

AUGUST 15, 2011

THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, CARTER, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

SHERIFF HADDOCK PROCLAIMED THE MEETING. ROGER HAGAN OFFERED PRAYER WITH COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LEADING IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

CHAIRMAN PATE WELCOMED THE NEW BOARD MEMBER, HULAN CARTER, WHO REPLACED PRIOR COMMISSIONER BILL HOWELL.

JULY 18TH COUNTY COMMISSION MINUTES-CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED THE BOARD TO REVIEW THE MINUTES TO SEE IF ANY CHANGES NEED TO BE MADE PRIOR TO THE AUGUST 24TH BOARD MEETING.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1. J. SCOTT HENDERSON, HENDERSON PLANNING GROUP, LLC, ADDRESSED THE BOARD REPRESENTING THE RHYTHM DRI AND UPDATED THEM ON THIS PROJECT HAVING BEEN GOING ON FOR A LONG TIME. THEY HAVE BEEN WORKING THROUGH A LOT OF THE DETAILS AND ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN A QUESTION WITH REGARDS TO THEIR APPLICATION. TODAY HE WAS HOPING TO GIVE THEM AN OVERVIEW OF THE DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE PROCESS. THEY ARE HERE TODAY TO LOOK AT A WORK SESSION ASSOCIATED WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT, WHICH IS ONE ELEMENT OF THIS APPLICATION PROCESS. THEY HAVE THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT, THE DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT APPLICATION, PUD APPLICATION AND THEN THE DEVELOPERS' AGREEMENT. ALL OF THOSE COME TOGETHER PROBABLY IN THE OCTOBER 2011 TIME PERIOD FOR APPROVAL. THIS IS THE FIRST STEP OF THIS PROCESS. THEY HAVE HAD PUBLIC HEARINGS BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION WITH REGARDS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT, WHICH IS ON THE TABLE TODAY. THIS IS THE WORK SESSION ASSOCIATED WITH THE TRANSMITTAL HEARING. THE TRANSMITTAL HEARING IS A DECISION THAT IS MADE BY THE COUNTY

COMMISSIONERS TO DECIDE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE REVIEW PROCESS. THE BOTTOM LINE IS TRANSMITTAL; TRANSMIT THIS TO THE STATE FOR REVIEW. ON THE 25TH OF THIS MONTH, THEY WILL ACTUALLY HAVE THE

TRANSMITTAL AND THAT WILL BE A PUBLIC HEARING, THEY WILL LOOK FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS TO COME IN AND DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THE BOARD WANTS TO TRANSMIT THAT TO THE STATE FOR REVIEW. IT IS A VERY SIMPLE PROCESS; MOST PEOPLE JUST SAY PUT A STAMP ON AN ENVELOPE, SEND IT TO THE STATE FOR REVIEW, GET THE STATE COMMENTS AND AS THOSE COMMENTS COME BACK, THE BOARD WILL INCLUDE THAT IN THEIR CONSIDERATION AS RHYTHM MOVES FORWARD. IT IS PART OF THE LENGTHY PROCESS THEY HAVE TO DO. TODAY IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE BOARD TO ASK ANY QUESTIONS THEY MAY HAVE AS THEY GET INTO THE QUESTION OF THE TRANSMITTAL HEARING. THEY HAVE HAD A PUBLIC HEARING IN FRONT OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION WHICH SAT AS THE LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY AND THEY REVIEWED RHYTHM'S APPLICATION AND HAVE MADE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD TO TRANSMIT IT TO THE STATE FOR REVIEW. HE TOLD THE BOARD THEY WERE THERE TO ASK HIM ANY QUESTIONS THEY MAY HAVE IN PREPARATION FOR THEIR PUBLIC HEARING ON THE 25TH. THE MATTERS THE BOARD HAS BEFORE THEM ARE FOUR SEPARATE ITEMS UNDER THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT TO ADDRESS THE RHYTHM PROJECT. TWO OF THEM RELATE TO THE RHYTHM PROJECT AND TWO OF THEM ARE IN THE HOUSE CLEANING CATEGORY. IN THE HOUSE CLEANING CATEGORY, WHEN THE BOARD WENT THROUGH AND DID THEIR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT WITH THE LONG PROCESS MR. DERUNTZ WORKED ON FOR HELPING THEM DO THEIR FIVE YEAR UPDATE, THAT WAS TO ADDRESS ALL THE ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN HANGING IN THE WORKS FOR THE LAST FEW YEARS. INCLUDED IN THAT WAS A RECOMMENDATION BY THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS THAT ASKED TO MAKE A HOUSE CLEANING CHANGE. BECAUSE THE BOARD HAD ALREADY ADOPTED THAT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, IT WOULD HAVE FORCED THEM TO OPEN UP, HAVE A WHOLE BUNCH OF HEARINGS, ADVERTISING IN ORDER TO MAKE A FAIRLY SIMPLE CHANGE. WHAT RHYTHM DECIDED, BECAUSE THEY WERE GOING FORWARD WITH THEIR PROCESS AND WERE GOING TO BE HAVING PUBLIC HEARINGS, THEY WOULD GO AHEAD AND

3-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 333

INCLUDE THAT IN THEIR APPLICATION PROCESS. THAT ELIMINATES THE BOARD'S NEED TO HAVE EXTRA HEARINGS, EXTRA NOTICES; THEY WOULD JUST DO ALL OF THAT AT ONE TIME. ONE OF THOSE ITEMS WAS RELATED TO THE QUESTION OF THE SPRINGS PROTECTION AND A LITTLE BIT OF HOUSE-CLEANING LANGUAGE THAT HAS BEEN WORKED OUT WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS AND MIKE DERUNTZ. THE OTHER ITEM RELATES TO A NOTIFICATION FOR ABUTTING PROPERTY AND DEVELOPING NEXT TO AGRICULTURAL, WHICH RHYTHM IS. THIS APPLIES TO EVERYBODY IN THE COUNTY TO NOTICE IF THERE IS ANY HOUSING GOING IN NEXT TO AGRICULTURE.

HE ADDRESSED THE TWO ITEMS THAT RELATE TO RHYTHM'S APPLICATION ARE POLICY 3.15, WHICH IS THE MIXED USE RHYTHM URBAN VILLAGE; THIS LAYS OUT ALL THE CRITERIA FOR RHYTHM'S PROJECT. THAT IS THE GENERAL OUTLINE OF HOW RHYTHM'S PROJECT WILL MOVE FORWARD. THE BOARD WOULD BE INCLUDING WITHIN THEIR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THIS SPECIFIC POLICY LANGUAGE THAT OUTLINES HOW RHYTHM HAS TO DEVELOP THEIR PROPERTY. AGAIN IT IS THE PART OF THE PROCESS TO MAKE SURE RHYTHM COMPLIES WITH EVERYTHING THEY SAY THEY ARE GOING TO AS PART OF THE DEVELOPMENT.

HE ADDRESSED THE OTHER MATTER WAS POLICY 5.1 THAT RELATES TO BUFFERING. ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT CAME UP IN THE DISCUSSIONS WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN WAS THERE WAS A BUFFERING STANDARD THAT CALLED FOR A 100' OF BUFFER BETWEEN ANY NEW DEVELOPMENT AND THE ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND YOU HAD TO HAVE A 100' BUFFER BETWEEN ANY OF THE INTERNAL USES. WHEN RHYTHM DISCUSSED THIS WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION, IT WENT BACK AND FORTH AND HE THOUGHT WHAT THEY DID WAS INCLUDED SOMETHING THAT WAS IN A PREVIOUS DRAFT; SO, IT WAS TIME TO CLEAN THAT UP. THIS LANGUAGE CLEANS THAT UP. RHYTHM REVIEWED THAT WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION; THE PLANNING COMMISSION WAS SUPPORTIVE OF THE LANGUAGE. RHYTHM CLARIFIED IT SO THEY DO HAVE A 100' BUFFER ADJACENT TO ANY PLATTED DEVELOPMENT AND A 50' BUFFER AGAINST AGRICULTURAL AND THERE ARE NO BUFFERS REQUIRED WITHIN THEIR OWN DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE THEY HAVE CREATED THEIR OWN COMPATIBILITY. THAT IS AN OVERALL POLICY THAT WOULD BE CLEANED UP WITHIN THE COMPRE-

4-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 334

HENSIVE PLAN.

HE EXPLAINED THESE WERE THE FOUR SUBJECTS THAT WERE UP FOR THE BOARD'S REVIEW. THE PROCESS IS THIS IS AN OPEN DISCUSSION TODAY TO SEE IF THE BOARD HAS ANY QUESTIONS; THE BOARD'S FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS WILL COME FORWARD ON THE 25TH OF AUGUST. THAT WILL GO UP FOR THE STATE REVIEW AND THEN THEY WILL GET INTO THE FINAL REVIEW PROCESS. THE ADOPTION HEARING PROCESS WOULD BE OCTOBER 4TH IN FRONT OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND OCTOBER 27TH IN FRONT OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION. HE OPENED UP THE FLOOR TO ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD MAY HAVE.

CHAIRMAN PATE INFORMED MR. HENDERSON THE PUBLIC HEARING WOULD BE ON AUGUST 24TH AS THE BOARD MOVED THEIR MEETING UP A DAY.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED WHAT RHYTHM'S DEFINITION OF AGRICULTURE WAS AND WAS IT GOING TO BE THE SAME AS SILVICULTURE.

MR. HENDERSON SAID IT WOULD INCLUDE SILVICULTURE. HE SAID HE WOULD LET LINDA SHELLY ADDRESS THIS BECAUSE SHE IS GIVING THE DETAILS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER EXPLAINED THAT LANGUAGE NEEDS TO BE IN THERE WHERE IT SAYS SILVICULTURE AS WELL AS AGRICULTURE BECAUSE MOST OF WHAT RHYTHM WOULD HAVE WOULD BE DESIGNATED AS SILVICULTURE OR MIGHT BE.

MS. SHELLY TOLD COMMISSIONER CARTER IF HE WAS REFERRING TO THE CHANGE IN POLICY 1.9 OR I-9, RHYTHM HAS SUGGESTED AS A PLACE FOR THE COUNTY TO PUT INTO THEIR PERMITTING PROCESS A NOTIFICATION AND IT WOULD APPLY TO BOTH AGRICULTURE AND SILVICULTURE AS DEFINED IN THE COUNTY'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. THE 2010 LEGISLATURE PASSED THE LAW APPLYING ALL OVER FLORIDA TO PROTECT AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND EVERYTIME SOMEBODY COMES IN FOR A PERMIT, IF THEY ARE ADJACENT TO AGRICULTURE OR SILVICULTURE, THEY WILL HAVE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEY ARE. THE IDEA IS YOU DON'T HAVE RESIDENTS MOVE IN NEXT TO AGRICULTURE AND THEN COMPLAIN TO THE BOARD ABOUT ODOR, NOISE AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES.

COMMISSIONER CARTER TOTALLY AGREED; BUT, HE WANTED TO CLARIFY

AGRICULTURE DID INCLUDE SILVICULTURE. MS. SHELLY SAID IT DID BY THE

5-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 335

TERMS OF THIS NEW POLICY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO, THERE WAS A DEVELOPER, SKYWATCH, THAT APPLIED AND THE ADJACENT LAND OWNER FILED A LAWSUIT THAT MORE OR LESS INVOLVED SPRAYING OF CHEMICALS. HE THOUGHT THE PERSON WON THAT LAWSUIT WHICH THE STATE OF GEORGIA AT THE TIME HAD SOMETHING IN COMPREHENSIVE PLANNING THAT APPLIED TO SPRAYING. HE ASKED IF THAT WAS COVERED IN RHYTHM'S APPLICATION.

MS. SHELLY SAID ACTUALLY IT WAS COVERED INDIRECTLY. THE LEGISLATURE WILL REQUIRE A PERSON TO LET SOMEBODY KNOW, WHEN THEY APPLY FOR A DEVELOPMENT PERMIT, THIS IS HAPPENING NEXT DOOR. BUT, IT WON'T STOP WHAT IS HAPPENING NEXT DOOR. THE IDEA IS TO BE AWARE OF WHAT THE AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES ARE THAT ARE HAPPENING ON AN ADJACENT PARCEL SO A PERSON WON'T LATER SAY THEY DIDN'T KNOW THEY WERE GOING TO BE DOING THAT WHEN THEY PURCHASED THE PROPERTY OR BUILT THEIR HOUSE ON IT. IT IS INTENDED TO PROTECT AGRICULTURE FROM HAVING TO CHANGE ITS WAYS AS A RESULT OF OTHER PEOPLE COMING IN AFTER THAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE THOUGHT AT THAT TIME, THE PERSON NEXT TO SKYWATCH WAS ASKING FOR SETBACK LINES, WHICH HE THOUGHT THEY GOT.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THAT BEING A VERY COMMON PROCESS AND ONE THING THE BOARD IS REQUIRING THE RHYTHM FOLKS THAT THEY HAVE A GOOD BUFFER BETWEEN THEM AND THEIR NEIGHBORS.

CHAIRMAN PATE SAID HE WASN'T SURE BUT WHAT THE OFFSET LINE WOULDN'T BUT ABOUT 50' THEN AND IN NEGOTIATIONS WITH SKYWATCH, THEY MOVED IT BACK FURTHER.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THIS IS A NOTICE REQUIREMENT WHEREBY THEY ARE TRYING TO PROTECT THE FOLKS THAT USE THEIR LAND FOR AGRICULTURE FROM THE NEW PROPERTY OWNER THAT IS COMPLAINING; IT IS ANOTHER LAYER OF NOTICE IF YOU EVER GET INTO A DISPUTE, YOU CAN PROVE IT EASIER BOTH ON THE DEVELOPMENT'S END AND THE BOARD'S END. IT IS A PROTECTIVE MEANS IS WHY THEY SLIPPED IT IN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE REASON HE IS CONCERNED IS HE DON'T

6-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 336

THINK THE PLANNING COMMISSION WAS TOTALLY 100% FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT. IN FACT, DISTRICT III'S REPRESENTATIVE WAS SO CONCERNED HE CALLED

HIM ABOUT AN ISSUE ON THE SETBACK LINES. THAT IS WHY HE IS ASKING THESE QUESTIONS. HE WAS USING SKYWATCH AS AN EXAMPLE.

MR. DERUNTZ EXPLAINED THE ACTION THE BOARD WILL BE UNDERTAKING IS NOT A VESTING WITH THEM ALLOWING PARTICULAR ACTIVITIES ON THIS PROPERTY; IT IS CURRENTLY AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE AND IT IS CONTINUING TO BE AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE. ALL THIS IS SENDING IT TO THE STATE AND OTHER REVIEWING AGENCIES FOR THEIR REVIEW OF THESE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE COUNTY'S COMPEREHENSIVE PLAN. THE REVIEWING AGENCIES MAY COME BACK AND SAY THEY DON'T AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS AND THOSE COMMENTS WILL BE INCORPORATED IN THEIR REVIEW PACKET AS THEY GO FORWARD WITH THE REVIEWS OF THIS PROJECT. ALL THIS IS DOING IS TAKING THEIR RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THIS PLAN AND STARTING THE REVIEW PROCESS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY AND OTHER REVIEWING AGENCIES.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED THE BOARD NOT TO CONFUSE THEMSELVES WITH THINKING THIS DOCUMENT IS THE DOCUMENT THAT IS GOING TO GOVERN COMPLETELY THEIR RELATIONSHIP WITH RHYTHM. THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE A VERY DETAILED DEVELOPERS AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY COMING FORWARD SO THIS IS STRICTLY WITH RESPECT TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. HE DOESN'T WANT THE BOARD TO LOOK AT THE DOCUMENT AND SAY THIS DOESN'T TALK ABOUT CERTAIN NUMBER OF ROOFS NEED TO BE PUT UP BEFORE THEY DO "X." THIS IS STRICTLY A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; THE DETAILS, THE DOTTING OF THE I'S AND CROSSING OF THE T'S WILL HAPPEN ON SOME UPCOMING DOCUMENTS AND AGREEMENTS THEY WILL HAVE TO ENTER INTO WITH RHYTHM.

CHAIRMAN PATE STATED THE BOARD WOULD TAKE THIS REQUEST UNDER CONSIDERATION AND TAKE ACTION ON IT PROBABLY ON AUGUST 24TH.

RANDY SHEFFIELD, MANAGING PARTNER OF BEACH RADIO, INC., WHO OWNS BEACH 95.1 RADIO STATION ADDRESSED THE BOARD TODAY TO REQUEST PERMISSION TO APPROVE THEM RELOCATING THEIR FM ANTENNA TO THE TOWER THAT IS LOCATED IN EBRO AT THE CORNER OF HIGHWAY 20 AND 79.

7-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 337

SPECIFICALLY THEIR PROPOSAL IS THEY TAKE THE CURRENT 250' TOWER THAT IS LOCATED THERE AND THEY ADD 40' OF TOWER TO THAT, BRINGING IT TO A TOTAL OF 290'. THE 290' SECTION THEY HAVE ALSO PROPOSED THEY TAKE THE EBRO TWO WAY EMERGENCY 911 SYSTEM AND RELOCATE IT TO THE VERY TOP OF THAT TOWER; THE 911 RADIO IS CURRENTLY AT THE EBRO CITY HALL AND IS LOCATED ON A 150' TOWER. ACCORDING TO THE CHIEF AT THE TIME, WHO IS NOW ASSISTANT CHIEF, THEY ARE HAVING A LOT OF TROUBLE COVERING THE COUNTY. BEACH RADIO HAS ALSO PROPOSED THEY WOULD BEAR ALL THE COST TO TAKE IT DOWN FROM THE 150' TOWER AND MOUNT IT AT THE TOP OF THE 290' TOWER. THEY WILL BUY ALL THE FEED LINE WHICH IS THE LINE THAT RUNS FROM THE RECEIVER TO THE ANTENNA AND BEAR ALL THE LABOR COSTS ON THAT. BEACH RADIO WILL ALSO PROPOSE TO TAKE DOWN THE 150' TOWER THAT IS AT THE CITY HALL. AS A PART OF THIS PROPOSAL, BEACH RADIO WOULD PROVIDE SPACE IN THEIR TRANSMITTER HOUSE TO STORE THE EQUIPMENT FOR THE 911 SYSTEM. THE BIGGEST PART OF THIS WHOLE PROJECT IS BEACH 95 WILL BEAR THE COST OF THE RENTAL ON A MONTHLY BASIS FOR

THREE TEN YEAR TERMS AND IT WOULDN'T COST EBRO OR THE COUNTY ANY AMOUNT OF DOLLARS AT ALL. HE REITERATED HIS REQUEST FOR PERMISSION FOR BEACH RADIO TO INCREASE THE HEIGHT OF THE ANTENNA FROM 250' TO 290' IN EBRO, DO THE THINGS THAT ARE OUTLINED FOR EBRO AND WASHINGTON COUNTY. EBRO COUNCIL HAS APPROVED THIS AND THERE IS A RECOMMENDATION FROM PLANNING AND ZONING.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED HOW THIS WOULD FIGURE INTO ANY KIND OF FLIGHT RESTRICTIONS OF THE AIRPORT. MR. SHEFFIELD ADVISED BEACH RADIO HAS A LETTER FROM THE FAA AND WERE ACTUALLY CLEARED TO GO 320'; BEACH RADIO WAS CLEARED BY FAA. FOR THE RECORD, MR. SHEFFIELD WAS ASKED TO LEAVE HIS CONTACT INFORMATION WITH DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW.

COMMISSIONER CARTER REQUESTED MR. SHEFFIELD PROVIDE MS. GLASGOW WITH A COPY OF THE FAA APPROVAL FOR HER FILES. MR. SHEFFIELD AGREED AND ADDRESSED BEACH RADIO HAS EVERYTHING ON FILE WITH PLANNING AND ZONING. COMMISSIONER CARTER AGREED FOR MR. DERUNTZ TO PROVIDE IT TO MS. GLASGOW.

8-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 338

FOR THE RECORD, COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND REQUESTED THE COUNTY ATTORNEY BE PROVIDED A COPY OF THE DOCUMENTATION BEACH RADIO IS REQUESTING THE BOARD APPROVE.

SHERIFF HADDOCK ASKED MR. SHEFFIELD WHEN HE WAS SPEAKING OF 911, WAS HE REFERRING TO THE EBRO FIRE DEPARTMENT. MR. SHEFFIELD ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

SHERIFF HADDOCK QUESTIONED IF THAT WAS STRICTLY EBRO'S FREQUENCY FOR THEIR FIRE DEPARTMENT AND IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE 911 THROUGH THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. MR. SHEFFIELD SAID NOT THAT HE WAS AWARE OF; THE ONLY THING HE KNOWS IS HE HAS WORKED WITH THE ASSISTANT FIRE CHIEF AND MAYOR TAYLOR.

SHERIFF HADDOCK ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION BECAUSE THE COUNTY IS IN THE PROCESS NOW ABOUT RADIO FREQUENCIES BECAUSE OF UNFUNDED MANDATES COMING OUT OF WASHINGTON.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD ON THE WCFA ENDORSING THE CONCEPT; THE EBRO FIRE DEPARTMENT HAD TO GO THROUGH THEIR OWN FREQUENCY BECAUSE THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT CHANNELS HAVE NEVER REALLY WORKED IN THAT AREA AND OF COURSE FROM 150' TO 290' HAS GOT TO BE TO THE COUNTY'S BENEFIT. HE EXPLAINED THIS IS NOT 911; IT IS LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND IT IS FIRE DEPARTMENT. HE KNOWS THAT 911 GETS CONFUSED WITH ALL EMERGENCY SERVICES; BUT, THIS PARTICULARLY AS IT RELATES TO OUR JARGEN IS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED SHERIFF HADDOCK IF HIS 911 SYSTEM HAD WORKED WELL IN EBRO. SHERIFF HADDOCK SAID "YES SIR; BUT, IT IS DIFFERENT FREQUENCIES."

MR. DERUNTZ REFERRED TO THE QUESTION HAVING COME UP IF BEACH RADIO'S REQUEST TO HEIGHTEN THE TOWER AT EBRO WOULD CONFLICT WITH THE HEIGHT LIMITATION AREA. HE EXPLAINED THAT TOWER IS LOCATED IN THE AIRPORT SPECIAL TREATMENT ZONE AND THERE IS HEIGHT LIMITATION FOR PROTECTION OF THE NORTHWEST FLORIDA REGIONAL AIRPORT; THE HEIGHT

BEING REQUESTED IS BELOW THAT. HE HAS BEEN IN CONTACT WITH BAY COUNTY ABOUT THAT ISSUE; THERE IS A COPY OF FAA'S LETTER IN THE

9-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 339

STAFF REPORT AND HOPEFULLY EVERYONE HAS A COPY OF THE STAFF REPORT. EXHIBIT 11 IS A LETTER FROM THE TOWN OF EBRO THAT SPECIFIES WHAT THEY AGREED TO AND THOSE CONDITIONS WILL ALSO BE IN PLACE AS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL. IF THEY NEED MORE THAN THAT, THEY WOULD BE GLAD TO WORK SOMETHING OUT WITH THE DIRECTION OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY. BEACH RADIO'S APPROVAL WILL BE BASED ON THOSE CONDITIONS UPON THE BOARD'S APPROVAL OF THIS SPECIAL EXCEPTION.

AGENDAED ITEMS-L.E. AND DEBRA WILLIAMS:

MR. DERUNTZ UPDATED THE BOARD ON THIS BEING AN APPLICATION SEEKING VARIANCE OF THE FUTURE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE; THE PROPERTY IS FOR LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL. THERE IS AN EXISTING MOBILE HOME ON THE PROPERTY. THE WILLIAMS WANTS TO MOVE ANOTHER MOBILE HOME ON THE PROPERTY TO HELP FACILITATE THEIR FAMILIES NEEDS AND THOSE NEEDS ARE BASED ON SOME HEALTH ISSUES AND TAKING CARE OF FAMILY MEMBERS. THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDS APPROVAL WITH THE CONDITION WHEN THE SISTER MOVES OFF, THERE WILL BE JUST ONE STRUCTURE ON THE PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER PATE QUESTIONED IF THE WILLIAMS WANTED TO BREAK THIS DOWN INTO ONE ACRE LOTS OR JUST PERMISSION TO MOVE A TRAILOR ON THE PROPERTY. MR. DERUNTZ RESPONDED THE WILLAIMS WAS NOT INTERESTED IN SUBDIVIDING THE PROPERTY; IF IT WERE TO GO INTO SUBDIVIDING PROPERTY, THERE WOULD BE OTHER ISSUES INVOLVED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. DERUNTZ WHAT CONCERNS HE HAD WITH THE WILLIAMS' REQUEST.

MR. DERUNTZ SAID JUST THAT THE WILLIAMS' FOLLOWS THROUGH WITH WHAT THEY SAY THEY ARE GOING TO DO AND WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DO IS HAVE FAITH IN OUR CITIZENS THEY ARE GOING TO DO WHAT THEY SAY THEY ARE GOING TO DO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD MR. DERUNTZ, IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO APPROVE THIS CONTINGENT ON THEM MOVING THE TRAILER OUT AT SOME POINT IN TIME, HE WOULD LIKE A LEGAL DOCUMENT DRAWN UP SO THEY COULD HOLD THE WILLIAMS' ACCCOUNTABLE IF THEY ARE MAKING THIS SPECIAL EXCEPTION

10-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 340

FOR THIS SITUATION. HE EXPLAINED HE DIDN'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THE

SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO HELP A FAMILY IN NEED WITH HEALTH ISSUES, ETC.

MR. DERUNTZ AGREED TO HAVE SOMETHING DRAWN UP AND HAVE THE COUNTY ATTORNEY LOOK AT IT; IF THE BOARD CHOOSES TO APPROVE THIS VARIANCE, HE WILL HAVE IT RECORDED AND MADE PART OF THE RECORD.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED WHAT THE COUNTY'S COMP PLAN REFERS TO AS BEING ABLE TO CONVEY PROPERTY TO FAMILY MEMBERS.

MR. DERUNTZ REPORTED IN THE STATE STATUTE THERE IS A HOMESTEAD CRITERIA.

COMMISSIONER CARTER REITERATED HIS QUESTION WAS WHAT DOES THE COMP PLAN REFER TO.

MR. DERUNTZ ADVISED THE COMP PLAN REFERS TO THE HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION CRITERIA THAT YOU CAN SUBDIVIDE AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE PROPERTY, GIVE THAT TO A FAMILY MEMBER AND IT DOESN'T HAVE TO MEET MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS EXCEPT HEALTH DEPARTMENT REGULATIONS AND STANDARDS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID "SO THAT IS A KNOWN FACT THE WILLIAMS' CAN DO THIS."

MR. DERUNTZ REITERATED THE WILLIAMS' IS NOT WANTING TO SUBDIVIDE THEIR PROPERTY; THEY ARE JUST WANTING TO MOVE ANOTHER STRUCTURE ON THE PROPERTY AND IT IS NOT AN AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE AREA; IT IS A LOW DENSITY.

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT THE BOARD HAD ALREADY HAD TWO OR THREE OF THESE ISSUES COME BEFORE THEM WHERE SOMEONE WAS MOVING IN TO TAKE CARE OF ELDERLY PARENTS. HE UNDERSTANDS THAT; BUT, FOR INFORMATION, GIVEN THE FACT IT IS BEGINNING TO BECOME A REGULAR ISSUE AS PEOPLE GET OLDER AND FAMILIES WANT TO MOVE ON THE PROPERTY TO TAKE CARE OF THEM, THEY MAY NEED TO LOOK AT HAVING THIS CLARIFIED AND MADE PART OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THINKS THERE IS ALREADY SOMETHING IN THE COMP PLAN TO COVER FAMILY MEMBERS; HE KNOWS IT USE TO BE.

MR. DERUNTZ EXPLAINED, IN AGRICULTURE/SILVICULTURE AREAS, YOU

11-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 341

CAN DO THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THIS PROPERTY IS IN THE CITY LIMITS AS HE KNOWS THE CITY LIMITS HAS DIFFERENT REQUIREMENTS.

MR. DERUNTZ ADVISED THE PROPERTY WAS NOT IN THE CITY LIMITS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED IT WOULD BE PERMISSABLE BY THE LAWS THE COUNTY HAS IN PLACE IF THE WILLIAMS' SUBDIVIDED THE PROPERTY.

MR. DERUNTZ ADVISED IT WOULD BE; BUT, THEY WOULD THEN HAVE TO DEVELOP THE STREETS, ROADWAYS, ETC. THE WAY THEY SUBDIVIDE THE PROPERTY WAS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE AS IT IS. IT WAS ONE OF THESE DEEDED ACTIONS THAT DIDN'T COME BEFORE THE BOARD AND DID NOT GET APPROVED. THE ROADWAY IS NOT WHERE THE ROADWAY EASEMENT IS LOCATED ON THE PLAT. THERE IS A LOT OF ISSUES WITH THIS PROPERTY SO THEY ARE TRYING TO FACILITATE THE WILLIAMS' REQUEST WITHOUT A GREAT DEAL OF HARDSHIP AND STILL ACCOMPLISH THE GOAL THEY WANT TO TRY AND MEET.



COMMISSIONER CARTER THOUGHT THIS WOULD BE A GOOD TIME FOR MR. DERUNTZ TO LOOK AT THE PLAN TOTALLY IN REFERENCE TO THIS SIMILARITY AND COME BACK WITH A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD ON WHAT WOULD BE SUITABLE AND WOULD MEET WASHINGTON COUNTY'S NEEDS ON THESE TYPE OF SCENARIOS SO IT COULD BE PUT IN PLACE WHERE DERUNTZ COULD HANDLE IT WITHOUT HAVING A LOT OF LONG, DRAWN OUT, COMPLICATED RELAYS THERE. HE THOUGHT THE BOARD SHOULD PUT THIS IN THEIR PLAN SO THEY COVER THIS TYPE SCENARIO SO IT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD BENEFIT THE PEOPLE OF THE COUNTY ON A TIMELY MANNER. HE FELT NOW IS A GOOD TIME TO CHANGE THE PLAN THE COUNTY CURRENTLY HAS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN RESPONDED THAT HE AND MR. DERUNTZ HAS STARTED SOME DISCUSSIONS AND ARE PREPARING TO COME TO THE BOARD IN A WORKSHOP NEXT MONTH ON SOME TOPICS SOMEWHAT RELATED TO THIS ABOUT SOME OF THE ISSUES THE COUNTY IS FACING RIGHT NOW WITH PEOPLE COMING TO GET PERMITS IN A SITUATION LIKE THIS, THERE WAS NEVER A PLAN OR PROCESS IN PLACE TO MAKE THEM QUALIFIED UNDER THE COUNTY'S COMP PLAN OR OTHERWISE. THEY HAVE GOTTEN SEVERAL AND THEY ARE GOING TO COME UP WITH A

12-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 342

NUMBER AND IT IS GOING TO BE A BIG NUMBER OF THE NUMBER OF FOLKS IN THE COUNTY THAT ARE OUT OF COMPLIANCE RIGHT NOW AND THE PROBLEMS IT MAY CAUSE THE COUNTY IN THE FUTURE AND A POSSIBLE WAY TO REMEDY THOSE PROBLEMS. THIS IS A SITUATION THAT WOULD KIND OF FALL INTO THAT CATEGORY; BUT, HE AND MR. DERUNTZ HAS TALKED ABOUT COMING TO A WORKSHOP IN SEPTEMBER AND HAMMERING THAT ISSUE OUT WITH THE BOARD MORE THOROUGHLY. HE WILL GET WITH MR. DERUNTZ TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE AIR TIGHT IN THEIR COMP PLAN AND IF THEY NEED TO DO ANY UPDATES, THEY WILL DO THOSE AS WELL.

PARK WATER TESTING-COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REPORTED HE HAD HANDED EACH OF THE BOARD MEMBERS A COPY OF WHAT IS TAKING PLACE NOW WHAT HE IS PROPOSING TO DO IN AN EFFORT TO SAVE \$11,760 IS REMOVE DRINKING FOUNTAINS FROM CAMPBELL PARK AND DANIELS LAKE AS ST. JOSEPH HAS ALREADY BEEN TAKEN CARE OF BECAUSE OF THE COMPRESSOR PROBLEMS, ETC. FOR POTABLE WATER, IF THERE IS A DRINKING FOUNTAIN PROVIDED, THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEP TO HAVE THE WATER TESTED EVERY QUARTER. THROUGH THE TESTING, IT IS COSTING THE COUNTY \$17,520; IF THEY REMOVE THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS FROM THE PARKS, NOT THE WATER IN THE BATHROOMS, TOILETS, WATER SPIGOTS, THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH WILL TAKE OVER THE TESTING AT A SAVINGS OF \$11,760 A YEAR. HE BROUGHT THIS UP ABOUT THREE MONTHS AGO AND IT DIED FOR A LACK OF A SECOND. HE WAS ASKED BY THE BOARD TO BRING IT BACK UP AFTER SCHOOL GOT BACK IN SESSION BECAUSE THE KIDS WOULDN'T BE FREQUENTING THE PARKS AS OFTEN. HE REITERATED HE WAS NOT ASKING THEY DO AWAY WITH THE WATER SPIGOTS, JUST THE DRINKING FOUNTAINS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF ANY OF THESE PARKS HAVE KITCHENS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS NOT PROPOSING TO CHANGE THE ONES THAT HAVE KITCHENS AT ALL WHICH IS ORANGE HILL AND BLUE LAKE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED THE RATES THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT

IS CHARGING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT CHARGES \$60 A QUARTER AND ON SOME OF THE PARKS, IT WILL BE \$60 A YEAR; ON

13-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 343

CAMPBELL PARK, ST. JOSEPH, DANIELS LAKE AND WASHINGTON COUNTY PARK AND RECREATION IT WOULD BE \$60 A YEAR. HE NOTED THAT WASHINGTON COUNTY PARK AND RECREATION WAS BEING TURNED OVER TO TRI-COUNTY NOW. FIVE POINTS AND HINSON CROSSROADS WILL BE \$60 A QUARTER; BLUE LAKE AND ORANGE HILL WILL BE \$230 A MONTH.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF THEY HAD THREE KITCHENS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HINSON CROSSROADS IS GOING TO THE LIMITED USE; BUT, BLUE LAKE AND ORANGE HILL HAS KITCHENS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED WHAT SPECIFIED LIMITED USE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADVISED LIMITED USE IS DETERMINED ON THE AMOUNT OF USAGE IT GETS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED THE RECORDS THE COUNTY HAS TO SHOW LIMITED USE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THE RENTAL RECORDS ON THE FACILITY. THIS WAS ALSO THE RECOMMENDATION OF MR. REGISTER, WHO IS CURRENTLY THE WATER TESTER FOR THE COUNTY, TO PUT HINSON CROSSROADS ON LIMITED USE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN, UNDER THIS CIRCUMSTANCE WITH TESTING JUST ONCE A YEAR, WHAT IS THE COUNTY'S LIABILITY IF SOMETHING DOES HAPPEN OR SOMEBODY GETS SICK FROM THAT WATER.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REPORTED FROM A LIABILITY STANDPOINT, OBVIOUSLY IF THE BOARD IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE STATUTE OR STATE LAW, THAT IS NOT GOING TO PLAY OUT FAVORABLY IN COURT. IF SOMETHING HAPPENS AT THE PARKS, HE CAN'T GIVE ANY ASSURANCES TO THIS BOARD, THAT A GOOD PLANS LAWYER WON'T CRAFT A COMPLAINT THAT WILL SOMEHOW INCLUDE SOME STANDARD THE COUNTY OWED TO WHOEVER THE PERSON IS THAT GOT SICK. THE COUNTY'S FACT OF RESPONSE IS GOING TO BE THEY ARE NOT REQUIRED BY THE STATE STATUTE. HE HAS RECOMMENDED AT THE BOARD MEETING WHEN THIS WAS ORIGINALLY BROUGHT UP THAT THEY POST SIGNS AT THE ENTRANCES OR AROUND THE PARKS TALKING ABOUT THE LACK OF (A) WATER FOUNTAINS OR (2) TESTING. THAT WAY THERE WOULD BE SOME SORT OF NOTICE ELEMENT. HE TOLD THE BOARD THEY ARE NOT REQUIRED

14-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 344

TO DO THAT AT ALL; BUT, HIS THOUGHT WITH THE LIABILITY IS THEY CAN'T PREVENT THE SUIT BUT AS FAR AS POSTING SOMETHING AT THE FRONT THERE IS NOT A POTABLE WATER FOUNTAIN HERE OR WHATEVER THAT SIGN IS GOING TO SAY, THERE ARE WAYS TO PUT PEOPLE ON NOTICE. HE DON'T NECESSARILY THINK, FROM A TESTING STANDPOINT, THEY ARE NOT REQUIRED TO BY STATE STATUTE. IF SOMEBODY WERE TO GET SICK AT THE PARKS, HE THOUGHT THEY OUGHT TO HAVE TO BE SOME SORT OF ELEMENT OR KNOWN OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN THERE WAS SOMETHING GOING ON WITH THAT DRINKING WATER. IF SOMEBODY COMES TO THE BOARD AND SAYS A PERSON WAS OUT AT THE PARK A WEEK AGO DRINKING WATER AND GOT SICK, THAT IS GOING TO SOME PROACTIVE STEPS. BUT, AT THIS POINT, THERE IS NOTHING REQUIRING THEM TO DO THAT. HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE BOARD TO PUT SOME VERSION OF NOTICE ON A SIGN JUST TO HELP THEM IN CASE, BECAUSE THERE IS A HISTORY AND HABIT OF HAVING THIS DRINKING WATER OUT THERE. FROM THAT MEANS, HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SOME SORT OF NOTICE SIGN PUT UP WHICH WOULD BE A PRETTY CHEAP REMEDY THEY CAN POINT TO IF THEY EVER GET INTO ANY LITIGATION ON THE MATTER.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED, ONCE THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT TAKES OVER THE TESTING, WOULD THEY NOT ACQUIRE SOME OF THAT LIABILITY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT WOULD ACQUIRE SOME OF THAT LIABILITY; BUT, HE DOESN'T THINK, UNLESS SOMEBODY COULD SHOW SOME SORT OF NOTICE ON THE BOARD'S BEHALF SOMETHING WAS GOING ON WITH THAT DRINKING WATER, HE WOULDN'T FEEL VERY STRONGLY ABOUT THAT CASE FROM THE PLAINTIFF SIDE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID HE COULD UNDERSTAND THE KITCHENS; BUT, HE DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY FL-DEP USES WATER FOUNTAINS AS A SCENARIO BECAUSE YOU CAN DRINK IT OUT OF A HOSE AS QUICK AS YOU CAN A WATER FOUNTAIN. HE DON'T UNDERSTAND FL-DEP'S RATIONAL ON THAT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE HAD A LONG CONVERSATION WHEN THIS ISSUE ORIGINALLY CAME UP BECAUSE THAT WAS HIS THOUGHT; WHEN HE WAS SIX AND THERE WAS WATER COMING OUT OF A HOSE OR A FAUCET, HE WOULD BEND DOWN AND GET IT. FL-DEP'S THINKING IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT

15-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 345

ON THE ISSUE AND THEY HAVE ASSURED HIM THE STATE STATUTE SAYS IN THOSE TYPE OF SITUATIONS, THEY DON'T HAVE TO LIVE UP TO THE SAME TESTING AS THEY DO FOR A KITCHEN OR A NORMAL TYPE WATER FOUNTAIN. BUT, HE THINKS FROM A LIABILITY STANDPOINT, HE IS NOT AS CONCERNED WITH THAT. HE IS MORE CONCERNED WITH THERE BEING AN EXPECTATION OF WATER BEING OUT THERE BASED ON USE AND NOT NOTICE AND SOMEBODY GETS IN A DEHYDRATION SITUATION; BUT, HE THINKS THEY CAN HELP THEMSELVES THERE WITH SOME NOTICE REQUIREMENTS. HE IS NOT AS WORRIED ABOUT CONTAMINATION WITH SOMETHING COMING OUT OF A LOW LEVEL FAUCET.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED DAVID CORBIN HOW OFTEN HE CHECKED THOSE WELLS. DAVID SAID HE DOESN'T TEST THE WELLS; THEY HAVE ALWAYS HAD A CONTRACT THAT WAS BID OUT AND MR. REGISTER HAS HAD THE CONTRACT. HE EXPLAINED HE DID ABOUT TWELVE TO FIFTEEN YEARS AGO COLLECT AND TAKE WATER SAMPLES AND CARRIED THEM TO GRACEVILLE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID HE WASN'T NECESSARILY REFERRING TO TESTING AS IT WAS HOW OFTEN IS SOMEONE THERE TO SEE THAT THOSE WELLS ARE FUNCTIONING PROPERLY.

DAVID EXPLAINED THEY HAVE A CREW GO BY EVERY WEEK TO CHECK THE GARBAGE AND MAKE SURE THERE ARE NO LEAKS. FOR CLARIFICATION, WHEN THEY RENT THESE BUILDINGS THE BOARD HAS SET ASIDE A FEE; BUT, THERE ARE WAIVERS. A COUNTY ORGANIZATION CAN USE THAT BUILDING; SO, FIRE DEPARTMENTS USE THEM THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW ABOUT SO HE CAN'T GIVE A TRUE FIGURE. WHEN HE WAS ASKED ONE TIME BY MR. REGISTER HOW MANY PEOPLE USE THEM, FOR CLARIFICATION, WHAT HE KNOWS ABOUT IS WHAT PARK AND RECREATION RENTS. ALL THE WAIVERS, FIRE DEPARTMENTS USING THE BUILDING FOR TRAINING, HE DON'T KNOW HOW MANY ARE USING THE KITCHENS; HE HAS NO WAY OF KNOWING THAT AS HE DON'T HAVE A ROSTER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED HE IS NOT PROPOSING TO CHANGE THE LAWS HERE; ALL HE IS LOOKING AT DOING IS TAKING THE WATER TESTING ON ALL LOCATIONS, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF TWO, FROM THE FL-DEP AND GIVING THE TESTING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH FOR A SAVINGS OF \$11,000

16-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 346

A YEAR. HE IS NOT PROPOSING TO CHANGE THE LAW OR PUT ANYBODY IN DANGER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHY WOULDN'T HE TAKING THE OTHER TWO PLACES OUT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID BECAUSE THEY WERE RENTAL PLACES WITH HIGH USAGE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THOUGHT THESE OTHER PLACES WERE HIGH USAGE TOO; THAT IS A MATTER OF OPINION. HE REFERRED TO CAMPBELL PARK BEING SLAM FULL OF PEOPLE LAST WEEKEND. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS TAKING SOMETHING OUT THAT CAME FROM THE GRANT AND HE DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN TAKE THAT ELEMENT OUT OF THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT INFORMED COMMISSIONER BROCK THEY COULD TAKE IT OUT AS HE HAS ALREADY DONE THAT INVESTIGATION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAS A PROBLEM GIVING SOME PEOPLE AN ELEMENT AND TAKING AWAY FROM OTHER PEOPLE; THEY SHOULD TREAT EVERYBODY EQUAL AND WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT'S PROPOSAL, HE DOESN'T THINK THEY ARE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER THOUGHT MAYBE THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN AN EXERCISE RUN ON THE USAGE OF ALL THE NON-KITCHEN FACILITIES AS WELL AND MAKE A DETERMINATION OVERALL ON WHAT WAS USED AND NOT USED THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE COUNTY AND NOT JUST USE THE KITCHEN AS A SCENARIO TO RENDER THE DECISION ON A RENTAL BASIS. THE ONES THAT ARE NON-RENTAL HAS A LOT OF ACTIVITY TOO. TO BE FAIR THROUGHOUT, THAT SCENARIO SHOULD HAVE BEEN BROUGHT IN HIS OPINION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THE SCENARIO HE WAS USING IS THE REGULATIONS BY THE STATE ON HOW THEY REGULATE THE KITCHENS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER UNDERSTOOD; BUT, POINTED OUT THE PARKS LIKE CAMPBELL, ST. JOSEPH, DANIELS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED, WHEN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH DOES THEIR

TESTING, IS IT THE SAME TESTS THAT MR. REGISTER DOES; BUT, IT IS JUST ONCE A YEAR RATHER THAN ONCE A QUARTER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED IF COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HAD

17-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 347

SOMETHING WHEREBY THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IS WILLING TO GO INTO A CONTRACT ON THAT FEE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE COUNTY IS ALREADY CONTRACTED WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT CURRENTLY.

WHEN COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED WHAT THE COUNTY WAS CONTRACTED WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT FOR, COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID TO TEST THE WATER AS THEY NEED FOR \$60 A SAMPLE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED WHY THE BOARD IS PAYING TWO PEOPLE TO TEST THE WATER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THEY ARE NOT PAYING TWO PEOPLE WITH COMMISSIONER CARTER REFERRING TO THEM PAYING MR. REGISTER AND IF THEY ARE PAYING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, THEY ARE UNDOUBTFULLY PAYING TWO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD BEING CONTRACTED WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH; BUT, THEY ARE NOT USING THEM. IN FACT, THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT HAD BROUGHT THAT TO HIS ATTENTION THE BOARD IS UNDER CONTRACT WITH THEM IF THEY USE THEM AT \$60 A SAMPLE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD HAS A CONTRACT WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, WHY HAVEN'T THEY BEEN DOING THE TESTING ALL THE TIME.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN TURNED OVER TO FL-DEP. THAT IS WHAT HE IS TRYING TO DO IS BRING THE TESTING BACK TO THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED TO DO WHAT COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IS ASKING, THEY WOULD HAVE TO TAKE THE WATER COOLERS OUT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT PROVIDED THE BOARD AN EXAMPLE. RIGHT NOW AT ST. JOSEPH PARK, THEY ARE CHECKING THAT FOR \$230 A MONTH BECAUSE THEY HAVE A WATER COOLER THERE. HE ASKED DAVID TO TELL THE BOARD THE LAST TIME THAT WATER COOLER WAS FUNCTIONAL.

DAVID SAID IT HAD BEEN OFF APPROXIMATELY THREE TO FIVE MONTHS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT WAS HIS POINT. COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THE POINT IS WHY HASN'T IT BEEN FIXED.

DAVID TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE HADN'T HAD THE MONEY IN HIS

18-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 348

BUDGET WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK TELLING DAVID HE COULD HAVE FOUND THE MONEY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT DEFENDED DAVID AND ADDRESSED OVER AND OVER AND OVER VANDALISM, THESE COMPRESSORS ARE SITTING OUT THERE IN THE SUN AND THE MAINTENANCE IS OVERWHELMING AND JUST THE CLEANLINESS OF THEM WITH PEOPLE SPITTING AND DUMPING STUFF IN THEM, ETC. IS AN EXTREME JOB TO KEEP THEM WHERE THE AVERAGE PERSON WILL DRINK OUT OF THEM. THE VANDALISM IS HIGH; DAVID GOES OVER AND OVER AND OVER AND FIXES THE THINGS AND TWO MONTHS LATER, IT IS TORN OFF THE WALL, BEAT UP, SMASHED AND THEN HERE WE ARE GOING TO REPLACE IT AGAIN. ALL HE IS SHOWING THE BOARD IS THE SAVINGS OF THE WATER TESTING AND NOT THE DAMAGE, MAINTENANCE, ETC. HE EXPLAINED THIS IS JUST ONE EXAMPLE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID HE COULD CONCUR WITH WHAT COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID AS FAR AS THE VANDALISM; BUT, HE BELIEVES AT THE LAST MEETING, HE REMEMBERS THE BOARD MAKING A DECISION TO TAKE A HOUSE AND MOVE IT TO DANIELS LAKE AND THEY ARE GOING TO INCUR JUST AS MUCH EXPENSE TO MAINTAIN THAT AS THEY ARE ANYTHING ELSE. THE BOARD NEEDS TO LOOK AT ALL THESE THINGS AS A COST BASE AND NOT JUST SOMETHING THAT IS GOING TO BE GIVEN TO THEM FREE BECAUSE IT IS NEVER FREE. HE TOLD COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HE WAS JUST MAKING A STATEMENT AND NOT JUST REFERRING IT TO HIM INDIVIDUALLY. THE HOUSE BEING PUT IN AT DANIELS LAKE IS GOING TO BE AN ONGOING EXPENSE AND THERE IS NO SECURITY AROUND THAT PLACE AND THEY CAN'T EXPECT IT NOT TO BE VANDALIZED TOO. HE BELIEVES THE AGREEMENT WAS THE COUNTY HAS TO MAINTAIN IT AND QUESTIONED AT WHAT LEVEL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING A CLAUSE IN THE CONTRACT THAT TALKS ABOUT THE STANDARD AND THE ABILITY BY THE STATE AND FL-DOT TO REVIEW AND IMPLEMENT THAT STANDARD. IF THE COUNTY HAS TO ACCEPT IT TO A CERTAIN STANDARD AND THEN THEY REQUIRE THE COUNTY FOR 120 MONTHS TO KEEP IT TO A CERTAIN STANDARD AND THAT STANDARD IS SPECIFIED IN THE CONTRACT.

19-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 349

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WAS NOT TRYING TO UPSET ANYTHING OR ANYONE AND IT HAS BEEN HIS EXPERIENCE BEING AT THE PARKS, VISITING THROUGH THIS PROCESS, MOST PEOPLE FIND IT UNSANITARY TO EVEN DRINK OUT OF MOST OF THESE WATER FOUNTAINS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID HE COULD CONCUR WITH THAT; HE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THE LIABILITY ON THE HOSE IS OUT THERE AND HE DOESN'T SEE THE DIFFERENCE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM TAKING A COOLER OF WATER IF HE TAKES HIS FAMILY, GRANDCHILDREN, ETC. TO THE PARKS FOR SANITARY PURPOSES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAD NO PROBLEM AT DANIELS LAKE WITH THE WATER AND THE ONE AT CAMPBELL PARK. HE CAN'T SAY ABOUT ST. JOSEPH AND HE THINKS ST. JOSEPH HAS BEEN THE REAL PROBLEM AND THESE

OTHER PARKS ARE TAKING A HIT ON IT. AT ONE TIME, THEY HAD SEVERE PROBLEMS AT BLUE LAKE WITH VANDALISM, ETC.; IN FACT, IT GOT SO BAD THEY HAD TO CLOSE IT AT ONE TIME. BUT, EVENTUALLY THEY GOT IT STRAIGHTENED OUT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE DIFFERENCE IN THAT IS A LOT OF THE FOLKS AROUND THE BLUE LAKE AREA DECIDED THEY WANTED THE PARK BACK AND WENT OUT THERE AND WENT TO WORK AND PEOPLE ARE KEEPING AN EYE ON IT. DAVID BROUGHT CREWS OUT THERE ON THE WEEKENDS TO WORK AT THE PARK. WHEN TALKING ABOUT VANDALISM AT THE PARKS, THE COUNTY SPENDS A LOT OF MONEY TO HAVE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IN THE COUNTY AND THEY ARE GETTING HIT HARD BY THE VANDALISM. THE COMMUNITY HAS GOT TO STEP UP AND LET THE COUNTY KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON IN THE PARK AREAS AND HELP POLICE THEM. DAVID'S CREWS GOES TO THE PARK SITES ONCE A WEEK TO HAUL OFF THE GARBAGE, ETC. AND SOMETIMES THEY HAVE ABOUT TWO OR THREE TRUCKLOADS AT SOME OF THESE PLACES. THAT IS RIDICULOUS WHEN THEY ARE SPENDING TAXPAYERS MONEY TO PROVIDE RECREATION FOR THIS COUNTY. HE DON'T THINK IT IS ALL WASHINGTON COUNTY PEOPLE; THEY HAVE PEOPLE COMING FROM ALABAMA AND SURROUNDING COUNTIES. BUT, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO PUT A STOP TO IT ONE WAY OR ANOTHER.

20-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 350

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID HIS OPINION UPFRONT ON ALL RECREATION FACILITIES IN THE COUNTY IS TO HAVE THE STAFF RESEARCH A FEE FOR USE AND THEY HAVE IT SET UP WHERE EVERYBODY CAN BUY A FOR USE PERMIT YEARLY TO USE ANY AND ALL FACILITIES THEY HAVE. AS FAR AS COMMISSIONER ABBOTT'S REQUEST ON REMOVAL OF WATER FOUNTAINS AT CERTAIN PARKS, HE WOULD LIKE TO TABLE THIS UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING AND HAVE MR. ABBOTT BRING BACK A LETTER FROM THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT CONFIRMING THEY ARE GOING TO HOLD THEIR PRICE BECAUSE UNDOUBTEDLY IT WAS EXECUTED SOME TIME AGO AND THEY MAY NOT ADHERE TO THE SAME COST.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT POINTED OUT THE DRAFT HE HAD PROVIDED THE BOARD WAS ACTUALLY WRITTEN BY THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

COMMISSIONER CARTER EXPLAINED THE BOARD REALLY NEEDED SOME LANGUAGE SAYING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT IS GOING TO COMMIT TO KEEPING THE SAME RATES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF HE HEARD THE WORD WATER HOSE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID HE USED THE WATER HOSE AS AN EXAMPLE BECAUSE PEOPLE DRINK OUT OF WATER HOSES AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE IS NOT TALKING ABOUT MOVING WATER HOSES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID TO SEE HOW MANY WET KIDS THEY HAVE; YOU CAN'T TAKE A BUNCH OF KIDS TO THE PARK AND GIVE THEM A WATER HOSE AS THEY WILL WET THEIR CLOTHES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD MR. BROCK HE DIDN'T SAY GIVE THEM A WATER HOSE. HIS THING IS THEY HAVEN'T BEEN USING THE WATER FOUNTAINS ENOUGH AND ALL THEY HAVE TO DO IS PUT A SIGN "WASHINGTON COUNTY IS NOT OFFERING POTABLE WATER, DRINKING WATER, ETC; BRING YOUR BOTTLE

WATER WITH YOU."

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID WITH THE STATEMENT HE JUST MADE ABOUT A USE PERMIT, WILL THAT CHANGE THE REQUIREMENT THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY ON ANY OF THIS STUFF.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IT WOULD NOT CHANGE THE REQUIREMENTS IF

21-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 351

THEY CHARGED A USE PERMIT FEE AT THE PARKS.

DAVID ADDRESSED THE PARKS DO COST A LOT OF MONEY AS DOES A LOT OF OTHER THINGS THE COUNTY DOES. IN DEFENSE OF THE PARKS, WASHINGTON COUNTY IS VERY BLESSED TO HAVE THE RECREATIONAL AVENUES THEY HAVE; THEY ARE FORTUNATE TO HAVE CREEKS THAT RUN THROUGH THEIR COUNTY, A RIVER TO RUN THROUGH THEIR COUNTY. EVERYBODY IN BAY COUNTY IS DRINKING WASHINGTON COUNTY WATER; ECONFINA IS VERY BENEFICIARY TO A LOT OF FOLKS AND THE COUNTY IS BLESSED TO HAVE THEM FACILITIES. THERE ARE A LOT OF OTHER COUNTIES THAT DON'T HAVE BOAT RAMPS. HE ADDRESSED HIM FISHING A LOT IN GULF COUNTY AND THEY HAVE A USERS FEE TO USE THEIR FACILITY. WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS ALWAYS GIVE STUFF AWAY. HE REFERRED TO LONG YEARS AGO, RECREATION HAD MONEY IN A FACILITIES BUDGET BECAUSE THEY WERE SELLING PERMITS WITH THE FEES VARYING FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY RESIDENTS AND NON WASHINGTON COUNTY RESIDENTS. ANY TIME YOU LISTEN TO MUSIC, YOU HAVE TO PAY THE FIDDLE PLAYER. HE RECOMMENDED TO THE BOARD TO PURSUE AVENUES TO COLLECT MONIES TO HELP OFFSET THE RECREATIONAL FUNDS.

CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, CONGRATULATED MR. CARTER ON HIS APPOINTMENT TO THE BOARD. HE THEN GAVE HIS ENGINEER REPORT:

1. EQUESTRIAN CENTER PROJECT-THIS PROJECT IS ALMOST COMPLETE; THEY WERE SODDING OUT THERE LAST WEEK AND THEY ARE GOING TO TRY AND DO A WALK THROUGH ON THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER PROJECT THIS WEEK TO PUT TOGETHER A PUNCH LIST.

2. BONNETT POND ROAD AND BAHOMA ROAD-THEY ARE DONE WITH THE PAVING ON BOTH THESE PROJECTS AND THEY WILL BE DOING A FINAL WALK THROUGH WITH MR. BARFIELD, HIMSELF AND THE CONTRACTORS TO TRY AND FINALIZE THE PUNCH LIST ON THOSE PROJECTS AS WELL. THE ONE ITEM ON THESE TWO PROJECTS IS HE AND MR. JOYNER HAS BEEN WORKING ON SOME PRICING FOR STRIPING, ETC. THIS IS THE LAST THING LEFT ON THESE PROJECTS. HE IS SURE AT SOME POINT, THEY WILL BE COMING BACK TO THE BOARD WITH SOME TYPE OF RECOMMENDATION.

3. FEMA HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECTS-HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE

22-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 352



BEING A LARGE LIST OF PROJECTS THAT WERE WRITTEN UP BY FEMA THEY DISCUSSED WITH PUBLIC WORKS AND BASICALLY MADE A LIST OF PROJECTS THAT PUBLIC WORKS DIDN'T WANT TO FOOL WITH. THEY EITHER DIDN'T HAVE THE EQUIPMENT, PEOPLE, TIME, ETC. THE PROJECTS THIS PROJECT INCLUDED WERE:

1. CRUISE LAKE ROAD
2. DUPREE ROAD
3. CHARLIE BROWN
4. HARD LABOR
5. UNION HILL
6. KING CUTTER
7. PINE LOG
8. CREEK ROAD
9. YATES SETTLEMENT
10. POTTER SPRINGS
11. OSCAR LANE
12. PORTER ROAD
13. JACKSON COMMUNITY ROAD
14. RIVER ROAD

THEY TOOK THAT LIST OF MITIGATION PROJECTS, PUT TOGETHER A SET OF PLANS, PUT IT OUT FOR BID AND BID THE PROJECTS OUT ABOUT FOUR MONTHS AGO. THE PROBLEM WAS THE MAIN COMPONENT OF THE MITIGATION WAS RIP RAP AND ALABAMA CLASS II RIP RAP, 18" THICK OVER D2 FILTER FABRIC CAME IN AT ABOUT \$85 A SQUARE YARD. FEMA HAD ONLY ALLOCATED AROUND \$50 A SQUARE YARD. THE PRICING CAME IN MUCH HIGHER THAN THE MITIGATION PROJECTS THAT WERE WRITTEN BY FEMA. THEY CONTACTED THE STATE AND EXPLAINED THIS SITUATION TO THEM; THE STATE SAID THEY WOULD ADJUST THE PW'S TO MATCH THE AMOUNT SHOWN ON THE FEMA SPREAD-SHEETS, SUBMIT IT TO FEMA FOR REVIEW AND ONCE FEMA BLESSES THAT, THE COUNTY CAN MOVE FORWARD WITH THE PROJECTS. THIS WAS DONE AND FEMA CONTACTED THEM LAST WEEK AND ADVISED THEY HAD RECEIVED THE PACKET FROM THE STATE, UNDERSTAND THE SITUATION; BUT, INSTEAD OF

23-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 353

ADJUSTING ALL OF THE FEMA MITIGATION BASED ON THE ACTUAL PW AMOUNTS, THEY WOULD LIKE TO ADJUST THEM BASED ON THE ACTUAL EXPENDITURES BY THE COUNTY. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE IF THE COUNTY GOT A \$100 PW TO FIX A ROAD AND IT COST THE COUNTY \$50 TO FIX IT, THE COUNTY WOULD BE ELIGIBLE FOR \$50 WORTH OF LITIGATION. BECAUSE THESE WERE ALL LARGE PROJECTS, THE COUNTY GETS REIMBURSED BASED ON ACTUAL COSTS. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF THESE PROJECTS WHERE THE COUNTY HAD FOR EXAMPLE, KING CUTTER ROAD'S PW WAS WRITTEN UP FOR \$32,806 AND THE INFORMATION THEY GOT FROM DEBBIE A WEEK OR SO AGO WAS THEIR ACTUAL COST WAS \$10,572. SO THE COUNTY'S MITIGATION WHICH IS ELIGIBLE UP TO 100% CAN ONLY BE \$10,572.

COMMISSIONER CARTER THOUGHT MR. JOYNER NEEDS TO REVIEW THOSE

COST TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE ACCURATE BECAUSE THEY HAVE A TENDENCY NOT TO CHARGE FOR SOME EXPENDITURES THEY INCUR.

CLIFF AGREED THERE WERE SEVERAL PROJECTS, BASED ON THE INFORMATION DEBBIE SENT THEM, THEY DIDN'T SUBMIT NEARLY THE AMOUNT FEMA HAD ALLOCATED ON SOME OF THE LARGER PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THESE, IF HE UNDERSTANDS CORRECTLY, ARE ITEMS THE COUNTY DIDN'T ACTUALLY DO THAT WERE ACTUALLY CONTRACTED OUT.

CLIFF REPORTED ON THESE PROJECTS, THE COUNTY HASN'T DONE ANY OF THE MITIGATION; THEY ELECTED TO HIRE A CONTRACTOR TO HANDLE THE MITIGATION ON THESE PROJECTS. THE WORK THE COUNTY HAS ACTUALLY DONE IS JUST ON A PROJECT WORKSHEET, WHICH IS THE RESTORATION PORTION OF THE FEMA FUND.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE POINT REMAINS THE SAME; FEMA IS ONLY GOING TO GIVE THE COUNTY THE FUNDS IT ACTUALLY COSTS. IS THE CONTRACTOR THE COUNTY IS CONTRACTING OUT TO, IS THAT THE COUNTY'S ACTUAL COST.

CLIFF SAID IF THEY PUT TOGETHER A CONTRACT OR AN AGREEMENT FOR A \$1,000 AND THE CONTRACTOR BUILDS ALL THESE ROADS FOR A \$1,000, THAT IS THEIR ACTUAL COST.

24-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 354

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF PUBLIC WORKS WAS GOING TO INCUR ANYTHING ON THAT \$1,000. CLIFF SAID RIGHT NOW, THE MONEY IS INCLUDED ON THE FEMA PROJECT WORKSHEETS AS MITIGATION.

COMMISSIONER CARTER UNDERSTOOD; BUT, ASKED IF THEY GET A \$1,000 FROM FEMA AND CONTRACT IT OUT FOR A \$1,000, DOES PUBLIC WORKS HAVE ANY COST AGAINST THAT. DOES PUBLIC WORKS PARTICIPATE AT ALL; THEY WILL NOT OR HAVE NOT TOUCHED IT.

CLIFF ADVISED PUBLIC WORKS WOULDN'T HAVE ANY PARTICIPATION AT ALL.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID PUBLIC WORKS WOULD HAVE COSTS AS FAR AS PAPER WORK IN THE OFFICE.

CLIFF THOUGHT FEMA ALLOWED FOR ADMINISTRATION COSTS BY PUBLIC WORKS FOR DOING THE PAPERWORK, ETC; BUT, AS FAR AS ACTUAL WORK EFFORT, THE COUNTY FORCES WOULDN'T BE INVOLVED.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF THERE WAS ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS THEY COULD ADD TO THAT. CLIFF SAID THERE WAS AN ADMINISTRATIVE COST LINE ITEM.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF THAT WAS OVER AND ABOVE WHAT THE CONTRACT COST WOULD BE. CLIFF ADDRESSED IT BEING LISTED INDIVIDUALLY ON THE PW'S. IN OTHER WORD, THEY HAVE A SET AMOUNT ALREADY LISTED ON THE PW'S. REALLY THE THING THAT GETS CONFUSING IS THE BIG DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LARGE PROJECTS AND SMALL PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO CLIFF HAVING SAID A SPECIFIED AMOUNT; WHAT HE HAS JUST TOLD THE BOARD IS ALL THEY ARE GOING TO BE REIMBURSED IS WHAT IT IS ACTUALLY GOING TO COST THEM. IT IS EITHER A SET AMOUNT OR ACTUAL COST. HE IS NOT UNDERSTANDING IT.

CLIFF SAID ON THE PW'S FOR ALL THEIR PROJECTS, THERE IS A LINE ITEM FOR ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS ON ALL THEIR LARGE PROJECTS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHAT IS THE LINE ITEM AMOUNT GOING TO BE. IS IT GOING TO BE ACCEPTABLE TO THE BOARD AS FAR AS THE ACTUAL COST, IS IT GOING TO BE THEIR ACTUAL COST OR IS IT GOING TO BE A FEMA AMOUNT OF COST.

25-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 355

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE NUMBERS DEBBIE GAVE HIM ARE SUPPOSE TO BE ALL INCLUSIVE COST THE COUNTY HAS IN THOSE PROJECTS. IN OTHER WORDS, IF THE COUNTY ADJUSTED THE CONTRACT TO MATCH 100% OF THE COUNTY'S TOTAL COST, IT IS AN ELIGIBLE COST; BUT, IT CAN'T GO ABOVE THAT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID ADMINISTRATIVE COST IS OVER AND ABOVE ACTUAL CONSTRUCTION COST.

CLIFF AGREED IT WAS AND IT SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE NUMBERS DEBBIE HAS ALREADY GIVEN HIM.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED CLIFF IF THEY HAD ENOUGH INFORMATION TO GET THIS WORKED OUT AND DONE.

CLIFF ADVISED HE THOUGHT THEY DID; BUT, MR. CARTER HAS ASKED THEY DOUBLE CHECK WITH DEBBIE BEFORE THEY DO ANYTHING FURTHER WITH THE CONTRACT, WHICH IS NO PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THEY WOULD HAVE ENOUGH OR WILL HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO GET THE MITIGATION PROJECTS DONE.

CLIFF SAID THEY DID HAVE ENOUGH MONEY FOR ALL THE MITIGATION PROJECTS. HE GAVE AN EXAMPLE OF DUPREE ROAD; THERE IS ONLY THREE OF THEM THEY HAVE TO MAKE ADJUSTMENTS TO. DUPREE ROAD'S PW WAS \$48,174; THE COUNTY'S EXPENSES TO DATE AND HE UNDERSTANDS THE PROJECT IS NOW COMPLETED, ARE \$45,135. THE TOTAL THE COUNTY CAN SPEND ON MITIGATION ON THAT ONE PROJECT IS \$45,135. THE MAJORITY OF THE PROJECTS ARE FAIRLY CLOSE. HE THEN ADDRESSED JACKSON COMMUNITY ROAD'S PW WAS FOR \$19,530; THE COUNTY'S ACTUAL COST WHICH SHOULD INCLUDE ALL THE ADMINISTRATION COST IS \$14,454.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED WHAT PERCENTAGE IS USED FOR ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS. CLIFF EXPLAINED FEMA PUT THAT TOGETHER AND HE THINKS ON LARGE PROJECTS, THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO KEEP A TOTAL NUMBER OF HOURS INVOLVED IN EACH ONE AND THEN THERE IS A UNIT COST FEMA ALLOWS FOR THAT ADMINISTRATION. THIS IS SOMETHING HE THOUGHT DEBBIE KEEPS UP WITH.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID THAT MEANS MR. BARFIELD, THE SUPERVISORS, MR. JOYNER AND DEBBIE, THE BOOKKEEPER, ALL THEIR TIME

26-BCC

SHOULD BE INCLUDED. CLIFF AGREED THOSE ARE ALL ELIGIBLE COSTS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED THAT BEING THE COSTS COMPILED IN ORDER TO COME UP WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS; PRORATED SHARE OF EACH ONE OF THEIR HOURS SPENT ON THE PROJECTS.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THE ONLY PROJECT THEY HAVE A BIG PROBLEM WITH IS KING CUTTER ROAD; THE PW WAS \$32,806 AND TO DATE THE COUNTY HAS SPENT \$10,572. THE PROBLEM IS THEY HAD A LOW WATER CROSSING LINED UP FOR KING CUTTER AS THERE IS A CREEK THAT CUTS ACROSS ON IT. THEY CAN'T BUILD A LOW WATER CROSSING FOR \$10,000. HE WAS GOING TO RECOMMEND THROWING THE KING KUTTER MITIGATION AWAY UNLESS MR. BARFIELD WANTS TO TRY TO COME UP WITH SOMETHING TO DO INHOUSE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED WHAT KIND OF DESIGN DID CLIFF HAVE ON THE CROSSING. CLIFF SAID THEY HAD RIBBON CURVE ON BOTH SIDES; THEY WOULD REBUILD THE ROAD SO THEY WOULD FORCE THE WATER TO CROSS IN A SMALL AREA WITH RIP RAP TUCKED UP TO THE RIBBON CURVE ON BOTH SIDES. THEY HAD 1.5" OF PAVEMENT LINED UP FOR THE WATER CROSSING AS WELL; BUT, FEMA DISALLOWED THE PAVING. IT IS RIP RAP OVER FABRIC ON BOTH SIDES, IT TIES TO RIBBON CURVE AND THEN LIMEROCK AT THE LOW WATER CROSSING ITSELF.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED WHY WOULD THEY USE LIMEROCK.

CLIFF EXPLAINED PROBABLY BECAUSE IT WOULD HOLD UP A LOT BETTER THAN SAND CLAY WOULD.

COMMISSIONER CARTER THOUGHT THEY SHOULD LOOK AT SOMETHING MORE PERMANENT THAN LIMEROCK.

CLIFF ADDRESSED HIS PREFERENCE IS TO PAVE IT. COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID READY MIX CONCRETE WOULD BE BETTER BECAUSE THEY ARE LOOKING AT A PERMANENT TYPE STRUCTURE; LIMEROCK IS GOING TO WEAR AND EVENTUALLY GET SOFT TOO AND IS NOT A PERMANENT TYPE STRUCTURE WHEN IT IS EXPOSED TO WATER IN HIS OPINION.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED CLIFF IF HE WAS LOOKING FOR APPROVAL TO GO AHEAD AND DO THE MITIGATION PROJECTS BECAUSE HE THINKS THE BOARD HAS ALREADY GIVEN HIM APPROVAL TO GO FORWARD.

27-BCC

08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 357

CLIFF POINTED OUT THIS WAS A REPORT ON THE HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECTS AND THE BOARD'S DIRECTION TO THEM WAS TO MEET WITH THE STATE AND THE FEMA FOLKS TO GET THEM TO GIVE THEM THE THUMBS UP FOR A CONTRACT TO MOVE FORWARD. HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE BOARD'S BLESSING ON THE FINAL CONTRACT BEFORE THEY MOVE FORWARD SO EVERYBODY KNOWS WHAT THEY ARE DOING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE TIME FRAME FOR COMPLETION ON THESE PROJECTS WAS GOING TO BE WITHIN THE FEMA GUIDELINES.

CLIFF SAID HE WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK AND LOOK AT THE NUMBER OF DAYS THEY HAD SET UP IN THE CONTRACT AS HE DON'T REMEMBER.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT WANTED TO MAKE SURE THEY WERE WITHIN THEIR

TIME FRAME BEFORE THEY LET THE CONTRACT OUT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED WHEN THE BIDS CAME IN FOR THESE MITIGATION PROJECTS, THEY CAME IN ALMOST TWICE AS MUCH AS THE FEMA ALLOWED. HE ASKED IF THEY STILL HAD THIS UNDER CONTRACT AND IS THE CONTRACTOR GOING TO TAKE THE PROJECTS. ARE THEY GOING TO REBID OR WHAT.

CLIFF SAID THE CONTRACTOR HAD CALLED SEVERAL TIMES WANTING TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON, WHEN CAN WE START, WHAT IS THE BOARD DOING, ETC. THEY HAVE NOT REPORTED BACK TO THE CONTRACTOR UNTIL THEY HAVE ALL THIS STUFF SQUARED AWAY AND THE CONTRACTOR WILL HAVE TO AGREE TO WHAT FEMA HAS ALLOWED OR THE BOARD WILL HAVE TO GO BACK OUT FOR BID.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THIS BEING HIS CONCERN AS THERE WASN'T ANY BIDS AWARDED. CLIFF SAID THE BOARD AWARDED IT CONTINGENT ON GETTING IT AWARDED; BUT, IT HAS BEEN A LONG TIME FOR THE CONTRACTOR TO HOLD HIS PRICES AND IF IT TURNED OUT, WHAT FEMA HAS ALLOWED IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO HIM, THEY WOULD GO BACK OUT FOR BID.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF FEMA PAYS THE TOTAL AMOUNT AND THERE IS NO STATE MATCH, LIKE THE NORMAL PW'S. CLIFF EXPLAINED IT WAS JUST LIKE THE OTHER FEMA PROJECTS; 75% FEMA, 12.5% STATE AND IN THE PAST THE COUNTY HAS RECEIVED A WAIVER FOR THE 12.5%.

28-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 358

COMMISSIONER PATE THOUGHT THE LAST TIME THEY REQUESTED AN EXTENSION ON THE MITIGATION PROJECTS WAS OCTOBER 2010; IF SO, THEY HAVE GOT TO GET MOVING OR GET ANOTHER EXTENSION IF THEY CAN. THE INTENTION WAS TO FINISH IT THIS SECOND YEAR.

MR. BARFIELD AGREED TO CHECK INTO THE TIME FRAME ON THE MITIGATION PROJECTS AND ASKED CLIFF IF HE WAS AWARE OF THE TIME FRAME.

CLIFF ADDRESSED HIM KNOWING DIFFERENT CONTRACTS GOT DIFFERENT TIME EXTENSIONS; HE NEVER REALLY SAW A LIST OF WHAT GOT EXTENDED UNTIL WHEN.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF THEY DON'T HAVE TIME TO COMPLETE THE PROJECTS WITHIN THE TIME FRAME, WHAT IS BEING DISCUSSED TODAY IS ACADEMIC.

MR. BARFIELD SUGGESTED HE AND CLIFF SIT DOWN AND LOOK AT WHAT IT WOULD TAKE AND IF IT IS FEASIBLE FOR PUBLIC WORKS TO DO IT WITH THEIR WORKFORCE AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO MAYBE BEING ABLE TO GET WHAT THEY WANT FOR THE MONEY VERSUS CONTRACTING IT OUT AND HAVING TO REDUCE THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE REITERATED IT STILL GOES BACK TO THAT TIME FRAME AND THAT EXTENSION. HE DON'T KNOW THAT THEY COULD GET AN EXTENSION. HE ASKED MR. BARFIELD AND CLIFF TO FIND THE TIME FRAME OUT AND PROCEED FROM THERE. HE DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH PROCEEDING WITH THE PROJECTS; BUT, HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE THE TIME TO FINISH IT.

CLIFF ASKED ABOUT HIM AND MR. BARFIELD PROVIDING SOME UPDATED INFORMATION AT THEIR BOARD MEETING ON AUGUST 24TH; IF TIME WISE,

THEY ARE UNDER CONTROL AND CONTRACT WISE THEY ARE UNDER CONTROL,  
THEN MAYBE THEY CAN MOVE FORWARD AT THAT POINT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER REFERRED TO THEM HAVING THE PREVIOUS LOW  
BID AND CLIFF COULD TAKE IT AND NEGOTIATE WITH THE LOW BIDDER.

CLIFF AGREED HE COULD NEGOTIATE WITH THE LOW BIDDER; HOWEVER,  
THE BIDDING CONTRACT DOCUMENT REQUIRED THE CONTRACTOR TO HOLD HIS  
PRICE FOR SIXTY DAYS. THERE WAS A BID BOND THAT REQUIRED THE

29-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 359

CONTRACTOR TO HOLD HIS BID FOR SIXTY DAYS AND THEY HAVE GONE PAST  
THAT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO CLARIFY WHETHER  
OR NOT THE BOARD HAS THE AUTHORITY FOR CLIFF, SINCE IT WAS LOW BID,  
TO STILL GO OUT AND NEGOTIATE WITH THAT CONTRACTOR.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID CLIFF COULD GO OUT AND NEGOTIATE WITH  
THE CONTRACTOR. HE CAN'T ASSURE THE BOARD THE CONTRACTOR IS GOING TO  
HOLD THEIR BID.

COMMISSIONER CARTER EXPLAINED THE BID WAS WAY OVER WHAT THE  
MONEY IS ANYWAY SO CLIFF HAS GOT TO NEGOTIATE IT WITH THE CONTRACTOR  
TO BRING IT DOWN TO WHAT HE HAS GOT. IF CLIFF CAN DO THAT AND COME  
BACK TO THE BOARD SAYING HE HAS GOT THE CONTRACTOR TO NEGOTIATE AND  
ACCEPT WHAT FEMA WILL PAY, THE BOARD WILL BE WAY AHEAD OF THE GAME  
IF THERE WAS A TIME SCHEDULE OUT THAT MR. PATE REFERRED TO.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED CLIFF HAS THE ABILITY TO NEGOTIATE THE  
CONTRACT OUT IF THE PROPOSED CONTRACTOR IS WILLING TO ENTER INTO  
NEGOTIATIONS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF FEMA WAS GOING TO AGREE TO THIS.

CLIFF SAID FEMA HAS AGREED; THAT IS WHAT THEY CALLED THEM FRIDAY  
TO SAY. AS LONG AS THE BOARD DOESN'T GO OVER WHAT THEIR ACTUAL COST  
WAS ON THOSE PW'S 100% OF ACTUAL COST, THEY ARE GOOD WITH IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THE BIDS ARE GOOD NOW THEN.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID THE BIDS ARE OVER. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT  
SAID IF THE BIDS ARE OVER AND FEMA IS STILL PAYING THE ACTUAL COST  
ON IT, THEY ARE DONE.

MR. BARFIELD TOLD THE BOARD THERE IS A CEILING ON THE AMOUNT  
ALLOWED. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID " SO, IT IS OVER THE CEILING."

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IF FEMA SAYS \$300,000, THE CONTRACTOR  
IS AT \$600,000 AND WILL HAVE TO COME BACK DOWN TO \$300,000.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED CLIFF AND MR. BARFIELD TO HAVE ALL  
THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE AT THE AUGUST 24TH MEETING; IF THEY CAN GET  
IT WITHIN THE FEMA FUNDING, AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED, IT IS THUMBS UP

TO GO.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED THE BIGGEST PART OF THE MITIGATION IS RIP RAP; A COUPLE OF DUMP TRUCKS AND AN EXCAVATOR IS ALL IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO PUT IT OUT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER FELT THEY NEEDED TO CLEAR IT TOO THE COUNTY HAS THE WAIVER FROM THE STATE ON THE 12.5% COUNTY MATCH.

COMMISSIONER PATE REITERATED FOR CLIFF AND MR. BARFIELD TO GET THE INFORMATION AND AS FAR AS HE IS CONCERNED CLIFF ALREADY HAS BEEN AUTHORIZED TO HANDLE THIS STUFF, AND IF HE CAN GET THE CONTRACTOR TO NEGOTIATE HIS PRICE WITH WHAT FEMA ALLOWS, GO. BUT, MAKE SURE MR. BARFIELD AND MR. JOYNER KNOWS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER STATED "NO OVERRUNS."

COMMISSIONER BROCK REQUESTED CLIFF CHECK THE CURVE SIGNS, ETC. ON BONNET POND ROAD. CLIFF AGREED TO CHECK WITH BILLY HENDRIX AT PUBLIC WORKS ON THE SIGNAGE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE HAD A HEARING SET FOR 10:30 TODAY HE CAN'T GET OUT OF. HE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FOR THE BOARD TODAY FORMAL; BUT, IF THE BOARD HAS ANYTHING FOR HIM TO TAKE CARE OF BEFORE NEXT WEDNESDAY, HE WILL JUMP ON THAT BEFORE HE LEAVES HERE IN ABOUT FIVE MINUTES. THE BOARD SAID THEY WOULD CALL ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF THEY HAD ANYTHING FOR HIM TO RESEARCH.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE RECESS.

PURSUANT TO A RECESS, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, STEVE JOYNER, INFORMED THE BOARD MALCOLM GAINNEY WAS GOING TO PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR DISCUSSION ON PHONES THEY CURRENTLY HAVE AND TESTING THAT HAS BEEN DONE WITH VERIZON PHONES.

MALCOLM REPORTED THEY HAD BEEN ASKED TO CHECK INTO ALTERNATIVES AS FAR AS WAYS TO SAVE MONEY. THEY HAD A VERIZON SALES REPRESENTATIVE COME BY THAT GAVE THEM A QUOTE ON CHANGING FROM SPRINT TO VERIZON. AS OF JANUARY 2013, THE COUNTY'S SPRINT/NEXTELL PHONES WILL NO LONGER WORK THE WAY THEY PRESENTLY DO; THEY ARE CHANGING FROM AN IVAN NETWORK

TO A CDMA AND FROM WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN TOLD, THEY WON'T BE UPGRADING THE TOWERS IN THE WASHINGTON COUNTY AREA TO HAVE THE SAME LEVEL OF COVERAGE. AS THE IVAN NETWORK GOES DOWN, THEY HAVE BEEN TOLD SPRINT/NEXTELL WON'T REPLACE OR FIX IT BECAUSE OF THEM MAKING THE TRANSITION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF BASICALLY NEXTELL WAS GOING AWAY.

MALCOLM REITERATED NEXTELL WOULD BE GONE JANUARY 2013.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED WHAT THE CONTRACT PERIOD WAS ON VERIZON OR HOW LONG IS VERIZON GOING TO HOLD THEIR PRICE.

MALCOLM SAID VERIZON WOULD HOLD THE PRICES QUOTED THROUGH THIS QUARTER; IF THE BOARD SIGNED UP NOW, THEY ARE IN THE QUOTED PRICE UNTIL THE BOARD CHANGES PLANS AS THERE IS NO LIMITATION TO IT IS HIS

UNDERSTANDING. THEY HAVE SOME OLD NEXTELL PHONES THEY HAD EIGHTEEN YEARS AGO THAT ARE ON A \$25 PLAN AND THEY STILL HAVE TO HONOR IT BECAUSE THE BOARD NEVER CHANGED THE PLAN.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID IF HE WAS GOING TO LOOK AT THE VERIZON TOTAL OF \$952.98, HE WANTS TO KNOW IF HE IS LOOKING AT IT FOR SIX MONTHS, TWELVE MONTHS, ETC.

MALCOLM EXPLAINED IT IS A STATE CONTRACT PRICE; THE WAY MOST OF THE PLANS ARE SET UP IS THE BOARD ACTUALLY GETS CHARGED FOR EACH MINUTE THEY USE AT \$.05 A MINUTE. NOW, THEY ARE PAYING FOR A POOL OF MINUTES TO MAKE SURE THEY DON'T GO OVER BECAUSE IF THEY GO OVER, THEY PAY \$.35 TO \$.45 A MINUTE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER EXPLAINED THAT DOESN'T MEAN IN TWO TO THREE MONTHS, THEY CAN'T GO TO \$.06. THAT IS WHAT HE IS LOOKING AT; WHAT HAS MALCOLM GOT SAYING VERIZON IS GOING TO HOLD THIS PRICE.

MALCOLM REITERATED THE STATE OF FLORIDA CONTRACT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND FELT VERIZON COVERAGE WAS BETTER THAN IT IS THROUGH NEXTELL; HE HAS HAD ONE OF EACH. IN PLACES YOU CAN'T USE NEXTELL, YOU CAN USE VERIZON.

JERRY BROCK, 911 DIRECTOR, ADDRESSED THEM HAVING DEAD SPOTS REGARDLESS IF THEY HAVE AT&T, NEXTELL, VERIZON; EVERY PHONE THEY HAVE ON THEIR SIDE, ONE OF THEM WILL HAVE A DEAD SPOT SOMEWHERE IN

32-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 362

THIS COUNTY. THE SHERIFF'S AIRCARDS THEY STICK IN THEIR COMPUTERS ARE VERIZON; BUT, THEY STILL CARRY NEXTELL PHONES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THIS WAS BECAUSE VERIZON HAD BETTER COVERAGE. MALCOLM SAID FASTER SPEED TOO AS FAR AS THE DATA SIDE OF IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MALCOLM WHAT HIS RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD WAS ON THE PHONE SYSTEM.

MALCOLM SAID HIS RECOMMENDATION WAS TO SAVE \$300 A MONTH BY GOING WITH VERIZON AND THE FACT NEXTELL IS GOING AWAY. THE TEST THEY HAVE DONE SHOWS THE COVERAGE IS BETTER WITH VERIZON.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED IF THE COUNTY WOULD KEEP THEIR SAME PHONES AND IF NOT, HOW MUCH ARE THEY GOING TO COST.

MALCOLM ADVISED THEY WOULDN'T KEEP THE SAME PHONES; THERE WOULD BE NO COST FOR THE PHONES WITH VERIZON AS VERIZON IS GIVING THE PHONES TO THEM. THERE WILL BE NO COST FOR PHONES BESIDES THE THREE BLACKBERRY PHONES THEY WILL SWITCH OVER AND THEY WILL COST \$.99 A PIECE.

WHEN COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED HOW MANY PHONES WOULD THE COUNTY BE REPLACING. MALCOLM SAID THERE ARE CURRENTLY 44 PHONES; BUT, IT IS ACCORDING TO HOW MANY THEY WANT TO SWAP.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF ALL OF THOSE 44 PHONES ARE NEEDED.

MALCOLM SAID, AFTER GOING OVER THE PHONES WITH MR. JOYNER, THEY HAVE CUT THE LIST DOWN AND DROPPED SOME PEOPLE OFF THAT ARE GOING OFF ANYWAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM BEING PART OF THAT PHONE



COMMITTEE AT SOME POINT; HE HAS NOT MET WITH THEM IN PROBABLY THREE MONTHS. WHEN HE FIRST CAME IN OFFICE, THAT WAS AN ISSUE HE WANTED TO LOOK AT. A LOT OF THESE CELL PHONES OUR COUNTY IS PROVIDING IS FOR INMATE SUPERVISION; THAT IS WHERE MOST OF THE USAGE IS. THE PHONE COMMITTEE HAS DONE A GOOD JOB IN CUTTING BACK PHONES AND PLAN ON CUTTING BACK THREE OR FOUR MORE.

MALCOLM ADDRESSED AT ONE TIME THEY WERE UP TO 60 CELL PHONES AND

33-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 363

THEY HAVE BEEN CUT WAY DOWN. MALCOLM AGREED THEY WERE PLANNING ON CUTTING BACK THREE OR FOUR MORE CELL PHONES.

COMMISSIONER PATE APPLAUDED THE PHONE COMMITTEE FOR CUTTING BACK THE CELL PHONES; BUT, REMINDED THE BOARD WHEN HE FIRST CAME ON THE BOARD THEY WERE CUT WAY BACK AND NOW MALCOLM IS SAYING THERE IS NOW 60.

MALCOLM EXPLAINED THERE WAS ONE ADVANTAGE VERIZON HAS OFFERED THAT NEXTELL HASN'T; THE COUNTY CAN HAVE A PHONE AS A SPARE PHONE THAT WILL COST \$.12 A MONTH AND ONLY \$.05 CENT A MINUTE FOR WHAT THEY USE IT FOR. THEY CAN HAVE TWO OR THREE SPARES FOR THOSE PEOPLE WHO ARE HAVING THEIR PHONES REMOVED AND WHEN THEY GO OUT AND NEED A PHONE, THEY CAN PICK IT UP AND GET IT ASSIGNED TO THEM ON THEIR WAY OUT. THERE WAS NO PLAN WITH NEXTELL THAT OFFERED THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THE POINT HE WANTS TO MAKE IS THEY HAVE CUT THE PHONES, KEEP IT UP AND DON'T GO TO ADDING THEM BACK BECAUSE OF SOME SAD STORY THEY HAVE TO HAVE THE CELL PHONE.

MALCOLM SAID HE HAS BEEN DOING THIS A LONG TIME; THE NUMBER OF CELL PHONES HAS BEEN GOING DOWN AND IT HASN'T WENT UP IN SEVERAL YEARS.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO WHAT HE HAD SAID AT THEIR MEETING HELD IN EBRO, WHEN THEY SET THE MILLAGE RATE, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE SOME HARD DECISIONS AND THEY MAY AS WELL START WITH THESE PHONES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS WHAT HE IS TRYING TO DO WITH THE WATER TESTING.

MALCOLM REPORTED THAT ALL THE PHONES FROM VERIZON WILL COME WITH WALL AND CAR CHARGERS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SUGGESTED MALCOLM TRY AND HAVE VERIZON BUY THE NEXTELL PHONES THE COUNTY HAS NOW. MALCOLM SAID HE DOES HAVE A SOURCE TO SELL THE NEXTELL PHONES; BUT, IT IS NOT THROUGH VERIZON. HE AGREED TO TRY AND SEE IF VERIZON WILL PURCHASE THE PHONES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE NEGATIVE PART OF THIS IS THERE

ARE SEVERAL THAT HAVE THE TWO WAY RADIOS THAT SAVE BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT USING MINUTES. THE TWO WAY RADIOS ARE FREE; IF YOU DON'T HAVE TWO WAY RADIOS, WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO DO. YOU ARE GOING TO USE MINUTES; SO, YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE A COST. HE SAID IT WOULD COST HIM TWICE AS MUCH TO USE THE PHONE.

MALCOLM SAID WITH THE VERIZON PLANS, THEY OFFER FREE MOBILE TO MOBILE SO THERE IS NO COST; WHERE COMMISSIONER BROCK IS USING THE BEEP BEEP, HE COULD STILL CALL THE SAME PERSON AS LONG AS THEY ARE ON VERIZON AND THERE WOULD BE NO CHARGE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED HIM HAVING A VERIZON PHONE FOR YEARS AND ANY VERIZON USER HE CALLS, IT DOESN'T GO TOWARDS HIS MINUTES; ANYBODY THAT IS ON VERIZON, IT IS JUST LIKE A BEEP BEEP, THERE IS NO CHARGE FOR THAT. THE ONLY TIME HE GETS CHARGED IS IF HE CALLS OUTSIDE A VERIZON PHONE NUMBER. MALCOLM SAID AT THAT POINT, IT IS ONLY \$.05 A MINUTE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND STRICKLAND POINTED OUT VERIZON DOES MAKE A TWO WAY RADIO ALSO. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THAT IS ADDITIONAL COST.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HIS TWO WAY IS FREE; HE AND HIS SON BOTH HAVE A SMART PHONE AND YOU CAN DOWNLOAD AN APP AND IT IS FREE AND HE CAN TALK TO HIM ANYWHERE JUST LIKE THE TWO WAY.

MALCOLM EXPLAINED ON THE AP MR. STRICKLAND IS REFERRING TO, THAT IS NOT LIMITED TO VERIZON; THAT IS ANYBODY THAT HAS THE SAME APP CAN DO THE TWO WAY REGARDLESS OF WHAT PHONE PROVIDER YOU HAVE. ACCORDING TO THEIR VERIZON REPRESENTATIVE, THAT WILL NOT WORK WITH THE REGULAR PHONES THAT HAVE A TWO WAY; IT HAS TO BE SMART PHONE TO SMART PHONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE JUST LIKES BEING ABLE TO CALL ANY VERIZON USER AND THAT WOULD DO AWAY WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK'S CONCERN OF HAVING ADDITIONAL EXPENSE; THEY STILL HAVE THE COUNTY RADIO SYSTEM TOO.

MARK MCENTYRE, INDEPENDENT AGENT WITH VERIZON, ADDRESSED THE

BOARD SAYING HE DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE GOVERNMENT END OF THIS. BUT, ONCE THE COUNTY SIGNS AN AGREEMENT WITH VERIZON, THEIR PLAN IS THEIR PLAN UNTIL THEY CHANGE IT THEMSELVES; SO, THERE IS NO CONCERN OF ANYTHING GOING UP AT ALL. THAT IS ON THE CONSUMER SIDE, BUSINESS SIDE AND THE GOVERNMENT SIDE.

MR. MCENTYRE TOLD THE BOARD HE WOULD BE IN THE AREA AND THEY COULD FEEL FREE TO CALL HIM FOR ANY PERSONAL SERVICE, EVEN WITH THEIR PERSONAL GOVERNMENT PHONE. KIMBERLY T. GARDEN IS HANDLING THE GOVERNMENT PHONES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. MCENTYRE IF VERIZON CARRIED THE

BEEP BEEP. MR. MCENTYRE SAID VERIZON DOES CARRY THE TWO WAY; BUT, IT IS NOT COST EFFECTIVE ON THE PERSONAL SIDE. HOWEVER, HE DOESN'T KNOW ON THE GOVERNMENT SIDE. HE ASKED WHY WOULD YOU NEED BEEP BEEP ANYWAY WHEN YOU CAN CALL EVERYBODY FOR FREE ANYWAY. ON THE PERSONAL SIDE, IT IS \$5.00 A MONTH; BUT, YOU CAN ONLY BEEP BEEP OTHER VERIZON CUSTOMERS THAT ARE PAYING FOR THAT SERVICE. BUT, YOU CAN CALL MOBILE TO MOBILE FREE; SO, WHY WOULD YOU WANT BEEP BEEP.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE LIKES THE BEEP BEEP BECAUSE YOU JUST HAVE TO MASH A BUTTON INSTEAD OF DIALING A NUMBER. MR. MCENTYRE TOLD MR. BROCK TO PUT THEM ON SPEED DIAL; YOU WOULD ONLY HAVE TO MASH ONE BUTTON AND YOU WOULD HAVE THAT SAME PERSON. HE IS JUST LOOKING AT COST EFFECTIVE FOR HIS CUSTOMERS AS WELL AS HE WOULD FOR THE COUNTY IF HE WAS IN THE SAME SHOES.

MR. MCENTYRE TOLD THE BOARD MALCOLM HAD SHOWED HIM THE PHONE VERIZON WOULD BE PROVIDING THE COUNTY; HE THOUGHT IT WAS A MOTOROLLA 866 AND IT IS A WATERPROOF PHONE. IF YOU WERE TO PURCHASE THE PHONE FOR YOUR PERSONAL USE, IT WOULD COST YOU \$180 AND VERIZON WOULD BE GIVING THE PHONES TO THE BOARD.

MALCOLM TOLD THE BOARD HE HAD ONE OF THE PHONES IN HIS OFFICE IF THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT. COMMISSIONER CARTER REQUESTED MALCOLM GET THE PHONE FOR THE BOARD TO LOOK AT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED MR. JOYNER WHAT HIS RECOMMENDATION

36-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 366

WAS ON SWITCHING TO VERIZON. MR. JOYNER ADDRESSED THEM LOOKING AT LOSING THEIR CURRENT BEEP BEEP COVERAGE DOWN THE ROAD AND WHEN YOU CAN CALL MOBILE TO MOBILE WITHOUT ANY EXTRA COST.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED WHY DIDN'T THEY WAIT UNTIL THEY GET DOWN THAT ROAD; A LOT COULD HAPPEN BETWEEN NOW AND THEN AS THINGS CHANGE EVERY WEEK AROUND HERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE LIKED THE \$300 A MONTH SAVINGS BY SWITCHING TO VERIZON.

MR. GAINNEY ASSURED COMMISSIONER BROCK NEXTELL IS GOING AWAY BECAUSE IT IS NOT COST EFFECTIVE FOR VERIZON AND THEY ARE NOT GOING TO KEEP LOSING MONEY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WASN'T SO SURE THERE WAS ANY SAVINGS; THEY CAN'T PROVE THAT TO HIM BECAUSE HE DON'T USE THE MINUTES IN HIS PHONE LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE. HE ASKED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND MR. JOYNER IF THEY USED THEIR PHONE NOW OR JUST THE BEEP BEEP.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE DIDN'T USE HIS PHONE. MR. JOYNER SAID HE DON'T STAY ON THE PHONE TOO MUCH WITH MR. BROCK SAYING HE USED THE TWO WAY RADIO.

MALCOM SAID THE VERIZON REPRESENTATIVE TOOK THE COUNTY'S BILL FOR THREE MONTHS, INCLUDING THE DIRECT CONNECT, MOBILE TO MOBILE AND REGULAR PHONE CALLS AND ANALYZED THE BILL AND MOVED IT INTO THEIR PLAN AND HOW MUCH IT WOULD COST. THAT IS HOW THEY CAME UP WITH THE SAVINGS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED HOW MANY ALLOWED MINUTES WOULD THEY

HAVE BEFORE THE COST WOULD START INCREASING. MALCOLM EXPLAINED IT IS UNLIMITED; IT IS \$.05 A MINUTE FOR HOW MANY MINUTES YOU USE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED HOW MANY MINUTES WAS THE AVERAGE USE WITH NEXTELL FOR THREE MONTHS. MALCOLM REITERATED HOW VERIZON CAME UP WITH THE SAVINGS TO THE COUNTY. WHEN COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED AGAIN HOW MANY MINUTES, MALCOLM SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE THAT NUMBER IN FRONT OF HIM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE KEY TO THAT WAS THEY DIDN'T HAVE A

37-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 367

RECORD OF THE BEEP BEEPS. MALCOLM SAID THE BEEP BEEP MINUTES WERE INCLUDED IN THE ANALYSIS DONE BY VERIZON.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THERE HAD TO BE A LOT OF BEEP BEEP MINUTES.

JERRY BROCK REPORTED THE ANALYSIS SHOWED 10,277 PHONE MINUTES; IT DOESN'T HAVE THE BEEP BEEP MINUTES AS SUCH, IT JUST SHOWS \$10, \$10, \$30, ETC; IT SHOWED ON THE BILL HE WAS LOOKING AT \$680 FOR BEEP BEEP.

COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT YOU HAVE TO CONVERT THE BEEP BEEP MINUTES INTO MOBILE MINUTES TO GET A TRUE READING.

MALCOLM EXPLAINED THAT IS WHERE VERIZON SAYS IT WASHES BECAUSE THE MOBILE TO MOBILE MINUTES, WHICH ARE NO COST TO THE BOARD, TAKE THE PLACE OF THE DIRECT CONNECT. THEREFORE, IT IS FREE FOR FREE AND JUST A SWAP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK THE SAME PEOPLE HE IS GOING TO BE BEEP BEEPING IS THE SAME PERSON HE IS GOING TO BE CALLING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED; BUT, SAID THEY WOULD BE GETTING CHARGED \$.05 A MINUTE. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADVISED THAT WAS NOT CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID ON ALL THE PHONES, THERE WAS A MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF MINUTES AND ANYTHING OVER THAT, THEY HAVE BEEN CHARGED EXTRA WITH NEXTELL. HE ASKED HOW MANY LONG WINDED PEOPLE DID THEY HAVE THAT EXCEEDED THAT.

MALCOLM ADVISED THERE WERE THREE CURRENTLY; BUT, THEY HAVE HAD MORE IN THE PAST.

COMMISSIONER PATE REITERATED HIM REMEMBERING FOUR OR FIVE YEARS AGO, THEY HAD SOME SERIOUS PROBLEMS WITH CELL PHONES.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF \$952.98 WAS ALL MS. DIANNE WILL EVER HAVE TO PAY PER MONTH. MALCOLM SAID HE WOULDN'T SAY THAT AT ALL.

MALCOLM SAID WITH THE \$952.98, THAT WAS FOR A THREE MONTH

AVERAGE WHICH MEANS THEIR BILL COULD BE LESS THAN THAT SOME MONTHS AND SOME MONTHS IT COULD BE MORE THAN THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID AGAIN, THEY WERE DOING AWAY WITH ONE OF THE LONG TALKERS WITH MALCOLM AGREEING THEY WERE AND ADDRESSED A PERSON TALKING OVER 1,000 MINUTES.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID HE WAS FOR THE SAVINGS.

MALCOLM ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAD BEEN PAYING FOR 7,000 MINUTES TO BE IN A POOL BEFORE THAT WAS DIVIDED BETWEEN ALL THE PHONES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IF THEY COME UP THREE MONTHS FROM NOW AND IT IS \$1,400, WHO ARE THEY GOING TO LOOK AT. WOULD IT BE MALCOLM.

MALCOLM POINTED OUT THEY WOULD BE UNDER NO CONTRACT WITH VERIZON; IF THEY SEE IT IS NOT WORKING, THEY CAN SWAP BACK TO NEXTELL.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID THEY COULDN'T SWAP BACK BECAUSE MALCOLM HAD SAID NEXTELL WOULDN'T BE EXISTING. MALCOLM AGREED IT WOULDN'T BE EXISTING AS IT IS NOW.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED WHAT IT WOULD BE CHANGED TO. MALCOLM SAID IT WOULD BE CHANGED TO A SPRINT NETWORK.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF THAT WOULD BE ANY BETTER. MALCOLM SAID IT WOULD BE WORSE FROM WHAT HE HAS BEEN TOLD.

MR. HAGAN, AS A USER AND HAVING WORKED ON THESE PHONE COMMITTEES BEFORE, SOME OF THE THINGS MR. JOYNER AND MR. PATE HAS SAID ABOUT TRYING TO FIND SAVINGS, ONE OF THE THINGS THEY DID IN THEIR DEPARTMENT WAS HE STARTED ASKING MR. GAINNEY AND MS. WATFORD TO GIVE HIM THE USE OF THE PHONES THAT WERE ASSIGNED TO THEIR DEPARTMENT. THEY CAN GET THOSE INDIVIDUAL USES AND FIND OUT WHAT HAS BEEN MADE TO OTHER VERIZON PEOPLE AND WHAT HAS BEEN MADE TO OFF NET; THOSE COST, IF THEY WILL CHECK THOSE LOGS, THEY CAN GO TO THAT EMPLOYEE IF THEY ARE MAKING AN ABUNDANCE OF PERSONAL CALLS AND THIS WILL HELP KEEP THE COST FROM GOING UP. HE TOLD THE BOARD THEY CAN TELL WHERE THE OFF NET CALLS ARE GOING TO.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE HAS A FEELING THEY WILL BE DOING A LOT OF THINGS IN THE NEXT YEAR OR TWO THEY HAVEN'T BEEN DOING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT COMMENDED JERRY BROCK AND MALCOLM GAINNEY ON THE EFFORT THEY HAVE PUT INTO THE PHONE RESEARCH AND RECOMMENDED ON HIS BEHALF THAT MR. JOYNER BE ABLE TO MAKE THE DECISION OF WHAT IS GOING TO BE THE BEST SERVICE AND THE MOST COST EFFECTIVENESS FOR THIS COUNTY AS THAT IS WHAT THE BOARD HAS HIRED HIM TO DO.

MALCOLM TOLD THE BOARD THE VERIZON REPRESENTATIVE THAT WAS TO BE HERE TODAY APOLOGIZED FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO BE HERE AND SHE WAS MAKING PLANS TO BE AT THE AUGUST 24TH BOARD MEETING IF THEY WANT HER TO.

THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS NOT TO HAVE THE VERIZON REPRESENTATIVE PRESENT AT THEIR AUGUST 24TH BOARD MEETING.

MR. TOD BARFIELD, PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR, PROVIDED STATUS UPDATES ON THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS:

1. BAHOMA ROAD-HE REPORTED THIS PROJECT IS COMPLETED WITH THE EXCEPTION OF STRIPING THE ROAD. CURRENTLY THEY ARE \$4900.13 OVER BUDGET WHICH WILL BE COMING OUT OF COMMISSIONER PATE'S MONEY HE HAD SET ASIDE FOR THAT PROJECT SO THEY CAN PURCHASE RIP RAP, SEED AND MULCH, ETC. PER A QUOTE FROM GUETTLER AND GUETTLER, THE LINE STRIPING FOR BAHOMA ROAD WOULD COST \$22,182.45. MR. PATE DOES HAVE ENOUGH FUNDS SET ASIDE STILL TO COVER STRIPING ON THAT PROJECT SO THEY CAN GO AHEAD AND PROCEED WITH THAT.

2. BONNETT POND ROAD-HE REPORTED THEY ARE CURRENTLY \$23,051.46 OVER BUDGET ON BONNETT POND ROAD. THEY STILL HAVE THAT ROAD TO STRIPE AS WELL; THEY ARE THROUGH WITH EVERYTHING ELSE AS WELL. HE AND CLIFF WILL BE DOING A WALKTHROUGH WITH THE CONTRACTOR ON BAHOMA AND BONNETT POND ROAD LATER IN THE WEEK. THEY SHOULD BE THROUGH WITH EVERYTHING ON THIS ROAD WITH EXCEPTION OF THE STRIPING. THE STRIPING ON THIS ROAD WILL BE \$47,903.74.

MR. JOYNER ASKED ABOUT THE FENCING THAT HAS TO BE DONE ON THIS PROJECT. MR. BARFIELD SAID DAVID HAS A PRICE ON THE MATERIALS FOR THE FENCING.

DAVID SAID HE HADN'T GOT A PRICE YET; HE MEASURED IT THIS

40-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 370

MORNING.

COMMISSIONER CARTER REQUESTED INFORMATION BE PROVIDED IN WRITING EACH LINE ITEM ON EACH ROAD PROJECT ON EACH EXERCISE HE IS GOING TO HAVE TO DO. MR. BARFIELD AGREED TO HAVE THIS INFORMATION TO THE BOARD AT THEIR AUGUST 24TH BOARD MEETING.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED ON THE FENCING, IS THAT WHERE THE COUNTY HAS GOTTEN RIGHT-OF-WAY FROM SOMEBODY AND REPLACING WHERE THEY TOOK DOWN FENCE. MR. BARFIELD ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED DAVID HOW MANY FEET OF FENCING WAS TO BE DONE ON BONNETT POND ROAD. DAVID SAID IT IS 4875.'

MR. BARFIELD EXPLAINED ON SOME OF THESE LOCATIONS, C. W. ROBERTS WAS STORING SOME MATERIAL THERE SO THEY WEREN'T ABLE TO DO ANYTHING WITH IT UNTIL ROBERTS HAD ALL OF HIS STUFF CLEANED UP. THAT IS WHY SOME OF THESE FENCES HAVEN'T BEEN DONE YET.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE HAS BEEN A COUPLE OF TIMES WHEN STAFF CAME BEFORE THE BOARD AND DIDN'T HAVE THEIR PAPERWORK. IT IS PROBABLY MORE IMPORTANT THAT THEY HAVE THE PAPERWORK UP HERE WHILE THE BOARD IS DOING A WORKSHOP THAN AT THE REGULAR BOARD MEETING. BECAUSE IF THEY DON'T HAVE IT, THEY HAVE TO GET IT BEFORE THE BOARD MEETING.

COMMISSIONER CARTER PREFERRED TO HAVE ALL THE PAPERWORK PRESENTED TO THE BOARD ON ANY ISSUE PRESENTED PRIOR TO THE WORKSHOP EACH MONTH.

CLIFF ADDRESSED THE BOARD TO TELL THEM IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT FOR

THEM TO KEEP A COUPLE OF THINGS IN MIND:

1. WHEN THIS CONTRACT WAS AWARDED, THERE WAS NO STRIPING COVERED IN THE CONTRACT BECAUSE IT WAS COVERED UNDER OBAMA STIMULUS MONEY WHEN IT WAS PUT IN THE CONTRACT TO START WITH.

2. THERE WAS A \$10,000 CHANGE ORDER APPROVED BY THE BOARD FOR PUTTING CULVERTS IN TO ELIMINATE THE COUNTY FROM HAVING TO DO THAT THEMSELVES AND ALLOW THE CONTRACTOR TO DO IT. THAT IS ALSO INCLUDED AS WHAT IS BEING REPORTED AS BEING OVER BUDGET. THERE IS \$57,000 RIGHT THERE ACCOUNTED FOR.

41-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 371

3. THEY BUILT AN ADDITIONAL POND AT THE END OF THE PROJECT THAT WAS NOT IN THE ORIGINAL PLANS AT THE REQUEST OF A LANDOWNER THAT IS ACTUALLY GOING TO TO HELP THE COUNTY LONG TERM. THEY HAD SOME SOD THEY HAD TO PUT ON THAT POND SO THAT IS SOME OF THE COST AS WELL. THE PROJECT IS NOT JUST \$70,000 OVER BUDGET; SOME OF THOSE DOLLARS WERE APPROVED BY THE BOARD DURING THE PROJECT ESPECIALLY SINCE THE COUNTY GUYS GOT TIED UP ON FEMA WORK AND OTHER THINGS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF THIS BOARD HAS APPROVED EXPENDITURES FOR THIS PROJECT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE RUN, IT IS NOT OVER BUDGET; BUT, IF THEY HAVE MONIES THAT HAS NOT BEEN ALLOCATED FOR THIS PROJECT, IT IS OVER BUDGET.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THE DOLLARS HE IS ADDRESSING IS ABOVE AND BEYOND THE ORIGINAL ALLOCATION FOR THE PROJECTS. AS CLIFF IS SAYING THE MAJORITY OF THAT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD; THEY STILL HAVE \$30,000 IN THEIR STRIPING LINE ITEM TO PUT TOWARD THE STRIPING ON THIS ROAD. THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO COME UP WITH SOME ADDITIONAL MONEY TO STRIPE THE ROAD WITH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF THE BOARD WAS LOOKING AT A LITTLE OVER \$70,000 TO STRIPE BAHOMA AND BONNETT POND ROADS. MR. BARFIELD ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERRED TO CLIFF HAVING ADDRESSED WITH THE BOARD A COUPLE OF TIMES ABOUT THERE BEING SOME STIMULUS MONEY THE BOARD HAD TO GO AHEAD AND USE BECAUSE IT WAS GOING TO RUN OUT OF DATE BEFORE THE TIME THEY FINISHED THESE TWO PROJECTS, SO THE STIMULUS MONEY WAS USED ON OTHER STRIPING PROJECTS. HE ASKED IF THAT WAS CORRECT WITH CLIFF ADVISING IT WAS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED AT THAT TIME, WAS THERE MONEY SET ASIDE FOR THIS \$70,000 WORTH OF THE PROJECTS THEY KNEW STILL EXISTED. HE WAS ADVISED THERE WAS NOT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK THOUGHT THE ORIGINAL STRIPING ON BONNETT POND WAS \$35,000 AT THE TIME; FROM THERE TO NOW, IT HAS GONE UP \$17,000 MORE DOLLARS.

MR. BARFIELD THOUGHT ONE THING THAT MADE THE PRICE GO UP ON THE BONNETT POND PROJECT IS IT IS SUCH A WINDING ROAD AND THERE ARE A LOT OF DOUBLE LINES ETC. THE UNIT PRICE IS THE EXACT SAME PRICE AS IT WAS ON THE MONROE SHEFFIELD PROJECT.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY HAVE LEARNED A LESSON; DON'T DEPEND ON STIMULUS UNTIL YOU GET IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT THEY HAD THE STIMULUS MONEY.

COMMISSIONER PATE WAS AWARE OF THAT; BUT, THEY COULDN'T FINISH THE PROJECTS IN THAT TIME AND IT TURNS OUT THEY WERE EVEN FOOLISH FOR DEPENDING ON IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND GOT RIVER ROAD STRIPED WITH STIMULUS FUNDS. THEY WERE JUST SLOW DRAGGING AROUND GETTING BONNETT AND BAHOMA ROAD PROJECTS STARTED; THAT WAS THE PROBLEM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THEY SHOULD HAVE FOUND OUT WHERE THE MONEY THEY TURNED BACK INTO THE STATE WENT TO; DID THEY TAKE IT AND PUT IT SOMEWHERE ELSE IN THE STATE.

MR. BARFIELD AGREED TO CHECK INTO THAT; BUT, HE IS SURE THAT MONEY HAS BEEN SPENT. STRICKLAND AGREED IT PROBABLY HAS BEEN SPENT.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW EXPLAINED THE STIMULUS MONEY WAS ALL SPENT ON OTHER PROJECTS THE BOARD APPROVED.

COMMISSIONER CARTER REQUESTED MR. BARFIELD, IN THE FUTURE, ON ANY OF THIS THAT COMES UP TO WHERE CERTAIN ITEMS ARE ELIMINATED OUT OF THE CONTRACT, TO PROJECT WHAT THOSE ITEMS WOULD COST AT THAT POINT IN TIME IN LETTING THAT CONTRACT. AT THAT POINT IN TIME, THE BOARD SHOULD MAKE THE DECISION WHETHER THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE THE MONEY TO DO IT BEFORE THEY ACTUALLY ISSUE A START.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THAT WAS HIS WHOLE POINT. HE IS NOT INTERESTED IN BEATING ANYBODY UP OVER A BUDGET THAT IS OVER. HE HOLDS THE BOARD AND THEIR STAFF RESPONSIBLE FOR BEING OVER BUDGET. MR. BARFIELD IS GOING TO BRING THE BOARD BACK SOME OFFICIAL FIGURES AT THEIR AUGUST 24TH BOARD MEETING. IN ALL THESE CUTBACKS AND THINK-

ING OF BALANCING A BUDGET MOVING FORWARD, RIGHT HERE THEY HAVE OVER \$70,000 FOR THIS STRIPING THAT HAS GOT TO COME FROM SOMEWHERE.

MR. BARFIELD SAID HE HAS \$30,000 SET ASIDE FOR THAT AND HE HELD ONTO IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID MOST OF THE OVERAGE MR. BARFIELD HAD ADDRESSED, AS CLIFF HAS POINTED OUT AND HE THINKS IT WAS PRIOR TO HIM COMING ON BOARD, THE BOARD APPROVED IN PUTTING IN AN ADDITIONAL \$10,000 FOR CULVERTS, ETC. THIS STUFF HAS STILL GOT TO BE PAID FOR



AND ALL HE IS SAYING IN MOVING FORWARD IN TRYING TO BALANCE THE BUDGET ALL OF THIS STUFF HAS TO BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION WHERE ALL THIS IS GOING TO COME FROM.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SUGGESTED MR. BARFIELD FIND WHAT IS NEEDED IN THE PUBLIC WORKS BUDGET. MR. BARFIELD SAID, WHEN HE BRINGS THEM A SUMMARY OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED, HE WOULD SHOW HOW HE WILL COVER THE OVERAGE AND OF COURSE THAT WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE BOARD'S APPROVAL.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID AS LONG AS MR. BARFIELD HAS IT IN HIS ACCOUNT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED IT BEING SAD IT HAS TAKEN SEVEN YEARS TO DO THESE PROJECTS; THAT IS TERRIBLE AND IT IS PITIFUL.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED CLIFF WHERE DID THEY STAND ON THE ORANGE HILL PROJECT FOR CROSSDRAINS; IS IT READY FOR BIDS.

CLIFF TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK IT HAS ALREADY BEEN AWARDED; THE NOTICE TO PROCEED HAS BEEN ISSUED BY FL-DOT AND IT IS BEING WORKED ON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED THAT IS NOT COUNTY MONEY AND HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT IS STIMULUS MONEY AND TAXPAYERS MONEY. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT UNDERSTOOD THAT; BUT, REITERATED THE COUNTY DON'T MONITOR THAT MONEY.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED THE BOARD TO KEEP IN MIND THE ORANGE HILL CROSSDRAIN PROJECT IS NOT A REGULAR PROJECT; IT IS A DESIGN BUILD. THE STATE DECIDES WHAT THEY WANT TO DO, BUILDS IT AND GOES

44-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 374

ON AHEAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAS BEEN WAITING FOR THE PROJECT TO START; IT HAS BEEN AWARDED AND HE GUESSED THEY HAD SO MANY DAYS TO START.

CLIFF EXPLAINED THERE WAS SOME PERMITTING THAT HAS TO BE DONE AND THAT IS WHAT IS BEING WORKED ON NOW. HE AGREED TO TRY AND PUT TOGETHER A SCHEDULE TO PRESENT TO THE BOARD SO THEY WILL KNOW WHAT IT IS. HE REFERRED TO CHAIRMAN PATE SAYING IT WAS A DESIGN BUILD PROJECT AND HE AGREED; THEY HAVE TO DO THE DESIGN AND PERMITTING AND THEN BUILD.

MR. BARFIELD SAID WHEN THE STATE ISSUES A NOTICE TO PROCEED, THE DESIGN ACTUALLY STARTS THEN SO THAT PROJECT HAS NOT EVEN BEEN DESIGNED YET.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT, FOR CLARIFICATION, SAID WASHINGTON COUNTY COMMISSION HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THE ORANGE HILL CROSSDRAIN PROJECT. CLIFF ADVISED THAT WAS CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER EXPRESSED THE ONLY INTEREST HE HAS ON THE ORANGE HILL CROSSDRAIN PROJECT, HE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW LONG IT TAKES FOR PERMITTING BECAUSE THAT IS SUPPOSE TO BE CHANGED TO WHERE IT IS EXPEDITED SO THEY WILL SEE IF THAT HAPPENS OR NOT. THIS IS JUST FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ASKED MR. JOYNER IF HE WANTED TO ADDRESS

THE INMATE MEDICAL BILL. MR. JOYNER REQUESTED MS. GLASGOW UPDATE THE BOARD ON THE INMATE MEDICAL SITUATION.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW REPORTED THEY HAVE HAD INMATE MEDICAL INVOICES ON ONE INMATE THAT TOTAL OVER \$200,000 AND MR. JOYNER HAD REQUESTED SHE CONTACT HUNTS INSURANCE AGENCY TO SEE IF THEY WILL GO AHEAD AND PAY WHAT THEY ARE GOING TO PAY. THE AGREEMENT THE COUNTY HAS WITH HUNT IS THEY WILL REIMBURSE THE COUNTY AFTER THEY PAY THE INVOICES. SHE CONTACTED HUNTS AND EXPLAINED THE SITUATION THE COUNTY WAS IN WITH THE FUNDING; HUNTS AGREED IF THE COUNTY WOULD PAY THE \$35,000 DEDUCTIBLE UPFRONT, THEY WOULD REVIEW THE INVOICES

45-BCC  
08-15-2011

BOOK 88 PAGE 375

TO SEE IF IT MEETS THE CRITERIA FOR REIMBURSEMENT. HOWEVER, THE COUNTY IS GOING TO HAVE TO PAY THE \$35,000 UPFRONT BEFORE THEY WILL EVEN REVIEW THE INVOICES FOR REIMBURSEMENT. SHE IS AWARE THE BOARD CAN'T TAKE ACTION TODAY; BUT, THEY WILL NEED TO CONSIDER THIS AND MAKE A DECISION AT THEIR BOARD MEETING.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED WHEN THIS INSURANCE AGREEMENT WAS NEGOTIATED. DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW SAID THE BOARD HAS HAD THE INMATE MEDICAL INSURANCE FOR MANY YEARS WITH HUNTS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER FELT IT MIGHT BE TIME TO LOOK INTO THAT AGAIN; THERE MIGHT BE SOME BETTER PLANS OUT THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULD LIKE TO GIVE THAT BACK TO THE SHERIFF. COMMISSIONER CARTER TOLD ABBOTT THE SHERIFF WOULD JUST ADD THAT TO HIS BUDGET.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE WOULDN'T TALKING ABOUT THE BILL; HE IS TALKING ABOUT LETTING THE SHERIFF INSURE AND TAKE CARE OF THAT ENTITY ON ITS OWN.

COMMISSIONER PATE REQUESTED MR. JOYNER AND SHERIFF HADDOCK GET TOGETHER AND LOOK INTO THE DISSATISFACTION WITH THAT INSURANCE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THE SIGN ISSUE IN SUNNY HILLS THAT WAS BROUGHT UP A FEW MONTHS AGO AND TOLD MR. JOYNER THE SIGN WAS STILL UP.

MR. JOYNER INFORMED COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND THE DEADLINE FOR THE SIGN TO BE TAKEN DOWN WAS THE 12TH, WHICH WAS FRIDAY. HE WILL LOOK INTO THIS TO SEE IF THEY CAN GET THE SIGN DOWN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY CLERK

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHAIRMAN