL BE A FEUD OVER HERE AND THEY CALL ANIMAL CONTROL BECAUSE OF WHO OWNED THE DOG AND NOT BECAUSE THE DOG WAS HARMLESS. THESE PETS GET PICKED UP; TO HIM, NUISANCE HAS BEEN A BROAD WORD IN ANIMAL CONTROL. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE; IF YOU HAVE A CAT AND IT IS GOING TO BE A STRAY ALL OF A SUDDEN, CALL ANIMAL CONTROL AND THEY WILL COME GET IT. IF YOU HAVE PUPPIES, CALL ANIMAL CONTROL, THEY WILL COME GET THEM. THERE IS A LOT OF EXPENSE THAT HAS WENT ON HE FELT LIKE SHOULDN'T HAVE WENT ON BECAUSE THEY USE IT TO THEIR BENEFIT. THAT IS WHY THE EXPENSE HAS BEEN SO GREAT IN ANIMAL CONTROL IN WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE OF THE WAY THE ORDINANCE WAS DRAWN UP. HE WAS WANTING TO KNOW IF THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE IS A LEASH LAW THEY WERE ACTUALLY PUTTING IN.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IT IS A CONTROL ORDINANCE; THE FEELING OF THE COMMITTEE WAS IF YOU ACCEPT A PET AS YOUR PET, YOU OUGHT TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT PET AND IF THAT PET IS OUT AND ABOUT AND IS OUTSIDE YOUR PROPERTY AND IS CAUSING AN ISSUE, THEN IF THEY HAVE TO (1) DEAL WITH IT OR (2) TAKE IT TO THE POUND, THE QUESTION THE COMMITTEE WAS JUGGLING IS WHO SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT PET DOING THAT. SHOULD IT BE THE RESPONSIBILITY AND THE COST OF EVERY TAXPAYER IN THIS COUNTY OR SHOULD IT BE THE RESPONSIBILITY AND THE COST OF THE PERSON WHO HAS ACCEPTED THAT PET AS THEIR OWN. THAT BEING SAID, HE THINKS THERE WERE STRONG CONVERSATIONS AMONGST THE COMMITTEE ABOUT THE NEED NOT TO ABUSE THIS ORDINANCE TO WHERE THE FIRST TIME, REGARDLESS OF THE FACTS, IT IS AN IRON FIST \$50 FINE. THE COMMITTEE WANTS TO GIVE THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS SOME DISCRETION AND ABILITY TO DO THEIR JOB WHILE AT THE SAME TIME IF THERE IS A SITUATION WHERE THEY NEED TO GET SOMEBODY'S ATTENTION, THEY CAN GET THEIR ATTENTION. IT IS VERY CLEAR THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO IT. THERE WILL BE SOME BALANCING ON BEHALF OF THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS TO USE THEIR DISCRETION. BUT, THERE ARE A LOT OF FLORIDA CRIMINAL STATUTES AND IF YOU GO TO THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE

WHETHER IT IS A SPEEDING TICKET OR SOMETHING ELSE, THERE IS SOME DISCRETION THAT SHERIFF HAS TO HAVE AT TIMES ON THE FACTS AND SITUATIONS AND THERE IS A PROBABLE CAUSE ELEMENT IN THE STATUTE. THERE MUST BE CERTAIN THINGS THAT OFFICER EITHER WITNESSES OR GETS MULTIPLE HOME OWNERS TO ATTEST TO; THEY CAN'T GO OUT THERE AND NOT TALK TO ANYBODY AND NOT GET ANY REPORTS AND NOT GET ANY AFFIDAVITS AND SAY, "I AM CITING YOU." THERE HAS TO BE SOMETHING THERE TO BASE WHATEVER THEY ARE DOING ON. THEY TRIED TO GIVE SOME PROTECTION TO THE CITIZENS THAT THE ORDINANCE NOT BE ABUSED, NOT ONLY BY GIVING THE DISCRETION ABOUT CIVIL FINES AND A WARNING; BUT, AT THE SAME TIME HAVING A PROBABLE CAUSE STANDARD IF THE OFFICER DOESN'T WITNESS IT OR HAVE A REALLY GOOD REASON TO KNOW THAT IT HAPPENED, HE HAS TO GET TWO SWORN STATEMENTS FROM TWO DIFFERENT PROPERTY OWNERS. THERE HAS TO BE A COMBINATION OF THINGS THAT HAPPEN HERE IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS, ESPECIALLY IN A NUISANCE SITUATION TO GIVE HIM THE RIGHT TO IMPOSE A CIVIL FINE ON SOMEBODY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS HAS ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND THE COMMITTEE THAT WAS FORMED TO BRING THEM BACK AN ORDINANCE. HE HAS READ THROUGH IT, LOOKED AT SOME OF THE CHANGES AND HAS EVEN LOOKED AS A DOG OWNER, LOOKED AT SPECIFIC AREAS AND HE FEELS THE COMMITTEE HAS DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHEN YOU DEAL WITH PETS, THAT IS THE NEXT LEVEL AFTER CHILDREN. THE COMMITTEE TOOK THE TASK VERY SERIOUSLY AND TRIED TO MAKE IT VERY CLEAR THEY DONT WANT THIS BOARD AND THIS ORDINANCE DOES NOT WANT TO OVERSTEP AND TAKE AWAY THE ABILITY TO BE PET OWNERS AND ENJOY THEIR PETS. BUT, THEY WANT THE ABILITY TO HAVE VERY CLEAR AND CONCISE LANGUAGE FOR THEIR ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS IF SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE DONE IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS AND BE ABLE TO DO IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED HIM SETTING ON THE ANIMAL CONTROL BOARD EVER SINCE HE HAS BEEN ON THIS COMMISSION; THEY HAVE HAD SEVERAL CASES COME BEFORE THEM BUT HE DOESN'T THINK THEY HAVE EVER

HAD TO DECLARE ONE, MAYBE ONE, A VICIOUS DOG. THE ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICERS DON'T JUST RUN OUT THERE LIKE A GUN SLINGER OUT FROM THE WEST; THEY PICK UP DOGS THAT ARE A NUISANCE, CHASE PEOPLE, SNAP AT THEM, CHASE THEM ACROSS THE ROAD, GO AFTER OLD LADIES THAT ARE GOING TO THEIR MAILBOX TO GET THEIR MAIL. HE SAID HE DON'T OWN ANY PETS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WOULD PRESENT THE ANIMAL CONTROL ORDINANCE AND TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT IT AT THE BOARD MEETING TO SEE WHAT THE BOARD WANTS TO DO. IF THEY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS, HE ASKED THEY CONTACT HIM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE.

COUNTY MANAGER REPORT:

A. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, STEVE JOYNER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON A REQUEST FROM COMMISSIONER PATE TO CHANGE THE AUGUST BOARD MEETING DUE TO A COMMITMENT HE HAS ON AUGUST 25TH. COMMISSIONER PATE HAS REQUESTED THE MEETING BE CHANGED TO AUGUST 24TH.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED HE SERVES AS A DIRECTOR ON THE FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES AND THEY WILL BE MEETING ON AUGUST 25TH AND AUGUST 26TH TO START PLANNING FOR NEXT YEAR'S LEGISLATIVE SESSIONS AND WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO TO HELP PROTECT THEIR RESOURCES AND RIGHTS AS A COUNTY. IF THE BOARD WOULD AGREE TO MOVE THE AUGUST MEETING UP ONE DAY, IT WOULD HELP HIM TREMENDOUSLY.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS THEY HAD NO PROBLEM WITH CHANGING THE MEETING DATE IN AUGUST TO AUGUST 25TH.

B. MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON INFORMATION HE HAD RECEIVED FROM THE FL-DOT. THE MONEY HAS BECOME AVAILABLE THIS YEAR TO FUND CLAYTON ROAD; INSTEAD OF THE PAVING BEING DONE IN 2013, IT IS GOING TO BE DONE IN 2012.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW UPDATED THE BOARD ON HELEN MCENTYRE, TAX COLLECTOR, HAVING PRESENTED HER RECAPITULATION ON THE TAX ROLL FOR 2010. IT WAS ERRORS OF \$36,733.05, INSOLVENCIES OF \$29,549.71, DISCOUNTS OF \$473,590.54 FOR A TOTAL RECAPITULATION OF \$539,873.30. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO HAVE THE RECAPITULATION OF THE 2010 TAX ROLL ON THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE JULY 28TH BOARD MEETING.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH INFORMATION ON THE PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2011-2012 FOR THE TRANSPORTATION AND GENERAL FUNDS WITH ALL BUDGET REQUESTS INCLUDED. THE TRANSPORTATION BUDGET IS BEING REVISED; THIS IS THE FIRST DRAFT. SHE REQUESTED THEY LOOK OVER THEIR BUDGET NOTES AND BE PREPARED TO SET THEIR MILLAGE RATE AT THEIR JULY 28TH MEETING. SHE ADDRESSED HER PROVIDING THEM WITH THE INFORMATION OF THE MILLAGE RATES AND WHAT EACH MILLAGE RATE WOULD GENERATE. SHE SAID SHE WOULD BE GLAD TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS OR DISCUSS IT WITH ANY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS. SHE ALSO SAID IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE, THEY COULD SET A WORKSHOP PRIOR TO THE JULY 28TH MEETING.

ROGER HAGAN TOLD THE BOARD THEY DIDN'T GET ANYTHING HANDED TO THEM FROM MR. ZURICA THEY DON'T ALREADY HAVE AND THAT MR. JOYNER DOESN'T ALREADY HAVE. EVERYTHING THEY RECEIVED, THEY ALREADY HAVE. IF THEY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT MSBU, HE WOULD CERTAINLY APPRECIATE ONE ON ONE OR WORKSHOP, ETC. WHEN THINGS GET PERSONAL, SOMEONE NEEDS TO. (SENTENCE NOT COMPLETED)

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE MSBU REQUESTING TO USE THE COUNTY BUILDING IN SUNNY HILLS AND THEY HAVE BEEN REFUSED; HE ASKED IF ANYBODY KNEW WHY. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IT WAS THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION AND NOT THE MSBU.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION HASN'T BEEN TURNED DOWN; THEY WERE TOLD THEY WOULD HAVE TO RENT IT LIKE ANY OTHER COUNTY BUILDING.

DAVID CORBIN UPDATED THE BOARD SOMEWHILE BACK THE BOARD HAD GIVEN HIM THE AUTHORITY TO REJECT OR SIGN OFF ON NON-PAYMENT OF USE OF THE COUNTY BUILDING. SINCE THIS WAS IN DISTRICT V, HE APPROACHED THE COMMISSIONER FOR THAT DISTRICT ABOUT IT AND HE SAID THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION WAS NOT A COUNTY AFFILIATED GROUP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE SUNNY HILLS CIVIC ASSOCIATION IS A NON PROFIT FLORIDA CORPORATION AND THEY RELY ON VOLUNTEERS AND DONATIONS.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THAT DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH IT; THE RULES FOR DOING THAT IS IT HAS TO BE COUNTY SPONSORED AND THE SUNNY HILLS CIVIC ASSOCIATION IS NOT.

DAVID SAID THAT IS WHAT HE WAS TOLD SO HE DENIED THE CIVIC ASSOCIATION'S REQUEST TO USE THE FACILITY; THAT WAS THROUGH MR. HOWELL'S RECOMMENDATION. IF THE BOARD WANTS TO DISCUSS IT, HE HAS TO PAY LIGHT BILLS OUT THERE AND THERE IS MORE GOING OUT THAN THERE IS COMING IN. DURING A BUDGET CRUNCH, HE DOESN'T REALLY THINK THE BOARD OUGHT TO ALLOW NOBODY EXCEPT COUNTY PEOPLE TO USE THEM BUILDINGS BECAUSE THEY STILL HAVE TO CLEAN THEM AND STILL HAVE TO PAY A LIGHT BILL SO IF THERE IS NONE COMING INTO A BANK, THERE IS HARDLY NONE COMING OUT. HE SAID HE WOULD DO WHATEVER THE BOARD IS DESIROUS OF HAVING. HE WAS EXPLAINED IT WAS NOT A COUNTY AFFILIATED GROUP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS NOT TAKING A POSITION IN THIS BUT HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE TREATING EVERYBODY EQUAL. HE POINTED OUT THEY ALSO HAVE A SUNNY HILLS CRIMEWATCH THAT IS THE SAME AS IT IS IN A NON PROFIT FLORIDA CORPORATION THAT RELIES ON VOLUNTEERS AND DONATIONS JUST LIKE THE SUNNY HILLS CIVIC ASSOCIATION.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THE CRIMEWATCH PROGRAM IS SPONSORED BY THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

DAVID TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HE HAD ADDRESSED THIS AND HE WAS TOLD CRIMEWATCH WAS SPONSORED BY THE WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

62-BCC
07-18-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 269

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR CLARIFICATION, ASKED IF THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS ONE IS SPONSORED BY THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. DAVID AGREED THAT WAS CORRECT; THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT PROVIDED A COUNTY VEHICLE FOR CRIMEWATCH TO PATROL ON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN