

OCTOBER 17, 2011

WORKSHOP

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, CARTER AND PATE PRESENT. COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND WASN'T PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, CLERK COOK AND INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

I. PRAYER WAS OFFERED BY DAVID CORBIN.

COMMISSIONER PATE REMINDED EVERYONE THAT THIS MEETING IS A COUNTY COMMISSIONERS WORKSHOP AND PEOPLE WILL MAKE PRESENTATIONS AND IF YOU HAVE SOMETHING THAT YOU WANT TO PRESENT IT NEEDS TO BE GIVEN TO CLERK COOK.

II. ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES

A. AUGUST 15, 2011 – CHAIRMAN PATE ASKED IF ANYBODY WOULD LIKE ANY CHANGES TO BE MADE AND COMMISSIONER ABBOTT STATED THAT NOT AT THIS TIME HE DOESN'T.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID THAT THE MINUTES WOULD STAND UNTIL THE MEETING.

COMMISSIONER PATE CLOSED THE MEETING AND OPENED UP THE PUBLIC HEARING.

III. PUBLIC HEARING

GOODWILL INDUSTRIES, INC. - ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED COMMISSIONER PATE IF THE PUBLIC HEARING WAS GOING TO BE DONE TODAY. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT THE PUBLIC HEARING PROBABLY SHOULD WAIT BECAUSE THERE WON'T BE MUCH DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED THAT THE PUBLIC HEARING SHOULD WAIT UNTIL THE REGULAR MEETING.

IV. CONSENT AGENDA – COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING THAT ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO PULL OR DISCUSS. MOST OF IT IS DEALING WITH APPOINTMENTS OF DIFFERENT BOARDS. COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF THERE WERE ANY QUESTIONS AND IF NOT THE MEETING WOULD CONTINUE ON.

V. AGENDA ITEMS

A. DIVISION OF FORESTRY - COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED IF ANYONE WAS THERE TO REPRESENT THE FORESTRY DEPARTMENT. NO ONE WAS PRESENT FROM THE FORESTRY DEPARTMENT.

B. ROGER HAGAN – 2010-2011 ANNUAL FIRE CONTROL REPORT – MR. HAGAN READS THE OPENING REMARKS; ATTACHED ARE COPIES OF A PROPOSED CHANGE FOR THE CURRENT

FUNDING RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD FIRE SERVICES AND BYLAWS FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY FIRE FIGHTERS ASSOCIATION. THE FUNDING RESOLUTION DEALS WITH HOW THE BOARD FUNDS THE FIRE OPERATIONS BUDGET AND HOW THAT BUDGET IS ALLOCATED AND ADMINISTERED. THE BYLAWS IS THE WAY THE WCFA WORKS INTERNALLY AS A TEAM IN ORDER TO CONTINUE THE COUNTY TOWARDS ITS GOAL AS A UNIFIED FIRE DEPARTMENT. NEITHER OF THESE SHOULD BE CONFUSED WITH THE FUNDING AGREEMENT THE INDIVIDUAL DEPARTMENT RECEIVES. THE DOCUMENTS TO ADDRESS HERE PERTAIN TO THE ORGANIZATION FUNCTION. THE FUNDING AGREEMENT PERTAINS TO THE OPERATIONS DELIVERY FUNDING. THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE A COPY OF THE FUNDING AGREEMENT TODAY. IT DOESN'T CHANGE UNLESS THE BOARD MAKES CHANGES AND THIS YEAR YOU DIDN'T MAKE CHANGES IN ANYTHING EXCEPT THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT IS ALLOCATED BECAUSE OF THE BUDGET SHORTFALLS.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE PACKAGE THAT THE BOARD HAS ARE TWO SETS OF DOCUMENTS; THE CURRENT FUNDING RESOLUTION FOLLOWED BY THE PROPOSED FUNDING RESOLUTION. YOU THEN HAVE THE CURRENT BYLAWS FOLLOWED BY THE PROPOSED CHANGE IN BYLAWS. IF YOU WILL FOLLOW AND STOP ME WHERE YOU HAVE A CHANCE WE WILL GO TO THE PROPOSAL TO MAKE THIS A MUCH QUICKER PRESENTATION THIS MORNING.

IF YOU WILL GO TO THE DOCUMENT RESOLUTION WITH ALL THE WORDS IN RED I WILL DISCUSS THE CHANGES WITH YOU. EVERYTHING SHOULD BE MARKED IN RED THAT WE WILL DISCUSS. BEGINNING WITH THE RESOLUTION WHERE IT SAYS; WHERE AS THE PREVIOUS LANGUAGE SAYS THAT THROUGH CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS. THAT CHANGED A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO OR LAST YEAR BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENTS ANYMORE. WE HAVE FUNDING AGREEMENT AND THE RURAL DEPARTMENTS ARE ADMINISTERED BY PURCHASE ORDER. THIS IS AN EDITORIAL CHANGE THAT CHANGES THE LANGUAGE FROM CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT AND LEAVES FUNDING AGREEMENT AS A STAND ALONE INSTRUMENT.

PAGE 2 ITEM#4 WE ADDRESS 2 THINGS; FIRST THE WCFA TRIES TO PROTECT ITSELF FROM SHRINKING BUDGET. WE ARE UPFRONT AND HONEST WITH YOU ABOUT THIS BECAUSE WHAT IT SAYS IS THAT THE FUTURE FIRE BUDGET WILL BE FUNDED AT A LEVEL NOT LESS THAN ONE HALF A MILSWHICH IS WHAT YOU ARE CURRENTLY FUNDING OR THE PREVIOUS YEARS OF BUDGET WHICHEVER IS GREATER. WHAT WE ARE SAYING IS THAT THE MINUTE IT STARTS BACK UP, WHICH WE HOPE WILL IN A YEAR OR 2 THEN THE ½ MIL AND THE BUDGET ALLOCATIONS WILL INCREASE. IF IT DOESN'T WE WOULD LIKE TO BE AT THE PREVIOUS YEARS LEVEL AND THAT ENSURES THAT THIS IS THE LOWEST BUDGET THAT WE

WILL EVER HAVE. UNLESS THERE IS SOME OTHER THINGS TO PUT IN PLACE IF YOU CONTINUE TO REDUCE THE BUDGET OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS YOU ARE GOING TO ACTUALLY....YOU HAVE A DEPARTMENT THIS YEAR THAT IF THEY MAKE IT THROUGH THE FIRST HALF OF THE FISCAL YEAR IT WOULD BE VERY SURPRISING. THIS IS TRYING TO ENSURE THAT THEY WON'T BE DECREASED BELOW THIS YEARS BUDGET.

SECONDLY THE PROVISION IS ADDED FOR ANY OTHER SOURCE OF REVENUE. IT DOES ADDRESS ADVALOREM AND I KNOW MR. CARTER HAS ASKED ABOUT THIS SINCE HE HAS BEEN BACK ON THE BOARD. YOU ARE AWARE THAT THERE IS AN MSBU. I MADE A RECOMMENDATION IN THE MONTH BEFORE THAT THE BOARD ASK ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO MAKE SURE THAT'S STILL BASED ON SOLID GROUND. THERE IS AN MSBU THAT HAS BEEN IN PLACE FOR OVER 20 YEARS THAT WILL ALLOW YOU TO FUND FIRE DEPARTMENTS WITH SOMETHING OTHER THAN AD VALOREM. THIS SAYS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO AT A MINIMUM, IF YOU WERE TO CHOOSE SOME FUNDING SOURCE OTHER THAN AD VALOREM TAX THAT YOU STILL FUND IT AT A LEVEL THAT IS EQUAL TO WHATEVER ½ A MIL IS.

THE NEXT CHANGES ARE JUST RENUMBERING BECAUSE THE CHANGES THAT TAKE PLACE WHERE YOU SEE THE RED NUMBERS ARE PAGES 2,3 AND 4.

ITEM#5 ALSO ADDRESSES 2 CHANGES; THE FIRST WE MOVE THE MONEY FROM THE CONTINGENCY LINE TO OTHER CURRENT CHARGES LINE; CONTINGENCY LINE SORT OF SOUNDS LIKE UNOBLIGATED MONEY. WE PUT IT UNDER OTHER CURRENT CHARGES BECAUSE THIS IS THE LINE WE USE FOR THOSE DEPARTMENTS THAT HAVE EMERGENCIES. IF THEY LOSE AN ENGINE, PUMP, ETC. OTHER CURRENT CHARGES WILL BE THE PLACE THEY CAN COME UNDER THEIR FUNDING AGREEMENT THAT ALLOWS THEM TO GET MONEY. THIS LINE WAS REPLACED SEVERAL YEARS AGO AND WAS ACTUALLY IN A SEPARATE FUND CALLED PUBLIC SAFETY FUND. THE SECOND THING THAT IT DOES IS IDENTIFIES THE FIRST \$25,000 THAT IS ALREADY IN THERE. WE NOW, BECAUSE OF ACTION THAT THE BOARD HAS TAKEN OVER THE LAST 2/2 ½ YEARS SEPARATE AND PUT A TRAINING EDUCATION LINE IDENTIFIED AS SUCH FOR FUNDING. WE DO THAT BECAUSE THE BOARD ASKED US TO MAKE SURE THAT WE CONTINUE AND THAT WE COME IN COMPLIANCE WITH STATE REGULATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE CODES FOR WHAT IT TAKES TO BE A FIRE FIGHTER. THAT SHOWS \$25,000 GOES TO OTHER CURRENT CHARGES. THE AMOUNTS GO ON THE LINES BEFORE THE ALLOCATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENTS. THE REASON THIS IS DONE IS BECAUSE THE \$25,000 USED TO BE CARRIED FORWARD IF IT WASN'T SPENT. THIS IS TO FIT THE ACTION THAT THE BOARD MADE A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO WHEN THE BOARD WAS ASKED TO ALLOW US TO REDISTRIBUTE THAT MONEY AND NOW WE WANT TO CARRY THAT MONEY FORWARD SO THAT IT CAN BE ROLLED BACK INTO CASH CARRIED FORWARD AND REDISTRIBUTED AS

REVENUE COMES DOWN. THIS HELPS US TO GENERATE REVENUE FOR THE FOLLOWING YEARS FUNDING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE PURPOSE WAS SO THAT MONEY WOULD BE DIVIDED EVENLY. WHAT IF SOMEONE HAS A MAJOR CATASTROPHY AND THERE AREN'T ANY FUNDS IN THERE. MR. HAGAN RESPONDED THAT IS WHAT IT'S FOR. IF HE WAS A FIRE DEPARTMENT AND HE GETS \$20,000 AND HE HAS USED UP \$16,000 AND HAS AN \$11,000 ENGINE HE CAN COME TO THIS FUND. A COMMITTEE HAS TO APPROVE MONEY THAT IS SPENT OUT OF THIS FUND.

COMMISSIONER ABBOT ASKED MR. HAGAN WHY YOU WOULDN'T WANT TO SEE THIS BUILD UP OVER THE YEARS. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT WHEN THIS WAS STARTED YOU WERE GIVING THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS \$5,000, \$8,000 OR \$10,000 AND THEY COULDN'T OPERATE AND MR. CORBIN IN ABOUT 1998/1999 HELPED THE BOARD AT THAT TIME SET THAT UP AND ADDED AN ADDITIONAL \$12,000. LATER WHEN YOU BEGAN TO FUND US WITH ½ A MIL WE INCREASED THAT BECAUSE \$12,000 WASN'T KEEPING UP WITH EQUIPMENT THAT WAS 30 YEARS OLD AND THAT IS WHEN THE CARRIED FORWARD STARTED. SINCE THE BUDGETS HAVE INCREASED THEY ARE NOW BETTER ABLE TO MAINTAIN AND NOBODY HAS COME TO THIS FUND IN PROBABLY OVER A YEAR.

ITEM#6 – BOARD MUNICIPALITIES ARE NOW USING 2 SEPARATE WAYS TO ADMINISTER THE FUNDING. WE USE PURCHASE ORDERS FOR RURAL DEPARTMENTS AND WE CONTINUE TO USE THE MUNICIPAL AGREEMENTS WITH THE CITIES.

ITEM#7 – OUR EARLIER AGREEMENT WE GO BACK TO SOME SEVERAL YEARS AGO USED AS THE TITLE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DIRECTOR. SINCE THEN MY TITLE HAS CHANGED AND WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO DO IS MAKE SURE THAT EVERYTIME THE TITLE CHANGES WE DON'T HAVE TO DO THAT AGAIN SO WE JUST SAY PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR OR HIS SUCCESSOR BY TITLE WHATEVER THAT TITLE MAY BE NEXT YEAR WE DON'T HAVE TO COME BACK AND CHANGE THAT.

ITEM#8 AND #9 – IT'S THE SAME THING AS ABOVE AS FAR AS CHANGING TITLES. ABBREVIATED EMS FOR EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES IS DONE OF CONVENIENCE.

ITEM#10 – THIS MAKES SURE THE COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ADMINISTERING THE BUDGET IS PART OF THE DECISION MAKING. YOU SEE ON THERE WHERE WE SAY THAT THE COMMITTEE THAT MEETS TOGETHER TO ADMINISTER THIS MONEY MEETS ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS BUT ONE OF THEM HAS TO BE THE PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR. YOU CAN'T HAVE 3 FIREMEN OR YOU CAN'T HAVE 3 MEMBERS OF THE GROUP AND GET THE OTHER TO AGREE TO SPEND THE COUNTY'S MONEY. IT HAS TO BE AN EMPLOYEE; YOUR REPRESENTATIVE HAS TO BE A PART OF THAT COMMITTEE.

- C. THE BALANCE HAS CHANGED; AT ONE TIME IT WAS \$25,000 THAT LAST LINE ENHANCED PROJECTS MAY NOT REDUCE OTHER CURRENT CHARGES LINE BALANCE BELOW \$10,000. WE ARE SAYING THAT BECAUSE IT WAS PREVIOUSLY \$25,000 AND BECAUSE WE ARE ONLY GOING TO HAVE \$25,000 IN TOTAL WE HAVE REDUCED THE MINIMUM AMOUNT WE WOULD HAVE IN ANY ONE PROJECT.
- D. THIS ALLOWS US TO USE THE MONEY FOR MATCHING FUNDS. FOR EXAMPLE, IF ONE OF THE DEPARTMENTS GETS A GRANT AND THEY NEED \$3,000/\$5,000 AS MATCHING FUNDS FOR THE GRANT THIS MONEY CAN BE USED FOR THAT PROVIDED THAT IT HAS BEEN ENDORSED BY THE UNANIMOUS VOTE OF THE FIRE CHIEF AND IT HAS TO BENEFIT 3 OR MORE OF THE PARTIES. IN OTHER WORDS, IF WAUSAU FOR INSTANCE ASKED FOR \$5,000 TO BUY A WIDGET THAT ONLY WAUSAU IS GOING TO USE. FOR APPROVAL IT WOULD HAVE TO BE WAUSAU ALONG WITH TWO MORE OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS THAT IT WOULD ENHANCE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED MR. HAGAN IF THERE WAS ANY NEED TO HAVE ON ITEM (D) TO HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE BOARD BE INCLUDED ON THAT UNANAMOUS VOTE DECISION. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT WAS DISCUSSED AND THE REASON THEY DIDN'T DO THAT WAS TO OMIT CONFLICT. THEY DIDN'T WANT A LIASON TO SIT UP HERE AND SAY IT'S OKAY AND THEN HAVE TO SIT UP HERE AND SAY IT'S NOT OKAY. WE FELT LIKE THIS WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE CHIEFS, MR. TRUETTE AND MYSELF AS THE COMMITTEE TO SIT TOGETHER AND SAY THIS IS WHAT WE RECOMMEND. IF IT HAS TO COME TO MR. JOYNER AND THEN TO THE BOARD IT KEEPS THE BOARD AS REMOVED FROM THE DAY TO DAY OPERATIONS AS POSSIBLE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MR. HAGAN SAYING THAT HE BELIEVED WHAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN WAS QUESTIONING JUST BECAUSE THE FIRE CHIEFS APPROVE IT;IT STILL HAS TO GO THROUGH GO THE SAFETY COMMITTEE AND RUN THROUGH ALL THE PROCESS. IT CAN'T GO TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE UNLESS ALL THE CHEIFS ARE UNANOMOUS IN THE APPROVAL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT IT CAN'T GET TO (B) UNLESS (A) HAS BEEN FULFILLED AND (A) HAS TO BE FULFILLED BY ALL THE CHIEFS. MR. HAGAN AGREED.

- E. IF THERE IS A LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY THAT IS DECLARED THEN THIS LINE CAN BE USED FOR OPERATING SUPPLIES AT THAT TIME. IF WE HAVE A FLOOD, HURRICANE OR TRAGIC EVENT THAT HAS BEEN DECLARED BY THE BOARD AS A LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY RATHER THAN THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS HAVING TO USE THEIR OPERATIONS BUDGET THEY CAN GO TO THIS FUND FOR THE DURATION OF THE EMERGENCY. IT ALSO HAS SOME MONITORS ON IT AS WELL. IT STILL HAS TO GO THROUGH THE COMMITTEE FOR APPROVAL OF USE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED MR. HAGAN HOW THAT HAD BEEN HANDLED IN THE PAST; THE LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY SITUATIONS. MR. HAGAN SAID THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IN THE PAST USED THEIR OWN OPERATIONS MONIES AND WE HAVE NEVER HAD IT SO THEY RAN OUT BUT OUR BUDGETS HAVEN'T BEEN REDUCED BY \$70,000 BEFORE. A LOCAL STATE OF EMERGENCY HAS TO BE DECLARED BY THIS BOARD SO IT'S NOT JUST AN EASY WAY FOR THEM TO GET TO THE GAS TANK. THERE IS A CHECK AND BALANCE SYSTEM USED BEFORE ANY OF THIS MONEY CAN BE USED.

ITEM#12 – IN ORDER FOR THIS FUND TO BE CONSIDERED, A DEPARTMENT THAT ASK FOR HELP HAS TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN QUOTE FROM A QUALIFIED VENDOR FOR THE SERVICE OR GOODS DESIRED. THEY HAVE TO SHOW THAT THE CURRENT BUDGET IS COMPLETED AND PROVIDE A DETAILED REQUEST IF NECESSARY THE MAINTENACE RECORDS ON A VEHICLE FOR EXAMPLE, IF AN ENGINE HAS BEEN BLOWN WE NEED TO SEE THAT THE ENGINE WAS TAKEN CARE OF.

THAT IS THE RESOLUTION FOR FUNDING.

COMMISSIONER PATE READ #4 THAT THE FIRE OPERATIONS FUND SHALL BE FUNDED IN AN AMOUNT NOT LESS THAT $\frac{1}{2}$ A MIL. MR. HAGAN SAID YES SIR. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT IS A STATUTORY TAX JUST RIGHT FOR THAT. ARE YOU SAYING WE NEED TO SUPPLEMENT IF IT COMES BEFORE BELOW A MIL. IF IT DOES WE ARE GOING TO BE DOING IT TO EVERYBODY OUT THERE. MR. HAGAN SAID WHAT YOU CURRENTLY HAVE IN THERE IN YOUR CURRENT FUNDING RESOLUTION THAT YOU WILL FUND IT AT $\frac{1}{2}$ A MIL. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT RIGHT BUT WE HAVE NOT CHOICE ABOUT THAT. MR. HAGAN RESPONDED THAT THE BOARD DOES. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NOT WITHOUT COMPLETELY CUTTING IT OUT. MR. HAGAN AGREED. MR. HAGAN SAID YOU DON'T HAVE TO FUND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND YOU DON'T HAVE TO HAVE A FIRE DEPARTMENT. IF YOU HAVE ONE THE LAW SAYS IT HAS TO LOOK A CERTAIN WAY. YOU HAVE TO FUND ONE MORALLY BECAUSE WHEN IT BOILS DOWN TO IT YOUR RESPONSIBILITY AS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IS TO THE SAFETY AND WELFARE TO THE CITIZENS. THIS BOARD SHOWS WHEN YOU'RE PREDECESSORS HERE; MR. ENFINGER, MR. COPE AND I DON'T KNOW MR. CARTER IF YOU WERE ON THE BOARD AT THAT TIME OR NOT BUT YOU CHOSE TO USE $\frac{1}{2}$ A MIL. YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO USE $\frac{1}{2}$ A MIL.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT THE BOARD HAS ONE IN PLACE WHICH IS $\frac{1}{2}$ A MIL FOR THE EMS AND $\frac{1}{2}$ A MIL GOES TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS. THE WAY HE SEES IT IS IF IT GOES BELOW $\frac{1}{2}$ A MIL, WHICH IT MAY DO IT AGAIN THIS NEXT YEAR FROM SOME INDICATIONS THAT HE HAS SEEN. IF THIS IS THE CASE WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO COME UP WITH SOME EXTRA MONEY IN ORDER TO HOLD THIS YEARS FUNDING FOR NEXT YEAR. MR. HAGAN AGREES AND GOES ON TO SAY THAT IS WHAT THE AGREEMENT IS ASKING THE BOARD TO DO.

COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT WE HAVE JUST GOTTEN OUT OF THAT FIRE; IT COST YOU ALL \$70,000 A PIECE BUT IT WASN'T WASTED.

MR. HAGAN SAID WHAT THEY ARE DOING IS OPENING THE DOOR FOR THE BOARD TO FUND IT BY SOMETHING OTHER THAN ADVALOREM. WHAT IS BEING ASKED OF THE BOARD IS AN AMOUNT THAT IS EQUAL TO ½ A MIL. THERE IS A PROVISION THAT MR. CARTER HAS ADDRESSED WITH ME ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS, FOR THE BOARD TO FUND IT OTHER THAN THE ½ A MIL.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID THAT WHAT HE UNDERSTANDS IS THAT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WANTS IT TO BE WHERE THIS BUDGET WILL BE AS LOW AS THEY CAN GO WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF GOING HIGHER. IF ASSESSMENTS GO DOWN AGAIN THEN THE MILLAGE IS GOING TO GO DOWN SO THEIR ½ A MIL WILL BE GOING DOWN AND THEY WON'T HAVE THE SAME OPERATING MONEY SO THEY ARE TRYING TO MAKE SURE THEY WILL HAVE THE SAME OPERATING MONEY NEXT YEAR.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID TO ASK THE BOARD TO MAKE THAT KIND OF GUARANTEE WOULD BE UNFAIR AND HE WOULD LIKE TO LOOK AT ALTERNATIVE FUNDING FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT AND EMERGENCY SERVICES.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED MR. HAGAN TO EXPLAIN THE TRAINING AND EDUCATION. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT ONCE OR TWICE A YEAR THERE IS FIRE FIGHTER TRAINING COURSES AT THE VOCATIONAL SCHOOL. SOME PEOPLE CAN'T MAKE IT TO THE NIGHT CLASSES SO ONLINE COURSES ARE BEING OFFERED. THE PEOPLE THAT WANT TO JOIN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT HAVE TO GO THROUGH A BACKGROUND CHECK AND PHYSICAL, WHICH IS PAID FOR OUT OF THE DEPARTMENT AND THEN THIS FUND PAYS FOR THE TRAINING AND EDUCATION OF THE FIRE FIGHTERS. COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED IF ANY CERTIFICATIONS ARE COMING FROM THIS MONEY. MR. HAGAN RESPONDED THAT THEY ARE ABLE TO BE CERTIFIED AT THE TIME OF THEIR ENTRANCE. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT THEY ARE ELIBLE. COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW MANY ACTUALLY FOLLOW THROUGH.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE WOULDN'T LIKE TO GUARANTEE ANYBODY FUNDING BECAUSE THE ADVALOREM TAXES CAN CHANGE. THE ½ A MIL WILL STAY THERE BECAUSE OF THE RESOLUTION AND THE ONLY WAY THAT WOULD CHANGE WOULD BE TO CHANGE THE RESOLUTION.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE HAS BEEN WORKING WITH GIL CARTER ON SOME AVENUES TO ELIMATE THAT ½ A MIL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MR. HAGAN THAT AN ORDINANCE IS LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY ENACTED AND A RESOLUTION IS BASICALLY INTENT OF THE BOARD. IT IS EFFECTIVE AS SUCH BUT YOU DON'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE 10 DAY PUBLICATION AND THINGS LIKE THAT TO ENACT IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IT IS A PROBLEM AND PROBABLY GOING TO CONTINUE TO BE A PROBLEM UNTIL THIS ECONOMY TURNS AROUND. COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED MR. HAGAN IF THERE IS AN OLD FUNDING THAT HAS JUST BEEN SITTING THERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT SOME PLACES IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA WHERE EACH HOUSEHOLD PAYS SO MUCH AND THAT IS WHAT FUNDS THE EMS AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS. THE BOARD IS LOOKING AT ALTERNATIVE WAYS OF FUNDING THE EMS AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IT IS SURELY A TWO-FOLD ISSUE; THERE IS THE FUNDING ISSUE AND THERE IS THE BASELINE ISSUE. YOU HAVE TO LOOK AT IT KIND OF THE SAME BUT DISTINCTLY. WHAT THEY ARE SAYING IS WHETHER IT'S THIS ½ A MIL OR IT IS OTHER FUNDING WE ARE GOING TO SET A BASELINE AND IT'S UP TO YOU TO FIGURE OUT WHERE IT WILL TO COME FROM. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THE BOARD WAS GOING UP AGAINST SOME KIND OF DUE DATE AS TO WHY THIS IS TRYING TO BE PUSHED SO FAST.

MR. HAGAN SAID THAT A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WAS ALLOWED BY BOARD ACTION TO STEP OUTSIDE THE RESOLUTION AND CARRY FORWARD THE \$25,000 AND DISTRIBUTE IT. WE ARE TRYING TO CREATE A DOCUMENT NOW THAT BACKS UP THE ACTION THAT THE BOARD HAS ALREADY TAKEN AND REPLACES THE DOCUMENT THAT WAS IN EXISTENCE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. HAGAN HOW MUCH SERVICE DO WE GET FROM THE FORESTRY DEPARTMENT EVERY YEAR. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT THERE WAS SUPPOSE TO BE SOMEBODY THERE THIS MORNING TO TALK ON HOW MANY ACRES AND LAND OWNERS, ETC. COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THEY CHARGE. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT SOMETIMES THERE ARE CHARGES. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT THE BOARD USED TO FUND THEM AT .03 AN ACRE THAT IT'S REQUIRED BY STATUTE AND ONCE YOU GAVE THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS MORE THE BOARD QUIT FUNDING THEM AND STARTED TAKING THAT OUT OF THE MONEY THAT WAS SET ASIDE FOR THE FIRE OPERATIONS. SO THAT ½ A MIL DOESN'T ALL GO TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS. PART OF THAT ½ A MIL GOES TO FUNDING YOUR FORESTRY DEPARTMENT; HE THINKS THE FIRST \$28,000.

COMMISSIONER BROCK BELIEVES THAT HE IS HEARING CORRECTLY THAT THERE WILL BE ANOTHER BIG DOWNFALL NEXT YEAR IN ADVALOREM REVENUE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THERE WILL BE SOME BUT HE DOESN'T KNOW HOW MUCH. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT WASHINGTON COUNTY WAS 1.3 MILLION THIS YEAR SO IF WE HAVE ANOTHER DOWNSIZE THAT GREAT OR POSSIBLY GREATER THERE WILL HAVE TO BE SOME BIG CUTS SOMEWHERE BUT HE ISN'T SURE WHERE IT IS GOING TO COME FROM. HE HAS ALWAYS SAID THAT THE ADVALOREM REVENUE FOR FIRE DEPARTMENTS WAS AN UNJUST TAX BECAUSE HE ALWAYS FELT LIKE EVERYBODY SHOULD PAY A LITTLE BECAUSE THERE ARE A

LOT OF TRAILERS AND THINGS THAT BURN UP AND GET FIRE SERVICE AND FIRE CALLS THAT AREN'T PAYING ANY TAXES. WE NEED TO COME UP WITH SOMETHING THAT EVERYBODY HAS TO PAY A LITTLE BIT FOR FIRE SERVICES IN THIS COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MR. HAGAN THAT SINCE THE INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED RATHER LATE THE BOARD NEEDS TO TAKE THE INFORMATION AND REVIEW IT BETWEEN NOW AND THE NEXT BOARD MEETING AND WE CAN ADJUST AS WE SEE FIT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MR. HAGAN THE FULL INTENTIONS OF HIS HEART IS NOT TO REDUCE EMS AND THE FIRE SERVICES ANY FURTHER THAN WHAT THEY ARE. THE BOARD IS AGGRESSIVELY LOOKING AT OTHER FUNDING METHODS FOR THOSE 2 AREAS AND ALSO LAW ENFORCEMENT.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT SEVERAL OF THE COMMISSIONERS HAVE SAID THEY WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO HIM ABOUT FIRE FUNDING, ETC. WE ARE WILLING TO ANSWER ANY OF YOUR QUESTIONS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID THAT HE WOULD LIKE ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO RESEARCH THE MSBU THAT IS IN PLACE OR HAS BEEN IN PLACE AND BE ABLE TO COME BACK TO THE NEXT MEETING WITH HIS FINDINGS. IF IT IS SUITABLE THE BOARD CAN GET STARTED ON SEEING WHAT WILL WORK.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID THAT HE WOULD APPRECIATE THE TIME.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS ARE ONLY 1 OF 2 DEPARTMENTS THAT SUBMIT BUDGETS WITH JUSTIFICATIONS, REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, ETC. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID THAT HE IS AWARE OF THAT BUT WHEN IT COMES TO TALKING ABOUT GUARANTEEING A CERTAIN AMOUNT THAT COMES OUT OF ADVALOREM TAXES WHICH AREN'T GUARANTEED.

B. ROGER HAGAN – BYLAWS

THE BYLAWS ARE BEING PRESENTED BECAUSE THERE HAVE BEEN SEVERAL YEARS WHERE IT SEEMED LIKE LINES WERE BEING DRAWN. THE FIRE DEPARTMENTS WORK AT THE BOARDS PLEASURE AND DIRECTION AS WELL AS UNDER STATE GUIDELINES. THE BYLAWS HAVEN'T BEEN CHANGED IN SEVERAL YEARS AND SOME CHANGES NEED TO BE MADE. WE WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO BE UPFRONT ON THIS. ALMOST ALL OF THE CHANGES ARE EDITORIAL; IT CHANGES THE PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTORS NAME. THE ACTIVE MEMBERSHIP IS AN ANNUAL ONE OF \$100, WHICH THE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN CHANGED BUT THE BYLAWS STILL SAY \$50. THE FEES COVER SUCH THINGS AS FLOWERS IN THE DEATH OF A MEMBERS FAMILY AND IT ALSO HELPS WITH THE ANNUAL BANQUET EXPENSES FOR THE WCFA.

WE KNOW AND WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU KNOW WE ULTIMATELY ANSWER TO YOU. THEIR RECORDS ARE HOUSED IN OUR OFFICE; INVENTORY, PURCHASE ORDERS, ETC. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO CHANGE IN OUR BYLAWS THAT WE MEET EVERY MONTH EXCEPT DECEMBER.

THE MOST OF THIS IS THAT WE ARE PARTNERS AND WE RECOGNIZE THE AUTHORITY OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. AT THE TIME OF THE ADOPTION OF THIS EDITION OF THE WCFA BYLAWS THE ASSOCIATION IS APPROACHING 20 YEARS IN EXISTANCE. THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ACKNOWLEDGES THE ASSOCIATION BY THEIR PAST AFFILIATIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS. BY JOINTLY ADOPTING AND APPROVING THESE BYLAWS THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS GRANTS AND RECOGNIZES THE ATONOMY OF THE ASSOCIATIONS CONDUCTING ITS OWN INTERNAL AFFAIRS AND BUSINESS OPERARTIONS UNDER THESE BYLAWS. HOWEVER, THE BOARD DOES NOT GRANT EXCLUSIVE PROVISION FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS OR OTHER OBLIGATIONS THAT ARE THE INHERIT STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITY OF THE BOARD AS A GOVERNING BODY OF THE COUNTY. THE WCFA WILL ADOPT THIS IF THEY CHOOSE TO AND IT WILL BE SENT BACK TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL OF WHAT THEY HAVE ADOPTED. THESE DOCUMENTS WILL BE PRESENTED IN FULL AT THE WCFA MEETING THIS THURSDAY AND IF THIS IS APPROVED BY THE MEMBERS WE ARE ASKING IT BE PLACED ON THE CONSENT AGENDA FOR THE BOARD MEETING ON OCTOBER 27.

COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID HE DOESN'T THINK IT CAN BE PUT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA UNTIL ATTORNEY GOODMAN REPORTS ON IT WHICH THE BOARD HAS ALREADY REQUESTED OF HIM. HE WOULD SUGGEST THAT ATTORNEY GOODMAN GO OVER THE BYLAWS TO MAKE SURE THERE AREN'T ANY CONFLICTS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. HAGAN ABOUT A \$10 CHARGE IF YOU MISS 2 OR MORE CONSECUTIVE MEETINGS; IS THAT FOR MEMBERS OR JUST CHIEFS. MR. HAGAN SAID THAT IT IS JUST FOR THE DEPARTMENT. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT USUALLY WHEN HE READS THE FIRE REPORT THERE ARE CHIEFS AND 3 OR 4 VISITORS.

- C. RHYTHM DRI – MIKE DERUNTZ INTRODUCED ALLEN GREY FROM WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, WHO WILL ADDRESS THE BOARD AND GIVE AN UPDATE ON RHYTHM DRI.

MR. GREY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL IS BASICALLY THE CAPTAIN OF WHAT OCCURS IN THE DRI PROCESS WHEN ONE OCCURS WITHIN THEIR AREA. WE HAVE HAD ABOUT 50 DRI'S IN THE PANHANDLE BUT CURRENTLY HAVE ONE THAT IS IN THE PROCESS OF GOING THROUGH THE PACES. RHYTHM HAS GONE DORMANT FOR A WHILE WHICH ISN'T REALLY ODD GIVEN THE

STATES PROGRESS AND AS FAR AS BUILDING HOUSES HIT A SLUMP. MR. GREY EXPLAINED TO THE BOARD THAT A LOT OF CHANGES HAS OCCURRED WITH DRI SUCH AS THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN AND A NAME CHANGE FROM FLORIDA LANDINGS TO RHYTHM.

TODAY WE ARE GOING TO BE TAKING THE PROJECT IMPACT REPORT WHICH IS THE FINAL THING THAT THE REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL DOES WHEN IT COMES DRI. THIS IS SORT OF WHERE WEST FL REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL TAKES THEIR HANDS OUT OF IT. AFTER THIS EVERY TWO YEARS WE WILL RECEIVE A REPORT ON THE FUTURE OF DRI. THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT CONTAINS INFORMATION OF EVERYTHING THE DEVELOPERS AND THE STATE SAID THEY WERE GOING TO DO AND IT WAS PUT INTO A DEVELOPER CONDITIONS REPORT. A DRAFT D.O. WILL BE PRESENTED TO THE BOARD AT A REGULAR MEETING. MR. GREY SAID THAT THE BOARD IS REQUIRED TO ADDRESS THE DRI AND THE LARGE SCALE PLANNING LIMIT AT THE SAME MEETING.

MR. GREY ADDRESSED THE BOARD IF THEY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS HE IS AVAILABLE TO ANSWER THEM.

MR. DERUNTZ INTRODUCED LINDA SHELLY, THE ATTORNEY REPRESENTING FLORIDA LANDINGS AKA RHYTHM DRI WHO IS GOING TO UPDATE THE BOARD ON WHAT CHANGES HAVE BEEN AGREED UPON BY THE DEVELOPER BASED UPON THE COMMENTS THAT THEY HAVE RECEIVED FROM THE BOARD AT THE LAST WORKSHOP.

ATTORNEY LINDA SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD ENCOURAGING THEM TO THUMB THROUGH THE REGIONAL REPORT THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL THIS AFTERNOON IN ADDITION TO THE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS IT IS ONE OF THE MOST POSITIVE REPORTS THAT SHE HAS EVER SEEN IN A FAIRLY LONG CAREER OF LOOKING AT DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT PROJECTS. IT STRESSES THE POSITIVE ECONOMIC IMPACT THAT THE PROJECT WILL HAVE AND THE MARKETING OPPORUNITIES THAT IT PRESENTS THIS REGION FROM AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDPOINT AND THAT IS UNUSUAL IN A REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL REPORT.

THE NEXT OFFICAL STEP IS THE REGIONAL REPORT AND THAT IS RECOMMENDATIONS AND IT WILL COME BACK TO THE BOARD. WF REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL WILL BE CONTINUOUSLY IN TOUCH WITH YOUR STAFF. THIS APPLICANT MADE A 180. THERE WERE ENOUGH COMMENTS DURING THE FIRST EFFICIENCY THAT THEY SAID WE HEAR YOU AND THEY RETHOUGHT THE PROJECT. THEY DID TAKE A WHILE TO DO THAT AND IT COINCIDED WITH THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT YOU WERE WORKING ON YOUR NEW COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. THE DEPARTMENT OF

COMMUNITY AFFAIRS WHICH IS NOW, THE DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY ASKED FOR THINGS TO SLOW DOWN AND NOT HAVE THE DRI HEARD UNTIL YOUR PLAN WAS IN PLACE AND WE DID THAT.

THE NEXT STEP FOR THE COUNTY WOULD BE THE PLANNING COMMISSION ON NOVEMBER 1 AND BACK TO YOU ON NOVEMBER 21. ATTORNEY SHELLY INTRODUCED DEBBIE PREBLE WHO IS AN ENGINEER WITH THE JUSTICE GROUP WHO WILL DISCUSS WITH YOU THE WATER ISSUES TO GIVE YOU MORE BACKGROUND.

DEBBIE PREBLE UPDATED THE BOARD ON THE WATER ISSUES. THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT RECOMMENDED THE PROJECT BE A LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENT LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT TECHNIQUES WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WAS ALREADY PLANNED. THE SECOND RECOMMENDATION IS RECLAIMED WATER WHICH IS ALREADY IN THE PLAN. THE WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLAN THAT IS ON THE PROPERTY WILL TREAT THE WATER TO ADVANCED WASTE WATER TREATMENT STANDARDS AND THEN THAT WATER WILL BE AVAILABLE AS RECLAIMED WATER TO USE IN THE IRRIGATION OF THE COMMON AREAS ON THE PROPERTY. THERE WILL BE RECLAIMED WATER LINES PUT IN TO IRRIGATE THE PUBLIC AREAS ALL AROUND THE TOWN CENTER.

ALSO BECAUSE OF THE WATER CONSERVATION TECHNIQUES THAT WERE IMPLEMENTED IN THE PROJECT AS PART OF THE LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT THAT ENOUGH RECLAIMED WATER WILL BE PRODUCED TO BE ABLE TO MEET ALL THE IRRIGATION NEEDS ON THE PROPERTY AND ALL THE RESIDENTIAL AREAS BUT IT IS EXPECTED TO BE MET IN OUR COMMON AREAS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MS. DEBBIE WHY THE RECLAIMED WATER WOULDN'T REACH ALL THE AREAS. DEBBIE ADVISED PART OF THE LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT TECHNIQUES INVOLVE PRETTY EXTREME WATER CONSERVATION MEASURES AND YOU CAN'T HAVE ANYMORE WATER GOING TO YOUR WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT THAN YOU PUMP OUT OF THE GROUND AND IF WE ARE MINIMIZING THE AMOUNT OF WATER WE USE BY THE HOSE HAVING WATER EFFICIENT APPLIANCES, BY THE LANDSCAPING IN THE AREA BEING VERY FLORIDA FRIENDLY DROUGHT TOLERANT LANDSCAPING. YOU ARE SENDING LESS WATER TO YOUR WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT AND YOU CAN'T GET ANYMORE OUT AS RECLAIMED WATER THAN YOU PUT IT. THE MORE YOU CONSERVE WATER THE LESS RECLAIMED WATER YOU GET OUT OF THE WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ASKED FOR THE LOW IMPACT TO BE EXPLAINED IN MORE DETAIL. DEBBIE ADVISED THE LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT TECHNIQUES ARE A

WHOLE BROAD SPECTRUM OF ENGINEERING PRACTICES THAT YOU PUT IN PLACE TO MINIMIZE THE IMPACT OF THE DEVELOPMENT ON THE PROPERTY. FIRST AND FOREMOST OF THOSE IS MINIMIZING THE AMOUNT OF NEW IMPERVIOUS SURFACE. THE SITE IS GOING TO RETAIN OVER 50%. THE SITE HAS OPEN SPACES EITHER AS WETLAND, UPPER BUFFERS, PARKS, ETC. SECOND ARE ALL THE WATER CONSERVATION TECHNIQUES THAT WILL BE USED BOTH IN THE RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL PARTS OF THE PROPERTY. ALSO THE STRONG WATER IS GOING TO BE MANAGED IN A WAY TO MAXIMIZE RECHARGE TO THE AQUIFER. WE ALSO PLAN TO PROTECT THE SURFACE AND GROUND WATER QUALITY IN THE AREA. IT'S ALSO OUR INTENT TO MANAGE THE STORM WATER AND THE FLOOD PLAINS SO THAT THE POST DEVELOPMENT FUNCTIONS IS SIMILAR TO THE PRE DEVELOPMENT FUNCTION.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION OF HOW SIMILAR. DEBBIE SAID THEY DON'T EXPECT TO CHANGE THE HYDROLOGY ON THE PROPERTY IN TERMS OF THE DRAINAGE. THE POST DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AND FLOWS WILL BE ESSENTIALLY THE SAME AS PRE DEVELOPMENT.

WATER CONSERVATION IS SOMETHING WE HAVE ALREADY TALKED ABOUT BUT THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HAS RECOMMENDED THAT WE IMPLEMENT SPECIFIC WATER CONSERVATION PLANS INCLUDING THE HIGH EFFICIENCY PLUMBING FEATURES THAT ARE PART OF THE WATER STAR CRITERIA THAT'S BEING ADOPTED AND ALL OF THE OTHER APPLIANCES SUCH AS: WASHING MACHINE, DISH WASHER, ETC. ALSO THERE WILL BE SOIL MOISTURE SENSORS FOR IRRIGATION SYSTEMS SO YOU DON'T END UP WATERING SOIL THAT IS ALREADY MOIST. WE WILL HAVE A COMPONENT TO EDUCATE THE RESIDENTS IN THE COMMUNITY ABOUT THESE TECHNIQUES.

DEBBIE UPDATED THE BOARD ON VEGETATIVE BUFFERS – THERE ARE CREEKS AND WETLANDS THAT RUN THROUGH OUR PROPERTY AND THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HAS ASKED US TO HAVE BUFFERS ALONG THOSE. WE HAVE PROPOSED A 100 FOOT AVERAGE BUFFER AROUND ALL THE WETLAND AND A 75 FOOT MINIMUM BUFFER.

THE PURPOSE OF THE BUFFER IS TO PROTECT THE QUALITY OF THE WATER AND WETLAND AND MAINTAIN THEIR FUNCTIONALITY IN THE ECO SYSTEM. WE WILL HAVE SOME WETLAND IMPACTS BOTH FROM STORM WATER STRUCTURES AND WE WILL HAVE TRAILS THAT CROSS WETLANDS. THERE WILL BE AT LEAST AT FOUR ROADS THAT WILL CROSS THE WETLANDS. THERE WILL BE SUBSTANTIALLY MORE BUFFERS PUT THAT ARE WHAT'S REQUIRED.

THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ASKED THAT THE OUTSTANDING FLORIDA WATER STANDARDS ARE APPLIED TO THE PROJECT. THE ECONFINA CREEK IS NOT OUTSTANDING FLORIDA WATER AND NEITHER IS BUCKHORN CREEKS THAT FLOW INTO IT. WE DO INTEND TO FAR EXCEED THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR STORM WATER MANAGEMENT. WE HAVE ALSO DONE SOME PRE DEVELOPMENT BASELINE MONITORING OF BOTH WATER AND STREAM QUALITY AND WILDLIFE. WE WOULD EXPECT TO CONTINUE WITH THAT PROGRAM AS THE DEVELOPMENT IS UNDERWAY SO THAT WE CAN ALL BE COMFORTABLE THAT WE AREN'T HAVING AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON THOSE THINGS.

ALSO THEY HAVE ASKED THAT WE TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THAT THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HAS PROPERTY ADJACENT TO OUR PROPERTY ON 2 SIDES AND ASK THAT THE ACTIVITIES THAT TAKE PLACE ARE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION. WE ARE HAVING BUFFERS BETWEEN OUR PROPERTY AND THEIRS AND WE DO HOPE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE PROXIMITY OF THEIR PROPERTY BY HAVING A CONNECTION TO THE FLORIDA SCENIC TRAIL FROM OUR PROPERTY IN THE SOUTH WEST CORNER. THAT SUMMARIZES ALL OF THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT COMMENTS THAT THEY HAD AND OUR INTENTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THOSE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MR. GREY ABOUT A LETTER THAT WAS SENT TO MR. GREY IN LATE AUGUST 2011 FROM THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ADDRESSING SEVERAL CONCERNS AND IF THERE HAD BEEN ANY SORT OF CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT IN RESPECT TO THAT.

MR. GREY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE IS AWARE THAT THEY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN ALL 3 PHASES ON DRI. WE HAVE TAKEN EVERYTHING THAT THEY HAVE ASKED TO BE DONE AND INCLUDED IN OUR REPORT. ANYTHING BEYOND THAT HAS BEEN AGREED TO BY THE DEVELOPER.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MR. GREY SO WHATEVER THEY ASKED FOR IN THAT LETTER HAS BEEN PUT IN A DOCUMENT. MR. GREY RESPONDED YES.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MR. GREY HAD THERE BEEN ANY CONFIRMATION FROM THE NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT SAYING YES THAT THIS IS WHAT WE ARE ASKING YOU TO DO.

MR. GREY ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN THERE WAS SOME ISSUE WITH SOME WORDING ON A DIFFERENT TOPIC WHICH WAS RECAPTURE OF STORM WATER

TO DO IRRIGATION ON SITE. HE INTENDED ON RECOMMENDING TO THE BOARD THAT THERE BE A CONVERSATION WITH WATER MANAGEMENT PENDING THAT CONVERSATION; WATER MANAGEMENT AND THE DEVELOPER.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED IF THERE WAS ANY WAY TO GET A LETTER FROM WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT THAT THEIR CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN SATISFIED. MR. GREY SAID THEY HAD SENT OUT LETTERS.

DEBBIE, ENGINEER WITH DRI, ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN THAT THE PROCESS THAT THE AGENCIES MAKE A PROMISE TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL. IN RETURN THE REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL PUTS EVERYTHING TOGETHER IN A DOCUMENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED THAT HE UNDERSTANDS WHAT THE PROCESS IS BUT JUST WANTS CONFIRMATION THAT WHAT THE NORTHWEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HAS ASKED FOR IS INCLUDED AND HE DIDN'T THINK THAT THE SEPTEMBER 12 LETTER WAS A LETTER OF SATISFACTIONS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MR. GREY WHAT WAS HIS UNDERSTANDING OF THE LETTER DATED SEPTEMBER 12. MR. GREY SAID THAT THE SEVEN BULLETED ITEMS THAT WERE INCLUDED ON THE LETTER FROM NWFWM ARE LISTED IN HIS SPECIFIC CONDITIONS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MR. GREY THAT HE UNDERSTANDS THEY ARE IN THERE BUT HAVE THEY BEEN APPROVED BY NWFWM; HAVE YOU MET WITH THEM. MR. GREY SAID THAT NWFWM SENT HIM A LETTER AFTER THEY SAW EVERYTHING THIS DEVELOPER PUT TOGETHER IN THE THIRD SUFFICIENCY RESPONSE THEY SENT OUT A LETTER SAYING THEY HAD AN ADDITIONAL SEVEN BULLET POINTS REQUIRED WHICH HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN HIS CONDITIONS THAT WILL GO BEFORE THE BOARD TONIGHT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MR. GREY IF THEY HAD WENT BACK TO NWFLWM AND ASKED THEM IF THIS IS WHAT YOU WANTED US TO DO OR IS THAT NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU DO. MR. GREY SAID NO HE DIDN'T MAKE A PERSONAL PHONE CALL TO THEM AND THAT ISN'T SOMETHING THAT HE WOULD TYPICALLY DO. THE ONLY TIME HE WOULD CALL AN AGENCY WOULD BE WHEN THEY DIDN'T SEND OUT A LETTER LISTING THEIR SPECIFICATIONS AND THOSE ARE LIKE DEP/DCA.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED ABOUT THE ISSUES THAT BAY COUNTY SUBMITTED ON AUGUST 17. MR. GREY ADVISED THE BOARD THAT THOSE ISSUES ARE INCLUDED IN HIS SPECIFIC CONDITIONS. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED MR. GREY HOW

BOTH OF THOSE ISSUES WERE ADDRESSED IN HIS REPORT. MR. GREY SAID HIS SYSTEM IS EVERYONE HAS THEIR CHANCE TO SAY SOMETHING AND WHEN THEY SAID SOMETHING IT WAS INCORPATED IN MY REPORT AS A CONDITION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT MR. GREY IS SAYING THAT HE HAS INCORPATED THE RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE WAY THAT HE HAS DONE THAT IS ACCEPTABLE TO BAY COUNTY AND NWFLWM DISTRICT. THERE IS NOTHING THAT WE HAVE IN WRITING THAT SAYS YES WE HAVE EVERYTHING NOW PROCEED.

DEBBIE ADDRESSED THE BOARD ABOUT THE SITUATIONS WITH THE WELLS AND THE GEOLOGY OF THE AREA. DEBBIE DISPLAYED AN EXHIBIT TO EXPLAIN THE SITUATION WITH THE WELLS AND THE GEOLOGY. AT THE EDGE OF JACKSON COUNTY IS WHERE THE SITE IS LOCATED. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED WHERE THE EXHIBIT CAME FROM AND SHE RESPONDED THAT IT WAS MADE BY THEIR GEOLOGIST. THE PURPOSE OF THE EXHIBIT IS TO SHOW THE DIFFERENCE IN THE GEOLOGY FROM WHERE WE ARE, WHERE OUR SITE IS IN THIS PART OF WASHINGTON COUNTY AND HOW DIFFERENT IT IS FROM YOUR SOUTH WESTERN CORNER OF YOUR COUNTY AND IN BAY COUNTY WHERE THEY ARE PROPOSING THE WELL FIELDS. THE BIG DIFFERENCE IS THAT WE HAVE THE SHALLOW SAND AQUIFER AT A VERY HIGH ELEVATION OVER 250 FEET.

WE ARE PROPOSING THAT AT A MINIMUM OUR WELL WILL BE 750 FEET FROM OUR PROPERTY LINE. WE WILL HAVE MORE THAN ONE WELL TO MINIMIZE THE DOWN IMPACT FROM EACH WELL. RIGHT NOW THEY HAVE ESTIMATED THE DRAW DOWN WILL BE ABOUT 2 FEET AT THE WELL AND WHEN YOU GET 500 FEET FROM THE WELL THAT DRAW DOWN IS DOWN TO A FOOT. THE FURTHER AWAY YOU GET THE LESS IT IS UNTIL ABOUT 3,000 FEET AWAY ITS 1.2 INCHES. WHEN IT'S TIME FOR A PERMIT FOR THE WELL WE HAVE ANOTHER BURDEN OF PROOF THAT WE WILL HAVE TO GO THROUGH. WE WOULD INTEND TO PUT A MONITORING WELL ABOUT 500 FOOT AWAY FROM OUR WELL SO THAT WE CAN SEE WHAT THE DRAW DOWN ACTUALLY IS AND THEN ANOTHER ONE 700 FEET. WE EXPECT IT TO BE NEGLIGIBLE BEYOND THAT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED HOW WAS THE 750 FEET ARRIVED AT. DEBBIE SAID THAT THEY WERE LOOKING AT THE DRAW DOWN AT THE WELL AND HOW QUICKLY IT DIMINISHES TO SOMETHING THAT WAS NEGLIGIBLE AND WE DECIDED THAT ANYTHING LESS THAN A FOOT WAS PROBABLY NEGLIGIBLE AND THAT IS HOW WE ARRIVED AT 750 FEET. IT'S SOMEWHAT ARBITRARY BUT BASED ON THE SCIENCE PREDICTING HOW FAR HOW THE DRAW DOWN WILL BE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED

HOW THEY ARRIVED AT THE POINT OF IT BEING NEGLIGIBLE. DEBBIE SAID THAT BECAUSE IT'S SUCH A SMALL PERCENTAGE OF THE NATURAL FLUCTUATION. THE NATURAL FLUCTUATION OF THE HEIGHT OF THE WATER COLUMN WITHIN A WELL CAN VARY BY AS MUCH AS 30 TO 35 FEET. THIS IS AN IMPACT OF THE WATER LEVEL IN THE WELL.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED MS. DEBBIE IF IT WAS A DIMINISHING DEPTH THE FURTHER FROM THE WELL YOU GO. DEBBIE CONFIRMED THAT'S CORRECT. WE HAVE ALREADY MADE THE CONCESSION THAT TO EVEN FURTHER MINIMIZE THESE IMPACTS INSTEAD OF HAVING ONE WELL THAT SUCKS THE WATER OUT OF ONE PLACE. WE INTEND TO SPREAD OUR WELLS OUT AND HAVE AT LEAST 2-4 WELLS. NONE OF THEM WILL BE CLOSER THAN 750 FEET TO THE PROPERTY LINE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. DEBBIE IN REFERENCE TO THE CLOSENESS OF THE WELLS TO EACH OTHER. DEBBIE ADVISED THEY WILL BE 1000 FEET APART AND 500 FEET DEEP.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO THE SEPTEMBER 26 MEETING WHERE TRANSPORTATION WAS ADDRESSED. WE HAVE MADE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER; WE AGREED THAT THERE WILL BE A 2 LANE ROADWAY THROUGH THE MIDDLE THAT THE RIGHT-OF-WAY DONATION TO THE COUNTY 2 LANES WOULD SUFFICE. DUE TO COMMISSIONER CARTER'S SUGGESTION WE HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH SPRING RIDGE AND WE HOPE THAT THERE CAN BE A SEPARATE AGREEMENT WITH THEM THAT WILL BE RECOGNIZED BY THE COUNTY IN THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER. SPRING RIDGE ALREADY HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY BASED ON THE BONDING THAT THEY HAVE DONE IN THE SPRING RIDGE COMMUNITY TO PAVE SOME OF THE ROADS THAT WE WERE TALKING TO THE BOARD ABOUT PAVING. AS A RESULT OF THAT, EVEN THOUGH THAT IS FAR OUT AND WE WOULD BE LOOKING TO HAVE TO FINANCE THAT AND CARRY THAT FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME. WE ARE IN THE POSITION TO MEET YOUR ISSUES WITH REGARD TO PAVING OF SEGMENTS C, D, E AND F BEFORE WE WOULD PLAT. WE WOULD PAVE FROM ORANGE HILL TO SCOTT ROAD.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY TO SAY THAT THE PROPERTY CAN'T BE DEDICATED UNLESS IT IS PLATTED AND ANY RIGHT-OF-WAYS THAT ARE ON YOUR PROPERTY WILL HAVE TO BE AT PLAT.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THEY HAVE ALSO BEEN TALKING TO BAY COUNTY AND AS YOU KNOW WE WOULD LIKE TO PAVE COUNTY LINE ROAD. BAY COUNTY ISN'T CERTAIN THAT THEY WANT TO ENTER INTO THAT AGREEMENT WITH US BUT THE OFFER IS STILL BEING EXTENDED TO THEM. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAPPENS IN A DRI, ESPECIALLY ONE THAT IS NEAR OTHER COUNTY'S IS USUALLY THE PROJECT IN ONE COUNTY THAT IS BEING APPROVED IS SENDING LOTS OF TRAFFIC INTO THE OTHER COUNTY AND MESSING UP THEIR PAVED ROADS AND USING UP THERE CAPACITY. THAT ISN'T HAPPENING HERE. THEY DON'T HAVE THESE PAVED ROADS THAT WE ARE USING UP THE CAPACITY AND WE ARE TRYING TO PAVE THEIR ROADS AND WE ASKED THEM TO GIVE US AN INDICATION; WHETHER THEY WANT COUNTY LINE OR SCOTT ROAD TO BE PAVED TO 231 AND THEY ARE NOT YET READY TO AGREE WITH US. WE SAID WE WANT WASHINGTON COUNTY TO LET US MOVE FORWARD BECAUSE IN THAT FIRST PHASE, WHICH IS PHASE 1A THERE ARE ONLY 5 UNITS AND IT ISN'T REALLY NECESSARY TO PAVE OUT TO 231 FOR THAT. PARTICULARLY IF YOU PAVE TO THE WEST AND THE NORTH SO THAT AS THE BOARD POINTED OUT YOUR CONSTRUCTION WORKERS ARE GONNA COME TO LUNCH IN CHIPLEY. THOSE ROADS WILL MAKE SENSE FROM A STAND POINT OF GOING WEST AND NORTH. IF THEY DON'T AGREE WITH US AFTER THE FIRST PHASE 1A THEN FOR PHASE 1B WE WILL PAVE G. WE WILL REORIENT OURSELVES; GOING FURTHER WEST. EACH TIME THAT WE AREN'T ABLE TO PAVE COUNTY LINE OR SCOTT TO HWY. 231 WE WILL MOVE WEST AND COME BACK TO YOU IF ULTIMATELY IN PHASE II BAY COUNTY STILL HASN'T AGREED TO A PAVING OF SOME ACCESS TO HWY. 231 THEN WE WILL COME BACK TO THE BOARD AND ASK ABOUT ALTERNATE ACCESS ONLY WITHIN WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE IT IS ULTIMATELY UP TO THE BOARD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN CONFIRMS WITH MS. SHELLY THAT RIGHT NOW THERE IS AN ISSUE WITH WHETHER BAY COUNTY IS ON BOARD OR NOT. WHAT WE ARE PROPOSING TO THE BOARD IS BASICALLY THE ENTRANCE OFF OF HWY. 231 IS BEING FROZEN AT THIS POINT IN TIME. ALSO YOU ARE ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE MORE OF AN IMPACT IN WASHINGTON COUNTY WITH RESPECT TO TRAFFIC COMING IN AND OUT OF YOUR POTENTIAL SUBDIVISION AND YOU ARE TRYING TO MAKE SURE THE BOARD IS COMFORTABLE WITH WHAT ITS ASKING FOR AND GOING TO GET TO ACCOMMODATE FOR THAT CHANGE OF PLANS IN LEAVING BAY COUNTY OUT OF THE LOOP.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD AND AGREED THAT WAS A WAY TO LOOK AT IT. THEY CAN'T MAKE BAY COUNTY LET THEM PAVE THEIR ROAD AND IT CAN'T BE

DONE WITHOUT THEIR AGREEMENT. AGREEMENT CAN BE ENTERED INTO WITH WASHINGTON COUNTY BECAUSE THE BOARD WANTS THE ROADS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY PAVED.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY IN REFERENCE TO THE 500 UNIT COMPLEXES AND WHO WOULD BE MAINTAINING THE ROADS DURING ALL OF THE CONSTRUCTION.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IT IS DRI'S RESPONSIBILITY TO MAINTAIN THE ROADS UNDER THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY IN REFERENCE TO SHALL BEING REMOVED FROM THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER AND REPLACED WITH MAY.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY THAT HE WOULD LIKE FOR THE COUNTY'S ENGINEER TO TAKE THE INFORMATION THAT SHE HAS PROVIDED AND ASSESS IT AND GIVE THE BOARD A DOLLAR FIGURE ON THE CONSTRUCTION AMOUNT AND HE WOULD HAVE TO STAY TO THE POINT THAT THOSE AMOUNTS WOULD HAVE TO BE BONDED AT A CERTAIN LENGTH/TIME OF PRODUCTION. THEY WOULD HAVE TO BE BONDED PRIOR TO ANY AGREEMENT ON THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO THE CHANGE OF WORDING, WHICH WAS CHANGED IN ORDER TO GIVE THE BOARD THE DISCRETION.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY IN REFERENCE TO THE WORD CHANGE WHICH HE DISAGREES WITH STATED THAT SHALL WAS THE PROPER WORD INITIALLY. THE BOARD DIDN'T HAVE A NEED FOR DISCRETION BECAUSE IT IS EITHER A BOND OR A LETTER OR OF CREDIT AND THAT IS WHAT IS REQUIRED BY THE BOARD IN HIS OPINION.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IT WAS HER INTENT TO GIVE THE BOARD THE ABILITY TO REQUIRE IT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY THAT SHALL NEEDS TO BE REPLACED IN ALL CASES WHERE IT WAS REMOVED IN HIS OPINION. MS. SHELLY SAID THAT SHE DIDN'T THINK THERE WERE ANY OTHER CASES. COMMISSIONER CARTER ADVISED THAT THERE WERE SEVERAL CASES WHERE SHALL WAS REMOVED.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO THE MEANING OF THE WORD MAY BUT SHE IS FINE TO PUT SHALL BACK IN THE DOCUMENT. COMMISSIONER

CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY THAT BEING BOUND BY THE WORD SHALL IT IS A LOT STRONGER.

MS. SHELLY AGREED TO WORK WITH THE COUNTY ENGINEER ON THE TIMING OF THE ROADWAY. DRI DOESN'T BOND WHAT THEY ARE CONSTRUCTING BUT WHAT THEY HAVEN'T CONSTRUCTED.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY THAT IF THERE ISN'T SOME KIND OF BOND ISSUE IN PLACE THE BOARD WOULDN'T BE AWARE OF ANY OF THE ISSUES THAT ARE GOING TO OCCUR. ALSO THE COUNTY NEEDS ASSURANCE OF WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN OR NOT HAPPEN WHICH IS WHAT THE BOARD IN PROTECTION OF THE COUNTY IS ENTITLED TO HAVE REFERENCING THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSION WITH THE COUNTY ATTORNEY. UNTIL THE COUNTY HAS SOMETHING UPFRONT THAT IS GOING TO ASSURE THE COUNTY WHAT EXACTLY IS TAKING PLACE THEN HE PERSONALLY FEELS THE PROCESS NEEDS TO SLOW DOWN.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO THE CHANGE IN THE OPTION TO POST-POND THE LETTER OF CREDIT WOULD SAY THE COUNTY SHALL REQUIRE THE POSTING OF A BOND OR LETTER OF CREDIT. IF A DEVELOPER HASN'T COMPLETED CONSTRUCTION OF PHASE IMPROVEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION, WHICH IS THE TIMING. MS. SHELLY CLARIFIED WITH THE BOARD THAT WHAT THEY WANT IS A BONDING AND COMPLETION SCHEDULE. MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT SHE TAKES THE POINT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY IN REFERENCE TO THE ORIGINAL ENTRANCE POINT; ASKING IF DRI IS TAKING THE POSITION THAT THE PRIMARY ENTRANCE POINT IS GOING TO COME TO THE NORTH AND THE WEST OR WHAT IS THE EXACT POSITION RIGHT NOW.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD AS FAR AS THE ACCESS TO THE DEVELOPMENT THEY ARE GOING TO END UP WITH 2 ACCESS POINTS. FOR SURE THEIR WILL BE THE 1 TO THE WEST AND THE NORTH UNDER THE TERMS OF THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER. THERE MAY OR MAY NOT BE THE ACCESS AT HWY. 231 BEFORE THAT 1.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY THE REASON HE ASKED WAS IT SEEMS LIKE THAT WOULD IMPACT WHAT TRAFFIC IS GOING TO BE COMING IN FROM THE NORTH AND THE WEST IF THAT DOESN'T COME TO FRUITION WITH BAY COUNTY. AT SOME POINT IT HAS TO BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT THE BURDEN THAT IT WOULD

PLACE ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE UP TO THE NORTH AND THE WEST IF THAT NEVER COMES TO FRUITION. THE COUNTY HAS BEEN PROCEEDING UNDER THE IDEA THAT SOMEHOW THE ENTRANCE POINT WAS GOING TO BE OFF OF HWY. 231 AND IF IT'S COMING FROM THE NORTH AND THE WEST IN PROTECTION OF THE COUNTY THE BOARD WILL CHECK WITH COUNTY ENGINEER CLIFF KNAUER.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT SHE CAN'T PREDICT WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN AT THIS TIME BECAUSE SHE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT BAY COUNTY WILL ULTIMATELY AGREE TO. IF THEY SAY THAT FOREVER AFTER WE WILL WANT COUNTY LINE ROAD AND SCOTT ROAD TO BE DIRT ROADS THEY WON'T BE PRIMARY ENTRANCES TO THE PROJECT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE COUNTY MUST TAKE THE ASSUMPTION ON OUR END THAT THAT ISN'T GOING TO HAPPEN AND IF IT DOES THAT WOULD BE GREAT BUT THE COUNTY NEEDS TO PREPARED FOR BAY COUNTY NOT TO AGREE.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT DRI HAS SAID THAT THE PAVING HAS ALREADY BEEN DISCUSSED AND THEY WILL TRY FOR BAY COUNTY NOW, THEN AND THEN ANOTHER TRY. IF THEY SAY NO AGAIN WE DO HARTFORD AND IF THEY STILL WON'T DO IT AND WE ARE READY FOR PHASE II WE WILL COME BACK TO THE BOARD AND THE BOARD IS IN CHARGE OF THE ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY IN WASHINGTON COUNTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY FROM A MARKETING STANDPOINT IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT FOR THEM TO USE HWY. 231 BUT AS LONG AS WE ARE BUILDING THE ROADS TO STATE STANDARDS AND OUR ENGINEERING IS WRITING OFF ON IT HE WOULD JUST ASSUME FOR THEM TO NOT COME THROUGH WASHINGTON COUNTY IF WE ARE BUILDING THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO BE ABLE TO HANDLE IT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO TALK TO ATTORNEY GOODMAN LATER ABOUT SOMETHING THAT HAS HAPPENED IN THIS LEVEL BEFORE THAT MIGHT AFFECT ANY DECISION WE HAD AS FAR AS DENIAL IN REFERENCE TO ANOTHER SITUATION SIMILAR TO THIS THAT WAS DENIED THE CAPABILITY OF DOING A CERTAIN THING BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T FOLLOW THROUGH.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THAT HE AGREES WITH HIM BUT HE WOULD LIKE FOR SOMEONE WITH SOME ENGINEERING EXPERTISE

TO TELL HIM WITH THAT CHANGE THE COUNTY CAN STILL FEEL GOOD ABOUT THEIR PROTECTION.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD IF THERE WERE ANY MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER THAT DRI COULD ADDRESS FOR THE BOARD.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE EACH MEMBER LOOK OVER ALL OF THE MATERIAL CLOSELY AND REFER IT TO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY SO THAT HE CAN FURTHER REVIEW IT AND PASS IT ON TO MR. JOYNER OR VICE-VERSA AND GET SOME CLARIFICATIONS ON THE WHOLE THING. IN HIS OPINION RIGHT NOW THINGS NEED TO BE PUT ON A SLOWER PROCESS UNTIL THE BOARD CAN GET A BETTER HANDLE ON WHAT IS GOING ON. HE DOESN'T SEE THE URGENCY OF PURSUING THIS AT SUCH A RAPID PACE AT THIS TIME DUE TO THE CAPABILITIES OF MARKETING ANYTHING. HE REFERRED TO THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER STATING THAT NOTHING WOULD PROBABLY BE DONE FOR 5 YEARS.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT FROM THE TIME THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER GOES INTO EFFECT AS MR. GREY HAS MENTIONED; WE HAVE BEEN IN THIS PROCESS FOR 4 OR A LITTLE OVER 4 YEARS. WE CAN'T FINANCE THE FUTURE BUILDING AND INFRASTRUCTURE OF THIS PROJECT UNTIL WE HAVE DEVELOPMENT ORDER.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY WHAT SCHEDULE WOULD SHE BE PRESENTING TO THE BOARD ON A POTENTIAL START AND COMPLETION DATE OF ANY PHASE OF THIS IF THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER IS APPROVED.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE CURRENT DRAFT HAS COMMENCEMENT OF THE DEVELOPMENT HAVING TO OCCUR WITHIN 5 YEARS. PERMITS WILL HAVE TO BE OBTAINED BEFORE ANY DIRT CAN BE MOVED AND WE HAVE TO KNOW WHERE THAT IS GOING TO BE DONE THROUGH THE PLATS. THERE IS A LOT OF THINGS THAT HAVE TO HAPPEN BEFORE DIRT CAN BE TURNED. THAT 5 YEAR PERIOD GIVES TIME FOR PERMITS, PERMIT CHALLENGES, ENVIRONMENT RESOURCE, ETC...IF THINGS STARTED THE DAY AFTER THANKSGIVING DIRT WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO BE TURNED FOR AT LEAST A YEAR OR 2. FROM THAT STANDPOINT THEY ARE LOOKING AT A SCHEDULE THAT GIVES SOME LEIGH WAY UNDER THIS STATE LAW THERE ISN'T A SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATION TO THIS IF YOU STAY WITHIN A 5 YEAR RANGE OF WHAT YOU PROJECTED FOR YOUR DEVELOPMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY ON WHAT THE FLEXIBILITY IS FOR THE 5 YEAR TIME PERIOD.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD FOR COMMENCEMENT; 3 – 5 YEARS. 3 YEARS IS SOMETIMES TIGHT BUT THAT IS WHY THE STATE LAW GIVES YOU SOME LEIGH WAY ON THAT 5 YEAR WINDOW. MS. SHELLY HASN'T SEEN A DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT WITH LESS THAN A 3 YEAR COMMENCEMENT AND GOES ON TO ASK THE BOARD IF THAT IS A DIRECTION THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO MOVE IN ON THAT COMMENCEMENT DATE.

MR. DERUNTZ ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO CLARIFICATION ON THE WORD SHALL IN THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER. THEN THERE WERE COMMENTS THAT THE BONDING WOULD BE PRIOR TO THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER; IS THAT CORRECT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MR. DERUNTZ THAT THE BOARD HAS TO HAVE SOME CAPABILITY OF KNOWING WHAT CAN AND CAN'T BE FINANCIALLY DONE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MR. DERUNTZ THAT THE WAY HE UNDERSTOOD WHAT COMMISSIONER CARTER SAID WAS HE WAS TRYING TO EXPLORE OPTIONS TO MAKE SURE THE COUNTY HAS SOME SORT OF ASSURANCE THAT WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN IS GOING TO HAPPEN. HE DIDN'T TAKE IT AS A DEMAND BUT AS LOOKING INTO THE AVAILABILITY OF GETTING SOME ASSURANCES FOR THE COUNTY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY THAT THE INDICATION THAT HE IS GETTING FROM THE BOARD TODAY IS THAT 3 YEARS WOULD BE MORE DESIRABLE FROM THE BOARD THAN 5.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER CARTER THAT SHE HAS DEFINED COMMENCEMENT AS CONSTRUCTION; SITE CLEARING, ROAD GATING, ETC. IF HE WANTED TO DEFINE COMMENCEMENT AN EARLI ER WAY; PERMIT APPLICATIONS, ETC. THEN YOU COULD TIGHTEN IT ALTHOUGH YOU NEVER KNOW HOW LONG YOUR PERMIT WILL BE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY TO EXPLAIN TO THE BOARD PURSUANT TO WHAT THE CURRENT LANGUAGE IS IF WE SUBSTITUTED 5 YEARS TO 3 AND WHAT THE REQUIREMENTS WOULD BE WITHIN 3 YEARS.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD SHALL HAVE CONSTRUCTED OR CONSTRUCTION IS SIGNIFICANTLY UNDERWAY ANY OF THE FOLLOWING: SITE CLEARING, GRADING ROADS OR VERTICAL DEVELOPMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY IN REFERENCE TO IF THE DEVELOPMENT WASN'T UNDERWAY IN 3 YEARS/36 MONTHS WHAT WOULD HAPPEN.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IF THE DEVELOPMENT WASN'T UNDERWAY IN 3 YEARS THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER WOULD LAPSE UNLESS AN EXTENSION WAS OBTAINED WHICH BY LAW AN EXTENSION CAN BE OBTAINED OF UP TO 5 YEARS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY AS TO WHAT EFFECT DOES THE 3 YEARS HAVE IF DRI IS GUARANTEED AN EXTENSION.

MS. SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT YOU USE THE DATES FOR TRAFFIC ANALYSIS; ANALYZE BACKGROUND TRAFFIC AND CONDITION OF THE ROADWAYS. IN ADDITION TO THE ROADWAYS THAT ARE BEING DONE IN WASHINGTON COUNTY DRI ALSO HAS TO PAY A PROPORTIONATE SHARE WHICH IS THEIR IMPACT ON OTHERS ROADWAYS OR THE COUNTY'S OTHER ROADWAYS OUTSIDE THE IMMEDIATE VICINITY.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MS. SHELLY THAT EVEN WITH THE 3 YEARS; EVEN WITH THE 3 WITH THE EXTENSION DRI IS LOOKING AT REALLY A 7/8 YEAR DEMAND ON THINGS BEING DONE.

MS. LINDA SHELLY ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN THAT IS CORRECT.

MS. LINDA SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IT MAINTAINS CONTROL OF DRI EVER GETTING A BUILDING PERMIT. FROM THE STANDPOINT OF THE BONDING THE REAL GRASP THE BOARD HAS ON MAKING SURE THAT THE IMPACTS DON'T GET AHEAD OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS TO NOT GIVE DRI A DEVELOPMENT ORDER FOR A BUILDING PERMIT FOR THE NEXT HOUSE OR THE NEXT ANYTHING IF THEY ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE. THAT IS HOW THE BOARD CONTROLS THE PROCESS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MS. LINDA SHELLY THAT THE ONLY COUNTY IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA THAT HAS SHOWED ANY POSITIVE GROWTH IS SUMNER COUNTY AND IT SEEMS THE MODEL IS BEING DONE FROM THAT. IF IT HAS BEEN PUT IN PLACE THE INFRASTRUCTURE WILL BUILD THE ROADS IN WASHINGTON COUNTY THAT WILL HANDLE THIS. WE WANT GROWTH IN WASHINGTON BUT WE WANT IT CONTROLLED. PUTTING THE RIGHT THINGS IN PLACE THIS COULD BE A VERY MARKETABLE PLACE.

MR. HAGAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE CHAIRMAN MAY HAVE SOME INFORMATION OR MR. DERUNTZ MAY HAVE IT; HEARING THE CHANGE OF MAIN INTEREST TODAY AND NOT KNOWING WHAT MAY HAPPEN THERE MAY NEED TO BE A RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION CONSIDERED ON ORANGE HILL FROM LEDGER ROAD GOING SOUTH. THERE IS ABOUT A MILE OF COUNTY ROADS ON WASHINGTON THAT

WON'T MEET RIGHT-OF-WAY STANDARDS AND THAT IS GOING TO BE AN IMPACT. A RIGHT-OF-WAY WILL HAVE TO BE GOTTEN AND EXPAND THAT FURTHER THAN WHAT DISCUSSION HAS BEEN ABOUT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED MR. HAGAN THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF THINGS THAT ARE GOING TO HAVE TO BE ADDRESSED IF IT COMES OUT OVER HERE.

VI: BID AWARDS – NONE

VII: COUNTY ENGINEER – CLIFF ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO RHYTHM THAT THE LEVEL OF STANDARDS FOR THE ROAD DESIGN HE WILL BE LOOKING FOR WILL BE THE CURRENT TRAFFIC COUNTS THAT ARE OUT THERE WERE DONE IN 2010 BY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AS WELL AS THE FULL BUILD OUT COUNTS THAT THEY ANTICIPATE THAT ARE IN THEIR TRAFFIC STUDIES THAT IS WHAT WILL BE USED FOR THE DESIGN OF THE BASE AND SUB-GRADE PAVEMENT FOR THE ROADWAYS. THAT IS AN IMPORTANT PIECE OF THE PUZZLE BECAUSE YOU CERTAINLY WOULDN'T DESIGN IT FOR 500 UNITS; YOU WOULD DESIGN IT FOR THEIR FULL BUILD OUT. WE ARE GOING TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE TRAFFIC THAT WE HAVE NOW AS WELL AS THE TRAFFIC THAT THEY WILL HAVE AT FULL BUILD OUT. MORE THAN LIKELY WE WON'T END UP WITH ANOTHER DRI RIGHT NEXT TO THEM THAT COULD CAUSE US A PROBLEM.

OCTOBER 31 OUR TIGER III GRANT FULL APPLICATION IS DUE. WE HAVE RECEIVED BACK FROM DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CONFIRMATION THAT OUR PRE-APPLICATION WAS ACCEPTED. WE ARE DUE TO GET COMMENTS FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION THIS WEEK ON OUR PRE-APPLICATION. IN ABOUT 2 WEEKS THAT APPLICATION IS DUE. I HAVE FORWARDED SOME INFORMATION TO INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER, STEVE REGARDING THE GUARANTEE OF LANGUAGE FOR A GUARANTEE OF SOME SORT THAT THE MATCH FUNDS WILL BE AVAILABLE AND DEDICATED FOR THIS PROJECT. THE DEADLINE FOR THE APPLICATION IS OCTOBER 31 AT 5:00.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED COUNTY ENGINEER CLIFF KNAUER TO DISCUSS WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN THE EXACT TYPE OF LANGUAGE THAT NEEDS TO BE SUBMITTED TO HIM SO IT WILL SUFFICE FOR WHAT IS NEEDED.

COUNTY ENGINEER KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE DOESN'T HAVE A SPECIFIC DIRECTED FROM THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. THEY DID SEND OUT A MEMO WITH CONFIRMATION THAT SAID THEY WILL BE LOOKING FOR SOME SORT OF GUARANTEE THAT THE FUNDS HAVE BEEN EAR MARKED.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED COUNTY ENGINEER IF HE THOUGHT THEY WOULD ACCEPT A LETTER OF CREDIT OR DO THEY NEED ESCROW FUNDS. COUNTY ENGINEER RESPONDED HE WOULD IMAGINE THEY WOULD ACCEPT A LETTER OF CREDIT BUT UNTIL HE ACTUALLY TALKS TO A PERSON WITH THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REGARDING THIS ISSUE HE WON'T KNOW EXACTLY. WHAT IS A LITTLE UNUSUAL IS MOST OF THE TIME THE CITIES OR COUNTIES OR MUNICIPALITIES ARE THE ONES THAT ARE PUTTING UP THE MATCH MONEY SO IT IS EASY TO SHOW THAT IT IS EAR MARKED OR DEDICATED. IN THIS SITUATION THERE IS AN OUTSIDE PARTY THAT WOULD BE PROVIDING THE MATCH MONEY SO IT'S A SITUATION THAT HE NEEDS TO DISCUSS WITH THEM.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED COUNTY ENGINEER CLIFF KNAUER AS TO HOW SOON HE THINKS HE IS GOING TO GET THAT WITH THE 31ST BEING THE CUT OFF; YOU HAVEN'T GOTTEN MUCH TIME.

COUNTY ENGINEER CLIFF KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE HAS RECEIVED THE NOTICE FROM THE PEOPLE WITH TIGER III ABOUT A WEEK AGO THAT HE SENT INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED COUNTY ENGINEER CLIFF KNAUER THAT HE NEEDS TO GET SOME VERIFICATION, VERBAL OR WHATEVER FROM THE PERSONS THAT ARE GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE \$950,000 IMMEDIATELY; THAT WAY YOU WOULD KNOW HOW MUCH FURTHER YOU NEED TO PURSUE IT.

COUNTY ENGINEER CLIFF KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE THINKS IT'S GOING TO COME DOWN TO WHAT WILL SATISFY THE TIGER FOLKS. IF IT'S JUST A LETTER OF CREDIT CERTAINLY HE COULD ASK THE PEOPLE AT RHYTHM FOR A LETTER BUT HE DOESN'T WANT TO DO THAT UNLESS HE KNOWS THAT IS GOING TO SATISFY THE TIGER FOLKS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED COUNTY ENGINEER CLIFF KNAUER WHEN DOES HE EXPECT TO HEAR FROM THE TIGER FOLKS. HE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE EXPECTS TO HEAR FROM THIS WEEK.

COUNTY ENGINEER KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE ONLY THING HE CAN IMAGINE IS A LETTER OF CREDIT WITH THE CITIES OR COUNTIES A LOT OF TIMES YOU CAN POINT TO A BUDGET ACCOUNT NUMBER OR AN EAR MARKED FUND THAT IS SEPARATE FROM OTHER FUNDS. IN THIS CASE WE AREN'T ABLE TO DO THAT. THERE IS A LOT OF THINGS TO GET DONE IN THE NEXT 2 WEEKS AND HE WILL BE HEADED IN THAT DIRECTION.

COUNTY ENGINEER KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD ABOUT THE 5 YEAR WORK PROGRAM THAT CAME OUT FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY. IN REFERENCE TO THE LUCAS LAKE

ROAD PROJECT, WILDERNESS ROAD PROJECT AND ROCHE ROAD; THEY HAVE ALL 3 OF THOSE ON THEIR LIST NOW. THE FIRST 1 THAT THEY HAVE COMING UP IS GOING TO BE ROCHE ROAD IN 2014 WITH RIVER ROAD AND WILDERNESS FOLLOWING IN 2015. HE SUGGESTED TO THE BOARD THAT BECAUSE LUCAS LAKE AND ROCHE ROAD REALLY DON'T HAVE DEDICATED RIGHT-OF-WAY AT THIS TIME THAT OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF YEARS IT MAY BE SMART TO TRY TO WORK TOWARDS GETTING RIGHT-OF-WAY TOGETHER ON BOTH OF THOSE PROJECTS. THE FUNDING COULD CHANGE. YOU MAY FIND THESE PROJECTS THAT ARE FUNDED TODAY OR ON THE LIST TODAY NEXT YEAR THEY COULD MOVE FURTHER OUT OR FURTHER UP. UNFORTUNATELY IF YOU SPEND MONEY ON RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR ANY OF THESE PROJECTS BEFORE YOU GET YOUR NOTICE TO PROCEED FROM DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION THEY WON'T REIMBURSE YOU. AT THE SAME TIME IF YOU RECALL BONNETT & BAHOMA ROAD THERE WAS A YEAR SPENT TRYING TO GET RIGHT-OF-WAYS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED COUNTY ENGINEER IN REFERENCE TO THE RIGHT-OF-WAYS THAT HE THINKS INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER IS ALREADY ADDRESSING THOSE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED COUNTY ENGINEER IF THE SCOP GRANT WAS A 2 YEAR GRANT. COUNTY ENGINEER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT IT IS NORMALLY SET UP FOR 1 YEAR.

COUNTY ENGINEER ADDRESSED THE BOARD FOR INSTANCE IF YOU GET NOTICE TO PROCEED FROM DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ON LUCAS LAKE RIGHT NOW YOU GET 1 YEAR TO COMPLETE THAT, IT IS GOING TO BE PRETTY TOUGH. UNLESS YOU CAN ROUND EVERYONE UP, SIGN AND MOVE FORWARD WITH PERMITS IN A HURRY IT IS GOING TO BE PRETTY TOUGH. ROCHE ROAD IS GOING TO BE THE SAME SCENARIO. WILDERNESS IS THE ONLY 1 THAT WILL BE REASONABLY EASY TO DEAL WITH.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE 5 YEAR FUNDING PLAN IS JUST EXACTLY THAT AND IT MOVES; THE FUNDING SHIFTS INTO DIFFERENT POTS YOU MAY MOVE UP OR DOWN. IT ISN'T CUT IN CONCRETE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THAT BOARD THAT HE HAS SEEN A LOT OF THESE GRANTS AND HE THINKS THAT WHERE THINGS GOT THROWN OFF IS WHEN THESE BRIDGES COME WITH THEM. IT IS SAD WHEN YOU CAN PAVE 5/6 MILES OF COUNTY ROADS OUT HERE WITH THE SAME FUNDING IT IS TAKING TO PUT A 30 FOOT BRIDGE IN. THE FUNDING ON THE BRIDGES IS OUTRAGEOUS FOR THE TAX PAYERS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT IS AN ARGUMENT THAT NEEDS TO BE TAKEN UP WITH THE STATE. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT HE WOULD IF HE KNEW HOW.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT YEARS AND YEARS OF WORKING THROUGH THAT SYSTEM OUT THERE THEY HAVEN'T CHANGED AND HE DOESN'T THINK THEY ARE GOING TO CHANGE NOW. BRP, BRT AND STUFF LIKE THAT ARE USED FOR YOUR BRIDGES AND IT CAN ONLY BE USED FOR BRIDGES AND APPROACHES. IF IT IS STATE FUNDING IT COMES OUT OF THE SAME POT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE PROBLEM THAT HE HAS IS WITH THE COUNTY. IT WOULD PROBABLY COST \$30,000 FOR ENGINEERING WITH THE COUNTY ENGINEER AND THEY ARE CHARGING ½ A MILLION.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT WE WERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT THE TIGER GRANT THAT MR. HENDERSON STATED THEY WERE PROVIDING THE MATCHING FUNDS FOR THAT GRANT.

MS. LINDA SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT SHE HOPES THEY DON'T GET INTO A TIMING PROBLEM. THEY CAN'T GIVE A LETTER OF CREDIT TO DO ABOUT 1 MILLION OF THE MATCH AND THEN EXPECT IN PART OF THE APPLICATION ANOTHER \$1.8 PLUS TO GET THEM ALL THE WAY TO 231. IN ADVANCE OF GETTING DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL THERE CAN'T BE A LETTER OF CREDIT THAT THEY STAND GOOD ON.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED MS. LINDA SHELLY THAT RHYTHM COULD GIVE A LETTER OF CREDIT IN PENDING APPROVAL. THAT GIVES THE BOARD ASSURANCE THAT YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO.

MS. LINDA SHELLY ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT SHE COULD GIVE THEM A LETTER OF INTENT. IF YOU THINK I AM GOING TO GET APPROVED THEN I CAN GIVE YOU THAT AS WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY SAID.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER COULD BE APPROVED PENDING RHYTHM SHOWING PROOF THAT THEY COULD DO THAT. THE PROBLEM IS RIGHT NOW THE BOARD WILL NOT BE PUSHED INTO THAT IN ORDER TO GET THIS GRANT; NOT IN HIS OPINION. THERE ISN'T THAT MUCH TIME TO DO THAT. THAT IS THE REASON HE SUGGESTED FOR THEM TO COME OUT AND PRESENT 1 PENDING APPROVAL JUST LIKE WE CAN ADOPT THE ORDER PENDING YOU SUPPLYING IT.

COUNTY ENGINEER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE WILL BE REVIEWING THE INFORMATION THAT COMES FROM THE RHYTHM FOLKS ON THE ROAD ISSUES.

VIII. NON AGENDA AUDIENCE- NONE

IX. COUNTY ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THE LAST MEETING REQUESTED THAT HE DRAFT SOME LANGUAGE WITH SOME RESPECT TO THE BOARDS CURRENT DIRT POLICY. AS YOU WILL REMEMBER THE BOARD SPECIFICALLY ASKED HIM TO ADDRESS LANGUAGE TO ELIMINATE GIVING AWAY COUNTY DIRT. THAT WAS IN SECTION D OF OUR POLICY WHICH HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR WITH PROPOSED LANGUAGE THAT HE HAS GONE OVER WITH HIM AND MR. BARFIELD TO MAKE SURE THAT THEIR INTEREST ARE EFFECTUATED AS LONG AS THIS BOARDS. SPECIFICALLY THE ONLY EXCEPTION THAT WASN'T ADDRESSED LAST WEEK THAT HAS HE HAS INCORPORATED INTO THE POLICY; IT ISN'T NECESSARILY AN EXCEPTION. IT JUST MAKES IT CLEAR THAT THE BOARD STILL RESERVES THE RIGHT TO GIVE TO GOVERNMENT AGENCIES DIRT. IN A LOT OF COUNTIES WHERE YOU SEE DIRT THESE DIRT POLICIES THAT ELIMINATE THE ABILITY TO GIVE DIRT THEY STILL RESERVE THE RIGHT TO IT TO OTHER GOVERNMENT MUNICIPAL AGENCIES, ETC. HE FELT IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO PUT THAT IN THERE SO THAT IT WOULD ELIMINATE ANY DOUBT IN CASE THE BOARD SAID THEY GAVE IT TO THE SCHOOL BOARD FOR A NEED THAT THEY HAD. THAT WAS AN EXCEPTION THAT NEEDED TO BE CLARIFIED AND IT HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE PROPOSED COUNTY DIRT LANGUAGE.

ONE COMMISSIONER HAS ASKED ABOUT AN ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OPINION PREVIOUSLY REFERENCED AND I WILL PROVIDE A COPY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S STUFF THAT DEALS SPECIFICALLY WITH DIRT SO THAT HE CAN SEE IT AND A COPY OF THAT WILL BE PLACED IN ALL COMMISSIONERS BOXES SO YOU ALL WILL BE ABLE TO SEE THE OPINION AS WELL.

IRONICALLY HOLMES COUNTY IS GOING THROUGH SOME SIMILAR DISCUSSIONS. THE FURTHERANCE OF WHAT HAS BEEN TALKED ABOUT WITH THE DIRT POLICY IS HE WANTS TO BE SURE THE BOARD IS AWARE AND COMFORTABLE WITH OUR POLICY AS A WHOLE. WHAT HE MEANS BY THAT IS EVERY 3/4 YEARS WITH HOUSEKEEPING MEASURES IT IS PROBABLY A GOOD THING TO LOOK AT YOUR OPERATIONAL POLICY AND PROCEDURES AND YOUR EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK POLICY PROCEDURES AND MAKE SURE YOU ARE COMFORTABLE WITH THOSE. THE DIRT POLICY ITSELF, WE CHANGED THE MILLED ASPHALT POLICY A LITTLE BIT; IT WOULD PROBABLY BE A GOOD THING AT SOME POINT FOR THIS BOARD TO LOOK AT THE OPERATIONAL AND EMPLOYEE POLICY BECAUSE THE OPERATIONAL POLICY IS ABOUT 3 YEARS OLD AND THE EMPLOYEE POLICY ISN'T FAR BEHIND THAT IN AGE. IT WOULD PROBABLY BE A GOOD THING AT SOME POINT TO ALL, ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND THE COUNTY MANAGER TO LOOK AT BOTH

POLICIES AS A WHOLE AND MAKE GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD THERE AS WE SEE WE CAN CLEAR UP. IT WILL BE A THOROUGH TASK. AS AN ATTORNEY IT'S LIKE OUR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. OUR EMPLOYEE POLICY AND HANDBOOK AND OUR ADMINISTRATIVE POLICY AND HANDBOOK; THOSE ARE ALMOST LIKE THE BIBLE TO HIM. WHENEVER HE GETS CALLED IN AND QUESTIONED ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE DOING HE CAN SAY 1 IT'S LEGAL STATUTORILY, WHICH MOST THINGS ARE, SURPRISINGLY LEGAL. 2ND HE WOULD POINT TO POLICY AND HE WILL MAKE SURE WHEN WE GET INTO COURT THE POLICY IS REALLY GOOD FOR THIS COUNTY WITH RESPECT OF PROTECTING US AND IT ALSO ALLOWS US THE MAXIMUM PROTECTION AND THE ABILITY FOR INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE TO OPERATE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER IS THAT IT'S TIME TO LOOK AT OUR EMPLOYEE AND OPERATIONAL POLICY, IF NOTHING ELSE TO MAKE SURE WE'RE COMFORTABLE WITH WHAT THEY ARE AND IF WE EVER GET CAUGHT IN THEY QUESTION OUR POLICY AND PROCEDURES; 1 WE ARE FOLLOWING THEM AND 2 THAT THEY ARE READ IN A WAY THEY ARE PRETTY CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS WHICH WOULD CERTAINLY HELP HIM IN AN ONGOING BASIS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THIS BOARD NEEDS TO APPROVE IT BUT HE WOULD LIKE TO GIVE THEM PERMISSION TO HEAD IN THAT DIRECTION. HE HAS HAD CONCERNS IN THE BEGINNING WITH THE OPERATIONAL POLICY AND THE NEW PERSONNEL MANUAL.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THEY ACTUALLY HAVEN'T SAT DOWN AND DONE A COMPLETE OVER VIEW OF IT. HE HAS BEEN WALKING ON EGGS EVER SINCE HE HAS BEEN ON THIS BOARD BECAUSE OF SOME OF THE POLICIES THE BOARD HAS AND THEY NEED TO BE STRAIGHTENED OUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE IS NEW AND A LOT OF THE HISTORY OF THE COUNTY AND THE WAY THINGS HAVE BEEN DONE HE JUST DOESN'T KNOW. ALL HE KNOWS IS THAT OUR POLICY, EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK AND OPERATIONAL POLICY COULD BE A LOT TIGHTER. FOR 1 LET THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AND DEPARTMENT HEADS MAXIMUM FLEXIBILITY TO DO THEIR JOBS. ALSO TO GIVE THE COUNTY ATTORNEY SOME ASSURANCE THAT IF HE EVER GETS CAUGHT IN COURT THAT HE HAS SOMETHING REALLY GOOD HE CAN POINT AT AND SAY THAT IS WHAT THE COUNTY IS FOLLOWING. IT'S KIND OF LIKE AN EXTENSION OF OUR DOG ORDINANCE. THE REASON HE WANTED THAT CHANGED IS BECAUSE HE WAS SCARED TO DEATH TO HAVE TO GET CAUGHT IN A FIST FIGHT WITH IT AND IT'S THE SAME THING WITH THE OPERATIONAL POLICY AND EMPLOYEE HANDBOOK. THOSE 2 DOCUMENTS ALONG WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN IN HIS OPINION IT WOULD SAVE SO MUCH HEART ACHE ON THE BACK END TO GET IT RIGHT ON THE FRONT END. HE THINKS THAT THEY ARE

GOOD BUT THEY NEED TO BE MADE GREAT. THE GOOD THING ABOUT THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS IS UNLESS REALLY WE ARE PRESCRIBED BY STATUTE YOU GUYS HAVE A LOT OF BROAD FORWARDING. THERE ARE SOME LIMITATIONS TO IT OBVIOUSLY IN THE FLORIDA STATUTE BUT REALLY THE STATE HAS SAID YOU CAN'T DO THESE THINGS. WE HAVE GIVEN YOU BROAD POWERS TO GO OUT AND EFFECTUATE WHAT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE PUBLIC/COUNTY CITIZENS. THESE POLICY'S ARE WHAT WE ARE ABLE TO POINT TO AND SAY THIS IS HOW WE ARE GOING TO OPERATE AS A COUNTY. IT REALLY GIVES GUIDANCE NOT ONLY TO YOU BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY THE PEOPLE THAT ARE UNDER YOU; THE PEOPLE THAT WORK OUT AT ROAD AND BRIDGE AND EMS. IT DICTATES THAT THEY HAVE SOMETHING THEY CAN POINT TO AND LOOK AT AND SAY THIS IS HOW WE ARE GOING TO HANDLE THIS SITUATION AND UNLESS THE BOARD DICTATES OTHERWISE. THE BEAUTIFUL THING ABOUT THE PENDING CHANGES IS NOTHING WILL BE DONE WITHOUT THE BOARD'S APPROVAL. IT ISN'T LIKE MR. GOODMAN CAN WHIP UP SOME NEW POLICIES AND THEY COME INTO EFFECT. THAT PROCESS NEEDS TO GET STARTED AND IT WILL HELP OUR COUNTY IN THE LONG TERM TO GET IT RIGHT. IT ISN'T SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE RUSHED AND I WILL BRING THINGS TO YOU AND YOU WILL SAY WHETHER YOU LIKE IT OR NOT, IS IT LEGAL AND WHY OR WHY NOT. THOSE DISCUSSIONS WILL BE ONCOMING IN THE COMING MONTHS. AS HE WAS GOING THROUGH THE DIRT POLICY LAST WEEK HE FELT LIKE HE WAS PUTTING A BAND-AID ON A BIGGER WOUND THAN THIS AND NEED TO GO AHEAD AND START THE PROCESS OF MAKING SURE THAT WE EFFECTUATE WHAT THIS BOARD WANTS AND WHAT IT IS ALLOWED TO DO. IN FACT THE DIRT POLICY HAS ALREADY BEEN IMPLEMENTED BY THE BOARD AND HE HAS JUST PROVIDED THE LANGUAGE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN THAT EVERY BOARD CHANGES AND DIFFERENT BOARDS WANT DIFFERENT THINGS. IN A COUPLE OF YEARS THERE MAY BE ANOTHER DIRT POLICY AND TO CHANGE THAT IS COMPLETELY LEGAL.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IF THIS BOARD, NEXT NOVEMBER CHANGES AND DOESN'T LIKE THE WAY THIS DIRT POLICY IMPLEMENTED YOU CAN COME BACK AND SAY TO ME THAT IT'S TOO RESTRICTED RIGHT NOW AND WHAT ARE THE MAXIMUM LIMITS ALLOWED BY LAW THAT WE CAN TAKE IT TO. IF THAT COMES UP HE WILL TELL YOU WHAT THAT IS; THIS BOARD HAS THE ABILITY TO CHANGE IT AT ANYTIME AND AT THE SAME TIME HE WILL TRY TO KEEP THE BOARD WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF WHAT THE LAW SAYS. CERTAINLY IF THE BOARD CHANGES AND TIME GOES ON THE NEEDS AND INTEREST OF THE COUNTY ARE GOING TO CHANGE AND HOPEFULLY THE SIZE OF THE COUNTY WILL CHANGE. HOPEFULLY FROM WHERE WE SIT TODAY PROBABLY GOOD HOUSEKEEPING ON THE POLICY WILL BE A GOOD IDEA.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN SUGGESTING THAT IF IT'S WORKABLE FOR HIM THAT HE COULD DO THE ROUGH DRAFTS AND REFER TO ANY STATE STATUTE THAT CAN'T BE SUPER CEDED AND LET THE INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER HAVE THAT AND PUT IT IN OUR BOXES SO THAT WE CAN COME BACK IN AND READ IT AND MAKE NOTATIONS ON IT AND HE CAN SUBMIT IT BACK TO YOU AND YOU CAN ADDRESS THOSE AT A MEETING SO WE DON'T TAKE UP SO MUCH TIME HASHING THINGS BACK AND FORTH. WE CAN DO A LOT OF THAT ON OUR ON TIME BY JUST REVIEWING WHAT IS PUT IN A DRAFT AND SUBMITTED TO US.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD AND SAID THE GOOD THING ABOUT THIS IS THAT EVEN IF VERY LITTLE IS CHANGED IT WILL MAKE US ADDRESS WHAT WE DO AND WHY WE DO IT. SOMEBODY LIKE TOD ON THE DIRT POLICY JEFF GOODMAN DOESN'T KNOW HOW TOD OPERATES WITH DIRT OR MILLED ASPHALT ON A DAILY BASIS. IT MADE ME ASK HIM HOW THIS SHOULD PLAY ITSELF OUT AND THE SAME WITH ROGER HAGAN AND HIS DEPARTMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THERE ARE A COUPLE MORE ISSUES THAT HE HAS BUT WILL WAIT UNTIL NEXT MEETING TO ADDRESS THEM SINCE COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND IS ABSENT TODAY AND I HAVE LOOKED AT AN ISSUE THAT HE HAD PREVIOUSLY ASKED ME ABOUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD WITH RESPECT TO THE RHYTHM DEVELOPMENT HE LOOKS FOR ALL OF THE BOARDS INPUT TO HIM. HE WOULD LOVE TO TALK TO THEM ABOUT IT AND GET THEIR THOUGHTS ABOUT IT. IT IS CERTAINLY IMPORTANT THAT EVERYONE IS ON THE SAME PAGE AND WE ARE GOING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT TOMORROW NIGHT IS THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE WILL BE HEAR FROM 4-8 IN THE BAY COUNTY LITIGATION CASE. THE BULK OF THE ORAL ARGUMENTS AND TESTIMONY HAVE BEEN TAKEN BUT WE AREN'T CLOSE TO THE FINISH LINE. IN A SENSE THERE IS A PROCESS THAT YOU HAVE TO GO THROUGH TO GET TO THE FINISH LINE AND WE ARE MONTHS AWAY FROM THAT.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN ARE WE GOING TO HAVE THE INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER TO PUT THE GOODWILL INDUSTRY STUFF ON THE PUBLIC HEARING AT THE REGULAR MEETING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN RESPONDED HE WOULD APPRECIATE THAT. THE GENTLEMAN FROM TALLAHASSEE THAT HE HAS BEEN TALKING TO CALLED A FEW WEEKS AGO. THEY HAD

GONE THROUGH ZOLA'S OFFICE TO GET IT NOTED PROPERLY AND HE IS GOING TO BE HERE TO EXPLAIN KIND OF WHAT IS GOING ON, NOT ONLY WITH WASHINGTON COUNTY BUT IT'S KIND OF A MULTI-COUNTY DEAL WHERE THEY ARE PUTTING TOGETHER HERE AND THEY NEED OUR COOPERATION TO GET IT DONE. HE WILL BE HERE IN PERSON AT THE NEXT MEETING TO TALK TO THE BOARD AND LET THE PUBLIC HEAR WHAT HE HAS TO SAY AND ANYONE ASK QUESTIONS. IF AT THAT TIME THE BOARD NEEDS TO TAKE ACTION, THE BOARD CAN AT THAT TIME.

COMMISSIONER CARTER ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN THAT HE WOULD LIKE FOR HIM TO BE ABLE TO TELL HIM WHY THE BOARD HAS TO AGREE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN SAID HE WILL BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

IX. COUNTY MANAGER – INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO THE DRAFTED LIST FOR THE MSBU COMMITTEE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO SETTING A DATE EVERY MONTH FOR IT TO BE CONSISTENT THAT WOULD CERTAINLY HELP TO PLAN WITH JUDGES AND SO ON. HE DOESN'T KNOW IF THAT IS POSSIBLE BUT HE WOULD APPRECIATE THE BOARDS CONSIDERATION AND THAT WAY WE KNOW IT IS FOR MEETING DAY.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE THINKS HE IS THE CHARACTER THAT STARTED ALL OF THAT BECAUSE OF THE HEARINGS THAT WERE GOING ON. HE PLANS ON SUBMITTING TO THE BOARD A SCHEDULE OF THOSE DUTIES WHEN IT HAPPENS. IT IS PROBABLY BEST TO MOVE IT UP 1 WEEK AND IF WE ARE GOING TO HAVE WORKSHOPS WE DON'T NEED TO HAVE 10-12 DAYS FOR THEM. WE NEED TO SET THEM ON FRIDAY, THE WEEK BEFORE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE REALIZES HE IS THE LOW MAN ON THE TOTEM POLE BUT IT WOULD HELP WITH HIS CALENDARING THE JUDGE'S HEARINGS. IT WOULD HELP FOR A CONSISTENT DATE WITH MY SCHEDULE AS WELL AS THE PUBLICS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD HE HAD RECEIVED A LETTER FROM AN INDIVIDUAL COMPLIMENTING TOD BARFIELD AND HIS STAFF FOR SOME WORK THAT HAD BEEN DONE IN THE BUCKHORN COMMUNITY. HE APPRECIATES THE WORK THAT MR. BARFIELD AND HIS STAFF IS DOING.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD TO LOOK AT THE TOURIST DEVELOPMENT AND THE GROUP ON THE WASHINGTON COUNTY FINE ARTS COUNCIL. IT LOOKS LIKE THEY ARE TRYING TO RECONSTITUTE THAT AND A COUPLE OF OTHER REPORTS.

COMMISSIONER CARTER OFFERED A MOTION SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER ABBOTT TO ADJOURN THE MEETING.

ATTEST: _____

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN