

OCTOBER 27, 2011

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY, MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX, BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, CARTER, PATE AND STRICKLAND PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER AND DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

DEPUTY VINCENT CIANELLI PROCLAIMED THE METING WITH ROGER HAGAN OFFERING PRAYER. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND LED IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE MINUTES FOR AUGUST 15, 2011.

CHAIRMAN PATE ADVISED THE BOARD THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE GOODWILL INDUSTRIES, INC. IS TO BE PULLED FROM THE AGENDA.

COMMISSIONER CARTER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO ADOPT CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS A THROUGH G:

- A. APPROVAL FOR CLERK OF COURT TO PAY VOUCHERS FOR SEPTEMBER 2011 TALLING \$1,848,581.08
- B. APPROVE OF NINE COUNTY RESIDENTS TO BE ON BOARD OF THE ARTS COUNCIL. ARTS COUNCIL WILL PROVIDE COUNTY COMMISSION THE NAMES OF THE NINE BOARD MEMBERS.
- C. APPROVAL OF ACCEPTING RESIGNATION OF TRUDEE WILLIS FROM THE TDC COUNCIL LEAVING SEAT 5 VACANT.
- D. APPROVAL OF APPOINTMENT OF AMY SWEENEY TO SEAT 5 TDC COUNCIL WITH TERM ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2012.

- E. APPROVAL OF APPOINTMENT OF GWEN MARCH, SEAT #3 ON TDC COUNCIL; TERM TO EXPIRE SEPTEMBER 2014.
- F. APPROVAL OF PROCLAMATION DECLARING MONTH OF NOVEMBER "PANCREATIC CANCER AWARENESS MONTH."
- G. APPROVAL OF AMENDED INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR MEDICAL EXAMINER SERVICES BETWEEN BAY COUNTY AND DR. MICHAEL D. HUNTER; WASHINGTON COUNTY'S COST WILL BE \$5,387 PER MONTH.

AGENDAED ITEMS:

A. DIVISION OF FORESTRY-BARRY STAFFORD, JACKSON COUNTY FORESTER FILLING IN FOR DANIEL YOUNG, WASHINGTON COUNTY FORESTER AND FOR

THEIR SUPERVISOR AARON KINCAID, PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH THE ANNUAL COOPERATIVE FORESTRY ASSISTANCE REPORT AND THE ANNUAL FIRE CONTROL REPORT FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY. AS OF JULY 1, MR. STAFFORD ADVISED THEY ARE NO LONGER THE FLORIDA DIVISION OF FORESTRY; THEY ARE NOW THE FLORIDA FOREST SERVICE.

MR. STAFFORD UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE ACTIVITIES OF THE FORESTRY PROGRAMS FROM JULY 1, 2010 TO JUNE 30, 2011. HE WENT OVER SOME OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS LISTED; THE TWO THE FLORIDA FOREST SERVICE ADMINISTERS IS THE SOUTHERN PINE BEETLE COST-SHARE PROGRAM AND THE COGONGRASS TREATMENT COST-SHARE PROGRAM. THROUGH THE SOUTHERN PINE BEETLE PROGRAM, THERE WERE EIGHT WASHINGTON COUNTY LAND OWNERS THAT WERE APPROVED FOR A TOTAL OF \$26,730. THROUGH THE COGONGRASS TREATMENT COST-SHARE PROGRAM, THERE WERE FOUR WASHINGTON COUNTY LANDOWNERS THAT WERE APPROVED FOR A TOTAL OF \$23,200 TO HELP ERADICATE THE COGONGRASS.

MR. STAFFORD EXPLAINED UNDER THE SOUTHERN PINE BEETLE COST SHARE PROGRAM, PEOPLE WERE APPROVED FOR THINGS LIKE FIRST THINNINGS; THE LANDOWNER WOULD RECEIVE \$50 PER ACRE TO HAVE THEIR STANDS THINNED. HE ALSO REFERENCED THEIR PRESCRIBED BURNING PROGRAM BEING A COST SHARE PROGRAM.

MR. STAFFORD ADDRESSED THE ANNUAL FIRE CONTROL REPORT. THERE

3-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 104

ARE FIVE FULL TIME INDIVIDUALS; THREE FOREST RANGERS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY, ONE SENIOR RANGER AND ONE SENIOR FORESTER ARE EMPLOYED WITH THE FLORIDA FOREST SERVICE. IN WASHINGTON COUNTY, THERE WERE JUST OVER 1200 ACRES THEY PLOWED AROUND; THERE WAS ONE FIFTEEN ACRE HAZARD MITIGATION BURN PROJECT CONDUCTED IN WASHINGTON COUNTY IN WHICH SIX STRUCTURES WERE PROTECTED THAT WERE ESTIMATED AT \$600,000. THERE WAS NO CHARGE FOR THE MITIGATION BURN PROJECTS; BUT, THEY DO CHARGE FOR A REGULAR PRESCRIBED BURNINGS WHICH IS \$20 PER ACRE AND FIRE LINE PLOWING IS \$108 PER HOUR.

MR. STAFFORD REPORTED THERE WERE 122 INCIDENTS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY LAST YEAR; 48 WERE WILD FIRES TOTTALLING 400 ACRES. THERE WERE 17 NOTICES OF VIOLATIONS ISSUED. AUTHORIZATIONS ISSUED FOR BROADCAST BURNING TOTTALLED 14,911 ACRES. WASHINGTON COUNTY HAD ABOUT 24.47 INCHES OF RAINFALL LAST YEAR.

MR. STAFFORD REPORTED ON THE KID AND ADULT PROGRAMS THE FOREST SERVICE OFFERS SUCH AS THE FIVE PREVENTION PROGRAMS THAT REACHED APPROXIMATELY 1200 PEOPLE THROUGH SCHOOL PROGRAMS AND PARADES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED THERE BEING NO CHARGE FOR THE APPROXIMATE 1200 ACRES THAT WERE PLOWED IN WASHINGTON COUNTY. MR. STAFFORD REPORTED THERE WERE CHARGES FOR THE FIRE LINE PLOWING; BUT, AS FAR AS THE MITIGATION BURNS, THERE ARE NO CHARGES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THE MITIGATION BURNS WERE FOR LOCAL PRIVATE OWNED LANDS OR CORPORATIONS. MR. STAFFORD WANTED TO SAY IT WAS PRIVATE; BUT, SAID HE WOULD HAVE TO CHECK TO MAKE SURE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPLAINED HIS REASON FOR QUESTIONING THIS IS

BECAUSE IN THE PAST THEY HAVE HAD COMPLAINTS ON FIRELINES BEING PLOWED ON COUNTY RIGHT-OF-WAYS, LIKE CLAYTON ROAD, ETC. HE WAS WONDERING IF THE FORESTRY DEPARTMENT WAS PLOWING THESE RIGHT-OF-WAYS ON THE COUNTY OR IS IT SUPPOSE TO BE ON THE PRIVATE LAND OWNERS PROPERTY. MR. STAFFORD STATED IT WAS SUPPOSE TO BE ON THE PRIVATE LAND OWNERS PROPERTY; IF THERE WAS ANY POTENTIAL WILD FIRES, THEY MAY GET OFF OF THE PRIVATE PROPERTY.

4-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 105

CHAIRMAN PATE REQUESTED MR. STAFFORD PUT IN WRITING THE ANSWER TO COMMISSIONER BROCK'S QUESTION WHETHER THE MITIGATION BURNS WERE ON PRIVATE LAND OWNERS OR CORPORATIONS' PROPERTY.

ROGER HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT FUNDING RESOLUTION AND BY-LAWS AND ADDRESSED HIM HAVING PROVIDED THEM WITH AMENDED BY-LAWS OF THE WCFA AND THE FUNDING RESOLUTION AT THEIR WORKSHOP IN OCTOBER. THE FUNDING RESOLUTION IS THE BOARD'S TO MODIFY REGARDING FUTURE FUNDING. HE ADDRESSED THE BOARD DIDN'T SEEM TO HAVE ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THE BY-LAWS; THE WCFA ADOPTED THE BY-LAWS AT THEIR MEETING LAST THURSDAY AND THEY ARE REQUESTING THE BOARD ENDORSE THAT SET OF BY-LAWS THAT WAS PRESENTED AT THEIR WORKSHOP.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD WAS LOOKING INTO THE OLD MSBU FOR FIRE, ETC. AND THOUGHT THEY NEEDED TO TABLE THIS REQUEST. IF THIS BRINGS IN MORE THAN WHAT THE CURRENT MILLAGE RATE IS NOW, THAT MAY BE THE WAY THEY NEED TO GO AND LOWER THE MILLAGE RATE, ETC.

MR. HAGAN REMINDED THE BOARD THEY HAD VOTED LAST MONTH TO ALLOW THE RESOLUTION TO BE CHANGED SO THEY NEED TO DO SOMETHING THAT DOES THAT. THEY MAY HAVE TO ADOPT TWO RESOLUTIONS; ONCE THEY FINISH THEIR INVESTIGATION COME BACK AND MODIFY IT AGAIN. BUT, THE BY-LAWS ARE INDEPENDENT OF THAT; THE WCFA JUST NEEDS FOR THE BOARD TO ENDORSE THE BY-LAWS THAT WERE ADOPTED BY THE WCFA. THIS IS THE CHANCE THE WCFA IS REACHING OUT TO THE BOARD TO STRENGTHEN THIS PARTNERSHIP. BEFORE THEY JUST ADOPTED THE BY-LAWS AND NEVER PRESENTED THEM TO THE BOARD FOR AN ENDORSEMENT. THE REASON HE FELT THE BOARD NEEDED TO DO SOMETHING WITH THE RESOLUTION IS THEY HAVE A 2006 RESOLUTION THAT SAYS CERTAIN THINGS WILL HAPPEN; THEIR VOTE LAST MONTH CHANGES THAT. THEY AT LEAST NEED TO MODIFY THE RESOLUTION NOW AND COME BACK AND CHANGE IT ONCE THEY GET THROUGH WITH THEIR INVESTIGATION.

CHAIRMAN PATE REITERATED PERSONALLY HE THINKS THE BOARD NEEDS TO WAIT UNTIL THEY GET THIS WORKED OUT ON THE FUNDING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN, FOR CLARIFICATION PURPOSES, STATED THE BY-LAWS

ARE ALREADY ADOPTED AND EFFECTIVE; ALL THE WCFA IS LOOKING FOR FROM THE BOARD IS ACKNOWLEDGMENT THESE ARE THE WCFA'S BY-LAWS. HOWEVER, THE BY-LAWS ARE EFFECTIVE WHETHER OR NOT THE BOARD DOES ANYTHING WITH THEM.

MR. HAGAN AGREED WITH WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAD SAID.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE RESOLUTION IS SOMETHING THE BOARD ULTIMATELY CONTROLS WITH MR. HAGAN AGREEING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THESE BY-LAWS HAVE BEEN IN EFFECT; IT WAS THE CHANGES THEY DISCUSSED AT THE WORKSHOP ON FUNDING.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED THE BY-LAWS HAVE NOT CHANGED THAT MUCH; IT IS THE FUNDING THAT COMMISSIONER PATE FEELS NEEDS TO BE TABLED. HE ADDRESSED ARTICLE 7 AND THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL OF THE ADOPTION HAS BEEN ADDED TO THE BY-LAWS SO THE COMMISSIONERS WILL HAVE A COPY AND KNOW WHAT THE WCFA IS DOING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED MR. HAGAN READ ARTICLE 7-RECOGNITION AND SANCTION: 7.1 BACKGROUND AND STANDING-AT THE TIME OF THE ADOPTION OF THIS EDITION OF THE WCFA BY-LAWS THE ASSOCIATION IS APPROACHING TWENTY YEARS OF EXISTENCE. THE ASSOCIATION HAS WORKED IN HARMONY WITH AND AT THE DIRECTION AND PLEASURE OF THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS DURING THAT TIME TO SERVE AS A UNIFIED VOICE OF THE FIRE SERVICES COMMUNITY. THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ACKNOWLEDGES THE ASSOCIATION BY THEIR PAST MUTUAL AFFILIATIONS/RELATIONSHIP. 7.2 AKNOWLEDGMENT-BY JOINTLY ADOPTING AND APPROVING THESE BY-LAWS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS GRANTS AND RECOGNIZES THE AUTONOMY TO THE ASSOCIATION TO CONDUCT ITS OWN INTERNAL AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS OPERATIONS UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THESE BY-LAWS AND FUTURE AMENDMENTS PROVIDED HOWEVER THE BOARD DOES NOT GRANT EXCLUSIVE PROVISIONS FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS THAT ARE THE INHERENT STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE BOARD AS THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY. THE BOARD WILL NOT ARBITRARILY WITHHOLD PERMISSION NEEDED BY THE ASSOCIATION TO CONDUCT ITS BUSINESS INCLUDING THE EXPENDITURE OF DESIGNATED FUNDS APPROVED

IN THE COUNTY BUDGETING PROCESS.

MR. HAGAN EXPLAINED THIS IS SAYING THE BOARD WILL NOT WITHHOLD FROM WHAT USE TO BE CALLED THE CONTINGENCY FUND, WHICH IS CALLED THE OTHER CHARGES FUNDS NOW WHERE SOMEBODY CAN COME AND ASK FOR ADDITIONAL MONIES ONCE THEIR BUDGET HAS BEEN EXPENDED.

MR. HAGAN TOLD THE BOARD THEY ARE ACKNOWLEDGING THE RIGHT FOR THE WCFA TO ELECT THEIR OWN PRESIDENT, VICE-PRESIDENT AND TRAINING OFFICER. IT ALSO MAKES THE SECRETARY BE THE BOARD'S APPOINTMENT WHICH IS THE PUBLIC SAFETY EM DIRECTOR AND MAKES IT A PERMANENT APPOINTMENT THAT PERSON WILL ALWAYS BE RESPONSIBLE TO THE BOARD AS LIASON. THIS

IS EXACTLY WHAT IS ALREADY BEING DONE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT EXPLAINED ALL THIS IS DOING IS ALLOWING THE WCFA TO RUN THEIR FIRE DEPARTMENT; IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH FUNDS, A LACK OF CONTROL OR OF THEIR SPENDING, ETC. IF THERE COMES A TIME THERE IS SOMETHING IN THE BY-LAWS THE BOARD DOESN'T LIKE, IT CAN BE CHANGED ALSO.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD THEY CAN'T CHANGE THE BY-LAWS; IT IS THE WCFA BY-LAWS. UNLESS THE BOARD IS COMFORTABLE WITH EVERYTHING IN THE BY-LAWS, BECAUSE IT IS MORE THAN AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, BY SIGNING IT, THEY ARE ACKNOWLEDGING CERTAIN CRITERIA. THEY ARE NOT ACKNOWLEDGING IT EXISTS; IF THERE WAS ANY DOUBT OR DEBATEMENTS ABOUT WHAT THE RESPONSIBILITIES ARE, THAT COULD BE USED AT A LATER DATE THAT THEY HAVE BOUND THEMSELVES TO THAT BY SIGNING IT. IT IS MORE THAN A MERE ACKNOWLEDGMENT BY THE BOARD THAT IT EXISTS AND THEY RECEIVED A COPY OF IT; THEY ARE BINDING THEMSELVES TO CERTAIN THINGS THAT ARE STATED IN THE BY-LAWS AND BY EXECUTING IT, THEY ARE SAYING THEY ARE IN ACCORD WITH IT. HE EXPLAINED THAT IS NOT A PROBLEM FOR HIM; BUT, THE BOARD NEEDS TO UNDERSTAND IF THEY EXECUTE THAT AGREEMENT, THEY ARE SAYING THEY ARE IN ACCORD WITH THOSE STATEMENTS THEREIN AND THEY NEED TO BE PREPARED TO BACK THAT UP.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT READ IN THE BY-LAWS WHERE IT SAYS ALL PROPOSED BY-LAW CHANGES. SO, IF THEY WANTED TO CHANGE THE BY-LAWS, MUST

7-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 108

BE PRESENTED TO THE BY-LAW COMMITTEE BEFORE BEING RECOMMENDED TO THE FULL MEMBERSHIP. AMENDMENTS MUST BE PROVIDED IN WRITING TO THE MEMBERSHIP BY THE BY-LAW COMMITTEE ALONG WITH THE NOTICE OF THE MEETING WHEN THE PROPOSAL WILL BE VOTED ON.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THIS KEEPS THE PRESIDENT, VICE-PRESIDENT, ETC. FROM ARBITRARILY CHANGING THE BY-LAWS; IT HAS TO BE APPROVED BY THE BODY AND NOT BY TWO OR THREE PEOPLE.

COMMISSIONER PATE VOICED HIS OBJECTION WAS IF SOMEBODY WANTED TO GUARANTEE IT WOULD NEVER FALL BELOW THIS LEVEL, THE BOARD CAN'T GUARANTEE ANYTHING. HE REMEMBERS WHEN THE BOARD GOT INVOLVED WITH THIS BEFORE, THE WCFA INFORMED THEM THEY WERE A 501 3C AND THEY COULD SPEND THEIR MONIES ANY WAY THEY WANTED TO. HE HAD RATHER SEE IN HERE THE WCFA IS REQUIRED TO HAVE A CHECKING ACCOUNT FOR THE MONEY THE TAXPAYERS PUT IN THERE AND A CHECKING ACCOUNT FOR ANY FUNDS THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS RAISE.

MR. HAGAN REITERATED THAT IS ALTOGETHER DIFFERENT FROM WHAT IS BEING ADDRESSED NOW. THE BOARD TOOK CARE OF WHAT COMMISSIONER PATE WAS REFERRING TO LAST YEAR WITH THE PURCHASE ORDER SYSTEM. THERE IS A THIRD DOCUMENT OUT THERE THEY ARE NOT ADDRESSING NOW THAT COVERS WHAT COMMISSIONER PATE IS TALKING ABOUT. THE FUNDING AGREEMENT IS WHERE THE BOARD HAS CONTRACTS WITH THE CITIES; FOR SO MUCH MONEY THEY WILL RESPOND TO THOSE AREAS DESIGNATED ON A MAP. THE REST OF IT IS ADMINISTERED BY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT BY A PURCHASE ORDER; THEY DON'T GET ANY MONEY ANY MORE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE UNDERSTOOD; BUT, WHEN YOU START COMINGLING FUNDS, YOU ARE ASKING FOR PROBLEMS. HE ASKED HOW MANY FIRE DEPARTMENTS OUT THERE HAVE SEPARATE BANK ACCOUNTS.

MR. HAGAN THOUGHT PROBABLY ALL THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS IN THE COUNTY HAS SEPARATE BANK ACCOUNTS EXCEPT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT IN SUNNY HILLS. BUT, THEY DON'T GET ANY PUBLIC MONEY IN THAT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED THEY ASK ATTORNEY GOODMAN FOR HIS

8-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 109

RECOMMENDATION. ATTORNEY GOODMAN RECOMMENDED, IF THE BOARD IS COMFORTABLE WITH BINDING ITSELF TO THE STATEMENTS IN THOSE BY-LAWS, THEN EXECUTE IT. WHETHER THEY EXECUTE IT OR NOT, IT IS STILL THE WCFA BY-LAWS AND IT IS GOING TO BE EFFECTIVE. BUT, IF THE BOARD FEELS COMFORTABLE WITH THE STATEMENTS MR. HAGAN READ JUST THEN AND THE BOARD FEELS COMFORTABLE ACKNOWLEDGING THOSE, THEN EXECUTE IT. IF THEY DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH ALL OR SOME OF THOSE, THEY CAN ASK THE WCFA TO CHANGE IT TO WHERE THEY ARE COMFORTABLE WITH IT OR NOT ACKNOWLEDGE IT. EITHER WAY, IT IS GOING TO BE THE WCFA'S EXECUTED, UPDATED BY-LAWS. THE RESOLUTION IS A COMPLETELY SEPARATE MATTER THAT IS UP TO THE TOTAL DISCRETION OF THE BOARD.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE LAST YEAR HAS BEEN SUCH A SMOOTH YEAR AS FAR AS CONTROVERSY; THIS IS AN EFFORT THAT GOOD FEELING AND HAND HOLDING AND WORKING TOGETHER CONTINUES. THE BY-LAWS SAY THE BOARD ACKNOWLEDGES THE WCFA AS AN ASSOCIATION OF FIRE DEPARTMENTS REPRESENTED BY THEIR CHIEFS; THEY ARE GOING TO DO SOME TRAINING, EDUCATION AND THINGS THE BOARD WANTED DONE AND HERE IS HOW THEY ARE GOING TO DO IT. THE BOARD IS GOING TO LET THEM DO IT WITHOUT BEING DOWN THERE THUMBS ON DAY TO DAY OPERATIONS. THE WCFA IS SAYING THAT IS THE BOARD'S MONEY; THEY STATUTORILY AND INHERITLY HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY TO ADMINISTER THE COUNTY FUNDS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN, IF FOR SOME REASON THIS BOARD WANTED TO CHANGE THE BY-LAWS, CAN THEY DO IT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED THESE ARE NOT THE BOARD'S BY-LAWS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID THE BOARD WOULD BE SANCTIONING THEY APPROVE THE WCFA'S BY-LAWS AS WRITTEN. IF THE LANGUAGE IS SATISFACTORY TO THE BOARD, THEY ARE APPROVING THEIR BY-LAWS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN AGREED THE BOARD WOULD BE APPROVING THE BY-LAWS SUBJECT TO HOW IT IS WRITTEN. IF IT WAS A ONE SENTENCE "WE ACKNOWLEDGE WE HAVE RECEIVED AND ARE APPROVING THESE BY-LAWS UPON RECEIPT, THAT IS NOT WHAT IS WRITTEN THERE. SO, THEY NEED TO BE PREPARED IF THEY ACCEPT IT, THEY ACCEPT WHAT IS WRITTEN ON THAT DOCUMENT. HE DOESN'T

HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT; BUT, HE WANTS THE BOARD TO UNDERSTAND, IF THEY ADOPT IT, THEY ARE ADOPTING IT AND IF THEY EVER GET INTO AN UNCOMFORT-ABLE SITUATION, THE BOARD HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THOSE PERIMETERS.

MR. HAGAN SAID THE BOARD IS ALWAYS THE BOARD. IF THE COUNTY COMMISSION WITHDREW EVERY PENNY OF FUNDING APPROPRIATELY WHEN THEY CHOOSE TO, THE ASSOCIATION CAN STILL EXIST AND MEET, ETC. THE BY-LAWS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH FUNDING OR THE BOARD'S ADDRESSING OR GIVING UP ANY OF THEIR AUTHORITY. IF THEY CONTINUE ON THE PATH OF HAVING A UNIFIED COUNTYWIDE GROWING FIRE DEPARTMENT, THE WCFA MAY GO AWAY BECAUSE THE BOARD MAY ABSORB THEM; THE BOARD MAY HIRE THEM AND THERE MIGHT NOT BE A NEED FOR INCORPORATIONS, ETC. ALL THE BY-LAWS DO IS LET THE WCFA DO SOMETHING NOW WHILE THEY ARE IN THIS SPACE OF TIME.

COMMISSIONER BROCK QUESTIONED MR. HAGAN IF THERE WAS SUPPORT OF ALL THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS ON THE BY-LAWS. MR. HAGAN ADVISED THE BY-LAWS WERE APPROVED WITH A UNANIMOUS VOTE.

COMMISSIONER CARTER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO ACCEPT THE BY-LAWS AS WRITTEN. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OPPOSED AS HE HAS NOT SEEN THE BY-LAWS.

MR. HAGAN APOLOGIZED AS THEY WERE TOLD COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND HAD A PACKAGE. MR. JOYNER SAID IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN IN COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S BOX.

MR. HAGAN ASKED IF HE COULD ANSWER THE BOARD'S QUESTIONS PERTAINING TO THE WCFA RESOLUTION. HE FEELS THE RESOLUTION IS ALSO INDEPENDENT OF THE BOARD'S INVESTIGATION AND HE KNOWS THEY DON'T LIKE THE PART ABOUT THE GUARANTEEING. THE WCFA IS READY TO STRIKE THAT. HE ADDRESSED THE NEED TO GET SOMETHING IN PLACE DUE TO THE BOARD'S PREVIOUS ACTION.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE WCFA HAS THEIR FUNDING IN PLACE ALREADY FOR 2011-2012. MR. HAGAN AGREED; BUT, THE RESOLUTION SAYS THE FUNDING WILL BE DONE THIS WAY. THE BOARD VOTED TO ALLOW THE FUNDING BE DONE ANOTHER WAY JUST BEFORE THE BUDGET WAS ADOPTED SO HE IS TRYING TO BRING THE RESOLUTION IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE BOARD'S

ACTION.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERRED TO THAT BEING BEFORE SOMEBODY WANTED THE BOARD TO GUARANTEE SOMETHING; THE BOARD IS GOING BACK AND LOOKING AT THAT. THAT MAY BE THEIR TOTAL FUNDING OR IT MAY BE A SUPPLEMENT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED HE PERSONALLY NEEDED TO SPEND A LITTLE MORE TIME ON THE RESOLUTION AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND HASN'T EVEN SEEN IT.

MR. HAGAN REITERATED HIS CONCERN IS FOR THE BOARD; THEY HAVE A RESOLUTION THEY VOTED TO BE IN VIOLATION OF WHEN THEY ADOPTED THE

BUDGET AND DISTRIBUTED THE MONEY BASED ON THAT VOTE. THEY CAN CHANGE IT AGAIN IN A MONTH. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID MAYBE THEY NEED TO WITHDRAW THEIR MOTION THEY MADE AWHILE AGO AND TABLE ALL OF IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD MR. HAGAN THE WCFA HAS HALF THEIR PIE AND TO LET THE BOARD FINISH THE REST OF THE PIE. MR. HAGAN STATED THE RESOLUTION HAS NO AFFECT ON THE BY-LAWS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN TOLD THE BOARD AGAIN THE BY-LAWS DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE RESOLUTION; EVEN IF THEY WITHDRAW THEIR BY-LAWS, IT IS NOT GOING TO CHANGE ANYTHING. HE ASKED IF IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO ALLOW HIMSELF AND MR. JOYNER TO SIT DOWN WITH MR. HAGAN AFTER TALKING WITH THE BOARD INDIVIDUALLY AND GETTING THEIR THOUGHTS AND PRESENT SOMETHING AT THE NOVEMBER MEETING. THE BOARD CONSENTED TO ATTORNEY GOODMAN'S RECOMMENDATION.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED THE RECORD SHOW THAT MR. JOYNER AND ATTORNEY GOODMAN WILL LOOK INTO THE RESOLUTION AND ALSO THE ARTICLE THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN VOTED ON AND NOT BEEN EXECUTED, THE MSBU, THE EMS AND FIRE DEPARTMENTS. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED THE BOARD MR. HAGAN HAD PROVIDED HIM SOME INFORMATION WITH THE OLD MSBU AND HAD GIVEN HIM A LOT OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND CONTACTS TO RUN SOME THINGS DOWN WITH; THEY ARE WORKING ON THIS AS WE SPEAK.

11-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 112

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON A PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULED ON RHYTHM DRI IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS. THEY RECEIVED A LETTER LAST WEEK FROM BAY COUNTY ADDRESSING CERTAIN CONCERNS THEY HAVE WITH THE PROJECT; SOME WHICH HAVE TO DO WITH THE ROADS AND SOME WHICH HAVE TO DO WITH WATER. HE ASKED PERMISSION FOR HE AND MR. JOYNER TO SET UP A MEETING WITHIN THE NEXT MONTH WITH BAY COUNTY TO ADDRESS THEIR CONCERNS WITH THE RYHTYM DRI PROJECT. WITH RESPECT TO THE UP-COMING HEARING, OBVIOUSLY THERE IS A CONCERN WITH BAY COUNTY; THE BOARD NEEDS TO BE COGNIZANT OF THAT.

MIKE DERUNTZ, PLANNING DEPARTMENT, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING A PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULED WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION ON NOVEMBER 1ST AND A PUBLIC HEARING HAS BEEN ADVERTISED FOR NOVEMBER 21ST. THEY ARE PROCEEDING WITH THAT UNLESS THEY HAVE OTHER DIRECTION. THE PUBLIC HEARINGS HAVE BEEN ADVERTISED; BUT, IT COULD ALWAYS BE CONTINUED.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE CONTINUATION OF THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WOULD BE CONTINGENT ON WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER FINDS OUT FROM THEIR MEETING WITH BAY COUNTY.

MR. DERUNTZ ADVISED ONE OF THE SUGGESTIONS HAS BEEN TO HOLD THE PUBLIC HEARING WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION, TAKE COMMENTS FROM THE GENERAL PUBLIC BECAUSE ONE OF THE COMMENTS THAT WAS BROUGHT UP AT THE INFORMATIONAL MEETING WAS A LOT OF PEOPLE CAN'T MAKE THE DAY TIME MEETING. THE NIGHT TIME MEETING WOULD BE A GOOD TIME FOR THESE PEOPLE TO COME AND ADDRESS THEIR CONCERNS. THE PLANNING COMMISSION WILL GO AHEAD AND HOLD THEIR PUBLIC HEARING AND CONTINUE IT BASED UPON THE DISCUSSIONS THE BOARD HAS WITH BAY COUNTY; HOPEFULLY, THEY RECONVENE



AND THE FIRST MEETING IN DECEMBER EVALUATE AND MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE HAVING BEEN A DRAFT TALK CONCEPTUAL TYPE MEETING WITH RHYTHM OFFICIALS LAST THURSDAY. HE EXPRESSLY STATED TO THEM THE CONCERN BAY COUNTY HAS, THE CONCERN HE HAS AND CONCERNS SOME MEMBERS OF THE BOARD HAVE EX-

12-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 113

PRESSED TO HIM ABOUT ATTEMPTING TO GET THIS ISSUE RESOLVED WITH BAY COUNTY. THE RHYTHM PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THE BOARD IS COGNIZANT THEY ARE TRYING TO PUSH THIS THROUGH AND WOULD OBVIOUSLY LIKE TO SEE A DRI APPROVED AT SOME POINT IN THIS CALENDAR YEAR WITH THIS BOARD. HE HAS TOLD RHYTHM HE UNDERSTANDS THEIR DESIRE TO GET THIS DONE; BUT, HE HAS ALSO TOLD THEM THE BOARD IS GOING TO DO WHAT THEY HAVE TO DO WITH BAY COUNTY BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO LIVE NEXT DOOR TO BAY COUNTY AND JACKSON COUNTY ON AN ONGOING BASIS. HE REITERATED THE BOARD IS COGNIZANT OF RHYTHM'S TIMETABLE; BUT, AT THE SAME TIME, THEY NEED TO ATTEMPT TO TRY TO SEE WHAT THE SPECIFIC ISSUES ARE WITH BAY COUNTY AND SEE IF THEY CAN'T BE ADDRESSED.

COUNTY ENGINEER REPORT:

A. CLIFF KNAUER, COUNTY ENGINEER, UPDATED THE BOARD ON THERE BEING NO BID AWARDS.

B. CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE DISCUSSION THEY HAD AT THE OCTOBER WORKSHOP ABOUT DOUGLAS FERRY CROSSROADS. AT THE END OF HIGHWAY 280 WHERE IT HITS HIGHWAY 284, THE COUNTY HAS A PARK. FEMA WROTE THE BOARD A PROJECT WORKSHEET FOR ABOUT \$50,000 AND ALSO WROTE A HAZARD MITIGATION PROPOSAL THAT HAS BEEN OBLIGATED FOR ABOUT \$24,367. THE REASON THIS DIDN'T GET INCLUDED WITH THE HAZARD MITIGATION PROJECTS NOW UNDER CONTRACT WITH GULF GROUP WAS BECAUSE THOSE DOLLARS HADN'T BEEN OBLIGATED WHEN THE MITIGATION PROJECTS WERE PUT OUT FOR BID. SINCE THAT TIME, THOSE DOLLARS HAVE BEEN OBLIGATED. HE REQUESTED APPROVAL FROM THE BOARD TO WRITE A CHANGE ORDER TO GULF GROUP TO COVER THE COST OF THE LOW WATER CROSSING FOR THAT AMOUNT IF THEY ARE ABLE TO AGREE TO WHAT FEMA HAS FUNDED. HE WOULD LIKE TO GET APPROVAL FOR THE COUNTY MANAGER OR CHAIRMAN TO SIGN A CHANGE ORDER SO THEY CAN GET GULF GROUP MOVING AS FAST AS POSSIBLE. HE IS SOMEWHAT CONCERNED ABOUT THE RIVER COMING UP AND CAUSING PROBLEMS WHEN THEY GO TO CONSTRUCTION IF THEY DON'T GET TO MOVING PRETTY SOON.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THIS WAS ADJACENT TO THE PIPES THEY JUST PUT IN DOWN THERE. CLIFF ADVISED IT WAS AND IT WOULD BE CONCRETE

13-BCC

RIBBON CURVE, RIP RAP ON BOTH SIDES OF IT AND LIMEROCK.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER CARTER AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, MR. JOYNER TO SIGN A CHANGE ORDER WITH GULF GROUP IN THE AMOUNT FEMA HAS APPROVED, WHICH IS \$24,367 FOR A LOW WATER CROSSING AT DOUGLAS FERRY CROSSROADS.

C. CLIFF UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE TIGER III GRANT APPLICATION WHICH IS DUE ON MONDAY. THE LAST DISCUSSION THE BOARD HAD, THE APPLICANT FOR RHYTHM INDICATED THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO PUT UP THE MATCH. HE DOESN'T KNOW IF ANY PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE ON HOW THEY CAN DOCUMENT THAT OR WHAT THEY NEED TO DO; BUT, THEY HAVE UNTIL MONDAY TO PUT TOGETHER A PLAN FOR THAT.

MR. JIM TOWN ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING RHYTHM STANDS BY THEIR COMMITMENT FOR 20% MATCH; THEY ARE WAITING FOR SOME GUIDANCE FROM CLIFF ON WHAT THE FEDERAL STANDARD IS TO DEMONSTRATE THE WILLINGNESS TO PROVIDE THE MATCH. HE THINKS IT IS A MATTER OF WORDS, NOT DOLLARS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO WORK WITH CLIFF AND MR. JOYNER ON THE LANGUAGE THAT NEEDS TO BE PUT INTO THE TIGER III GRANT APPLICATION TO MAKE SURE IT COVERS THE 20% MATCH FROM RHYTHM.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED CLIFF IF HE HAD CLAYTON ROAD DESIGNED. CLIFF ADVISED HE DID IN HIS MIND. HE AND COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND HAD A GOOD VISIT OUT THERE THE OTHER DAY AND FEELS THEY HAVE A PRETTY GOOD GAME PLAN TOGETHER.

COMMISSIONER CARTER QUESTIONED IF THERE WERE ANY ISSUES WITH RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR THE CLAYTON ROAD PROJECT. CLIFF ADVISED THERE WERE A COUPLE OF ISSUES; BUT, THEY WANT TO TRY AND GET TOGETHER A COMMUNITY MEETING. THEY KNOW THE PROPERTY OWNERS THEY NEED TO WORK WITH. HE DON'T REALLY WANT TO CALL A COMMUNITY MEETING UNTIL THEY HAVE THE

14-BCC

10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 115

AGREEMENT FROM FL-DOT IN HAND.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY MORE FEMA OBLIGATED ROADS THAT HAVE COME IN AND HOW MANY.

MR. TOD BARFIELD ADVISED THAT DEBBIE RILEY AT PUBLIC WORKS IS GOING THROUGH THE PROJECT WORKSHEETS TO VERIFY WHAT IS WHAT; HE THINKS ALL THE WORK HAS BEEN COMPLETED ALREADY ON THE ROADS THAT HAVE BEEN OBLIGATED. AS SOON AS SHE HAS ALL THIS TOGETHER, HE WILL REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD.

CHAIRMAN PATE CALLED FOR A TEN MINUTE BREAK.

UNDER UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE, A GENTLEMAN WAS WANTING TO ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT RHYTHM. ATTORNEY GOODMAN EXPLAINED THE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE IS NOT A TIME FOR QUESTIONS; IF SOMEONE ASKS QUESTIONS,

IT SHOULD BE UNDER AGENDAED AUDIENCE. UNAGENDAED IS FOR STATEMENTS AND NOT QUESTIONS TO THE BOARD. THAT IS FOR A PUBLIC HEARING OR AN AGENDA; IF SOMEONE IS GOING TO ASK QUESTIONS AND WANT ANSWERS, THEY NEED TO GIVE THE BOARD SOMETHING TO LOOK AT ON THE AGENDAED PART OF THE AUDIENCE.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD THE GENTLEMAN THE BEST PLACE TO ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT RHYTHM IS AT THE NOVEMBER 1ST PUBLIC HEARING WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION. HE ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAS TO ANSWER ALL OF BAY COUNTY'S CONCERNS AND THEY WOULD HAVE TO ANSWER THE BOARD'S.

THE GENTLEMAN ASKED IF HE COULD ADDRESS THE DRAFT ORDER OF DEVELOPMENT, RESOLUTION 11.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID IT WAS UP TO THE BOARD AND HE DOESN'T MIND PEOPLE MAKING A STATEMENT; BUT, HE IS GOING TO REITERATE UNAGENDAED AUDIENCE IS NOT FOR QUESTIONS. IF A PERSON WANTS TO BRING A QUESTION TO THE BOARD, THEY NEED TO BE ON THE AGENDA. IF A PERSON HAS A STATEMENT, COMMENT OR IDEA, THAT IS ONE THING; BUT, QUESTIONS TO THE BOARD NEED TO BE ON THE AGENDA SO THE BOARD CAN BE PREPARED TO GIVE THEM THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTIONS. WITH RESPECT TO A COMMENT ON THE DRI, THERE HAS PROBABLY BEEN THREE DRAFTS KNOCKED AROUND IN THE PAST

15-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 116

WEEK.

COUNTY ATTORNEY REPORT:

A. ATTORNEY GOODMAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE DISCUSSION HELD AT THEIR OCTOBER WORKSHOP ON PROPOSED OPERATIONAL EMPLOYEE POLICY CHANGES. SOME PERIMETERS WERE GIVEN WITH REGARDS TO THE PROCESS AT LOOKING AT THOSE.

B. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ABOUT THEM HAVING TALKED BRIEFLY ABOUT THE DIRT POLICY. HE INDICATED AT THAT MEETING HE WAS GOING TO GIVE EVERYBODY AN ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION FROM 1982 OR 1986. HE GAVE THOSE TO MS. ZOLA TO PUT IN THE COMMISSIONERS' BOXES AND HOPES THEY HAVE HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT THEM.

C. HE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEM HAVING THE PLAN TO GO AHEAD AND PUBLISH NOTICE OF THE MSBU ORDINANCE IN NOVEMBER; THEY ARE STILL KICKING AROUND DRAFTS. HE HAS GOTTEN COMMENTS FROM MR. ZURICA, MR. BAHR AND THEY ARE STILL WORKING THROUGH DRAFTS; BUT, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING TO ADOPT THAT ORDINANCE IN NOVEMBER. HE SUGGESTED AT THAT SAME MEETING, THE BOARD BE PREPARED TO SET UP THE COMMITTEES THAT NEED TO BE SET UP.

D. THEY ARE CONTINUING WITH RHYTHM TO KICK BACK AND FORTH DRAFTS OF THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER. THE BOARD DOES NEED TO BE COGNIZANT OF THE ISSUES WITH BAY COUNTY AND THEY HAVE GIVEN HIM PERMISSION WITH MR. JOYNER TO GO AHEAD AND ADDRESS THAT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ENCOURAGED THE BOARD TO READ THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION AND GO BY IT; DON'T GET MISLED BY SOME OF IT BECAUSE THERE IS A REAL STICKLER AT THE BOTTOM OF IT. IF THE COUNTY CAN'T USE IT, THEY CAN'T HAUL IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN'S OPINION ON THE DIRT

POLICY. HE SAID MOST OF ALL THE DITCH DIRT, THEY CALL THEM OUTFALLS IN THIS COUNTY, THE DIRT BELONGS TO THE LANDOWNER AND THERE ARE A PILE OF THEM IN THE COUNTY. THERE ARE NO EASEMENTS ON SOME ROADS; ALL THEY HAVE IS THE MAINTENANCE. ACTUALLY THE ROAD BELONGS TO THE LAND OWNER AND LEGALLY THE DIRT; THE COUNTY JUST HAS MAINTENANCE

16-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 117

RIGHTS ON THE ROADS. THERE WAS A RETENTION POND DUG THIS WEEK AT BONNET POND AND THE LAND OWNER WANTED THE DIRT; IT WAS ON HIS PROPERTY. HOWEVER THE DIRT WAS BROUGHT BACK TO THE PIT. ISSUES LIKE THAT IS WHAT IT COMES TOO. IF THAT DIRT IS ON THE LAND OWNERS PROPERTY, WHY CAN'T HE HAVE THAT DIRT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THE WAY HE READS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION IS IT DOES NOT SAY IF YOU ARE WORKING AT SOMEBODY'S HOUSE OR ADJOINING THEIR HOUSE ON THE COUNTY'S EASEMENT THAT YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO LEAVE THAT DIRT WITH THAT PROPERTY OWNER. WHAT THAT OPINION IS TELLING HIM IS AS FOLLOWS: THE COUNTY CAN'T EXPEND PUBLIC FUNDS FOR HAULING AND GIVING DIRT AWAY TO PRIVATE CITIZENS. THE IDEA BEING THERE IS A COST INVOLVED WITH HAULING AND PROVIDING DIRT TO PRIVATE CITIZENS AND THERE MAY BE A VALUE OF THE DIRT TO THOSE CITIZENS. THAT IS KIND OF DEBATED IN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION. BUT, IT IS THE HAULING, THE MANPOWER AND THE EXPENSE ASSOCIATED WITH IT THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION BASICALLY ADVISES IS IMPROPER. THE REAL CRUX OF THE ISSUE IS WHETHER OR NOT IT IS A COST OR EXPENSE OF THE TAXPAYER TO DO WHAT THEY ARE DOING. IN THE CASE OF A PRIVATE LANDOWNER THE COUNTY IS WORKING ON AN EASEMENT BY THEIR HOUSE, THERE IS AN ARGUMENT TO BE MADE THAT IT IS ACTUALLY SAVING THE COUNTY MONEY AND EXPENSE. HE THINKS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION GAVE SOME WIGGLE ROOM FOR THAT SITUATION BECAUSE THE COUNTY COULD REALISTICALLY POINT TO SOMETHING TO SAY "THIS IS IN THE PUBLIC'S INTEREST BECAUSE IT IS SAVING US MONEY." THE PROBLEM THEY HAVE RIGHT NOW IS THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED A NO DIRT POLICY AND THE REASON HE UNDERSTANDS THEY DID IT IS TO PROTECT THEMSELVES FROM ANY SITUATION THAT WOULD GET NEAR THAT LINE BECAUSE HE THINKS THERE HAS BEEN THE THOUGHT PROCESS THEY COULD GIVE IT AWAY NOT IN THE MANNER OF LEAVING IT WHERE THEY DUG IT; BUT, GIVING IT AWAY IN A SENSE THEY CAN HAUL IT TO A PIT AND THEN TURN AROUND A WEEK LATER AND HAUL IT TO SOMEBODY ELSE. THAT IS THE PUBLIC EXPENDITURE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION REALLY HAS A PROBLEM WITH. HE DOES THINK THAT COMMISSIONER BROCK'S ARGUMENT THEY COULD LEAVE IT

17-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 118

ON THAT PROPERTY WHERE THEY DUG IT UP, THERE IS AN ARGUMENT THAT COULD BE MADE THEY COULD POINT TO A PUBLIC FINANCIAL REASON THAT MAKES SENSE IN IT SAVES THE TAXPAYERS DOLLARS. THE BOARD HAS ADOPTED A POLICY NO DIRT BEING LEFT ANYWHERE. THE BOARD IS PRIVY TO CHANGE THAT TO A POLICY THEY SEE FIT AS LONG AS THEY CHANGE IT TO ALIGN IT WITH WHAT THAT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION SAYS. IN COMMISSIONER BROCK'S EXAMPLE OF LEAVING THE DIRT AT THE PROPERTY, HE THINKS THERE IS AN ARGUMENT TO BE MADE THEY COULD LEAVE IT THERE AND POINT TO A PUBLIC BENEFIT; A BENEFIT TO ALL TAXPAYERS OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF WHY THAT MAKES SENSE. IT IS WHEN THEY GET INTO HAULING; THE GAS, MANPOWER, TIME INVOLVED AND THE OTHER SCENARIOS THEY REALLY PROBABLY ARE GETTING OVER THAT FINE LINE AND DELVING IN TERRITORY THEY SHOULDN'T BE. THE POLICY THAT WAS ADOPTED IN SEPTEMBER BASICALLY SAYS UNLESS IT IS A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY THAT NEEDS THE DIRT, THERE IS NOT GOING TO BE DIRT PROVIDED TO A PRIVATE CITIZEN OR PRIVATE BUSINESS OF THIS COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED THE COUNTY HAS OUTFALLS OR DITCHES, WHATEVER THEY WANT TO CALL THEM AND THERE IS NO EASEMENTS, ETC. ON THEM. IT BELONGS TO THE PEOPLE AND THAT IS THE ONLY WAY THE COUNTY HAS OF TAKING THE WATER OFF THE ROADS, THE HILLS. THE LAND OWNERS HAS ALWAYS WORKED WITH THE PUBLIC AND BEEN REAL GOOD TO THE COUNTY. THE BOARD HAS OPENED UP A BIG CAN OF WORMS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF THE ISSUE HE REFERRED TO WAS PRIVATE PROPERTY OR DID THE COUNTY HAVE AN EASEMENT ON IT. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE COUNTY DON'T HAVE EASEMENTS ON NOTHING.

COMMISSIONER PATE DISAGREED SAYING THE COUNTY DOES HAVE EASEMENTS ON A LOT OF THEM AND IF THEY DON'T HAVE THEM NOW, THEY BETTER BE GETTING THEM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED THERE HAS NEVER BEEN ANY EASEMENTS ON THE COUNTY GRADED ROADS. COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK TO PULL UP THE EASEMENTS ON SEARCY ROAD.

MR. BARFIELD EXPLAINED THE ONLY RETENTION POND HE IS AWARE OF

18-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 119

THEY ARE DIGGING OR CREATING LATELY IS ON MUD HILL ROAD. ON MUD HILL ROAD, THE PROPERTY OWNER AGREED TO LET THE COUNTY CREATE A SPOT FOR EROSION, COLLECT WATER AND SETTling. THAT DIRT THEY DUG CREATING THE POND, THEY LEFT ON THAT GUY'S PROPERTY BECAUSE THAT IS HIS DIRT. HE EXPLAINED TO HIM, WHEN IT BUILDS UP FROM EROSION OFF THE ROADS, THAT WILL BE COLLECTED AN HAULED OFF BECAUSE THAT IS USABLE DIRT. THE MAN WANTED THE DIRT THAT CAME OUT OF THE POND BECAUSE IT WAS HIS DIRT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED MR. BROCK WHAT POND WAS HE TALKING ABOUT THE COUNTY HAS JUST HAULED THE DIRT OFF OF SOMEBODY'S PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE COULDN'T THINK OF THE GUY'S NAME; BUT, IT WAS ON THE END OF BONNET POND ROAD.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THAT WAS PART OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THAT PROJECT DOWN THERE. COMMISSIONER BROCK AGREED IT WAS PART OF THE CONSTRUCTION; BUT, THE MAN GAVE THE COUNTY PERMISSION TO PUT A RETENTION POND ON HIS LAND OUT THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID IF IT WAS PART OF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN AN EASEMENT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REFERRED TO ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAYING IN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S RULING THAT SPOILED DIRT HAULED TO A PIT SHOULD NEVER BE HAULED BACK OUT AND CARRIED BACK TO AN INDIVIDUAL; HE AGREES 100%. BUT, WHEN HE IS TWENTY MILES AWAY, DOWN AT SPRING RUN, JACKSON COMMUNITY, ALL OF THOSE BIG OUTFALLS GOING DOWN THROUGH THERE AT POTTERS SPRING AND SOMEBODY WANTS A LOAD OF DIRT A HALF MILE OR MILE FROM THERE; BUT, YET THE COUNTY IS GOING TO HAUL THAT LOAD OF DIRT BACK 20 MILES TO WAUSAU ONE WAY, 40 MILES ROUND TRIP GETTING 5 MILES TO THE GALLON. HE ASKED IF THAT IS COST SAVINGS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THIS IS AN ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION. THE FACT SCENARIOS CAN CHANGE. HE SAID, IF THE BOARD IS GOING TO GIVE DIRT TO A PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL, THEY BETTER BE ABLE TO POINT TO A LEGITIMATE COUNTY TAXPAYER REASON IT MADE FINANCIAL SENSE TO DO THAT AND THEY ACTUALLY SAVED THE GENERAL PUBLIC MONEY. WHETHER IT IS SIX

19-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 120

MILES, EIGHT MILES OR EIGHT MILES VERSUS TWENTY MILES, THAT IS A FACT BY FACT FACT PATTERN. WHAT HE IS TELLING THIS BOARD IS IF THEY CAN'T POINT TO A REASON IT MAKES SENSE FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC IT SHOULDN'T BE DONE. WHAT THE POLICY OF THE BOARD IS CURRENTLY IS THERE IS NO PRIVATE TYPE DONATIONS. HE IS NOT SAYING THAT IS WHAT THAT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION SAYS THAT YOU HAVE TO DO; THAT WAS THE PRIVY OF THE BOARD IN SEPTEMBER. LIKE ANYTHING ELSE, IF THERE IS ENOUGH VOTES IT CAN BE CHANGED. WITH RESPECT TO COMMISSIONER BROCK'S QUESTION, THEY NEED TO BE ABLE TO POINT TO A FINANCIAL PUBLIC REASON IT MAKES SENSE TO DONATE THAT DIRT. IT HAS TO SAVE THE TAXPAYERS MONEY; NOT COST THE TAXPAYERS MONEY. THAT IS BASICALLY IN A NUTSHELL WHAT HE THINKS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION IS DRIVING AT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED HE WILL AGREE WITH IT 100%; BUT, HE HAS A LONG DISTANCE. HIS IS NOT ONE LITTLE BLOCK; HE GOES FROM HARD LABOR CREEK ON HIGHWAY 277 TO ABOUT SEVEN MILES SOUTH OF EAST RIVER AT HIGHWAY 20. IT IS TERRIBLE FOR A DUMP TRUCK TO HAVE TO DRIVE ALL THAT DISTANCE ALL THE WAY BACK AS THERE IS NO PIT; THE DOWN TIME THE HOUR, HOUR AND A HALF. IF THAT IS NOT SAVINGS, THERE WILL NEVER BE NO SAVINGS IN THIS COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED THE OTHER TWENTY FIVE RESIDENTS THAT LIVE IN BETWEEN WHERE YOU ARE GOING TO DUMP IT AND WHERE YOU GOT IT FROM; YOU HAVE TO GIVE EVERYBODY THE SAME OPPORTUNITY AS YOU GIVE THIS ONE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY DO; THEY CAN CALL ROAD AND BRIDGE AND HAVE THE SAME OPPORTUNITY AS ANYBODY AND GET ON THE LIST WHENEVER THE COUNTY CAN GET TO IT. THE EXCAVATOR ONLY COMES AROUND ABOUT

EVERY THREE MONTHS. IT IS A BIG COST SAVINGS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BIG DITCH ON OWENS COMMUNITY ROAD AT HIGHWAY 277 WHERE THE PIPE LINE RUNS THROUGH IT, WHEN THEY DIG IT OUT THERE IS USUALLY FIFTY LOADS. LAST TIME IT WAS DUG OUT, IT WAS CALCULATED AND WENT RIGHT AROUND THE CURVE AND DUMPED THE DIRT. AN ESTIMATED \$3,000 SAVED TO THE TAXPAYERS; A SHORT DISTANCE

20-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 121

ABOUT A MILE UP THE ROAD. THE GUY ON HIGHWAY 277 HAS ASKED AND ASKED FOR DIRT. IF YOU HAUL THAT DIRT BACK TO THE PIT, IF YOU CLEAN OUT THAT DITCH IT IS GOING TO BE AN ESTIMATED SAVINGS OR IT IS GOING TO COST THE TAXPAYERS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REITERATED FROM A POLICY STANDPOINT, THE BOARD CAN DO WHAT THEY WANT TO DO WITH RESPECT TO THE POLICY AS LONG AS THEY PLAY WITHIN THOSE RULES; HIS JOB IS TO TELL THEM WHAT THE PLAYING RULES ARE.

COMMISSIONER PATE READ THE LAST LINES OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION AND THAT IS WHAT HE IS GOING BY: "IT CAN PROBABLY BE OFFERED TO INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC FOR FREE AS LONG AS COUNTY FUNDS ARE NOT EXPENDED IN DISPOSING OR TRANSFERRING OF SUCH DIRT WHICH WOULD PRIMARILY BENEFIT PRIVATE OR INDIVIDUAL CORPORATIONS. IT HAS TO BE SPOILED DIRT; SPOILED DIRT IS NOT WORTH ANYTHING. AS FAR AS HOW MUCH IT IS COSTING, IT IS GOING TO COST THEM A LOT MORE TO FILL IN THESE DIRT PITS.

KATHY FOSTER, FOSTER FOLLIES, REQUESTED A COPY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION ON THE DIRT ISSUE. SHE ADDRESSED HER UNDERSTANDING THERE IS A LIST YOU CAN GET ON TO GET THIS DIRT AND ASKED WHO DO YOU CALL TO GET ON THE LIST.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADVISED 90% OF THE PEOPLE OUT THERE DON'T EVEN KNOW ABOUT THE LIST. MS. FOSTER SAID THEY ARE GOING TO KNOW ABOUT THE LIST SO SHE WANTED TO KNOW WHO TO TELL THEM TO CALL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN STATED THE POLICY OF THE BOARD RIGHT NOW IS "NO DIRT." SO THERE IS NO LIST AS WE SPEAK; THERE MAY HAVE BEEN A LIST IN THE PAST BUT THERE IS NO LIST AS WE SPEAK FOR PRIVATE DIRT.

MS. FOSTER ASKED IF THE BOARD SHOULD CHANGE THE LIST IS GOING TO START ALL OVER FROM THE BEGINNING. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED THERE HAS BEEN NO MOTION OR APPROVAL BY THIS BOARD OF CHANGING THE CURRENT POLICY; SO, THERE IS NO PRIVATE DIRT AND THERE IS NO LIST SITTING HERE TODAY. IF THE BOARD CHANGES THEIR POLICY TO GIVE PRIVATE DIRT AGAIN, HE IMAGINES THEY WOULD START THE LIST UP.

21-BCC

COMMISSIONER BROCK INFORMED MS. FOSTER THERE WAS A POLICY; THE COUNTY WAS CLEANING A DITCH UP BY HER HOUSE ON HER ROAD. KATHY SAID BUT NOW THAT LIST HAS BEEN THROWN AWAY AND IT WOULD START ALL OVER.

COMMISSIONER PATE TOLD MS. FOSTER THAT WHEN HE CAME ON THE BOARD, THE WAY THE LIST WAS WORKING WAS THE FIRST GUY THAT CAME AND ASKED FOR THE DIRT, THEY HAULED IT TO HIM AS MUCH AS THEY WANTED. THAT IS A WASTE OF TAXPAYER'S MONEY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED COMMISSIONER PATE HOW THAT WAS A WASTE IF IT IS SHORT RIGHT THERE AT YOU. HE REFERRED TO TWO WEEKS AGO THEY HAULED DIRT FROM COMMISSIONER PATE'S DISTRICT FROM NORTH OF CHIPLEY ALL THE WAY TO WAUSAU WHICH IS THIRTY MILES ROUND TRIP. IS THAT A SAVINGS. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY WERE GOING TO NEED THAT DIRT IN THE PITS DOWN THERE.

COUNTY MANAGER REPORT:

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, MR. JOYNER, PROVIDED THE BOARD A LIST OF THE EQUIPMENT THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS, CAROL GRIFFIN WAS GOING TO UPDATE. SHE NEEDS BOARD APPROVAL TO DISPOSE OF THE OLD EQUIPMENT AT \$200 PER UNIT; THIS IS WHAT THE COMPANY SHE IS DEALING WITH ON PURCHASING THE NEW EQUIPMENT HAS AGREED TO PAY HER FOR THE OLD EQUIPMENT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS SELLING HER OLD EQUIPMENT FOR \$200 PER UNIT TO THE COMPANY SHE IS PURCHASING HER NEW EQUIPMENT FROM. MS. GRIFFIN MADE THE BOARD AWARE SHE IS NOT PURCHASING NEW EQUIPMENT; SHE IS LEASING IT.

22-BCC

10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 123

MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON AN EMS GRANT RESOLUTION FOR \$4,438; THESE FUNDS ARE GENERATED FROM TRAFFIC FINE SURCHARGES AND ARE FOR ENHANCEMENT OF MEDICAL SERVICES.

COMMISSIONER CARTER OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO APPROVE OF THE EMS GRANT RESOLUTION FOR \$4,438.

MR. JOYNER MADE THE PUBLIC AWARE HIS OFFICE IS ALWAYS OPEN FOR QUESTIONS CONCERNING COUNTY BUSINESS. HE MIGHT BE ABLE TO ANSWER SOME OF THE PUBLIC'S QUESTIONS BEFORE THEY COME TO THE BOARD. HE INVITED THE PUBLIC TO COME BY HIS OFFICE ANYTIME AND ANY QUESTIONS THAT NEED TO COME BEFORE THE BOARD, HE WILL BE GLAD TO PUT THEM ON THE AGENDA.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW UPDATED THE BOARD ON THEIR YEAR END BUDGET FOR 2010-2011 WILL BE ADVERTISED AND A PUBLIC HEARING WILL BE HELD AT THEIR NOVEMBER BOARD MEETING. SHE AGREED TO PROVIDE THE BOARD A COPY OF ALL THE BUDGET AMENDMENTS, ETC. BEFORE THE MEETING; IF THEY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ON THE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE BUDGET, BOARD FINANCE



WILL BE GLAD TO ASSIST THEM.

MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON DAVID CORBIN HAVING BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION HIS VEHICLES HE USES TO TRANSPORT INMATES HAS HAD A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MAINTENANCE EXPENDITURES OVER THE PAST YEARS. HE HAS SPENT APPROXIMATELY \$25,000 THIS PAST YEAR ON FOUR VEHICLES AND EXPECTS THE MAINTENANCE TO BE THAT MUCH MORE THIS YEAR. THEY CAN PURCHASE EITHER A PASSENGER VAN WHICH SEEMS TO BE MORE ADAPTABLE IN HAULING MORE PEOPLE THAN A PICKUP BECAUSE THEY CAN ONLY HAUL FOUR PEOPLE ON A PICKUP. ON A LEASE PURCHASE PROGRAM, THEY CAN BUY UP TO FOUR VEHICLES WITH THE AMOUNT OF MONEY MR. CORBIN IS EXPENDING IN MAINTENANCE ON THE EQUIPMENT HE HAS NOW. HE ASKED FOR BOARD APPROVAL TO LOOK INTO LEASE PURCHASING AND GET THE BOARD THE EXACT PRICING ON EACH OF THESE VEHICLES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE COULDN'T AGREE WITH MR. JOYNER MORE;

23-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 124

BUT, HE WANTED TO MAKE SURE WHEN THEY ARE PURCHASING, THEY ARE FOLLOWING THE COUNTY POLICY AND IF THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO BE BIDDING, THEY ARE DOING SO AND ARE GIVING MULTIPLE LEASING AGENCIES THE SAME OPPORTUNITY.

MR. JOYNER ADVISED THE VEHICLE ITSELF WILL BE A STATE CONTRACT PRICE; THEY WILL TAKE THREE BIDS ON THE LEASE PURCHASE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED TO APPROVE FOR THE COUNTY MANAGER AND DAVID CORBIN TO TAKE CARE OF THE LEASE PURCHASE ON REPLACEMENT VEHICLES FOR TRANSPORTING OF INMATES.

COMMISSIONER PATE BROUGHT UP SOMETHING HE KNEW WAS CONTROVERSIAL BUT THOUGHT WAS WORTHWHILE. HE THOUGHT IF THEY HAD A FIVE DAY WORK WEEK FOR PUBLIC WORKS JUST LIKE ALL OTHER WORK GROUPS IN THE COUNTY, IT WOULD LESSEN THE AMOUNT OF WASTED TIME IN THE EMPLOYEES GETTING OUT FROM PUBLIC WORKS IN THE MORNING OR COMING IN EARLY IN THE MORNING. HE THOUGHT IT WOULD ALSO GET MORE WORK OUT OF THE EMPLOYEES AND MAKE THE SCHEDULING BETTER FOR THE SUPERVISORS. THEN IF THEY DON'T DO THAT, THEY MAY HAVE TO GO TO THE COUNTY MANAGER. HE FELT IT WAS JUST AS IMPORTANT TO PUT PUBLIC WORKS ON A FIVE DAY WORK WEEK AS IT WAS TO PUT THE PARK AND RECREATION INMATE CREWS ON A FIVE DAY WORK WEEK.

COMMISSIONER PATE PASSED THE GAVEL TO VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER AND OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND FOR DISCUSSION TO PUT PUBLIC WORKS ON A FIVE DAY WORK WEEK, EIGHT HOURS A DAY.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED AT ONE TIME BACK BEFORE HE STARTED WITH THE COUNTY, PUBLIC WORKS WENT TO EIGHT HOURS A DAY AND IT DIDN'T LAST THAT LONG AND THEY WENT BACK TO TEN. HE AGREED THIS HAD BEEN MANY YEARS AGO. HE DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM TRYING THE FIVE DAY WORK WEEK NOW AND IF IT DON'T WORK, CHANGE IT BACK TO FOUR TEN HOUR DAYS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHY IT WOULDN'T WORK. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID HE HAD NO IDEA WHY IT DIDN'T WORK AS HE WASN'T

SITTING ON THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID ONE REASON WHY IS WHEN HE GOES DOWN HIGHWAY 77 ON A THURSDAY TO A BOARD MEETING IN PANAMA CITY AND HE SEES A DUMP TRUCK WITH A BACKHOE PARKED ON A SIDE STREET IN WAUSAU AT 3:00 IN THE AFTERNOON. HE KNOWS WHAT THEIR ANSWER WAS; THEY WERE ON BREAK. HE SAID IF THEY WERE THAT CLOSE TO THE YARD AT PUBLIC WORKS AND THEY WERE ON BREAK; NO. THAT TRUCK MORE THAN APT GOT TO PUBLIC WORKS AT 4:00. WHEN HE FIRST CAME ON THIS BOARD, HE SAW TWO PULL OFF THE SIDE OF THE ROAD ON MUD HILL ROAD AND SAT THERE AND WAIT. THE THIRD ONE ROUNDED THE CORNER AS HE PULLED IN BEHIND THEM AND IT WAS 3:30; AN HOUR BEFORE THEY GET OFF. WHEN PEOPLE SEE THIS AND THEY HAVE PROBLEMS ON FRIDAY'S AND SATURDAYS, THEY GET KIND OF UPTIGHT. IF ROAD AND BRIDGE WORKS FIVE DAYS A WEEK, IF NOTHING ELSE, IT WOULD GIVE THE BOARD A PRESENCE OUT THERE AND IN FIVE DAYS A WEEK THEY CAN GET MORE WORK DONE HE THOUGHT. BUT, THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE A SUPERVISOR STAYING RIGHT THERE AFTER THEM.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID ONE THING HE COULD SEE WITH THE FIVE DAY WORK WEEK, THEIR VACATION TIME, THANKSGIVING COMING UP, THE PEOPLE WORKING AT THE COUNTY ANNEX SOMEHOW GETS LEFT OUT OF SOME OF THE DAYS OFF THAT ROAD AND BRIDGE GETS. THEY NEED TO TREAT EVERYBODY THE SAME. IF THEY HAD ROAD AND BRIDGE ON FIVE EIGHT HOUR DAYS JUST LIKE THE COUNTY ANNEX, EVERYBODY WOULD BE TREATED THE SAME, GET THE SAME DAYS OFF AND THERE WON'T BE ANY FUSSING BACK AND FORTH WITH ROAD AND BRIDGE GETTING FOUR DAYS OFF AND THEY ONLY GOT TWO DAYS OFF.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED A DISCUSSION HE HAD WITH TOD YESTERDAY ABOUT BUILDING THE MORAL OF THE EMPLOYEES; WITH WHAT THIS BOARD IS FIXING TO DO, THEY CAN'T GET THE MORAL ANY LOWER. PART OF THE EMPLOYEES HAVE SIDE JOBS. SOME OF THE COMMISSIONERS SIT UP HERE AND TALK ABOUT SAVING THE TAXPAYERS MONEY AND HERE THEY ARE CREATING ANOTHER DAY FOR THE EMPLOYEES TO COME IN AND GO BACK OUT AND THIS IS A COST. WHEN THE EMPLOYEES ARE ALREADY OUT THERE,

GO AHEAD AND WORK THE OTHER TWO HOURS. THAT IS JUST LIKE, IT WAS A LEADWAY THE BOARD DID FOR DAVID'S CORRECTION CREW TO SET THE STEPPING STONE FOR PUBLIC WORKS HOURS TO CHANGE. PUBLIC WORKS

KNEW IT WAS COMING.

COMMISSIONER CARTER INTERRUPTED AND TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE NEEDED TO COME BACK WITH SOME BLACK AND WHITE FIGURES ON THE SAVINGS AND EVIDENCE ON THAT BECAUSE THERE IS NO WAY COMING INTO THE WINTER THEY CAN GET TEN HOURS IN DAYLIGHT HOURS. THEY HAVE TO LOOK AT THE WHOLE SCENARIO; JUST LIKE COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SAID THEY CAN TRY IT THROUGH THE WINTER MONTHS BUT THEY CAN'T MAKE TEN HOUR DAYS IN THE WINTER. THERE IS NO WAY THERE IS ENOUGH DAYLIGHT FOR SAFETY, ETC. FOR ROAD AND BRIDGE TO OPERATE. THERE ARE REALISTIC THINGS THEY HAVE TO LOOK AT. HE KNOWS ROAD AND BRIDGE HAS BEEN ON FOUR TEN HOUR DAYS FOR A LONG TIME; BUT, EVERYBODY ELSE HAS TO ADHERE TO WHAT THE DIRECTIONS OF THE LEADERS OF THE PEOPLE OR THE COUNTY OR THE COMPANIES SET AND IF THEY SET IT THIS WAY, THEY HAVE TO CONFORM TO IT. IF THEY DON'T, THEY HAVE A LOT OF BENEFITS A LOT OF PEOPLE OUT HERE THESE HARD TIMES RIGHT NOW DON'T HAVE. HE WOULD HOPE ROAD AND BRIDGE EMPLOYEES WOULD COME BACK IN, LOOK AT IT AS AN EXERCISE AND TRY TO MAKE IT WORK. THAT IS WHAT AN EMPLOYEE IS SUPPOSE TO DO. WHENEVER DECISIONS ARE MADE; THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO TRY TO MAKE IT WORK. THAT IS JUST LIKE THEY TOLD DAVID'S CREWS, IT WAS AN EXERCISE TO SEE HOW IT WOULD WORK. THEY FOUND OUT ALREADY, THEY MAY LOSE THIRTY MINUTES A DAY; BUT, THEY GAIN AN EXTRA DAY AND WHAT THEY ARE GAINING WITH AN EXTRA DAY WITH INMATES AND THEY PRODUCE MORE WORK. THE SUPERVISORS ARE THERE FOR THE INMATES; THE INMATES IS WHERE THEY GET THE LABOR AND NOT FROM THE SUPERVISORS. HE SAID THAT IS NO DISRESPECT TO ANY OF THEM; IT IS A NECESSARY POINT THEY HAVE TO BE THERE. BUT, THE INMATES ARE THE ONES THAT PRODUCES THE LABOR AND WHENEVER YOU CAN GET AN EXTRA DAY OF FOUR TO FIFTEEN PEOPLE, THEY ARE PRODUCING MORE WORK.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID EVERYBODY IS ENTITLED TO THEIR OPINION.

26-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 127

HE DISAGREES. DAVID'S CREW IS NOT THAT PRODUCTIVE; THEY ARE GOING IN AT 1:30 EVERYDAY. THEY HAD A JOB YESTERDAY AND THEY HAD TO GO IN. NOW THAT HE SEES THE BOARD IS GOING TO HAVE ROAD AND BRIDGE GO TO FIVE DAY WORK WEEKS, NOW HE GUESSES THEY CAN WORK TOGETHER AND HAVE TRUCKS AND TRAILERS; BUT, AT THE TIME DAVID'S CREW, LIKE DOING SOD, ROAD AND BRIDGE WILL BE CLOSED UP. HE WILL NEVER AGREE BECAUSE PEOPLE HAVE THEIR SIDE JOBS AND NOW THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO QUIT THEIR SIDE JOBS TO MAKE ENDS MEET. HE HAD AN EMPLOYEE A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO TO QUIT ON ACCOUNT OF THAT REASON AND THERE MIGHT BE ANOTHER ONE IN DAVID'S CREW; THEY ARE DOWN TO THREE AND IT JUST CAN'T GET NO WORSER. NOW, IT'S SURE ENOUGH GOING TO MAKE A HARDER HARDSHIP ON SOME OF THE EMPLOYEES OUT THERE. HE REALLY SITS HERE AND DON'T THINK THAT WHEN COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND WAS WORKING WITH THE COUNTY, HE DIDN'T BELIEVE HE WOULD HAVE WANTED TO WORK FIVE DAYS A WEEK BECAUSE HE PROBABLY HAD A SIDE JOB. HE DON'T KNOW THAT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE WAS RIGHT; HE HAD A SECOND JOB AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT IN HOLMES COUNTY. IF

HE RECALLS, HIS SUPERVISOR CALLED HIM AT THE RODEO AND TOLD HIM IF HE DIDN'T COME TO WORK SUNDAY MORNING BECAUSE THE ROADS WERE BAD, HE COULD STAY AT THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. SO WHAT DID HE DO. HIS JOB AT ROAD AND BRIDGE WAS HIS MAIN JOB AND SUNDAY MORNING HE WAS ON THAT ROAD GRADER. YOU HAVE TO KEEP YOUR PRIORITIES IN MIND.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID HE HAS BEEN WORKING A LONG TIME AND HE HAS HAD OTHER THINGS GOING ON WITH JOBS WITH OTHER PEOPLE; BUT, YOU HAVE TO MAKE UP YOUR MIND WHERE YOU MAKE YOUR PRIMARY FUNDS AND LIVING, ETC. WORK AROUND IT AND NOT AROUND YOUR SIDE JOBS. THE AGE GROUP 90% OF THEM HAD TWO EXTRA JOBS OR ONE EXTRA JOB AND YOU WORK AROUND IT AND MOST PEOPLE WILL WORK AROUND IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE MAINTENANCE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY WAS BASICALLY TRYING TO FOLLOW FL-DOT BECAUSE DOT HAS ALWAYS BEEN ON FOUR TEN HOUR DAYS AND ARE STILL ON FOUR TENS AND THERE IS A REASON FOR THIS. THERE IS NO POINT TO BE SAID ANYMORE ON THIS ISSUE.

27-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 128

THE MOTION FOR PUBLIC WORKS TO WORK FIVE EIGHT HOUR DAYS CARRIED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK OPPOSING.

VICE-CHAIRMAN CARTER PASSED THE GAVEL BACK TO CHAIRMAN PATE.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAVING DISCUSSED LAST MONTH ABOUT DOING AWAY WITH THE WORKSHOPS UNLESS THEY HAD SOMETHING IMPORTANT TO COME UP. HE OFFERED A MOTION TO DO AWAY WITH THE WORKSHOPS UNLESS SOMETHING COMES UP THAT IS IMPORTANT AT WHICH TIME THE COUNTY MANAGER CAN NOTIFY THEM AND A WORKSHOP CAN BE SCHEDULED. COMMISSIONER CARTER SECONDED THE MOTION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD THE BOARD HE WAS VERY INSTRUMENTAL IN BRINGING THE WORKSHOPS BACK TO THE COUNTY AFTER HE WAS ELECTED. HIS PURPOSE IN THAT WAS HE WANTED TO GET IN FRONT OF THE BOARD MORE OFTEN THAN ONCE A MONTH. HE IS SATISFIED AT THIS POINT THOSE NEEDS HAVE BEEN MET AND HE IS ABLE TO COMMUNICATE OFTEN ENOUGH SO HE IS 100% IN FAVOR OF COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND'S MOTION. HE THINKS IT CAN SAVE THE COUNTY TAXPAYERS A LOT OF MONEY BY DOING THAT.

THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT HAD A QUESTION ABOUT A FENCE THAT NEEDS TO BE INSTALLED OR SHOULD BE INSTALLED OR NOT BE INSTALLED ON BONNETT POND ROAD IN REFERENCE TO BRADY WASHINGTON. HE ASKED IF ANYBODY COULD UPDATE HIM ON THE STATUS OF THIS FENCE.

MR. JOYNER INFORMED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT COMMISSIONER BROCK HAS REQUESTED ADDITIONAL FENCING BE PUT UP. MR. BARFIELD HAD GOTTEN WITH THE COUNTY ENGINEER AND THERE WAS NOT ANY FENCING THERE TO BEGIN WITH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE DIDN'T LISTEN TO SKUTTLEBUTT, RUMORS, DON'T PAY ANY ATTENTION TO ANY OF THAT; THIS FENCING HAS COME UP NUMEROUS TIMES AND HE FEELS IT BEARS QUESTIONING. HE IS TOLD THERE WAS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF FENCE UP THE COUNTY TORE DOWN AND IT HAS BEEN REPLACED AND SOMEBODY IS REQUESTING THEY PUT UP ADDITIONAL FENCING THE COUNTY DIDN'T TEAR DOWN AT THE TAXPAYERS EXPENSE. HE

WANTED CLARIFICATION ON THAT.

MR. BARFIELD ADDRESSED THE BOARD STATING ALL HE KNEW ABOUT THIS WAS THEY WERE APPROACHED ABOUT PUTTING THE FENCE UP AND IT WAS NOT PART OF THE SCOPE OF THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT BECAUSE THERE WASN'T FENCING THERE. THEY ONLY AGREED TO REPLACE WHAT FENCING WAS THERE. OUTSIDE OF THAT OR BEYOND THAT, MR. BARFIELD ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE HAD MORE INFORMATION HE COULD SHARE WITH THE BOARD ON THE FENCING AS HE HAS NOT BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE CONVERSATION WITH MR. WASHINGTON.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF IT WAS AN ISSUE THEY ARE NOT PUTTING UP ANY ADDITIONAL FENCE. HE QUESTIONED IF THEY WERE PUTTING UP ANY ADDITIONAL FENCING THAT IS NOT PART OF THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT.

MR. BARFIELD ADVISED AT THIS TIME "NO," UNLESS THE BOARD CHOOSES TO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED SHAFFER HILL ROAD BEING A PRIVATE DRIVE BELONGING TO JACK COATNEY AND HE IS TOLD THE COUNTY IS BEING ASKED TO MAINTAIN THAT ROAD AND IT IS NOT A COUNTY ROAD; IT IS A DRIVEWAY. THE POLICY AS IT IS NOW, THEY CAN MAINTAIN A DRIVEWAY FOR 50'. HE ASKED MR. BARFIELD IF THAT IS ALL THE COUNTY IS DOING.

MR. BARFIELD INFORMED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THAT IS ALL THAT IS BEING DONE AT THIS TIME UNLESS THEY ARE INSTRUCTED DIFFERENTLY BY THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF ANYONE KNEW ANYTHING ABOUT THIS SITUATION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE KNEW SOMETHING ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT IS IN HIS DISTRICT. IT IS ONE OF THOSE ROADS THAT HAS NEVER BEEN ON THE LIST AND ALWAYS BEEN MAINTAINED; THAT ROAD SHOULD HAVE BEEN NAMED SHAFFER DRIVE OR SHAFFER HILL OR SHAFFER ROAD OR WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT. THERE ARE TWO HOUSES DOWN THERE. DALLAS CARTER TOLD MR. BARFIELD YESTERDAY THAT HE HAD GRADED IT; ROBERT HARCUS HAS GRADED IT. THEY GRADED IT UP UNTIL MR. SAPP CAME IN OFFICE AND THEN THEY CUT IT OFF; THE OLD MAN DIED THAT LIVED IN THERE AND THERE WAS

NO REASON TO GRADE IT. DURING THAT TIME, WATER HAS RUN DOWN THE HILL DOWN IN THERE AND CUT RUTS OUT IN THE ROAD GOING DOWN IN THERE. THERE IS A REAL INCLINE GOING DOWN THE HILL IN THERE AT THE BOTTOM

OF IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF IT WAS A DRIVEWAY OR PERSONAL PROPERTY BELONGING TO JACK COATNEY. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID MR. COATNEY HAS BOUGHT THE PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED HOW LONG DO YOU HAVE TO GRADE A ROAD OR A DRIVEWAY FOR IT TO BE A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD BY THE STATUTE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED THERE IS A STATUTORY PRESCRIPTIVE RIGHT AFTER SEVEN YEARS OF CONTINUING TO MAINTAIN. THE COUNTY CAN PRESUME THE WAY THE STATUTE READS THE ROAD HAS BEEN QUOTE UNQUOTE DEDICATED TO THE PUBLIC AFTER A SEVEN YEAR PERIOD OF ROUTINE MAINTENANCE. THERE IS REALLY TWO GENERAL WAYS TO OBTAIN A ROAD OTHER THAN GENERAL EASEMENT OR SPECIFIC RIGHT. THERE IS A PRESCRIPTIVE WAY TO GET IT AND THAT IS AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TWENTY YEARS AND THERE IS A PRESUMED DEDICATED BY ROUTINE MAINTENANCE OVER A SEVEN YEAR PERIOD. AFTER SEVEN YEARS OF ROUTINE MAINTENANCE IT CAN BE PRESUMED DEDICATED. THE KEY IS YOU MUST CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN IT AS SUCH; ONCE YOU QUIT MAINTAINING IT THEY RUN A RISK OF AN ABANDONMENT OF THAT DEDICATION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE INHERITED THIS SITUATION; HE DIDN'T START IT. IT WAS ALREADY THERE WHEN HE WAS ELECTED A COUNTY COMMISSIONER. THE COUNTY JUST KEPT ON MAINTAINING IT AND THEY HAVE MAINTAINED IT THROUGH THE YEARS. THE MAN DIED THAT LIVED THERE AND IT BECAME ABANDONED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THIS WAS A PRETTY TYPICAL THING IN RURAL COUNTIES. THE THING ABOUT IT IS ONCE YOU START TO MAINTAIN A ROAD AND CLAIM IT AS A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD AND ARE GOING TO PRESUME DEDICATION AND POINT TO THE STATUTE FOR A SEVEN YEAR PERIOD AS SUCH, THEY WOULD NEED TO CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN THAT ROAD AS SUCH. THEY CAN'T BE SPORADIC IN THE MAINTENANCE OF A ROAD FOR IT TO QUALIFY UNDER THAT STATUTE. THEY ALSO NEED TO MAKE SURE THE ROAD THEY ARE MAINTAINING

30-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 131

OR CLAIMING OR DEDICATING TO THE PUBLIC, THEY GOT BY PRESCRIPTION OR THERE IS SOME SORT OF SPECIFIC EASEMENT OR DEDICATION TO THE COUNTY, THEY NEED TO MAKE SURE THOSE ARE ON THE ROAD LIST AND THE COUNTY IS MAINTAINING THEM AS SUCH SO THEY WILL HAVE SOMETHING THEY CAN POINT TO SO THE PUBLIC CAN UNDERSTAND THAT IS A PUBLIC MAINTAINED ROAD OR A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED IF AT THE PRESENT TIME SHAFFER HILL ROAD IS PRIVATE PROPERTY AND THE COUNTY IS NOT MAINTAINING IT.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THAT IS CORRECT AND UNLESS MR. JOYNER TELLS HIM OTHERWISE, WITHOUT BOARD ACTION THIS WILL NOT CHANGE.

MR. JOYNER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD BROUGHT THIS UP TO HIM ALSO AND THE ROAD WASN'T ON THE LIST; IF THE BOARD APPROVES TO GO IN AND MAINTAIN THE ROAD, THEY WOULD BE GLAD TO MAINTAIN IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THERE BEING SEVERAL ROADS THE COUNTY IS MAINTAINING AND GRADING TODAY AND HAVE BEEN GRADING THAT IS NOT ON THE LIST. HE REFERRED TO PIONEER ROAD, REGISTER ROAD, PREACHER ROAD, DUTY ROAD, PROSPECT ROAD THAT HAVE NOT HAD A GRADER ON THEM

IN SEVERAL YEARS; BUT, THEY ARE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROADS THE SAME AS SHAFFER HILL ROAD BECAUSE THEY GOT MILLED ASPHALT ON THEM. THERE IS MILLED ASPHALT ON SHAFFER HILL ROAD; BUT, THE WATER HAS CUT THE MILLED ASPHALT OFF. THEY SAY COUNTY MAINTAINED, THE ROADS ARE COUNTY MAINTENANCE; THEY ARE PRIVATE ROADS BUT THEY ARE COUNTY MAINTENANCE. THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE EASEMENTS ON NONE OF THESE ROADS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID WHEN COMMISSIONER BROCK SAYS THEY ARE PRIVATE ROADS WITH COUNTY EASEMENTS, LETS MAKE SURE WE UNDERSTAND WHAT WE ARE SAYING IS IN A SITUATION WHEN POINTING TO THE STATUTE FOR ROUTINE MAINTENANCE, THEY ARE SAYING THAT STRIP OF LAND, DITCH BANK TO DITCH BANK OR DIRT BANK TO DIRT BANK, HAS BEEN DEDICATED TO THE PUBLIC BY THE ROUTINE MAINTENANCE. IF THERE IS AN OBJECTION TO IT, THE LANDOWNER OR LANDOWNERS SHOULD OBJECT TO IT WITHIN THAT SEVEN YEAR PERIOD AND THAT KIND OF HOLDS THAT TIME FRAME. AFTER

31-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 132

SEVEN YEARS, THE STATUTE SOMEWHAT PRESUMES THE LANDOWNERS DOWN THAT ROAD AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC HAVE ACCEPTED IT AS SUCH BEING A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD. THAT IS THE GENERAL IDEA BEHIND THAT STATUTE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED HIM HAVING A SITUATION LIKE THAT BEHIND HIS PLACE WITH AN OLD ROAD ON HIS PROPERTY; BUT, IT IS A COUNTY ROAD GRADER BLADE TO GRADER BLADE AND IT HAS BEEN FOR MANY YEARS. THE INTERESTING PART IS COMMISSIONER BROCK SAYS SHAFFER HILL ROAD HAS BEEN MAINTAINED UP UNTIL JERRY SAPP CAME IN OFFICE AND IT HADN'T AND COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE INHERITED IT. THE BREAK IN THAT SEVEN YEARS STARTS IT ALL OVER AGAIN. HE ASKED IF THERE WAS OR WAS NOT A SIGN THAT SAID "PRIVATE ROAD, NO TRESPASSING."

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID "YES." COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHAT WAS THE ARGUMENT. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID IT IS THE LAND ON THE SIDE OF THE ROAD; HE SEES A LOT OF TRESPASSING SIGNS ON COUNTY ROADS THEY ARE GRADING. IT IS NOT DIRECTLY TO THE ROAD THOUGH; IT IS OFF THE PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THIS DOESN'T CONTINUE TO BE AN ISSUE ON THIS; HE JUST WANTED TO GET IT CLEARED UP HERE. IT IS EITHER A COUNTY ROAD OR ITS NOT; IF IT IS A PRIVATE, PRIVATE PROPERTY, IF IT IS A DRIVEWAY, THEY MAINTAIN 50'. HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE NOBODY ON THIS BOARD IS ASKING MR. BARFIELD TO VIOLATE THE COUNTY POLICY. IF MR. JOYNER INSTRUCTS MR. BARFIELD TO, HE IS PERFECTLY SATISFIED WITH THAT; BUT, HE DOESN'T WANT ANY MEMBER OF THIS BOARD INSTRUCTING MR. BARFIELD TO VIOLATE ANY POLICIES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THERE HADN'T BEEN NOBODY ASKING MR. BARFIELD TO VIOLATE COUNTY POLICY. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID SHAFFER HILL ROAD IS A PRIVATE DRIVE THAT BELONGS TO MR. JACK COATNEY AND HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE THEY ARE NOT MAINTAINING OVER 50' BECAUSE IT HAS GOT POST AND NO TRESPASSING SIGNS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE COUNTY HAVING BRUNER DAIRY ROAD BUILT UP WITH LIMEROCK. IT WAS IN GOOD SHAPE AND NOW ALL OF A SUDDEN THERE IS A THICK RED CLAY BASE ON IT. THE OTHER DAY WHEN IT RAINED IT WAS UNDRIVABLE. WHY WOULD THEY DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT. HE ASKED WHY WOULD THEY PUT RED CLAY ON THE ROAD AND AT WHOSE DIRECTION.

MR. BARFIELD SAID HE WASN'T AWARE OF THIS WHEN IT HAPPENED; HE IS ASSUMING THEY HAD SOME COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE ROCKS AND THEY WERE ATTEMPTING TO COVER THE ROCK UP.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE ROAD WAS SUPPOSE TO HAVE BEEN SCARFIRED AND IT WASN'T.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED WHO GIVE THE INSTRUCTION TO MR. BARFIELD TO PUT RED CLAY ON THE ROAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE MIGHT HAVE TOLD TOD; BUT, IF HE DIDN'T HE TOLD ROBERT. THEY WERE DOING MAINTENANCE AND THEY ARE COVERING UP A LOT OF ROCKS. THEY ARE SCARFIRING THEM AND BRUNER DAIRY ROAD WASN'T SCARFIRED IN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK REQUESTED TO ROBERT, ONE OF THE SUPERVISORS AND NOT MR. BARFIELD, THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR.

COMMISSIONER BROCK REITERATED HE DIDN'T KNOW IF IT WAS MR. BARFIELD OR ROBERT HE TOLD; IT HAS BEEN BACK.

MR. BARFIELD SAID HE WASN'T AWARE OF IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT COSTING THE TAXPAYERS A LOT OF MONEY, HE THINKS THEY HAVE DONE THAT IN THIS AREA. HE WANTED TO MAKE SURE THEY DON'T HAVE ANY OUTSIDE INTERFERENCE, INCLUDING HIMSELF, INCLUDING THIS BOARD, THAT THEY ARE NOT DIRECTING MR. BARFIELD'S STAFF AND THAT MR. BARFIELD IS DIRECTING HIS STAFF OR MR. JOYNER IS DIRECTING HIS STAFF. THIS BOARD HAS NO BUSINESS DIRECTING MR. BARFIELD'S STAFF TO DO ANYTHING. HE ASKED IF THAT IS THE WAY THE BOARD UNDERSTANDS THE POLICY.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT IS THE WAY HE UNDERSTANDS IT. COM-

MISSIONER BROCK SAID HE DIDN'T KNOW HOW THE POLICY READS; HE ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IF HE HAD ANYTHING ELSE FOR DISTRICT III.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AGREED WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK; THE DUST ON A LOT OF THESE ROADS IS CAUSING PROBLEMS FOR THE OLDER PEOPLE LIVING ON THE ROADS. THE COUNTY HAS BEEN IN THERE AND PUT SAND ON



TOP OF THEM; BUT, NOT RED CLAY.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED THE DRIVEWAY THAT WAS REFERRED TO AS HAVING A SIGN SAYING PRIVATE DRIVE; TO HIM, THAT IS DIFFERENT THAN SAYING NO TRESPASSING. HE THINKS WHOEVER OWNS THAT, IF THIS BOARD DECIDED TO START MAINTAINING IT, THOSE PEOPLE NEED TO UNDERSTAND, ONCE THAT GRADER HITS THERE, IT IS A PUBLIC ROAD AND NO LONGER PRIVATE. ANYBODY CAN GO BACK AND FORTH ON IT, UP AND DOWN IT OR DO WHATEVER THEY WANT TO ON IT FROM NOW ON. SO, IT MIGHT BE BETTER TO KEEP IT PRIVATE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK USED THE PETTIS ROAD ISSUE THAT COULD HAVE SIMPLY BEEN FIXED A YEAR OR TWO AGO IF THAT SUPERVISOR WOULD HAVE BEEN LET TO FIX THE ISSUE. ALL THE PERSON WANTED WAS MILLED ASPHALT ON THAT ROAD OVER THERE AND THE COUNTY DENIED IT. IN TURN, THE PERSON SAID COME GET YOUR WATER. HE WAS ASKED BY THE LAND OWNER OR MR. JACK COATNEY TO START MAINTAINING SHAFFER HILL ROAD, DRIVE, OR WHATEVER. HE GOT WITH MR. BARFIELD AND WAS TOLD TO GET WITH MR. JOYNER AND MR. JOYNER WENT AND LOOKED AT IT. THAT IS ALL HE HAS DONE. NOW, THE LAND OWNER, AND THIS IS IN A BAD AREA, IS TELLING HIM TO TELL THE COUNTY THEY CAN GET THE WATER AND THE COUNTY HAS A SEVERE PROBLEM IN THE SLOPE OF THAT HILL.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED THE WATER IS NOT THE COUNTY'S WATER.

MR. JOYNER ADVISED THE QUESTION FROM HE AND MR. BARFIELD IS THEY ARE PUT IN A SITUATION A LOTS OF TIME WHERE THERE IS A QUESTION IF IT IS POLICY OR NOT AND THEY ARE HIRED TO FOLLOW POLICY. IF THE BOARD DECIDES TO DO THAT, THEY WILL BE HAPPY TO; BUT, THERE AGAIN IF THEY GO OUT AND DO SOMETHING THAT IS IN VIOLATION OF THE POLICY, THEY ARE SCRUTINIZED PRETTY HEAVILY BY THE PUBLIC AND THE BOARD. THERE-

34-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 135

FORE, HE THINKS MR. BROCK FEELS OFFENDED BY THE WAY IT IS TAKEN AND DIRECTED TOWARD HIM; IT IS NOT. IT IS JUST MR. BARFIELD AND MR. JOYNER BEGGING FOR THE BOARD TO MAKE SOME OF THESE DECISIONS TO RELIEVE THEM OF THE RESPONSIBILITY OF MAYBE OR MAYBE NOT A VIOLATION OF THE POLICY. AS LONG AS THE BOARD APPROVES THIS AND IF THEY AGREE FOR THEM TO DO A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF MAINTENANCE ON THESE ROADS, THEN IT CLEARS UP A LOT OF QUESTIONS AND A LOT OF DOUBT WITH THE PUBLIC AND EVERYBODY ELSE. THEY HAVE LOOKED AT SEVERAL ROADS THAT PEOPLE CLAIM ARE COUNTY ROADS THAT ARE NOTHING MORE THAN PIG TRAIL ROADS THE COUNTY HAS DONE MAINTENANCE ON OR PUT MILLED ASPHALT ON AND NOW THE LAND OWNER IS WANTING THE COUNTY TO GO BACK AND REPAIR IT AGAIN WHERE THEY SHOULDN'T HAVE BEEN IN THERE TO BEGIN WITH. IT ADDS A LOT OF LIABILITY AND EXPENSE TO THIS COUNTY AND RIGHT NOW IT IS PUTTING A STRAIN ON THEM TO GO BACK IN SOME OF THESE AREAS. HE ASKED MR. BROCK TO NOT GET OFFENDED BY THINGS BEING DIRECTED AT HIM; HE THINKS THEY ALL NEED CLARIFICATION AND IF THE BOARD AGREES TO LEAVE IT UP TO THE COMMISSIONER TO DIRECT THEM, FINE. BUT, IF IT IS IN POLICY AND HE AND MR. BARFIELD IS TRYING TO FOLLOW THAT, THEY DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO BE QUESTIONED ON THAT. IT SHOULD BE A BOARD

DECISION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE APPRECIATED WHAT MR. JOYNER SAID; BUT, YOU SPEND THIRTY OR FORTY YEARS MAINTAINING A ROAD OR DRIVE AND THEN A COUNTY COMMISSIONER GETS ELECTED AND SAYS DON'T DO IT NO MORE. HE KNOWS FOR A FACT IT HASN'T BEEN OVER A YEAR AND A HALF AGO, A CERTAIN PERSON WENT THROUGH AND SAID TO QUIT GRADING CERTAIN ROADS. IT HAPPENED IN COMMISSIONER ABBOTT'S DISTRICT PRIOR TO HIM BEING ELECTED, IT HAPPENED IN HIS DISTRICT; BUT, THOSE THINGS HAPPEN. AFTER ALL THESE YEARS THOSE ROADS WERE GRADED, ONE PERSON COMES AROUND AND TELLS ROAD AND BRIDGE THEY DON'T WANT THIS ROAD GRADED NO MORE AND THAT IS WHAT IS HAPPENING OUT HERE AND HE IS TRYING TO DEFEND IT UP HERE WITH THE BOARD. THAT ROAD WAS GRADED FOR FORTY YEARS AND THE LAND OWNER KNOWS THIS; THIS PUTS HIM IN A PREDICAMENT

35-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 136

WITH HIS CONSTITUENTS DOWN THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TOLD COMMISSIONER BROCK HE NEEDS TO BE SHARING THIS INFORMATION WITH HIS CONSTITUENTS DOWN THERE. COMMISSIONER BROCK STATED THAT WAS WHAT HE WAS DOING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHY HE WAS BRINGING THIS UP AND NOT COMMISSIONER BROCK. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAD WENT THROUGH MR. BARFIELD AND MR. JOYNER AND IN FACT THIS IS THE FIRST TIME AT THE BOARD MEETING HE IS GLAD MR. ABBOTT BROUGHT IT UP.

MR. BARFIELD ADDRESSED THEY HAVE A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST AND HAVE A LIST OF COUNTY ROADS AND IF THEY ARE NOT ON THE MAINTAINED LIST, THEY ARE NOT SUPPOSE TO MAINTAIN THEM. BUT, AS COMMISSIONER BROCK POINTED OUT, THERE ARE SOME THEY HAVE MAINTAINED FOR YEARS THAT AREN'T ON THE LIST. THERE ARE SOME THAT HAVEN'T BEEN MAINTAINED THAT ARE ON THE LIST. HE WOULD LIKE SOME DIRECTION FROM THE BOARD TO GET WITH MR. JOYNER AND ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO DRAFT SOME LANGUAGE THAT QUALIFIES A ROAD AS BEING A ROAD THAT THE COUNTY MAINTAINS, A PUBLIC COUNTY ROAD AS BEST THEY CAN THAT WOULD COVER AND ADDRESS EVERY SITUATION. THEY WOULD GET FEEDBACK FROM THE BOARD AND IT MAY HAVE TO BE MODIFIED A LITTLE BIT; BUT, MODIFICATION TO IT WOULD COME FROM THE BOARD SO IT IS CONSISTENT ACROSS THE COUNTY. RIGHT NOW, IT IS A LITTLE OUT OF WHACK BECAUSE THERE ARE THINGS ON THE LIST THAT ARE NOT BEING MAINTAINED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT QUESTIONED HOW DO THEY FIX THAT. MR. BARFIELD SAID WHAT HE IS SUGGESTING IS THE BEST WAY TO FIX IT; COME UP WITH A FORMULA AND EITHER THE ROAD MEETS THE CRITERIA OR IT DOESN'T.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE LIKES MR. BARFIELD'S SUGGESTION AND WOULD LOVE TO SEE THAT; ALL HE ASK IS IF THEY ADD ANY ROADS TO THAT BY CHANGING THE POLICY OR TAKE ANY AWAY, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT LIST OF ROADS THAT HAVE BEEN ADDED TO THAT LIST OR REMOVED FROM THAT LIST.

MR. BARFIELD SAID BEFORE THEY GOT TO THAT POINT, THE BOARD WOULD

36-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 137

ADOPT THAT INTO THE POLICY; MAYBE SINCE MR. GOODMAN IS ALREADY WORKING ON POLICY, THEY COULD INCORPORATE IT INTO THAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED BACK WHEN THE BOARD ADOPTED 911, THEY DID THE ROAD NAMING, TWO NAME ROADS AND IT WAS A BIG CONTROVERSY BACK IN THE EARLY 90'S. THE GUIDELINES, AT THAT TIME, CALLED FOR A BLUE SIGN IF THERE WAS ONE HOUSE ON THE ROAD, DRIVEWAY, ETC. THEY HAD TO HAVE TWO RESIDENCES ON A ROAD OR DRIVE TO GET A GREEN SIGN; THAT CHANGED. THEY HAVE ONE DWELLING WITH GREEN SIGNS; BUT, THAT ROAD OR DRIVE HAS BEEN GRADED FIFTY OR SIXTY YEARS AND IS STILL GRADED. ALL OF THESE WERE SCHOOL BUS ROUTES; THE SCHOOL BUS TURNED AROUND AT THE END OF THEM. TODD JUST MENTIONED ELIZABETH ROAD WITH COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATING HE DIDN'T SAY ELIZABETH ROAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE WAS GOING TO SAY THERE WAS A NEPHEW THAT MOVED ON ELIZABETH ROAD SEVEN TO EIGHT MONTHS AGO AND NEEDED CLARIFICATION OF IT BEING A COUNTY ROAD FOR FINANCING. IT WAS GIVEN THE NAME; BUT, IT IS NOT A COUNTY ROAD AND NOT ON THE LIST. IT HAD BEEN GRADED PROBABLY THREE DAYS BEFORE THAT. THAT RESIDENT HAD TO GO THROUGH A NIGHTMARE OUT THERE TO TRY TO GET PROOF THAT WAS A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD. THERE WAS A ROAD IN DISTRICT V; SOMEBODY WAS BUYING PROPERTY AND COULDN'T GET FINANCED UNTIL THEY HAD PROOF IT WAS A COUNTY ROAD AND IT WASN'T ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST. THESE ISSUES RUNS AROUND OUT HERE TO GIVE THE PUBLIC HEADACHES. THEY PUT CECELIA IN CHARGE OF THE ROAD LIST; THAT DIDN'T PAN OUT. HE THOUGHT THEY GOT CLIFF INVOLVED AND HIS STAFF WENT AND LOOKED AT ROADS AND IF THERE WAS ONE HOUSE ON THEM, THE CRITERIA WAS THIS ISN'T A COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD. WELL, THE COUNTY GRADED IT AND IT IS ROCKED ALL THE WAY; WHAT MORE DO YOU WANT FOR A COUNTY ROAD. BUT, YET IT DON'T MEET THE CRITERIA.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IT HAS TO MEET THE CRITERIA IN ORDER FOR IT TO BE ON THE COUNTY MAINTAINED ROAD LIST. THAT IS SOMETHING FOR THIS BOARD TO DECIDE; NOT JUST HIM OR COMMISSIONER BROCK TO DECIDE BUT THE WHOLE BOARD. HE WOULD LOVE TO SEE THE CRITERIA OF WHAT IT

37-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 138

TAKES TO MAKE A ROAD A COUNTY ROAD AND HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE ALL THOSE ROADS ON A COUNTY LIST; THEM THAT DON'T MEET THE CRITERIA CAN EITHER GET ON IT WITH THE CRITERIA OR GET OFF THE LIST. THEY HAVE TO HAVE A STANDARD OUT THERE.

MR. BARFIELD SAID HE KNOWS FROM THE LIST THEY HAVE NOW, SOME WOULD BE ADDED AND SOME WOULD BE REMOVED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THAT LIST IS NOT DEFACTO; BUT, IT IS GOOD GUIDANCE FOR THE PUBLIC. IF THERE ARE HISTORICALLY MAINTAINED COUNTY ROADS AND THE BOARD'S ARGUMENT THEY ARE DEDICATED AND THE COUNTY'S POLICY SAYS, YOU KNOW FOR WHATEVER REASON WE ARE GOING TO CHANGE DIRECTION ON WHAT WE MAINTAIN, THERE ARE CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS STATUTORILY FOR ABANDONMENT OF A ROAD. THERE MAY BE SOME ROADS IF THEY DON'T WANT TO CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN THEM, THEY NEED TO ABANDON THEM. BUT, AS LONG AS THEY CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN THEM, THERE ARE CERTAIN LIABILITIES THAT ATTACHES FOR IT TO BE MAINTAINED. THE BOARD NEEDS TO BE COGNIZANT OF THAT. HE LIKES THE IDEA OF UPDATING THE ROAD LIST, GETTING A CLEAR POLICY ON WHAT IT IS THEY ARE AND ARE NOT GOING TO MAINTAIN AND THE ONES THEY ARE MAINTAINING ON A REGULAR CONTINUOUS BASIS THAT ARE ARGUABLY DEDICATED TO THE PUBLIC PURSUANT TO THE FLORIDA STATUTES, IF THERE ARE THOSE ROADS THAT EXIST, THEY NEED TO GO THROUGH THE PROPER PROCEDURES AND PUBLIC HEARINGS ON ABANDONING THOSE ROADS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THERE BEING AN EMPLOYEE THAT WAS MOVED OFF A SIDECUTTER AND PUT ON A MOTORGRADER; BY DOING SO, THEY INCREASED HIS HOURLY WAGES BY APPROXIMATELY \$2.00 AN HOUR. AS HE IS TOLD, THE EMPLOYEE IS INCAPABLE OF RUNNING THE NEW JOB HE WAS PUT ON TO WITH THE ROAD GRADER AND HE WAS PUT BACK ON THE SIDECUTTER AND HIS PAY HASN'T BEEN REDUCED.

HEATHER FINCH, HUMAN RESOURCE, AND MR. BARFIELD REPORTED THERE WERE CONCERNS ABOUT THE EMPLOYEES PERFORMANCE; HE HAS DONE THE BRUSH CUTTER FOR A WEEK OR TWO BUT HE HAS BEEN PUT BACK ON THE GRADER AND IS GOING TO BE GIVEN AN OPPORTUNITY WITH THE GUIDANCE TO GRASP THAT.

38-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 139

IF THE EMPLOYEE CAN DO SO, THAT IS GOOD AND THEY WILL MOVE FORWARD. IF THE EMPLOYEE IS UNABLE TO OPERATE THE MOTOR GRADEER, THEY WILL BE GIVEN AN OPTION THE BEST WITH HIM GOING BACK TO THE BRUSH CUTTER AT HIS FORMER RATE OF PAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED WHO MADE THOSE CHANGES. MR. BARFIELD SAID COMMISSIONER BROCK WAS INVOLVED WITH THAT; BUT, THEY HAVE CORRECTED THAT. THEY HAVE TALKED AND CORRECTED THAT AND ARE HEADING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE SUPERVISOR OF ELECTIONS HAVING COME TO THE COUNTY MANAGER AT SOME POINT IN TIME AND THEY WERE LOOKING AT PURCHASING SOME PROPERTY FOR A VOTING PRECINCT IN THE NEW HOPE AREA. HE ASKED IF THAT HAD BEEN DONE. THE BOARD OR SOME LIASON FROM THE BOARD WAS ACTUALLY IN THE PROCESS OR HAD GIVEN THEM A LIST. HE DON'T WANT TO COME UP HERE TO THIS NEXT ELECTION CYCLE AND NOT BE PREPARED FOR THE VOTERS.

MR. JOYNER EXPLAINED HE AND COMMISSIONER BROCK LOOKED AT A PIECE OF PROPERTY AND COMMISSIONER BROCK HAS LOOKED AT A COUPLE OF OTHER PIECES OF PROPERTY IN THE NEW HOPE AREA AND WAS GOING TO GET WITH

MS. GRIFFIN ON IT. MS. GRIFFIN AND MR. BROCK HAS WORKED OUT AN AGREEMENT WITH MR. GILLEY TO HOLD THE NEXT ELECTION AT HIS PROPERTY. THEY HAVE PLENTY OF TIME.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID IF THEY HAVE APPROVAL FOR THE NEXT ELECTION CYCLE, HE IS HAPPY WITH THAT; BUT, HE UNDERSTOOD THEY WERE GOING TO BE WITHOUT A VOTING PRECINCT IN THAT AREA. HE WASN'T UPDATED THEY HAD NEGOTIATED WITH MR. GILLEY.

MR. JOYNER ADDRESSED THERE BEING A QUESTION AT ONE TIME WHETHER MR. GILLEY WAS GOING TO LET THE COUNTY CONTINUE TO USE HIS PROPERTY OR NOT; BUT, MR. BROCK WORKED IT OUT WITH HIM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE STATE HAS JUST DONE THE BUYOUTS DOWN THERE AND HE CHECKED THIS WEEK TO SEE HOW MUCH PROPERTY WAS LEFT TO EACH LAND OWNER AND THERE IS A COUPLE OF PLACES HE WANTS TO LOOK AT.

39-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 140

MR. JOYNER INFORMED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THERE WERE SEVERAL OPTIONS ON THE TABLE. MS. GRIFFIN HAS WORKED HARD AT IT AND THEY HAVE AN AGREEMENT WITH MR. GILLEY THAT IS SUITABLE FOR THEM.

MR. HAGAN UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE NEED FOR A WATER SOURCE IN THE NEW HOPE AREA REALLY BAD. HE WOULD LIKE TO LOOK WITH MR. JOYNER, COMMISSIONER BROCK AND MS. GRIFFIN FOR A SITE AS THEY HAVE SOME FUNDS TO PUT SOME WATER TANKS FOR THEIR FIRE DEPARTMENTS. IF THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE SOMETHING IN THAT AREA THE COUNTY IS GOING TO ACQUIRE, THEY ARE NOT HAVING ANY LUCK GETTING PROPERTY FROM PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS AND IF THE COUNTY CAN GET AS MUCH AS TWO ACRES OR AN ACRE AND A HALF WHERE THEY COULD HAVE A WATER SOURCE FOR FIRE PROTECTION, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

MR. JOYNER SAID THEY DO HAVE A WATER SOURCE AT CAMPBELL PARK; BUT IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE SOMETHING ON THE MAIN ROAD. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THOUGHT IT WOULD BE A GOOD IDEA FOR MR. HAGAN TO LOOK AT POSSIBLE SITES FOR THE VOTING PRECINCT IN NEW HOPE WITH MS. GRIFFIN, COMMISSIONER BROCK AND MR. JOYNER IN CONJUNCTION WITH LOOKING FOR A SITE FOR A WATER SOURCE FOR FIRE PROTECTION.

COMMISSIONER CARTER TOLD MR. BARFIELD HE DIDN'T GET HIM THE SCHEDULE ON THE RECLAMATION ON THE PITS AND ALSO HE PROBABLY NEEDS TO GIVE AN ESTIMATE OF HOW MUCH DIRT HE THINKS IT IS GOING TO TAKE TO DO THE RECLAMATION.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MR. BARFIELD IF THEY HAD PUSHED AND TRIED TO SEE IF ANY OF THAT MATERIAL HAS DETERIORATED TO THE POINT THEY CAN MOVE IT OUT OF THE WAY AT BEVERITT PIT.

MR. BARFIELD SAID THEY ACTUALLY HAVE MOVED SOME OF THAT AROUND WHEN THEY WERE OUT THERE; THERE IS MATERIAL UNDER SOME OF THAT. THEY WILL HAVE TO PUSH SOME OF THE STUFF OFF TO THE SIDE TO ACCESS SOME OF THE MATERIAL. HE REFERRED TO THE BOARD KNOWING HOW PITS ARE. THERE ARE CELLS AND POCKETS OF GOOD MATERIAL HERE AND THERE; IT IS KIND OF SCATTERED.

40-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 141

MR. JOYNER ASKED WHEN THE FIVE DAY WORK WEEK FOR PUBLIC WORKS WAS GOING TO BE EFFECTIVE. THE BOARD'S CONSENSUS WAS FOR MR. JOYNER AND MR. BARFIELD TO WORK THE EFFECTIVE DATE OUT.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND ADDRESSED THE BOARD HAS BEEN SCATTERING THE BOARD MEETINGS AROUND ON DIFFERENT THURSDAYS OF THE MONTH. HE ASKED IF THEY COULD SAY THE THIRD THURSDAY OF EVERY MONTH THE BOARD MEETING WILL BE HELD.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THEY DISCUSSED THIS AT THE WORKSHOP; A LOT OF THE MEETINGS GOT SHIFTED AROUND BECAUSE HE WAS MEETING WITH THE LEGISLATURE. HE IS GOING TO GIVE MR. JOYNER A LIST OF THOSE MEETING DATES.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ALSO WOULD LIKE THE MEETINGS TO BE HELD ON THE SAME THURSDAY OF EACH MONTH. MR. JOYNER SAID ATTORNEY GOODMAN NEEDS A DEFINITE MEETING DATE ALSO TO HELP WITH SCHEDULING HIS WORK.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REQUESTED MR. JOYNER GET MR. PATE'S LIST OF HIS MEETING DATES AND COME BACK WITH A RECOMMENDATION ON A DAY TO HOLD THE REGULAR BOARD MEETINGS EACH MONTH.

DEPUTY CLERK GLASGOW ADDRESSED THE INMATE MEDICAL BILLS THAT HAVE BEEN FLOATING AROUND FOR MONTHS. THEY HAVE HEARD FROM HUNTS INSURANCE GROUP AND HE GUESSES MR. JOYNER WILL BE TRYING TO GET SOME NEGOTIATIONS ON THE BILLS WITH THE HOSPITAL AND THE OTHER PERSONS AND AGENCIES THEY OWE MONEY TO. THEY NEED TO GO AHEAD IF SOMETHING CAN BE WORKED OUT AND START PAYING, NEGOTIATING OR SOMETHING. THESE PEOPLE ARE GETTING TIRED AS THESE INVOICES HAVE BEEN FLOATING AROUND FOR AWHILE.

MR. JOYNER REPORTED HE WAS GOING TO EMPLOY MR. GOODMAN'S HELP WITH THE INMATE MEDICAL BILLS AS FAR AS WRITING THE HOSPITALS A LETTER. THEY WILL PROBABLY LET MR. GOODMAN MAKE A CALL TO THE HOSPITAL TO SEE IF THEY WILL DO AN AVENUE OF NEGOTIATIONS.

MR. JOYNER UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE ACTUAL INSURANCE POLICY ON

41-BCC  
10-27-2011

BOOK 89 PAGE 142

INMATE MEDICAL COSTS APPROXIMATELY \$22,000 A YEAR; IN THIS INCIDENT, THE COUNTY HAD TO PAY THE \$35,000 DEDUCTIBLE AND THE INSURANCE COMPANY ONLY PAID \$25,000 OF THE HOSPITAL BILL. AS ADMINISTRATOR, HE IS GOING TO START LOOKING FOR A DIFFERENT AVENUE FOR INSURANCE ON THAT TYPE OF MEDICAL EXPENSES. AT THIS POINT, HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THEY NEED

THE INSURANCE OR NOT FOR THAT EXPENDITURE ON THAT RETURN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER  
ABBOTT AND CARRIED TO ADJOURN.

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
DEPUTY CLERK

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHAIRMAN