

JULY 28, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 5:00 P.M. AT EBRO TOWN HALL, EBRO, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY JEFF GOODMAN, CLERK LINDA COOK, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

SHRERIFF BOBBY HADDOCK PROCLAIMED THE MEETING AND OFFERED PRAYER. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LED IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES-COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES FOR JUNE 13, JUNE 27 AND JUNE 29, 2011 MEETINGS.

PUBLIC HEARINGS-MICHAEL J. DERUNTZ WAS NOT PRESENT AT THIS TIME TO CONDUCT THE PUBLIC HEARINGS. CHAIRMAN PATE WENT TO CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK FOR DISCUSSION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS. COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF ITEM E WAS ON THE WORKSHOP AGENDA AS HE DIDN'T SEE IT ON THERE.

MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON FL-DOT REQUESTING ITEM E, AGREEMENT CONCERNING REAL PROPERTY ACQUISITION BY THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ON BEHALF OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, FLORIDA, BE PLACED ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. HE EXPLAINED THIS WOULD BE GIVING FL-DOT THE RIGHT TO ACQUIRE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR THE COUNTY FOR TWO BRIDGES; ONE ON DUNCAN COMMUNITY ROAD OVER FLAT CREEK ROADWAY AND ONE FOR RIVER ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED ITEM D PERTAINING TO FL-DOT ACQUIRING THE RIGHT-OF-WAY TO REPLACE BRIDGE ON RIVER ROAD OVER GUM CREEK ROADWAY WAS ON THE WORKSHOP AGENDA.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO PULL ITEM E BECAUSE HE HAS A PROBLEM WITH THE EXPENSE OF THE BRIDGES BEING \$4,000,000 TO \$5,000,000.

COMMISSIONER PATE REMINDED COMMISSIONER BROCK EVERYTIME FL-DOT

HAS ASKED THE BOARD TO APPROVE FOR THEM TO ACQUIRE RIGHT-OF-WAY ON BEHALF OF WASHINGTON COUNTY TO REPLACE BRIDGES, MAKE IMPROVEMENTS, ETC., THE BOARD EXPLAINS TO HIM FL-DOT IS NOT GOING TO GIVE THE COUNTY THE MONEY TO BUILD THE BRIDGES. FL-DOT IS GOING TO BUILD THE BRIDGES AS THEY CONTROL THAT MONEY. IF THE BOARD DOESN'T WANT THEM TO DO THE PROJECTS, THEY CAN NOTIFY FL-DOT AND THEY CAN GIVE THE FUNDING TO SOMEBODY ELSE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED HE HAS A PROBLEM SPENDING THAT TYPE OF MONEY WHICH IS TAXPAYERS MONEY. COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE COST OF \$2,000,000 TO BUILD A 30' WOODEN BRIDGE; A LOT OF THAT COST IS ENGINEERING FEES; A HALF MILLION DOLLARS FOR A 30' WOODEN BRIDGE. HE HAS A PROBLEM WITH THIS. THE BOARD COULD VOTE ON IT; BUT, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT CALLED FOR A VOTE ON. HE WANTS TO PULL IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT PROBABLY THE BEST WAY TO HANDLE THIS IS TO PULL ITEM E, PUT IT AS THE LAST ITEM ON THE AGENDA AND THEN HAVE A VOTE BY THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED A FEW YEARS BACK, ROAD AND BRIDGE HAD A BRIDGE FUND. IN COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S DISTRICT AND IN DISTRICT III, THEY WENT TO PIPES AND PULLED THE BRIDGES. THE WOODEN BRIDGES WAS JUST LIKE THESE FL-DOT IS LOOKING AT REPLACING; PREBLE RISH DID A WATER SHED EVALUATION OF THE COUNTY AND SIZED THE PIPE SIZES TO REPLACE THE WOODEN BRIDGES AND IT WORKED. HE SAID THE MONEY FL-DOT IS PLANNING ON SPENDING FOR THESE TWO BRIDGE PROJECTS IS A LOT OF MONEY AND HE WISHED THEY WOULD JUST GIVE THE COUNTY THE MONEY TO PUT THEM IN AND PAVE THE ROADS.

CHAIRMAN PATE EXPLAINED THAT IS NOT GOING TO HAPPEN.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SUGGESTED AGAIN THEY PULL ITEM E FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA AND PUT IT ON THE END OF AGENDA TO VOTE ON IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF ANY OF THE BOARD HAD ANY INFORMATION ON THIS; HE SEEN RIGHT OF WAY ON FARREL NELSON ROAD AT \$134,000. HE

ASKED HOW MUCH PROPERTY THIS WOULD ACQUIRE.

COMMISSIONER PATE REITERATED THIS WAS STRICTLY A STATE PROJECT;
BUT, YET THE TAXPAYERS PAY FOR IT.

CHAIRMAN PATE SUGGESTED THE BOARD MOVE ON AND DISCUSS THIS LATER
ON. COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED THEY SHOULD JUST PULL ITEM E. CHAIRMAN
PATE EXPLAINED D AND E WAS THE SAME ISSUE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON
THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS.

THE MOTION CARRIED TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS WITH
EXCEPTION OF ITEMS D AND E:

A. APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR JUNE
2011 TOTTALLING \$1,780,074.14.

B. APPROVAL FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY'S SHIP "LOCAL HOUSING
ASSISTANCE PLAN" TO FURTHER THE HOUSING ELEMENT OF WASHINGTON
COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN SPECIFIC TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

C. APPROVAL OF WASHINGTON COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY STATE AID
FOR LIBRARIES GRANT AGREEMENT WHICH WILL PROVIDE AN ADDITIONAL
\$71,000 FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY LIBRARY.

F. RECAPITULATION OF 2010 ASSESSMENT ROLL AS REQUIRED BY FLORIDA
STATUTES 197.492.

AGENDA ITEMS:

A. PATRICK A. SCHLENKER, NORTHWEST FLORIDA COMMUNITY HOSPITAL
PROPOSED BUILDING PROJECTS-MR. SCHLENKER UPDATED THE BOARD WHEN THEY
MET AT THE JULY WORKSHOP, HE HAD BROUGHT PLANS TO BUILD TWO NEW
MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDINGS ON CAMPUS; A FACILITY FOR PHYSICIAN
OFFICES, AUDITORIUM AND OUTPATIENT SERVICES AND THEN A FACILITY FOR
HOUSING A RENAL DIALYSIS CLINIC. CURRENTLY THEY LEASE SPACE IN
THEIR HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTER TO DAVITA AND THEY HAVE OUTGROWN
THAT SPACE. DAVITA HAS COME TO THEM TO ASK FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE;
THEY PREFER TO STAY ON CAMPUS BUT IF NWFCH CAN'T PROVIDE THE SPACE,

THEY WILL MOVE OFF CAMPUS AND PROBABLY MOVE OUT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE DIDN'T WANT THAT TO HAPPEN; THEY WOULD BE LOSING TWELVE EMPLOYEES AND THESE EMPLOYEE ARE CITIZENS WHO USE THOSE SERVICES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND THEY WOULD HAVE TO TRAVEL MUCH FURTHER DISTANCES AND QUITE HONESTLY THE HOSPITAL WOULD LOSE THEIR ANCILLARIES AND THEIR LAB TEST THEY DO FOR THE PATIENTS THAT GO INTO THAT CLINIC.

MR. SCHLENKER ADDRESSED WHAT HE HAD SHARED WITH THE BOARD AT THEIR WORKSHOP WAS NWFHC WAS TALKING TO THE SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION AND WERE BEING TOLD THEY WOULD NEED TO REQUEST APPROVAL SO THE MORTGAGE COMPANY COULD LEGALLY ENCUMBER THE FOOTPRINTS OF THOSE TWO FACILITIES. AS THEY GOT INTO IT, THE BOARD ASKED THEY LOOK INTO THIS FURTHER. THE HOSPITAL'S ATTORNEY GOT WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND HE GOT WITH THE SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION AND THEY SENT HIM WHAT THEY SAID WAS THE ONLY REQUIREMENT, WHICH WAS A LANDLORD WAIVER DECLARATION AND CONSENT THAT BASICALLY ALLOWED SBA IN CASE OF DEFAULT TO COME IN AND GET PERSONAL PROPERTY. THE HOSPITAL IS FINANCING REAL PROPERTY AND NOT PERSONAL PROPERTY. THE HOSPITAL THEN WENT TO TALKING TO COMMERCIAL LENDERS AND FOUND OUT BASICALLY THEY COULD GET PRETTY MUCH THE SAME RATES AND PROBABLY BETTER TERMS THAN THEY COULD GOING THROUGH SBA AND THEY WOULD NEED NOTHING FROM THE COUNTY. THE COMMERCIAL LENDERS WOULD ACCEPT THE IMPROVEMENTS AS COLLATERAL FOR THE LOAN. THE ACTUAL BUILDINGS WILL SERVE AS COLLATERAL FOR THE LOAN. AS A RESULT OF THAT, THEY REALLY DON'T NEED ANYTHING AT THIS POINT FROM THE COUNTY AND THEY DON'T ANTICIPATE NEEDING ANYTHING FROM THEM. THEY ARE EXCITED ABOUT THE PROJECT; THEY ARE GOING TO KEEP THOSE EMPLOYEES HERE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY AND IN FACT THEY WILL BE HIRING FOUR TO SIX MORE. THEY WILL BE HIRING SIX TO EIGHT EMPLOYEES IN THOSE PHYSICIAN OFFICES. HE APOLOGIZED FOR THE CONFUSION; BUT, AS THEY WENT ALONG THE GAME SEEMED TO CHANGE AND THEY ARE GOING TO BE GOOD TO GO. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY QUESTIONS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT CONGRATULATED MR. SCHLENKER ON THE EXPANSIONS

AND THANKED HIM FOR THE JOBS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE UNDERSTOOD THE DIALYSIS CENTER WAS GOING TO HAVE A SHELTER OVER IT. MR. SCHLENKER SAID THE DIALYSIS CENTER WOULD HAVE A DRIVE THROUGH IN FRONT WHICH THEY DON'T HAVE RIGHT NOW; THIS HAS BEEN A PROBLEM FOR THE DIALYSIS PATIENTS. THAT BUILDING IS GOING TO LOOK JUST LIKE THE HEALTH AND WELLNESS CENTER; BRICK VANEER WITH A METAL ROOF. HE EXPLAINED THEY WOULD NOT TURN A LICK UNTIL HE HAS IN HAND A SIGNED LONG TERM LEASE FROM DAVITA FOR THAT FACILITY. THEY ARE GOING TO TRY AND GET A TWELVE YEAR LEASE AND NO LESS THAN A TEN YEAR LEASE.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT HE HEARD WHEN MR. SCHLENKER WAS GETTING HIS FINANCING, HE WOULD BE PUTTING UP PROPERTY. MR. SCHLENKER SAID THEY WOULDN'T BE PUTTING UP PROPERTY; THAT IS A BIG THING THAT CHANGED. THE IMPROVEMENT ITSELF WILL COVER THE COLLATERAL FOR THE LOAN.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IN ESSENCE HE HEARD WHAT HE THOUGHT HE HEARD BECAUSE IF SCHLENKER PUTS THOSE BUILDINGS AS COLLATERAL, THE PROPERTY STILL BELONGS TO WASHINGTON COUNTY. HE QUESTIONED SCHLENKER HOW COULD HE DO THAT.

MR. SCHLENKER SAID THEY HAVE PERMISSION IN THE LEASE TO BE ABLE TO ADD ANY NEW BUILDING UP TO \$2,000,000 WITHOUT COUNTY APPROVAL; JUST LIKE THEY ADDED THE M.O.B. WITHOUT GETTING APPROVAL FROM THEM. SINCE INDIVIDUALLY THOSE BUILDINGS DON'T COME CLOSE TO \$2,000,000, THEY ARE OKAY TO GO FORWARD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM LOOKING AT THE LEASE AND MR. SCHLENKER IS RIGHT ABOUT THAT.

MR. SCHLENKER ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS; THERE WAS NO RESPONSE.

HEATHER FINCH, HUMAN RESOURCE OFFICER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING DISCUSSION AT THE JULY 18TH WORKSHOP ON THE EMPLOYEE HEALTH INSURANCE. SHE IS GOING TO SPEAK TO THEM AGAIN TONIGHT ON THIS AS WELL AS WHERE DOES THE BOARD WANT TO GO AS FAR AS CONTRIBUTION RATES FOR THE EMPLOYEES. HER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT SHE WAS ASKED AT THE LAST MEETING WAS TO COME UP WITH THE COST SAVINGS TO THE COUNTY FOR THE DEPENDENT COVERAGE; SHE WAS GIVEN THE DIRECTIVE OF COMPARING A 50% CONTRIBUTION RATE BY THE BOARD AND A 25% CONTRIBUTION RATE BY THE BOARD. SHE WAS ALSO ASKED TO FIND OUT IF BCBS WOULD EXTEND THEIR PLAN UNTIL JANUARY AND WHETHER OR NOT THEY COULD CHANGE THEIR OPEN ENROLLMENT YEAR TO JANUARY.

HEATHER REPORTED THAT BCBS WILL NOT LET THEM EXTEND FROM OCTOBER TO JANUARY; THE COUNTY WILL HAVE TO RENEW THEIR PLAN IN OCTOBER AS BCBS WILL NOT EXTEND THEIR RATES UNTIL JANUARY. WHAT BCBS WILL LET THE COUNTY DO IS GO AHEAD AND RENEW IN OCTOBER AND IN JANUARY, IF THEY WANT TO RENEW AGAIN, THEY CAN AND THAT WILL GIVE THE BOARD A NEW PLAN YEAR STARTING JANUARY WHICH WILL HELP A LOT DURING THE BUDGETING PROCESS. IT WON'T BE SUCH A CRUNCH LIKE IT HAS BEEN FOR YEARS AND YEARS. SHE WANTED THE BOARD TO REALIZE IN THAT, EVERY QUARTER BCBS HAS AN INCREASE OF SOME SORT BECAUSE OF CLAIMS AND CLAIMS HISTORY. WHEN THE BOARD GETS THEIR NEW RATES, IF THEY DO WISH TO RENEW AGAIN IN JANUARY, THE RATES WILL HAVE ADDED IN A QUARTER'S WORTH OF CLAIMS. THOSE CLAIMS COULD BE GOOD OR THEY COULD BE BAD; THERE IS NO WAY OF KNOWING THIS. THEREFORE, THE BOARD MAY SEE AN INCREASE IF THEY WANT TO RENEW AGAIN IN JANUARY. AT THAT TIME, THE BOARD CAN SAY FORGET IT AND AGREE TO STAY ON THE OCTOBER YEAR AND SEE WHERE THEY ARE AT; THEY CAN LOOK AT IT IN JANUARY AGAIN IF THEY WANT TO DO THAT.

AS FAR AS THE SAVINGS GO, SHE WORKED WITH TRACY AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT ABOUT THE SAVINGS FOR THEIR DEPARTMENT BECAUSE THEY HAVE A DIFFERENT BCBS PLAN THAN THE BOARD EMPLOYEES AND SHE WORKED WITH MS. DIANNE TO COME UP WITH THE NUMBERS. SHE DOES HAVE SOME FIGURES

FOR THE BOARD TO LOOK AT ON THE SAVINGS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE BOARD MUST RENEW IN OCTOBER AND THAT COULD RUN THEM FROM OCTOBER THROUGH JANUARY IF THEY JUST WANTED TO DO A QUARTER WITH THIS PLAN. FROM NOW UNTIL OCTOBER, THEY ARE ALREADY SET IN STONE. REALLY AND TRULY, THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE TO TAKE ANY ACTION ON THIS TONIGHT IF THEY WANTED TO LEAVE EVERYTHING THE SAME. HE ASKED IF THAT WAS CORRECT.

HEATHER ADVISED HIM THE WAY THE BILLING RUNS, THE BILLING STARTS IN SEPTEMBER. SINCE THERE WILL BE A RATE INCREASE EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 1ST, THE BOARD NEEDS TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THEY WANT TO STAY WITH THE CURRENT PLANS THEY ARE ON AT THE CONTRIBUTION RATES THEY ARE AT OR GO WITH THE NEW COMPLIANT PLANS THEY TALKED ABOUT JULY 18TH AND CHANGE THEIR CONTRIBUTION RATE. THE REASON WHY THE BOARD HAS TO DO THAT IS BECAUSE THE PLAN YEAR STARTS OVER OCTOBER 1ST. THE FIRST PAY PERIOD IN SEPTEMBER, BOARD FINANCE DEDUCTS THE NEW RATES FROM THE EMPLOYEE; IN AUGUST, SHE HAS TO HAVE OPEN ENROLLMENT MEETINGS WITH EACH EMPLOYEE SO THEY UNDERSTAND WHAT THEIR BENEFITS ARE AND WHAT THOSE NEW DEDUCTIONS WILL BE. BCBS NEEDS TIME TO PREPARE IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO CHANGE PLANS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE BOARD LEFT THE PLANS THE SAME, THE INCREASE WOULD COME IN AUGUST.

HEATHER REITERATED THE INCREASE WILL COME IN OCTOBER 1ST; BUT, THE BILL COMES IN SEPTEMBER. THEY BILL IN ADVANCE. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW STATED IN SEPTEMBER THEY WILL HAVE TO START DEDUCTING FOR THE OCTOBER PREMIUM; THEY DON'T PREBILL.

HEATHER SAID THE MONIES ARE DEDUCTED AHEAD OF TIME SO THERE IS ENOUGH MONEY TO PAY THE BCBS WHEN THE BILL COMES IN. SHE TOLD THE BOARD SHE NEEDS TO KNOW TONIGHT WHAT THE CONTRIBUTION RATES ARE GOING TO BE AND HOW THE BOARD FEELS THEY SHOULD MOVE FORWARD AS FAR AS THE INSURANCE GOES. HER UNDERSTANDING IT IS THE DEPENDENT COVER-AGE THEY WERE MOSTLY CONCERNED ABOUT BECAUSE OF THE LARGE EXPENSE THERE WAS BECAUSE OF THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES THAT HAVE IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE HAD COME UP WITH A RECOMMENDATION ON THE INSURANCE PLANS.

HEATHER ADVISED THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE MET AND THEIR RECOMMENDATION WAS FOR THE BOARD, BECAUSE THEY WANT TO CONTINUE ON WITH THE PLANS THEY HAVE, THEY LIKE THE NEW PLANS AND WANT TO MOVE ON TO THE NEW COMPLIANT PLANS. SHE HAD PROVIDED THE BOARD A PAGE WITH FOUR DIFFERENT COLORS ON IT WHICH WAS INFORMATION ON THE NEW COMPLIANT PLANS. THIS INFORMATION SHOWS A BASIC BREAKDOWN OF THE MAJOR SERVICES PEOPLE ARE CONCERNED ABOUT SO THEY CAN SEE WHAT THEY WILL BE PURCHASING FOR THEIR EMPLOYEES; THE THINGS THAT REALLY MATTER, THE MAX OUT OF POCKET, HOW MUCH IT IS GOING TO COST YOU FROM DAY 1, IF YOU GO IN THE HOSPITAL, THE MOST OUT OF POCKET IT WILL COST, THE PHYSICIAN COST, THE SPECIALIST COST, HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION FEE, ER AND THE PROVIDER SERVICE AT THE HOSPITAL AS WELL AS THE PHARMACY. THE COMMITTEE LIKES THE COMPLIANT PLANS BECAUSE CURRENTLY THE PLANS THE COUNTY CURRENTLY HAVE HAS A DEDUCTIBLE FOR THE PRESCRIPTIONS; SO, EVERY JANUARY EMPLOYEES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR \$50. THIS YEAR, BCBS WORKED WITH THEM AND THEY FINALLY HAVE A PLAN WITH NO DEDUCTIBLE AND THE GENERIC PRESCRIPTIONS WENT DOWN FROM \$15 TO \$10. THEY ARE AT A 10/30/50 WITH THAT WITH NO DEDUCTIBLE. THE COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION WAS TO GO WITH THE NEW COMPLIANT PLANS; THEY LIKE HAVING THREE OPTIONS. THEY DON'T WANT FOUR AS IT IS TOO COMPLICATED. THEY DO HAVE QUOTES FOR FOUR WITH FOUR DIFFERENT DEDUCTIBLES WHICH ARE ALL DIFFERENT AND HAVE FOUR DIFFERENT PRICES. THE COMMITTEE LIKES HAVING THREE; THEIR RECOMMENDATION, AS BAD AS THEY HATE TO DO IT, WAS TO GO TO A 50% CONTRIBUTION FOR THE EMPLOYEE/DEPENDENT COVERAGE SO THEY COULD SAVE A LITTLE BIT OF MONEY AND HOPEFULLY STILL HELP OUT. IF NEED BE NEXT YEAR, THEY SCALE BACK AGAIN. THE COMMITTEE DID TALK ABOUT THAT AS WELL BECAUSE THEY KNOW WHAT IS COMING.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ASKED IF THEY WENT TO 50% ON THE FAMILY COVERAGE, HOW MUCH WOULD THAT BE FOR AN EMPLOYEE, LETS SAY AT THE

ROAD DEPARTMENT. HEATHER ADVISED ROUGHLY ABOUT \$600 A MONTH.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE KNOWS THERE ARE A LOT AT THE ROAD DEPARTMENT THAT CAN'T AFFORD THIS. IT IS KIND OF LIKE FISHING; THEY CUT IT DOWN TO 50% AND TAKE A FISHING POLE OUT HERE AND SAY "HEY, YOU CAN GET IT IF YOU WANT IT." THERE IS A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT CAN'T AFFORD IT. EVEN IF YOU KNOCK IT DOWN TO 25%, HE REALLY DON'T KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE CAN AFFORD THAT. HE HATES TO GIVE SOMEBODY SOME INSURANCE WHEN THEY CAN'T AFFORD TO GET IT. HE ASKED HOW MANY PEOPLE WITH THE COUNTY HAS FAMILY COVERAGE.

HEATHER ADVISED THE SHERIFF HAS 27 EMPLOYEES AND THE COUNTY HAS ABOUT 30 WHICH INCLUDES THE CLERK, TAX COLLECTOR, PROPERTY APPRAISER, BOARD AND THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED EMPLOYEES COULD ALSO CHOOSE PLAN D, WHICH WOULD BE LESSER OF A COST TO THE EMPLOYEE; THE EMPLOYEE COULD BUY IN A LESSER OF AN INSURANCE, HAVE A HIGHER DEDUCTIBLE BUT BE MUCH MORE AFFORDABLE.

HEATHER ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT; PLAN D IS THE LOWEST POSSIBLE PLAN AT \$461 A MONTH FOR EMPLOYEE ONLY COVERAGE AND IT HAS A \$5,000 DEDUCTIBLE WITH A \$7,500 MAX OUT OF POCKET. IF THEY WERE TO GO TO THE HOSPITAL AND THEY HAVE SURGERY, A BABY, WHATEVER, IT IS GOING TO BE \$7,500 FOR COVERED SERVICES. THERE AREN'T MANY SERVICES THAT AREN'T COVERED; ELECTED STUFF WOULDNT BE, HELICOPTER RIDES AREN'T COVERED. THOSE ARE THINGS THE EMPLOYEE NEEDS TO BE CONSCIOUS OF; MAKING SURE THEY ARE PICKING A COVERAGE THAT IS IN-NETWORKER PROVIDER. THAT IS GOING TO BE A BIG GAMBLE FOR THE EMPLOYEE TO TAKE BECAUSE MOST LIKELY IF AN EMPLOYEE CAN'T AFFORD THE PLAN, THEY MOST LIKELY DON'T HAVE A \$7,500 CREDIT CARD. THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE A CREDIT CARD IF THEY NEED TO GO TO THE HOSPITAL; SO, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE A LOT OF UNHEALTHY FOLKS NOT GOING TO THE DOCTOR AND NOT GETTING THEIR NEEDS MET. SHE SAID SHE PERSONALLY WOULD NEVER GET PLAN D; BUT, IF IT IS ALL SHE HAD OR IF IT WAS ALL THE BOARD COULD GIVE HER, SHE WOULD GET IT AND WORK OUT A WAY TO BUY UP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED DIDN'T PLAN D ALSO INCLUDE A PRESCRIPTION PLAN. HEATHER SAID IT WAS THE SAME EXACT COVERAGE; 10/30/50 WITH NO DEDUCTIBLE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED, OUT OF THE EMPLOYEES WHO HAVE COVERAGE NOW, HOW MANY OF THEM WOULD HAVE USED UP THIS INSURANCE AS FAR AS THE PLAN D. HEATHER SAID SHE HAD NO IDEA.

SHERIFF HADDOCK TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HE WOULD ADDRESS THIS FOR ONE OF HIS EMPLOYEES; TWO HELICOPTER RIDES FOR HER FIVE YEAR OLD SON WHO HAD ASTHMA COST \$15,000.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR HIS UNDERSTANDING, SAID RIGHT NOW THE COUNTY IS PAYING 71% OF THE FAMILY COVERAGE. HEATHER AGREED THAT WAS RIGHT; THEY ARE PAYING 71% TO 72% OF THE DEPENDENT PLAN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE IS RECOMMENDING TO THIS BOARD THEY REDUCE THEIR CONTRIBUTION DOWN FROM 71%, 72% DOWN TO 50%.

HEATHER ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT; OBVIOUSLY, THEY WOULD PREFER TO STAY WHERE THEY ARE AT AS THEY DON'T WANT TO SEE ANYBODY'S POCKET HURT. BUT, KNOWING WHERE THE COUNTY IS AT BUDGET WISE AND WHAT THEY HAVE ALL BEEN TOLD, THE COMMITTEE'S RECOMMENDATION WAS TO GO TO 50%.

MR. JOYNER ADDRESSED, BY THE BOARD REDUCING THEIR CONTRIBUTION FOR DEPENDENT HEALTH CARE TO 50%, THIS WOULD GIVE THEM A SAVINGS OF \$47,000. HEATHER EXPLAINED THE \$47,000 SAVINGS WAS FOR THE SHERIFF AND FOR THE BOARD THERE WOULD BE A \$94,000 SAVINGS.

SHERIFF HADDOCK WANTED THE BOARD TO UNDERSTAND IT COST THE COUNTY MORE PER PERSON THAN IT DOES THE SHERIFF. THAT IS THE REASON YEARS AGO THEY SPLIT FROM THE COUNTY TO SAVE THEM MONEY OVER ALL.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD HEATHER SHE HAD GIVEN THE BOARD EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PLAN D OF \$469 PER MONTH AND REQUESTED SHE GIVE THEM EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS FOR PLANS A, B AND C.

HEATHER EXPLAINED TO COMMISSIONER PATE THIS INFORMATION WAS ON THE HANDOUT SHE HAD PROVIDED ON THE NEW RATES FOR THE NEW PLANS.

IT WOULD BE UP TO THE BOARD WHAT THEY WERE GOING TO DO WITH THE DEPENDENT PERCENTAGE AND ALSO WHAT THEY WANT TO DO FOR THE EMPLOYEES. CURRENTLY, THE EMPLOYEE PAYS \$20 A MONTH FOR A \$1,000 DEDUCTIBLE PLAN; IF THEY WANT A \$500 DEDUCTIBLE PLAN WITH A MORE RICHER BENEFIT, THE EMPLOYEE WOULD PAY \$70.04 PER MONTH. IF THEY WANT TO STAY WITH THOSE NUMBERS OR THEY WANT TO DO A PERCENTAGE TOTALLY OR IF THEY WANTED TO GIVE AN EMPLOYEE A BASE PLAN AND ALLOW THEM TO BUY UP. THE BOARD WOULD GIVE THE EMPLOYEE \$461 OR \$502, WHICHEVER ONE THEY CHOOSE, THEN THEY WILL HAVE \$461 TOWARD THAT PLAN AND WILL MAKE UP THE DIFFERENCE IF THEY WANT THE HIGHER END PLAN. IF SHE WANTS A \$500 DEDUCTIBLE BECAUSE SHE KNOWS SHE VISITS THE DOCTOR A LOT, SHE IS GOING TO BUY UP AND THIS IS WHAT THEY ARE DOING NOW; THAT IS WHY THE DIFFERENCE IN THE TWO PLANS. THIS ALLOWS THE EMPLOYEE THAT OPTION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID RIGHT NOW FOR THE EMPLOYEE, WHAT IS THE COUNTY CONTRIBUTING TO THE INDIVIDUAL DOLLAR WISE. HEATHER ADVISED THE COUNTY PAID \$514.99 OR \$519.99; THE NUMBERS JUST FELL THAT WAY SO IT IS ABOUT \$520 A MONTH PER EMPLOYEE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHERE THE 12% BCBS INCREASE WENT TO. SHERIFF HADDOCK SAID THIS MUST HAVE BEEN THE FIRST QUOTE HEATHER GOT.

HEATHER SAID THE 12% INCREASE MAY HAVE BEEN THE ORIGINAL QUOTE; IT THEN WENT DOWN TO ABOUT 6% AND THEY ARE NOW JUST UNDER 3% BECAUSE THEY MOVED TO DIFFERENT PLANS, WHICH MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE HEALTH CARE REFORM.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED WHAT IS COMING DOWN ON THE BUDGET, ETC, IT PAINS HIM TO SAY THIS; BUT, SOMEWHERE ANOTHER DOWN THE LINE THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO DRAW THE LINE AND DO WHAT THEY ARE SENT HERE TO DO WHICH IS TAKE CARE OF BUSINESS. HE IS NOT RAISING AD VALOREM TAXES. MOST OF THIS COMES OUT OF AD VALOREM TAXES. THEY ARE NOT AT A POINT TO DIVULGE SOME OF THE THINGS ON THE BUDGET. THE MAIN THING IS WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO ASK THE EMPLOYEE TO PAY. IF THEY ARE LOOKING FOR AN EASY RIDE THIS YEAR, THEY MIGHT WANT TO GET OUT WHILE THEY

CAN.

HEATHER INFORMED THE BOARD BCBS WILL ALLOW THEM TO CHANGE THEIR CONTRIBUTION RATE; IF THEY SET CONTRIBUTION RATES TONIGHT AND THEY GO AND HAVE THEIR OPEN ENROLLMENT MEETINGS IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS AND GET THIS SETTLED WITH EMPLOYEES. IN JANUARY IF THEY SEE THE MONEY THEY WERE EXPECTING IS NOT COMING IN, THEY CAN CUT THE CONTRIBUTION RATE BACK AGAIN. BCBS HAS SAID THE BOARD COULD ALTER THEIR CONTRIBUTION RATE IN JANUARY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SUGGESTED THE BOARD TABLE THE DECISION ON THE INSURANCE FOR A WORKSHOP POSSIBLY ON MONDAY WEEK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THEM HAVING A WORKSHOP EARLIER IN THE MONTH. COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE INFORMATION AT THAT TIME.

HEATHER ASKED COULD THEY MEET NEXT WEEK AND VOTE; SHE CAN WAIT A WEEK. SHE HAS INSURANCE MEETINGS SCHEDULED FOR THE SECOND WEEK OF AUGUST AND THE THIRD WEEK OF AUGUST SHE HAS OPEN ENROLLMENT SCHEDULED JUST SO SHE HAS ENOUGH TIME TO GET THE EMPLOYEES EDUCATED ON THE NEW PLANS AND ENOUGH TIME TO GET FINANCE INFORMATION SO THEY CAN GET IT ENTERED IN FOR DEDUCTIONS TO PAYROLL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY COULD CALL A SPECIAL MEETING NEXT WEEK, PROBABLY TUESDAY OR WEDNESDAY IF POSSIBLE AND TABLE THIS UNTIL THEN AND HAVE A DISCUSSION AND A MOTION. IF THEY HAVE A WORKSHOP, THEY CAN'T MOVE OR CAN'T ACT; BUT, IF THEY HAVE A SPECIAL MEETING THEY CAN ADDRESS THE INSURANCE AND ACT ON IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHEN THE NEXT BUDGET WORKSHOP WAS.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THAT WOULD BE TOO LATE TO TAKE CARE OF THE INSURANCE AND YOU CAN'T ACT ON ANYTHING AT WORKSHOPS ANYHOW.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE REASON HE ASKED IS BECAUSE HE KNOWS THEY NEED SOMETHING TO START BUDGETING AND GET CORRECT FIGURES FOR THE BUDGET. HE ASKED HEATHER WHAT SHE NEEDED NOW.

HEATHER EXPLAINED SHE HAS TO KNOW IF THE BOARD WILL ALLOW THEM TO MOVE PLANS FROM WHERE THEY CURRENTLY ARE TO THE NEW PLANS AND SHE

HAS TO KNOW HOW MUCH THE BOARD IS GOING TO PAY FOR EMPLOYEE COVERAGE AND FOR THE DEPENDENT PRODUCT. ARE THEY GOING TO KEEP IT WHERE IT IS AT OR ARE THEY GOING TO CHANGE IT.

CLERK COOK TOLD THE BOARD WHEN THEY HAVE THESE INSURANCE COMMITTEE MEETINGS, THEY DON'T REALLY EVER GET ANYTHING ACCOMPLISHED. THIS SHOULD BE THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AND MAYBE HEATHER SINCE SHE KNOWS MORE ABOUT THE INSURANCE. SHE REITERATED AT THE COMMITTEE MEETINGS, THEY DON'T EVER REALLY GET ANYTHING ACCOMPLISHED.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED; THE COMMITTEE BRINGS THEIR RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD AND THEY PASS IT. THEY ARE TRYING TO BREAK SOME OF THOSE OLD THINGS AND IT IS NOT GOING TO BE POPULAR.

HEATHER SAID THE ONLY THING SHE FEELS THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE ACCOMPLISHES IS THEY TALK ABOUT THE PLANS SO EVERYBODY IS COMFORTABLE IN EXPLAINING THE PLANS TO THEIR STAFF. AS FAR AS THE DECISION GOES, THE COMMITTEE DOESN'T GET ANYWHERE. THEY COULD CALL A SPECIAL MEETING AND ANYBODY WHO WANTS TO MEET WITH HER IN BETWEEN TIMES TO BETTER UNDERSTAND THE PLANS, SHE WOULD BE GLAD TO PROVIDE THEM WITH ANY INFORMATION THEY MAY NEED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT THE EARLIEST THEY COULD GET A SPECIAL MEETING NOTICED WOULD BE TOMMORROW; SO, THEY PROBABLY NEED TO SET THE SPECIAL MEETING FOR WEDNESDAY IF THAT IS IN AGREEMENT WITH EVERYONE. HEATHER SAID AS LONG AS SHE CAN GET THE INFORMATION BY NEXT WEEK, THAT IS ALL SHE NEEDS.

CLERK COOK ASKED IF THEY ARE STILL GOING TO HAVE THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE OR IS THE BOARD JUST GOING TO LET MR. JOYNER HANDLE IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHO APPOINTED THE COMMITTEE. HEATHER SAID THEY DIDN'T USE TO HAVE ONE; BUT, ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO SHE WAS ASKED BY THE BOARD TO APPOINT A COMMITTEE. HER COMMITTEE CONSISTS OF PEOPLE WHO REPRESENT THE POPULATION OF THE EMPLOYEES; MS. HELEN, MS. LINDA, MS. DIANNE, MR. BARFIELD, MS. RILEY, ETC. ARE ON THE COMMITTEE.

COMMISSIONER PATE DIDN'T REALLY HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH HEATHER,

STEVE AND MAYBE ANOTHER STAFF MEMBER WORKING THIS OUT AND BRINGING IT BACK TO THE BOARD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY WOULD GO AHEAD AND SCHEDULE THE SPECIAL MEETING FOR NEXT WEEK TO DEAL WITH INSURANCE OR PUT IT ON THERE SO THE BOARD CAN TAKE ACTION ON IT. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ASKED IF THE TIME WOULD BE SET LATER. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THEY ARE BOUNCING IT AROUND RIGHT NOW; IT LOOKS LIKE FRIDAY BUT THEY WILL GO AHEAD AND POST IT TOMMORROW.

CLERK COOK SAID THEY STILL HADN'T ANSWERED HER QUESTION IF THEY WERE GOING TO DISBAN THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY WOULD MAKE A DECISION ON FRIDAY. CHAIRMAN PATE SAID SINCE HE DIDN'T APPOINT IT, THE BOARD WILL HAVE TO TELL HIM IF THEY WANT TO GET RID OF IT.

CLERK COOK SAID THAT IS JUST HER PERSONAL OPINION; BUT, THE COMMITTEE REALLY IS JUST USELESS. IT IS JUST TIME WASTED; THEY JUST NEED ONE OR TWO PEOPLE TO DECIDE WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO DO.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO DISBAN THE COMMITTEE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE COMMITTEE HAS ALREADY SPOKEN AND HE IS PREPARED TO MAKE A DECISION TONIGHT ON THE INSURANCE; BUT, IF THE MAJORITY OF THE BOARD WANTS TO WAIT UNTIL NEXT WEEK, HE IS GOOD WITH THAT TOO. HE HAS DONE HIS INVESTIGATION ON THE NUMBERS HE HAS RECEIVED FROM HEATHER. HE IS TOTALLY PREPARED TO WAIT UNTIL NEXT WEEK; BUT, HE DON'T THINK THEY NEED ANY MORE INPUT AT THIS POINT FROM THE INSURANCE COMMITTEE.

SHERIFF HADDOCK WANTED TO GO ON RECORD HE IS AWARE THE BOARD HAS A MONUMENTAL TASK IN FRONT OF THEM. HE HAS SAT IN ON BUDGET MEETINGS THIS WEEK AND COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND THE COUNTY MANAGER HAS TALKED ABOUT THE BUDGET. HE KNOWS THE BOARD HAS TO MAKE THESE TOUGH DECISIONS. WHEN HIS GUYS ARE MAKING THE STARTING SALARY AND OVER HALF OF THEM MAKING \$28,500 A YEAR AND THEN THE BOARD IS GOING TO ASK THEM TO PAY ANOTHER \$4,000 TO \$6,000 A YEAR, IT MEANS \$22,000 THEY WILL TAKE HOME. HE HAS PEOPLE BAILING SHIFTS NOW LOOKING

FOR JOBS; HE HAS FOUR OFFICERS HE IS GOING TO HAVE TO FILL BECAUSE OF THE INSURANCE ISSUE ALONE. HE KNOWS WHAT THE BOARD IS TALKING ABOUT AND WANTS TO GO ON RECORD HE IS NOT AGAINST WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO. HE KNOWS THEY HAVE SOME TOUGH DECISIONS; HE HAS MADE SOME TOUGH DECISIONS AND MAY HAVE TO MAKE SOME MORE. HE HAS GOT TO SERVE THE PUBLIC AND PUBLIC SAFETY IS HIS ISSUE AND HE IS ATIMATE ABOUT HIS EMPLOYEEES AND PUBLIC SAFETY.

MIKE DERUNTZ, SENIOR PLANNER, APOLOGIZED TO THE BOARD AND THE PUBLIC FOR NOT BEING PRESENT AT 5:00 P.M. HE TRIED TO SQUEEZE A MEETING IN AND IT KEPT HIM A LITTLE LONGER.

CHAIRMAN PATE OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE AND INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT.

MR. DERUNTZ UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE PUBLIC HEARING HAVING BEEN DULY ADVERTISED. THE FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE HAS BEEN IN EXISTENCE IN ITS PRESENT STATE SINCE 2006. RECENTLY WASHINGTON COUNTY WAS A RECIPIENT OF HAVING A NEW FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY COMPLETED FOR THEM AND AS SUCH, THERE WERE NEW FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS PREPARED. AS OF JULY 1, 2011, THE NEW FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAPS HAVE BEEN IN EFFECT AND THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM REQUIRES THE COUNTY ORDINANCES BE UPDATED TO MEET THE CURRENT STANDARDS OF THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM. THE COUNTY ORDINANCE WAS REVIEWED BY THE STATE AND FEMA; THEY PREPARED A PUNCH LIST OF ITEMS THAT NEEDS TO BE MODIFIED IN THE ORDINANCE. THEY HAVE ADDRESSED EACH OF THESE ISSUES AND PROPOSED AMENDMENTS BEFORE THE BOARD ADDRESSES THOSE ITEMS. THEY ARE PRETTY INSIGNIFICANT IN NATURE; HE THOUGHT THE BIGGEST ITEM WAS IDENTIFYING THE BUILDING OFFICIAL IS THE FLOODPLAIN MANAGMENT ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE COUNTY. THIS WAS NOT CLEAR IN THE COUNTY'S PREVIOUS ORDINANCE. THOSE MODIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THESE AMENDMENTS BE APPROVED SO WASHINGTON COUNTY CAN CONTINUE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR PROPERTY OWNERS THAT DO HAVE TO CARRY FLOOD INSURANCE; IF WASHINGTON COUNTY IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH

THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM, IT WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE TO THEM. IT COULD BE A REAL ISSUE BECAUSE ANY MORTGAGE THAT IS BACKED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN OUR BANKS IS REQUIRED TO HAVE FLOOD INSURANCE. HE OPENED THE FLOOR FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON THE FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE AND INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT. THERE WAS NO RESPONSE. HE CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE AND INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND IT PASSED UNANIMOUSLY TO APPROVE THE FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT ORDINANCE AND INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT.

CHAIRMAN PATE OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING ON APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE-SECTION 7-SIGN REGULATION FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY.

MR. DERUNTZ UPDATED THE BOARD SEVERAL MONTHS AGO HE WAS DIRECTED TO LOOK AT MAKING MODIFICATIONS TO THE EXISTING SIGN ORDINANCE WHICH IS SECTION 7 OF THE LDC. HE BROUGHT THIS TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND IT WAS RECOMMENDED A BOARD OF REPRESENTED CITIZENS, BUSINESS OWNERS BE SELECTED AND EMPOWERED TO LOOK AT THE COUNTY'S CURRENT ORDINANCE AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS. THEY HAD A COMMITTEE THAT VOLUNTEERED OF BUSINESS OWNERS THAT DEALT WITH SIGNS IN THE COUNTY, GENERAL PUBLIC PERSONS, COMMISSIONERS, PLANNING COMMISSIONERS AND THEY STARTED MEETING IN NOVEMBER OF LAST YEAR AND PROCEEDED TO LOOK OVER THE COUNTY'S ORDINANCES. THEY REVIEWED OTHER COMMUNITIES ORDINANCES AND IT WAS A RECOMMENDATION BY THAT SIGN ORDINANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE THEY SHOULD LOOK AT STEPPING THEIR EXISTING ORDINANCE ASIDE AND MODIFY ANOTHER COUNTY'S TO MORE REFLECT WASHINGTON COUNTY'S NEEDS. THE COMMITTEE MET FOR OVER SEVEN MONTHS AND REVIEWED THE ORDINANCES AND PRESENTED THEIR RECOMMENDATION AFTER HOLDING TWO INFORMATIONAL MEETINGS WHERE THEY RECEIVED COMMENTS FROM BUSINESS OWNERS AND COMMISSIONERS. THE COMMITTEE ADDRESSED THOSE ITEMS AND MADE THE CHANGES TO THE ORDINANCE; IT WAS A GREAT PROCESS.

HE IS VERY PROUD OF THE CITIZENS THAT STEPPED FORWARD. IT WAS VERY ENCOURAGING. THEY ATTENDED THE MEETINGS, REVIEWED THE MATERIALS AND WORKED THROUGH ISSUES; THEY CAME INTO COMPROMISES. NOT EVERYBODY WAS HAPPY WITH EVERYTHING; BUT, THEY ALL AGREED ON AND UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED TO SEND IT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION. THERE WERE SOME ADDITIONAL CONCERNS THAT SURFACED AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING. THE ORDINANCE WAS SENT BACK TO THE SIGN ORDINANCE COMMITTEE; THE COMMITTEE REVIEWED THOSE ISSUES, MADE MODIFICATIONS AND UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDED APPROVAL. THE WCPC REVIEWED THOSE CHANGES AND IT WAS APPROVED; THE WCPC IS RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE NEW SIGN ORDINANCE, A NEW SECTION 7 OF THE LDC. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY QUESTIONS.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF ANY OF THE COMMISSIONERS HAD ANY QUESTIONS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM ATTENDING TWO OF THE SIGN COMMITTEE MEETINGS AND FOUND THERE WAS A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF EFFORT AND WORK PUT INTO THE NEW SIGN ORDINANCE. HE COMMENDED MR. DERUNTZ FOR GUIDING THE COMMITTEE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM ASKING AT THE WORKSHOP THAT MR. DERUNTZ TAKE A FINAL COPY OF WHAT HE IS ASKING THE BOARD TO VOTE ON AND GIVE IT TO THE SIGN COMPANIES IN WASHINGTON COUNTY TO SEE IF THEY HAVE ANY CONCERNS. HE UNDERSTANDS THAT WAS DONE AND ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY CONCERNS THAT CAME BACK TO MR. DERUNTZ.

MR. DERUNTZ ADVISED THERE WERE NO CONCERNS THAT CAME BACK TO HIM. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THESE SIGN COMPANIES RESPONSE BACK TO MR. DERUNTZ WAS THEY HAD NO CONCERNS.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID THERE WERE NO COMMENTS BACK; HE TOLD THEM IF THEY HAD ANY ISSUES TO LET HIM KNOW AND HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT THE ISSUES. AT THIS POINT, THE NEW SIGN ORDINANCE STANDS AS RECOMMENDED.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF ANY MEMBER OF THE AUDIENCE WANTED TO ADDRESS THE BOARD ON THE AMENDMENT TO THE LDC, SECTION 7 REGARDING

SIGN REGULATIONS FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY. THERE WAS NO RESPONSE.

CHAIRMAN PATE CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE SIGN ORDINANCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY TO APPROVE THE NEW SIGN REGULATIONS AS WRITTEN.

MR. DERUNTZ REQUESTED TO HAVE THE SIGN COMMITTEE COME TO THE BOARD'S NEXT WORKSHOP OR BOARD MEETING SO THE BOARD COULD EXPRESS THEIR APPRECIATION TO THE SIGN COMMITTEE FOR THEIR EFFORTS.

AGENDAED ITEMS: JESSE SASSER-PRESENTATION ON EVOLUTION OF EVENTS AT LAMAR SIKES PIT.

CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MR. SASSER THE LENGTH OF THE PRESENTATION WAS FIFTEEN MINUTES; NO MORE THAN THAT AND THAT WILL BE ENFORCED. NO QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS BECAUSE OF THE ONGOING LAWSUIT AND LITIGATION. HE ASKED MR. SASSER TO RESPECT THE BOARD'S WISHES SO THEY MAY NOT SAY SOMETHING OR HEAR SOMETHING THAT MIGHT JEOPARDIZE THEIR POSITIONS IN THESE LAWSUITS. THERE WILL ONLY BE ONE PRESENTATION ON THIS ISSUE AND THAT INCLUDES THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE.

MR. SASSER, 5130 PINE RIDGE DRIVE, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA, WAS PRESENT TO SPEAK TO THE BOARD ON A TOPIC THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO HIM AND JUDGING THE CROWD, IT SEEMS TO BE IMPORTANT TO A LOT OF PEOPLE. THE TOPIC IS THE SIKES SAND PIT. THESE ARE THE FACTS WE ALL KNOW; SINCE CHAIRMAN PATE HAS LIMITED HIM TO FIFTEEN MINUTES HE IS GOING TO BE MOVING THROUGH THIS PRESENTATION RATHER QUICKLY. IF ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A COPY OF THE INFORMATION THAT IS BEING PRESENTED TO THE BOARD, IF THEY WOULD LEAVE THEIR EMAIL ADDRESS WITH ONE OF THE LADIES ASSISTING WITH THE PRESENTATION, HE WOULD BE GLAD TO PROVIDE THEM WITH A COPY WHEN THEY COMPLETE THE PRESENTATION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THE BOARD COULD GET A COPY AS WELL. MR. SASSER SAID HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO PROVIDE IT TO THEM AS WELL.

MR. SASSER BEGAN WITH HIS PRESENTATION STATING THEY ALL KNOW LAMAR SIKES STARTED HIS OPERATIONS IN THE SINKHOLE AND THEY CAN SEE FROM THE AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH, THERE WERE TWO SINKHOLES ON THIS

PROPERTY AND HE HAS MARKED THEM WITH BOXES SO THE BOARD CAN SEE WHERE THEY ARE AND TRACK THE TRANSITIONS TO 2007. THEY CAN SEE AS IT TRANSITIONS, BOTH SINKHOLES ARE LOCATED WITHIN TWO DIFFERENT LAKES CREATED BY THE MINING OPERATIONS. THEY ALL KNOW THAT LAMAR SIKES APPLIED FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION PERMIT IN 2007 FROM THE COUNTY TO EXPAND HIS MINING OPERATIONS ON SOME PROPERTIES HE HAD RECENTLY PURCHASED. THEY WILL NOTE THE HIGH LIGHTED PROPERTIES ON THE SLIDE WHICH WAS AN AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH OF 2010 WITH THE PROPERTIES HIGH LIGHTED AND OVERLAYED ONTO IT. THE DIFFERENT COLORED HIGH LIGHTED AREAS DEPICT THOSE PROPERTIES LAMAR SIKES ASKED FOR AN EXPANSION ON HIS MINING PERMIT. IF THEY WILL NOTICE UNDERNEATH, THEY CAN SEE CLEARLY THE MINING ACTIVITIES ARE ALREADY ONGOING. THEY ALL SEE IT DIDN'T MATTER TO LAMAR SIKES WHETHER HE GOT THE APPROVAL OR NOT; HE WAS ALREADY MINING THE PROPERTY WHEN HE ASKED FOR PERMISSION AND CONTINUED TO DO THAT AFTERWARDS. HE HAS ALSO OVERLAYED THE PROPERTY BOUNDARIES SIKES WAS ASKING TO PERMIT IN A 2007 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH. THEY WILL NOTICE THE MINING ACTIVITIES, THOSE CLEARED PROPERTIES, ARE A DIFFERENT COLORED HIGHLIGHT ARE ALREADY IN EXISTENCE IN 2007. THEY ALL SEE IT DOESN'T MATTER TO LAMAR SIKES WHETHER HE GOT APPROVAL OR NOT; HE WAS ALREADY UTILIZING THE PROPERTY WHEN HE ASKED FOR PERMISSION AND HE CONTINUED TO USE IT AFTERWARDS, AFTER HE WAS DENIED. THEY ALL KNOW RESIDENTS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND CONCERNED CITIZENS SHOWED UP IN MASS FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THIS SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND WAS OVERJOYED WHEN THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DENIED SIKES' REQUEST. THEY ALL KNOW SOON AFTER THAT, LAMAR SIKES FILED A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE COUNTY FOR THEIR DENIAL OF THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION ASSERTING AN ANGRY MOB OF CITIZENS PERSUADED THEIR DECISION. THEY ALL KNOW THIS SUIT IS COMPLETELY A SEPARATE SUIT FROM SIKES CONTINUING VIOLATION ON THE EXISTING SPECIAL EXCEPTION PERMIT HE APPLIED FOR AND OBTAINED IN 1998. WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT HERE IS THE MINING PERMIT IN 1998 AND NOT THE SUIT SIKES FILED AGAINST THE COUNTY BECAUSE THEY DENIED TO EXPAND HIS OPERATIONS. THEY ALL KNOW

THAT LAMAR SIKES HAS VIOLATED THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION PERMIT APPROVED BY WASHINGTON COUNTY. THEY ALL KNOW ONE OF SIKE'S VIOLATIONS IS THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF AN ENTRANCE OFF OF STATE ROAD 77. THE CONNECTION WAS PERMITTED BY FDOT IN APRIL OF 2002 AND HAS BEEN UTILIZED AS THE MAIN ENTRANCE TO SIKE'S PIT UNTIL LATE 2010. THAT IS MORE THAN EIGHT YEARS THIS COMMISSION AND ITS STAFF HAS ALLOWED THIS VIOLATION TO EXIST. THEY CAN ALSO SEE FROM THE SLIDE SIKES ACTUALLY HAS TWO DRIVEWAYS; BUT, HE IS ONLY USING ONE OF THEM NOW.

MR. SASSER SAID HE COULD GO INTO MORE INFORMATION; BUT, HE DON'T HAVE TIME WITH THAT ISSUE OF AN ILLEGAL DRIVEWAY ON STATE ROAD 20. THEY ALL KNOW ANOTHER ONE OF SIKES VIOLATIONS IS THE MINING OF A SHELL BASED MATERIAL FOUND BY SIKES OWN PROFESSIONAL HYDROLOGIST AS THE INTERMEDIATE CONFINING AQUAFAER. THIS SAME PROFESSIONAL AND HIS ENGINEER BOTH STATED TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND TO THE FDEP IN THEIR PERMIT APPLICATION THEY WERE ONLY GOING TO MINE SAND. MR. SASSER SAID IT DIDN'T LOOK LIKE SAND TO HIM. THEY ALL KNOW THE DEPTH OF THIS RECLAMATION IS GETTING CLOSE TO THE LIMEROCK FORMATIONS THAT MAKE UP THE FLORIDA INAQUAFAER. MR. SASSER HAD MADE A COMPARISON OF THE SIKES CUT TO WELL INFORMATION THAT CAME FROM THE NWFWMD. MR. SASSER SAID THEY ESTIMATE SIKES IS ABOUT 40' DOWN INTO THE INTERMEDIATE CONFINING AQUAFAER. THEY DETERMINED THIS BY THE SHELL BED; WHERE THE SHELL BED STARTS AND THE SAND ENDS ON THE ADJACENT MINES.

MR. SASSER SHOWED A SLIDE OF A LETTER FROM NWFWMD WRITTEN TO LAMAR SIKES NOTIFYING HIM HE WAS DIGGING IN THE INTERMEDIATE CONFINING AQUAFAER AND THAT HE WAS NO LONGER MINING SAND. NWFWMD GOES ON TO TELL SIKES IT IS NOT THEIR JOB; BUT, HE SHOULD BE CAREFUL WITH THE PETROLEUM PRODUCTS USED IN THE PIT BECAUSE IT COULD STILL AFFECT THE FLORIDA INAQUAFAER. HE ADDRESSED THE PURPOSE OF THE NEXT SLIDE IS TO SHOW THE BOARD HOW THEY DETERMINED HOW CLOSE THE EXCAVATION WAS TO THE FLORIDA INAQUAFAER; IN THAT SLIDE THEY CAN SEE SIKES PIT EQUIP-

MENT WORKING. HE SIZED THE HEIGHT OF AN OFFROAD DUMPTRUCK WHICH IS 12' TALL; HE THEN PUT IT OVER ON THE VERTICAL WALL IN THE INTERMEDIATE CONFINING AQAUFER. THAT IS OVER THREE TIMES THE HEIGHT OF IT. SO THEY ESTIMATED SIKES DEPTH IN THE INTERMEDIATE CONFINING ACQAUFER OR CONFINING UNIT TO BE MORE THAN 35' DEEP. HE SHOWED A SLIDE THAT SHOWED THEY ESTIMATED THE CUT TO BE OVER HALF WAY THROUGH THE INTERMEDIATE CONFINING AQAUFER. THEREFORE THE ESTIMATED DEPTH OF THE PIT IS AT LEAST 120'. THE GROUND ELEVATION ADJACENT TO IT, WHICH IS AT LINCOLN DRIVE, IS 115'; THE FLORIDA INAQAUFER'S MEAN SEA LEVEL IS ELEVATION 0 AND THE AQAUFER IS THE FIRST LAYER OF LIMEROCK STARTS WELL BELOW THE 0 ELEVATION. BASED ON THIS INFORMATION AND HOW IT WAS OBTAINED, SIKES WAS AT LEAST 120' DEEP AND MORE THAN HALF WAY THROUGH THE INTERMEDIATE CONFINING AQAUFER. IT IS ONLY ABOUT 70' DEEP IF THEY LOOK AT THE WELL INFORMATION ON EITHER SIDE OF IT.

THEY ALL KNOW SIKES HAS VIOLATED HIS SPECIAL EXCEPTION BY CLEARING AND EXCAVATING PROPERTY THAT HE DIDN'T OWN AND WAS NOT INCLUDED IN THE SPECIAL EXCEPTION THAT WAS GRANTED IN 1998. THEREFORE IT WAS NOT PART OF THE PERMIT. MR. SASSER SAID HE HAD TALKED TO THE BOARD ABOUT THIS EARLIER; BUT, THEY CAN CLEARLY SEE THE PROPERTY HAS BEEN CLEARED AND MATERIALS ARE BEING STOCKPILED ADJACENT TO SIKES CURRENT OPERATIONS. THE NEXT SLIDE SASSER SHOWED A BETTER PICTURE OF THE STOCKPILE AREAS ON PROPERTIES THAT ARE NOT PERMITTED. THEY ALL KNOW BASED ON THE TAX ASSESSOR'S WEBSITE THAT LAMAR SIKES HAS PURCHASED LOTS AND PLOTS LOCATED WITHIN HIS PIT THAT IS ZONED RESIDENTIAL; THE YELLOW HIGHLIGHTED AREAS ON THE SLIDE HE WAS SHOWING WAS NOT OWNED BY LAMAR SIKES. THE PARCELS OUTLINED IN BLUE ARE ZONED RESIDENTIAL AND OWNED BY LAMAR SIKES. THE COUNTY'S LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE DOESN'T LIST MINING AS AN ACCEPTABLE OPERATION OR USE OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. THEY ALL KNOW THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN; THAT HE APPLIED AND GOT IT REZONED. THEY ALL KNOW SIKES DIDN'T DO THAT. THEY ALL KNOW THE TAX ASSESSOR'S RECORD SHOWED LAMAR SIKES PAID OVER

\$2,000,000 FOR THE PROPERTIES WITHIN THE PIT. THE RECORDS SHOW IT WAS ONLY ASSESSED AT A LITTLE OVER \$600,000. SIKES PAID ALMOST FOUR TIMES THE CURRENT ASSESSED VALUE WHEN HE PURCHASED IT BACK IN 2007. THE TAX ASSESSOR STATES HE HAS NO MECHANISM TO VALUE THE PROPERTY ANY DIFFERENTLY. MR. SASSER ASKED WHAT BETTER METHOD OF VALUATING PROPERTY THAN THE PURCHASE PRICE. HE SAID THAT IS APPARENTLY WHAT HAPPENED TO HIM; WHEN HE PURCHASED HIS PROPERTY, IT WAS VALUED AT WHAT HE PAID FOR IT. MR. SASSER SAID LAMAR SIKES IS A BUSINESS MAN AND HE BET THAT HE DID A COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS BEFORE HE PURCHASED THE PROEPRTY; HE IS NOT IN BUSINESS TO LOSE MONEY. THEY ALL KNOW THAT LAMAR SIKES VIOLATED HIS SPECIAL EXCEPTION BY DESTROYING THE SUFFICIENT PORTION OF THE BUFFER ZONE REQUIRED AROUND THE MINING OPERATION. THAT WAS THE FINAL STRAW FOR MANY RESIDENTS OF THE COMMUNITY WHO HAVE BEEN PATIENTLY WAITING FOR THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO WORK THROUGH THEIR SHADE MEETING. THEY ALL KNOW THAT IT TOOK OVER A MONTH AND MUCH PERSUASION FROM A FRUSTRATED COMMUNITY FOR THE BOARD TO FINALLY FILE A LAWSUIT AGAINST LAMAR SIKES SEEKING IMMEDIATE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR THE LATEST VIOLATION. THE BUFFER WAS DESTROYED ON JUNE 23, 2010; THE SUIT WAS FILED ON JULY 28, 2010. THEY ALL THOUGHT THEY HAD FINALLY OVERCOME ALL THE HURDLES AND STUMBLE BLOCKS AND SOMETHING WAS GOING TO HAPPEN. LITTLE DID THEY KNOW THE JUDGE WOULDN'T ACCEPT THE CASE ON HIS DOCKET OR AT LEAST THAT IS WHAT THEY WERE TOLD. THEY WERE TOLD THAT JUDGE REGISTER WAS BEING REASSIGNED AFTER THE FIRST OF THE YEAR AND WAS NOT TAKING ON ANY NEW CASES. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO REMEMBER THAT WAS IN OCTOBER 2010. JUDGE REGISTER DOESN'T ROTATE UNTIL JANUARY 2011; A FULL THREE MONTHS BEFORE A NEW JUDGE IS SEATED. THEY ALL KNOW THAT JUSTICE MOVES SLOW AND EVERYBODY WAS GOING TO HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL THE NEW JUDGE IS SEATED. MONTHS WENT BY; JANUARY, FEBRUARY, MARCH, APRIL MAY AND NOTHING WAS HAPPENING; NO WORD FROM THE COUNTY ATTORNEY, COMMISSIONERS OR STAFF. HE WENT TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE TO SEE IF ANY PAPERWORK HAD BEEN FILED. HE FOUND THAT SIKES HAD FILED A RESPONSE

TO THE SUIT AND THAT THE COUNTY HAD RESPONDED TO HIS DEFENSES; THOSE DATES ARE AUGUST 16, 2010 AND AUGUST 20, 2010. HE ALSO FOUND A FILING BY THE COURT APPOINTED MEDIATER, ROSS MCCLOY, REPORTING TO THE COURT THAT IMPASSE HAD BEEN REACHED BY BOTH PARTIES. THAT WAS DATED JULY 26, 2010, A YEAR AGO. BUT, THOSE OF YOU PRESENT TONIGHT, THAT IS THE CASE THE COMMISSIONERS HAS BEEN TELLING THEM ALL THIS TIME THEY CAN'T BE TALKING ABOUT IT AND IT IS IN IMPASSE FOR A WHOLE YEAR BUT THEY CAN'T TALK ABOUT IT. THAT WAS A YEAR AGO THEY REACHED THAT IMPASSE. MR. SASSER ASKED WHAT WAS SO SECREITIVE ABOUT THE NEGOTIA-TIONS THAT HAVE CAUSED THEM TO ACT SO COVERTLY THE LAST TWELVE MONTHS. HE ASKED IF THEY WERE AFRAID THE PUBLIC IS GOING TO FIND OUT THEIR INDIVIDUAL POSITIONS. HE TOLD THE BOARD THEY DIDN'T NEED TO WORRY ABOUT THAT BECAUSE THEY ALREADY KNOW AND THEY CAN BET THEY WILL BE WORKING AS HARD AGAINST THEM THE NEXT ELECTION AS THEY HAVE ON THIS SAND PIT ISSUE SO FAR. IT IS TIME THEY ELECT LEADERS THAT HAVE A DESIRE TO LEAD AND SERVE AND NOT JUST DRAW A PAYCHECK.

MR. SASSER COMMENDED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT PUBLICLY FOR THE SPARK OF LEADERSHIP HE SAW IN HIM AT THE WORKSHOP LAST WEEK; HE ADMONISHED STAFF FOR VIOLATING COMMISSION MANDATES. TOO BAD THE OTHER COMMISS-IONERS DIDN'T SEE THE POINT HE WAS TRYING TO MAKE. HIS TIME IS RUNNING SHORT; BUT, HE WANTED TO REPORT TO THE COMMUNITY AND TO THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS THE FDEP IS ENTERING INTO A CONSENT ORDER WITH LAMAR SIKES AND THE CONSENT ORDER IS FULL OF LAWYER LANGUAGE. BUT, IN A NUT SHELL, THIS IS WHAT IT SAYS IN LAYMEN TERMS:

1. LAMAR SIKES CAN NOT EXPAND OR ALTER THE SAND PIT DIFFERNT FROM WHAT WAS SHOWN ON THE DECEMBER 30, 2008 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH.
2. LAMAR SIKES SHALL MAKE AN APPLICATION FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE PERMIT WITHIN 120 DAYS OF THE CONSENT ORDER DATE. MR. SASSER SAID WHAT THAT MEANS IS THIS APPLICATION FOR THE ERP ALLOWS THE PIT EXPANSION AND BUFFER DESTRUCTION TO EXIST AND CONTINUE UNTIL THE ERP IS APPROVED; THAT COULD TAKE YEARS IN AND OF ITSELF. THEN WHILE FDEP LOLLS, THE RESTORATION OF THE BUFFER MAY NOT BE

REQUIRED UNTIL AFTER HE HAS COMPLETED MINING.

CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MR. SASSER HE HAD THIRTY SECONDS.

MR. SASSER SAID LAMAR SIKES WAS FINED \$3,500; \$3,000 OF THAT IS FOR VIOLATION OF THE LAW AND \$500 IS FOR THE COST AND EXPENSES OF THE FDEP TO INVESTIGATE THE MATTER. HE ASKED DOES THAT SOUND REASONABLE TO THE BOARD. HE SAID IT WAS ABOUT TWENTY HOURS TO INVESTIGATE AT \$25 AN HOUR; THEN JUDGING FROM THE CONTENT OF THE CONSENT ORDER, IT DOES APPEAR TO BE CORRECT. IT TOOK THEM LESS THAN A WEEK TO INVESTIGATE THE CONSENT ORDER AND ALMOST A YEAR TO SERVE IT.

CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MR. SASSER HE WOULDN'T PUT UP WITH A MEETING WITH THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE; THE BOARD CONTROLS THIS MEETING.

MR. SASSER SAID HE HAD ABOUT FIVE MORE MINUTES OF HIS PRESENTATION AND HE REQUESTED CHAIRMAN PATE LET HIM FINISH HIS PRESENTATION.

CHAIRMAN PATE EXPLAINED HE HAD TOLD MR. SASSER HE WOULD HAVE FIFTEEN MINUTES, QUESTIONS AND ALL AND THAT ENDED THE DISCUSSION ON THAT.

MR. SASSER SAID HE UNDERSTANDS THAT; BUT, THE CHAIRMAN DIDN'T APPLY THAT SAME RULE TO THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER BEFORE HIM. TWO OF THE THREE THAT SPOKE BEFORE HIM TOOK MORE THAN FIFTEEN MINUTES.

CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MR. SASSER THE SPEAKER BEFORE HIM WAS A STAFF MEMBER. MR. SASSER SAID HE WAS MAKING A PRESENTATION JUST LIKE HE WAS.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED MR. SASSER NOT TO ARGUE WITH HIM. MR. SASSER SAID HE WAS NOT ARGUING; HE WAS STATING FACTS.

CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MR. SASSER AGAIN HIS TIME WAS OVER.

PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE WAS ASKING TO ALLOW MR. SASSER TO TALK.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID NO. IT IS POSTED IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM AND THIS IS JUST WHAT MR. SASSER WANTED TO PLAN HERE TONIGHT. HE THEN SAID IT IS OVER.

SOMEONE IN THE AUDIENCE ASKED CHAIRMAN PATE HOW MUCH WAS LAMAR SIKES PAYING HIM THEN; HE SAID HE USE TO WORK FOR LAMAR SIKES AND

KNOWS HIM. CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD THE GENTLEMAN HE WOULD BE SEEING HIM LATER AND STATED HE DOES NOT TAKE BRIBES AND NEVER HAS. HE SAID HE WAS NOT GOING TO GET INTO AN ARGUMENT.

THE MEETING WAS GETTING DISRUPTIVE. SHERIFF HADDOCK ADDRESSED THE SITUATION STATING IT WAS THE CHAIRMAN'S MEETING. HE TOLD THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE THEY WERE GOING TO DO THIS IN AN ORDERLY PROFESSIONAL MANNER. HE DOESN'T RUN THE SHOW HERE; THE CHAIRMAN DOES. HE IS NOT GOING TO LET THE MEETING GET OUT OF HAND. HE ASKED THOSE PRESENT TO RESPECT THE BODY WHETHER THEY AGREE WITH THEM OR NOT. HE UNDERSTANDS THEY MAY HAVE A LEGITIMATE GRIPE; BUT, THEY WILL FOLLOW THE RULES THE WAY THE BOARD WANTS IT WHETHER THEY LIKE IT OR NOT. BUT, HE IS NOT GOING TO LET THIS SITUATION GET OUT OF HAND EITHER.

MR. SASSER RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED THE COMMISSION LET HIM FINISH HIS PRESENTATION; IT IS ONLY FIVE MORE MINUTES.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED MR. SASSER WHICH SPEAKERS DID HE SAY HAD MORE TIME THAN HE HAD. MR. SASSER STATED MR. DERUNTZ AND THE PERSONNEL DIRECTOR.

CHAIRMAN PATE EXPLAINED DERUNTZ AND THE PERSONNEL DIRECTOR WERE STAFF MEMBERS AND WERE NOT LIMITED TIME. MR. SASSER REITERATED THEY WERE MAKING PRESENTATIONS TO THE BOARD AND HE IS MAKING A PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD AND CHAIRMAN PATE LIMITED HIM TO FIFTEEN MINUTES.

CHAIRMAN PATE TOLD MR. SASSER THEY HAD BEEN FRIENDS FOR A LONG TIME AND ASKED HIM TO PLEASE SET DOWN. HE IS NOT GOING TO LET THIS GET OUT OF HAND AND HE IS NOT GOING TO JEOPARIZE THE LITIGATION OUT THERE. UNLESS THEY WANT TO COME UP WITH A LOT OF MONEY TO HELP FILE A SUIT; THE BOARD IS \$1.2 MILLION DOWN AND THEY HAVE TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED THEY STOP RIGHT THERE. MR. SASSER HAS ASKED FOR A REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION; THE CHAIR HAS GOT THE RIGHT TO GIVE OR NOT GIVE SASSER THE EXTENSION. THAT IS THE PROCEDURE AND

THEY DON'T NEED TO GET INTO A SHOUTING MATCH.

MR. SASSER THANKED THE BOARD AND TOLD THEM HE WOULD BE BACK.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A FIVE MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED THE MEETING BACK IN SESSION. THE BOARD WENT BACK TO ITEMS D AND E FOR DISCUSSION AS COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD REQUESTED THESE ITEMS BE PULLED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAD HIS REASONS; HE THEN ASKED THE BOARD TO VOTE ON IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS THOUGHT PROCESS IS THIS HAS BEEN EVALUATED BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA AND THEIR ENGINEERS HAS LOOKED AT THESE TWO ISSUES, SEEN THAT IT WAS NECESSARY. THE STATE IS NOT ASKING THE COUNTY COMMISSION TO FUND EITHER OF THE TWO PROJECTS; THEY ARE WILLING TO GET THE RIGHT-OF-WAY, PURCHASE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND ONCE THE PROJECTS ARE DONE, THEY ARE WILLING TO GIVE THE COUNTY THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND GIVE THEM BRAND NEW BRIDGES. IT WOULD BE HIS RECOMMENDATION TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF HAVING TWO BRAND NEW ENGINEERED BRIDGES TO STATE STANDARDS.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK'S PREVIOUS STATEMENTS ABOUT THE COST BEING WAY TO HIGH.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED IT IS FREE; BUT, THAT IS THE PROBLEM. EVERYTHING IS ALWAYS FREE. BUT, WHO PAYS FOR IT. NOTHING IS FREE; THE TAXPAYERS PAY FOR IT. HIS PROBLEM WITH THESE TWO ITEMS IS WHY THE STATE DIDN'T INVOLVE THE COUNTY WITH COUNTY BRIDGES. THE COUNTY HAS AN ENGINEER ON STAFF.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADVISED THE STATE LIKES TO DO THEIR OWN WORK.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THESE ARE ON BRIDGES WHERE THE FDOT GOES OUT WHERE THEY DO THEIR INSPECTIONS, ETC. MOST OF THEM HAVE BEEN REPAIRED, REPAIRED AND REPAIRED. IT IS LIKE GUM CREEK IN COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S DISTRICT. HE CAN REMEMBER WHEN THEY WENT IN THERE AND PUT DOWN A BUNCH OF PILINGS AND IT STAYED

CLOSED FOR ABOUT A YEAR OR SO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT A ROAD THAT BASICALLY NOBODY LIVES ON; TWO LAND OWNERS ON BEDIE ROAD TO HWY 77. HE SUGGESTED GIVING THE PROPERTY BACK TO THE LANDOWNER. HE REFERRED TO THE COUNTY PUTTING PIPES IN ON PINE LOG A FEW YEARS BACK; PIPES HAVE BEEN PUT IN ON A LOT OF ROADS IN COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S DISTRICT AND THE SAME THING COULD HAVE BEEN DONE ON THE TWO ROADS THE FDOT IS ADDRESSING. HE DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY IT WASN'T DONE TO FIX THE PROBLEM. IT HAS BEEN BOTHERING HIM AND HE HATES TO SEE A HALF MILLION DOLLARS GO TO AN ENGINEER FOR A 30' OR 40' BRIDGE; THAT IS A LOT OF MONEY. THE STATE WILL COME AND GIVE THE COUNTY \$300,000 TO BUILD A THREE MILE ROAD AND TAKE \$2,000,000 TO BUILD A 40' BRIDGE. HE REITERATED THAT REALLY CONCERNS HIM. HE IS NOT JUST TALKING ABOUT WASHINGTON COUNTY; HE IS TALKING ABOUT AS A TAXPAYER FOR THE PEOPLE. HE KNOWS THE BOARD CAN'T CONTROL IT BECAUSE IT IS FDOT. HE DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE IS HEARING WHAT COMMISSIONER BROCK IS SAYING. IF THEY GO IN THERE AND FIX THE PROBLEM AND GO IN THERE AND GIVE THE PROPERTY BACK TO THE LANDOWNER, THEN THEY WILL BE STOPPING WATER SOMEWHERE AND THE LANDOWNER WILL THEN BE CONTROLLING THE WATER FLOW. THEY CAN'T HAVE THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK SOMETIMES PIPES ARE BETTER; BUT, IF YOU MISSIZE THEM, IT MAY BE WORSE THAN HAVING A BAD BRIDGE THERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THE BIGGEST PROBLEM THE COUNTY HAS WITH THE LOW WATER CROSSINGS IS ONLY WHEN THEY HAVE A RUN OFF; A RUNOVER THAT IS GONE THE NEXT DAY AND IT IS BACK DOWN.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REFERRED TO WHEN HE COME IN OFFICE, THEY CLOSED A BRIDGE DOWN FOR OVER A YEAR. WITH THE HELP OF CLIFF AND HIS ENGINEERS AND THE STATE, HE ASKED THE STATE TO HELP HIM FIX THE BRIDGE; THAT BRIDGE IS SO LONG THE COUNTY DON'T HAVE THE MONEY TO DO ANYTHING TO FIX IT WITH. HE HAS BEEN ON THE STATE

FOR SIX YEARS TO FIX THAT BRIDGE AND HE IS GLAD THEY CAN HELP THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TO APPROVE THE AGREEMENTS ON ITEM D & E THAT WAS PULLED FROM THE CONSENT AGENDA. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK OPPOSING.

CHRIS BRONSON, PREBLE-RISH, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE QUOTES HE HAD PROVIDED THEM ON ITEMS FOR BONNETT POND AND BAHOMA ROAD; THE ROADS THAT HAVE RECENTLY BEEN PAVED AND ARE COMPLETE. MR. BARFIELD SOLICITED QUOTES FROM GUETTLE TO DO THE STRIPING ON THESE TWO ROADS; \$22,182 FOR STRIPING OF BAHOMA, \$47,903 FOR STRIPING OF BONNETT POND. STRIPING WAS NOT INCLUDED IN THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT WITH C. W. ROBERTS.

CHRIS ADDRESSED PREBLE-RISH WAS ASKED TO SOLICIT QUOTES FROM C. W. ROBERTS FOR PAVING ALL THE DRIVEWAYS 12'X20' ON BONNETT POND AND BAHOMA ROAD. C. W. ROBERTS QUOTED \$3,000 ON EACH ROAD FOR MOBILIZATION AND \$750 PER DRIVEWAY; THAT COMES OUT TO \$31,500 ON BONNETT POND ROAD PLUS THE \$3,000 MOBILIZATION AND \$11,250 ON BAHOMA ROAD PLUS THE \$3,000 MOBILIZATION. HE SAID IT WAS UP TO THE BOARD ON WHAT THEY WANT TO DO.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW IF HE SAW \$3,000 WORTH OF ROADWAY MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC ON THE WHOLE ROAD WHILE IT WAS BEING CONSTRUCTED AND NOW C. W. ROBERTS WANTS \$3,000 FOR THESE DRIVEWAYS.

CHRIS SAID HE THOUGHT C. W. ROBERTS WAS REFERRING TO GET THE PAVING EQUIPMENT OUT THERE; THE \$3,000 IS FOR MOBILIZATION AND MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC. HE AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER PATE ON THE MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC.

MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON COMMISSIONER PATE HAVING REQUESTED PREBLE-RISH GET THESE QUOTES ON SOME EXTRA WORK HE WANTED DONE AND COMMISSIONER BROCK REQUESTED IT. HE HASN'T SEEN THE FINAL BILLING ON BONNETT POND OR BAHOMA ROAD AND DOESN'T KNOW WHERE THEY

STAND ON THEM. THEY ARE JUST NOW GOING INTO COMPLETION. HE DON'T KNOW IF THEY ARE OVER OR UNDER ON THE FUNDING FOR THE PROJECTS AS HE HASN'T SEEN THE FINAL BILLING.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHEN THEY WERE IN MARIANNA THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT THE PROGRAM THAT FUNDED THE BONNETT POND AND BAHOMA ROAD PROJECTS; THE MONIES ARE NOT THERE. HIS HAS GONE DOWN TO ABOUT \$650.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO HIM UNDERSTANDING THAT MR. BARFIELD AND MR. JOYNER WAS DOING SOME HORSETRADING TO HAVE THESE ROADS STRIPED. MR. JOYNER ADDRESSED THEY HAD PULLED SOME OF THE SOD AND WORK OFF THE PROJECT; THEY DON'T KNOW WHERE THEY ARE AT AS FAR AS SOME OF THE OVERRUNS THEY HAD ON IT. THEY HAD SOME UTILITIES THAT WASN'T FIGURED IN ON BAHOMA ROAD THEY HAD TO COME UP WITH ALSO. THERE WERE A FEW THINGS ADDED TO BAHOMA ROAD THEY DIDN'T EXPECT. THEY REALLY NEED THE FINAL BILLING BEFORE THEY CAN TELL THE BOARD WHETHER THEY ACTUALLY HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO PAY THE BILLS THEY HAVE.

CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF THE BOARD WANTED TO TABLE THE DECISION ON THE QUOTES CHRIS PRESENTED UNTIL NEXT MONTH'S MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF HE TOOK ANY ACTION ON IT, UNTIL HE KNOWS WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE AND WHAT THEY ALREADY HAVE, HE CAN'T APPROVE NO MORE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID BAHOMA AND BONNETT POND DIDN'T HAVE ANY PAINT ON IT. THE ROADS HAVE SEVERAL CURVES ON THEM AND THE LIABILITY ON THEM ARE GREAT. THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE A CHOICE; THEY HAVE TO DO SOMETHING.

MR. JOYNER EXPLAINED THEY STILL HAVE \$30,000 IN THE STRIPING BUDGET LINE ITEM AND THEN LAST WEEK THEY DID SOME TRADING TO SEE IF THEY COULD COME UP WITH SOME MORE MONEY TO MAKE UP THE DIFFERENCES. HE ADDRESSED THERE BEING A WAITING PERIOD AS RECOMMENDED BY THE ENGINEER AFTER THE CURING OF THE ASPHALT BEFORE STRIPING THE ROADS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IF THE BOARD WILL ALLOW, RATHER THAN GO-

ING AND PAVING THE DRIVEWAY ENTRANCES ON BONNETT POND, THEY CAN GO WITH MILLED ASPHALT. THIS WILL CUT DOWN THE EXPENSE TREMENDOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REITERATED ONCE THE BOARD FINDS OUT WHERE THEY ARE ON THESE ROAD PROJECTS, HE COULD TALK WITH MR. BROCK ABOUT THE DRIVEWAY ENTRANCES.

CHRIS SAID HE WOULD BE GLAD TO GET ANOTHER QUOTE FROM C. W. ROBERTS IF THEY USED MILLED ASPHALT ON THE DRIVEWAY ENTRANCES. THESE QUOTES HE PROVIDED THE BOARD ARE FOR COUNTY CREWS PREPPING THE BASE AND DOING THE EARTHWORK WITH C. W. ROBERTS STRICTLY COMING IN AND PAVING ON TOP WITH HOT MIX ASPHALT.

MR. JOYNER REQUESTED CHRIS GET WITH C. W. ROBERTS AND EVERYBODY INVOLVED TO SEE HOW MUCH BILLING THEY HAVE OUTSTANDING AND TRY AND GET THESE PROJECTS FINALIZED WHERE THEY WILL KNOW WHERE THEY STAND MONEY WISE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO MR. JOYNER MENTIONING THEY HAD MOVED SOME UTILITIES AND ACQUIRED SOME EXPENSES THEY WEREN'T EXPECTING. HE ASKED IF THAT IS SOMETHING NORMALLY THAT WOULD COME IN FRONT OF THIS BOARD OR NO. HE WAS TOLD THIS WAS IT, THAT WAS IT AND NOW HE IS HEARING NOW MAYBE THERE IS SOME MORE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THIS WAS ONE POLE ON BAHOMA ROAD THAT WAS RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE DITCH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED HIS QUESTION AGAIN IF THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT WOULD NORMALLY COME IN FRONT OF THIS BOARD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE ARE A LOT OF THINGS THAT COME BEFORE THIS BOARD HE HAD JUST AS SOON THE PEOPLE WHO ARE IN CHARGE THEY HAVE HIRED GO AHEAD AND DO IT. BUT, THE COUNTY HAS TO HAVE A POLICY IN ORDER TO DO THAT.

CHRIS REPORTED HE THOUGHT THEY WERE IN A SITUATION THEY NEEDED THESE THINGS DONE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID, WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, IT WAS NOT CHRIS'S PLACE; ALL HE IS SAYING IS HE DON'T LIKE SURPRISES AND HE IS HEARING THERE IS A SURPRISE COMING WITH AN ADDITIONAL EXPENSE BECAUSE A POLE HAS BEEN MOVED. HE NEEDS TO KNOW THESE THINGS.

CHRIS SAID HE THOUGHT THAT EXPENSE WAS \$2,000. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID WHAT HE SAW WAS \$450.

COMMISSIONER BROCK STATED HE WAS LOOKING AT STRIPING TO GO ON BONNETT POND ROAD. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADVISED THERE WAS \$35,000 BUDGETED FOR STRIPING IN PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET AND IT LOOKS LIKE \$2500 HAS BEEN SPENT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE QUOTE BEING \$47,000 FOR THREE MILES OF STRIPING. BROCK AND CHRIS SAID THAT WAS HIGH.

MR. JOYNER ASKED IF THAT WAS THE ONLY QUOTE CHRIS GOT ON THE STRIPING. CHRIS EXPLAINED TOD BARFIELD WAS IN CHARGE OF GETTING THE STRIPING QUOTES; GUETTLER SENT IT TO PREBLE RISH. CHRIS SAID HE UNDERSTOOD GUETTLER WAS BASING IT OFF THE RUSHUP OF PRICES; THE RUSHUP OF PRICES WAS \$12,500 PER MILE WITH \$17,262 A MILE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REITERATED UNTIL THE BOARD KNOWS WHERE THEY ARE AT ON THE BILLING AND WHAT THEY HAVE SPENT, HE DOESN'T KNOW HOW THEY AS A BOARD CAN GO AHEAD AND SPEND MORE MONEY WHEN THEY DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT THEY HAVE ALREADY SPENT. HE CAN'T SUPPORT THAT. HE KNOWS THE STRIPING NEEDS TO BE DONE; HE THINKS THEY HAVE GOT 35 TO 45 DAYS OF CURING TIME AND IT WAS JUST PAVED ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO. THERE WILL BE ANOTHER WORKSHOP AND BOARD MEETING BEFORE IT IS NECESSARY TO STRIPE THE ROADS OR BEFORE THEY ARE READY TO BE STRIPED. HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOME MORE NUMBERS AND THE END NUMBERS OF WHAT THEY HAVE ALREADY SPENT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY MAY HAVE TO GO BACK TO REGULAR PAINTING FOR A WHILE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. ABBOTT WHAT HE MEANT BY REGULAR NUMBERS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK HOW MUCH MONEY THE BOARD HAD SPENT ON BAHOMA AND BONNETT POND ROAD PROJECTS; HOW MUCH OVER HAVE THEY BEEN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADVISED THEY HADN'T BEEN OVER MUCH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD MR. BROCK HE DIDN'T KNOW THAT BECAUSE

HE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE NUMBERS ARE; NOT MUCH IS NOT AN ANSWER TO HIM. IF THEY HAVE A \$1,000 BUDGET, HE WANTS TO KNOW THEY HAVEN'T SPENT MORE THAN A \$1,000 BEFORE HE APPROVES OF SPENDING ANY MORE MONEY. THEY DON'T KNOW IF THEY HAVE ANY MONEY LEFT OVER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT IF THERE IS A WRECK ON ONE OF THOSE CURVES, THEY WILL HAVE WISHED THEY WOULD HAVE SPENT IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REITERATED THE CURING TIME IS 35 DAYS TO 45 DAYS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE BOARD KNOWS FOR SAFETY REASONS THE STRIPING IS VERY IMPORTANT; ON ANY ROAD THEY BUILD, THEY BETTER HAVE STRIPING BACK UP TO GO.

MR. ABBOTT AGREED; BUT, POINTED OUT THE STRIPING ON THE ROADS DIDN'T NEED TO BE DONE FOR 35 TO 45 DAYS.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT IT WAS A LITTLE LONGER THAN THAT. CHRIS THOUGHT THE CURE TIME WAS ABOUT 30 DAYS.

MR. ABBOTT SAID IT WOULD BREAK HIS HEART TO SEE SOMEBODY GET HURT ON A ROAD WITHOUT ANY STRIPES ON IT; BUT, HE DON'T KNOW HOW THEY CAN GO IN THERE AND SPEND MONEY WHEN THEY DON'T KNOW IF THEY HAVE ANY OR NOT. HE SAID HE COULDN'T.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY HAD A HALF MILLION OF FEMA MONIES; THEY HAVE GOT MONIES FOR ROADS. ALL HE IS SAYING IS FOR THE SAFETY OF THIS COUNTY, THEY NEED TO STRIPE THE ROADS.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID BEFORE THEY START SPENDING THAT FEMA MONEY, LETS LOOK AT THIS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULDN'T SPENDING THE MONEY BEFORE HE KNOWS HE HAS IT COMING IN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE THING ABOUT IT IS THEY TRY AND BUILD A ROAD WITHOUT IT WITH HALF THE MONEY. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD BROCK THEY WERE ALL ON THE SAME TEAM AND WANT THE SAME THING; HE JUST HAS TO KNOW HE HAS MONEY IN HIS POCKET TO PAY FOR IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF THEY WOULD QUIT PICKING AT HIS \$41,000 HE WOULD HAVE ENOUGH TO PAY FOR HIS STRIPING. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT

TOLD PATE HE HAD PICKED IT ALL OUT HIMSELF. PATE SAID HE HAD TO IN ORDER TO PROTECT IT; THE BOARD WAS WORKING TWO HANDS AT THE TIME WITH HIM.

CHRIS UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING PUT TOGETHER A PUNCH LIST FOR THE COUNTY CREWS AS WELL AS THE CONTRACTORS FOR AREAS THAT NEED TO BE TOUCHED UP; SOME SILT FENCE NEEDS TO GO UP UNTIL THEY GET A GOOD STAND OF GRASS IN SOME AREAS, PUT SOME RIP RAP DOWN, ETC. THIS PROJECT SHOULD BE WIPED OFF THE SLATE AS SOON AS THESE AREAS ARE ADDRESSED.

CHRIS UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER PROJECT; THE CONTRACTOR IS DOING A REALLY GOOD JOB AND THE PROJECT IS GOING ALONG GREAT. THEY POURED THE FLUME THIS PAST WEEK. IN SPEAKING WITH MR. BARFIELD, THE COUNTY CREWS WILL BE IN THERE SOON TO INSTALL TWO INLETS FOR THE STORM WATER POND AND THEN THE CONTRACTOR WILL RESUME ON THE PROJECT AND COMPLETE HIS SODDING.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED CHRIS TO EXPLAIN HOW THE WATER IS GOING TO OUTFALL AT THE VERY FIRST CROSSDRAIN ON BAHOMA ROAD; IT IS BACKING UP IN THE MAN'S FIELD NOW AND PRETTY SOON IT WILL BE IN HIS FISHPOND. HE DON'T SEE HOW THAT HAPPENED.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CHRIS IF HE KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT TWO PIPES AT THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER FOR DRAINAGE.

CHRIS SAID RIGHT AS YOU TURN OFF OF DANIELS LAKE ROAD ONTO THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER DRIVE, THE TWO CROSSDRAINS RIGHT THERE WOULD BE A HEADWALL.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE TWO PIPES HE IS SPEAKING OF HE THOUGHT WAS INSIDE THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER WHERE THEY PUT IN THE POND.

CHRIS SAID THAT IS THE PORTION OF THE PROJECT, IF COMMISSIONER BROCK REMEMBERS, WHEN THEY BID THIS PROJECT, THE LOW BIDDER STILL CAME IN OVER BUDGET. IN ORDER TO GET IT BACK IN BUDGET, THEY HAD TO ELIMINATE A BUNCH OF RIP RAP COST AT THE POND SITE. HE THEN SAID THEY ELIMINATED THE CONTRACTOR PLACING THE RIP RAP AT THE POND SITE. HE MET WITH MR. BARFIELD DOWN THERE AND MR. BARFIELD CHOSE, INSTEAD

OF BUILDING A RIP RAP FLUME, PLACING TYPE C BOXES AND PIPE IN THE WATER FROM THE TOP OF THE FLUME TO THE BOTTOM OF THE POND.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT WAS EXACTLY WHAT HE WAS TALKING ABOUT; BUT, HE THINKS MR. BARFIELD HAS CHOSEN TO TAKE THIS OUT OF DISTRICT III'S MAINTENANCE MONEY. THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER WAS A GRANT AND IT WAS A CONTRACT TO DO THAT JOB. NOW IT IS FALLING BACK THAT THEY ARE TAKING MONEY OUT OF HIS MAINTENANCE TO BUY TWO PIPES AT A \$1,000 A PIECE.

CHRIS EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T KNOW WHERE THE MONEY CAME FROM; BUT, BEFORE THEY AWARDED THE PROJECT, THEY HAD TO DECIDE WHERE TO CUT IT. COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THAT WAS PART OF THE GRANT.

CHRIS SAID THAT WAS PART OF THE GRANT; IT HAD TO BE COMPLETED. COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED WITH MR. BROCK. THIS HAS HAPPENED ON OTHER OCCASIONS WITH GRANTS; BUT, IF THERE IS GRANT MONEY OUT THERE, IT SHOULD COME OUT OF THE GRANT MONIES.

CHRIS SAID THERE WERE NO GRANT MONIES TO PAY FOR THE PIPE. IT WAS FEMA AND A LOT OF THESE FEMA PROJECTS ARE UNDER FUNDED AND THAT WAS THE CASE WITH THIS PROJECT. THERE WERE FIVE BIDDERS ON THIS PROJECT; THE LOW BIDDER WAS STILL 20% LOWER THAN THEIR #2 BIDDER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE PIPES WAS NOT PART OF THE CONTRACT. CHRIS EXPLAINED IT WAS INITIALLY; BUT, THEIR CONTRACT WAS STILL MORE THAN THE AMOUNT FEMA WOULD OBLIGATE FOR THIS PROJECT. THAT IS WHY THEY HAD TO REMOVE IT AND HAVE THE COUNTY DO IT THEMSELVES. THEY DECIDED THAT PRIOR TO AWARDING THE CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO THE ENGINEER BIDDING UP THERE AND THEN THEY GO TO CUTTING BACK AND CUTTING BACK; LET BIDS AND ALL AND THE NEXT THING YOU KNOW, THEY WIND DOWN HERE WITH THIS KIND OF SITUATION. HE TOLD CHRIS HE COULD TAKE THIS BACK TO CLIFF; HE WANTS TO HAVE A SIT DOWN THING ABOUT THE CONTRACTS.

CHRIS TOLD COMMISSIONER PATE THEY HAD A SIT DOWN THING ABOUT THE CONTRACT AT A PUBLIC WORKS MEETING. COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED HE WAS TALKING ABOUT THE OVERALL CONTRACTS; NOT ON THIS SERVICES

CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE PROBLEM IS THIS HAS ALREADY HAPPENED TO HIM BEFORE AT PIONEER ROAD; THEY HAD TO CUT THE HIGHWAY OUT UP THERE AND PUT A BIG CROSSDRAIN AND ALL IN. THEY TOOK THAT EXPENSE OUT OF HIS MATERIALS BUDGET; THIS IS A COUNTY ROAD AND IT BELONGS TO ALL THE BOARD. WHEN THEY START DOING THINGS LIKE THAT WITHOUT HIM KNOWING IT, UNTIL HE CATCHES IT LATER, AND HE CAUGHT THIS LATER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO COMMISSIONER PATE MAKING A STATEMENT PREVIOUSLY ABOUT FEMA MONEY; IT IS TO BE SPENT IN TRANSPORTATION AND THEY SIT HERE AND SAY THEY DON'T HAVE ANY MONEY.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED THEY DON'T NEED TO BE SPENDING THE FEMA MONEY RIGHT NOW BECAUSE THERE ARE THINGS AT PUBLIC WORKS THAT ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BE DONE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY CAN'T KEEP TAKING FROM HIM; BUYING PIPES ALL OVER THE COUNTY OUT OF HIS MATERIALS BUDGET. THAT IS NOT FAIR TO HIM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK WHAT HE WAS ASKING FOR. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS ASKING FOR THE COUNTY TO PAY FOR THE TWO PIPES AND NOT TAKE IT FROM HIS MATERIALS BUDGET.

CHRIS EXPLAINED IN ORDER TO GET THIS PROJECT WITHIN BUDGET, THEY HAD TO REMOVE THE RIP RAP FLUME FROM THE CONTRACTOR'S WORK. THE COUNTY AGREED TO PERFORM THAT WORK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED MR. JOYNER SEE IF THE \$2,000 TAKEN OUT OF COMMISSIONER BROCK'S MATERIAL BUDGET COULD BE PUT BACK AND THE FUNDING COME FROM SOMEWHERE ELSE. REALISTICALLY, THIS IS A FEMA PROJECT; THESE THINGS WERE TAKEN OFF SO IT WOULD BE UNDER BUDGET SO THE BOARD COULD AFFORD IT, NOW IT IS OVER BUDGET AND WE CAN'T AFFORD IT SO THE COUNTY MANAGER IS GOING TO FIND THE MONEY AND GIVE IT BACK TO CHARLES.

CHRIS SAID THE PROJECT WAS NOT OVER BUDGET. MR. ABBOTT SAID HE REALIZED THAT.

DAVID CORBIN THANKED MAYOR TAYLOR AND THE TOWN OF EBRO FOR ALLOWING THE BOARD TO USE THEIR FACILITY TO HOLD THEIR COUNTY COMMISSION MEETING HERE TONIGHT.

COUNTY ATTORNEY REPORT:

A. EQUESTRIAN CENTER LEASE-THE BOARD HAS LESS THAN A WEEK IF THEY ARE GOING TO CANCEL THE LEASE AND GO IN ANOTHER DIRECTION EITHER WITH THE SAME TENANT OR NEW TENANTS, THEY NEED TO SEND NOTICE PURSUANT TO THEIR OLD LEASE. IF THE BOARD WANTS TO BE COMMITTED TO THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER LEASE THEY ARE IN RIGHT NOW, THEY DON'T NEED TO DO ANYTHING. IF THEY WANT TO GET OUT OF THE LEASE THEY ARE IN RIGHT NOW, HE WOULD NEED TO SEND A LETTER TOMORROW AND HE WILL NEED ACTION FROM THE BOARD DIRECTING HIM TO TERMINATE THE LEASE, NOT RENEW THE EXTENSION AND THEN THEY WILL GO FROM THERE ABOUT FINDING A NEW TENANT. HIS ADVICE TO THE BOARD BASED ON THE CURRENT LEASE, EVEN IF THEY ARE PLEASED WITH THE NEW TENANT WHICH FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT HE WILL LET THE BOARD DECIDE; BUT, FROM A DRAFT OF A LEASE STANDPOINT, HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE LEASE TO BE REVISED. HIS ADVICE WOULD BE TO TERMINATE THE LEASE AND WHOEVER THE NEW TENANT IS, DRAFT A NEW LEASE AND GO IN THAT DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO TERMINATE THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER LEASE THEY ARE CURRENTLY IN.

B. HISTORIC SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE-ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON HIM HAVING DRAFTED AN AGREEMENT WITH FL-DOT FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT HE IS MORE COMFORTABLE WITH BEING ABLE TO ADDRESS HIS ISSUES. HE HAS A SIGNED COPY FROM FL-DOT AND THEY ARE READY TO MOVE FORWARD IF THE COUNTY WANTS TO MOVE FORWARD. HE EXPLAINED THERE WERE POLICY CONCERNS AND LEGAL CONCERNS; BUT, HE THINKS FROM A LEGAL STANDPOINT HE HAS CLEARED SOME OF THOSE HOLES HE HAD. BUT, FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT AND A FUTURE EXPENSE STANDPOINT OF THE HOUSE, THAT IS GOING TO BE SOMETHING THE BOARD WILL HAVE TO DECIDE. HE HAS A COVENANT BETWEEN THE BOARD AND FL-DOT TO BE EXECUTED; THEY HAVE ASKED

THE COVENANT BE EXECUTED BY THE END OF THE MONTH IF POSSIBLE. HE THINKS FL-DOT IS RUNNING INTO SOME TIME DEADLINES AND THEY ARE PRESSING THE BOARD'S HANDS ON IT. WHATEVER THE BOARD DECIDES TO DO, ATTORNEY GOODMAN THOUGHT FROM A TIMING STANDPOINT, IT NEEDS TO BE DONE TONIGHT. HE REFERRED TO THE BOARD HAVING ASKED, AT CERTAIN TIMES, MR. PITTS AND SOME OTHER PEOPLE TO LOOK AT THE HOUSE AND WHAT MAY NEED TO HAPPEN TO IT, WHAT THE FUTURE EXPENSE IS. HOWEVER, HE REMINDED THE BOARD THEY DO HAVE CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS FOR ABOUT A 120 MONTH PERIOD TO MAINTAIN THE HOUSE IN A CERTAIN STATE OF CONDITION. THERE IS GOING TO BE SOME CONSIDERATION PAID OVER TIME TO MAINTAIN THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE IF THE BOARD ACCEPTS IT. IF THEY ACCEPT IT, IT IS GOING TO BE THEIR HOUSE; BUT, THEY HAVE TO ACT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS THEY ACCEPT IT UNDER. THERE WILL BE A COST INVOLVED WITH THAT AND THE BOARD NEEDS TO KNOW THAT IRREGARDLESS OF THE DIRECTION THEY DECIDE TO GO. HE ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY QUESTIONS HE COULD ANSWER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED THE COST TO THE COUNTY. HIS UNDERSTANDING WAS THE BOARD WAS GOING TO ACCEPT THE HOUSE AND THEY HAD TO KEEP IT IN A CERTAIN CONDITION FOR TEN YEARS; AFTER THAT, IF THEY STUCK A MATCH TO IT, IT WAS NOBODY'S BUSINESS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED HE DIDN'T KNOW THE COST; THE BOARD'S OBLIGATION IS FOR TEN YEARS. FROM HIS STANDPOINT, ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THERE IS A STATE OF CONDITION IN THE COVENANT WHEREBY THE HOUSE HAS TO BE IN FOR THE BOARD TO ACCEPT IT. THE BOARD HAS TO ACCEPT THE HOUSE; THEY HAVE TRIED TO BLEND WHAT STANDARD OF CONDITION IT MUST BE KEPT IN FOR TEN YEARS. HE CAN'T TELL THE BOARD FROM A COST PROSPECTIVE, WHAT ABSORPTION OF THAT WILL ACTUALLY BE. FROM A LEGAL REQUIREMENT STANDPOINT, THE BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE TO COVER THOSE COSTS FOR A PERIOD OF TEN YEARS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIS UNDERSTANDING THIS HOUSE IS NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY ELECTRICITY, WATER, ETC. ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED THAT WAS HIS UNDERSTANDING; HOWEVER, STACY WEBB AND MR. PITTS HAS BEEN DEALING WITH THE CONDITION OF THE HOUSE AS HE HAS NEVER SEEN

THE HOUSE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HIS UNDERSTANDING THE PROPERTY HAS ALREADY BEEN ALLOCATED AND THE STATE WAS BRINGING A HOUSE TO THAT SITE. MR. PITTS CAME BEFORE THE BOARD PREVIOUSLY AND SAID BY ALL INDICATIONS, THE HOUSE WILL LAST TEN YEARS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED MR. PITTS IS A LOT MORE KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THAT SITUATION THAN HE IS. ALL HE CAN TELL THE BOARD IS THE RISK OF MAINTAINING THE HOUSE IS THE BOARD'S. IF THEIR EXPERT TELLS THEM THERE IS NOT A LOT OF MAINTENANCE THAT IS GOING TO BE REQUIRED, THAT IS WHY THEY HIRED HIM TO DO THAT. BUT, ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED HE WAS TELLING THE BOARD, FOR TEN YEARS, THE HOUSE IS THEIR OBLIGATION.

MR. JOYNER ASKED, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION IF THEY WERE TO HAVE SOME STORM DAMAGE, ETC, SOMETHING THEY ARE NOT IN CONTROL OF OR NOT ABLE TO INCUR IT, THEY MAY HAVE TO REPAIR THE HOUSE AND PUT IT BACK INTO THE STATE IT WAS IN WHEN IT WAS MOVED THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF IT HAD BEEN CHECKED TO SEE IF THIS HOUSE WOULD BE UNDER THE COUNTY'S INSURANCE SINCE THAT IS A COUNTY HERITAGE PARK. MR. JOYNER SAID HE WOULD HAVE TO CHECK WITH THE INSURANCE TO SEE; BUT, HE DON'T KNOW HOW IT WOULD HANDLE A HISTORIC BUILDING LIKE THAT. HE DON'T KNOW WHY THE BOARD WOULD WANT TO PAY INSURANCE ON IT AND ADD AN EXPENSE TO THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS REAL CONFUSED; HIS UNDERSTANDING IS THE BOARD BEFORE THEM TOOK THIS PROPERTY AND ALLOCATED IT FOR A HERITAGE VILLAGE. THE BOARD HAS ALREADY DONE THAT AND THIS PROPERTY IS ALLOCATED TO DO THIS. THE STATE HAS COME IN HERE AND SAID THE BOARD HAS THIS PROPERTY ALLOCATED, HERE IS YOU A HOUSE. HE QUESTIONED THIS PROPERTY IS ALREADY TIED UP TO DO THIS, HE DON'T WANT TO INCUR ANY MORE EXPENSES. BY THE ACTS OF GOD, A HURRICANE COULD COME THROUGH HERE AND RIP EVERYTHING APART AND THEY WILL BE WORRIED ABOUT MORE THAN A LITTLE HOUSE OUT THERE IN THE HERITAGE VILLAGE. IF THIS BOARD BEFORE THEM HAS ALLOCATED THIS PROPERTY, IT IS THERE FOR HOW MANY

YEARS; IT WAS A BUNCH OF YEARS ACCORDING TO A GENTLEMAN THAT CAME BEFORE THEM TWO MONTHS AGO. HE ASKED WHY WOULDN'T THE BOARD TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THIS; OUR OWN BUILDING OFFICIAL HAS LOOKED AT THE HOUSE AND BY HIS PROFESSIONAL OPINION SAID THE ROOF SHOULD LAST ANOTHER TEN YEARS. THE STRUCTURE HAD SOME AREAS OF CONCERN; BUT, THE STATE HAS ADDRESSED THEM AREAS OF CONCERN. HE ASKED WHERE WERE THEY AT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REFERRED TO WHEN MR. BROWN CAME TO THE WORKSHOP, THE BOARD TOLD HIM THEY DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM ACCEPTING THE HOUSE; THEY WOULD JUST HAVE TO VOTE ON IT AFTER THE ATTORNEY WAS COMFORTABLE WITH THE LANGUAGE IN THE COVENANT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD HE WAS COMFORTABLE WITH THE LANGUAGE NOW. IT IS A RISK BENEFIT ANALYSIS; THE BOARD IS ACCEPTING THE RISK AND THEIR PEOPLE HAVE TOLD THEM THE RISK IS NOT GREAT. BUT, THE BOARD IS ACCEPTING THAT RISK FOR A PERIOD OF 120 MONTHS. WHAT IS THE BENEFIT. GOODMAN SAID THE BENEFIT IS GETTING THE HOUSE IN A CERTAIN CONDITION AND USING IT TO PROMOTE OR ADVANCE THIS LAND THEY HAVE IT DESIGNATED FOR. THAT IS THE ANALYSIS HE LOOKS AT UNDER HIS MICROSCOPE.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPLAINED HE THOUGHT THIS LAND WAS NOT JUST FOR A HOUSE; BUT, FOR A HERITAGE VILLAGE TYPE THING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WHAT THIS BUILDING IS DOING IS A START.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT THE SHIPES/HOLLEY HOUSE FROM THE STATE OF FLORIDA FOR THEIR PROPERTY OUT THERE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD AT THEIR MARCH OR APRIL MEETING, MR. STEVERSON FROM KINGS DRUG STORE CAME BEFORE THE BOARD AND ASKED FOR CERTAIN THINGS TO BE DONE IN ORDER TO ADVANCE SOME OF THE ADJUSTMENTS HE IS MAKING TO HIS BUILDING. SPECIFICALLY, GOODMAN THOUGHT STEVERSON WAS PUTTING IN A DRIVE THROUGH WINDOW. HE CAME BEFORE THE BOARD AND THE BOARD TOLD HIM THEY WERE IN FAVOR OF THE IDEA AND ASKED GOODMAN TO GO AHEAD AND EXECUTE A DOCUMENT

WHEREBY THEY COULD TRY TO PRESERVE SOME OF THEIR ISSUES. DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN BOUNCED BACK AND FORTH AND HE HAS DRAFTED WHAT HE HAS TITLED A REVOCABLE RIGHT OF USE AGREEMENT WHICH BASICALLY GIVES THE COUNTY THE UNILATERAL RIGHT TO TERMINATE THE ACCESS THEY ARE GIVING AT ANY TIME AND IT IS A VERY BROAD DISCRETION. WHAT HE HAS TRIED TO DO IS TAKE THE INTEREST TO THE BOARD AS WELL AS TRYING TO KEEP THEIR CLEAR TITLE IF POSSIBLE AND AT THE SAME TIME, IF CERTAIN THINGS HAVE CHANGED FROM A SAFETY STANDPOINT OR OTHERWISE, THE BOARD HAS THE RIGHT TO GET OUT OF THE AGREEMENT. MR. STEVERSON AND THE OWNER, MR. KING HAVE BOTH CONSENTED TO THIS REVOCABLE USE AGREEMENT AND HAVE EXECUTED IT. BASED ON WHAT WAS DISCUSSED IN MARCH OR APRIL, THE BOARD HAS EXECUTION ON STEVERSON AND KING'S PART AND HE HAS AN AGREEMENT HE THINKS HE CAN BE COMFORTABLE WITH BASED ON WHAT THE BOARD'S WISHES ARE WITH RESPECT TO TRYING TO HELP MR. KING AND MR. STEVERSON PUT THAT DRIVETHROUGH WINDOW IN. WHAT HE NEEDS IS APPROVAL FROM THE BOARD TO GO AHEAD AND EXECUTE THIS AGREEMENT SO THEY CAN GET STARTED PUTTING THAT DRIVE THROUGH WINDOW IN ON THE BACKSIDE OF THE BUILDING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT THE REVOCABLE RIGHT OF USE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY, MR. STEVERSON AND MR. KING AND AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF THE AGREEMENT WHICH WOULD ALLOW A DRIVE THROUGH WINDOW IN ON THE BACKSIDE OF KINGS DRUG STORE.

COUNTY MANAGER REPORT:

A. MR. JOYNER, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, COMMENTED ON SOME OF THE CONTRACTS THE BOARD HAS BEEN INVOLVED WITH IN THE PAST. HE SAID IT WAS AMAZING TO HIM THEY LET A ROAD CONTRACT WITHOUT INCLUDING A SAFETY FACTOR SUCH AS THE STRIPING. THEN YOU COME UP HERE AND TRY AND FIND THE MONEY AFTERWARDS. HE THINKS THEY NEED TO TAKE SOME PAINS WHEN THEY LET A CONTRACT TO BE SURE THEY INCLUDE EVERYTHING IN IT FROM START TO FINISH, SEE IF THEY CAN AFFORD IT BEFORE THEY START IT. IN THE FUTURE HE WILL BE REALLY INTO THAT. HE KNOWS

THE STRIVE OF HAVING TO COME BACK AND FIND THE MONEY TO STRIPE THE ROADS WITH. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT IS EMBARASSING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE LOOKS AT THOSE ELEMENTS WHEN THERE ARE ROAD CONTRACTS. HE REFERRED TO THE STIMULUS MONEY FL-DOT GAVE THEM, THEY USED IT FOR STRIPING. THE STRIPING WAS IN BONNET POND ROAD PROJECT AND IN BAHOMA ROAD PROJECT; BUT, HERE THE STATE CAME BACK AND SAID THERE WAS A DEADLINE ON THE EARMARK AND IF THE COUNTY HASN'T USED IT, THEY HAD TO GIVE IT BACK TO THEM. SO THEY TOOK THE STRIPING BACK.

MR. JOYNER SAID EVEN IF THE BOARD WOULD HAVE LOCKED IN THE PRICING ON THE STRIPING A YEAR AGO WHEN THAT BID WAS LET; THIS STRIPING HAS WENT UP 30% TO 40% SINCE THAT BID WAS LET SO THEY ACTUALLY LOST MONEY WHEN THEY DIDN'T LOCK THE STRIPING PRICES IN. HE JUST WANTED TO COMMENT ON THAT.

B. CHAIRMAN PATE HAS REQUESTED THE MEETING DATE FOR THE AUGUST BOARD MEETING TO BE CHANGED FROM AUGUST 25TH TO AUGUST 24TH DUE TO SOME PRIOR OBLIGATIONS HE HAS. THEY HAD DISCUSSED THIS AT THE WORKSHOP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF CHANGING THE AUGUST BOARD MEETING DATE TO AUGUST 24TH.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW'S REPORT:

A. SHE UPDATED THE BOARD ON HER NEEDING THE BOARD TO APPROVE A MILLAGE RATE LIKE SHE ADVISED THEM AT THE WORKSHOP. SHE HAD PROVIDED THEM WITH THE INFORMATION. THEY ALSO NEED TO SET THE TENTATIVE BUDGET HEARING FOR SEPTEMBER 15TH AT 5:05 P.M.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND FOR DISCUSSION TO LEAVE THE MILLAGE RATE AS IS WITH NO INCREASE WHICH IS 8.9195.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF HE COULD ASK DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW TO READ THE MILLAGE RATE INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD. PRIOR

TO HER BEING ABLE TO READ THE MILLAGE RATE INFORMATION INTO THE RECORD, COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID WHEN HE GOT ELECTED, THE MILLAGE RATE WAS AT 10 MILS. DURING THAT TIME, THE BOARD HAS CHANGED THAT FROM 10 MILS TO 8.5 MILS AND EVERY YEAR, THEY HAVE BEEN ADDING TO THAT. HE JUST DON'T WANT TO ADD TO IT. LAST YEAR THEY WENT UP ON IT JUST A LITTLE BIT AND IF THEY KEEP GOING, IT WILL BE UP TO TEN MILS AND HE DON'T WANT TO DO THAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE SOME HARD CHOICES; PROBABLY SOMETHING THAT HASN'T BEEN DONE IN MANY, MANY YEARS IN THIS COUNTY AND IT IS CERTAINLY SAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE AGREED IT WASN'T GOING TO BE EASY; BUT, HE THINKS THE BOARD CAN DO IT. THERE ARE GOING TO BE SOME REAL TOUGH CHOICES; HOWEVER, THE MILLAGE RATE IF IT STAYS LIKE IT IS, THE BOARD IS OVER BUDGET BY \$1,180,211. THAT IS SOME SERIOUS CUTTING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED FOR THIS LITTLE COUNTY NO MORE THAN THE POPULATION, THAT IS A BIG, HUGE LICK.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AGREED WHEN YOU ARE EIGHT TO TEN PEOPLE SHORT NOW AT THE ROAD DEPARTMENT. HE DON'T KNOW WHAT THE ANSWER IS; HE JUST KNOWS HE DON'T WANT TO GO UP ON THE MILLAGE RATE.

COMMISSIONER PATE REMINDED THE BOARD HE HAD BEEN WARNING THEM ABOUT THIS DAY COMING AND HE THINKS IT IS RIGHT AROUND THE CORNER.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THE BOARD BEING BLESSED OVER THE LAST SIX YEARS; THEY HAVEN'T LAID ANYBODY OFF OR DONE ANYTHING. HE WOULD HATE TO BE LAID OFF AS THERE IS NO JOBS OUT THERE. HE SAID LAW ENFORCEMENT JOBS ARE HARD TO COME BY TOO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID WHAT THIS IS DOING IS CUTTING A MILL. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID IT WAS A LITTLE MORE THAN A MIL.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HIM BEING HERE A LOT OF BUDGETS; EVERYBODY WANTS A SERVICE. HE REFERRED TO SHERIFF HADDOCK HAVING TO PROVIDE A SERVICE; EVERYBODY WANTS AMBULANCE SERVICE. ALL THESE ELEMENTS ARE IN THIS AND WE AS A BOARD SAYS EVERYBODY NEEDS TO PITCH IN AND DO THEIR PART. IF IT IS 5%, 8%, 10% OR WHATEVER IT IS,

DO IT AS A WHOLE AS A COUNTY. THAT IS HARD TO DO UP HERE. IT IS HARD TO GET IT OUT OF THEM. THIS IS GOING TO BE ONE BUDGET THAT IS GOING TO PROBABLY BE THE TOUGHEST BUDGET THE COUNTY HAS HAD IN SEVERAL YEARS.

SHERIFF HADDOCK SAID HE HAS BEEN SITTING IN ON THE BUDGET AND HE KNOWS IT IS COMING. HE AGREED THE SHERIFF PROVIDES EMERGENCY SERVICES THAT HAS TO BE THERE. THIS IS THE HARDEST THING THE BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE TO DO AND HE IS NOT ADVOCATING RAISING TAXES WHATSOEVER. HE IS NOT A TAX RAISER; HE TRIES TO BE CONSERVATIVE AND LIVE WHERE THEY ARE AT. HE ASKED THE BOARD TO UNDERSTAND AND REFERRED TO HIM HAVING ASKED MR. PATE AND MR. JOYNER IN THE BUDGET MEETING LAST WEEK, THE SHERIFF IS DOWN TO EATING BOLOGNA AT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE. HE ASKED THE BOARD NOT TO BE GNAWING ON STEAK OVER HERE ON THE SIDE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD THE SHERIFF THE BOARD DIDN'T EVEN HAVE THE BOLOGNA; THEY JUST HAD THE BREAD. SHERIFF HADDOCK SAID THEY HAD BOLOGNA. HE WANTED THE BOARD TO UNDERSTAND THEY ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER AND IN THE PAST THE FINGERS HAVE BEEN POINTING EVERYWHERE. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT HAS ALREADY CUT ALL THEY CAN CUT BECAUSE THE NEXT STEP HE WILL HAVE TO BE SENDING PEOPLE HOME. HE HAS CUT HIS BUDGET. HE IS NOW PAYING HIS OWN CELL PHONE BILL AT THE OFFICE NOW AS WELL AS DOING A LOT OF OTHER THINGS THEY HAVE IMPLEMENTED. HE TOLD THEM HE COULD HAVE GIVEN THE BOARD A LOT MORE IF HE DIDN'T HAVE TO TAKE \$75,000 BACK BECAUSE OF THE INCREASE IN GAS PRICES, ETC. WHEN THE BOARD SETS THE MILLAGE RATE TONIGHT, THEY ARE LOCKED IN. HE REITERATED HE IS NOT ASKING THEM TO RAISE THE TAXES; BUT, TO UNDERSTAND HE IS GOING TO FIGHT FOR HIS PIECE OF THE PIE BECAUSE HE HAS GOT TO PROTECT THE COMMUNITY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD SHERIFF HADDOCK THEY ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER. SHERIFF HADDOCK SAID HE APPRECIATED THAT BECAUSE IN THE PAST HE HAS HAD TO WRESTLE FOR EVERY LITTLE BONE HE GOT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY ALL HAVE TO CUT BACK WITH SHERIFF HADDOCK SAYING HE UNDERSTANDS; BUT, HE HAS A COMMITMENT TO THE

COMMUNITY AND AN OBLIGATION TO THE COMMUNITY. HE IS NOT GOING TO LET THAT SAFETY FACTOR COME INTO PLAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE SHERIFF BEING REAL HELPFUL ON SOME ISSUES, ETC. HE IS RIGHT THE BOARD IS LOOKING AT CUTTING; THEY ARE LOOKING AT ANYTHING TO HELP GET THIS BUDGET DOWN. THIS BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE SOME TOUGH DECISIONS. THE NEXT MILLAGE RATE ABOVE THIS GETS THEM ALMOST TO .1 OF A MIL FROM BEING AT 10 MILS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE HAS DONE EVERYTHING HE COULD FOR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. SHERIFF HADDOCK SAID THERE IS NO HARD FEELINGS; BUT, HE JUST WANTS THE BOARD TO KNOW HE HAS AN OBLIGATION TO THE PUBLIC WHEN THAT CREEPS IN AND GETS PAST WHAT THEY CAN MAINTAIN BECAUSE HIS DEMAND FOR HIS SERVICE IS NOT GOING DOWN. IT IS GOING OPPOSITE.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR THE VOTE ON LEAVING THE MILLAGE RATE THE SAME. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THE SCHOOL BOARD WAS RAISING TAXES. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM HAVING READ THE SCHOOL BOARD IS ADVERTISING THEIR MILLAGE RATE IS GOING UP 1.5 MILS AND THEY ARE EVEN TELLING THEM WHERE THEY WANT TO SPEND IT. THEY ARE NOT TELLING WHERE THEY WANT TO CUT THE TAXES; THEY ARE TELLING WHERE THEY WANT TO SPEND IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO APPROVE THE FIRST PUBLIC BUDGET HEARING FOR SEPTEMBER 15TH AT 5:05 P.M. AND THE FINAL PUBLIC BUDGET HEARING FOR SEPTEMBER 27TH AT 5:05 P.M AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION TO THE BOARD FOR THEIR SUPPORT OF HIM THIS YEAR. THEY HAVE SOME WORK TO DO; MOST OF THEIR WORK IS GOING TO BE DONE BY TELECONFERENCE; BUT, THERE IS SOME TRAVEL EVERY NOW AND AGAIN. HE APPRECIATES THE BOARD SUPPORTING THAT. HE WAS APPOINTED AS A CO-CHAIR ON THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY COMMITTEE FOR THE FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES. HE ENCOURAGED THE BOARD IF THEY HAVE ANY IDEAS WHAT CAN BE CUT, ETC., TO PASS THEM ON TO MR. JOYNER OR DIANNE BECAUSE THEY ARE KICKING AROUND A LOT OF THINGS IN THERE. HE BELIEVES THEY CAN COME UP WITH A BALANCED BUDGET. HE KNOWS TWO OR THREE THINGS HE ASKED TO LOOK AT, IF THEY CAN GET THE BOARD TO PASS IT, IT WOULD DENT IT PRETTY GOOD. HE THANKED THE BOARD FOR SERVING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HE WAS ON THE TRI-COUNTY BOARD AND ASKED IF HE HAD DONE ANY GOOD WITH MR. PAUL WITH THE CANNING CENTER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THEY HAVE THE CANNING CENTER OPEN ON A PART TIME BASIS. THIS YEAR AT THE POINT THEY WERE AT WHEN HE GOT STARTED IN IT, THEY HAVE IT OPEN FOR SHELLING PEAS AND THE SHELLING OF THE BEANS. NEXT YEAR, HE HAS GOTTEN WITH SOME OF THE STAFF AND THEY HAVE TAKEN BIDS AND HE UNDERSTANDS THEY WILL HAVE A FULL FLEDGE CANNING CENTER NEXT YEAR. HE POINTED OUT THAT IS NOT OFFICIAL YET; BUT, THE BIDS WHEN HE GOT INVOLVED WITH IT, HE WAS TOLD IT WAS AROUND \$70,000 AND THEY ALREADY HAVE GOTTEN THEM DOWN TO ABOUT \$4,000 FOR A BOILER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THOUGHT THIS YEAR WAS THE FIRST TIME THE CANNING CENTER WAS ACTUALLY CLOSED. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD BROCK IT WAS CLOSED EARLY LAST YEAR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THIS YEAR, YOU HAD USE OF THE CANNING CENTER BY APPOINTMENT ONLY AND HE WAS VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED HEAD START AND HE USED TO BE ON THAT BOARD FOR SEVERAL YEARS. THEY MOVED HEAD START OUT OF VERNON TO CHIPLEY. COMING BACK TO COMMODITIES, EVENTUALLY THEY GOT IT

CLOSED; THEY USE TO HAVE THE COMMODITIES IN THE COUNTY BUT THE CHURCH TOOK THEM OVER. TRI-COUNTY WAS HANDLING THE COMMODITIES. HEAD START AND THE COMMODITY PROJECTS FOLDED AND NOW THE CANNING CENTER. HE SAID AT ONE TIME, THERE WAS A LITTLE TALK ABOUT THE ONE UP ABOVE CHIPLEY BEFORE THEY PUT THE BUILDING IN UP THERE ABOUT CLOSING IT BECAUSE EVERYTHING WANTED TO GENERATE OVER YONDER ACROSS THE RIVER. HE HAS ALWAYS BEEN AN ADVOCATE WHEN IT COMES TO BUDGETING TRYING TO HELP TRI-COUNTY. IN FACT THERE HAS BEEN TIMES IN THE PAST HE WOULD SEPARATE THE CANNING CENTER FUNDING FROM THE GENERAL TRI-COUNTY FUNDING. IT IS COMING UP TO BUDGETING NOW AND HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE IF TODD IS THEIR REPRESENTATIVE THE CANNING CENTER STAYS OPEN NEXT YEAR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE WANTED TO BE THE BOARD'S REPRESENTATIVE ON TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY COUNCIL. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID "NO, THAT IS WHAT HE HAD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THERE; DO A GOOD JOB FOR US."

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE APPRECIATED HIS CONCERNS. HE WENT TO BATTLE ON THIS AND HE HAS MADE MANY CALLS ABOUT THESE BOILERS; HE HAS GOT IT DOWN FROM \$75,000 DOWN TO \$4,200. THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT TEARING THE WHOLE BACK WALL DOWN TO GET THE OLD BOILER OUT AND HE QUESTIONED THEM IF THE WALL WAS OUT WHEN THEY BROUGHT THE BOILER IN AND WHY WOULD THEY WANT TO TAKE THE WALL DOWN TO TAKE IT OUT. SOME OF THE PROBLEM IS THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT REMODELING THE WHOLE BUILDING AND BUYING A NEW BOILER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK STATED THAT ISSUE HAS BEEN THERE FOR THREE OR FOUR YEARS AND IT HAS BEEN PROLONGED AND PROLONGED AND THEY HAVE USED THE HIGHWAY FOR THE REASON. BUT, THE HIGHWAY IS NOT GETTING IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE COMMENDED EVERY COUNTY COMMISSIONER WHO HAS SERVED AS LIASON ON THESE COMMITTEES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO HIM READING THE JUNE MONTHLY REPORT. HE WOULD LIKE TO NOTE THAT JERRY BROCK, 911, SPENT OVER A MONTH GOING THROUGH 12,000 PHONE NUMBERS WITH THE PHONE COMPANIES. HE ASKED WHOSE PHONE NUMBERS WAS THIS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED IT WAS WASHINGTON COUNTY PHONE NUMBERS; HE KEEPS THEM UP TO DATE IN THE 911 DATA SYSTEM AS HE UNDERSTANDS IT.

MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD PERIODICALLY JERRY HAS TO PURGE THE WHOLE SYSTEM, GO BACK THROUGH IT AND VERIFY WITH THE PHONE CARRIER WITH AT&T TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE CURRENT PHONES; IF SOMEONE CALLS, MAKE SURE THEY HAVE THE RIGHT ADDRESS SO THEY CAN GET AN AMBULANCE, FIRE OR POLICE TO THEM.

SHERIFF HADDOCK SAID WHAT THIS DOES IS WHEN SOMEONE PICKS UP THEIR PHONE AT HOME, IT AUTOMATICALLY TELLS THE DISPATCHER WHERE YOU ARE AT. THEREFORE, JERRY HAS TO VERIFY THAT SEVERAL TIMES A YEAR TO MAKE SURE THAT PHONE IS CORROLATED WITH YOUR ADDRESS.

ROGER ADDRESSED PART OF THE PURGING IS DONE MONTHLY WHEN THE NEW SERVICES COME ON, HE HAS TO UPDATE THAT THEN; THEN PERIODICALLY HE HAS TO GO BACK AND MAKE SURE THE NUMBER CORRESPONDS WITH THE ADDRESS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAVING HIRED TOD BARFIELD AND HE REALLY DON'T KNOW WHEN HIS PROBATION TIME IS GOING TO BE UP; BUT, HE WOULD LIKE TO EXTEND IT ANOTHER SIX MONTHS IF THEY COULD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD HE HAD NOT LOOKED AT THE COUNTY'S POLICY TO SEE WHAT FLEXIBILITY THEY HAVE WITH EXTENDING HIS PROBATION.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NORMALLY YOU HAVE SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN EXTEND IT, WHERE HE HAS BEEN, AT LEAST 90 DAYS; BUT, SIX MONTHS.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THEY COULD EXTEND IT FOR 90 DAYS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE THOUGHT HEATHER HAD A COPY OF THE POLICY WITH HER.

48-BCC
07-28-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 317

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE HOPES IF THEY HAVE SOME CONCERNS THEY ADDRESS THEM WITH THE COUNTY MANAGER. HE HOPES THEY ARE NOT INVOLVED AND ARE LETTING MR. BARFIELD RUN HIS JOB.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE WAS LETTING MR. BARFIELD RUN HIS JOB.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN RECOMMENDED THE BOARD TABLE VOTING ON THE EXTENSION OF MR. BARFIELD'S PROBATION UNTIL NEXT FRIDAY WHEN THEY MEET AGAIN. THAT WILL GIVE HIM TIME TO REVIEW THE POLICY. HE ASKED IF THEY WERE RUNNING INTO THE END OF MR. BARFIELD'S SIX MONTH PROBATION PERIOD NOW. HEATHER ADVISED MR. BARFIELD'S SIX MONTH PROBATION PERIOD WOULD END IN SEPTEMBER.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS TO APPROVE OF ATTORNEY GOODMAN'S RECOMMENDATION AND TABLE VOTING ON THE EXTENSION OF MR. BARFIELD'S PROBATION UNTIL NEXT FRIDAY'S MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO RECESS UNTIL FRIDAY, AUGUST 5TH AT 9:00 A.M.

ATTEST:

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN