

MAY 17, 2012

BCC - REGULAR MEETING

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, IN AND FOR WASHINGTON COUNTY MET ON THE ABOVE DATE AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY ANNEX BOARD MEETING ROOM, 1331 SOUTH BOULEVARD, CHIPLEY, FLORIDA WITH COMMISSIONERS ABBOTT, BROCK, CARTER, STRICKLAND AND PATE PRESENT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN, CLERK COOK, DEPUTY CLERK BRANTLEY AND INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER STEVE JOYNER WERE ALSO IN ATTENDANCE.

I. **PROCLAMATION** - DEPUTY LONGO

A. CALL TO ORDER - CHAIRMAN CARTER

B. INVOCATION/PLEDGE- DAVID CORBIN/COMMISSIONER
STRICKLAND

II. **ADOPT PREVIOUS MINUTES** -

APRIL 19, 2012

**COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY
COMMISSIONER PATE AND CARRIED TO APPROVE APRIL 19, 2012
MINUTES.**

III. PUBLIC HEARING - NONE

IV. CONSENT AGENDA

A. REQUEST APPROVAL FOR THE CLERK OF COURT TO PAY
VOUCHERS IN THE AMOUNT OF 1,050,754.04 FOR APRIL 2012.

B. LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF
COMMISSIONERS AND HABILITATIVE SERVICES OF NORTH
FLORIDA'S HEALTHY FAMILIES PROGRAM

C. WASHINGTON COUNTY ARTS COUNCIL REQUESTED THE BOARD
APPROVE SUZAN GAGE AS A MEMBER OF THE COUNCIL.

D. PROCLAMATION FOR MENTAL HEALTH MONTH IN MAY

E. MAKE APPLICATION FOR BOTH DOT ENHANCEMENT GRANT AND/OR
COMMUNITY TRAFFIC SAFETY TEAM (CTST) GRANT BOTH DUE
JUNE 1, 2012

**COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY
COMMISSIONER PATE TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA.**

V. NON-AGENDA AUDIENCE

A. MR. WALLACE SMITH, 863 FALLING WATER ROAD - MR. SMITH
ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO INCREASED TRAFFIC
ON FALLING WATER ROAD. HE CONTACTED OTHER RESIDENTS
THAT LIVE ON THAT ROAD AND THEY AGREED WITH HIM IN
REFERENCE TO SPEEDING AND EXCESS TRAFFIC ON THE ROAD.

HE WENT TO THE CITY COMMISSION MEETING AND PROPOSED THAT THEY PLACE 4 TO 5 SPEED BUMPS BEGINNING ON THE NORTH SIDE OF JOHNSON AVENUE AND ENDING JUST ON THE NORTH SIDE OF BRICKYARD ROAD DUE TO INCREASED TRAFFIC AND EXCESSIVE SPEEDING OVER 30 MPH. THE CITY INFORMED HIM THAT IT IS A COUNTY ROAD.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADVISED MR. SMITH THAT HE WOULD ASK INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER TO ADVISE COUNTY ENGINEER CLIFF KNAUER TO GO AND ASSESS THE ROAD AND MAKE A DETERMINATION ON WHAT THE COUNTY NEEDS TO DO. A DECISION ON HOW TO HANDLE THEIR ROAD ISSUE CAN'T BE MADE UNTIL THE BOARD GETS THE ASSESSMENT FROM THE COUNTY ENGINEER. HE ALSO REFERENCED THE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT BEING CONTACTED TO DO SOME SPEED CONTROL. HE ASKED MR. SMITH TO FOLLOW UP WITH MR. JOYNER.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF SPEED BUMPS CAN BE PUT ON A RURAL ROAD, FOR EXAMPLE THAT IS 2 OR 3 MILES.

COUNTY ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT HE WOULD DEFER SOME OF THAT TO THE COUNTY ENGINEER ON THE SAFETY AND IMPACT OF THAT. HE ISN'T AWARE OF ANY SPECIFIC STATUTE THAT DEALS WITH WHAT THE DESIGNATED SPEED LIMIT IS ON A RURAL DIRT ROAD. HE

DOES KNOW THAT WE HAVE SOME ABILITY TO MOVE THOSE WITH RESPECT TO SPEED BUMPS; THAT IS SOMEWHAT DISCRETIONARY. HE WOULD SUSPECT THAT THERE IS SOME ENGINEERING AND SAFETY PROBLEMS BUT IT WOULD BE BEST FOR COUNTY ENGINEER KNAUER TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT FROM HIS UNDERSTANDING IF YOU PUT A SPEED SIGN UP ON A ROAD IT ISN'T LEGAL UNLESS THAT ROAD HAS BEEN ADOPTED BY AN ORDINANCE.

B. MR. JOE NELSON, 1879 PORTER ROAD -

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED MR. NELSON THAT IT'S HIS UNDERSTANDING THAT IN HIS SITUATION WHERE HE CURRENTLY IS AT NOW, THAT HIS COUNCIL HAS ADVISED HIM TO MAKE A PUBLIC STATEMENT BUT HE IS OPPOSED.

MR. NELSON SAID THAT IS CORRECT. HE READ A STATEMENT THAT HE HAD WRITTEN AS FOLLOWS: HE WANTED TO COME BEFORE THE ENTIRE COMMISSION AS A MATTER OF RECORD TO ADDRESS SOMETHING THAT THE COUNTY ATTORNEY, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AND CURRENT DISTRICT FOR COMMISSIONER HAVE BEEN MADE AWARE OF. HIS MISTAKE IN ALL OF THIS IS THAT HE TRUSTED, AS MOST IN THE AUDIENCE WOULD, THEIR THEN CURRENT COUNTY COMMISSIONER TO CHECK INTO A

MATTER THAT WAS ON GOING. HE SHOULD HAVE LONG AGO COME BEFORE THE ENTIRE COUNTY COMMISSION AND ADDRESSED THE ISSUE. HE HAS OVER MANY YEARS GONE TO VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO INQUIRE AND COMPLAIN. IN JUNE 2011 HE WAS STOPPED BY A WASHINGTON COUNTY SHERIFF OFFICER ON GILBERT'S MILL ROAD NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF OSCAR LANE AND TOLD HE COULD NO LONGER CONTINUE THROUGH OSCAR LANE, EVEN THOUGH HIS PROPERTY LINE WAS WITHIN SIGHT ON GILBERTS MILL ROAD. HE WAS TOLD IF HE DID SO THAT HE WOULD BE SUBJECTED TO BEING TICKETED FOR TRESPASS. THIS ON A ROAD NAMED AFTER HIS UNCLE, ON A ROAD PLATTED AND RECORDED WITH PLANNING AND ZONING, PUBLIC WORKS AND WITH THE OFFICE OF 911. HE WAS TOLD BY THE OFFICER HE WAS GOING ON A LEGAL DOCUMENT THE LAND OWNER AT 152 OSCAR LANE HAD SHOWN HIM. HE ALSO STATED THAT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS WAS MENTIONED WITHIN IT AND THAT HE HAD TO GO ALONG WITH THAT DOCUMENT. HE NEVER KNEW OF OR WAS INFORMED OF THAT DOCUMENT PRIOR TO JUNE 2011. HE IS THE SINGLE LARGEST LAND OWNER WITH PROPERTY ABUTTING OSCAR LANE AND FOR MANY YEARS HAVE TRAVELED OSCAR LANE, BETWEEN PORTER ROAD AND GILBERTS MILL WITH NO ISSUES. HE FORMALLY TODAY QUESTIONED BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS THE ASSUMED,

PERCEIVED OR ACTUAL CLOSURE OF OSCAR LANE THROUGH GILBERTS MILL ROAD TO 152 OSCAR LANE. THIS PERCEIVED CLOSURE IS CAUSED AN INCONVENIENCE IN THAT AREA. UNDERSTANDABLY AS THERE ARE SPECIFIC AND CLEAR, FLORIDA STATUTES AND WASHINGTON COUNTY CODES REQUIRED FOR SUCH CLOSE. HE ALSO QUESTIONS THE VALIDITY OF THE RECORDED AGREEMENT BETWEEN 2 PARTIES RECORDED AT THE WASHINGTON COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS, WHICH RECORDED FROM 2 PARTIES AND WAS RECORDED TO 3 PARTIES; THIRD PARTY BEING THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. AGAIN TO HAVE THIS TYPE OF DOCUMENT BE VALID AND LEGAL THERE ARE SPECIFICS AND CLEAR POINT STATUTES AND COUNTY CODES THAT MUST BE FIRST ADDRESSED IN A YEAR OR 2. NONE OF WHICH HE CAN FATHOM WERE EVER ADDRESSED. THEREFORE FOR PUBLIC RECORD AND FORMAL INQUIRY REQUEST, WHICH IS IN THE PROCESS OF BEING DONE, HE IS TOLD THROUGH THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR, COUNTY ATTORNEY AND COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THAT THE COUNTY VALIDATE WHETHER OSCAR LANE, 152 OSCAR IN EITHER DIRECTION FROM GILBERTS MILL TO PORTER ROAD IS AND CONTINUES TO BE COUNTY RIGHT-OF-WAY AND IF THROUGH TRAFFIC IS OPEN TO ANY AND ALL TRAFFIC AS ANY COUNTY PLATTED ROAD IS. AS MENTIONED EARLIER THERE ARE SPECIFIC FLORIDA STATUTES AND COUNTY CODES SPECIFIC TO

THE CLOSURE OR FORFEITURE OF ANY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF THE COUNTY ENTRY AND HE CAN FIND NO EVIDENCE THROUGH ANY PUBLIC DISCLOSURE OR RECORDING OF THE SITUATION WAS EVER DONE OR MADE BY THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

C. TED EVERETT BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD THE LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR THE WIRELESS COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT FACILITIES THAT HE REQUESTED APPROVAL BY THE COUNTY TO GO ON THE MUDHILL WASHINGTON COUNTY TOWER. FLORIDA RURAL BROADBAND ALLIANCE HAS BEEN IN THE WORKS FOR OVER A YEAR AND A HALF AND PART OF THE FEDERAL GRANT THAT WAS RECEIVED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF 24.7 MILLION DOLLARS ALSO REQUIRED THEM TO HAVE MATCHING FUNDS OF 2 MILLION. THEY ARE HOPING TO USE THE COUNTY'S TOWER AS AN IN-KIND ASSET. IT IS CURRENTLY VALUED AT \$2250.00 PER MONTH. THE TOWER LEASE WOULD GIVE FRBA THE RIGHT TO HANG A BROADBAND MICROWAVE TOWER TO COMPLETE THE RING FOR RURAL BROADBAND. THE BOARD GIVES IT TO FRBA FOR 5 YEARS AT NO COST AND THEN AFTER THAT THE BOARD WOULD NEGOTIATE WITH THEM AND HE WOULD ASSUME SINCE THEY ARE CURRENTLY VALUING IT AT \$2250.00 IT WILL KEEP THAT SAME RATE PER MONTH AT THE END OF 5 YEARS AND

THEN THAT REVENUE STRENGTH WILL COME DIRECTLY IN TO
THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN DISCLOSED TO THE BOARD A POSSIBLE
CONFLICT; THE LADY THAT DRAFTED THE LEASE NOT ONLY FOR
WASHINGTON COUNTY BUT FOR THE ENTIRE AREA WORKS
THROUGH HIS OFFICE AT TIMES AS OF COUNSEL. HE HAS
REVIEWED THE LEASE AND IT'S THE SAME AS WHAT HAS BEEN
APPROVED ALL OVER WITH RESPECT TO THE GENERAL TERMS OF
THE LEASE. HE IS COMFORTABLE WITH THE LEASE WHICH IS
A GENERAL LEASE. THE IMPORTANT PART OF THE LEASE IS
THE GRANT REQUIRES AN IN-KIND MATCH, WHICH IS WHY THAT
\$2250.00 IS IMPORTANT. VARIOUS COUNTIES CAN
PARTICIPATE WITH THE IN-KIND MATCH BEING THE VALUE OF
THAT SPACE FOR THAT PERIOD OF TIME. THAT IS THE
KICKER OF THE GRANT AND THAT IS WHY IT IS SETUP THE
WAY IT IS.

IF THERE IS AN ISSUE THAT POPS UP WITH ANY OF OUR
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM, THE LEASE REQUIRES THEM TO TAKE
APPROPRIATE ACTION TO REMEDY THAT. THERE IS TIME
KICKERS IN THE LEASE TO DO THAT BUT IT IS THEIR
RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE SURE IT DOESN'T INTERFERE WITH
ANYTHING; EMERGENCY, MEDICAL OR ANY OTHER USES AS FAR
AS OUR TRANSMISSION SYSTEM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THIS IS THE FIRST TIME THAT HE HAS HEARD ABOUT THIS AND WHEN SOMEONE IS ASKING HIM TO SIGN A LEASE HE WANTS TIME TO EVALUATE.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THAT HE THOUGHT COPIES WERE PUT IN THEIR BOXES AND THAT HE HAD ONE. COMMISSIONER PATE AND BROCK ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THEY HAD SEEN IT. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IT WAS IN HIS BOX IN APRIL.

COMMISSIONER PATE OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND AND CARRIED TO ADOPT THE LICENSE AGREEMENT FOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT FACILITIES WITH FLORIDA RURAL BROADBAND ALLIANCE, LLC.

VI. **COUNTY MANAGER**

1. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO A NEW MEMBER SUBMISSION BY MSBU, QULAN FRENCH BEING ADDED TO THE MSBU COMMITTEE WHO WOULD BE THE NON-VOTING PARTY AND WOULD ONLY VOTE IF THERE WAS A TIE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER PATE AND CARRIED TO APPOINT NEW MEMBER QULAN FRENCH TO THE MSBU COMMITTEE.

2. LIVE OAK LANDING - INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER

ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO A LETTER THAT COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD ASKED COUNTY ENGINEER KNAUER TO PRODUCE, WHICH HE PREVIOUSLY PASSED OUT TO THEM. THE LETTER HAD BEEN SENT TO NORTH WEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT REQUESTING THEIR PERMISSION TO REPAIR THE LIVE OAK LANDING AT HOLMES CREEK, WHICH IS LOCATED ON NW FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT'S DISTRICT PROPERTY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE LETTER ASKED THE BOARD TO SPEND COUNTY FUNDS REPAIRING A LANDING THAT IS ON SOMEONE ELSE'S PROPERTY AND HE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHY THE BOARD NEEDS TO FIX THAT LANDING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE HAS BEEN WORKING ON THIS PROJECT FOR 7-8 YEARS AND THIS PROJECT WAS FUNDING WITH WATER MANAGEMENT SEVERAL YEARS AGO AND WHEN HE WENT OUT OF OFFICE NOBODY LOOKED AFTER IT. WHEN HE CAME BACK IN OFFICE THE FIRST THING HE DONE WAS HAVE WATER MANAGEMENT PULL IT BACK OFF THE SHELF BECAUSE THAT IS CURRENTLY PROBABLY THE WORST BOAT LANDING IN THE COUNTY.

PERMITTING HAS BEEN GOING BACK AND FORTH FOR ABOUT 2-3 YEARS. LAST YEAR THE CORE OF ENGINEERING WAS RECEIVED

AND THE PERMITTING IS THERE TO PUT THAT BOAT LANDING IN. THE CORE OF ENGINEERING, WHICH OVER RULES GAME AND FISH HAS GIVEN THE COUNTY THE GO AHEAD TO PUT THAT LANDING IN. MR. CLECKLY HAS BEEN CONTACTED AND HE SAID THAT WATER MANAGEMENT WOULD GIVE THE COUNTY ACCESS TO PUT THAT LANDING IN. THE LANDING IS SO STEEP THAT WHEN YOU BACK A BOAT IN, WATER FILLS UP THE BOAT. IT WON'T BE A BIG PROJECT.

COUNTY ENGINEER KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO THE LANDING. THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HAD FUNDING THEM FOR \$200,000; HIGHTOWER AND LIVE OAK. AT THE TIME THE FUNDING WAS IN PLACE THE ARMY CORE OF ENGINEERS AND THE D.E.P. WERE AT ODDS WITH EACH OTHER ABOUT THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER LINE ON HOLMES CREEK. D.E.P. ISSUED THE PERMITS AND AFTER THAT THE ARMY CORE CHALLENGED IT SAYING THAT THEY DIDN'T AGREE WITH THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER LINE AND THIS HAS CALLED A HOLD UP ON THE LANDING. THE ARMY CORE OVER RULED THE D.E.P. CAUSING A RESUBMISSION FOR PERMITTING TO HAVE TO BE DONE. BY THE TIME EVERYTHING WAS RESOLVED WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HAD ALREADY HAD THEIR FUNDING PULLED BY THE GOVERNOR OUT OF THEIR BUDGET.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED MR. KNAUER HOW DID THE PROJECT GO FROM \$200,000 TO MINIMUM. MR. KNAUER SAID THE \$200,000 WAS FOR A MUCH LARGER PROJECT THAN WHAT IS BEING TALKED ABOUT FIXING NOW.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED IF ALL THE PERMITS WERE IN HAND. MR. KNAUER SAID THE DEP PERMIT THEY HAVE HAD FOR ABOUT A YEAR AND THE OTHERS SHOULD BE ISSUED ANY DAY NOW.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IF THE FUNDING IS GONE HE DOESN'T SEE THE BOARD SPENDING ANY MONEY ON IT BUT THAT IS JUST HIS OPINION.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE HAS CONTACTED MR. DAVID CORBIN AND THERE IS \$22,000 IN BOAT LANDING MONEY. THERE IS A GREAT LIABILITY THERE AND HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT FIXED FOR THE PEOPLE AND THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF COMPLAINTS ON THE LANDING.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED WHY WATER MANAGEMENT WOULDN'T FIX IT. MR. KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT FROM HIS UNDERSTANDING THEY DON'T HAVE THE FUNDING AND ARE OPERATING ON RESERVES.

COMMISSIONER BROCK OFFERED A MOTION TO FIX THE LIVE OAK LANDING.

THE MOTION FAILED FOR LACK OF A SECOND.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXPRESSED HIS DISAPPOINTMENT FOR THE MOTION FAILING.

CHAIRMAN CARTER SAID THAT HE THINKS THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD IS TELLING HIM THE PROBLEM IS THAT IT ISN'T ON WASHINGTON COUNTY PROPERTY BUT WATER MANAGEMENT'S AND THEY SHOULD BE LIABLE.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED MR. KNAUER TO CONTACT THE DIRECTOR OF WATER MANAGEMENT, MR. CLECKLY AND EXPLAIN TO HIM AND SEE WHAT THEY CAN DO TO ADDRESS IT.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IF IT'S A SAFETY ISSUE WITH THE BOAT LANDING HE WOULD RECOMMEND THEY CLOSE IT UNTIL IT CAN BE REPAIRED.

MR. KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE WORKS AT THE DISCRETION OF THE BOARD AND IF HE IS TOLD TO MAKE THAT REQUEST HE WOULD BE HAPPY TO DO SO. HE WENT ON TO SAY THAT IF SOMEONE HAS A SMALL BOAT IT'S A PROBLEM BUT THERE WOULDN'T BE A PROBLEM WITH A 16 FOOT BOAT. WATER MANAGEMENT DOES RECOGNIZE THAT THE GRADE OF THE BOAT RAMP IS TOO STEEP.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED IF IT WAS THE CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD HE WOULD LIKE FOR MR. KNAUER TO LIST ANY LIABILITY WITH WATER MANAGEMENT AND SUBMIT THAT TO

THEM WITH A REQUEST OF WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. MR. KNAUER SAID THAT HE WOULD PREPARE A LETTER EXPLAINING THE SITUATION WITH THE SMALLER BOATS AND FORWARD IT TO INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER TO SEND TO NORTH WEST FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADVISED MR. KNAUER THAT IT NEEDS TO STATE THE COUNTY IS CONCERNED ABOUT THE POSSIBLE LIABILITY TO THE PEOPLE THAT USE IT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE DIDN'T THINK THE ISSUE WITH PERMITTING WOULD GO AWAY. MR. KNAUER ADVISED HIM THAT IT HAD ALL BEEN RESOLVED. THE ARMY CORE WAS READY TO ISSUE IN MARCH BUT HE HAD TO REQUEST FOR THEM NOT TO BE ISSUED BECAUSE IT WOULD HAVE EXPIRED ON MARCH 15TH.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED MR. KNAUER TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE ON THE NEW RULING ON THE COUNTIES WHERE THEY HAVE TO HAVE ALL THE PERMITS BEFORE THEY CAN ISSUE ONE.

MR. KNAUER SAID HE DID RECEIVE A COPY OF IT YESTERDAY AND THE ESSENTIAL DIRECTION OF IT IS A COUNTY CAN'T DENY SOMEBODY A DEVELOPMENT ORDER BASED ON AN APPLICANT NOT HAVING THEIR ARMY CORE AND D.E.P. PERMITS. THE CONDITION HAS TO STATE THAT NO WORK CAN

BEGIN UNTIL ALL FEDERAL AND STATE PERMITS ARE IN HAND. IN REALITY THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WASHINGTON COUNTY HAS DONE IN THE PAST. THE BIG THING THEY ARE PUSHING NOW IS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE FLOOD PLAIN MANAGER STAYS MORE AWARE OF PROJECTS THAT COME THROUGH THE COUNTY PROCESS.

COMMISSIONER PATE EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN ABOUT THE BOAT RAMP. HE SAID HE WON'T PUT HIS BOAT IN THERE WHEN IT IS DEEP ENOUGH THAT IT WILL SINK. HE CAN'T BELIEVE THAT ANYBODY WOULD BACK OFF THERE AND SINK THEIR BOAT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IN THE PAST THE COUNTY DID WORK IN PARTNERSHIP WITH WATER MANAGEMENT. HE EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN ABOUT HOW CLOSE HE HAD COME TO GETTING THE LANDING FIXED.

VII. COUNTY ENGINEER/BID AWARDS -

1. RURAL WORKS PROGRAM - MR. KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO THE RURAL WORKS PROGRAM. THERE WAS A PRESENTATION MADE BEFORE THE C.T.S.T. A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO AND HE WANTED TO EXPLAIN TO THE BOARD AND CLARIFY THE INNER WORKINGS OF THE PROGRAM SO THAT THE BOARD UNDERSTANDS THE OPPORTUNITIES. MOST OF THE SURROUNDING COUNTIES ARE IN T.P.O.'S; WASHINGTON AND

JACKSON COUNTY AREN'T. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAPPENS THROUGH THE T.P.O.'S IS THAT A WORK PROGRAM IS ESTABLISHED AND YOU BASICALLY VOTE AS A PART OF THE T.P.O. TO PROMOTE YOUR PROJECTS AND OVER A 5 YEAR PERIOD YOUR PROJECT MOVES TO THE TOP FOR FUNDING. D.O.T. HAS RECOGNIZED THAT A LOT OF THE SMALLER COUNTIES THAT AREN'T IN A T.P.O. DOESN'T HAVE THIS SAME OPPORTUNITY. THIS PROGRAM WAS REALLY PUT TOGETHER TO TRY AND HELP THE SMALLER COUNTIES THAT AREN'T IN A T.P.O. THERE ISN'T A SPECIFIC FUNDING CYCLE OR MECHANISM. THE WAY THAT IT WORKS IS IF FOR INSTANCE D.O.T. HAS A PROJECT THAT COMES IN UNDER BUDGET THEY CAN PICK FROM THE RURAL WORK PROGRAMS AND SELECT PROJECTS TO USE LEFT OVER FUNDING ON. IF THEY GET TO THE END OF THEIR BUDGET CYCLE AND THERE IS MONEY LEFT THEY CAN ALSO USE THAT MONEY TO FUND SELECT PROJECTS. THE PROJECTS CAN BE ON COUNTY OR STATE ROADS. A PRIORITIZED LIST HAS TO BE SUBMITTED TO DOT FOR THEIR PROGRAM AND THE LIST BY PROJECTS THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED SMALL.

CURRENTLY HE HAS DONE SOME WORK AND FOUND 3 BRIDGES THAT HAVE SUFFICIENCY RATINGS WELL BELOW 50, WHICH WOULD MAKE THEM ELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING. THERE IS ALSO A

LONG LIST OF ROADS THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED FROM PUBLIC WORKS ON AREAS WHERE THEY HAVE CONCERNS. THERE IS ALSO SOME SMALLER PROJECTS THAT MAY BE WORTH WHILE CONSIDERING OFF THE MATRIX. HE SUGGESTED PUTTING TOGETHER A LIST TO MAKE SURE THERE ARE SOME SMALLER PROJECTS THAT THE BOARD IS ANXIOUS TO PURSUE. HE DISCUSSED WITH KELLY PARKER ABOUT A TIME FRAME AND CURRENTLY THERE ISN'T A DEADLINE FOR IT.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED CHAIRMAN CARTER RECOMMENDING A WORKSHOP TO DISCUSS THE PROJECTS WITH MR. KNAUER. HE REFERENCED THE 31ST BUT ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE WILL BE ASKING FOR A WORKSHOP AROUND THE 1ST OR 2ND WEEK OF JUNE IN REFERENCE TO THE UPDATED EMPLOYEE POLICY HANDBOOK THAT HE WILL HAVE TO THE BOARD AT THE END OF THE MONTH FOR REVIEW.

CHAIRMAN CARTER SUGGESTED THAT EACH BOARD MEMBER GET WITH MR. JOYNER AND SUBMIT A LIST OF PROJECTS AND FROM THAT MR. KNAUER, MR. JOYNER AND THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT GO OVER THEM AND RANK THEM.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID THEY WOULD GET WITH ATTORNEY GOODMAN AND SET UP A FUTURE DATE FOR THE

WORKSHOP. CHAIRMAN CARTER SAID TO GIVE EVERYONE
ADEQUATE NOTICE AND PUT IT IN THE PAPER.

2. BID OPENING CLAYTON ROAD - THERE IS A COMMUNITY
MEETING FOR SIGNING RIGHT-OF-WAY IN ABOUT A WEEK.
THERE IS ONLY 1 LANDOWNER OBJECTING AND THEY HAVE BEEN
ABLE TO WORK AROUND HIM.

THERE WERE 4 BIDS AND THEY ARE AS FOLLOWS:

- A. BAXTER - \$1,077,920.00
- B. ANDERSON COLUMBIA - \$1,055,605.33
- C. GAC CONTRACTORS - \$1,417,318.00
- D. C.W. ROBERTS - \$1,245,830.00

THERE WERE 2 BIDDERS REALLY CLOSE TOGETHER. THE BIG
FLUCTUATION ON ALL BIDS WAS ASPHALT COST. ASPHALT
COST WAS FROM \$78 - \$98 PER TON. THERE IS A \$20.00
SPREAD JUST ON ASPHALT COST AND THERE IS 4100 TONS ON
THE PROJECT. YOU DON'T PAVE ROADS WITH ASPHALT BUT
WITH DOLLARS. IN TERMS OF DOLLARS THERE IS ABOUT
\$935,000 IN CONSTRUCTION FUNDS TO BUILD THE PROJECT.
THE COST NEEDS TO BE DECREASED ABOUT \$125,000.00 TO BE
WITHIN THE CONSTRUCTION FUNDING DOLLARS.

HE BELIEVES THAT IF THE BOARD WOULD AWARD CONTINGENT ON GETTING IT WITHIN BUDGET THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO MEET WITH ANDERSON COLUMBIA AND GET IT TO THAT POINT. IF THE BOARD SO CHOSE THEY COULD COME BACK TO THE BOARD AT THE NEXT MEETING AND GO OVER WHAT THE RESULT OF THE NEGOTIATION WAS SO THAT EVERYBODY IS ON BOARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED MR. KNAUER THAT HE WANTS TO NEGOTIATE DOWN \$125,000.00 AND DID HE PLAN ON DOING THAT BY CHANGING THE SPECIFICATIONS OF THE BID. MR. KNAUER SAID NO.

MR. KNAUER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THE PROJECT IS A S.C.O.P. PROJECT, WHICH IS A 75/25 PROJECT. NORMALLY THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO COME UP WITH 25% OF THE PROJECT. SINCE WASHINGTON COUNTY IS A SMALL COUNTY OF ECONOMIC CRITICAL CONCERN, D.O.T. HAS WAIVED THE 25%. WHAT HE WANTS TO DO IS GET THE PROJECT DOLLARS DOWN TO WHERE THE CONSTRUCTION DOLLARS MATCH. RIGHT NOW THERE IS ZERO COUNTY PARTICIPATION OTHER THAN 2 SMALL AREAS OF CLEARING THAT THE COUNTY IS OBLIGATED TO. THE IDEA IS MAYBE THE COUNTY COULD PROVIDE SOME TRUCKS FOR CLEARING. NOTHING HAS BEEN DISCUSSED WITH ANDERSON COLUMBIA AND THEY CAN'T WITH ANYBODY UNTIL THE PROJECT

IS AWARDED. HE WOULD LIKE TO EXPLORE OPTIONS TO GET THAT SAVINGS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. KNAUER HOW WAS THE BASE FIGURED IN TO THE BID. MR. KNAUER SAID THAT 8 INCHES OF SAND CLAY BASE WAS FIGURED AND THE CONTRACTOR IS TO PROVIDE IT FROM THEIR PIT.

THERE ARE A FEW ITEMS IN ANDERSON COLUMBIA'S BID THAT SEEM TO BE HIGH BUT THERE ARE SOME THAT ARE VERY REASONABLY PRICED COMPARED TO WHAT THE BOARD PAYS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER PATE AND CARRIED TO DEAL WITH ANDERSON COLUMBIA PROVIDED THAT IT IS WITHIN OUR BUDGET.

3. 319 GRANT MUDHILL - MR. KNAUER CONTACTED DEBBIE AT PUBLIC WORKS AND WENT THROUGH MAINTENANCE COST SINCE 2008 AND THE COST IS VERY HIGH; \$35,000 - \$38,000 PER YEAR. IT IS FROM THE PUBLIC WORKS YARD TO BONNETT POND ROAD.

THE 319 GRANT IS FUNDING THROUGH THE CLEAN WATER ACT BY FEDERAL DOLLARS. THE GOAL OF THE PROJECT IS TO ELIMINATE SEDIMENT, EROSION AND TURBIDITY FROM GOING INTO WETLANDS AND CREEKS. THE GRANT IS A 60/40 GRANT; FEDERAL 60 AND COUNTY 40. THE COUNTIES 40% CAN BE IN-

KIND WORK INSTEAD OF CASH MATCH. THE DEADLINE IS MAY 25, 2012.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED MR. KNAUER WHAT THE INITIAL EXPENSE WOULD BE TO THE COUNTY. MR. KNAUER SAID THAT HE APPLIES FOR ALL GRANTS AT NO COST TO THE COUNTY.

IN TERMS OF IN-KIND, MR. KNAUER WAS THINKING THAT SINCE THE EQUIPMENT IS RIGHT THERE AT THE YARD MAYBE THEY COULD DO THE PREPARATION OF THE BASE AND SO FORTH.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER PATE AND CARRIED FOR MR. KNAUER TO APPLY FOR THE 319 GRANT.

CHAIRMAN CARTER RECESSED FOR 5 MINUTES.

VIII. **DEPUTY CLERK** - NONE

IX. **COUNTY ATTORNEY** -

1. IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE - IN APRIL THERE WAS A PUBLIC HEARING WITH RESPECT TO THE IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE AND THE REDUCTION OF THE IMPACT FEE. THERE WERE COMMENTS MADE ABOUT THE REDUCTION AND THE IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE. THE BOARD ESSENTIALLY TOOK ATTORNEY GOODMAN BACK TO THE DRAWING BOARD IN AN EFFORT TO REDRAFT A PROPOSED

REDUCTION IN THE ORDINANCE. THE 2 ISSUES THAT WERE ADDRESSED WERE TERM AND THAT HAS BEEN CHANGED FROM 24 TO 12 MONTHS PER THE BOARDS CONSENSUS. THE OTHER ISSUE WAS WHETHER THE BOARD WANTED THE FEE CHANGED TO \$0.00 OR REDUCED BY 50%. ALSO THE WAY IT WAS ORIGINALLY DRAFTED THE REDUCTION ONLY APPLIED TO WHAT IS TERMED IN THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE AS RESIDENTIAL UNITS AND NOT TO COMMERCIAL OR NON-RESIDENTIAL UNITS. THE ISSUES BEFORE THE BOARD TODAY IS REDUCTION IN TIME FROM 24 TO 12 MONTHS AND HOW MUCH AND TO WHO DOES THE BOARD WANT TO REDUCE THE IMPACT FEE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN HAS DRAFTED IT 2 WAYS; REDUCTION BY 50% OR \$0.00 FOR 12 MONTHS. IT IS ALSO DRAFTED WHERE IT WOULD IMPACT ONLY RESIDENTIAL UNITS AND ONE THAT SAYS IT IMPACTS RESIDENTIAL AND NON-RESIDENTIAL UNITS. THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE REQUIRES THE BOARD TO GIVE THE PUBLIC NOTICE FOR A SPECIFIED PERIOD OF TIME INFORMING THEM THAT IN THE FUTURE THIS IS THE AMENDMENT SO THEY HAVE TIME TO PREPARE FOR THE ADJUSTMENT, WHICH WILL BE A POSITIVE ONE.

**COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY
COMMISSIONER BROCK AND CARRIED FOR RESIDENTIAL ONLY**

THAT THE BOARD REDUCE IT TOTALLY FOR THE PERIOD OF 12 MONTHS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT IF HE WOULD GO FOR 2 YEARS. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID NO THAT WAS BROUGHT UP LAST MONTH AND THEY ARE ON THE SAME PAGE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IS THE SAME AS LAST MONTH EXCEPT PREVIOUSLY IT WAS FOR 24 MONTHS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HIS REASON FOR A LONGER PERIOD OF TIME IS BECAUSE OF THE ECONOMY AND IT IS GOING TO BE FOR A PERIOD LONGER THAN 12 MONTHS BEFORE THINGS CHANGE. HE UNDERSTANDS IT CAN BE RENEWED AFTER 12 MONTHS BUT HE WAS GOING WITH 2 YEARS.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IT CAN BE REEVALUATED IN 12 MONTHS.

2. CODE ENFORCEMENT- ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN RESPECT TO CODE ENFORCEMENT IN THE COUNTY. THE COUNTY HAS VARIOUS ORDINANCES TO THE FORMATION AND HOW THE CODE ENFORCEMENT PROCESS SHOULD GO. CURRENTLY THE WAY THE ORDINANCE IS DRAFTED THE COUNTY SHOULD HAVE A CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD AND THAT IS TO BE A FINDER OF FACT IN RESPECT TO CERTAIN ISSUES THAT ARE BROUGHT

BEFORE THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO THINGS LIKE CITATIONS ISSUED, VIOLATIONS OF CODES AND ORDINANCES. THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTE 162 SHOULD DEAL WITH THOSE ISSUES IN A TIME EFFICIENT MANNER. IT GOES FROM CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS TO CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD. THERE IS A CLAUSE I JUDICIAL PROCEEDING WHERE BY THERE IS EVIDENCE PRESENTED NOT AS FORMAL AS COURT BUT BASICALLY IT IS A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON AND THERE SHOULD BE AN OPINION ISSUED BY THAT CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD. A LOT OF COUNTIES MOVED FROM THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARDS WHICH IS ALLOWED BY FLORIDA STATUTE 162, AND USE A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE. THE IDEA BEING, YOU HIRE SOMEBODY TO BRING CODE ENFORCEMENT ISSUES BEFORE. THIS IS A LOT EASIER AND A LOT OF COUNTIES FOUND THAT FOR THE 7 MEMBER BOARD GATHER, LISTEN AND THEN MAKE FINDINGS OF FACTS THAT USING A SPECIFIC SPECIAL MAGISTRATE TO COME IN, HEAR THESE THINGS EITHER EVERY OTHER MONTH OR QUARTERLY AND THEN MAKE OPINIONS ON THEM. THE STATUTE ALSO ALLOWS FOR FEES TO BE COLLECTED IN HANDLING THE CASES AND PRESENTING THEM BEFORE THE SPECIAL MAGISTRATE. THERE IS A CAUSE TO A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE WHICH WOULD BE MORE THAN WHAT YOU HAVE RIGHT NOW WITH THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD BECAUSE HE HAS LOOKED AT

THEIR WORKING AND DO IT FOR FREE. ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADVISED THE BOARD THAT HE COULDN'T BE THE SPECIAL MAGISTRATE BECAUSE HE REPRESENTS THE COUNTY AND THAT WOULD BE A CONFLICT BUT IT MAY BE WORTH WHILE IN THE FUTURE TO CREATE A SYSTEM. HE RECOMMENDED REDOING THE CODE ENFORCEMENT ORDINANCES TO DO 2 THINGS. 1 IS TO CLARIFY WHAT THE PROCESS AND PROCEDURE IS. ONCE A CITATION IS ISSUED OR ONCE A VIOLATION IS FOUND TO SET UP A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE HE WOULD RECOMMEND PROBABLY COMING IN QUARTERLY BASED ON THE NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS AND LETTING THAT SPECIAL MAGISTRATE MAKE RULING AND FINDINGS OF OPINIONS FLOWING THERE FROM. WHETHER A PERSON WERE TO LOSE IN FRONT OF THE SPECIAL MAGISTRATE OR WHETHER THE PERSON WITH THE ALLEGED VIOLATION OF CODE ORDINANCE THEY WOULD ALSO HAVE A RIGHT TO APPEAL THOUGH THE CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE THAT IS HERE IN THE COUNTY. REALLY WHAT IS BEING DONE IS SETTING UP A SYSTEM OF DUE PROCESS THAT IS EASIER TO MANAGE AND OFFICIATE WITH THE INFLUX AND OUT FLUX OF PEOPLE ON THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD. TO BE HONEST IN A SMALL COUNTY LIKE THIS BEING ON A CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD ISN'T SOMETHING THAT EXCITES A LOT OF PEOPLE. YOU ARE UP HERE MAKING FINDINGS AND FACTS WITH YOUR NEIGHBOR ON CODE ISSUES. IF THE BOARD CHOOSES TO NOT USE A

SPECIAL MAGISTRATE THE BOARD/MR. JOYNER AND ATTORNEY GOODMAN NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS A CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD THAT IS WILLING TO SERVE AND UNDERSTANDS WHAT THEIR JOB IS. HE UNDERSTANDS AND IS VERY RESPECTFUL THAT ESPECIALLY IN RURAL COUNTIES PROPERTY RIGHTS ARE SUPREMELY IMPORTANT. THE BOARD ISN'T ANTICIPATING USING OR ABUSING ANY ORDINANCES THAT ARE ALREADY IN PLACE; THEY AREN'T TALKING ABOUT MAKING A NEW CODE. HE JUST WANTS TO CREATE A FAIR SYSTEM WHERE IF A PERSON IS ISSUED A CITATION THEY HAVE A NEUTRAL PERSON SITTING IN FRONT OF THEM AND LISTENING TO BOTH OF THEM SIDES IS REALLY THE GOAL OF WHAT IS BEING TALKED ABOUT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ASKED THE BOARD TO ALLOW HIM AND INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER TO FIND A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE WILLING TO SERVE; PROBABLY HEARING CASES 1 NIGHT EVERY QUARTER. THE PERSON WOULD SERVE AS A 3RD PARTY NEUTRAL, DEAL WITH PEOPLE AND BE UNDERSTANDABLE OF HOW IT IS TO LIVE IN RURAL NORTH WEST FLORIDA. IF THE BOARD CHOOSES TO GO THAT DIRECTION ORDINANCES WILL HAVE TO BE AMENDED AND OUR SYSTEM IN RESPECT TO THE COUNTIES CODE ENFORCEMENT SYSTEM.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN SUGGESTED TO THE BOARD TO LET INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER AND HIMSELF TO PRESENT THE NAME OF A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL AND THE COST ASSOCIATED. IF THAT IS THE DIRECTION THAT IS GOING TO BE TAKEN HE WILL REWORK THE ORDINANCES ON HOW THE PROCESS WILL GO AND THE COUNTY WILL BE USING A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE INSTEAD OF A CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD TO DEAL WITH ISSUES COMING BEFORE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADVISED THE BOARD THAT HE SAT ON THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD UNTIL HE COME INTO OFFICE AND IT HASN'T BEEN ACTIVE FOR 2 ½ YEARS OR SO. THE PROBLEM WITH THE WAY THAT IT WAS SET UP BEFORE WAS THAT THERE WAS NO BITE. THE IDEA ISN'T TAKING SOMEONE'S PROPERTY FROM THEM OR FINE SOMEONE. THE IDEA IS TO GET THEM IF THEY ARE IN VIOLATION TO COME WITHIN COMPLIANCE. HE FEELS LIKE FROM THE EXPERIENCE FROM SITTING ON THAT BOARD THIS IS SOMETHING THAT NEEDS SOME BITE IN CODE ENFORCEMENT.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE THINKS THE INTENTION IS IF THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS RECEIVES A COMPLAINT, GETS IT FILLED OUT, GOES TO THE PERSON, CHECKS IT OUT AND IF THERE IS SOMETHING THAT

NEEDS TO BE FIXED TRY AND SAY TO THE INDIVIDUAL THERE IS SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE FIXED AND GIVE A TIME FRAME. IF THE INDIVIDUAL CHOOSES NOT TO FIX THE PROBLEM THEN IT WOULD BE BROUGHT IN FRONT OF A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE AND FROM THERE A DECISION WILL BE MADE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT OFFERED A MOTION, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER PATE AND CARRIED TO AUTHORIZE ATTORNEY GOODMAN TO DRAFT THE ORDINANCES AS WELL AS SUBMIT A NAME OF A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE AND BRING IT TO THE BOARD AT THE JUNE MEETING.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE WILL UPDATE THE BOARD ON WHAT IS GOING ON AT THE UPCOMING WORKSHOP THAT IS TO BE SET BEFORE THE NEXT REGULAR BOARD MEETING.

MR. JIM ACKERMAN, A MEMBER OF THE COMMUNITY WHO WAS PRIOR CODE ENFORCEMENT CHAIRMAN FOR ABOUT 6 YEARS AND JUST LIKE COMMISSIONER ABBOTT REFERENCED THERE ISN'T ANY BITE TO IT. HE ASKED THE BOARD IF THE PRIOR LIENS THAT HAVE BEEN SET WOULD BE ANNULLED OR WILL THEY STAY IN PLACE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED MR. ACKERMAN WITH RESPECT TO ANY LIENS THAT THE COUNTY HAS THERE WOULD BE NO

REASON TO ANNUL THEM UNLESS THE BOARD CHOOSES TO.
USING A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE IS AN OPTION UNDER THE
FLORIDA STATUTE SO IT ISN'T GOING TO CHANGE ANY WITH
THE COUNTY ON ANY INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY. HIS
RECOMMENDATION WOULDN'T BE TO ANNUL THOSE LIENS; THERE
IS NO REASON TO, BASED ON HOW THE BOARD IS GOING IN
THE FUTURE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IN THE
RURAL AREAS AT ONE TIME A PERSON WAS ALLOWED ONLY SO
MANY VEHICLES AND AFTER THAT THEY HAD TO BE TAGGED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT IS STILL THE CASE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT IN THE
RURAL AREAS YOU WILL SEE 4/5 VEHICLES; IT IS THE WAY
OF LIFE OF THESE PEOPLE. HE HAS A PROBLEM WITH GOING
IN THERE AND SENDING A VIOLATION IN TO CODE
ENFORCEMENT BECAUSE THEY DON'T HAVE A TAG ON A
VEHICLE.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT THE
PURPOSE FOR HIM TALKING TODAY THE BOARD CAN DO THAT
RIGHT NOW THE WAY THE SYSTEM IS SE UP. IF THEY'RE IN
VIOLATION OF ONE ORDINANCE INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER
JOYNER AND THE CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS FROM THE
COUNTY CAN CURRENTLY GO OUT THERE AND CITE THEM. THERE

IS NOTHING THAT WILL BE DONE THAT IS GOING TO CHANGE THE OUTSTANDING STATUTES OR THE ORDINANCES. A NEW ORDINANCE ISN'T BEING CREATED FOR ANY VIOLATION AND FLORIDA STATUTES AREN'T BEING CHANGED. IF IT'S A VIOLATION OF AN ORDINANCE OR A STATUTE SITTING HERE TODAY AND THE BOARD DOESN'T WANT IT TO BE A VIOLATION OF THAT ORDINANCE CAN BE AMENDED FOR WHATEVER THE BOARD SO CHOOSES. HE IS JUST TALKING ABOUT A SYSTEM OF PROCESS; NOT ANY SPECIFIC RULES OR VIOLATIONS.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN THAT EVENTUALLY IT COMES TO THAT AT THE FAR END OF THAT IS WHERE YOU GET TO.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT A FENCE CAN BE PUT AROUND THEM SO IT WON'T AFFECT YOUR NEIGHBORS. THERE IS WAYS OUT OF THAT TO HAVE MORE THAN 2 OR 3.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED ATTORNEY GOODMAN IF HE WAS FAMILIAR WITH A STATE STATUTE THAT SAYS ANYTHING THAT ISN'T ON BLOCKS HAS TO BE TAGGED.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED CHAIRMAN CARTER THAT HE HASN'T RESEARCHED IT BUT HE DOES THINK THAT THERE IS SOMETHING SIMILAR TO THAT. IF THE BOARD CHOOSES TO

USE A SPECIAL MAGISTRATE AND IT DOESN'T WORK OUT AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT THE PUBLIC IS UP IN ARMS ABOUT THE BOARD HAS THE POWER AT ANY TIME TO GO BACK TO THE OLD WAY. HE THINKS IT IS A MORE FAIR WAY FOR THE PEOPLE BECAUSE THE IDEA IS TO HAVE AN INDEPENDENT PERSON. HE WOULD PROPOSE SOMEONE FROM A RURAL COUNTY BUT MAYBE NOT THIS COUNTY THAT CAN BE AS NEUTRAL AS POSSIBLE. HE THINKS THE PROCESS IS SET UP FOR FAIRNESS WITH RESPECT TO ANY STATUTES OR ORDINANCES. IF WE DON'T LIKE OUR CODES OR ORDINANCES THEY CAN BE CHANGED. STATUTES CAN'T BE CHANGED. IF WE HAVE ONE THAT WE THINK IS UNFAIR OR IS BEING ABUSED IN HOW IT IS BEING ENFORCED HIS RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO REPEAL THAT ORDINANCE.

3. EMPLOYEE POLICY - ATTORNEY GOODMAN ADDRESSED THE BOARD IN REFERENCE TO DEPARTMENT HEADS HAVE BEEN ABLE TO GO THROUGH WITH HEATHER SECTIONS 1 - 3 AND THE AMENDMENTS. HE THINKS THEY WILL MEET NEXT WEEK TO FINISH GOING THROUGH AND THERE WILL BE A DRAFT IN ALL BOXES BY MAY 31. RIGHT NOW A WORKSHOP WILL BE SETUP THE SAME TIME AS MR. KNAUER'S. THE DEPARTMENT HEADS HAVE DISCUSSED IT INTERNALLY AND THEIR FEEDBACK IS BEING TAKEN AND INCORPORATED IN WHERE APPROPRIATE.

4. SIKES LITIGATION - ATTORNEY GOODMAN REFERENCED THE EXECUTIVE MEETING THAT WAS HELD LAST MONTH WHERE THE BOARD ENTERED INTO A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH THE SIKES LITIGATION. THE JOINT MOTIONS TO DISMISS HAVE BEEN FILED AND HE HAS A HEARING IN FRONT OF JUDGE PATTERSON TODAY FOR THE ORDER TO BE SIGNED IN THAT CASE. ONCE THAT GETS FILED IF IT'S DISCUSSED WITH THE PUBLIC; THAT WILL BE EXPERT REPORTS, EXECUTIVE SESSION MINUTES WILL BE PART OF THE REGULAR SESSION MINUTES AND THAT WILL BE PUBLIC RECORD.

ATTORNEY GOODMAN REMINDED THE BOARD THAT WE HAVE THE RIGHT AND WE DO CHARGE FOR IT. THERE WAS A PRIOR INCIDENT, NOT PERTAINING TO THIS CASE BUT ANOTHER ONE WHERE SOMEBODY ASKED FOR A VERY LARGE AMOUNT OF INFORMATION AND WERE VERY UPSET WHEN THEY FOUND OUT WHAT THE FEE WOULD BE. UNDER FLORIDA STATUTE THE BOARD HAS A RIGHT TO CHARGE.

HEATHER FINCH IS THE PUBLIC RECORDS LIAISON SO ALL PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST ARE FUNNELED THROUGH HER AND IF SHE IMMEDIATELY OR SHORTLY AFTER GETTING THE REQUEST MAKES CONTACT WITH THE PERSON REQUESTING THEM TO MAKE SURE SHE UNDERSTANDS AND GIVE THEM COMMUNICATION AND IS WORKING ON IT. THERE AFTER THERE NEEDS TO BE

CONTACT MADE WITH THE DEPARTMENT SHE NEEDS TO RECEIVE THE INFORMATION FROM. SHE GETS AN IDEA OF TIME AND COST ESTIMATE AND WILL THEN DISBURSE THE INFORMATION WHEN RECEIVED.

X. **CLERK** - NONE

CHAIRMAN CARTER CALLED ON COMMISSIONER BROCK.
COMMISSIONER BROCK RESPONDED ALWAYS ON THE RIGHT SO
CHAIRMAN CARTER CHANGED THE ORDER.

XI. **COMMISSIONERS** -

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND - NONE

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT - NONE

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE UNDERSTANDS THE PRODUCTION ON THE ROADS WAS OFF THE LAST WEEK OR SO AND HE ASKED FOR INFORMATION ON THAT.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE CHECKED SOME OF HIS RUN REPORTS AND THERE WERE SOME TRUCKS DOWN ON THE LOWER END OF THE COUNTY THAT LEFT THE CONCENTRATION AREA WORKING ON ASPHALT. APPARENTLY COMMISSIONER BROCK HAD SOME MAINTENANCE HE TALKED ABOUT WANTING TO DO. HE PLANS TO GET THE TRUCKS BACK IN THE WORKS TO FINISH UP THE ASPHALT.

COMMISSIONER PATE ASKED INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER IF THAT WAS AUTHORIZED BY HIM. MR. JOYNER SAID NO HE WAS OUT OF TOWN AND HE SUPPOSE THEY HAD SOMETHING THAT CAME UP AND HAD TO GET DONE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED MR. JOYNER WHAT WAS HE TALKING ABOUT AND HE HADN'T HAD ANY WORK DONE.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT THERE HAS BEEN 3 OR 4 TRUCKS DOWN THERE. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT HE COULDN'T TELL YOU THE LOCATION OF THE TRUCKS.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID THAT HE CAN BECAUSE HE HAS THE RUN REPORT ON THEM. ONE PLACE THE TRUCKS WERE LOCATED IS HOLMES VALLEY ROAD. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THERE AREN'T ANY TRUCKS ON HOLMES VALLEY ROAD AND HE IS OUT THERE EVERY DAY. MR. JOYNER SAID THAT HE NEEDS TO CHECK THE GPS SYSTEM THEN. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THE TRUCKS HAVE BEEN RUNNING TO THE NORTH END FOR THE LAST 3 WEEKS. HE GOES TO ROAD AND BRIDGE EVERY FRIDAY AND GETS THE TALLY'S WHERE THE TRUCKS HAVE BEEN. THEY WORKED BUDDY ROAD WEEK. THEY HAVEN'T BEEN ANY TRUCKS IN DISTRICT 3. THE ONLY TRUCKS IN DISTRICT 3 IS RUNNING WITH A DROP AND HE THINKS THEY WORKED EBRO YESTERDAY.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID SOME TRUCKS WERE DOWN THERE DOING SOMETHING ON HOLMES VALLEY ROAD AND A COUPLE OF OTHER ROADS IN THAT AREA.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED IF THAT WAS WHY PRODUCTION IS DOWN. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID WELL THERE ISN'T AS MANY TRUCKS RUNNING. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THERE IS 4 TO 6 TRUCKS SITTING IN THE YARD EVERY DAY. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID THERE WERE 10 TRUCKS RUNNING. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THAT PUBLIC WORKS IS SHORT 5 EMPLOYEES THAT YOU DIDN'T HIRE BACK. HE AGREES; PRODUCTION IS DOWN, IT'S HALF DOWN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE WANTS TO BE CLEAR ON WHAT COMMISSIONER PATE SAID. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID TRUCKS WERE PULLED OFF AND SENT TO THE SOUTHEAST OF THE COUNTY ACCORDING TO THE GPS.

COMMISSIONER PATE REFERENCED THE MOTION THAT WAS MADE IN THE PAST. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID HE MADE THE MOTION. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER IS IN CHARGE AND THEY SHOULD GO THROUGH HIM BUT HE IS BEING SIDE STEPPED. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT AGREED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED IF THE SUPERVISOR HAD AUTHORIZED IT. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID THAT

HE HAS QUESTIONED ONE OF THEM AND HE DIDN'T GIVE HIM A STRAIGHT ANSWER. HE PULLED UP THE DAILY WORK SCHEDULE THAT HE RECEIVES DAILY AND THEIR LOCATION AND IT IS FAIRLY ACCURATE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SO YOU COULDN'T GET A STRAIGHT ANSWER OUT OF THE SUPERVISOR. MR. JOYNER SAID HE DID, BUT THEY DIDN'T WANT TO GO THERE.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER, ANYTIME ANYBODY DOES THAT WITHOUT NOTIFYING HIM THAT SUPERVISOR HAS TO ANSWER FOR IT. IT WILL NEVER WORK IF IT DOESN'T. HE DISAGREES WITH COMMISSIONER BROCK WHEN THEY ARE ALL RUNNING UP HERE DOING THIS WORK UP HERE AND IT WILL GET TO HIM AS QUICK AS THEY START OVER HERE. THEY HAVE BEEN TURNING OUT ALMOST DOUBLE OF THE WORK AND THEY ARE DOING SOME BEAUTIFUL WORK. IF IT IS GOING TO WORK EVERYBODY HAS TO DO WHAT WAS SET UP LAST MONTH. YOU ARE IN CHARGE AND EVERYBODY SHOULD GO THROUGH YOU; INCLUDING COUNTY COMMISSIONERS. THAT IS THE WAY IT WAS UNDERSTOOD BY HIM.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE DOESN'T WANT TO GET IN A HE SAID, SHE SAID MATCH BUT IF YOU CUT OFF A SUPERVISOR THAT IS MOVING TRUCKS WITHOUT YOUR

AUTHORIZATION THAT EMPLOYEE SHOULD BE DISMISSED NO MATTER HOW LONG THEY HAVE BEEN HERE. HE ISN'T SURE WHAT THE PERSONNEL POLICY SAYS IN REFERENCE TO THAT.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER ABBOTT THAT THEY HAVE HIS AUTHORIZATION IF THERE IS AN EMERGENCY SOMEWHERE WITHOUT CONTACTING HIM. HE HAS BEEN TRYING TO CONCENTRATE THE WORKFORCE IN ONE AREA TO GET THE ASPHALT PRODUCTION UP SO HE CAN GET OUT OF THAT AREA AND GET TO COMMISSIONER BROCK'S AREA.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ASKED INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER WAS THAT THIS WEEK. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID THERE WAS SOME LAST WEEK AND SOME THIS WEEK.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED MR. JOYNER THE DROT TRUCKS ARE MOVING THROUGH THERE DIGGING OUT THE OUT FALLS AND THE DITCHES. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID THERE WERE 3 OUT THERE LAST WEEK AND 2 DOWN THERE YESTERDAY.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER IF HE AUTHORIZED THE TRUCKS YESTERDAY AND TODAY. INTERIM COUNTY MANGER JOYNER SAID HE DIDN'T. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID WELL THEN YOU WILL PULL THEM OFF THEN. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER SAID THEY ARE GETTING THEM BACK. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID YOU ARE IN CHARGE OF THAT AND HE

HOPE THE BOARD IS CLEAR THAT INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER IS IN CHARGE OF EVERYTHING.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THOSE TRUCKS ARE RUNNING WITH THE DROT WITH THE EXCAVATOR THAT ROPED IT GOING AROUND INTO ONE. THOSE SAME TRUCKS WILL BE WITH HIM TO HAUL THE DIRT BACK TO MUDHILL PIT. HOW ARE YOU GOING TO MOVE DIRT IF YOU HAVE NO TRUCK WITH A DROT. WHAT KIND OF BUSINESS IS BEING RAN OUT HERE. I TELL YOU WHAT THIS IS GETTING IRRITATING WITH YOU ALL BECAUSE THERE IS A TRUCK DOWN THERE WITH A DROT YOU WANT IT BROUGHT BACK UP HERE.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ASKED WHO AUTHORIZED IT. IF INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER AUTHORIZED IT THEN IT'S FINE BUT IF HE DIDN'T IT ISN'T. THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH YOU COMMISSIONER BROCK OR ME OR ANYBODY ELSE ON THE BOARD. THIS MAN RIGHT HERE DECIDES WHAT TRUCK RUNS WITH WHAT PIECE OF EQUIPMENT AND WHERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE HAS NO PROBLEM OVER THAT BUT YOU HAVE TO HAVE A TRUCK WITH THAT PIECE OF EQUIPMENT. COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID THAT ISN'T YOUR DECISION COMMISSIONER BROCK AND WITH ALL DUE RESPECT SIR, THAT IS THE COUNTY MANAGER'S DECISION; NOT YOURS, MINE OR ANY OF OURS.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED COMMISSIONER PATE IF HE HAD ANYTHING ELSE. COMMISSIONER PATE SAID NO HE THINKS HE HAS STIRRED UP BIG ENOUGH. HE WOULD JUST LIKE TO ASK THE PEOPLE WHAT IS GOING ON HERE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE CAN TELL THEM WHAT IS GOING ON HERE AND THAT IS IT'S ONE SIDED AND IT HAS BEEN ONE SIDED.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE HAD ANYTHING TO BRING UP. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID HE HAS SAID ALL HE HAS TO SAY TO THEM. HE IS SURE THE DROT OVER HERE ON THIS SIDE HAS A DUMP TRUCK WITH HIM.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT HE DOESN'T FOLLOW. THERE ARE 2 SUPERVISORS OUT THERE AND INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER AND IF THIS BOARD HERE HAS TO FOLLOW A TRUCK THEN HE DOESN'T THINK THEY ARE DOING THERE JOB AS FAR AS SETTING THE POLICY AND LOOKING AT THE OVERALL BENEFIT OF THE COUNTY WHICH IS A LOT MORE THAN TAKING CARE OF A ROAD.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT THOSE ROADS ARE IMPORTANT TO THE PEOPLE AND HE HAS SCHOOL BUSES, AMBULANCES AND THEY ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO THE COMMUNITY.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT THE COUNTY HAS A PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT AND THERE ARE SCHOOL BUSES THAT RUN ALL OVER THE COUNTY AND NOT JUST DISTRICT 3. HE IS SORRY OF THIS MINE, MINE; IT'S OURS, THE COUNTY. THAT IS WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO DO IS TO GET IT WHERE IT IS FAIR ALL THE WAY AROUND.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED CHAIRMAN CARTER THAT HE TALKED TO INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER 6 MONTHS AGO ABOUT THIS AND THE ROAD ISSUE SINCE YOU MENTIONED THAT. THE ROADS THAT ARE IN THE CITIES, THE COUNTY HAS MAINTAINED THEM EVER SINCE THEY HAVE BEEN GRADED ROADS; EBRO, VERNON, WAUSAU AND CARYVILLE. MOST OF THESE CITIES DON'T HAVE ANY EQUIPMENT. THEY DON'T HAVE A GRADER OR TRUCKS AND THESE ARE SCHOOL AND AMBULANCE ROUTES.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT IF THEY ARE A GRADED ROAD THAT IS ONE THING BUT IF NOT A PAVED ROAD. IF THEY ARE PAVED THEY BELONG TO THE CITY. THE CITY IS PAID TO PAVE THEM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED CHAIRMAN CARTER THAT THE COUNTY MILLED THOSE ROADS. TAKE THESE GRADERS OFF TO SAVE THE EXPENSE BUT THEY STILL SHOULD BE COUNTY MAINTAINED IF THEY NEED A POTHOLE FILLED. CURRENTLY HE HAS A ROAD RIGHT NOW, HILLCREST THAT HAS CALLED FOR A

LOAD OF DIRT AND HE RESPECT MR. JOYNER THAT ROAD WAS TAPED OFF BECAUSE IT WAS IN THE CITY LIMITS. A REGULAR SCHOOL BUS ROUTE RUNS IT TWICE A DAY AND HALF OF THAT ROAD IS DIRT ROAD AND IT HAS A BIG HOLE IN IT RIGHT NOW. THEY HAVE REQUESTED A LOAD OF DIRT TO PUT IN THAT POTHOLE TO FIX FOR THE SCHOOL BUS. INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER LOOKED INTO IT AND IT'S NOT A COUNTY ROAD. HE WAS SURE THAT IT WASN'T A COUNTY ROAD BUT IT IS COUNTY MAINTENANCE ROAD. IT BELONGS TO THE CITY. EBRO, CARYVILLE AND WAUSAU HAVE SEVERAL. THESE ARE TAXPAYERS TOO AND HE HAS TO TRY TO HELP THESE SCHOOL BUSES.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT HE UNDERSTANDS THEY ARE TAXPAYERS BUT THE CITIES OF WAUSAU, EBRO AND VERNON GET FUNDING FOR TRANSPORTATION NEEDS AS WELL. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID THEY DON'T GET MUCH MONEY AND CAN'T EVEN BUY EQUIPMENT. CHAIRMAN CARTER REITERATED THEY ARE GETTING FUNDING, JUST LIKE WE ARE GETTING FUNDING FOR TRANSPORTATION. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID YES BUT THESE HAVE BEEN COUNTY MAINTAINED ROADS FOR 40 YEARS AND ALL OF A SUDDEN YOU JUST TAKE THE MAINTENANCE OFF OF THEM. CHAIRMAN CARTER SAID THAT HE ISN'T SAYING THAT BUT IS SAYING THAT MAYBE INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER NEEDS TO MEET WITH THE CITIES AND WORK OUT A SOLUTION TO WHERE

OKAY YOU HAVE FUNDS AND WE HAVE FUNDS BUT LET'S SHARE.
IF WE USE OUR EQUIPMENT THEY PAY; IT HAS TO BE EQUALED
OUT. WE CAN'T SUPPORT EVERYTHING IN THIS COUNTY. HE
ADVISED COMMISSIONER BROCK THAT HE NEEDS TO LOOK AT THE
FINANCIALS OF THIS COUNTY RIGHT NOW. YOU DON'T NEED TO
SIT UP HERE AND FIGURE OUT HOW MUCH MORE WE CAN GO. HE
WAS SORRY BUT THAT IS IT.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED CHAIRMAN CARTER BUT IT IS
STILL COUNTY MAINTENANCE.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED COMMISSIONER BROCK IF HE HAD
ANYTHING ELSE. COMMISSIONER BROCK SAID NO THAT IS IT.

CHAIRMAN CARTER SAID THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO GET THE
CONSENSUS OF THE BOARD THAT HE WOULD LIKE FOR INTERIM
COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER TO LOOK AT THE PROPERTY THE COUNTY
HOLDS THAT THEY DON'T HAVE A NEED FOR AND SEE IF IT CAN
BE PUT BACK ON THE MARKET SO THAT THE BOARD CAN RECEIVE
SOME TAX REVENUE COMING IN ON STUFF THAT WE DON'T HAVE
ANY NEED TO HOLD. THE TAX REVENUE IS NEEDED.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED CHAIRMAN CARTER IF THAT IS
A MOTION, SO MOVED.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ASKED IF THERE WAS A SECOND.

COMMISSIONER STRICKLAND SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED
UNANIMOUSLY.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE IS TRYING TO WORK WITHIN THE POLICY'S OF THE COUNTY AND HE WOULD BE GLAD, COMMISSIONER BROCK TO PUT DIRT WHERE EVER WITH THE BOARDS APPROVAL BUT HE ISN'T GOING TO TAKE IT UPON HIMSELF TO GO OUT AND UTILIZE COUNTY EQUIPMENT ON OTHER PROPERTY'S THAT DON'T COVER THE COUNTY'S NORMAL MAINTENANCE. HE ISN'T GOING AGAINST COMMISSIONER BROCK OR ANYBODY IN THE COUNTY. IT IS JUST PROTECTING THIS COUNTY AND HIS JOB AND WHAT HE IS SUPPOSE TO DO FOR THE BOARD. IF ALL 5 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD TELL HIM TO DO SOMETHING, HE WORKS FOR THEM AND THAT IS HIS WISHES TO DO WHAT THEY REQUEST OF HIM.

COMMISSIONER BROCK ADDRESSED INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER THAT THEY HAVE ALWAYS MAINTAINED COUNTY BUS ROUTES. THESE ARE RUN TWICE A DAY AND JUST BECAUSE WHERE THEY ARE AT STILL DOESN'T MEAN THEY AREN'T COUNTY MAINTENANCE. THEY ARE CITY ROADS BUT COUNTY MAINTENANCE. WHAT REALLY BURNS HIM IS THAT THEY CAN TURN AROUND AND HAUL 10 LOADS OF DIRT TO INMATES DOWN THERE AND THE BOARD

CAN'T HELP A CHILD IN THIS COUNTY. THAT IS ALL HE IS GOING TO SAY TO THE BOARD TODAY AND HE'S GONE.

COMMISSIONER BROCK EXITED THE MEETING.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT ADDRESSED INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER THAT ALL HE WANTS HIM TO DO IS UPHOLD THE COUNTY POLICY. HE WOULDN'T STAND FOR ANYBODY TO VIOLATE IT.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE DOESN'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT BEING BROUGHT UP IN A WORKSHOP AS FAR AS ADDRESSING THAT PARTICULAR POLICY ON WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE SO THERE WILL BE A GOOD UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT CAN AND CAN'T BE DONE. HE PERSONALLY FEELS THAT IT SHOULD BE A WORKING SOLUTION TOGETHER AND HE DOES KNOW THAT THEY DO GET THEIR TRANSPORTATION FUNDED JUST LIKE THE COUNTY DOES. IT SHOULD BE A SHARE SITUATION. HE UNDERSTANDS THE SCHOOL BUSES, BUT IF YOU WILL LOOK AT THE MONEY THE SCHOOL HAS THE COUNTY DOES WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. THE COUNTY SHARES AND PROVIDES A LOT TO THEIR SCHOOL OVER AND ABOVE. YOU CAN LOOK AT ANYTHING THAT YOU WANT TO LOOK AT; THAT IS A FACT. THE BOARD ISN'T HERE LOOKING AGAINST ANYTHING BUT THEY DO HAVE TO LOOK AT THIS SIDE OF THE BUSINESS.

COMMISSIONER PATE ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT WHEN HE FIRST CAME ON WITH THE BOARD, CHIPLEY THEY WOULD OCCASIONALLY USE ONE OF THE COUNTY'S DRILLERS, THEY PAID THEIR OPERATOR AND THEIR OPERATOR WOULD OPERATE IT, THE FUEL AND EVERYTHING. HE ASSUMES THEY DID SOME OF THE REST OF IT. IF WAUSAU OR VERNON NEEDED SOME THEY COULD STRIKE UP THE SAME DEAL THERE.

INTERIM COUNTY MANAGER JOYNER ADDRESSED THE BOARD THAT HE IS SURE THERE ARE SOLUTION TO THIS AND CHAIRMAN CARTER JUST BROUGHT IT TO EVERYBODY'S ATTENTION THESE CITIES DO GET TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. HE IS SURE THE BOARD WOULD ALLOW THEM IF THEY NEEDED DIRT OR EQUIPMENT TO HELP THEM WITH IT BUT THERE AGAIN THERE IS A COST FACTOR INVOLVED IN IT. IT HAS TO BE ADDRESSED WHEN IT IS DONE.

CHAIRMAN CARTER ACCEPTED A MOTION TO ADJOURN.

COMMISSIONER ABBOTT SAID SO MOVED. CHAIRMAN CARTER SAID WE STAND ADJOURNED.

ATTEST: _____

DEPUTY CLERK

CHAIRMAN